

On the Asymptotic Behavior of the Solutions of Some Third and Fourth Order Non-Autonomous Differential Equations

By

Tadayuki HARA*

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of non-autonomous differential equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \ddot{x} + a(t)\dot{x} + b(t)x = p(t),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \ddot{x} + a(t)\dot{x} + b(t)x + c(t)h(x) = p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \ddot{x} + a(t)f(x, \dot{x})\dot{x} + b(t)g(x, \dot{x})\dot{x} + c(t)h(x) = p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}),$$

$$(1.4) \quad \ddot{x} + a(t)f(\ddot{x})\ddot{x} + b(t)\phi(\dot{x}, \ddot{x}) + c(t)g(\dot{x}) + d(t)h(x) = p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{x})$$

where functions appeared in the equations are real valued. The dots indicate differentiation with respect to t and all solutions considered are assumed to be real.

The problem is to give conditions to ensure that all solutions of (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This problem has received a considerable amount of attention during the past twenty years, particularly when equations are autonomous. Many of these results are summarized in [14].

In [17] K.E. Swick considered the behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of solutions of the differential equations

$$(1.5) \quad \ddot{x} + a\ddot{x} + g(x)\dot{x} + h(x) = e(t),$$

Communicated by S. Matsuura, June 15, 1973.

* Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Osaka.

$$(1.6) \quad \ddot{x} + p(t)\dot{x} + q(t)g(\dot{x}) + h(x) = e(t)$$

where a is a positive constant. In [16] he also considered the asymptotic stability in the large of the trivial solution of the equations

$$(1.7) \quad \ddot{x} + p(t)\dot{x} + q(t)g(\dot{x}) + r(t)h(x) = 0,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \ddot{x} + f(x, \dot{x}, t)\dot{x} + q(t)g(\dot{x}) + r(t)h(x) = 0.$$

In [6] the author established the conditions under which all solutions of the non-autonomous equations (1.1)~(1.3) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper we obtain the conditions weaker than that obtained in [6].

Recently the author ([9]) studied the asymptotic behavior of solutions of

$$(1.9) \quad \ddot{x} + a(t)f(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})\dot{x} + b(t)g(x, \dot{x}) + c(t)h(x) = p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$$

under the condition that

$$\frac{h(x)}{x} \geq \delta > 0 \quad (x \neq 0).$$

But here we consider the equations (1.3) and (1.4) under the weaker condition that

$$H(x) \equiv \int_0^x h(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

In [7] the author also investigated the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the equation

$$(1.10) \quad \ddot{x} + f(\ddot{x})\ddot{x} + \phi(\dot{x}, \ddot{x}) + g(\dot{x}) + h(x) = p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}).$$

This time we study the non-autonomous equation (1.4). The results obtained here contains the author's result in [7].

The main tools used in this work are Liapunov functions and the generalized Yoshizawa's Theorem ([21; Theorem 14.2]).

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Dr. M. Yamamoto of Osaka University for his invaluable advice and warm encouragement.

2. Assumptions and Statements of the Results

Theorems 1 and 2 are concerned with the differential equation (1.3). We assume the following assumptions on the functions appeared in (1.3).

Assumptions for Theorems 1 and 2.

- (a₁) $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ are positive and continuously differentiable functions in $I = [0, \infty)$.
- (a₂) $p(t, x, y, z)$ is continuous in $I \times R^3$.
- (a₃) $h(x)$ is continuously differentiable for all $x \in R^1$.
- (a₄) $f(x, y), f_x(x, y), g(x, y)$ and $g_x(x, y)$ are continuous for all $(x, y) \in R^2$.

Hereafter we use the following notations.

$$a'_+(t) = \max(a'(t), 0), \quad a'_-(t) = \max(-a'(t), 0)$$

so that $a'(t) = a'_+(t) - a'_-(t)$. Likewise, we denote

$$b'_+(t) = \max(b'(t), 0), \quad b'_-(t) = \max(-b'(t), 0),$$

$$c'_+(t) = \max(c'(t), 0), \quad c'_-(t) = \max(-c'(t), 0).$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the assumptions (a₁)~(a₄) hold and the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $A \geq a(t) \geq a_0 > 0, B \geq b(t) \geq b_0 > 0, C \geq c(t) \geq c_0 > 0$ for $t \in I$,
- (ii) $f_1 \geq f(x, y) \geq f_0 > 0, y f_x(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in R^2$,
- (iii) $g_1 \geq g(x, y) \geq g_0 > 0, y g_x(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in R^2$,
- (iv) $x h(x) > 0$ ($x \neq 0$), $H(x) \equiv \int_0^x h(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,
- (v) $\frac{a_0 b_0 f_0 g_0}{C} > h_1 \geq h'(x)$,
- (vi) $\mu \{a'_+(t) f_1 - a'_-(t) f_0\} + \{b'_+(t) g_1 - b'_-(t) g_0\}$
 $\quad - \frac{1}{\mu} c'(t) h_1 < \mu b_0 g_0 - C h_1$

where μ is an arbitrarily fixed constant satisfying

$$\frac{C h_1}{b_0 g_0} < \mu < a_0 f_0,$$

- (vii) $\int_0^{\infty} c'_+(t) dt < \infty$, $c'(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$,
- (viii) $|p(t, x, y, z)| \leq p_1(t) + p_2(t) \{H(x) + y^2 + z^2\}^{\rho/2} + \Delta(y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$

where ρ, Δ are constants such that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \Delta \geq 0$ and $p_1(t), p_2(t)$ are non-negative continuous functions satisfying,

- (ix) $\int_0^{\infty} p_i(t) dt < \infty$ ($i=1, 2$).

If Δ is sufficiently small, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.3) is uniform-bounded and satisfies

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \dot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \ddot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following result on (1.1).

Corollary 1. Suppose that the assumption (a_1) and the conditions (i), (vii) of Theorem 1 hold and in addition the following conditions are satisfied:

- (v)' $a_0 b_0 - C > 0$,
- (vi)' $\mu a'(t) + b'(t) - \frac{1}{\mu} c'(t) < \mu b_0 - C \quad \left(\frac{C}{b_0} < \mu < a_0 \right)$,
- (ix)' $\int_0^{\infty} |p(t)| dt < \infty$.

Then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is uniform-bounded and satisfies

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \dot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \ddot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

And also we have the following Corollary 2 concerning the equation (1.2).

Corollary 2. Suppose that the assumptions $(a_1) \sim (a_3)$ and the conditions (i), (iv), (vii) of Theorem 1 hold and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (v)' $\frac{a_0 b_0}{C} > h_1 \geq h'(x)$,
- (vi)' $\mu a'(t) + b'(t) - \frac{1}{\nu} c'(t) < \mu b_0 - C h_1 \quad \left(\frac{C h_1}{b_0} < \mu < a_0, \quad \nu = \frac{\mu}{h_1} \right)$,

(viii)' $|p(t, x, y, z)| \leq p_1(t),$

(ix)' $\int_0^\infty p_1(t) dt < \infty.$

Then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.2) is uniform-bounded and satisfies

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \dot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \ddot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark. Theorem 1 extends the author's earlier results, that is, Corollaries 1 and 2 coincide with Corollary 1 and Theorem 1 in [6] respectively.

In [4], J.O.C. Ezeilo studied the equation

(2.1) $\ddot{x} + f_1(x, \dot{x})\dot{x} + f_2(\dot{x}) + f_3(x) = p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$

where $p(t, x, y, z)$ satisfies the condition (viii) of our Theorem 1. He required the boundedness and integrability of the functions $p_1(t)$ and $p_2(t)$. Here we only assume the integrability of $p_1(t)$ and $p_2(t)$.

Observe that the condition (v) in Theorem 1 is the usual «generalized Routh-Hurwitz conditions».

Theorem 2. Suppose that the assumptions $(a_1) \sim (a_4)$ hold and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $A \geq a(t) \geq a_0 > 0, \quad B \geq b(t) \geq b_0 > 0, \quad C \geq c(t) \geq c_0 > 0$ for $t \in I,$

(ii) $f(x, y) \geq f_0 > 0, \quad yf_x(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in R^2,$

(iii) $g(x, y) \geq g_0 > 0, \quad yg_x(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in R^2,$

(iv) $xh(x) > 0 \quad (x \neq 0), \quad H(x) \equiv \int_0^x h(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty,$

(v) $\frac{a_0 b_0 f_0 g_0}{C} > h_1 \geq h'(x),$

(vi) $\int_0^\infty \{a'_+(t) + b'_+(t) + |c'(t)|\} dt < \infty, \quad c'(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty,$

(vii) $|p(t, x, y, z)| \leq p_1(t) + p_2(t) \{H(x) + y^2 + z^2\}^{\rho/2} + \Delta (y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$
 where ρ, Δ are constants such that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \Delta \geq 0$ and $p_1(t), p_2(t)$ are non-negative continuous functions satisfying,

(viii) $\int_0^\infty p_i(t) dt < \infty \quad (i = 1, 2).$

If Δ is sufficiently small, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.3) is uniform-

bounded and satisfies

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \dot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \ddot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark. In Theorem 2 the functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ are not generally bounded above. Here also we do not need the boundedness of the functions $p_1(t)$ and $p_2(t)$. Theorem 2 is the extension of the author's earlier result ([6; Theorem 2]).

We turn now to the fourth order differential equation (1.4). We make the following assumptions on the functions appeared in (1.4).

Assumptions for Theorem 3.

(A₁) $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ and $d(t)$ are positive and continuously differentiable functions in $I = [0, \infty)$.

(A₂) $f(z)$ is continuously differentiable for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

(A₃) $\phi(y, z)$ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(y, z)$ are continuous for all $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

(A₄) $g(y)$ is continuously differentiable for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

(A₅) $h(x)$ is continuously differentiable for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

(A₆) $p(t, x, y, z, w)$ is continuous in $I \times \mathbb{R}^4$.

In Theorem 3, the following notations are used:

$$g_1(y) = \frac{g(y)}{y} \quad (y \neq 0), \quad g_1(0) = g'(0),$$

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z f(\xi) d\xi \quad (z \neq 0), \quad f_1(0) = f(0).$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose that the assumptions (A₁)~(A₆) hold and that there exist positive constants such that*

(i) $A \geq a(t) \geq a_0 > 0, \quad B \geq b(t) \geq b_0 > 0, \quad C \geq c(t) \geq c_0 > 0,$
 $D \geq d(t) \geq d_0 > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in I,$

(ii) $f(z) \geq f_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^1,$

(iii) $g_1(y) \geq g_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad g(0) = 0,$

(iv) $xh(x) > 0 \quad (x \neq 0), \quad H(x) \equiv \int_0^x h(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$

- $$h_0 - \frac{a_0 f_0 \delta_0}{2c_0 g_0 D} \leq h'(x) \leq h_0,$$
- (v) $\phi_y(y, z) \leq 0, \quad \phi(y, 0) = 0 \quad \text{in } R^2,$
 - (vi) $0 \leq \frac{\phi(y, z)}{z} - \phi_0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0 c_0^3 g_0^3}{BD^2 h_0^2} \quad (z \neq 0)$
 where ε_0 is a sufficiently small positive constant,
 - (vii) $a_0 b_0 c_0 f_0 \phi_0 g_0 - C^2 g_0 g'(y) - A^2 D f_0 h_0 f(z) \geq \delta_0 > 0$
 for all $(y, z) \in R^2,$
 - (viii) $g'(y) - g_1(y) \leq \delta < \frac{2Dh_0\delta_0}{Ca_0f_0c_0^2g_0^2},$
 - (ix) $f_1(z) - f(z) \leq \frac{Cc_0g_0\delta}{Aa_0f_0Dh_0},$
 - (x) $\int_0^\infty \{|a'(t)| + b'_+(t) + |c'(t)| + |d'(t)|\} dt < \infty,$
 $d'(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ where } b'_+(t) = \max(b'(t), 0),$
 - (xi) $|p(t, x, y, z, w)| \leq p_1(t) + p_2(t)\{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2\}^{\rho/2}$
 $+ \Delta(y^2 + z^2 + w^2)^{1/2}$
 where ρ, Δ are constants such that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \Delta \geq 0$ and $p_1(t),$
 $p_2(t)$ are non-negative continuous functions satisfying,
 - (xii) $\int_0^\infty p_i(t) dt < \infty \quad (i=1, 2).$

If Δ is sufficiently small, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1.4) is uniform-bounded and satisfies

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \dot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \ddot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark. Theorem 3 extends the author's result [7] to the non-autonomous equation (1.4). Theorem 3 also contains the results obtained by J. O. C. Ezeilo [4], M. Harrow [11] and M. A. Asmussen [1]. Note that also we do not require the boundedness of $p_1(t)$ and $p_2(t)$ here.

3. Auxiliary Lemmas

Consider a system of differential equations

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{X} = F(t, X)$$

where $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $F(t, X)$ is continuous in $I \times R^n (I = [0, \infty))$.

The following Lemma 1 is well-known ([21]).

Lemma 1. *Suppose that there exists a continuously differentiable function $V(t, X)$ defined on $t \in I, \|X\| \geq R$, where R may be large, which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $a(\|X\|) \leq V(t, X) \leq b(\|X\|)$, where $a(r) \in CI$ (a family of continuous and increasing functions), $a(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $b(r) \in CI$,
- (ii) $\dot{V}_{(3.1)}(t, X) \leq 0$.

Then the solutions of (3.1) are uniform bounded.

Next we consider a system of differential equations

$$(3.2) \quad \dot{X} = F(t, X) + G(t, X)$$

where $F(t, X)$ and $G(t, X)$ are continuous on $I \times Q$ ($I = [0, \infty)$), Q : an open set in R^n . We assume

$$(3.3) \quad \|G(t, X)\| \leq G_1(t, X) + G_2(X)$$

where $G_1(t, X)$ is non-negative continuous on $I \times Q$ and $\int_0^t G_1(s, X) ds$ is bounded for all t whenever X belongs to any compact subset of Q , and $G_2(X)$ is non-negative continuous in Q .

The following Lemma is a simple extension of the well-known result obtained by T. Yoshizawa [21; Theorem 14.2].

Lemma 2. *Suppose that there exists a non-negative continuously differentiable function $V(t, X)$ on $I \times Q$ such that $\dot{V}_{(3.2)}(t, X) \leq -W(X)$, where $W(X)$ is positive definite with respect to a closed set Ω in the space Q . Moreover, suppose that $F(t, X)$ of the system (3.1) is bounded for all t when X belongs to an arbitrary compact set in Q and that $F(t, X)$ satisfies the following two conditions with respect to Ω :*

(a) $F(t, X)$ tends to a function $H(X)$ for $X \in \Omega$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and on any compact set in Ω this convergence is uniform.

(b) Corresponding to each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $Y \in \Omega$, there exist a $\delta(\varepsilon, Y)$ and a $T(\varepsilon, Y)$ such that if $\|X - Y\| < \delta(\varepsilon, Y)$ and $t \geq T(\varepsilon, Y)$, we have $\|F(t, X) - F(t, Y)\| < \varepsilon$. And suppose that

(c) $G_2(X)$ is positive definite with respect to a closed set Ω in the space Q .

Then, every bounded solution of (3.2) approaches the largest semi-invariant set of the system $\dot{X}=H(X)$ contained in Ω as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 2. The proof runs analogously as the original proof [21; p.52 ~ p.61] using the fact that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_t^{t+\lambda} G_2(x(s)) ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

whenever $x(t)$ approaches to Ω as $t \rightarrow \infty$ e.g.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section it will be assumed that $X=(x, y, z)$ and $\|X\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$.

We consider, in place of (1.3), the equivalent system

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = z \\ \dot{z} = -a(t)f(x, y)z - b(t)g(x, y)y - c(t)h(x) + p(t, x, y, z). \end{cases}$$

Consider the Liapunov function defined by

$$(4.2) \quad V_0(t, x, y, z) = \mu c(t)H(x) + c(t)h(x)y + b(t) \int_0^y g(x, \eta)\eta d\eta \\ + \mu a(t) \int_0^y f(x, \eta)\eta d\eta + \mu yz + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + k$$

where k is a non-negative constant to be determined later in the proof.

Let $\nu = \frac{\mu}{h_1}$, then we have

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \mu c(t) \left\{ 2H(x) + \frac{2}{\mu} h(x) y + \frac{1}{\mu \nu} y^2 \right\} \\ + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \{ \nu b(t) g(x, \eta) - c(t) \} \eta d\eta$$

$$+ \mu \int_0^y \{a(t)f(x, \eta) - \mu\} \eta d\eta + \frac{1}{2}(z + \mu y)^2 + k.$$

Since $h_1 \geq h'(x)$, we have $2h_1H(x) \geq h^2(x)$.

Then it follows

$$|y| \sqrt{2h_1H(x)} \geq h(x)y \geq -|y| \sqrt{2h_1H(x)}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \left(\sqrt{2H(x)} + \frac{|y|}{\nu\sqrt{h_1}} \right)^2 \geq \left\{ 2H(x) + \frac{2}{\nu h_1} h(x)y + \frac{1}{\nu^2 h_1} y^2 \right\} \\ \geq \left(\sqrt{2H(x)} - \frac{|y|}{\nu\sqrt{h_1}} \right)^2.$$

The left hand side of (4.3) = $2\delta_0 H(x) + \left(\sqrt{2(1-\delta_0)H(x)} + \frac{|y|}{\nu\sqrt{(1-\delta_0)h_1}} \right)^2$
 $- \frac{\delta_0}{(1-\delta_0)\nu^2 h_1} y^2.$

The right hand side of (4.3) = $2\delta_0 H(x) + \left(\sqrt{2(1-\delta_0)H(x)} - \frac{|y|}{\nu\sqrt{(1-\delta_0)h_1}} \right)^2$
 $- \frac{\delta_0}{(1-\delta_0)\nu^2 h_1} y^2.$

Hence we have

$$\mu c(t)\delta_0 H(x) + \frac{1}{2} \mu c(t) \left\{ \sqrt{2(1-\delta_0)H(x)} + \frac{|y|}{\nu\sqrt{(1-\delta_0)h_1}} \right\}^2 \\ - \frac{1}{2} c(t) \frac{\delta_0}{(1-\delta_0)\nu} y^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \{ \nu b(t)g(x, \eta) - c(t) \} \eta d\eta \\ + \mu \int_0^y \{ a(t)f(x, \eta) - \mu \} \eta d\eta + \frac{1}{2}(z + \mu y)^2 + k \\ \geq V_0 \geq \mu c(t)\delta_0 H(x) + \frac{1}{2} \mu c(t) \left\{ \sqrt{2(1-\delta_0)H(x)} - \frac{|y|}{\nu\sqrt{(1-\delta_0)h_1}} \right\}^2 \\ - \frac{1}{2} c(t) \frac{\delta_0}{(1-\delta_0)\nu} y^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \{ \nu b(t)g(x, \eta) - c(t) \} \eta d\eta$$

$$+ \mu \int_0^y \{a(t)f(x, \eta) - \mu\} \eta d\eta + \frac{1}{2}(z + \mu y)^2 + k.$$

If we take δ_0 as $1 - \frac{C}{\nu b_0 g_0} > \delta_0 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &\geq \mu c(t)\delta_0 H(x) + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \left\{ \nu b(t) g(x, \eta) - \frac{c(t)}{1 - \delta_0} \right\} \eta d\eta \\ &\quad + \mu \int_0^y \{a(t)f(x, \eta) - \mu\} \eta d\eta + \frac{1}{2}(z + \mu y)^2 + k \\ &= \mu c(t)\delta_0 H(x) + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \left\{ \nu b(t) g(x, \eta) - \frac{c(t)}{1 - \delta_0} \right\} \eta d\eta \\ &\quad + \mu \int_0^y \{a(t)f(x, \eta) - \mu - \mu\delta_1\} \eta d\eta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_1)\mu^2 \left\{ y + \frac{z}{(1 + \delta_1)\mu} \right\}^2 + \frac{\delta_1}{2(1 + \delta_1)} z^2 + k. \end{aligned}$$

Here we take δ_1 as $\frac{a_0 f_0 - \mu}{\mu} > \delta_1 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &\geq \mu c(t)\delta_0 H(x) + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \left\{ \nu b(t) g(x, \eta) - \frac{c(t)}{1 - \delta_0} \right\} \eta d\eta \\ &\quad + \mu \int_0^y \{a(t)f(x, \eta) - \mu - \mu\delta_1\} \eta d\eta + \frac{\delta_1}{2(1 + \delta_1)} z^2 + k, \end{aligned}$$

and we can find a positive number D_1 such that

$$(4.4) \quad V_0(t, x, y, z) \geq D_1 \{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + k\}.$$

It is easy to see that there exist two continuous functions $w_1(r)$ and $w_2(r)$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad w_1(\|X\|) \leq V_0(t, x, y, z) \leq w_2(\|X\|)$$

for all $X \in R^3$ and $t \in I$ where $w_1(r) \in CIP$ (a family of continuous increasing positive definite functions), $w_1(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $w_2(r) \in CI$.

Along any solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ of (4.1), we have

$$(4.6) \quad \dot{V}_{0(4.1)} = -[\mu b(t)g(x, y) - c(t)h'(x)]y^2 - [a(t)f(x, y) - \mu]z^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \mu c'(t) \left\{ 2H(x) + \frac{2}{\mu} h(x)y + \frac{1}{\mu\nu} y^2 \right\} + b(t)y \int_0^y g_x(x, \eta) \eta d\eta \\
& + \mu a(t)y \int_0^y f_x(x, \eta) \eta d\eta + (\mu y + z) p(t, x, y, z) \\
& + \int_0^y \left\{ \mu a'(t) f(x, \eta) + b'(t) g(x, \eta) - \frac{1}{\nu} c'(t) \right\} \eta d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

By the conditions (ii), (iii) and (vi),

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{0(4.1)} & \leq -(\mu b_0 g_0 - Ch_1) y^2 - (a_0 f_0 - \mu) z^2 + \frac{c'_+(t)}{c(t)} V_0 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (\mu b_0 g_0 - Ch_1) y^2 + (1 + \mu) (|y| + |z|) |p(t, x, y, z)| \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{2} (\mu b_0 g_0 - Ch_1) y^2 - (a_0 f_0 - \mu) z^2 + \frac{c'_+(t)}{c(t)} V_0 \\
& + \sqrt{2} (1 + \mu) \{p_1(t) + p_2(t)(H(x) + y^2 + z^2)^{\rho/2}\} (y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \\
& + \sqrt{2} A (1 + \mu) (y^2 + z^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(4.7) \quad (H(x) + y^2 + z^2)^{\rho/2} \leq 1 + (H(x) + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$$

and if we take $A < \min \left\{ \frac{\mu b_0 g_0 - Ch_1}{2\sqrt{2}(1+\mu)}, \frac{a_0 f_0 - \mu}{\sqrt{2}(1+\mu)} \right\}$, we can find a positive number D_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad \dot{V}_{0(4.1)} & \leq -D_2 (y^2 + z^2) + \frac{c'_+(t)}{c(t)} V_0 \\
& + \sqrt{2} (1 + \mu) \{p_1(t) + p_2(t)\} (y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \\
& + \sqrt{2} (1 + \mu) p_2(t) (H(x) + y^2 + z^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$(4.9) \quad V(t, x, y, z) = e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot V_0(t, x, y, z)$$

where

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{c'_+(s)}{c(s)} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}(1+\mu)}{D_1} \{p_1(s) + p_2(s)\}.$$

Then it is easily verified that there exist two continuous functions $\tilde{w}_1(r), \tilde{w}_2(r)$ satisfying

$$(4.10) \quad \tilde{w}_1(\|X\|) \leq V(t, x, y, z) \leq \tilde{w}_2(\|X\|)$$

for all $X \in R^3$ and $t \in I$ where $\tilde{w}_1(r) \in CIP, \tilde{w}_1(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tilde{w}_2(r) \in CI$.

Along any solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ of (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_{(4.1)} &= e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot [\dot{V}_{0(4.1)} - \gamma(t)V_0] \\ &\leq e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot [-D_2(y^2 + z^2) + \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t) + p_2(t)\}(y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \\ &\quad - \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t) + p_2(t)\}\{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + 2k\}] \\ &\leq e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot [-D_2(y^2 + z^2) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t) + p_2(t)\}\left\{\left(\sqrt{y^2 + z^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} + 2k\right\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $k \geq \frac{1}{8}$, we can find a positive number D_3 such that

$$(4.11) \quad \dot{V}_{(4.1)} \leq -D_3(y^2 + z^2).$$

From the inequalities (4.10), (4.11) and Lemma 1, we see that all the solutions $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ of (4.1) are uniform-bounded.

In the system (4.1) we set

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F(t, X) &= \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ -a(t)f(x, y)z - b(t)g(x, y)y - c(t)h(x) \end{pmatrix}, \\ G(t, X) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p(t, x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|G(t, X)\| \leq p_1(t) + p_2(t)\{H(x) + y^2 + z^2\}^{\rho/2} + \mathcal{A}(y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let $G_1(t, X) = p_1(t) + p_2(t)\{H(x) + y^2 + z^2\}^{\rho/2}$ and $G_2(X) = \mathcal{A}(y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$.

It is clear that $F(t, X)$ and $G_1(t, X)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.

Let $W(X) = D_3(y^2 + z^2)$, then

$$\dot{V}_{(4.1)}(t, x, y, z) \leq -W(X)$$

and $W(X)$ is positive definite with respect to the closed set $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \{(x, y, z) \mid x \in R^1, y=0, z=0\}$. It follows that on \mathcal{Q}

$$F(t, X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -c(t)h(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the condition (i) and (vii), we have $c(t) \rightarrow c_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $0 < c_0 \leq c_\infty \leq C$. It is also clear that if we take

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{H}(X) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -c_\infty h(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

then the conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 2 are satisfied.

Moreover $G_2(X)$ is positive definite with respect to the closed set \mathcal{Q} and the condition (c) of Lemma 2 is satisfied.

Since all the solutions of (4.1) are bounded, it follows from Lemma 2 that every solution of (4.1) approaches the largest semi-invariant set of $\dot{X} = \tilde{H}(X)$ contained in \mathcal{Q} as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

From (4.13), $\dot{X} = \tilde{H}(X)$ is the system

$$(4.14) \quad \dot{x} = 0, \quad \dot{y} = 0, \quad \dot{z} = -c_\infty h(x)$$

which has the solutions $x = c_1, y = c_2, z = c_3 - c_\infty h(c_1)(t - t_0)$. To remain in \mathcal{Q} , $c_2 = 0$ and $c_3 - c_\infty h(c_1)(t - t_0) = 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ which implies $c_1 = c_3 = 0$.

Therefore the only solution of $\dot{X} = \tilde{H}(X)$ remaining in \mathcal{Q} is $X \equiv 0$, that is, the largest semi-invariant set of $\dot{X} = \tilde{H}(X)$ contained in \mathcal{Q} is the

point $(0, 0, 0)$. Then it follows that

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \dot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \ddot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

5. Proof of Theorem 2

Here we consider the system (4.1) and the Liapunov function (4.2), and denote $X = (x, y, z)$ and $\|X\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$.

By the same arguments as before we obtain the estimates (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad \dot{V}_{0(4.1)} \leq & -(\mu b_0 g_0 - Ch_1) y^2 - (a_0 f_0 - \mu) z^2 + \frac{|c'(t)|}{c(t)} V_0 \\ & + \frac{a'_+(t)}{a(t)} \cdot \mu a(t) \int_0^y f(x, \eta) \eta d\eta + \frac{b'_+(t)}{b(t)} \cdot b(t) \int_0^y g(x, \eta) \eta d\eta \\ & + \frac{|c'(t)|}{\nu} y^2 + (1 + \mu)(|y| + |z|) |p(t, x, y, z)| \end{aligned}$$

where μ is an arbitrarily fixed constant satisfying $\frac{Ch_1}{b_0 g_0} < \mu < a_0 f_0$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu a(t) \int_0^y f(x, \eta) \eta d\eta &= \mu \int_0^y \{a(t) f(x, \eta) - \mu - \mu \delta_1\} \eta d\eta + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 (1 + \delta_1) y^2 \\ &\leq V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 (1 + \delta_1) y^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b(t) \int_0^y g(x, \eta) \eta d\eta &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^y \left\{ \nu b(t) g(x, \eta) - \frac{c(t)}{1 - \delta_0} \right\} \eta d\eta + \frac{c(t)}{2\nu(1 - \delta_0)} y^2 \\ &\leq V_0 + \frac{C}{2\nu(1 - \delta_0)} y^2 \end{aligned}$$

where δ_0, δ_1 are positive constants determined in the Proof of Theorem 1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_{0(4.1)} \leq & -(\mu b_0 g_0 - Ch_1) y^2 - (a_0 f_0 - \mu) z^2 \\ & + \frac{|c'(t)|}{c(t)} V_0 + \frac{a'_+(t)}{a(t)} V_0 + \frac{a'_+(t)}{2a(t)} \mu^2 (1 + \delta_1) y^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{b'_+(t)}{b(t)} V_0 + \frac{Cb'_+(t)}{2\nu(1-\delta_0)b(t)} y^2 + \frac{|c'(t)|}{\nu} y^2 \\
 & + \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t) + p_2(t)(H(x) + y^2 + z^2)^{\rho/2}\}(y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \\
 & + \sqrt{2} A(1+\mu)(y^2 + z^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequalities (4.4) and (4.7), and taking $A < \min\left\{\frac{\mu b_0 g_0 - Ch_1}{\sqrt{2}(1+\mu)}, \frac{a_0 f_0 - \mu}{\sqrt{2}(1+\mu)}\right\}$, we can find positive numbers D_4 and D_5 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.2) \quad \dot{V}_{0(4.1)} & \leq -D_4(y^2 + z^2) + D_4[a'_+(t) + b'_+(t) + |c'(t)|]V_0 \\
 & \quad + \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t) + p_2(t)\}(y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \\
 & \quad + \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)p_2(t)(H(x) + y^2 + z^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$(5.3) \quad \bar{V}(t, x, y, z) = e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot V_0(t, x, y, z)$$

where

$$\gamma(s) = D_5(a'_+(s) + b'_+(s) + |c'(s)|) + \frac{2\sqrt{2}(1+\mu)}{D_1}\{p_1(s) + p_2(s)\}.$$

Then there exist two continuous functions $\tilde{w}_1(r), \tilde{w}_2(r)$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{w}_1(\|X\|) \leq \bar{V}(t, x, y, z) \leq \tilde{w}_2(\|X\|)$$

for all $X \in R^3$ and $t \in I$ where $\tilde{w}_1(r) \in CIP$, $\tilde{w}_2(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tilde{w}_2(r) \in CI$.

As in the Proof of Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\bar{V}}_{(4.1)} & = e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot [-D_4(y^2 + z^2) + \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t) + p_1(t)\}(y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \\
 & \quad - \sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t) + p_2(t)\}\{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + 2h\}] \\
 & \leq e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot [-D_4(y^2 + z^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$-\sqrt{2}(1+\mu)\{p_1(t)+p_2(t)\}\left(\sqrt{y^2+z^2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2-\frac{1}{4}+2k\Big].$$

Setting $k \geq \frac{1}{8}$, we can find a positive number D_6 such that

$$(5.5) \quad \dot{V}_{(4.1)} \leq -D_6(y^2+z^2).$$

The remainder of the proof proceeds just as in the Proof of Theorem 1.

Q.E.D.

6. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section it will be assumed that $X=(x, y, z, w)$ and $\|X\| = \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2+w^2}$.

The equation (1.4) is equivalent to the system

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = z \\ \dot{z} = w \\ \dot{w} = -a(t)f(z)w - b(t)\phi(y, z) - c(t)g(y) - d(t)h(x) + p(t, x, y, z, w). \end{cases}$$

Our main tool is the function $V_0 = V_0(t, x, y, z, w)$ defined by

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 2V_0 = & 2\beta d(t) \int_0^x h(\xi) d\xi + 2c(t) \int_0^y g(\eta) d\eta + 2\alpha b(t) \int_0^z \phi(y, \zeta) d\zeta \\ & + 2a(t) \int_0^z f(\zeta) \zeta d\zeta + 2\beta a(t) y \int_0^z f(\zeta) d\zeta + \{\beta \phi_0 b(t) - \alpha h_0 d(t)\} y^2 \\ & - \beta z^2 + \alpha w^2 + 2d(t)h(x)y + 2\alpha d(t)h(x)z + 2\alpha c(t)z g(y) \\ & + 2\beta yw + 2zw + k \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{a_0 f_0} + \varepsilon$, $\beta = \frac{h_0 D}{c_0 g_0} + \varepsilon$ and ε, k are positive constants to be determined later in the proof. We have

$$(6.3) \quad 2V_0 = \frac{a(t)}{f_1(z)} \left\{ \frac{w}{a(t)} + f_1(z)z + \beta f_1(z)y \right\}^2 + 2\varepsilon d(t) \int_0^x h(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2d(t) \int_0^x h(\xi) \left[\frac{Dh_0}{c_0 g_0} - \frac{d(t)h'(\xi)}{c(t)g_1(y)} \right] d\xi + c(t) \int_0^y \{g_1(\eta) - g'(\eta)\} \eta d\eta \\
& + 2\alpha b(t) \int_0^z \{\phi(y, \zeta) - \phi_0 \zeta\} d\zeta + \{\beta \phi_0 b(t) - \alpha h_0 d(t) - \beta^2 a(t) f_1(z)\} y^2 \\
& + a(t) \int_0^z \{f(\zeta) - f_1(\zeta)\} \zeta d\zeta + \{\alpha \phi_0 b(t) - \beta - \alpha^2 c(t) g_1(y)\} z^2 \\
& + \left\{ \alpha - \frac{1}{a(t) f_1(z)} \right\} w^2 + \frac{c(t)}{g_1(y)} \left\{ \frac{d(t)}{c(t)} h(x) + y g_1(y) z + \alpha g_1(y) z \right\}^2 + k.
\end{aligned}$$

An elementary computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{Dh_0}{c_0 g_0} - \frac{d(t)h'(\xi)}{c(t)g_1(y)} \right] \geq \frac{Dh_0 c_0 g_0 - c_0 g_0 Dh'(\xi)}{c_0 c(t) g_0 g_1(y)} \geq 0, \\
& 2d(t) \int_0^x h(\xi) \left[\frac{Dh_0}{c_0 g_0} - \frac{d(t)h'(\xi)}{c(t)g_1(y)} \right] d\xi \geq 0, \\
& 2\alpha b(t) \int_0^z \{\phi(y, t) - \phi_0 \zeta\} d\zeta \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From the condition (vii), we have

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{a_0 b_0 c_0 f_0 \phi_0}{C^2} > g'(y), \quad \frac{a_0 b_0 c_0 \phi_0 g_0}{A^2 Dh_0} > f(z),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{\beta \phi_0 b(t) - \alpha h_0 d(t) - \beta^2 a(t) f_1(z)\} \\
& = \beta \{\phi_0 b(t) - \alpha c(t) g_1(y) - \beta a(t) f_1(z)\} + \alpha \{\beta c(t) g_1(y) - h_0 d(t)\} \\
& = \beta \left\{ \phi_0 b(t) - \frac{c(t)}{a_0 f_0} g'(\tilde{y}) - \frac{Dh_0}{c_0 g_0} a(t) f(\tilde{z}) \right\} - \varepsilon \beta \{c(t) g'(\tilde{y}) + a(t) f(\tilde{z})\} \\
& \quad + \alpha \{\beta c(t) g_1(y) - h_0 d(t)\} \\
& \geq \frac{\beta \delta_0}{a_0 c_0 f_0 g_0} - \varepsilon \beta \left\{ \frac{a_0 b_0 c_0 f_0 \phi_0}{C} + \frac{a_0 b_0 c_0 \phi_0 g_0}{ADh_0} \right\} + \alpha \left\{ \left(\frac{h_0 D}{c_0 g_0} + \varepsilon \right) c_0 g_0 - h_0 D \right\} \\
& = \frac{1}{ACa_0 f_0 c_0^2 g_0^2} \{ACDh_0 \delta_0 - \varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0 (Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{\varepsilon}{ACDh_0 a_0 c_0 f_0 g_0} \{ACDh_0 \delta_0 - \varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0(Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)\} + \varepsilon \alpha c_0 g_0.$$

If we take

$$(6.5) \quad \varepsilon < \frac{ACDh_0 \delta_0}{a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0(Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\beta \phi_0 b(t) - \alpha h_0 d(t) - \beta^2 a(t) f_1(z)\} &> \frac{1}{ACa_0 f_0 c_0^2 g_0^2} \{ACDh_0 \delta_0 \\ &- \varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0(ADf_0 h_0 + Cg_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also using (6.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\alpha \phi_0 b(t) - \beta - \alpha^2 c(t) g_1(y)\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Ca_0^2 AC_0 Df_0^2 g_0 h_0} \{ACDh_0 \delta_0 - \varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0(Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)\} \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{a_0 ACc_0 Df_0 g_0 h_0} \{ACDh_0 \delta_0 - \varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0(ADf_0 h_0 + Cg_0)\} + \varepsilon \beta a_0 f_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\alpha \phi_0 b(t) - \beta - \alpha^2 c(t) g_1(y)\} \\ &> \frac{1}{ACa_0^2 f_0^2 c_0 g_0 Dh_0} \{ACDh_0 \delta_0 - \varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0(Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)\} \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} c(t) \int_0^y \{g_1(\eta) - g'(\eta)\} \eta d\eta &\geq -\frac{C\delta}{2} y^2, \\ a(t) \int_0^z \{f(\zeta) - f_1(\zeta)\} \zeta d\zeta &\geq -\frac{C c_0 g_0 \delta}{a_0 f_0 Dh_0} \cdot \frac{z^2}{2}, \\ \left\{ \alpha - \frac{1}{a(t)f(z)} \right\} w^2 &\geq \varepsilon w^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 2V_0 \geq & 2\varepsilon d_0 \int_0^x h(\xi) d\xi \\
 & + \frac{y^2}{2ACa_0 f_0 c_0^2 g_0^2} \{AC(2Dh_0\delta_0 - Ca_0 f_0 c_0^2 g_0^2 \delta) \\
 & - 2\varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0 (Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)\} \\
 & + \frac{z^2}{2ACa_0^2 f_0^2 c_0 g_0 Dh_0} \{AC(2Dh_0\delta_0 - Ca_0 f_0 c_0^2 g_0^2 \delta) \\
 & - 2\varepsilon a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0 (Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)\} + \varepsilon w^2 + k.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take

$$(6.6) \quad \varepsilon < \frac{AC(2Dh_0\delta_0 - Ca_0 f_0 c_0^2 g_0^2 \delta)}{2a_0^2 b_0 c_0^2 f_0 g_0 \phi_0 (Af_0 Dh_0 + Cg_0)},$$

then there exists a positive number D_1 such that

$$(6.7) \quad V_0 \geq D_1 \{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 + k\}.$$

From (6.4) it follows the boundedness of the functions $g_1(y)$ and $f_1(z)$, and we can see easily that there exists a positive number D_2 satisfying

$$(6.8) \quad V_0 \leq D_2 \{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 + k\}.$$

Therefore we have

$$(6.9) \quad D_1(H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2) \leq V_0 \leq D_2(H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 + k).$$

Next along any solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\dot{V}_{0(6.1)} = & -2\varepsilon c(t) g_1(y) y^2 - 2 \left[\frac{h_0 D}{c_0 g_0} c(t) g_1(y) - d(t) h'(x) \right] y^2 \\
 & - 2[\alpha a(t) f(z) - 1] w^2 - 2[\phi_0 b(t) - \alpha c(t) g'(y) - \beta a(t) f_1(z)] z^2 \\
 & - 2b(t) \left[\frac{\phi(y, z)}{z} - \phi_0 \right] \left(z + \frac{\beta}{2} y \right)^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} b(t) \left[\frac{\phi(y, z)}{z} - \phi_0 \right] y^2 \\
 & + 2\alpha b(t) z \int_0^z \phi_y(y, \zeta) d\zeta - 2\alpha d(t) [h_0 - h'(x)] yz
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+2(\beta y+z+\alpha w)p(t,x,y,z,w)+2\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t} \\
 &\leq -2\epsilon c_0 g_0 y^2-2[\alpha a(t)f(z)-1]w^2 \\
 &-2[\phi_0 b(t)-\alpha c(t)g'(y)-\beta a(t)f_1(z)]z^2+\frac{\alpha^2}{2}d(t)[h_0-h'(x)]z^2 \\
 &+\frac{\beta^2}{2}b(t)\left[\frac{\phi(y,z)}{z}-\phi_0\right]y^2+2(\beta y+z+\alpha w)p(t,x,y,z,w)+2\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon_0 < \epsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{AC(2Dh_0\delta_0 - Ca_0f_0c_0^2g_0^2\delta)}{2a_0^2b_0c_0^2f_0g_0\phi_0(Af_0Dh_0 + Cg_0)}, \frac{1}{a_0f_0}, \frac{Dh_0}{c_0g_0}, \right. \\
 \left. \frac{ACDh_0\delta_0}{2a_0^2b_0c_0^2f_0g_0\phi_0(Af_0Dh_0 + Cg_0)} \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

we can find a positive number D_3 such that

$$\dot{V}_{0(6.1)} \leq -2D_3(y^2+z^2+w^2)+(\beta y+z+\alpha w)p(t,x,y,z,w)+\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t}.$$

Let $D_4 = \max(\alpha, \beta, 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}_{0(6.1)} &\leq -2D_3(y^2+z^2+w^2)+\sqrt{3}D_4(y^2+z^2+w^2)^{1/2}|p(t,x,y,z,w)|+\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t} \\
 &\leq -2D_3(y^2+z^2+w^2) \\
 &\quad +\sqrt{3}D_4(y^2+z^2+w^2)^{1/2}[p_1(t)+p_2(t)\{H(x)+y^2+z^2+w^2\}^{\rho/2} \\
 &\quad +\mathcal{A}(y^2+z^2+w^2)^{1/2}]+\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\mathcal{A} \leq \frac{D_3}{\sqrt{3}D_4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.10) \quad \dot{V}_{0(6.1)} &\leq -D_3(y^2+z^2+w^2) \\
 &\quad +\sqrt{3}D_4(y^2+z^2+w^2)^{1/2}[p_1(t)+p_2(t)\{H(x)+y^2+z^2+w^2\}^{\rho/2}] \\
 &\quad +\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the assumptions in Theorem 3 and (6.4) we have a positive number D_5 satisfying

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{\partial V_0}{\partial t} \leq D_5 \{ |a'(t)| + b'_+(t) + |c'(t)| + |d'(t)| \} \cdot V_0.$$

Note that

$$(6.12) \quad (H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2)^{\rho/2} \leq 1 + (H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2)^{1/2}.$$

(6.10), (6.11) and (6.12) show that

$$(6.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{V}_{0(6.1)} &\leq -D_3(y^2 + z^2 + w^2) \\ &\quad + D_5 \{ |a'(t)| + b'_+(t) + |c'(t)| + |d'(t)| \} \cdot V_0 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{3} D_4 \{ p_1(t) + p_2(t) \} (y^2 + z^2 + w^2)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{3} D_4 p_2(t) (H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$(6.14) \quad V(t, x, y, z, w) = e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot V_0(t, x, y, z, w)$$

where

$$\gamma(s) = D_5 (|a'(s)| + b'_+(s) + |c'(s)| + |d'(s)|) + \frac{2\sqrt{3} D_4}{D_1} \{ p_1(s) + p_2(s) \}.$$

Then it is easy to see that there exist two continuous functions $w_1(r)$, $w_2(r)$ satisfying

$$(6.15) \quad w_1(\|X\|) \leq V(t, x, y, z, w) \leq w_2(\|X\|)$$

for all $X \in R^4$ and $t \in I$ where $w_1(r) \in CIP$, $w_1(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $w_2(r) \in CI$.

Along any solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of (6.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_{(6.1)} &= e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot [\dot{V}_{0(6.1)} - \gamma(t) V_0] \\ &\leq e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot [-D_3(y^2 + z^2 + w^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\sqrt{3} D_4\{p_1(t)+p_2(t)\}\{(y^2+z^2+w^2)^{1/2} \\
 & -\sqrt{3} D_4\{p_1(t)+p_2(t)\}\{H(x)+y^2+z^2+w^2+2k\}] \\
 & \leq e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \cdot \left[-D_3(y^2+z^2+w^2) \right. \\
 & \left. -\sqrt{3} D_4\{p_1(t)+p_2(t)\}\left\{\left(\sqrt{y^2+z^2+w^2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2-\frac{1}{4}+2k\right\}\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $k \geq \frac{1}{8}$, we can find a positive number D_6 such that

$$(6.16) \quad \dot{V}_{(6.1)} \leq -D_6(y^2+z^2+w^2).$$

From the inequalities (6.15) and (6.16), we obtain the uniform boundedness of all the solutions $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of (6.1).

In the system (6.1) we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.17) \quad F(t, X) &= \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ -a(t)f(z)w - b(t)\phi(y, z) - c(t)g(y) - d(t)h(x) \end{pmatrix}, \\
 G(t, X) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ p(t, x, y, z, w) \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|G(t, X)\| \leq p_1(t) + p_2(t)\{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2\}^{\rho/2} + \Delta(y^2 + z^2 + w^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let

$$G_1(t, X) = p_1(t) + p_2(t)\{H(x) + y^2 + z^2 + w^2\}^{\rho/2}$$

and

$$G_2(X) = \Delta(y^2 + z^2 + w^2)^{1/2}.$$

It is clear that $F(t, X)$ and $G_1(t, X)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.

Let $W(X) = D_6(y^2 + z^2 + w^2)$, then

$$\dot{V}_{(6.1)}(t, x, y, z, w) \leq -W(X)$$

and $W(X)$ is positive definite with respect to the closed set $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \{(x, y, z, w) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^1, y=0, z=0, w=0\}$.

It follows that on \mathcal{Q}

$$F(t, X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -d(t)h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

By the conditions (i) and (x), we have $d(t) \rightarrow d_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $0 < d_0 \leq d_\infty \leq D$. It is also clear that if we take

$$(6.18) \quad \tilde{H}(X) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -d_\infty h(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

then the conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 2 are satisfied.

Moreover $G_2(X)$ is positive definite with respect to the closed set \mathcal{Q} and the condition (c) of Lemma 2 is satisfied.

The remainder of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] Asmussen, M.A., On the behavior of solutions of certain differential equations of the fourth order, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **89** (1971), 121-143.
- [2] Cartwright, M.L., On the stability of solutions of certain differential equations of the fourth order, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.*, **9** (1956), 185-194.
- [3] Ezeilo, J.O.C., On the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some differential equations of the fourth order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **5** (1962), 136-146.
- [4] ———, Stability results for the solutions of some third and fourth order differ-

- ential equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **66** (1964), 233-249.
- [5] Hara, T., On the stability of solutions of certain third order differential equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **47** (1971), 897-902
- [6] ———, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain third order ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **47** (1971), 903-908.
- [7] ———, A remark on the asymptotic behavior of the solution of $\ddot{x} + f(\dot{x})\ddot{x} + \phi(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) + g(\dot{x}) + h(x) = p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x})$, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **48** (1972), 353-355.
- [8] ———, Remarks on the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of certain non-autonomous differential equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **48** (1972), 549-552.
- [9] ———, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain non-autonomous differential equations, to appear in *Osaka J. Math.*
- [10] Harrow, M., A stability result for solutions of certain fourth order homogeneous differential equations, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **42** (1967), 51-56.
- [11] ———, Further results on the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some differential equations of the fourth order. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **1** (1970), 189-194.
- [12] Lalli, B.S. and Skrapek, W.S., On the boundedness and stability of some differential equations of the fourth order, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **2** (1971), 221-225.
- [13] ———, Some further stability and boundedness results of some differential equations of the fourth order. *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **90** (1971), 167-179.
- [14] Reissig, R., Sansone, G. und Conti, R., Nichtlineare Differentialgleichungen Höherer Ordnung, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, *Monografie Matematiche* No. 16 (1969).
- [15] Sinha, A.S.C., and Hoft, R.G., Stability of a nonautonomous differential equation of fourth order, *SIAM J. Control*, **9** (1971), 8-14.
- [16] Swick, K.E., On the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some non-autonomous differential equations of the third order, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **44** (1969), 347-359.
- [17] ———, Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of certain third order differential equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **19** (1970), 96-102.
- [18] Tejumola, H.O., A note on the boundedness and the stability of solutions of certain third-order differential equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **92** (1972) 65-75.
- [19] Yamamoto, M., On the stability of the solutions of some non-autonomous differential equations of the third order, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **47** (1971), 909-914.
- [20] ———, Remarks on the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of certain third order non-autonomous differential equations, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **47** (1971), 915-920.
- [21] Yoshizawa, T., *Stability Theory by Liapunov's Second Method*, The Mathematical Society of Japan, 1966.
- [22] Zarghamee, M.S., and Mehri, B., On the behavior of solutions of certain third order differential equations, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2), **4** (1971), 271-276.

