

## On Cohomology Theories of Infinite CW-complexes, IV

By

Zen-ichi YOSIMURA\*

Let  $E$  and  $X$  be  $CW$ -spectra and  $\{X^\wedge\}$  the set of all finite subspectra of  $X$ . The cohomology group  $E^*(X)$  is topologized by taking the subgroups  $F^\wedge E^*(X) = \text{Ker} \{E^*(X) \rightarrow E^*(X^\wedge)\}$  as neighborhoods of zero in  $E^*(X)$ . In general  $E^*(X)$  is not Hausdorff. In the previous papers [II] and [III] with the same title we studied conditions on  $CW$ -spectra  $E$  and  $X$  under which  $E^*(X)$  is Hausdorff. We are going to continue the investigation.

There arises a natural question whether  $E^*(X_\wedge Y)$  is Hausdorff when  $E^*(X)$  and  $E^*(Y)$  are Hausdorff. In the present paper we treat of this question and give the following answer for well-known cohomology theories  $E^*$  = (reduced) ordinary cohomology  $H^*$ , complex  $K$ -cohomology  $K^*$  and complex cobordism  $MU^*$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $E^*$  denote  $H^*$ ,  $K^*$  or  $MU^*$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $CW$ -spectra. Assume that  $Y$  has finite skeletons. If both  $E^*(X)$  and  $E^*(Y)$  are Hausdorff, then  $E^*(X_\wedge Y)$  is so, too.*

First we attack our question in a few special cases when  $E^*(X)$  is Hausdorff. If  $H_*(X) \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module, then we get a desirable answer under certain restrictions on  $\pi_*(E)$  where  $R$  is a subring of the rational numbers  $Q$  (Theorem 1). Applying it to  $E^* = MU^*$  we perform the proof of Theorem in the case  $E^* = MU^*$  (Theorem 3).

Next we restrict ourselves to the cohomology theory  $E^* = H^*$  or  $K^*$ . The universal coefficient theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition on  $E_*(X)$  under which  $E^*(X)$  is Hausdorff (Theorem 4). With the

---

Communicated by N. Shimada, September 12, 1973.

\* Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Osaka.

aid of this new criterion we discuss conditions that  $ER^*(X \wedge Y)$  is Hausdorff (Theorem 5). As a corollary we obtain Theorem in the cases  $E^*=H^*$  and  $K^*$ .

We adopt all notations and notions used in [III].

## 1. Ring-spectrum $E$ with Coherent Ring $\pi_*(E)$

**1.1.** As typical examples of  $E^*(X)$  which are Hausdorff we have the following:

- I)  $X$  is a finite CW-spectrum,
- II)  $\pi_n(E)$  is a finite abelian group for each degree  $n$ , or  $\pi_*(E)$  is a  $Q$ -module [III, Propositions 3, 4],
- III)  $\pi_n(E)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module for each degree  $n$  and  $\pi_*(X) \otimes Q = 0$  [III, Theorem 2],
- IV)  $\pi_n(E)$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -module for each degree  $n$  and  $X$  is a connective CW-spectrum such that  $H_*(X) \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module [III, Theorem 5],

where  $R$  is a subring of the rational numbers  $Q$ .

First of all, we are going to make an attack on our question in the above special cases I)–IV). In the case II) we have nothing to do because  $E^*(X)$  is always Hausdorff. On the other hand, it is evident that  $\pi_*(X \wedge Y) \otimes Q = 0$  whenever  $\pi_*(X) \otimes Q = 0$ . So we see

(1.1)  $E^*(X \wedge Y)$  is Hausdorff for any CW-spectrum  $Y$  in the case III).

**1.2.** Chase [24] proved that over a coherent ring  $A$  direct products of flat  $A$ -modules are flat. Using this we show

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A$  be a coherent ring and  $\{A_n\}$  be an inverse sequence of flat  $A$ -modules with  $\varprojlim_n^1 A_n = 0$ . Then  $\varprojlim_n A_n$  is a flat  $A$ -module.*

*Proof.* There is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim A_n \longrightarrow \prod A_n \longrightarrow \prod A_n \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $A$ -modules as  $\varprojlim^1 A_n = 0$ .  $\prod A_n$  is flat by the result of Chase [24], so we see immediately that  $\varprojlim A_n$  is flat.

*Remark.* If a coherent ring  $A$  satisfies the property that every finitely presented  $A$ -module has finite projective dimension as a  $A$ -module (for example  $A = \pi_*(MU)$ ), we can slightly generalize Lemma 1. Thus  $\varprojlim A_\alpha$  is a flat  $A$ -module for an inverse system  $\{A_\alpha\}$  of flat  $A$ -modules with  $\varprojlim^p A_\alpha = 0$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

Let  $E$  be a ring-spectrum with  $\pi_*(E)$  a coherent ring and  $X$  a  $CW$ -spectrum. If  $G$  is a  $Q$ -module, then there is an isomorphism

$$(EG)^*(X) \cong \prod_p H^p(X; \pi_*(E) \otimes G) \cong \prod_p \text{Hom}(H_p(X), \pi_*(E) \otimes G)$$

by Dold's theorem [19] (or see [III, (1.3)]).  $\text{Hom}(H_p(X), \pi_*(E) \otimes G)$  is a direct product of copies of  $\pi_*(E) \otimes G$ , and hence it is a flat  $\pi_*(E)$ -module. Thus we get

(1.2)  $(EG)^*(X)$  is a flat  $\pi_*(E)$ -module.

(1.2) implies that  $(EG)^*(X) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(\ )$  forms a cohomology theory on the category of finite  $CW$ -spectra. And the multiplication

$$(1.3) \quad (EG)^*(X) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(Y) \longrightarrow (EG)^*(X \wedge Y)$$

is an isomorphism for any finite  $CW$ -spectrum  $Y$ .

For the case I) we obtain the following answer under certain restrictions on  $\pi_*(E)$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $R$  be a subring of  $Q$  and  $E$  be a ring-spectrum such that  $\pi_*(E)$  is a coherent ring and it is of finite type as an  $R$ -module. If  $E^*(X)$  is Hausdorff, then so is  $E^*(X \wedge Y)$  for any finite  $CW$ -spectrum  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E\hat{Z})^*(X) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(Y) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1 \otimes 1} & (E\hat{Z}/Z)^*(X) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(Y) \\ \downarrow \bar{\mu} & & \downarrow \bar{\mu} \\ (E\hat{Z})^*(X \wedge Y) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} & (E\hat{Z}/Z)^*(X \wedge Y). \end{array}$$

The top horizontal map  $\kappa_1 \otimes 1$  is an epimorphism by means of [III, Theorem 1], and the right multiplication  $\bar{\mu}$  is an isomorphism because of

(1.3). So we find that the bottom map  $\kappa_2$  is an epimorphism. Thus  $E^*(X \wedge Y)$  is Hausdorff.

**1.3.** Let  $R$  be a subring of  $Q$  and  $E$  be a ring-spectrum such that the  $R$ -module  $\pi_*(E)$  is a coherent ring. Further let  $Y$  be a finite  $CW$ -spectrum and  $W$  be a connective  $CW$ -spectrum such that  $H_*(W) \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module. First recall [23, Proposition 17] (or see [28]) that

(1.4)  $E^*(Y)$  is a finitely presented  $\pi_*(E)$ -module for any finite  $CW$ -spectrum  $Y$ .

$H_n(W) \otimes R$  is a direct sum of copies of  $R$ , i.e.  $H_n(W) \otimes R \cong \sum R_\alpha$ . Using the universal coefficient theorem and (1.4) an easy calculation shows

$$(1.5) \quad E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} H^n(W; \pi_*(E)) \cong E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} \text{Hom}_R(H_n(W) \otimes R, \pi_*(E)) \\ \cong E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} \prod_{\alpha} \text{Hom}_R(R_\alpha, \pi_*(E)) \cong \prod_{\alpha} E^*(Y)_\alpha.$$

Thus  $E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} H^n(W; \pi_*(E))$  is a direct product of copies of  $E^*(Y)$ . So we obtain that  $H^n(W; \pi_*(E))$  is a flat  $\pi_*(E)$ -module.

Here we need to add another assumption on  $\pi_*(E)$  that it is flat as an  $R$ -module. Let  $\{F^n E^*(W)\}$  be the usual decreasing filtration of  $E^*(W)$  defined by skeletons. Since the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for  $E^*(W)$  collapses [III, (3.3)], there is an exact sequence

$$(1.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^n(W; \pi_*(E)) \rightarrow E^*(W)/F^{n+1}E^*(W) \rightarrow E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W) \rightarrow 0$$

for each degree  $n$ . Moreover we have

$$(1.7) \quad E^*(W) \cong \varinjlim_n E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \varinjlim_n^p E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W) = 0$$

for all  $p \geq 1$ . By induction on  $n$  and use of Lemma 1 we get

$$(1.8) \quad E^*(W) \text{ and } E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W) \text{ are flat } \pi^*(E)\text{-modules,}$$

because  $H^n(W; \pi_*(E))$  is flat. In virtue of (1.8) the multiplication

$$(1.9) \quad E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W) \longrightarrow E^*(Y \wedge W)$$

becomes an isomorphism for any finite  $CW$ -spectrum  $Y$ .

$E^*(Y)$  has a projective resolution of finitely generated  $\pi_*(E)$ -modules by means of (1.4). Then, by [8, Theorem 2] we have two spectral sequences  $\{E_r\}$ ,  $\{\bar{E}_r\}$  associated with the same graded  $\pi_*(E)$ -module such that

$$E_2^{p,q} = \varinjlim_n^p \text{Tor}_{-q}^{\pi_*(E)}(E^*(Y), E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W))$$

and

$$\bar{E}_2^{p,q} = \text{Tor}_{-p}^{\pi_*(E)}(E^*(Y), \varinjlim_n^q E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W)).$$

By use of (1.7) and (1.8) we compute

$$E_2^{p,q} = 0 \quad \text{unless } q=0, \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{E}_2^{p,q} = 0 \quad \text{unless } (p, q) = (0, 0).$$

This implies

$$(1.10) \quad E^*(Y \wedge W) \cong E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W) \cong \varinjlim_n E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W),$$

$$\text{and} \quad \varinjlim_n^p E^*(Y) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W) = 0$$

for all  $p \geq 1$ .

Using the above results we prove the following satisfactory result for the case IV).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $E$  be a ring-spectrum with a coherent ring  $\pi_*(E)$  which is free and of finite type as an  $R$ -module, and  $W$  be a connective  $CW$ -spectrum such that  $H_*(W) \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module where  $R$  is a subring of  $Q$ . If  $E^*(X)$  is Hausdorff, then  $E^*(X \wedge W)$  is so, too.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{X^\lambda\}$  be the set of all finite subspectra of  $X$ . By [III, Theorem 1] we notice that  $\varinjlim^p E^*(X^\lambda) = 0$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

Consider the two spectral sequences  $\{^l E_r\}$  and  $\{^n E_r\}$  associated with  $\varinjlim_{\lambda, n}^* E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W)$  such that

$$^l E_2^{p,q} = \varinjlim_{\lambda}^p \varinjlim_n^q E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W)$$

and

$${}''E_2^{p,q} = \varprojlim_n^p \varprojlim_\lambda^q E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W)$$

(see [8] or [I]). The exact sequence (1.6) yields exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} H^n(W; \pi_*(E)) &\longrightarrow E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^{n+1}E^*(W) \\ &\longrightarrow E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the aid of (1.8). Using (1.5) we compute

$$\varprojlim_\lambda^k E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} H^n(W; \pi_*(E)) \cong \varprojlim_\lambda^k \prod_\alpha E^*(X^\lambda)_\alpha \cong \prod_\alpha \varprojlim_\lambda^k E^*(X^\lambda)_\alpha = 0,$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ . Applying inverse limit functors  $\varprojlim^k$  on the above exact sequences and using induction on  $n$  we have that

$$\varprojlim_\lambda E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^{n+1}E^*(W) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_\lambda E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W)$$

is an epimorphism, and

$$\varprojlim_\lambda^k E^*(X^\lambda) \otimes_{\pi_*(E)} E^*(W)/F^n E^*(W) = 0$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ . We use the previous result (1.10) and the above ones to calculate the  $'E_2$ - and  $''E_2$ -terms. Thus we see

$$\begin{aligned} {}'E_2^{p,q} &= 0 \quad \text{unless } q=0, \text{ and} \\ {}''E_2^{p,q} &= 0 \quad \text{unless } (p,q)=(0,0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\varprojlim_\lambda^p E^*(X^\lambda \wedge W) \cong {}'E_2^{p,0} = 0$$

for all  $p \geq 1$ .

So it follows from [III, Proposition 2] that

$$*) \quad E^*(X \wedge W) \cong \varprojlim_\lambda E^*(X^\lambda \wedge W).$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2 we see

$$**) \quad E^*(X^\lambda \wedge W) \cong \varprojlim_\mu E^*(X^\lambda \wedge W^\mu)$$

where  $\{W^\mu\}$  is the set of all finite subspectra of  $W$ . Putting  $*$ ) and  $**$ ) together we conclude that  $E^*(X \wedge W)$  is Hausdorff.

**1.4.** Let  $E$  be a  $CW$ -spectrum such that  $\pi_*(E)$  is free and of finite type as an  $R$ -module and  $Y$  be a  $CW$ -spectrum with finite skeletons such that  $E^*(Y)$  is Hausdorff. Further we assume that  $E^*(Y)$  satisfies *Condition R* described in [III], i.e., for each  $\alpha \in E^*(Y)$  there exists a connective  $CW$ -spectrum  $W_\alpha$  with  $H_*(W_\alpha) \otimes R$  a free  $R$ -module and a map  $f_\alpha: Y \rightarrow W_\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \in \text{Im}\{f_\alpha^*: E^*(W_\alpha) \rightarrow E^*(Y)\}$ . Then we have a connective  $CW$ -spectrum  $W$  with  $H_*(W) \otimes R$  a free  $R$ -module and a map  $f: Y \rightarrow W$  which induces a monomorphism  $f_*: \pi_*(Y) \otimes Q \rightarrow \pi_*(W) \otimes Q$  [III, Theorem 7].

Consider the following commutative square

$$\begin{CD} \pi_*(X) \otimes \pi_*(Y) \otimes Q @>\mu_1>> \pi_*(X \wedge Y) \otimes Q \\ @V1 \otimes f_*VV @VV(1 \wedge f)_*V \\ \pi_*(X) \otimes \pi_*(W) \otimes Q @>\mu_2>> \pi_*(X \wedge W) \otimes Q. \end{CD}$$

The multiplications  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are isomorphisms. So it follows from the injectivity of  $1 \otimes f_*$  that the right vertical map  $(1 \wedge f)_*: \pi_*(X \wedge Y) \otimes Q \rightarrow \pi_*(X \wedge W) \otimes Q$  is a monomorphism.

Therefore, Theorem 1 combined with [III, Theorem 2] shows

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a subring of  $Q$  and  $E$  be a ring-spectrum with a coherent ring  $\pi_*(E)$  which is free and of finite type as an  $R$ -module. Assume that  $Y$  is a  $CW$ -spectrum with finite skeletons and that  $E^*(Y)$  satisfies Condition R. If both  $E^*(X)$  and  $E^*(Y)$  are Hausdorff, then this is also true for  $E^*(X \wedge Y)$ .*

Let  $MU$  denote the unitary Thom spectrum. As is well known,  $\pi_*(MU) \otimes R$  is a coherent ring (see [28]). Further  $(MUR)^*(X)$  satisfies Condition R for an arbitrary  $CW$ -spectrum  $X$  [III, (4.4)]. As a corollary of Theorem 2 we obtain

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be a subring of  $Q$  and  $Y$  a  $CW$ -spectrum with finite skeletons. If  $(MUR)^*(X)$  and  $(MUR)^*(Y)$  are Hausdorff, then*

$(MUR)^*(X \wedge Y)$  is so, too. (Cf., [26]).

## 2. Cohomology Theories $H^*$ , $K^*$ and $W$ -groups

**2.1.** For an abelian group  $A$  we denote by  $TA$  the torsion subgroup of  $A$ , i.e.,  $TA \cong \text{Tor}(A, Q/Z)$ . We shall require the following elementary lemmas on abelian groups.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism such that the tensored homomorphisms with  $Q$  and  $Q/Z$  are isomorphisms. Then  $f$  induces an isomorphism  $A/TA \rightarrow B/TB$ .*

*Proof.* Applying "five lemma" in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A/TA & \longrightarrow & A \otimes Q & \longrightarrow & A \otimes Q/Z \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \otimes 1 & & \downarrow f \otimes 1 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B/TB & \longrightarrow & B \otimes Q & \longrightarrow & B \otimes Q/Z \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

with exact rows, we get that  $f': A/TA \rightarrow B/TB$  is an isomorphism.

**Lemma 4.**  $A \otimes B/T(A \otimes B) \cong A/TA \otimes B/TB$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(A \otimes B) & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B \otimes Q \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & A/TA \otimes B/TB & \longrightarrow & A/TA \otimes B/TB \otimes Q \end{array}$$

with an exact row. The left vertical map is an epimorphism, and the right one is an isomorphism. And the bottom horizontal map is a monomorphism. A diagram chasing argument shows that

$$0 \longrightarrow T(A \otimes B) \longrightarrow A \otimes B \longrightarrow A/TA \otimes B/TB \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Let  $R$  be a subring of  $Q$ . An abelian group  $A$  is called a  $W^R$ -group if it satisfies  $\text{Ext}(A, R) = 0$ . By definition,

(2.1) *an arbitrary direct sum of  $W^R$ -groups is a  $W^R$ -group, and every*



subgroup of a  $W^R$ -group is so, too.

Particularly the torsion subgroup  $TA$  is a  $W^R$ -group if  $A$  is so. Since a similar discussion to [II, (5.4)] shows

$$\text{Ext}(TA, R) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad TA \otimes R = 0,$$

(2.2)  $A \otimes R$  is a flat  $R$ -module (i.e., it is torsion free as an abelian group) whenever  $A$  is a  $W^R$ -group.

By definition it is evident

(2.3)  $A$  is a  $W^R$ -group if  $A \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module.

Stein and later on Rotman proved that the converse of (2.3) is valid under the countability restriction on  $A$  in the case  $R = Z$  (see [25]). We give a new proof based on Gray's result [12] in the general case  $R$ .

**Proposition 5.** *If  $A$  is a countable  $W^R$ -group, then  $A \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* We may write  $A$  as a union of a countable sequence of finitely generated subgroups:

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_n \subset \dots, \quad A = \bigcup_n A_n.$$

Note that  $A_n \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module for each  $n$ . With the aid of [II, (1.4)] we obtain an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_n^1 \text{Hom}(A_n, M) \cong \text{Ext}(A, M)$$

for any  $R$ -module  $M$  because  $\text{Ext}(A_n, M) \cong \text{Ext}_k^1(A_n \otimes R, M) = 0$ . The assumption that  $\text{Ext}(A, R) = 0$  means  $\varprojlim_n^1 \text{Hom}(A_n, R) = 0$ . According to Gray [12] this is equivalent to the property that the inverse system  $\{\text{Hom}(A_n, R)\}$  satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition ( $ML$ ). Since  $\text{Hom}(A_n, R) \otimes M \cong \text{Hom}(A_n, M)$ , the inverse system  $\{\text{Hom}(A_n, M)\}$  satisfies ( $ML$ ) for any  $R$ -module  $M$ . As is well known, this implies that  $\varprojlim_n^1 \text{Hom}(A_n, M) = 0$ . Therefore  $\text{Ext}(A, M) = 0$  for any  $R$ -module  $M$ . Thus  $A \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module.

Next we discuss a certain relation between tensor product  $\otimes$  and

$W^R$ -groups.

**Lemma 6.** i) Assume that  $A \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module (hence  $A$  is a  $W^R$ -group). If  $B$  is a  $W^R$ -group, then the tensor product  $A \otimes B$  is so.

ii) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-zero and torsion free. If the tensor product  $A \otimes B$  is a  $W^R$ -group, then both  $A$  and  $B$  are so.

*Proof.* i) By [II, (1.9)] there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(A, \text{Hom}(B, R)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(A \otimes B, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \text{Ext}(B, R)) \rightarrow 0$$

because  $\text{Hom}(\text{Tor}(A, B), R) = 0$ . From hypotheses on  $A$  and  $B$   $\text{Ext}(A, \text{Hom}(B, R)) = \text{Hom}(A, \text{Ext}(B, R)) = 0$ . So we see that  $A \otimes B$  is a  $W^R$ -group.

ii) Let  $A_\lambda$  be a non-zero finitely generated subgroup of  $A$ . Consider the following exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(A, \text{Ext}(B, R)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_\lambda, \text{Ext}(B, R)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(A/A_\lambda, \text{Ext}(B, R)).$$

Using the previous exact sequence again,  $\text{Ext}(A \otimes B, R) = 0$  implies that  $\text{Hom}(A, \text{Ext}(B, R)) = 0$ . On the other hand, by use of [II, (1.9)] we compute that  $\text{Ext}(A/A_\lambda, \text{Ext}(B, R)) \cong \text{Ext}(\text{Tor}(A/A_\lambda, B), R) = 0$ . Consequently  $\text{Hom}(A_\lambda, \text{Ext}(B, R)) = 0$ . Since  $A_\lambda$  is non-zero and free we get immediately

$$\text{Ext}(B, R) = 0.$$

Similarly we have  $\text{Ext}(A, R) = 0$ .

**2.2.** Now we restrict our interest to the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum  $H$  and the BU-spectrum  $K$ . Let us denote by  $E$  either  $H$  or  $K$ . The cohomology theory  $E^*$  has a relation with the homology theory  $E_*$  by the following universal coefficient sequence (see [16]): *There is a natural short exact sequence*

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(E_{n-1}(X), G) \rightarrow (EG)^n(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_n(X), G) \rightarrow 0$$

for any coefficient group  $G$ .

Using the universal coefficient sequence (2.4) we give a condition on

$E_*(X)$  under which  $(ER)^*(X)$  is Hausdorff in the cases  $E=H, K$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $E$  denote either  $H$  or  $K$ . Let  $R$  be a subring of  $Q$  and  $X$  a CW-spectrum.  $(ER)^{n+1}(X)$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $E_n(X)/T(E_n(X))$  is a  $W^R$ -group.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $R$  is a proper subring of  $Q$ . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(E_n(X) \otimes Q, R) & \rightarrow & ER^n(X; \hat{Z}/Z) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(E_{n+1}(X) \otimes Q, R) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(E_n(X), R) & \rightarrow & ER^{n+1}(X) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(E_{n+1}(X), R) & \rightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

in which  $ER^*( ; \hat{Z}/Z)$  is defined by  $ER^n(X; \hat{Z}/Z) = ER^{n+2}(X \wedge S_l)$  using the co-Moore space  $S_l$  of type  $(\hat{Z}/Z, 2)$  constructed in [II]. Two rows are exact by the universal coefficient sequence (2.4). Moreover,  $\text{Hom}(E_{n+1}(X) \otimes Q, R) = 0$ . The left vertical map admits a factorization

$$\text{Ext}(E_n(X) \otimes Q, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(E_n(X)/TE_n(X), R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(E_n(X), R)$$

such that the former is an epimorphism and the latter is a monomorphism. According to [III, (2.5)] (rather than [III, Theorem 1]),  $ER^{n+1}(X)$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\delta: ER^n(X; \hat{Z}/Z) \rightarrow ER^{n+1}(X)$  is trivial. So we see easily that  $ER^{n+1}(X)$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\text{Ext}(E_n(X)/TE_n(X), R) = 0$ , i.e.,  $E_n(X)/TE_n(X)$  is a  $W^R$ -group.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 4, we get

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $E$  denote either  $H$  or  $K$ . If  $E_n(X)/T(E_n(X)) \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module, then  $(ER)^{n+1}(X)$  is Hausdorff.*

**2.3.** Let  $M_q$  be the mapping cone of the map  $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  of degree  $q$  as in [1]. Assume that the Hopf map  $\eta: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  induces  $\eta_* = 0: E_*(S^3) \rightarrow E_*(S^2)$ . The cofibration sequence  $S^1 \rightarrow M_q \rightarrow S^2$  yields an exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_n(X) \otimes Z_q \xrightarrow{\rho} E_{n+1}(X \wedge M_q) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Tor}(E_{n-1}(X), Z_q) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The dual argument to [1, Proposition 2.2] shows

$$(2.6) \quad E_*(X \wedge M_q) \text{ is a } Z_q\text{-module for any } q > 1.$$

Let  $d$  denote the greatest common measure of  $q$  and  $r$ . By virtue of (2.6) we have the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_n(X) \otimes Z_q \otimes Z_r & \xrightarrow{\rho \otimes 1} & E_{n+1}(X \wedge M_q) \otimes Z_r \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\overline{q|d})_* \\
 E_n(X) \otimes Z_d & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_{n+1}(X \wedge M_d).
 \end{array}$$

Obviously the left canonical map is an isomorphism. So we find by the aid of (2.5) that the top horizontal map  $\rho \otimes 1$  is a monomorphism. Thus the above sequence (2.5) is a pure exact sequence. Since  $E_n(X) \otimes Z_q$  is bounded, it follows from [25, Theorem 14] that

(2.7) *the above exact sequence (2.5) is Z-split.* (Cf., [1]).

The complex homology  $K$ -theory  $K_*$  has Bott's isomorphism

$$(2.8) \quad \beta: K_n(X) \longrightarrow K_{n+2}(X).$$

So we can define the  $Z_2$ -graded homology  $K$ -theory  $K_\#$  by putting

$$K_\#(X) = K_0(X) \oplus K_1(X)$$

and identifying  $K_{2n}(X)$  with  $K_0(X)$  and  $K_{2n+1}(X)$  with  $K_1(X)$  via Bott's isomorphism  $\beta$ .

Here we again restrict ourselves to  $E=H$  or  $K$ . Denote by  $E_\#$  either  $H_\#$  or  $K_\#$ . Recall that the Künneth formula holds in our case  $E_\# = H_\#$ ,  $K_\#$  (see [16, 23]): *There is a natural short exact sequence*

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_\#(X) \otimes E_\#(Y) \xrightarrow{\mu} E_\#(X \wedge Y) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(E_\#(X), E_\#(Y)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As is easily seen,

$$(2.10) \quad \mu \otimes 1: E_\#(X) \otimes E_\#(Y) \otimes Q \longrightarrow E_\#(X \wedge Y) \otimes Q$$

is an isomorphism.

Next, consider the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_\#(X) \otimes E_\#(Y) \otimes Z_r & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & E_\#(X \wedge Y) \otimes Z_r \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\
 E_\#(X) \otimes E_{\#+1}(Y \wedge M_r) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & E_{\#+1}(X \wedge Y \wedge M_r).
 \end{array}$$

The left vertical map  $1 \otimes \rho$  is a monomorphism by virtue of (2.7) and so is the bottom multiplication  $\mu$  because of (2.9). Hence the top horizontal map  $\mu \otimes 1$  is a monomorphism. Thus

(2.11) *the above Künneth sequence (2.9) is a pure exact sequence. (Cf., [27]).*

This implies that the multiplication  $\mu$  induces a monomorphism (and an isomorphism by means of (2.10))

$$(2.12) \quad \mu \otimes 1: E_{\#}(X) \otimes E_{\#}(Y) \otimes Q/Z \longrightarrow E_{\#}(X_{\wedge} Y) \otimes Q/Z.$$

We use Lemmas 3 and 4 to obtain

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $E_{\#}$  denote either  $H_{*}$  or  $K_{\#}$ . Then the multiplication  $\mu$  induces an isomorphism*

$$E_{\#}(X)/TE_{\#}(X) \otimes E_{\#}(Y)/TE_{\#}(Y) \cong E_{\#}(X_{\wedge} Y)/TE_{\#}(X_{\wedge} Y).$$

Using a new criterion Theorem 4 for Hausdorff-ness of  $H^{*}(X)$  and  $K^{*}(X)$ , and Lemmas 6 and 8 we obtain

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $E$  denote either  $H$  or  $K$ . Let  $R$  be a subring of  $Q$  and  $X, Y$  CW-spectra.*

i) *Assume that  $E_{*}(Y)/T(E_{*}(Y)) \otimes R$  is a free  $R$ -module. If  $(ER)^{*}(X)$  is Hausdorff, then  $(ER)^{*}(X_{\wedge} Y)$  is so, too.*

ii) *If  $(ER)^{*}(X_{\wedge} Y)$  is Hausdorff, then either  $\pi_{*}(X)$  or  $\pi_{*}(Y)$  is a torsion group, or both  $(ER)^{*}(X)$  and  $(ER)^{*}(Y)$  are Hausdorff.*

$E_{*}(Y)$  becomes a countable abelian group when  $Y$  has finite skeletons. By the aid of Proposition 5 we have a corollary of Theorem 5 i).

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $E$  denote either  $H$  or  $K$  and  $Y$  be a CW-spectrum with finite skeletons. If  $(ER)^{*}(X)$  and  $(ER)^{*}(Y)$  are Hausdorff, then this is also true for  $(ER)^{*}(X_{\wedge} Y)$ .*

### References

- [1]-[8], [9]-[15] and [16]-[22] are listed at the end of papers [I], [II] and [III].  
 [23] Adams, J.F., Lectures on generalized homology theories, *Lecture notes in Math.*

- 99**, Springer (1969), 1–138.
- [24] Chase, S. U., Direct products of modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1960), 457–473.
- [25] Griffith, P. A., *Infinite abelian group theory*, Chicago Lecture in Math., Univ. of Chicago Press.
- [26] Landweber, P. S., Coherence, flatness and cobordism of classifying spaces, *Proc. Adv. Study Inst. Alg. Top. Aarhus* (1970), 256–269.
- [27] Mislin, G., The splitting of the Künneth sequence for generalized cohomology, *Math. Z.* **122** (1971), 237–245.
- [28] Smith, L., On the finite generation of  $\Omega_*^U(X)$ , *J. Math. Mech.* **18** (1969), 1017–1023.
- [I], [II] and [III] Yosimura, Z., On cohomology theories of infinite CW-complexes I, II and III, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **8** (1972/73), 295–310, 483–508 and **9** (1974), 683–706.