# Supplement to "Pseudoconvex Domains on a Kähler Manifold with Positive Holomorphic Bisectional Curvature"

Ву

Osamu SUZUKI\*

#### Introduction

In this note a kähler manifold is always assumed to have a kähler metric of  $C^{\infty}$ -class. The purpose of this note is to prove the following

**Theorem.** Let M be a kähler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. Then every relatively compact pseudoconvex domain in M is Stein.

By definition, a kähler manifold with positive sectional curvature has positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. So the following Corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem:

Corollary. Let M be a kähler manifold with positive sectional curvature. Then every relatively compact pseudoconvex domain in M is Stein.

In O. Suzuki [3], the author proved that if M has a real analytic kähler metric with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature, then every relatively compact pseudoconvex domain in M is holomorphically convex. After the completion of O. Suzuki [3], the paper of G. Elencwajg [1] appeared. There he proved the same result as in O. Suzuki [3] in the case of kähler metrics of  $C^{\infty}$ -class. Therefore we see that both results

Communicated by S. Nakano, April 19, 1976.

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Nihon University, Tokyo 156, Japan.

obtained in O.Suzuki [3] and G. Elencwajg [1] are included in our Theorem.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professors S. Nakano, S. Iitaka and A. Takeuchi and Mr. T. Sasaki for their encouragements during the preparation of this paper.

## § 1. A Lemma on Kähler Metrics

Let U' be a domain on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The Euclidian coordinates are denoted by  $z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n$ . Suppose that a kähler metric is given by using a real potential function  $\Psi^{(0)}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}$ -class on U' as follows:

$$(1 \cdot 1) egin{array}{l} ds^2 \! = \! 2 \sum g^{(0)}_{i,ar{j}} dz^i \! \cdot \! dar{z}^j \,, \ \ 2g^{(0)}_{i,ar{j}} \! = \! rac{\partial^2 \! arPsi^{(0)}}{\partial z^i \partial ar{z}^j} \,. \end{array}$$

Take a relatively compact domain U in U'. Following Whitney, for any  $\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_1>0)$  and for any non-negative integer  $\alpha_0$  there exists a real analytic function  $\Psi^{(1)}$  on the closure  $\bar{U}$  of U satisfying  $\|D^{\alpha}(\Psi^{(0)}-\Psi^{(1)})\|_{\bar{v}}<\varepsilon$  for any multiorder  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha|\leq \alpha_0$ , where  $D^{\alpha}=\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial z^{\alpha_1}}\cdot\frac{\partial^{\alpha_2}}{\partial z^{\alpha_2}}\cdots\frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\alpha_n}}$ ,  $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\bar{\alpha}_n$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  means the supremum norm.

Choose a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\varepsilon_{\nu}\}$  with  $\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$   $(\nu \rightarrow \infty)$ . Similarly, we take a  $\Psi^{(\nu)}$  for  $\varepsilon_{\nu}$  and  $\alpha_{0}$  and set

$$(1\!\cdot\!2)_{\,{}_{(
u)}} \left\{egin{array}{l} ds^2\!=\!2\sum g^{(
u)}_{i,ar{j}}dz^i\!\cdot\!dar{z}^j\,, \ \\ 2g^{(
u)}_{i,ar{j}}=rac{\partial^2 \! arPsi^{\,(
u)}}{\partial z^i\partialar{z}^j}\,. \end{array}
ight.$$

We may assume that  $(1\cdot 2)_{(\nu)}$  gives a kähler metric for every  $\nu$ . For two points p and q in M, we denote its distance by d(p,q) (resp.  $d_{\nu}(p,q)$ ) with respect to the metric  $(1\cdot 1)$  (resp.  $(1\cdot 2)_{(\nu)}$ ). We write  $B_{\theta}(p) = \{q \in M: d(p,q) < \delta\}$ .

First we prove the following Lemma (compare with Lemma 2 in A. Takeuchi [4]):

**Lemma** (1·3)<sub>(v)</sub>. (i) For any point  $p_0 \in U$ , there exist positive constants  $\delta$ ,  $M_0$  and a neighborhood V of  $p_0$  such that the following

holds for every  $\nu$ : For any point p in V and for any geodesic  $\sigma_{\nu}$  through p with respect to  $(1\cdot 2)_{(\nu)}$ , there exist a neighborhood  $U_{\nu}$  of p and a system of local coordinates  $z_{\nu}^{1}, z_{\nu}^{2}, \dots, z_{\nu}^{n}$  at p on  $U_{\nu}$  satisfying the following  $(1) \sim (4)$ :

- (1)  $\sigma_{\nu}$  is expressed as Im  $z_{\nu}^{1}=0$ ,  $z_{\nu}^{2}=0$ , ...,  $z_{\nu}^{n}=0$ ,
- (2) {| Re  $z_{\nu}^{1}| < \delta$ , Im  $z_{\nu}^{1} = 0$ ,  $z_{\nu}^{2} = 0$ , ...,  $z_{\nu}^{n} = 0$ }  $\subset U_{\nu}$ ,
- (3) The metric tensor with respect to  $z_{\nu}^{1}, z_{\nu}^{2}, \dots, z_{\nu}^{n}$  is expressed as

$$2g_{i,\bar{i}}^{(\nu)} = \delta_{i,j} + 2\sum_{k,\bar{i},\bar{k}\bar{k}}^{(\nu)}(0)z_{\nu}^{k}\bar{z}_{\nu}^{1} + \cdots,$$

- (4) Let  $\phi_{\nu}$ :  $I_{\delta} \rightarrow U_{\nu}$  be  $z_{\nu}^{1} = t$ ,  $z_{\nu}^{2} = 0$ , ...,  $z^{n} = 0$  where  $I_{\delta} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t| < \delta\}$ . Then  $\|D_{l}^{\alpha}(g_{i,\bar{j}}^{(\nu)} \circ \phi_{\nu})\| \leq M_{0}$  and  $\|D_{l}^{\alpha}(g_{i,\bar{j}}^{i,\bar{j}} \circ \phi_{\nu})\| \leq M_{0}$  for  $\alpha \leq \alpha_{0}$ , where  $(g_{\nu}^{i,\bar{j}})$  denotes the inverse matrix of  $(g_{i,\bar{j}}^{(\nu)})$ .
- (ii) For any relatively comact domain V in U, there exist positive constants  $\delta$  and  $M_0$  satisfying (1)  $\sim$  (4) for any point  $p \in V$ .

*Proof of* (i). We choose a neighborhood V of  $p_0$  so that there exists a system of real analytic sections  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  on V of the holomorphic tangent bundle which are linearly independent at every point in V. Making V smaller, we fix an orthonormal system  $e_1^{(\nu)}, e_2^{(\nu)}, \dots, e_n^{(\nu)}$  with respect to  $(1 \cdot 2)_{(\nu)}$  in the following manner:

$$e_i^{(\nu)} = \sum_{k=1}^i \alpha_k^{(\nu)} e_k \ (i=1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $\alpha_k^{(\omega)}$   $(k=1,2,\cdots,i)$  are determined by the condition  $(e_k^{(\omega)},e_j^{(\omega)})_{\nu}$   $=\delta_{k,j}$   $(k,j=1,2,\cdots,i)$ . Here  $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\nu}$  denotes the inner product defined by  $(1\cdot 2)_{(\nu)}$ . Also we define an orthonormal system  $e_1^{(0)},e_2^{(0)},\cdots,e_n^{(0)}$  on V with respect to  $(1\cdot 1)$  in the same manner. Let

$$e_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(0)} = \sum \lambda_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(0)k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$$
 and  $e_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(\nu)} = \sum \lambda_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(\nu)k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$   $(i=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,n)$ .

Then for any positive  $\varepsilon$  we can find  $\nu_1$  such that

Take a point  $p \in V$  and write  $z^i(p) = z_0^i$ . After A. Takeuchi [4, p. 327], we can choose local coordinates  $z_{\nu}^{'1}, z_{\nu}^{'2}, \dots, z_{\nu}^{'n}$  at p by

$$z^{i} - z_{0}^{i} = \sum_{k} \lambda_{k}^{(k)} (p) z_{k}^{i} (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Making V smaller, we may assume that these give local coordinates on  $B_{\delta}(p)$  with  $\delta$  independent of  $\nu$ . Choose a unitary matrix  $(\alpha_j^i)$  and set

We shall choose positive constants  $\delta$ ,  $M_0$  independent of  $\nu$  and determine  $\{\beta_{(\nu)jk}^i\}$ ,  $\{\gamma_{(\nu)jkl}^i\}$  so that  $w_{\nu}^1, w_{\nu}^2, \cdots, w_{\nu}^n$  are local coordinates on  $B_{\delta}(p)$  with the following properties:

$$(1\cdot 4) 2\widetilde{g}_{i,\bar{j}}^{(\prime)} = \delta_{\cdot,\bar{j}} + \sum_{l} 2\widetilde{K}_{i\bar{j}\bar{s}\bar{t}}^{(\prime)}(0) w_{s}^{s} \overline{w}_{l}^{t} + \cdots,$$

where  $\widetilde{g}_{i,j}^{(\nu)}$  denotes the metric tensor with respect to  $w_{\nu}^{1}, w_{\nu}^{2}, \cdots, w_{\nu}^{n}$ ,

$$(1.5) |\beta_{(\nu)jk}^i| \leq M_0 \text{ and } |\gamma_{(\nu)jkl}^i| \leq M_0.$$

By A. Takeuchi [4, (8), (9) in p. 328], we can choose  $\{\beta_{(\nu)jk}^i\}$ ,  $\{\gamma_{(\nu)jkl}^i\}$  satisfying (1·4). By the choices of  $\Psi^{(\nu)}$  and  $\{\beta_{(\nu)jk}^i\}$ ,  $\{\gamma_{(\nu)jkl}^i\}$ , we can find  $M_0$  satisfying (1·5). By this we can choose a required  $\delta$ . Making  $M_0$  larger, from (1·3) and (1·5) we see that

Now we take a geodesic  $\sigma_{\nu}$  through p. Choosing a suitable  $(\alpha_{j}^{t})$ , we may assume that  $\sigma_{\nu}$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^{2}w_{\nu}^{i}}{ds_{\nu}^{2}} + \sum_{k,h} \frac{f_{k,h}^{i(\nu)}}{ds_{\nu}} \frac{dw_{\nu}^{k}}{ds_{\nu}} \frac{dw_{\nu}^{h}}{ds_{\nu}} = 0, \\ w_{\nu}^{i}(0) = 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \\ \frac{dw_{\nu}^{i}}{ds_{\nu}}(0) = \delta_{i,1} \end{cases}$$

where  $s_{\nu}$  denotes the length of  $\sigma_{\nu}$  and  $\Gamma_{j,h}^{i(\nu)}$  denote the connection coefficients. The solution is denoted by  $w_{\nu}^{i} = \varphi_{\nu}^{i}(s_{\nu})$   $(i=1,2,\cdots,n)$ . Setting  $\varphi_{\nu}^{i}(-s_{\nu})$ , we get the expression of  $\sigma_{\nu}$  in the opposite direction. In what follows, we assume that the parameter  $s_{\nu}$  is extended to some interval containing the origin. Then we can find a constant  $\delta$  independent of  $\nu$  such that  $\frac{d\varphi_{\nu}^{1}}{ds_{\nu}} \neq 0$  for  $|s_{\nu}| < \delta$ . Let  $z_{\nu}^{1} = s_{\nu} + \sqrt{-1}$   $t_{\nu}$  and make a holomorphic extension  $\varphi_{\nu}^{1}(z_{\nu}^{1})$  of  $\varphi_{\nu}^{1}(s_{\nu})$  on  $U_{\nu}^{1} = \{z_{\nu}^{1}: |\operatorname{Re} z_{\nu}^{1}| < \delta$ .

 $|\operatorname{Im} z_{\nu}^{1}| < \varepsilon_{\nu}\}$ , where  $\varepsilon_{\nu}$  is a positive constant. We may assume that  $\varphi_{\nu}^{1}(z_{\nu}^{1})$  is a univalent function on  $U_{\nu}^{1}$ .  $z_{\nu}^{1} = \phi_{\nu}^{1}(w_{\nu}^{1})$  denotes the inverse of  $\varphi_{\nu}^{1}(z_{\nu}^{1})$ . Define a new system of local coordinates  $z_{\nu}^{1}, z_{\nu}^{2}, \dots, z_{\nu}^{n}$  on  $U_{\nu} = U_{\nu}^{1} \times \{|z_{\nu}^{2}| < \delta, \dots, |z_{\nu}^{n}| < \delta\}$  by

$$z_{\nu}^{1} = \phi^{1}(w_{\nu}^{1}), z_{\nu}^{2} = w_{\nu}^{2}, \dots, z_{\nu}^{n} = w_{\nu}^{n}.$$

By A. Takeuchi [4, p.332-333], the conditions (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied. Taking acount that

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} g_{i,ar{j}}^{(oldsymbol{\omega})}\left(z_{
u}
ight) = \sum \widetilde{g}_{k,ar{l}}^{(oldsymbol{\omega})}\left(w_{
u}
ight) rac{\partial w_{
u}^{\ k}}{\partial z_{
u}^{\ j}} rac{\overline{\partial w_{
u}^{\ l}}}{\partial z_{
u}^{\ j}} 
ight. \ \left. \sum \widetilde{g}_{i,ar{j}}^{(oldsymbol{\omega})}\left(w_{
u}
ight) rac{dw_{
u}^{\ i}}{ds_{
u}} rac{\overline{dw_{
u}^{\ j}}}{ds_{
u}} = 1 
ight., \end{array}
ight.$$

and by using (1.6), we can easily see (4).

The proof of (ii) is easily done by using (i) and the compactness of  $\overline{V}$ .

#### § 2. Proof of Theorem

In this section, M is assumed to be a kähler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. Let D be a relatively compact domain in M. We set

$$d(p) = \inf_{q \in \partial D} d(p, q)$$
 and  $\varphi(p) = -\log d(p)$  for  $p \in D$ .

Also we set  $D_{\delta} = \{q \in D: d(q) < \delta\}$ . Then we have the following

**Theorem** (2·1). Let M be a kähler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. For a compact set K there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  such that the following inequality holds for any pseudoconvex domain D in K:

$$W(\varphi)(p) \ge \rho/16$$
 for  $p \in D_{\delta}$ ,

where  $W(\varphi)$  (p) means the minimum of the eigenvalues of the hessian of  $\varphi$  at p and  $\varrho$  is the minimum of the holomorphic bisectional curvature on K.

For the proof of Theorem  $(2\cdot 1)$ , it is sufficient to show the following

Lemma  $(2\cdot 2)$ . In fact, replacing Lemma 5 in A. Takeuchi [4] by this Lemma and using Lemma 6 in A. Takeuchi [4], we prove the assertion.

Let U' be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and consider a kähler metric  $(1\cdot 1)$  on U'. We fix a real analytic approximation of  $(1\cdot 1)$  as  $(1\cdot 2)_{(p)}$  on U with  $U \subset U'$ . Let D be an s-pseudoconvex domain V in U whose boundary is of  $C^{\infty}$ -class. Take a relatively compact domain in U. Making  $\delta$  so small that (1)  $d(p, U^c) > 2\delta$  for  $p \in V$ , where  $U^c$  means the complement of U and (2) (ii) in  $(1\cdot 3)_{(p)}$  holds for V. Then we have

**Lemma** (2·2). There exists a positive  $\delta_*$  such that  $W(\varphi)(p) \ge \rho/16$  for  $p \in V \cap D_{\delta_*}$ , where  $\rho$  is the infimum of the holomorphic bisectional curvature on U.

*Proof.* Choosing  $\alpha_0$  sufficiently large, we may assume that the infimum  $\rho_{\nu}$  of the holomorphic bisectional curvature on U with respect to  $(1\cdot 2)_{(\nu)}$  satisfying  $\rho_{\nu} > \rho/2$  for large  $\nu$ . Take a point  $p \in V \cap D_{\delta}$ . Then for every  $\nu$ , we can find a point  $q_{\nu} \in \partial D$  and a geodesic  $\sigma_{\nu}$  between p and  $q_{\nu}$  which attains  $d(p) = d(p, q_{\nu})$ . For  $\sigma_{\nu}$  choose a system of local coordinates  $z_{\nu}^{-1}, z_{\nu}^{-2}, \dots, z_{\nu}^{-n}$  as in Lemma  $(1\cdot 3)_{(\nu)}$ . Then by O. Suzuki [3],

$$W(\varphi_{\nu})(p) \geq \rho/8 - F_{\nu}(p) \cdot d_{\nu}(p)$$

where  $\varphi_{\nu}(p) = -\log d_{\nu}(p)$ . The estimate of  $F_{\nu}(p)$  can be done by using the estimates of  $\|G_{i,j}^{(\nu)}\|$ ,  $\|G_{i,j}^{(\nu)}\|$ ,  $\|G_{i,j}^{(\nu)}\|$  on  $0 \le t < \delta$  which are defined by

$$egin{aligned} g_{i,j}^{(
u)} \circ \phi_
u(t) &= t^k G_{i,j}^{(
u)}(t)\,, \ & rac{d}{dt} g_{i,j}^{(
u)} \circ \phi_
u(t) &= t^l G_{i,j}^{(
u)}(t)\,, \ & \Gamma_{i,j}^{k(
u)} \circ \phi_
u(t) &= t^s G_{i,j}^{(
u)k}(t)\,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_{i,j}^{(\nu)}$ ,  $G_{i,j}^{(\nu)\prime}$ ,  $G_{i,j}^{(\nu)\prime}$  are real analytic functions of t and k, l, m are non-negative integers less than 4. Making  $\alpha_0$  large again, we can estimate these functions by using  $M_0$  which is defined in (3).(1) in Lemma (1·3). Then we can find a positive constant  $M_*$  which is determined only by  $M_0$  satisfying  $|F_{\nu}^{(\nu)}(p)| \leq M_*$  for  $p \in V \cap D_{\delta}$ . Define  $\delta_* = \min(\delta, \rho/16M_*)$ . Then we conclude that  $W(\varphi)(p) \geq \rho/16$  for  $p \in V \cap D_{\delta}$ . By Theorem (2·1), we obtain

**Theorem**  $(2 \cdot 3)$ . Let M be a kähler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature. Then M admits no exceptional analytic sets in the sense of H. Grauert [2].

*Proof.* Suppose that M admits an exceptional analytic set E. We consider a connected component of E which is also denoted by the same letter E. By H. Grauert [2], E has an s-pseudoconvex neighborhood system  $\{V_{\varepsilon}(E)\}$ . Then there exist a compact set E and E satisfying E satisfying E smaller, we may assume that E such that E satisfying the contradict of E smaller, we may assume that E such that E is such that E such that E is such that E such that E is such

Our Theorem stated in Introduction is nothing but the combination of Theorem  $(2\cdot 1)$  with Theorem  $(2\cdot 3)$ . Hereby we also complete the proof of Theorem.

### References

- [1] Elencwajg, G., Pseudo-convexité locale dans les variétés kählériennes, Ann. Inst. Fourier., 25 (1975), 295-314.
- [2] Grauert, H., Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytishe Mengen, *Math. Ann.*, **146** (1962), 331–368.
- [3] Suzuki, O., Pseudoconvex domains on a kähler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 12 (1976), 191-214.
- [4] Takeuchi, A., Domaines pseudoconvexes sur les variétés kählériennes, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 6 (1967), 323-357.