

# An Aspect of Quasi-Invariant Measures on $\mathbf{R}^\infty$

By

Hiroaki SHIMOMURA\*

## Introduction

The study of translationally quasi-invariant measures  $\mu$  on an infinite-dimensional vector space is essentially different from the same study on a finite-dimensional vector space. In the finite-dimensional case, we can characterize  $\mu$  as the Lebesgue measure modulo equivalence of absolute continuity. However, in the infinite-dimensional case the situation is more complicated and difficult, owing to the fact that there exist many extremal measures. For example, in a rigged Hilbert space  $E \subset H \subset E^*$ , we can construct various kinds of ergodic quasi-invariant measures which are singular with respect to each other. See [6]. So we want to study an aspect of quasi-invariant measures. As a special but essential case we shall here discuss the translationally quasi-invariant measures on  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$  which are of the type of countably infinite products of one-dimensional probability measures. The main result is a characterization of  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant measures in terms of its second moment. The author thanks Prof. H. Yoshizawa for the many valuable comments and thanks Prof. Y. Yamasaki for his useful suggestions.

## § 1. Preliminary Discussions

Throughout this paper, we shall only consider probability measures which are defined on the usual Borel  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ . First we shall prepare some basic concepts and theorems for our later discussions. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$  and  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots) \in \mathbf{R}^\infty$ . We define a transformed measure  $\mu_t$  such that,

---

Communicated by K. Itô, May 23, 1975.

\* Department of Mathematics, Fukui University, Fukui.

$$\mu_t(A) = \mu(A - t), \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty).$$

**Definition 1.1.** A measure  $\mu$  is called *t-quasi-invariant*, if and only if  $\mu_t \cong \mu$  holds.

Here the symbol  $\cong$  means the equivalence relation of the absolute continuity. We put  $T_\mu = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^\infty | \mu_t \cong \mu\}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\Phi$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . A measure  $\mu$  is called  *$\Phi$ -quasi-invariant* (strictly- $\Phi$ -quasi-invariant), if and only if  $\Phi \subset T_\mu$  ( $\Phi = T_\mu$ ) holds, respectively.

From now on, the measure  $\mu$  is always assumed to be the product-measure of one-dimensional probability measures. More exactly,

$$d\mu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty f_j(x_j) dx_j, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty, \text{ where } f_j(u) > 0, \text{ for Lebesgue-a. e. } u \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_j(u) du = 1. \dots (II).$$

Clearly,  $T_\mu \supset \mathbb{R}_0^\infty$  holds, where  $\mathbb{R}_0^\infty = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty | x_n = 0 \text{ except finite numbers of } n.\}$ .  $T_\mu$  forms an additive group, but does not necessarily form a vector space. We shall give a counter example for it in the last part of this section.

Now let  $f_n(u)$  be as in (II). Then  $\sqrt{f_n} \in L^2_{du}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ , which is the class of all square summable functions with respect to the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure  $du$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Fourier transformation on  $L^2_{du}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(f)(v) = \int \exp(2\pi i v u) f(u) du$ , and we put  $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f_n}) = g_n$ , for all  $n$ . First we shall state a simple criterion for equivalence of measures.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and  $d\mu_1(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty f_j^1(x_j) dx_j$  be an another measure also as in (II). Then in order that  $\mu_1 \cong \mu$  holds, it is necessary and sufficient that,

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\{ 1 - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \sqrt{f_j(u)} \sqrt{f_j^1(u)} du \right\} < \infty.$$

*Epecially, if  $\mu_1 = \mu_t$  for some  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , the above inequality becomes*

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\{ 1 - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \sqrt{f_j(u)} \sqrt{f_j(u - t_j)} du \right\} < \infty, \text{ or equivalently,}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\{ 1 - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp(2\pi i t_j v) |g_j(v)|^2 dv \right\} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The assertion of the theorem is the special case in the

general equivalence criterion in [10]. It is a typical application of the martingale convergence theorem. We omit it.

If  $\mu$  is a measure as in (II) and for all  $n, f_n$  is identical with the same function  $f$ , we say that  $\mu$  is a stationary measure with  $f$ . Then,

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a stationary measure with  $f$ . Then we conclude that  $T_\mu \subset l^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t=(t_1, t_2, \dots) \in T_\mu$ , and we put  $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f})=g$ . Then by the above theorem, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\{ 1 - \int \exp(2\pi i t_j v) |g(v)|^2 dv \right\} < \infty.$$

First we shall show that  $t_j \rightarrow 0$ , as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . For it, we put

$$H(s) = \int (1 - \exp(2\pi i s v)) |g(v)|^2 dv, \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

Then  $H(0)=0, \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} H(s)=1$  due to the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, and  $0 < H(s) < 1$ , for  $0 < \forall |s| < \infty$ . It follows that, for an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\inf_{|s| \geq \epsilon} H(s) > 0$ . Suppose  $\{t_j\}$  does not converge to 0. Then there exist some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and subsequence  $\{t_{j_n}\}$  such that,  $|t_{j_n}| > \epsilon_0$ , for all  $n$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int (1 - \exp(2\pi i t_{j_n} v)) |g(v)|^2 dv = \sum_{n=1}^\infty H(t_{j_n}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \inf_{|s| \geq \epsilon_0} H(s) = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We reach to a contradiction.

As  $1 - \cos(x) = O(x^2)$  at  $x=0$ , and

$$\infty > \sum_{j=1}^\infty H(t_j) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int (1 - \cos 2\pi t_j v) |g(v)|^2 dv >$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{-K}^K (1 - \cos 2\pi t_j v) |g(v)|^2 dv, \text{ for all } K > 0, \text{ so } \sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j^2 \int_{-K}^K v^2 |g(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

If we take  $K$  so large that,  $\int_{-K}^K v^2 |g(v)|^2 dv > 0$ , it follows that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j^2 < \infty$ . Q. E. D.

Now we give an example for the fact that  $T_\mu$  is not necessarily a vector

space.

**Example 1.1.** We put  $f_c(u) = 1/4A_c (1 + \cos 2\pi u)^2 \exp(-4\pi^2 cu^2)$ , for a positive constant  $c$ , where  $A_c$  is a normalizing constant such that,

$$A_c = 1/16\sqrt{\pi c} \{3 + \exp(-1/c) + 4\exp(-1/4c)\}.$$

Some calculations show that,

$$g_c(v) = \mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f_c})(v) = 1/4\sqrt{2\pi c A_c} \{\exp(-(v-1)^2/2c) + \exp(-(v+1)^2/2c) + 2\exp(-v^2/2c)\}, \text{ and that}$$

$$\int \exp(iyv) |g_c(v)|^2 dv = 1/16 A_c \sqrt{\pi c} \exp(-cy^2/4) \{\cos y + 2 + \exp(-1/c) + 4\exp(-1/4c)\cos(y/2)\}.$$

Now we define a measure  $\mu$  such that,

$$d\mu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} f_{c_j}(x_j) dx_j, \text{ where } \{c_j\} \text{ is taken as } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j < \infty.$$

Then in order that  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in T_\mu$ , it is necessary and sufficient that,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/B_{c_j} \{3 + \exp(-1/c_j) + 4\exp(-1/4c_j) - \exp(-\pi^2 t_j^2 c_j) (\cos(2\pi t_j) + 2 + \exp(-1/c_j) + 4\exp(-1/4c_j)\cos(\pi t_j))\} < \infty, \text{ where we put } B_{c_j} = 16\sqrt{\pi c_j A_{c_j}} \text{ (hence } \lim_j B_{c_j} = 3).$$

As  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j < \infty$  (hence  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp(-1/4c_j) < \infty$ ), so the above inequality is equivalent that,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{3 - \exp(-\pi^2 t_j^2 c_j) (\cos(2\pi t_j) + 2)\} < \infty.$$

If we put  $t_j = 1$  for all  $j$ , then it yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 3\{1 - \exp(-\pi^2 c_j)\} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 3\pi^2 c_j < \infty.$$

On the other hand if we put  $t_j = 1/2$  for all  $j$ , then it yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{3 - \exp(-\pi^2 c_j/4)\} = \infty.$$

Therefore we assured that  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots) \in T_\mu$ , while  $1/2 e \notin T_\mu$ .

## §2. General Aspect of Quasi-Invariant Measures

In this section, we shall mostly consider the  $l^p$ -quasi-invariance

( $0 < p \leq \infty$ ) of measures, where  $l^p = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j|^p < \infty\}$ . It will be turned out that  $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measures actually exist, but strictly- $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measure does not exist except for  $0 < p \leq 2$ . Further from another criterions (compactness, e.t.c.) we shall see that the case  $p=2$  is a worth special interest.

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  as in (II), and put  $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f_n}) = g_n$  for each  $n$ . Then  $\int |g_n(v)|^2 dv = 1$ , due to the Plancherel's theorem, and we can construct a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  such that,

$$d\nu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j(x_j)|^2 dx_j.$$

The measure  $\nu$  is called an adjoint measure of  $\mu$ . Formally  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is related as follows. We use the duality bracket  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j$ , for  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$  and for  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , and  $\frac{d\mu_t}{d\mu}(x)$  means the Radon-Nikodym derivative.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_t}{d\mu}}(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \exp(2\pi i \langle x, t \rangle) d\nu(x).$$

Now let  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , and we set  $H_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j^2 a_j^2 < \infty\}$ . Unless otherwise stated, we fix these symbols. The quasi-invariance of the measure  $\mu$  concerns with the smoothness of each function  $f_n$ , while the support of the measure  $\nu$  concerns with the decreasing order at infinity of each function  $|g_n|^2$ . As Fourier transformation reflects these two properties, we can settle this point in a following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** (Fundamental) *Let  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ . Then in order that  $ct \in T_\mu$  holds for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}^1$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $\nu(H_t) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* First we shall assume that  $ct \in T_\mu$ , for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}^1$ . Then we can define a one-parameter unitary group  $\{U_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}^1}$  on  $L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  such that,

$$U_c : f(x) \in L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{ct}}{d\mu}}(x) f(x - ct),$$

$$\int \sqrt{\frac{d\mu_{ct}}{d\mu}}(x) d\mu(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \exp(2\pi i ct_j x_j) d\nu(x)$$

holds by the martingale convergence theorem and it is the continuous function of  $c$  by the well known theorem for a one-parameter group. As the each term of the above infinite product is positive for any  $c$ , so  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \int \exp(2\pi i c t_j x_j) d\nu(x) \right\}$  is again a continuous function of  $c$ . We integrate it with the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ , then we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \left( 1 - \frac{\sin(2\pi t_j x_j)}{2\pi t_j x_j} \right) d\nu(x) < \infty.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin(2\pi t_j x_j)}{2\pi t_j x_j} \right) < \infty \quad (\text{equivalently, } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j^2 x_j^2 < \infty)$$

holds for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . It shows that  $\nu(H_t) = 1$ . From the above argument, we remark that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j x_j$  converges in law for  $\nu$ , and that the independence of each  $x_j$  derives that it converges almost surely for  $\nu$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\nu(H_t) = 1$ , then

$$0 < \int_{\mathbf{R}^{\infty}} \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j^2 x_j^2 / 2) d\nu(x) = \lim_N \prod_{j=1}^N \int \exp(-t_j^2 v^2 / 2) |g_j(v)|^2 dv$$

and therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \left\{ 1 - \exp(-t_j^2 v^2 / 2) |g_j(v)|^2 dv \right\} < \infty.$$

Let  $n$  be the one-dimensional Gaussian probability measure with mean 0 and variance 1. Then the above inequality yields,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \left\{ 1 - \exp(it_j uv) \right\} |g_j(v)|^2 dv dn(u) < \infty.$$

It follows that,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \left\{ 1 - \exp(it_j uv) \right\} |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty, \quad \text{for } n\text{-a.e. } u.$$

We set

$$A = \left\{ u \in \mathbf{R}^1 \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \left\{ 1 - \exp(it_j uv) \right\} |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty \right\}.$$

Then  $n(A)=1$ , and clearly  $A$  forms an additive group. Suppose  $A \neq \mathbb{R}^1$ , then there exists some  $s$  such that  $(s+A) \cap A = \emptyset$ , and therefore  $n(A \cup (s+A))=2$ . It contradicts the total mass of  $n$ , so  $A = \mathbb{R}^1$ . It follows that  $ct \in T_\mu$  holds for all  $c$ . Q. E. D.

Using the above fundamental lemma, we can actually construct  $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measures as follows. First we put for  $u > 0$ ,

$$K_0(u) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-u \cosh t) dt,$$

which is the modified Bessel function. And for each  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we take and fix a sequence  $\beta = \{\beta_j\} \in l^p$ , whose all components are positive numbers. Further let  $q$  be a conjugate exponent of  $p$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Now we define a measure  $\mu_q$  such that,

$$d\mu_q(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty 4 \beta_j / \pi K_0^2(2\pi\beta_j|x_j|) dx_j, \quad \text{for each } (p, q)$$

$$\text{and } \beta \in l^p.$$

Noting that

$\mathcal{F}(2K_0(2\pi a|u|))(v) = (v^2 + a^2)^{-1/2}$ , for an arbitrary real constant  $a$ , we get for the adjoint measure  $\nu_q$  of  $\mu_q$ ,

$$d\nu_q(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty \beta_j / \pi (x_j^2 + \beta_j^2)^{-1} dx_j.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^q$ , then  $\nu_q(H_a) = 1$ .*

*Proof.*  $\nu_q(H_a) = 1$  is equivalent that,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{1 - \exp(-a_j^2 \beta_j^2 u^2)\} 1/\pi (1 + u^2)^{-1} du < \infty.$$

In order to assure (1), we put for  $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ ,

$$w(s) = 1/\pi \int \{1 - \exp(-s^2 u^2)\} (1 + u^2)^{-1} du,$$

and estimate the order of  $w(s)$  at  $s=0$ . Then after some calculations, we can derive that  $w(s) = O(|s|)$  at  $s=0$ , so the convergence of (1) is equivalent to  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |a_j| \beta_j < \infty$ . Clearly this inequality is satisfied by the assumptions for  $a$  and for  $\beta$ . Q. E. D.

Combining Proposition 2.1 together with Lemma 2.1,  $\mu_q$  is actually the  $l^q$ -quasi-invariant measure for each  $q \geq 1$ . Later we shall give examples of strictly- $l^q$ -quasi-invariant measures for  $0 < p \leq 2$ .

The following definition and lemma are essentially due to L. Shwarz, [7]. We list them here in a partially different but special form of the original one.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $m$  be a measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$  such that,  $m = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty m_j$ , where  $m_j$  is the probability measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^1)$  for each  $j$ , and  $\Phi$  be a subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ .

(a) If for an arbitrary element  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \Phi$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j x_j$  converges for  $m$ -a.e.  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , then we say that  $m$  is a type  $\Phi$ .

(b) Conversely, if a following assertion holds, we say that  $m$  is a cotype  $\Phi$ .

Let  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \mathbf{R}^\infty$ . If  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j x_j$  converges for  $m$ -a.e.  $x$ , then it follows that  $t \in \Phi$ .

(c) If (a) and (b) are both satisfied, we say that  $m$  is a special type  $\Phi$ .

After these definitions, we can state the following corollary of Lemma 2.1.

**Corollary.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and  $\nu$  be the adjoint measure of  $\mu$ . Then,

(a)  $T_\mu \supset l^p$  is equivalent that  $\nu$  is a type  $l^p$ .

(b) if  $T_\mu = l^p$ , then  $\nu$  is a special type  $l^p$ .

(c) if  $\nu$  is a special type  $l^p$ , and  $T_\mu$  forms a vector space, then  $T_\mu = l^p$ .

*Proof* is derived from the consideration and the remark of Lemma 2.1.

Now if  $m$  is a type  $l^p$  ( $p > 0$ ), we can define a following operator  $T$  from  $l^p$  to  $\text{Mes}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, m, \mathbf{R}^1)$  such that,

$$T: t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in l^p \longrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j x_j \in \text{Mes}(\mathbf{R}^\infty, m, \mathbf{R}^1),$$

where the last symbol means the class of all real-valued  $m$ -measurable functions defined on  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ .



**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $m$  be a measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  as in Definition 2.1.*

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,

(a) *if  $m$  is a type  $l^p$ , then the above mapping  $T$  is the continuous operator from  $l^p$  to  $\text{Mes}(\mathbb{R}^\infty, m, \mathbb{R}^1)$  equipped with the topology of convergence in probability.*

(b) *if  $m$  is a special type  $l^p$ , and is the symmetric measure, then the map  $T$  is the homeomorphic operator from  $l^p$  to  $\text{Mes}(\mathbb{R}^\infty, m, \mathbb{R}^1)$  equipped with the same one.*

*Proof* is stated in [7], [8]. So we omit it. But it is an application of Baire's theorem and closed graph theorem.

Here we shall discuss strictly- $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measures ( $0 < p \leq \infty$ ) on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *There does not exist any strictly- $l^\infty$ -quasi-invariant measure as in (II) on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary case, namely let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and be the strictly- $l^\infty$ -quasi-invariant measure. Then the adjoint measure  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  is the special type  $l^\infty$  in virtue of the corollary of Lemma 2.1. Applying Theorem 1.1 for an element  $(s, s, \dots, s, \dots) \in l^\infty$  ( $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ ), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\{ 1 - \int \exp(2\pi i s x_j) d\nu(x) \right\} < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_j \int \exp(2\pi i s x_j) d\nu(x) = 1.$$

It follows that for  $\nu$ ,  $\{x_j\}$  converges in law to the Dirac measure, equivalently it converges to 0 in probability. Let  $T$  be the same meaning as in Lemma 2.2, in which we shall put  $\nu$  for  $m$ . Then an element  $e_j = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots)$  corresponds to  $x_j$  by the map  $T$ , and it is a homeomorphic operator in virtue of Lemma 2.2. Therefore by the above argument,  $e_j$  must tend to  $0 = (0, 0, \dots)$ , which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a probability measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  and put*

$$\Phi(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 - \exp(-s^2 u^2)) d\alpha(u), \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

Then,

$$\Phi(\lambda s) \geq \lambda^2 \Phi(s), \quad \text{for all } |\lambda| \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* It is derived from the following elementary inequality.

$$1 - \exp(-cv) \geq c(1 - \exp(-v)), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq v \leq 1 \text{ and for } v \geq 0.$$

Q. E. D.

**Proposition 2.3.** For  $2 < p < \infty$ , there does not exist any strictly- $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measure as in (II) on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary case, namely let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II) and be the strictly- $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measure. Then from Lemma 2.1,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int (1 - \exp(-b_j^2 x_j^2)) dv(x) < \infty$  holds if and only if  $\{b_j\} \in l^p$ . If necessary, we divide each  $b_j$  by a suitable normalizing constant, and apply Lemma 2.3. Then it follows that,

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 \int (1 - \exp(-x_j^2)) dv(x) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \{b_j\} \in l^p.$$

Suppose  $\inf_j \int (1 - \exp(-x_j^2)) dv(x) = 0$ . Then a suitable subsequence  $\{j_n\}$  exists such that,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int (1 - \exp(-x_{j_n}^2)) dv(x) < \infty.$$

So putting  $e = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \overset{j_1}{1}, 0, \dots, 0, \overset{j_n}{1}, 0, \dots)$ , the above inequality shows that  $\mu$  is  $e$ -quasi-invariant. But  $e$  does not belong to any  $l^p$  ( $\infty > p > 0$ ). So it contradicts the assumption of quasi-invariance. Consequently  $\inf_j \int (1 - \exp(-x_j^2)) dv(x) > 0$ , and from (3) we get  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^2 < \infty$  for all  $\{b_j\} \in l^p$ . Again we reach to a contradiction. Q. E. D.

On the other hand, in the case of  $0 < p \leq 2$ , strictly- $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measures actually exist as follows. Let  $r$  be a real number such that  $2r > 1$  and we put  $f_r(u) = \frac{4\pi}{\gamma_r \Gamma^2(r/2)} |\pi u|^{r-1} K_{\frac{r-1}{2}}^2(2\pi|u|)$ , where  $K_{\frac{r-1}{2}}$  is again

the modified Bessel function and  $\gamma_r$  is the normalizing constant.

$$\gamma_r = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(r-1/2)/\Gamma(r).$$

We define a measure  $\mu_r$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$  such that,

$$d\mu_r(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty f_r(x_j) dx_j,$$

namely  $\mu_r$  is a stationary measure with  $f_r$ . By the well known formula for the Fourier transformation, we obtain for the adjoint measure  $\nu_r$ ,

$$d\nu_r(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty 1/\gamma_r (1+x_j^2)^{-r} dx_j.$$

Therefore from Theorem 1.1,  $t=(t_1, t_2, \dots) \in T_\mu$  holds, if and only if

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int \frac{(1-\cos 2\pi t_j u)}{\gamma_r (1+u^2)^r} du < \infty.$$

Using the result of the stationary case (Proposition 1.1), it is necessary that  $\lim_j t_j = 0$  for (4). So putting

$$W(s) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty 1/\gamma_r (1-\cos(2\pi s u))(1+u^2)^{-r} du,$$

and estimating the order of it at  $s=0$ , we get

- (a)  $W(s) = O(|s|^{2r-1})$ , if  $2r-1 < 2$
- (b)  $W(s) = O(|s|^2 \log |s|)$ , if  $2r-1 = 2$
- (c)  $W(s) = O(s^2)$ , if  $2r-1 > 2$ .

Finally we have the following result

- (A)  $T_{\mu_r} = l^{2r-1}$ , if  $2r-1 < 2$
- (B)  $T_{\mu_r} = l^{2-}$ , if  $2r-1 = 2$ , where  $l^{2-} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^\infty \mid \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j^2 < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j^2 |\log |x_j|| < \infty\}$
- (C)  $T_{\mu_r} = l^2$ , if  $2r-1 > 2$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II) and be the  $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measure. Then for  $a=(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^p$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\{ 1 - \int \sqrt{f_j(u-a_j)} \sqrt{f_j(u)} du \right\}$$

is a continuous function of  $a$  with respect to the natural topology of

$l^p$ . Especially, for  $j=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\|\sqrt{f_j(u-s)}-\sqrt{f_j(u)}\|_{L^2}$  is an equicontinuous function of  $s \in \mathbf{R}^1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be the adjoint measure of  $\mu$ . ( $d\nu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} |g_j(x_j)|^2 dx_j$ ). Then  $\nu$  is the type  $l^p$  and a function

$$\hat{\nu}(a) = \int \exp(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j x_j) d\nu(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \int \exp(2\pi i a_j v) |g_j(v)|^2 dv$$

is the continuous function of  $a \in l^p$ , in virtue of Lemma 2.2. As  $\hat{\nu}(a)$  is always positive, and the infinite product converges uniformly in a neighbourhood of each point,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \int \exp(2\pi i a_j v) |g_j(v)|^2 dv \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \int \sqrt{f_j(u-a_j)} \sqrt{f_j(u)} du \right\}$$

is also continuous. The assertion of the last part is an easy consequence of the above argument. Q. E. D.

Now we shall discuss the compactness of the set  $\{\sqrt{f_j(u)}\}$  in  $L^2_{du}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ . The following proposition is found in [9]. But we list it for reference.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $d\xi$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , and  $L^p_{d\xi}(\mathbf{R}^N)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) be the Banach space of (classes of) functions  $f$  such that  $|f|^p$  is Lebesgue integral. Then a subset  $A$  of  $L^p_{d\xi}(\mathbf{R}^N)$  is totally bounded if and only if it has the following three properties.*

- (a)  $A$  is bounded in  $L^p_{d\xi}(\mathbf{R}^N)$  (in the sense of the  $L^p$  norm).
- (b)  $A$  is equismall at infinity, i.e., to every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\rho > 0$ , such that, for all  $f \in A$

$$\int_{\|\xi\| > \rho} |f(\xi)|^p d\xi < \varepsilon.$$

- (c) To every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}^N$  such that  $\|a\| < \delta$  and for all  $f \in A$ ,

$$\int |f(\xi - a) - f(\xi)|^p d\xi \leq \varepsilon.$$

Applying it to the present case, from Lemma 2.4,

**Proposition 2.5.** *For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II),*

and be the  $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measure. If  $\{\sqrt{f_j(u)}\}$  is equismall at infinity, then it is the totally bounded set in  $L^2_{du}$ .

**Remark.** The equismall property of  $\{\sqrt{f_j(u)}\}$  is the same as the uniform tightness of the set of the measures  $\{f_j(u)du\}$ . It depends on the continuity of  $\mu$ . For example, if  $\mu$  can be regarded as a continuous cylindrical measure on  $l^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), then the uniform tightness condition is satisfied.

Conversely,

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and  $T_\mu$  be a vector space. Suppose that  $\{\sqrt{f_j(u)}\}$  is a totally bounded set in  $L^2_{du}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ , then we conclude that  $T_\mu \subset l^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $dv(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty |g_j(x_j)|^2 dx_j$  be the adjoint measure of  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in T_\mu$ . Then in virtue of Lemma 2.1,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int (1 - \exp(-s^2 a_j^2 v^2)) |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

First we shall assume that  $\sup_j |a_j| = \infty$ . Then, as  $1 - \exp(-u^2)$  is a monotone increasing function, there exist subsequence  $\{j_n\}$  such that,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int (1 - \exp(-v^2)) |g_{j_n}(v)|^2 dv < \infty,$$

hence

$$(6) \quad \lim_n \int (1 - \exp(-v^2)) |g_{j_n}(v)|^2 dv = 0.$$

By the assumption,  $\{g_j(v)\}$  is the totally bounded set, and therefore if necessary taking a subsequence of  $\{j_n\}$ , we can suppose that  $g_{j_n}(v)$  converges to some  $g_0(v)$  in  $L^2_{dv}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ . Then from (6), we get

$$\int (1 - \exp(-v^2)) |g_0(v)|^2 dv = 0,$$

and we reach to a contradiction. So  $\sup_j |a_j| < \infty$ . Using Lemma 2.3, from (5) it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 \int (1 - \exp(-v^2)) |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

Again the compactness of  $\{g_j(v)\}$  implies

$$\inf_j \int (1 - \exp(-v^2)) |g_j(v)|^2 dv > 0, \text{ so } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 < \infty. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Even if a measure  $\mu$  is strictly- $l^2$ -quasi-invariant,  $\{\sqrt{f_j(u)}\}$  is not necessarily a totally bounded set.

**Example 2.1.** We set  $\mu$  such that,

$d\mu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \exp(-(x_j - r_j)^2/2) dx_j$ , where the positive sequence  $\{r_j\}$  is taken such that  $\lim r_j = \infty$ .

Then  $T_{\mu} = l^2$  holds but the set  $\{\exp(-(u - r_j)^2/4)\}$  is not totally bounded.

**Example 2.2.**

$d\mu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \exp(-(x_j^2 + r_j^2)/2) \cosh(r_j x_j) dx_j$ , where  $\{r_j\}$  is a positive sequence such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp(-r_j^2/2 + r_j) < \infty$ .

$\mu$  has the same properties as in above, but we remark that it is a symmetric measure.

Roughly speaking, the compact case is possible to arise only in that of  $T_{\mu} \subset l^2$ . On the other hand,

**Proposition 2.7.** For  $p > 2$ , let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and be the  $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measure. Then  $\{\sqrt{f_j(u)}\}$  is a discrete set in  $L_{du}^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary case, namely we shall assume that a suitable subsequence  $\{\sqrt{f_{j_n}(u)}\}$  converges to some  $\sqrt{f_0(u)} \in L_{du}^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ . If necessary, again we take a subsequence of  $\{j_n\}$  such that,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\sqrt{f_{j_n}(u)} - \sqrt{f_0(u)}\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty.$$

Then by the assumption, also for  $\{j_n\}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \{1 - \sqrt{f_{j_n}(u - a_n)} \sqrt{f_{j_n}(u)}\} du < \infty, \text{ for all } a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^p.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{f_0(u-s)}-\sqrt{f_0(u)}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq & 8\|\sqrt{f_0(u)}-\sqrt{f_{j_n}(u)}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\sqrt{f_{j_n}(u-s)} \\ & -\sqrt{f_{j_n}(u)}\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \int \sqrt{f_0(u)}\sqrt{f_0(u-a_j)} du \right\} < \infty, \text{ for all } a=(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^p.$$

Even if  $\sqrt{f_0(u)}$  vanishes on a set with positive Lebesgue measure, the proof of Proposition 1.1 is still valid for the present case. Therefore we conclude that  $a \in l^2$ . But it contradicts the fact,  $l^2 \not\subseteq l^p$ . Q.E.D.

### §3. Characterization of $l^2$ -Quasi-Invariant Measures

In the former sections, we have discussed the aspect of (mainly,  $l^p$ -)quasi-invariant measures, and showed that the case  $p > 2$  and  $p \leq 2$  present the different situations. So we wish to consider the case of  $T_\mu \cong l^2$  (especially  $T_\mu = l^2$ ) and to characterize it in terms of  $\{\sqrt{f_j(u)}\}$ . First we shall consider a stationary measure.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and be the stationary measure with  $f$ . We put  $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f})=g$ . Then in order that  $T_\mu \cong l^2$  holds (automatically,  $T_\mu = l^2$  holds due to Proposition 1.1), it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(7) \quad \int v^2 |g(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

(It is equivalent to  $\left\| \frac{d}{du} \sqrt{f(u)} \right\|_{L^2} < \infty$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be the adjoint measure of  $\mu$ ,

$$d\nu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} |g(x_j)|^2 dx_j.$$

First we shall prove sufficiency. Assume that (7) is satisfied. Then for an arbitrary element  $a=(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^2$ , we have

$$\infty > \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 \int v^2 |g(v)|^2 dv = \int \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 x_j^2 d\nu(x),$$

and it follows that  $v(H_a)=1$ . Consequently, from Lemma 2.1, we conclude that  $T_\mu \cong l^2$ .

Conversely, suppose to be  $T_\mu = l^2$ . Then from Lemma 2.4, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that,

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int (1 - \cos(a_j v)) |g(v)|^2 dv < \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{for all } \|a\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^2 \leq \delta_0^2.$$

In this inequality, we shall put  $a_j = \delta_0 / \sqrt{n}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $a_j = 0$  for  $j \geq n + 1$ . Then for any  $n$ ,

$$n \int (1 - \cos(\delta_0 v / \sqrt{n})) |g(v)|^2 dv < \varepsilon_0.$$

So, letting  $n$  tend to infinity and applying Lebesgue-Fatou's lemma, it follows that,

$$\delta_0^2 / 2 \int v^2 |g(v)|^2 dv \leq \varepsilon_0, \text{ which shows the necessity.} \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II). i.e.,

$$d\mu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty f_j(x_j) dx_j, \quad \text{and } \mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f_j}) = g_j.$$

Assume that  $\mu$  is the  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant measure. Then from the result of the above stationary case, it seems that,

$$\sup_j \int v^2 |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

But it is false for the general  $\mu$ . Even in the case of  $T_\mu = l^2$ , we have a following example.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $K_0(u)$  be the modified Bessel function, and  $\gamma$  be a constant such that  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . We put

$$f_j(u) = 1/n(\gamma) \{ (1-\gamma) 2/\sqrt{\pi} K_0(2\pi|u|) + \gamma/\sqrt{2} \exp(-|x|/2) \}^2,$$

where  $n(\gamma)$  is the normalizing constant. And we define a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  such that,  $d\mu(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty f_j(x_j) dx_j$ , where  $\{\gamma_j\}$  is taken such that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty (1-\gamma_j)^2 < \infty$ . Then some calculation shows that  $d\mu(x) \cong \otimes_{j=1}^\infty 1/2 \exp(-|x_j|) dx_j$ , and the later measure is strictly- $l^2$ -quasi-



invariant. Therefore the same holds for  $\mu$ . On the other hand, as

$$g_\gamma(v) = \mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f_\gamma})(v) = 1/\sqrt{n(\gamma)} \{ (1-\gamma)/\sqrt{\pi}(1+v^2)^{-1/2} + 2\sqrt{2}\gamma(1+16\pi^2v^2)^{-1} \},$$

and

$$|g_\gamma(v)|^2 \geq (1-\gamma)^2/\pi n(\gamma) \cdot (1+v^2)^{-1},$$

so

$$\int v^2 |g_\gamma(v)|^2 dv = \infty, \quad \text{for any } \gamma > 0.$$

However the above conjecture is modified as a following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II). Then in order that  $\mu$  is an  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant measure, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists some measure  $M$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , which has following three properties.*

- (a)  $dM(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty F_j(x_j) dx_j, F_j(u) > 0$  for Lebesgue-a. e.  $u$
- (b)  $M \simeq \mu$  (in the sense of Definition 1.1)
- (c)  $\sup_j \int v^2 |G_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty$ , where  $G_j = \mathcal{F}(\sqrt{F_j})$ .

*Proof.* First we shall prove sufficiency. Clearly the equivalence of measures does not change the set  $T_\mu$ , namely if  $\mu \simeq M$  holds, then  $T_\mu = T_M$ . So we have only to check that  $M$  itself is the  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant measure. Now using (c) in place of (7) in Theorem 3.1, we reach to the desired conclusion in a similar way with it. The necessity of the proof is derived from the following two lemmas. From now on we shall use a symbol  $*$  for convolution operation. Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ . We put

$$\sqrt{h_j(u)} = \begin{cases} 1/n(a_j) \{ \sqrt{f_j(u)} * 1/\sqrt{2\pi}|a_j| \exp(-u^2/2a_j^2) \}, & \text{if } a_j \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{f_j(u)}, & \text{if } a_j = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $n(a_j)$  is the normalizing constant such that

$$\int h_j(u) du = 1.$$

Using the above  $\{h_j(u)\}$ , we define a measure such that,

$$d\mu^a(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j(x_j) dx_j.$$

Then,

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\mu$  is  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant and  $a=(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^2$ , then  $\mu \simeq \mu^a$ .*

*Proof.* First we shall put  $w_j(u) = n(a_j) \sqrt{h_j}(u)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_j - \sqrt{f_j}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \int |\sqrt{f_j(u-a_j s)} - \sqrt{f_j}(u)|^2 \exp(-s^2/2) du ds \\ &= 2/\sqrt{2\pi} \int (1 - \exp(2\pi i a_j s v)) |g_j(v)|^2 \exp(-s^2/2) dv ds \\ &= 2 \int (1 - \exp(-2\pi^2 a_j^2 v^2)) |g_j(v)|^2 dv. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|w_j - \sqrt{f_j}\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty$ , in virtue of Lemma 2.1. Especially

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - n(a_j))^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - \|w_j\|_{L^2})^2 < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\sqrt{h_j} - \sqrt{f_j}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|\sqrt{h_j} - w_j\|_{L^2} + \|w_j - \sqrt{f_j}\|_{L^2})^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{(1 - n(a_j))^2 + \|w_j - \sqrt{f_j}\|_{L^2}^2\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\mu$  and  $\mu^a$  are equivalent with each other. Q. E. D.

We note that

$$\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{h_j})(v) = 1/n(a_j) g_j(v) \exp(-2\pi^2 a_j^2 v^2).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $m$  be a measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  such that  $m = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} m_j$ , where each  $m_j$  is the probability measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ . Suppose that  $m(H_a) = 1$  for all  $a \in l^2$ . Then there exists some  $\delta = \{\delta_j\} \in l^2$  such that*

$$\sup_j \int u^2 \exp(-\delta_j^2 u^2) dm_j(u) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* As  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int (1 - \exp(-a_j^2 u^2)) dm_j(u) < \infty$ , so we can put

$$W(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int (1 - \exp(-a_j^2 u^2)) dm_j(u), \quad \text{for all } a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^2.$$

First, we shall claim that  $W$  is continuous and is bounded on the unit sphere of  $l^2$ . For, let  $n_\infty$  be the canonical Gaussian measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Namely,  $dn_\infty(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \exp(-x_j^2/2) dx_j$ , and we put  $dn(u) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \exp(-u^2/2) du$  as for the measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ . Then,

$$W(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int (1 - \exp(\sqrt{2} i a_j u s)) dm_j(u) dn(s).$$

Now, from  $m_j$  and  $n$ , we define a new probability measure  $\lambda_j$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  such that,

$$\lambda_j(A) = n \otimes m_j \{ (s, u) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid su \in A \}, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^1).$$

And we define a measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  such that,  $\lambda = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j$ . Then  $\lambda$  is the symmetric measure and the above equality can be written as

$$W(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int (1 - \exp(\sqrt{2} i a_j x_j)) d\lambda(x).$$

From this it follows that  $\lambda$  is the type  $l^2$ , and therefore  $W(a)$  is the continuous function by Lemma 2.4. Consequently, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that,  $W(a) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $\|a\| \leq \delta$ . So  $W(\delta a) < \varepsilon$  for all  $\|a\| \leq 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.3 for  $W$ , we conclude that,  $W(a) \leq \varepsilon/\delta^2$ , for all  $\|a\| \leq 1$ . From now on we put  $R = \varepsilon/\delta^2$ . Let  $K > 2$  and we put for each  $j$

$$t_j = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid \int_{(-t, t)} u^2 dm_j(u) > KR \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad s_j = 1/t_j.$$

If the above set is empty, we put  $t_j = \infty$  and  $s_j = 0$ . Then if  $s_j \neq 0$ , we have

$$(8) \quad \int_{[-t_j, t_j]} u^2 dm_j(u) \geq KR \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(-t_j, t_j)} u^2 dm_j(u) \leq KR.$$

Secondly, we shall claim that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j^2 \leq 1$ . For, suppose the contrary case, namely there exists some  $n$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 > 1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $s_j \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . In the definition of  $W$ , we put  $a_j = s_j (s_1^2 + \dots + s_n^2)^{-1/2}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $a_j = 0$  for  $j \geq n+1$ . Then it yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int (1 - \exp(-s_j^2 u^2 (s_1^2 + \dots + s_n^2)^{-1})) dm_j(u) \leq R.$$

Using Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int (1 - \exp(-s_j^2 u^2)) dm_j(u) \leq R(s_1^2 + \dots + s_n^2).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 / 2 \int_{[-t_j, t_j]} u^2 dm_j(u) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{[-t_j, t_j]} (1 - \exp(-s_j^2 u^2)) dm_j(u) \\ &\leq R(s_1^2 + \dots + s_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

From (8), it follows that  $1/2 KR \leq R$ , which contradicts the choice of  $K$ . As  $\{s_j\} \in l^2$ , so we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int (1 - \exp(-s_j^2 u^2)) dm_j(u) < \infty,$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{|u| \geq t_j} dm_j(u) < \infty.$$

Lastly, we put for each  $j$   $\delta_j = \left\{ \int_{|u| \geq t_j} dm_j(u) \right\}^{1/2}$ . Then  $\{\delta_j\} \in l^2$  and,

$$\begin{aligned} \int u^2 \exp(-\delta_j^2 u^2) dm_j(u) &= \int_{(-t_j, t_j)} u^2 \exp(-\delta_j^2 u^2) dm_j(u) \\ &+ \int_{|u| \geq t_j} u^2 \exp(-\delta_j^2 u^2) dm_j(u) \leq KR + 1 / \delta_j^2 \int_{|u| \geq t_j} dm_j(u) = KR + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

*Proof of the necessity of Theorem 3.2.* Let  $\mu$  be an  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant measure and  $\nu$  be the adjoint measure of it. Then in virtue of Lemma 2.1,  $\nu(H_a) = 1$  holds for all  $a \in l^2$ . So we can take a sequence  $\delta = \{\delta_j\}$  such that,

$$\sup_j \int v^2 \exp(-\delta_j^2 v^2) |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

In the notation of Lemma 3.1, putting  $a_j = \delta_j / \sqrt{2} \pi$  and constructing a

measure  $d\mu_1^g(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j(x_j) dx_j$ , then  $\mu_1^g \cong \mu$  holds and

$$\sup_j \int v^2 |\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{h_j})(v)|^2 dv = \sup_j 1/n(a_j)^2 \int v^2 \exp(-\delta_j^2 v^2) |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty,$$

as

$$\lim_j n(a_j)^2 = \lim_j n(\delta_j / \sqrt{2} \pi)^2 = 1.$$

Therefore  $\mu_1^g$  is the desired one  $M$ .

Q. E. D.

The case  $T_\mu = l^2$  is settled in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and we put  $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f_j}) = g_j$ . Then in order that  $\mu$  is the strictly- $l^2$ -quasi-invariant measure, it is necessary and sufficient that*

- (a)  $T_\mu$  is a vector space
- (b) there exists some measure  $M$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^\infty)$  which has the three properties in Theorem 3.2.
- (c)  $\inf_j \int (1 - \exp(-v^2)) |g_j(v)|^2 dv > 0$ .

*Proof.* First, we shall consider the necessity. Then (a) is trivial, and (b) is the consequence of Theorem 3.2. While (c) is shown in a quite similar way with Proposition 2.3. Conversely, suppose that the three conditions are satisfied. Then  $T_\mu \supseteq l^2$  holds by Theorem 3.2. Now if there exists  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in T_\mu \setminus l^2$ , then from (a),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int (1 - \exp(-a_j^2 v^2)) |g_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

It follows that,

$$\inf_j \int (1 - \exp(-v^2)) |g_j(v)|^2 dv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \min(a_j^2, 1) < \infty,$$

and therefore  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 < \infty$ . But it contradicts the choice of  $a$ . Q. E. D.

**Remark.** Even if (a) and (b) are satisfied for  $\mu$ , the condition  $\inf_j \int v^2 |g_j(v)|^2 dv > 0$  is necessary but not sufficient for  $T_\mu = l^2$ . We have a counter example for it.

Lastly, we shall discuss the relation of the support to the quasi-invariance.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II), and be the  $l^p$ -quasi-invariant measure. If  $\mu(H_a) = 1$  for some  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , then we conclude that  $a \in l^q$ . ( $q$  is the conjugate exponent of  $p$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\mu}$  be a measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  such that,

$$\bar{\mu}(A) = \mu(-A) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty).$$

The convolution of  $\mu$  with  $\bar{\mu}$  defines a new measure  $\mu^S$ , namely

$$\mu^S(A) = \int \mu(A-x) d\bar{\mu}(x), \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty).$$

We can easily check that  $\mu^S$  is also the product-measure and symmetric one. Moreover,  $\mu^S(H_a) = 1$  and  $T_{\mu^S} \supset T_\mu \supset l^p$  hold. For,

$$\mu^S(H_a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \mu(H_a-x) d\bar{\mu}(x) = \int_{H_a} \mu(H_a-x) d\bar{\mu}(x) = \mu(H_a) = 1.$$

And if  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in T_\mu$  and  $\mu^S(A) = 0$  for some  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , then it follows that  $\mu(A-x) = 0$  (hence,  $\mu(A-x-t) = 0$ ) holds for  $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e.  $x$ . Therefore,

$$\mu^S(A-t) = \int \mu(A-t-x) d\bar{\mu}(x) = 0.$$

As the converse assertion holds in a similar way, we conclude that

$$T_{\mu^S} \supset T_\mu.$$

Now,  $\mu^S(H_a) = 1$  is equivalent that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^2 x_j^2 < \infty$  for  $\mu^S$ -a.e.  $x$ , which yields that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j x_j$  converges for  $\mu^S$ -a.e.  $x$  due to the Kolmogorov-Khintchine's theorem and the symmetry of  $\mu^S$ . Therefore if  $\mu$  is  $l^p$ -quasi-invariant, then we conclude that for all  $\{h_j\} \in l^p$ , both  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j x_j$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j(x_j + h_j)$  converges for  $\mu^S$ -a.e.  $x$ . It follows that, for any  $\{h_j\} \in l^p$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j h_j$  converges, which shows  $\{a_j\} \in l^q$ . Q. E. D.

According to the above lemma, we are specially interested in a following measure  $\mu$ .

(\*)  $\mu$  is as in (II), and  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant

(\*\*) for any  $a=(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^2$ ,  $\mu(H_a)=1$ .

For example, a measure which can be regarded as a continuous cylindrical measure on  $l^2$  satisfies (\*\*) due to Minlos.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure as in (II). Then in order that  $\mu$  has the properties (\*) and (\*\*), it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a measure  $M$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ ,  $dM(x)=\otimes_{j=1}^\infty F_j(x_j)dx_j$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{F_j})=G_j$  such that,*

(a)  $M$  has the three properties in Theorem 3.2

(b)  $\sup_j \int u^2 F_j(u) du < \infty$ .

(We can characterize it in terms of the uniform boundness of  $\left\| \frac{d}{du} \sqrt{F_j(u)} \right\|_{L^2}$  and  $\|u \sqrt{F_j(u)}\|_{L^2}$ .)

Further,  $T_\mu=l^2$  holds under the condition (\*) and (\*\*).

*Proof.* First we shall prove that  $T_\mu=l^2$ . The proof  $T_\mu \subseteq l^2$  is derived as below from the consideration in Lemma 3.3. Using the same notation in it, we can assure that for all  $a=(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^2$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j x_j$  converges for  $\mu^S$ -a. e.  $x$ . Therefore if  $t=(t_1, t_2, \dots) \in T_\mu$ , then both  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j x_j$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j (x_j + t_j)$  converges for  $\mu^S$ -a. e.  $x$ . Hence  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j t_j$  converges for any  $a \in l^2$ , which shows that  $t \in l^2$ . Combining it with the assumption  $T_\mu \supseteq l^2$ , we conclude that  $T_\mu=l^2$ . Secondly, we shall prove sufficiency of the former part of this assertion. For (\*), it is a consequence of Theorem 3.2. Since  $M \cong \mu$ , for (\*\*) we have only to check that  $M(H_a)=1$  for all  $a \in l^2$ . Now

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \int a_j^2 x_j^2 dM(x) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^2 \int u^2 F_j(u) du \leq \sup_j \int u^2 F_j(u) du \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^2 < \infty .$$

It follows that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j^2 x_j^2 < \infty$  for  $M$ -a. e.  $x$ , which shows  $M(H_a)=1$ . Lastly, we shall prove the necessity. Using Lemma 3.2 for  $\mu$ , it asserts that there exists a sequence  $\sigma = \{\sigma_n\} \in l^2$  such that,

$$(9) \quad \sup_j \int u^2 \exp(-\sigma_j^2 u^2) f_j(u) du < \infty .$$

Putting  $k_j(u) = 1/n(\sigma_j) \exp(-\sigma_j^2 u^2) f_j(u)$ , where  $n(\sigma_j)$  is the normalizing constant such that,

$$\int k_j(u)du = 1 \quad (\text{and becomes } \lim_j n(\sigma_j) = 1),$$

we define a new measure  $\mu_1$  on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  such that,

$$d\mu_1(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty k_j(x_j) dx_j.$$

As  $\mu(H_\sigma) = 1$  derives that  $\mu_1 \cong \mu$ , so  $\mu_1$  is also  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant. Using Theorem 3.2 for  $\mu_1$ , it follows that there exists a sequence  $\delta = \{\delta_j\} \in l^2$  such that,

$$\sup_j \int v^2 |G_j(v)|^2 dv < \infty, \quad \text{where } G_j = \mathcal{F}(\sqrt{F_j}),$$

$$\sqrt{F_j(u)} = 1/n(\delta_j) \{ \sqrt{k_j(u)} * 1 / \sqrt{2\pi\delta_j} \exp(-u^2/2\delta_j^2) \}, \text{ and}$$

$n(\delta_j)$  is the normalizing constant such that  $\int F_j(u)du = 1$  (and becomes  $\lim_j n(\delta_j) = 1$ ).

Now the measure  $dM(x) = \otimes_{j=1}^\infty F_j(x_j) dx_j$  is the desired one. Because we have only to check that

$$(10) \quad \sup_j \int u^2 F_j(u) du < \infty.$$

Some calculations derive that

$$\int u^2 F_j(u) du \leq 1/n(\delta_j)^2 \left\{ \int u^2 k_j(u) du + \delta_j^2 \right\}.$$

Combining it with (9), we can assure (10).

Q. E. D.

As for the stationary case,

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mu$  be a stationary measure with  $f$ , and we put  $\mathcal{F}(\sqrt{f}) = g$ . Then  $\mu$  is  $l^2$ -quasi-invariant and  $\mu(H_a) = 1$  for all  $a \in l^2$ , if and only if*

$$\int u^2 f(u) du < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int v^2 |g(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

*Proof.* First we shall consider the necessity. The last inequality has already proven, so we have only to check the first one. Applying Lemma 3.2, there exist  $\{\delta_j\} \in l^2$  and a positive constant  $R$  such that,



$$\int u^2 \exp(-\delta_j^2 u^2) f(u) du \leq R, \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Letting  $j$  tend to infinity and using Lebesgue-Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\int u^2 f(u) du \leq R.$$

The proof of the sufficiency is carried out in a quite similar way with it in Theorem 3.4. Q. E. D.

### References

- [1] Feldman, J., Equivalence and perpendicularity of Gaussian processes, *Pacific J. Math.*, **8** (1958), 128-137.
- [2] Gelfand, I. M. and Vilenkin, N. Ya., *Generalized functions*, 1v, (English trans. Academic Press), (1961).
- [3] Itô, K., *Probability theory*, (in Japanese), Iwanami, (1952).
- [4] Kakutani, S., On equivalence of infinite product measures, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, (1948).
- [5] Loève, M., *Probability theory*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., (1963).
- [6] Shimomura, H., Some new examples of quasi-invariant measures on a Hilbert space, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, in preparing.
- [7] Shwarz, L., *Proceedings of the international conference on functional analysis and related topics*, (1969), 41-59.
- [8] ———, *Les mesures de Radon dans les espaces topologiques arbitraires*, 3rd cycle, Inst. H. Poincaré, Paris, (1964-1965).
- [9] Treves, F., *Topological vector spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, (1967).
- [10] Umemura, Y., Measures on infinite dimensional vector spaces, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **1** (1965), 1-47.
- [11] Yoshida, K., *Functional Analysis* **1**, (in Japanese), Iwanami, (1940).

