## Differentiable Functions Equivalent to Analytic Functions

By

## Masahiro Shiota

1. Let f, g be real-valued functions of class  $C^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Functions f, g are called *equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism (of class  $C^{\infty}$ )  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that  $f \circ \tau = g$ . The main object of this paper is to show under what conditions a function is equivalent to an analytic function (Theorem 1).

In the case of polynomials, the corresponding result is proved in Thom [1]. The method of our proof is analogous to that in [1], and our Lemma 3,4 correspond to Theorem R in [1].

Theorem 2 refines Mittag-Lefler's theorem in the real case.

The author thanks Mr. Iwasaki for his kind criticisms, and Professor S. Matsuura for his kind encouragement.

**2.** A function is called *flat* at a point *a* if for each  $n \ge 0$  the *n*-th derived function  $f^{(n)}$  vanishes at *a*.

**Theorem 1.** A  $C^{\infty}$ -function f (not constant) is equivalent to an analytic function if and only if the derived function f' is nowhere flat.

If f' is nowhere flat, then we can see by Rolle's theorem that the set of critical points of f (i.e. the set of points where f' vanishes) has no accumulating points. Let  $\{a_n\}$  denote the set. Adding regular points to the set (if necessary), we can assume  $\{a_n\}$  satisfies the following conditions

(1) 
$$a_n < a_{n+1}$$
,  
(2)  $a_n \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow \infty)$   $a_n \rightarrow -\infty (n \rightarrow -\infty)$ ,  
(3)  $a_{-1} < 0 < a_0$ .

For each integer n we define k(f, n) the least non-negative integer k-1

Communicated by S. Matsuura, October 4, 1972.

such that the k-th derived function  $f^{(k)}$  does not vanish at  $a_n$ , and put

$$b(f, n) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_{l=0}^{n} k(f, l) \\ (-1) & (f(a_{n+1}) - f(a_n)) \end{pmatrix} & n \ge 0 \\ f(a_0) - f(a_{-1}) & n = -1 \\ & & \\ & & \\ f(a_0) - f(a_{-1}) \end{pmatrix} & n \ge -2. \end{cases}$$

Then b(f, n) have all the same sign.

For the proof we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let c, d be real numbers (0 < c < d) and h a real-valued continuous function in  $(-\infty, c] \cup [d, \infty)$ . Then there is an entire holomorphic function  $\phi$  in the complex plane  $C^1$  which satisfies the following conditions:

(1) the restriction of  $\phi$  on the real axis is real-valued,

(2) 
$$\phi(x) \leq h(x) \text{ on } (-\infty, c] \cup [d, \infty),$$
  
 $\phi(x) \geq 0 \text{ on } \left[\frac{2}{3}c + \frac{1}{3}d, \frac{1}{3}c + \frac{2}{3}d\right],$   
(3) Re  $\phi(z) \leq h(c) \text{ on } |z| \leq c.$ 

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the lemma for a function h' such that  $h' \leq h$ . So, from the first we can assume

$$h = \begin{cases} K_n + 1 & \text{on } [nd, (n+1)d) & \text{for } n \neq 0, -1 \ (K_n < 0), \\ K + 1 & \text{on } [-d, c] & (K < 0). \end{cases}$$

We put

$$\phi_0(z) = \frac{-K+1}{d-c} (3z-2c-d) + 1,$$
  
$$\phi_n(z) = K_n e^{l_n \{-z+(n+1)d\}} \qquad n \le -2,$$

 $(l_n \text{ are taken large enough so that } |\phi_n(z)| \leq 2^{-n} \text{ on } |z| \leq \max(\frac{-n-1}{2}d, c))$ 

$$\phi_n(z) = (K_n + \frac{K-1}{d-c} (3nd + 2d - 2c))e^{I_n(z-nd)} \qquad n \ge 1,$$

 $(l_n \text{ are taken large enough so that } |\phi_n(z)| \leq 2^{-n} \text{ on } |z| \leq \max(\frac{nd}{2}, c)).$ Then for any compact set  $K(\subset \mathbb{C}^1)$ ,  $\sum_{n\neq -1} \phi_n$  converges uniformly on K, so  $\phi = \sum_{n\neq -1} \phi_n$  is holomorphic. It is easily seen that  $\phi$  satisfies the conditions in the lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let c, d be real numbers (0 < c < d) and h a positive continuous function in  $(-\infty, c] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3}c + \frac{1}{3}d, \frac{1}{3}c + \frac{2}{3}d\right] \cup [d, \infty)$ . Then there is an entire holomorphic function  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  which satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) the restriction of  $\phi$  on the real axis is real- and positive-valued,
- (2)  $\phi(x) \leq h(x)$  on  $(-\infty, c] \cup [d, \infty)$ ,  $\phi(x) \geq h(x)$  on  $\left[\frac{2}{3}c + \frac{1}{3}d, \frac{1}{3}c + \frac{2}{3}d\right]$ , (3)  $|\phi(z)| \leq h(c)$  on  $|z| \leq c$ .

Proof. Applying Lemma 1 to

$$\log h(x) - \log \sup_{x \in \left[-\frac{2}{3} - c + \frac{1}{3}d, \frac{c}{3} + \frac{2}{3} - d\right]} \sup_{x \in \left[-\frac{2}{3} - c + \frac{1}{3}d, \frac{c}{3} + \frac{2}{3} - d\right]}$$

we easily prove this lemma.

**Lemma 3.** For any real numbers  $a_n \neq 0$  (such that  $a_n < a_{n+1}, a_n \rightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)$ ), and  $a_n \rightarrow -\infty(n \rightarrow -\infty)$ ), non-negative integers  $k_n$ , and postive numbers  $b_n$ , there is an entire holomorphic function g in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  which satisfies the following conditions.

(0) the restriction of g on the real axis is real-valued,

(1) the set of critical points of g in the real axis is contained in the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ ,

- (2)  $k(g, n) = k_n$  where k(g, n) means  $k(g|\mathbf{R}, n)$ ,
- (3)  $0 < b(g, n) \leq b_n \text{ for } n \neq 0$

 $b(g, 0) = b_0 \text{ for } n = 0,$ 

where b(g, n) means  $b(g|\mathbf{R}, n)$ .

Proof. We put

$$H_n(z) = \exp\left[k_n\left\{\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{|n|}\left(\frac{z}{a_n}\right)^{|n|}\right\}\right], \text{ for each } n,$$

Masahiro Shiota

$$G(x) = \int_0^x \prod_{n=-\infty}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right)^{k_n} H_n(z) dz$$

then by Mittag-Lefler's theorem G(x) is an entire holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  which satisfies the conditions (1), (2) in the lemma. Let h be a positive valued continuous function such that

small enough on  $(-\infty, a_0] \cup [a_1, \infty)$ ,

large enough on  $\left[\frac{2}{3}a_0 + \frac{1}{3}a_1, \frac{1}{3}a_0 + \frac{2}{3}a_1\right]$ .

If we apply Lemma 2 to this h, then we get an entire holomorphic function  $\phi(z)$  such that

$$g(x) = \delta \int_0^x \phi(z) \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right)^{k_n} H_n(z) dz \qquad (\delta \text{ is a constant})$$

satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3) in the lemma.

**Lemma 4.** In Lemma 3, we can take g so as to satisfy moreover the following condition,

(4) 
$$b(g, n) = b_n$$
 for each n.

*Proof.* For each m we have constructed an entire holomorphic function  $g_m$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  which verifies (1), (2) in Lemma 3 and a condition

(3)' 
$$0 < b(g_m, n) \le 2^{-|m|-3}b_n \quad \text{for} \quad m \ne n,$$
$$b(g_m, n) = b_n \quad \text{for} \quad m = n.$$

In doing this, if we let h take values small enough at  $a_m$  and  $a_{m+1}$ , from the condition 3 in Lemma 2  $g_m$  is constructed so that for any compact set  $K(\subset \mathbb{C}^1) \sum c_m g_m$  (for any  $0 < c_m \le 1$ ) converges uniformly on K. Here we should remark that  $\sum c_m g_m (0 < c_m \le 1)$  satisfies the (1), (2) in Lemma 3. We put

$$g_{1}^{\circ} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{m}, \text{ then } 1 < \frac{b(g_{1}^{\circ}, n)}{b_{n}} < \frac{3}{2};$$
$$g_{2}^{\circ} = g_{1}^{\circ} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{m,1} g_{m}, \left( c_{m,1} = \sup_{n} \frac{b(g_{1}^{\circ}, n)}{b_{n}} - \frac{b(g_{1}^{\circ}, m)}{b_{m}} \right)$$

then

116

$$\sup_{m} \frac{b(g_{1}^{\circ}, m)}{b_{m}} < \frac{b(g_{2}^{\circ}, n)}{b_{n}} < \frac{3}{2} \sup_{m} \frac{b(g_{1}^{\circ}, m)}{b_{m}} - \frac{1}{2};$$

generally we put

$$g_{k}^{\circ} = g_{k-1}^{\circ} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{m,k-1} g_{m},$$

$$\left(c_{m,k-1} = \sup_{n} \frac{b(g_{k-1}^{\circ}, n)}{b_{n}} - \frac{b(g_{k-1}^{\circ}, m)}{b_{m}}\right)$$

then

$$\sup_{m} \frac{b(g_{k-1}^{\circ}, m)}{b_{m}} < \frac{b(g_{k}^{\circ}, n)}{b_{n}} < \frac{3}{2} \sup_{m} \frac{b(g_{k-1}^{\circ}, m)}{b_{m}} - \frac{1}{2} \sup_{m} \frac{b(g_{k-2}^{\circ}, m)}{b_{m}}.$$

From this we can see  $0 \leq c_{m,k} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$ . If we put

$$c_m = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{m,k},$$
$$g^\circ = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m g_m.$$

Then  $g^{\circ}$  has the property

$$\frac{b(g^{\circ}, n)}{b_n} = \frac{b(g^{\circ}, m)}{b_m}, \text{ for any } n, m.$$

So  $g = \frac{b_n}{b(g^\circ, n)}g^\circ$  satisfies the condition (4) in the lemma.

*Proof of Theorem* 1. The necessity of the condition is trivial. We shall prove its sufficiency. From Lemma 3, 4 there is an analytic function g in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that

- (1) the set of critical points of g is contained in the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ ,
- (2) k(g, n) = k(f, n),
- (3) b(g, n) = b(f, n),
- (4) (by adding a constant)  $g(a_n) = f(a_n)$ .

Let au be a function in  $\mathbf{R}^1$  such that

$$\tau(x) = f^{-1}g(x) \cap [a_n, a_{n+1}] \text{ on } [a_n, a_{n+1}].$$

Then  $\tau$  is diffeomorphic on  $(a_n, a_{n+1})$ . Around  $a_n$  there are certain  $C^{\infty}$ -

117

functions F, G such that

$$f(x) = \delta\{(x - a_n)F(x)\}^{k(f,n)+1} + f(a_n), (\delta = a \text{ constant}, F(a_n) > 0)$$
$$g(x) = \delta\{(x - a_n)G(x)\}^{k(f,n)+1} + f(a_n). (G(a_n) > 0)$$

From this,  $\tau$  is locally diffeomorphic around  $a_n$ . So  $\tau$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^1$ , and satisfies  $f \circ \tau = g$ .

## 3. Applications of the lemmas

Next lemmas result from the corresponding previous lemmas and proofs.

**Lemma 2'.** Let c, d, e be real numbers (0 < c < d, 0 < e) h a positivevalued continuous function (h(x) > e on [c, d]). Then there is an entire holomorphic function  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  which satisfies the following conditions

- (1) the restriction of  $\phi$  on the real axis is real- and positive-valued,
- (2)  $\phi(x) \leq h(x)$  on the real axis,
- (3)  $\phi(x) \ge e \text{ on } [c, d],$
- (4)  $|\phi(z)| \leq h\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$  on  $|z| \leq \frac{c}{2}$ .

**Lemma 2''.** Let c, d, e, h be the same ones as in Lemma 2'. Let  $\{a_n\}, \{b'_n\}$  be sets of real numbers which satisfy

(a) 
$$a_n < a_{n+1}, a_{-1} < 0 < a_0, a_n \to \infty (n \to \infty), a_n \to -\infty (n \to -\infty),$$

(b)  $0 < b'_n < h(a_n)$  when  $a_0 \ge c$  and  $-a_{-1} \ge c$ , the set of numbers  $\frac{b'_n}{h(a_n)}$  is bounded, otherwise,

(c) 
$$\{a_n\} \cap [c, d] = \phi$$
.

Then, there are an entire holomorphic function  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  and a constant  $\delta$  (>0) which satisfy the condition (1), (2), (3), (4) in Lemma 2', and a condition

(5) 
$$\phi(a_n) = b'_n$$
 when  $a_0 \ge c$  and  $-a_{-1} \ge c$ ,  
 $\phi(a_n) = \delta b'_n$  otherwise.

**Lemma 4'.** For positive numbers  $c_n$ , the g (in Lemma 4) can be

chosen to satisfy moreover

$$g^{(k_n+1)}(a_n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i \stackrel{n}{\stackrel{\sum}{=} 0} k_i} c_n & n \ge 0, \\ c_{-1} & n = -1, \\ \\ (-1)^{i \stackrel{n}{\stackrel{\sum}{=} n+1} k_i} c_n & n \le -2. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4**<sup>''</sup>. On the same conditions as in Lemma 4', for any  $\delta > 0$ there are entire holomorphic functions  $g_{N,\epsilon}(z)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  (N: positive integer  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon(N)$  where  $\epsilon(N) > 0$  is defined on positive integers) which satisfy the conditions (1), (2) in Lemma 3 and the following conditions

(i) 
$$g_{N,\varepsilon}^{(k_n+1)}(a_n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{k_i} c_n} & n \ge N+1 \\ \\ (-1)^{\frac{-1}{i=n+1}k_i} c_n & n \le -N+1, \end{cases}$$
  
(ii)  $b(g_{N,\varepsilon}, n) = \begin{cases} b_n & |n| \ge N \\ \varepsilon b_n & |n| < N, \end{cases}$ 

(iii) 
$$|g_{N,\varepsilon}(z)| < \delta$$
  $|z| < \frac{\min(a_N, -a_{-N})}{2}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{a_n\}, \{l_n\}, \{c_n\}$  be sets of real numbers which satisfy

- (a)  $\{n\} = \{integer\}, or \{n \ge N\}$  for some N, or  $\{n \le N\}$  for some N,
- (b)  $a_n < a_{n+1}, a_n \to \infty$  (as  $n \to \infty$ ),  $a_n \to -\infty$  (as  $n \to -\infty$ ),
- (c)  $l_n$  are positive integers,

(d) 
$$c_0, (-1)^{i \sum_{j=1}^{n} l_i} c_n(n>0), (-1)^{i \sum_{j=n+1}^{n-1} l_i} c_n (n<0)$$

have the same sign.

Then there is an analytic function f in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that

- (i) the set of zero points of f is  $\{a_n\}$ ,
- (ii) for each  $n, a_n$  is a zero point of  $l_n$ -th order of f,

Masahiro Shiota

(iii) for each  $n, f^{(l_n)}(a_n) = c_n$ .

*Proof.* We assume  $\{n\} = \{\text{integer}\}\)$ , otherwise we can prove in a similar way. If  $c_{-1}$  is positive, then we prove about  $\{-c_n\}\)$ . So we assume  $c_{-1}$  is negative, and  $a_{-1} < 0 < a_0$ . We put

 $a'_{2n} = a_n, a'_{2n+1} = \frac{a_n + a_{n+1}}{2}$   $k_{2n} = l_n - 1, k_{2n+1} = 1,$   $b_n = 1$   $c'_{2n} = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{i \sum_{i=0}^{n} l_i} c_n & n \ge 0 \\ -c_1 & n = -1 \\ -(-1)^{i \sum_{i=n+1}^{n-1} l_i} c_n & n \le -2, \end{cases}$   $c'_{2n+1} = 1,$ 

from the above assumption,  $c'_n$  are positive. If we apply Lemma 4' to  $\{a'_n\}, \{k_n\}, \{c'_n\}$ , then there is an analytic function g in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that

(1) the set of critical points of g is contained in the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ ,

(2) 
$$k(g, n) = k_n$$

(3) b(g, n) = 1,

(4) 
$$g^{(k_n+1)}(a'_n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{\Sigma}k_i} c'_n & n \ge 0\\ c'_{-1} & n = -1\\ (-1)^{\frac{-1}{i=n+1}k_i} c'_n & n \le -2. \end{cases}$$

From this it is easily seen that  $g^{(l_n)}(a_n) = c_n$ ,  $g(a'_{2n+2}) = g(a'_{2n})$  and g is a monotone function on  $[a'_n, a'_{n+1}]$ . If we put  $f = g - g(a_0)$  then the set of zero points of f is  $\{a'_{2n}\} = \{a_n\}$ , for each  $n \ a_n$  is a zero point of  $l_n$ -th order of f, and for each  $n \ f^{(l_n)}(a_n) = c_n$ .

120

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a set of real numbers (such that  $a_n < a_{n+1}$ ,  $a_n \to \infty(n \to \infty), a_n \to -\infty(n \to -\infty)$ )  $\{l_n\}$  be a set of positive integers, and  $\{p_n(x)\}$  be a set of polynomials (such that for each n the degree of  $p_n(x)$ ) is less than  $l_n$ ). Then there is an analytic function f in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that for each n,  $a_n$  is a zero point of  $l_n$ -th order of  $f(x) - p_n(x)$ .

*Proof.* In the same way as the proof of Theorem 2, if we define adequate values of derivatives (of the function which we want) at each point  $\frac{a_n+a_{n+1}}{2}$ , then we get an entire holomorphic function  $f_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  such that for each  $n f_0(a_n) = p_n(a_n)$ . We put

$$p_{n,1}(x) = p_n(x) - \{f_0(a_n) + (x - a_n)f'_0(a_n) + \cdots + (x - a_n)^{l_n}(l_n !)^{-1}f_0^{(l_n)}(a_n)\}$$

and defining adequate values of derivatives (of the function which we want) at one or two points of each  $(a_n, a_{n+1})$ , we get an entire holomorphic function  $f_1$  in  $\mathbb{C}^1$  such that

$$f_1(a_n) = p_{n,1}(a_n) = 0,$$
  
 $f'_1(a_n) = p'_{n,1}(a_n).$ 

Repeating this and applying Lemma 4", we get entire holomorphic functions  $f_m$  and polynomials  $p_{n,m}$  which satisfy the following conditions

- (1)  $f_n^{(p)}(a_n) = p_{n,m}^{(p)}(a_n)$  for  $p \le m$ ,
- (2)  $p_{n,m}(x) = p_{n,m-1}(x) \{f_{m-1}(a_n) + \dots + (x-a_n)^{l_n}(l_n!)^{-1}f_{m-1}^{(l_n)}(a_n)\}$
- (3) for any compact set  $K (\subset \mathbb{C}^1) \sum f_n$  converges uniformly on K.

The function  $\sum f_n$  is what we want.

## Reference

Thom, R., L'équivalence d'une fonction différentiable et d'un polynome, *Topology* Suppl. 2 (1965), 297-307.

Department of Mathematics Kyoto University.