

Complex-dimensional Integral and Light-cone Singularities

by

Noboru NAKANISHI*

Abstract

The notion of a complex-dimensional integral is introduced in the complex n -dimensional Minkowski space. Its basic properties, such as Lorentz invariance, are investigated. Complex-dimensional invariant delta functions $\mathcal{A}_n(x; m^2)$, $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_n(x; m^2)$, etc. are explicitly calculated in position space. It is proposed to define products of singular functions in the ordinary Minkowski space by analytically continuing the corresponding n -dimensional ones to $n=4$. The light-cone singularities of $[\mathcal{A}(x; m^2)]^2$, $\mathcal{A}(x; m^2) \times \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(x; m^2)$ and $[\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(x; m^2)]^2$ are shown to be unambiguously determined in this way.

Recently, in quantum field theory, much attention has been paid to complex-dimensional regularization [1]. The momentum-space Feynman integral is regularized by considering it in the complex n -dimensional space formally. The extension of the dimension 4 to the complex dimension n is easily done in the Feynman-parametric representation of the Feynman integral. The purpose of my talk is to formulate the theory of complex-dimensional integrals in the general framework and apply it to regularizing singular products in position space. Detailed accounts are presented in my papers [2, 3].

The complex n -dimensional Minkowski space M^n is a product of a one-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R} and a complex $(n-1)$ -dimensional space E^{n-1} such that the scalar product in M^n is defined by the difference between the product in \mathbf{R} and the scalar product in E^{n-1} . Here E^{n-1} is an abstract vector space equipped with a real-valued, symmetric scalar product. Except for the case in which n is a positive integer, however, E^{n-1} is *not* a topological space and therefore the number of linearly independent vectors in it is *indefinite* because it has no complete basis. It is assumed that any finite-dimensional subspace of E^{n-1} is a Euclidean

Received July 14, 1976.

* Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606.

space. The notion of components p_1, p_2, \dots of a vector $\mathbf{p} \in E^{n-1}$ is meaningful only with reference to such a subspace. The index μ of a vector $p_\mu \in M^n$ takes discrete values only when one works in a finite-dimensional subspace of M^n .

Let $F(p_\mu)$ be a tempered distribution (or a Fourier hyperfunction) of scalar products $p^2, px^{(1)}, \dots, px^{(k)}$, where p_μ is an integration vector and $x_\mu^{(1)}, \dots, x_\mu^{(k)}$ are constant vectors of M^n . Then I define the complex-dimensional integral of $F(p_\mu)$ by

$$(1) \quad \int d^n p F(p_\mu) \equiv \frac{2\pi^{(n-k-1)/2}}{\Gamma((n-k-1)/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_k \int_0^\infty dp_\perp p_\perp^{n-k-2} F(p_0; p_1, \dots, p_k; p_\perp).$$

Here p_1, \dots, p_k are orthogonal coordinates in a generically k -dimensional subspace spanned by the spatial parts $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ of $x_\mu^{(1)}, \dots, x_\mu^{(k)}$, and

$$(2) \quad p_\perp^2 \equiv \mathbf{p}^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k p_j^2.$$

If $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ happen to be linearly dependent, that is, for example, F is independent of p_k , then setting $p_\perp'^2 = p_\perp^2 + p_k^2$, one can easily see that (1) reduces to the expression which is the same as (1) except that k is replaced by $k-1$. Thus the definition (1) does not intrinsically depend on k . From this fact it follows that (1) is invariant under a translation of the integration vector p_μ , as it should be. Of course, (1) reduces to the ordinary n -dimensional multiple integral when n is a positive integer.

The complex-dimensional integral defined by (1) is not manifestly Lorentz invariant, but its Lorentz invariance can be proved. More precisely, (1) can be shown to be a quantity depending only on scalar products formed from $x_\mu^{(1)}, \dots, x_\mu^{(k)}$. The proof is carried out by reducing the problem to that for the complex-dimensional Fourier transform¹⁾

$$(3) \quad \int d^n p e^{-ipx} \varphi(p^2) = (2\pi)^{(n-1)/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_0 \int_0^\infty d|\mathbf{p}| |\mathbf{p}|^{(n-1)/2} |\mathbf{x}|^{-(n-3)/2} J_{(n-3)/2}(|\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{x}|) e^{-ip_0 x_0} \varphi(p_0^2 - |\mathbf{p}|^2),$$

where J_ν denotes a Bessel function.

¹ The right-hand side follows from the polar-coordinate form of (1) with $k=1$.

The complex-dimensional invariant delta functions are defined by

$$(4) \quad \Delta_n(x; m^2) \equiv -i(2\pi)^{-n+1} \int d^n p \epsilon(p_0) \delta(p^2 - m^2) e^{-ipx},$$

$$(5) \quad \Delta_n^{(1)}(x; m^2) \equiv (2\pi)^{-n+1} \int d^n p \delta(p^2 - m^2) e^{-ipx},$$

etc. Their explicit expressions can be calculated by using (3). For example,

$$(6) \quad \Delta_n(x; m^2) = -\epsilon(x_0) \frac{(\sqrt{x^2}/m)^{(2-n)/2}}{2^{n/2} \pi^{(n-2)/2}} J_{(2-n)/2}(m\sqrt{x^2}) \theta(x^2).$$

It is easy to extend the definition of the complex-dimensional integral to the case in which the integrand is a Lorentz-covariant quantity $G_{\mu\dots\nu}$, which is defined by

$$(7) \quad H \equiv y^\mu \dots z^\nu G_{\mu\dots\nu},$$

where H is a Lorentz-invariant quantity and y_μ, \dots, z_ν are artificially introduced constant vectors in M^n . For example, consider $G_{\mu\nu} = p_\mu p_\nu F(p^2, px)$. The complex-dimensional integral of $y^\mu z^\nu G_{\mu\nu}$ is given by (1). Because of the Lorentz invariance of (1) and the proportionality in y_μ and z_ν , I can write

$$(8) \quad \int d^n p (y^\mu p_\mu) (z^\nu p_\nu) F(p^2, px) = (y^\mu x_\mu) (z^\nu x_\nu) \Phi_1(x^2) + (y^\mu z_\mu) \Phi_2(x^2),$$

where Φ_1 and Φ_2 depend only on x^2 . On introducing an abstract metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ of M^n , I rewrite (8) as

$$(9) \quad \int d^n p p_\mu p_\nu F(p^2, px) = x_\mu x_\nu \Phi_1(x^2) + g_{\mu\nu} \Phi_2(x^2).$$

Then it can be proved that the formula

$$(10) \quad g^{\mu\nu} \int d^n p p_\mu p_\nu F(p^2) = \int d^n p p^2 F(p^2)$$

always holds if and only if one sets²⁾

$$(11) \quad g_\mu^\mu = n.$$

The proof is carried out by showing that to prove (10) is equivalent

² Necessity of (11) is well known and is shown easily.

to proving

$$(12) \quad \left(g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + m^2 \right) \Delta^{(1)}_n(x; m^2) = 0.$$

Finally, I mention the complex-dimensional regularization of singular products in position space. As is well known, the invariant delta functions in the ordinary Minkowski space exhibit light-cone singularities:

$$(13) \quad \Delta(x; m^2) = -\frac{\epsilon(x_0)}{2\pi} \left[\delta(x^2) - \frac{m^2}{4} \theta(x^2) + \dots \right],$$

$$(14) \quad \Delta^{(1)}(x; m^2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left[P \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{m^2}{4} \left(\log \frac{m^2 |x^2|}{4} + 2\gamma - 1 \right) + \dots \right],$$

where P and γ denote Cauchy's principal value and Euler's constant, respectively. Therefore their products are not well defined. The complex-dimensional extensions Δ_n and $\Delta^{(1)}_n$ are, however, continuous on the light cone $x^2=0$ if $\text{Re } n < 2$. In that region, therefore, any product of Δ_n and $\Delta^{(1)}_n$ is always well defined. What I propose is to define singular products in the ordinary Minkowski space by analytically continuing in n the corresponding complex n -dimensional products to $n=4$. After lengthy calculations, I have found that the products $(\Delta_n)^2$, $\Delta_n \Delta^{(1)}_n$, and $(\Delta^{(1)}_n)^2$ have no pole at $n=4$. Accordingly, I obtain the regularized expressions for Δ^2 , $\Delta \Delta^{(1)}$, and $(\Delta^{(1)})^2$ unambiguously [2]. They are consistent with another way of definitions

$$(15) \quad \Delta(x; m^2) \Delta^{(1)}(x; m^2) = 2\epsilon(x_0) \text{Im}[\Delta_F(x; m^2)]^2,$$

$$(16) \quad [\Delta^{(1)}(x; m^2)]^2 - [\Delta(x; m^2)]^2 = 4 \text{Re}[\Delta_F(x; m^2)]^2$$

where $2\Delta_F \equiv i\epsilon(x_0) \Delta + \Delta^{(1)}$ is a boundary value of an analytic function.

References

- [1] Leibbrandt, G., Introduction to the technique of dimensional regularization, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47** (1975), 849-876. Further references are contained therein.
- [2] Nakanishi, N., Complex-dimensional invariant delta functions and lightcone singularities, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **48** (1976), 97-118.
- [3] ———, Lorentz invariance of the complex-dimensional integral, *RIMS preprint*.