On the Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Semi-linear Wave Equations

By

Akitaka MATSUMURA*

Introduction

We first consider the following semi-linear wave equation in Part I:

(1)
$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + m^2 u + g |u|^{p-1} u = 0$$

where m>0, g>0, p>1, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Delta=$ Laplacian. Recently, Glassey [1] showed that if p is small (1 , scattering theory is impossible for complex solutions of (1). We show in 1.1Glassey's result is also applicable even to real solutions. Segal showedin [3] and [4] that scattering operator can be constructed for (1) if $<math>p>2+2n^{-1}$. We show in 1.2 that the solution $u_+(x, t)$ of the free equation [(1) with g=0] to which a given solution u(x, t) of (1) is asymptotic in a weak sense as $t \to +\infty$, exists if $p>1+2n^{-1}$, $n\geq 3$.

In Part II, we consider the following semi-linear wave equation with the first order dissipation:

(2)
$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t + f(u, u_t, \nabla u) = 0.$$

We show the asymptotic properties of the solutions of the linear equation [(2) with f=0] in 2.1 and those of the nonlinear equation (2) in 2.2 and 2.3.

Notation. We denote by L^p the space of measurable functions u on \mathbb{R}^n whose p-th powers $(1 \leq r \leq \infty)$ are integrable with the norm

$$||u||_{L^p} = \left(\int |u(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} ||u||_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess} \cdot \sup_{x} |u(x)|,$$

Communicated by S. Matsuura, November 11, 1975.

^{*} Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University.

by C^{∞} the space of infinitely differentiable functions, by C_0^{∞} the subspace of C^{∞} consisting of functions with compact support in \mathbb{R}^n and by H^k the usual Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^n with the norm

$$\|u\|_{k}^{2} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} u \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

where

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}, \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$$

Let X be a Banach space on \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\mathcal{E}_t^k(X) \ni u(x, t)$ means that $u(\cdot, t)$ belongs to X for all fixed t and u is k-times continuously differentiable with respect to t in X-topology. We denote grad $u = (\partial u/\partial x_1, \partial u/\partial x_2, \cdots, \partial u/\partial x_n)$ by $\overline{V}u$. In Part I, all functions are generally complex-valued, but in Part II, all functions are real-valued.

Part I

§ 1. 1. Extention of Glassey's Result

We consider the solutions of the Cauchy problem for the equation (1). We take g=1 without loss of generality. In [1], Glassey's result is not valid for real solutions, because he assumes

$$Q(t) = \operatorname{Im} \int \overline{u} u_t dx \neq 0.$$

Then we define the momentum P(t) by

$$P(t) = \operatorname{Re} \int \overline{u}_t \overline{v} u \, dx$$

instead of Q(t). We denote the energy norm $\|\cdot\|_e$ by

$$\|u(t)\|_{e}^{2} = \int (|u_{t}|^{2} + |\nabla u|^{2} + m^{2}|u|^{2}) dx$$

We have the following theorem which is valid for both real and complex solutions.

Theorem 1. Let u(x, t) be a C²-solution of equation (1) with Cauchy data in C_0^{∞} satisfying $P(0) \neq 0$. Suppose that

$$1 if $n=1, 1 if $n \geq 2$.$$$

Then, there dose not exist any free solution v(x, t) in C_0^{∞} such that

$$||u(t)-v(t)||_{e} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty$$
.

Proof. We note the following energy equality holds: if u and v are C_0^2 solution of the nonlinear equation (1) and the free one respectively, it follows that

(3)
$$\int \left(|u_t|^2 + |\overline{\nu}u|^2 + m^2 |u|^2 + \frac{2}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right) dx = \text{const.}$$
$$\int \left(|u_t|^2 + |\overline{\nu}v|^2 + m^2 |v|^2 \right) dx = \text{const.} \text{ for all } t \ge 0$$

We first show that P(t) is a time invariant vector. Differentiating P(t) with respect to t directly,

$$\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\int \overline{u}_{t} \nabla u_{t} \, dx + \int \overline{u}_{tt} \nabla u \, dx\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla \left(|u_{t}|^{2} - |\nabla u|^{2} - m^{2}|u|^{2} - \frac{2}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right) dx$$
$$= 0.$$

Hence, we have

P(t) = P(0) for all $t \ge 0$.

Suppose that there exists a free solution $v\!\in\!C_0^\infty$ such that

$$||u(t) - v(t)||_e \rightarrow 0$$
, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Define

$$P_{\mathfrak{o}}(t) = \operatorname{Re} \int \overline{v}_{t} \overline{v} \, v \, dx$$

We note that $P_0(t)$ is also time invariant vector from the same argument as before. Then

$$P(t) - P_0(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\int (\overline{u}_t - \overline{v}_t) \overline{v} u + \overline{v}_t (\overline{v} u - \overline{v} v) dx\right\},\,$$

by using Schwarz's inequality

$$|P(t) - Po(t)| \leq ||\nabla u||_{L^2} ||u - v||_e + ||v_t||_{L^2} ||u - v||_e.$$

Since $\| \mathbf{V} u \|_{L^2}$ and $\| v_t \|_{L^2}$ are bounded from (3) and

$$||u(t)-v(t)||_{e} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

we have

$$|P(t) - P_0(t)| \rightarrow 0$$
 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Hence, from P(t) - Po(t) = const., it follows

$$P(t) = P_0(t) = P(0)$$
 for all $t \ge 0$.

Then, the assumption $P(0) \neq 0$ gives

$$0 < |P(0)| = |\operatorname{Re} \int \overline{v}_t \overline{v} \, v \, dx| = |-\operatorname{Re} \int v \overline{v}_t dx|$$
$$\leq ||v_t||_e ||v||_{L^2}.$$

On the other hands, since v_t is also free solution, we have from (3),

$$||v_t(t)||_e = ||v_t(0)||_e$$
 for all $t \ge 0$.

Therefore, there is a positive constant c_0 such that

$$\int |v(x,t)|^2 dx \ge c_0$$
 for all $t \ge 0$.

Let the data of the free solution v be supported in the ball $|x| \leq k$. Then, by the support property and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$0 < c_0 \leq \int |v(x,t)|^2 dx = \int_{|x| \leq k+t} |v(x,t)|^2 dx$$
$$\leq \left(\int |v(x,t)|^{p+1} dx\right)^{2/(p+1)} \left(\int_{|x| \leq k+t} 1 dx\right)^{(p-1)/(p+1)}$$
$$\leq \text{const. } t^{(p-1)/(p+1)n} \left(\int |v(x,t)|^{p+1} dx\right)^{2/(p+1)} \text{ for all } t \geq 1$$

where p is as in equation (1). Thus there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$\int |v(x,t)|^{p+1} dx \ge c_1 t^{-n(p-1)/2} \quad \text{for all } t \ge 1.$$

We define

$$H(t) = \operatorname{Re} \int (v_t \overline{u} - \overline{v} u_t) dx \, .$$

Differentiating H(t) with respect to t,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(t) &= \operatorname{Re} \int \overline{u} \left(\varDelta v - m^2 v \right) - \overline{v} \left(\varDelta u - m^2 u - u |u|^{p-1} \right) dx \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int \overline{v} u |u|^{p-1} dx \\ &= \int |v|^{p+1} dx + \operatorname{Re} \int \overline{v} |u|^{p-1} (u-v) dx \\ &+ \int |v|^2 (|u|^{p-1} - |v|^{p-1}) dx \\ &\geq c_1 t^{-n(p-1)/2} - I_1 - I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \int |v| |u|^{p-1} |u-v| dx$$
, $I_2 = \int |v|^2 |u|^{p-1} - |v|^{p-1} |dx|$.

Recalling that our free solution v satisfies $||v(t)||_{\infty} = o(t^{-n/2})$ as $t \to +\infty$, first for the special case p=2, n=1 or 2, we have

$$I_{1} = \int |v| |u| |u - v| dx \leq \text{const. } t^{-1/2} ||u||_{L^{2}} ||u - v||_{L^{2}}$$
$$= o(t^{-n(p-1)/2}).$$

We now take the general case $1 for <math>n \geq 3$ or 1 for <math>n=1 or 2. Then, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$I_{1} = \int |v|^{p-1} |v|^{2-p} |u|^{p-1} |u-v| dx$$

$$\leq \|v\|_{\infty}^{p-1} \left(\int |v|^{2} dx\right)^{(2-p)/2} \left(\int |u|^{2} dx\right)^{(p-1)/2} \left(\int |v-u|^{2} dx\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \text{const. } t^{-n(p-1)/2} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{e} = o\left(t^{-n(p-1)/2}\right).$$

For I_2 , we have

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= \int |v|^2 ||u|^{p-1} - |v|^{p-1} |dx = \int |v|^{p-1} |v|^{3-p} ||u|^{p-1} - |v|^{p-1} |dx \\ &\leq \|v\|_{\infty}^{p-1} \Big(\int |v|^2 dx \Big)^{(3-p)/2} \Big(\int |u-v|^2 dx \Big)^{(p-1)/2} \\ &\leq \text{const. } t^{-n(p-1)/2} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{\ell} = o(t^{-n(p-1)/2}). \end{split}$$

Thus both I_1 and I_2 satisfy the same estimate for sufficiently large t, and it

follows that there is a positive constant c_2 such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(t)\geq c_2t^{-n(p-1)/2}$$

for large enough t, say $t \ge T$. Hence,

$$H(2T) - H(T) \ge c_2 \int_T^{2T} t^{-n(p-1)/2} dt \ge c_2 \int_T^{2T} t^{-1} dt \ge c_2 \log 2 > 0.$$

However, Schwarz's inequality gives

$$|H(t)| = |\operatorname{Re} \int \overline{u} (v_t - u_t) + u_t (\overline{u} - \overline{v}) dx|$$
$$\leq ||u(t)||_e ||u(t) - v(t)||_e.$$

Thus $|H(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, so that $|H(2T)| + |H(T)| \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow +\infty$. A sufficiently large choice of T in the inequality above yields the desired contradiction and completes the proof. Q.E.D.

§ 1.2. Remarks on Weak Dispersion

We consider the solutions of the Cauchy problem for (1) with initial data $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$, $u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x)$. If n=3, for example, scattering operator is constructed for p > 8/3 and impossible for 1 . For <math>5/3 , we don't know if scattering theory can be constructed, but we can get the following weak result. We denote by*B* $the positive selfadjoint operator <math>(m^2I-4)^{1/2}$ in L^2 , by $\langle , \rangle L^2$ inner product, and by D_B the domain of *B* as a Hilbert space relative to the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_1 = \langle Bx, By \rangle$. Moreover, we denote by *H* the Hilbert space direct sum of D_B and L^2 with inner product and norm \langle , \rangle_H , $|\cdot|_H$ and by $\binom{x}{y}$ the element of *H* with component *x* in D_B and component *y* in L^2 . We define

$$U(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(x,t) \\ u_t(x,t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad V(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} v(x,t) \\ v_t(x,t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(x) \\ \psi(x) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$W(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos tB, & \sin tB/B \\ -B \sin tB, & \cos tB \end{pmatrix}, \quad K[U(t)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -|u(t)|^{p-1}u(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have the following

Theorem 2. Let u(x, t) be $a_n \mathcal{E}_t^0(H^1 \cap L^{2p}) \cap \mathcal{E}_t^1(L^2)$ solution of the equation (1) with Cauchy data $\phi(x) \in H^1 \cap L^{2p}$, $\psi(x) \in L^2$. Suppose that

$$p > 1 + 2n^{-1}, n \ge 3$$

Then there exists a unique free solution $v(x, t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(H^1) \cap \mathcal{E}_t^1(L^2)$ such that

$$\langle U(t) - V(t), W(t)X \rangle_{H} \rightarrow 0$$
 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, for any $X \in H$.

Proof. This proof is almost similar to the proof of Theorem 4 in [3]. We note that energy equality (3) is valid also for $u \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(H^1 \cap L^{2p}) \cap \mathcal{E}_t^1(L^2)$ and $v \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(H^1) \cap \mathcal{E}_t^1(L^2)$ by using Friedrichs' mollifier arguments and that W(t) is the unitary operator on H, i.e. $\langle W(t)X, W(t) \cdot Y \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all $X, Y \in H$. Now U(t) satisfies the integral equation

(4)
$$U(t) = W(t) U_0 + \int_0^t W(t-s) K[U(s)] ds.$$

We define S(t) = W(-t)U(t) and represent by Z an arbitrary fixed element in D, where

$$D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} | x \in C_0^{\infty}, y \in C_0^{\infty} \right\}.$$

From (4), S(t) satisfies

$$S(t) - S(t') = \int_{t'}^{t} W(-s) K[U(s)] ds$$

Then we have

$$\langle S(t) - S(t'), Z \rangle_{H} = \int_{t'}^{t} \langle K[U(s)], W(s)Z \rangle_{H} ds$$
.

Noting that W(t)Z is a free solution, say of the form $\binom{z(t)}{z_t(t)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} |\langle S(t) - S(t'), Z \rangle_{\mathrm{H}}| &\leq \left| \int_{t'}^{t} \langle -|u(s)|^{p-1} u(s), z_{t}(s) \rangle ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_{t'}^{t} \int |u(s)|^{p} |z_{t}(s)| dx \, ds \, . \end{split}$$

Recalling that $||z_t(s)||_{\infty} \leq \text{const.}(1+s)^{-n/2}$ and (3), it holds that if $p \geq 2$

$$\begin{split} |\langle S(t) - S(t'), Z \rangle_{H} &| \leq \int_{t'}^{t} ||z(s)||_{\infty} \int ||u(s)||^{p} dx \, ds \\ \leq & \text{const.} \int_{t'}^{t} (1+s)^{-n/2} \int ||u(s)||^{2} + ||u(s)||^{p+1} dx \, ds \\ \leq & \text{const.} \int_{t'}^{t} (1+s)^{-n/2} ds \,, \end{split}$$

and if $2 > p > 1 + 2n^{-1}$

$$\begin{split} |\langle S(t) - S(t'), Z \rangle_{H}| &\leq \int_{t'}^{t} \left(\int u^{2} dx \right)^{p/2} \left(\int |z_{t}|^{2/(2-p)} dx \right)^{(2-p)/2} ds \\ &\leq \text{const.} \int_{t'}^{t} \|z_{t}\|_{\infty}^{p-1} \left(\int |z_{t}|^{2} dx \right)^{(2-p)/2} ds \\ &\leq \text{const.} \int_{t'}^{t} (1+s)^{-n(p-1)/2} ds \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore, if $p > 1 + 2n^{-1}$, $n \ge 3$ right hand sides are integrable, so that

(5)
$$\langle S(t) - S(t'), Z \rangle_{H} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t, t' \rightarrow +\infty$$

Next, we can show that $||S(t)||_{H}$ is bounded because (3) gives

(6)
$$||S(t)||_{H} = ||W(-t)U(t)||_{H} = ||U(t)||_{H} \leq \text{const.}$$

Now, the fact that D is dense in H and (5) and (6) imply that there exists a unique $S_0 \in H$ such that

$$\langle S(t) - S_0, Z \rangle_{H} \rightarrow 0$$
 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for any $Z \in H$.

Let V(t) be the free solution given by $V(t) = W(t)S_0$. Then for any Z in H,

$$\langle U(t) - V(t), W(t)Z \rangle_{H} = \langle W(-t)U(t) - W(-t)V(t), Z \rangle_{H}$$

= $\langle S(t) - S_{0}, Z \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$

Finally we show the uniqueness. Suppose that there exist the two different free solutions V_1 , V_2 which satisfy the above conditions. From the above arguments, we have

$$\langle V_1(t) - V_2(t), W(t) Z \rangle_H \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow +\infty$$
.

Taking $Z = V_1(0) - V_2(0)$,

$$\|V_1(t)-V_2(t)\|_H \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow +\infty$$
.

Since $||V_1(t) - V_2(t)||_{\mathcal{H}}$ is constant, this contradiction implies $V_1 = V_2$. Q.E.D.

Part II

§2.1. Solutions of Linear Problem

We consider the linear equation

(7) $v_{tt} - \Delta v + v_t = 0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \ge 0$ with

$$\begin{cases} v(x,0) = \phi(x) ,\\ v_t(x,0) = \psi(x) . \end{cases}$$

We can represent the solution of (7) as follows:

$$v(x, t) = K_1 * \psi + K_2 * \phi$$
.

Let $R_i(\hat{\xi}, t)$ be the Fourier transform of $K_i(x, t)$ (i=1, 2). Then R_i satisfies

(8)
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}R_i + \frac{d}{dt}R_i + |\xi|^2 R_i = 0,$$
$$\begin{cases} R_1(\xi, 0) = 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt}R_1(\xi, 0) = 1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} R_2(\xi, 0) = 1, \\ \frac{d}{dt}R_2(\xi, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

 $R_2(\xi, t) = R_1(\xi, t) + R_3(\xi, t),$

We can solve (8) exactly, so that

$$R_{1}(\xi, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2e^{-(1/2)t}}{\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^{2}}} \sinh\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^{2}}}{2}t\right), & |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{2e^{-(1/2)t}}{\sqrt{4|\xi|^{2}-1}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{4|\xi|^{2}-1}}{2}t\right), & |\xi| > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$R_{\mathfrak{z}}(\xi, t) = \begin{cases} e^{-(1/2)t} \cosh\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}}{2}t\right), & |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ e^{-(1/2)t} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{4|\xi|^2-1}}{2}t\right), & |\xi| > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. If $f \in L^m \cap H^{[n/2]+i+|\alpha|}(1 \leq m \leq 2)$, then

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} (K_{1} * f) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c \left(1 + t \right)^{-n/(2m) - i - (1/2)|\alpha|} \left(\|f\|_{L^{m}} + \|f\|_{[n/2] + i + |\alpha|} \right) \\ \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} (K_{1} * f) \right\|_{L_{2}} \leq c \left(1 + t \right)^{(n/4) - n/(2m) - i - (1/2)|\alpha|} \left(\|f\|_{L^{m}} + \|f\|_{i + |\alpha| - 1} \right)$$

If $f \in L^m \cap H^{[n/2]+i+|\alpha|+1}$, then

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} (K_{2} * f) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c (1+t)^{-n/(2m)-i-(1/2)|\alpha|} (\|f\|_{L^{m}} + \|f\|_{[n/2]+i+|\alpha|+1}) \\ \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} (K_{2} * f) \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c (1+t)^{(n/4)-n/(2m)-i-(1/2)|\alpha|} (\|f\|_{L^{m}} + \|f\|_{i+|\alpha|}).$$

Here and hereafter c denotes some constant.

Proof. We note that

(9)
$$||f||_{L^k} \leq ||\hat{f}||_{L^m}, \quad \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{m} = 1, \quad 1 \leq m \leq 2,$$

where \hat{f} denotes Fourier transform of f. We show lemma 1 only for $\|(\partial/\partial t)^i(\partial/\partial x)^{\alpha}(K_1*f)\|_{\infty}$. For the other cases, we can give proofs in the same way. We use the following

(10)
$$\int_{0}^{\delta} |\xi|^{k} e^{-c|\xi|^{2}t} d|\xi| \leq c (1+t)^{-(k+1)/2},$$
$$\sup_{0 \leq |\xi| \leq \delta} |\xi|^{k} e^{-c|\xi|^{2}t} \leq c (1+t)^{-k/2} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

which are easily verified. From (9), we have

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\alpha} (K_{1} * f) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\| (i\xi)^{\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^{i}}{dt^{i}} R(\xi, t) \cdot \widehat{f}(\xi) \right\|_{L^{1}}$$
$$\leq c \int |\xi|^{|\alpha|} \left| \frac{d^{i}}{dt^{i}} R(\xi, t) \right| |\widehat{f}(\xi)| d\xi$$

We take a small fixed $\delta > 0$ and divide the last integral into four parts:

$$\int = \int_{|\ell| \ge 1} + \int_{1/2 < |\ell| < 1} + \int_{\delta \le |\ell| \le 1/2} + \int_{|\ell| \le \delta} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$$

We estimate $I_1 \sim I_4$ as follows:

$$|I_1| \leq c e^{-(1/2)t} \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} \frac{|\xi|^{|\alpha|} (1 + \sqrt{-1 + 4|\xi|^2})^t |\widehat{f}(\xi)|}{\sqrt{4|\xi|^2 - 1}} d\xi$$

$$\begin{split} &\leq ce^{-t/3} \sup_{|t|\geq 1} \Big(\frac{(1+\sqrt{4|\xi|^2-1})^i}{|\xi|^{i-1}\sqrt{4|\xi|^2-1}} \Big) \Big(\int_{|t|\geq 1} |\xi|^{-t(n/t)-2} d\xi \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \times \Big(\int |\xi|^{2(n/2)+2(n|+2n|+2n|} \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq ce^{-t/2} \Big\{ I + \sup_{|t|\geq 1/2} \Big(\frac{\sin\left((t/2)\sqrt{-1+4|\xi|^2}\right)}{\sqrt{-1+4|\xi|^2}} \Big) \Big\} \Big(\int |f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c(1+t) e^{-t/2} \Big\{ I + \sup_{\delta < |t|\leq 1/2} \Big(\frac{\sinh\left((t/2)\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}\right)}{\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}} \Big) \\ &\qquad + \sup_{\delta < |t|\leq 1/2} \cosh\left(\frac{t}{2}\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}\right) \Big\} \|f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq ce^{-(t/2)(1-\sqrt{1-4|\xi|})} \|f\|_{L^2} . \\ &|I_4| \leq c \int_{|t|\leq 3/2} \frac{(1-\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2})^i |\xi|^{1/2} |\frac{|f(\xi)|}{\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}} e^{(t/2)t(-1+\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2})} d\xi \\ &\qquad + c \int_{|t|\leq 3} \frac{(1+\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2})^i |\xi|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}} f or |\xi| < \frac{1}{2} , \\ &\leq c \int_{|t|\leq 3} \frac{(1+\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2})^i |\xi|^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}} f or |\xi| < \frac{1}{2} , \\ &\leq c \int_{|t|\leq 3} |\xi|^{2t+|\alpha|} e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi + ce^{-t/2} \int_{|t|\leq 3} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq c \Big(\int_{|t|\leq 3} |\xi|^{2t+|\alpha|} e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi + ce^{-t/2} \int_{|t|\leq 3} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \Big)^{1/k} \\ &\quad + ce^{-t/2} \Big(\int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^k d\xi \Big)^{1/k} \\ &\qquad \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{k} = 1 \quad 1 \leq m \leq 2 \right) \\ &\leq c \left\{ (1+t)^{-(n+m(t+|\alpha|))/2} \right\}^{1/m} \|f\|_{L^m} . \end{split}$$

Therefore, from the above estimates, we have

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\alpha} (K_{1} * f) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c \left(1 + t\right)^{-n/(2m) - i - (1/2)|\alpha|} \left(\|f\|_{L^{m}} + \|f\|_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + i + |\alpha|}\right).$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2. We suppose $\phi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}$, $\psi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}$. Then the solution of (7) satisfies

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\alpha} v\left(t\right) \right\|_{\infty} &\leq c \left(1+t\right)^{-(n/2)-i-(1/2)|\alpha|} \left(\|\phi\|_{[n/2]+i+|\alpha|+1} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} \right) \\ &+ \|\psi\|_{[n/2]+i+|\alpha|} + \|\psi\|_{L^{1}} \right) \\ &\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\alpha} v\left(t\right) \right\|_{L^{2}} &\leq c \left(1+t\right)^{-(n/4)-i-(1/2)|\alpha|} \left(\|\phi\|_{i+|\alpha|} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^{1}} \right) \\ &+ \|\psi\|_{i+|\alpha|-1} + \|\psi\|_{L^{1}} \right) . \end{split}$$

The proof of this lemma follows immediately from the lemma 1 taking m=1.

§ 2. 2. Solutions of Semi-Linear Equations

We consider the following semi-linear wave equation

(2)
$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t + f(u, u_t, \nabla u) = 0,$$
$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \\ u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x). \end{cases}$$

We assume that $f(z) = f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+2})$ is C^k function on \mathbb{R}^{n+2} and satisfies that, for $|z| \leq 1$,

(11)
$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| \leq c|z|^{p} \\ \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^{\alpha} f(z) \right| \leq c|z|^{p-|\alpha|} & \text{if } \min(k,p) > |\alpha| \geq 1, \\ \left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^{\alpha} f(z) \right| \leq c & \text{if } k \geq |\alpha| \geq p \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{n+2}), p > 1.$

As to the estimates of composite function f(v(x, t)), we have

Lemma 3. Suppose that $f \in C^{[n/2]+1+s}(s \ge [(1+[n/2])/2])$ satisfies (11) and $v(x,t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(H^{[n/2]+1+s})$. Then, $f(v(x,t)) \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(H^{[n/2]+1+s})$ and satisfies

(12)
$$||f(v)||_{[n/2]+1+s} \leq c ||v||_{c^{s}}^{p-2} ||v||_{[n/2]+1+s}^{2} h(||v||_{[n/2]+1+s}) \quad for \ p \geq 2$$

$$\leq c ||v||_{[n/2]+1+s}^{p} h(||v||_{[n/2]+1+s}) \quad for \ p > 1$$

 $\|f(v)\|_{L^q} \leq c \|v\|_{\infty}^{p-(2/q)} \|v\|_{L^2}^{2/q} h(\|v\|_{\infty}) \quad for \ 1 \leq q \leq 2, \ pq \geq 2.$

Here and hereafter, we represent by h(y) some nondecreasing nonnegative and continuous function on $y \ge 0$, and $||v||_{c^s}$ means $\sum_{|\alpha|\le s} \sup |(\partial/\partial x)^{\alpha}v(x)|$. We omit the proof. (See von Wahl [5]). For the nonlinear term f, we consider the three cases

case 1.
$$f=f(u_t)$$
,
case 2. $f=f(u_t, \nabla u)$,
case 3. $f=f(u, u_t, \nabla u)$

If n=1, we have the following

Theorem 3.
$$(n=1)$$
 We suppose $\phi(x)$, $\psi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}$ and
 $\|\phi\|_{2+k} + \|\phi\|_{1+k} + \|\phi\|_{L^1} + \|\psi\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon$ $(k \geq 0)$.

Furthermore, we suppose that $f(z) \in C^{1+k}$ satisfies (11). Then, there exists a small positive constant ε_0 such that the Cauchy problem for (2) has a unique C^{2+k} solution for $0 < \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and p which satisfies the following conditions, and we have

if $p \ge 2$ for case 1 and 2 or p > 3 for case 3

(13)
$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{j} u(t) \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq (1+t)^{-\min\{(1/4)+i+(1/2)j,((1/2)+s)p-1/2\}}$$

$$for \quad 0 \leq i+j \leq 2+k$$

$$\left\| \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial \end{array} \right)^{i} \left(\begin{array}{c} \partial \end{array} \right)^{j} u(t) \right\| \leq c(1+t)^{-\min\{(1/2)+i+(1/2)j,((1/2)+s)p-1/2\}}$$

(14)
$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{\prime} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{\prime} u(t) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c (1+t)^{-\min\{(1/2)+i+(1/2)j,((1/2)+s)p-1/2\}}$$

for $0 \leq i+j \leq 1+k$

if 2 > p > 1 for case 1, or $2 > p > \frac{\sqrt{17} - 1}{2}$ for case 2

(15)
$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{j} u(t) \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c \left(1 + t \right)^{-\min\left\{ (p-1)/4 + i + (1/2)j, ((p-1)/4 + s)p \right\}} for \quad 0 \leq i + j \leq 2 + k$$

(16)
$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^j u(t) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c \left(1 + t \right)^{-\min\{(p/4) + i + (1/2)j, ((p-1)/4 + s)p\}}$$

for $0 \leq i+j \leq 1+k$.

Here, s represents

$$s = \begin{cases} 1 & for \ case \ 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & for \ case \ 2, \\ 0 & for \ case \ 3. \end{cases}$$

If $n \ge 2$, we treat only $p \ge 2$. We have the following

Theorem 4. $(n \ge 2)$ We suppose $\phi(x)$, $\psi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}$ and

$$\|\phi\|_{[n/2]+2+k} + \|\phi\|_{[n/2]+1+k} + \|\phi\|_{L^1} + \|\psi\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon, \ k \geq \left[\frac{[n/2]+1}{2}\right].$$

Furthermore, we suppose that $f(z) \in C^{[n/2]+1+k}$ satisfies (11) and p>2for n=2 case 3, or $p\geq 2$ for the other cases. Then, there exists a small positive constant ε_0 such that the Cauchy problem for (2) has a unique $\mathcal{E}_t^0(H^{[n/2]+3+k}) \cap \mathcal{E}_t^1(H^{[n/2]+2+k})$ solution for $0 < \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\alpha} u(t) \right\|_{L^{2}} &\leq c \, (1+t)^{-\min\{(n/4)+i+(1/2)|\alpha|, \, ((n/2)+s)p-(n/2)\}} \\ for \quad 0 \leq i+|\alpha| \leq 3+k+\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \\ \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\alpha} u(t) \right\|_{\infty} &\leq c \, (1+t)^{-\min\{(n/2)+i+(1/2)|\alpha|, \, ((n/2)+s)p-(n/2)\}} \\ for \quad 0 \leq i+|\alpha| \leq 2+k \, . \end{split}$$

Here, s represents

$$s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for case 1,} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{for case 2,} \\ 0 & \text{for case 3.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. The existence and uniqueness for local solution are well known so that we show decay estimates which show a priori estimates for global solution. Then we suppose the solution $u(x, t) \in C^{2+k}$ exists. u(x, t) satisfies the integral equation

SEMI-LINEAR WAVE EQUATION

(17)
$$u(t) = v(t) - \int_0^t K_1(t-\tau) * f(u(\tau), u_t(\tau), u_x(\tau)) d\tau,$$

where v(t) is the solution of linear equation (7) whose Cauchy data are equal to (2). Differentiating (17) with respect to t, we have

(18)
$$u_t(t) = v_t(t) - \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_1(t-\tau) * f(u(\tau), u_t(\tau), u_x(\tau)) d\tau$$

We only give a proof for the case 1, $f=f(u_t)$. For the other cases, we can give proofs by almost the same way. We first note that for a, b>0,

$$\int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau+1)^{-a} (\tau+1)^{-b} d\tau \leq c (1+t)^{-\min(a,b)}, \quad \max(a,b) > 1$$

that is shown in Segal [4]. From (18) and Lemma 2,

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{k+1} \leq c \varepsilon (1+t)^{-5/4} + \int_0^t \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) (K_1 * f) \right\|_{k+1} d\tau.$$

Now, we suppose $p \ge 2$. Then Lemma 1 with m=1 gives

(19)
$$\|u_{t}(t)\|_{k+1} \leq c \varepsilon (1+t)^{-5/4} + c \int_{0}^{t} (1+t-\tau)^{-5/4} \{ \|f(u_{t}(\tau))\|_{L^{1}} + \|f(u_{t}(\tau))\|_{k+1} \} d\tau$$

Lemma 3 gives

$$||f(u_t)||_{L^1} + ||f(u_t)||_{k+1} \leq c ||u_t||_{\ell^k}^{p-2} ||u_t||_{k+1}^2 h(||u_t||_{k+1}).$$

Substituting the above into (19),

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{k+1} \leq c\varepsilon(1+t)^{-5/4} + c \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-5/4} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}^p h(\|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}) d\tau$$

$$= \sup_{t \to 0} (1+\tau)^{5/4} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}$$

putting $M(t) = \sup_{0 \le r \le t} (1+\tau)^{5/4} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}$,

$$\leq c\varepsilon(1+t)^{-5/4} + ch(M(t)) \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-5/4} (1+\tau)^{-(5/4)p} (M(\tau))^p d\tau$$

$$\leq c\varepsilon(1+t)^{-5/4} + c(1+t)^{-5/4} (M(t))^p h(M(t))$$

so that

$$M(t) \leq c\varepsilon + c(M(t))^{p}h(M(t)).$$

Therefore, there exists ε_0 such that $M(t) \leq c$ for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ (cf [4]) so that

(20)
$$\|u_t(t)\|_{k+1} \leq c (1+t)^{-5/4}$$

Next, from (18) and Lemmas 1, 2 and 3,

AKITAKA MATSUMURA

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t(t)\|_{c^k} &\leq c \, (1+t)^{-3/2} + c \, \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-3/2} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}^p h\left(\|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}\right) d\tau \\ &\leq c \, (1+t)^{-3/2} + c \, \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-3/2} (1+\tau)^{-(5/4)p} d\tau \, . \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

(21)
$$\|u_t(t)\|_{c^k} \leq c (1+t)^{-3/2}.$$

Furthermore, for i=0, 1,

(22)
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} u = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} v - \int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} (K_{1}*f) d\tau.$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} v(t) \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c (1+t)^{-(1/4)-i-(1/2)j},$$
$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} v(t) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c (1+t)^{-(1/2)-i-(1/2)j}.$$

By virtue of Lemmas 1 and 3, we have

$$\int_0^t \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^j K_1^* f(u_t(\tau)) \right\|_{L^2} d\tau$$

$$\leq c \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-(1/4)-i-(1/2)j} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{\ell^k}^{p-2} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}^2 d\tau$$

substituting (20) and (21) into the above,

$$\leq c \int_{0}^{t} (1+t-\tau)^{-(1/4)-i-(1/2)j} (1+\tau)^{-(3/2)p+1/2} d\tau$$

$$\leq c (1+t)^{-\min((1/4)+i+(1/2)j,(3/2)p-1/2)}$$
for $0 \leq i+j \leq k+2$.

Analogously, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{j} K_{1}^{*} f(u_{t}(\tau)) \right\|_{\infty} d\tau$$

$$\leq c (1+t)^{-\min((1/2)+i+(1/2)j, (3/2)p-1/2)}$$
for $0 \leq i+j \leq k+1$.

Thus, we can get the desired estimates (13) and (14) for i=0, 1. For

 $i \geq 2$, we have

(23)
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} u = \text{right hand side of (22)}$$

 $-\sum_{s=0}^{i-2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{s} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i-1-s} K_{1}(0) * f(u_{t}(t)) \right\}.$

Our problem is the last term. For the moment, we suppose that

(24)
$$\sum_{s=1}^{i} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{s} u \right\|_{k+1-s} \leq c (1+t)^{-5/4},$$
$$\sum_{s=1}^{i} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{s} u \right\|_{c^{k+1-s}} \leq c (1+t)^{-3/2}$$
for $0 \leq i \leq i_{0}.$

Then, let's show (13) and (14) for $i=i_0+1$. From (8), it follows

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{s+2} R_1(\xi,0) = \sum_{n=1}^{s+1} \lambda_1^m \lambda_2^{s+1-m}, \text{ where } \lambda_{1,2} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}}{2}.$$

Hence, from (23) with $i=i_0+1$, we have

substituting (24) into the above

$$\leq c (1+t)^{-(3/2)p+1/4} \leq c (1+t)^{-\min((1/4)+i+(1/2)j,(3/2)p-(1/2))}.$$

In the same way, we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^{i-2} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^s \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^j \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{i-1-s} K_1(0)^* f(u_t(t)) \right\} \right\|_{\infty}$$
$$\leq c (1+t)^{-\min((1/2)-i+(1/2)j,(3/2)p-(1/2))}.$$

Thus, (13) and (14) hold for $i=i_0+1$ and this clearly shows that (24)

holds for $i=i_0+1$. Therefore, we can get the desired decay estimates inductively because (24) is true for i=0, 1 as we verified already.

If 1 , Lemmas 1 and 2 give

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{k+1} \leq c \varepsilon (1+t)^{-(5/4)} + c \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-(3/4)-(1/2m)} (\|f(u_t)\|_{L^m} + \|f(u_t)\|_{k+1}) d\tau.$$

Then choosing m as pm=2 in Lemma 3,

$$\leq c \varepsilon (1+t)^{-5/4} + c \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-(p+3)/4} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}^p h(\|u_t(\tau)\|_{k+1}) d\tau.$$

We can get

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{k+1} \leq c (1+t)^{-(p+3)/4}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$$

by the same method as before. The remainder is same as $p \ge 2$.

Q.E.D.

We omit the proof of the Theorem 4 because we show it by the same way as in the Theorem 3.

§ 2.3. Special Case $f = |u_t|^{p-1}u_t$, n = 1

We consider the following equation

(25)
$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_t + |u_t|^{p-1}u_t = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^1, \ p > 1$$

with

$$\begin{cases} u(x,0) = \phi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}, \\ u_t(x,0) = \psi(x) \in C_0^{\infty} \end{cases}$$

For this case, we can get the decay estimates without the smallness conditions of ϕ and ψ . We first prepare the following

Lemma 4. Let u(x, t) be a C_0^2 solution of the Cauchy problem for (25). Then

$$||u_t(t)||_{\infty}$$
, $||u_t(t)||_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. From (21), we have

(26)
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int u_{t}^{2}(\tau) dx d\tau \leq E, \quad \int (u_{t}^{2}(t) + u_{tt}^{2}(t) + u_{tx}^{2}(t)) dx \leq E \text{ for } t \geq 0$$

where E is some constant that depends only on ϕ and ψ . From (26), we have

$$\left|\left(\int u_{\iota}^{2}(t_{1}) dx\right)^{2} - \left(\int u_{\iota}^{2}(t_{2}) dx\right)^{2}\right| = \left|4\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}\left(\int u_{\iota}^{2} dx\right)\left(\int u_{\iota} u_{\iota\iota} dx\right) d\tau\right|$$
$$\leq E \left|\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{1}}\int u_{\iota}^{2}(\tau) dx d\tau\right|.$$

Therefore, $||u_t(t)||_{L^2}$ is asymptotic to constant as $t \to +\infty$. If this constant is not zero, it contradicts (26). Thus we have

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$$
 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Furthermore, from

$$u_{t}^{2}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} 2u_{t}u_{tx}dx \leq 2||u_{t}(t)||_{L^{2}}||u_{tx}(t)||_{L^{2}}$$

we have

$$\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$$
 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 5. We suppose that $\phi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}$, $\psi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}$ and p > 1. Then, the Cauchy problem for (25) has a unique C^2 solution such that; if $p \ge 2$

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} u(t) \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c (1+t)^{-(1/4)-i-(1/2)j} \quad for \quad i+j \leq 2$$
$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} u(t) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c (1+t)^{-(1/2)-i-(1/2)j} \quad for \quad i+j \leq 1,$$

and if p < 2

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} u(t) \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq c \left(1+t\right)^{-\min\left\{\left(p-1\right)/4+i+\left(1/2\right)j\right\}, p(p+3)/4\right\}} for \ i+j \leq 2$$
$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{j} u(t) \right\|_{\infty} \leq c \left(1+t\right)^{-\min\left\{\left(p/4\right)+i+\left(1/2\right)j\right\}, p(p+3)/4\right\}} for \ i+j \leq 1.$$

Proof. We only give a proof for $p \ge 2$ because the reasoning for p < 2 is almost the same. (18) and Lemmas 1 and 2 give

$$\|u_{t}(t)\|_{1} \leq c (1+t)^{-(5/4)} + c \int_{0}^{t} (1+t-\tau)^{-(5/4)} \{\||u_{t}|^{\rho-1} u_{t}\|_{1}$$

AKITAKA MATSUMURA

$$+ \| |u_t|^{p-1} u_t \|_{L^1} d\tau$$
.

For this case, we use

$$\| |v|^{p-1}v \|_{1} \leq c \|v\|_{\infty}^{p-1} \|v\|_{1}, \ \| |v|^{p-1}v \|_{L^{1}} \leq \|v\|_{\infty}^{p-2} \|v\|_{L^{1}}^{2}$$

that are easily verified by the modified lemma 3. Therefore, we have

$$\|u_t(t)\|_1 \leq c(1+t)^{-5/4} + cI(0,t)$$

where

$$I(0, t) = \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-5/4} (\|u_t(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|u_t(\tau)\|_{\infty}) \|u_t(\tau)\|_1 \|u_t(\tau)\|_{\infty}^{p-2} d\tau.$$

Now, we divide I(0, t) into I(0, t/2) + I(t/2, t) and define M(t) by

$$M(t) = \sup_{0 \le t \le t} (1+\tau)^{5/4} \|u_t(\tau)\|_1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} I\left(0,\frac{1}{2}t\right) &\leq c \int_{0}^{(1/2)t} (1+t-\tau)^{-5/4} \|u_{t}(\tau)\|_{1} d\tau \\ &\leq c \left(1+\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-5/4} \int_{0}^{(1/2)t} (1+\tau)^{-5/4} M(\tau) d\tau , \\ I\left(\frac{1}{2}t,t\right) &\leq \sup_{(1/2)t \leq \tau \leq t} \{\|u_{t}\|_{\infty}^{p-1} + \|u_{t}\|_{\infty}^{p-2} \|u_{t}\|_{L^{2}} \} \\ &\times \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} (1+t-\tau)^{-5/4} (1+\tau)^{-5/4} M(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq c \left(1+\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-5/4} M(t) \sup_{(1/2)t \leq \tau \leq t} \{\|u_{t}(\tau)\|_{\infty}^{p-1} + \|u_{t}(\tau)\|_{\infty}^{p-2} \|u_{t}(\tau)\|_{L^{2}} \}. \end{split}$$

From the above estimates, we have

$$M(t) \leq c + c \int_{0}^{(1/2)t} (1+\tau)^{-5/4} M(\tau) d\tau + c \sup_{(1/2)t \leq \tau \leq t} \{ \|u_t(\tau)\|_{\infty}^{p-1} + \|u_t(\tau)\|_{\infty}^{p-2} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{L^2} \} M(t) \,.$$

Then, by Lemma 4, the choice of sufficient large t, say $t \ge T$, gives

$$c \sup_{(1/2)t \leq \tau \leq t} \{ \| u(\tau) \|_{\infty}^{p-1} + \| u(\tau) \|_{\infty}^{p-2} \| u(\tau) \|_{L^{2}} \} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$M(t) \leq c + c \int_0^t (1+ au)^{-5/4} M(au) d au$$
 for all $t \geq T$.

This implies

$$\|u_t(t)\|_1 \leq c (1+t)^{-5/4}$$

so that we can get the conclusion by the same way as in Theorem 3.

Q.E.D.

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Yujiro Ohya and Doctor Takaaki Nishida for their many suggestions and criticisms.

References

- Glassey, R. T., On the asymptotic behavior of nonlinear wave equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 182 (1973), 187-200.
- [2] Morawetz, C. S. and Strauss, W. A., Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear relativistic wave equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 25 (1972), 1-31.
- [3] Segal, I. E., Quantization and dispersion for nonlinear relativistic equations, Mathematical Theory of Elementary Particles, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1966, 79-108.
- [4] —, Dispersion for non-linear relativistic equations, II, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., (4) 1 (1968), 459-497.
- [5] von Wahl, W., Über die klassische Lösbarkeit des Cauchy-Problems für nichtlineare Wellengleichungen bei kleinen Anfangswerten und das asymptotische Verhalten der Lösungen, Math. Z., 114 (1970), 281–299.