

Cohomologies of Lie Algebras of Vector Fields with Coefficients in Adjoint Representations Foliated Case

By

Yukihiro KANIE*

Introduction

Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a foliated manifold. We have a natural Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ of vector fields locally preserving the foliation \mathcal{F} , and its ideal $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ of vector fields tangent to leaves of \mathcal{F} . Here we are interested in the first cohomologies of $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ with coefficients in their adjoint representations. This work is in a series of F. Takens' work [7] and the author's [3], [4]. In this paper, we use the latter for the general reference.

Our main result is

- Main Theorem** (i) $H^1(\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})) = 0$.
(ii) $H^1(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$.

If M is compact, $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is identical with the Lie algebra of vector fields preserving \mathcal{F} . There are compact foliated manifolds (M, \mathcal{F}) such that $H^1(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}))$ are of dimension r for any r ($0 \leq r \leq \infty$).

The content of this paper is arranged as follows. In §1, we introduce Lie algebras \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{T} for a standard foliation on a euclidean space, and study their structures. In §2, we investigate properties of derivations of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{T} , and in §3, we prove Main Theorem for \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{T} (flat case). In §4, we give the proof of Main Theorem and

Communicated by N. Shimada, June 1, 1977.

* Department of Mathematics, Mie University, Kamihama-cho, Tsu 514, Japan.

some examples.

All manifolds, foliations, vector fields, etc. are assumed to be of C^∞ -class, throughout this paper.

§ 1. Lie Algebras \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{F}

1.1. Notations and Definitions. Fix a coordinate system $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q$ in a $(p+q)$ -dimensional euclidean space $V = \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$. Denote $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ by $\partial_i (i=1, \dots, p)$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}$ by $\partial_\alpha (\alpha=1, \dots, q)$. Use Latin indices i, j, k, \dots for x_1, \dots, x_p , and Greek indices α, β, \dots for y_1, \dots, y_q , otherwise stated. Put

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i ; f_i(x, y) \text{ are } C^\infty\text{-functions of } x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}' = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(y) \partial_\alpha ; g_\alpha(y) \text{ are } C^\infty\text{-functions of } y_1, \dots, y_q \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{L}' \quad (\text{as vector spaces}).$$

Then they are subalgebras of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{X} of all vector fields on V , and \mathcal{F} is an ideal of \mathcal{L} .

Let \mathcal{F} be a standard codimension- q foliation, defined by parallel p -planes: $y_1 = \text{constant}, \dots, y_q = \text{constant}$, in V . Any vector field X in \mathcal{F} is tangent to leaves of \mathcal{F} , and X is called *leaf-tangent*. Let ϕ_t be the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms generated by $Y \in \mathcal{L}$, then ϕ_t transforms every leaf to some leaf for each t , and Y is called *foliation preserving*.

Denote by \mathcal{F}_x or \mathcal{F}_y , the subalgebra of \mathcal{F} of all vector fields in \mathcal{F} whose coefficient functions depend only on x_1, \dots, x_p , or y_1, \dots, y_q , respectively.

Here we summarize the facts which will be applied later.

Lemma 1.1. (i) Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}$. If $[\partial_i, X] = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p$, then X is independent of the variables x_1, \dots, x_p .

(ii) $[\mathcal{F}_x, \mathcal{L}'] = 0$, and $[\mathcal{F}_x, \mathcal{L}] \subset \mathcal{F}$.

(iii) Let $X \in \mathcal{L}$. If $[\partial_i, X] \in \mathcal{L}'$ for all i , then X is independent of the variables x_1, \dots, x_p .

- (iv) Let $X \in \mathcal{F}_y$. Then $[X, I] = X$, where $I = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \partial_i \in \mathcal{F}_x$.
- (v) Let $X \in \mathcal{L}'$. If $[X, y_\alpha \partial_\alpha] = 0$ for all α , then $X = 0$.

This can be proved by elementary calculations.

1.2. Vector Fields with Polynomial Coefficients. The vector field $X = \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(x, y) \partial_\alpha$ on V is said to be with polynomial coefficients, if all $f_i(x, y)$ and $g_\alpha(x, y)$ ($i = 1, \dots, p, \alpha = 1, \dots, q$) are polynomials. Such vector fields form a Lie subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ of \mathfrak{X} . Put $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}' = \mathcal{L}' \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$. Put

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,m} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} ; f_i(x, y) \text{ are homogeneous polynomials of degree } n+1 \text{ in } x_1, \dots, x_p, \text{ and of degree } m+1 \text{ in } y_1, \dots, y_q \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} &= \sum_{n,m \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_{n,m} ; \\ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \supset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_x &= \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{F}_x = \sum_{n \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_{n,-1} , \\ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y &= \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{F}_y = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_{-1,m} . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have easily

Lemma 1.2. (cf. [4]) Let I be defined in Lemma 1.1 (iv), then $\mathcal{F}_{n,-1} = \{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_x ; [I, X] = nX\}$.

Put $\mathcal{L}'_m = \{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(y) \partial_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}' ; g_\alpha(y) \text{ are homogeneous of degree } m+1 \}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}' = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{L}'_m$, and we have

Lemma 1.3. Let $J = \sum_{\alpha=1}^q y_\alpha \partial_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}'$, then $\mathcal{L}'_m = \{Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}' ; [J, Y] = mY\}$.

1.3. Proposition 1.4. If a vector field $X \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $j^3(X)(0) = 0$, then there exist a finite number of vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_{2r} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^r [X_i, X_{i+r}] \quad \text{and} \quad j^1(X_i)(0) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2r).$$

Proof. Clearly it is enough to show the assertion for the case

$$X = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_p^{i_p} y_1^{j_1} \dots y_q^{j_q} f(x, y) \partial_i$$

for $\sum_{k=1}^p i_k + \sum_{\alpha=1}^q j_\alpha \geq 4$. Put $h(x, y) = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_p^{i_p} y_1^{j_1} \dots y_q^{j_q}$.

Case 1. The case where $i_k \geq 2$ for some k .

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_k^2 \partial_k, x_k^{-1} X] - [x_k^3 \partial_k, x_k^{-2} X] \\ &= (i_k - 1 - 2\delta_{ik}) X - x_k h(x, y) (\partial_k f(x, y)) \partial_i \\ &\quad - (i_k - 2 - 3\delta_{ik}) X + x_k h(x, y) (\partial_k f(x, y)) \partial_i \\ &= (1 + \delta_{ik}) X. \end{aligned}$$

Here δ_{ik} is Kronecker's delta, so $(1 + \delta_{ik}) \geq 1 > 0$. And $j^1(x_k^{-2} X)(0) = 0$ is obvious.

In the following, we can assume that $i_k \leq 1$ for all k .

Case 2. The case where $\sum_k i_k \geq 2$. We can assume $i_1 = i_2 = 1$. Let ϕ be a coordinate transformation

$$\phi : \begin{cases} \bar{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2, & \bar{x}_2 = x_1 - x_2, \\ \bar{x}_i = x_i \ (i \geq 3), & \bar{y}_\alpha = y_\alpha \ (\text{all } \alpha), \end{cases}$$

then $\phi(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$. So this case is reduced to Case 1.

In the following, we can assume that $i_k = 0$ for all k except at most one k_0 .

Case 3. The case where $j_\alpha \geq 2$ for some α . We get

$$[y_\alpha^2 \partial_{k_0}, x_{k_0} y_\alpha^{-2} X] - [y_\alpha x_{k_0} \partial_{k_0}, y_\alpha^{-1} X] = (1 + \delta_{ik_0}) X.$$

Obviously $j^1(Y)(0) = 0$ for all vector fields Y in the left hand.

Case 4. The case where $j_\alpha \leq 1$ for all α . Since we have $\sum_{\alpha=1}^q j_\alpha \geq 4 - 1 = 3$, so this case is also reduced to Case 3, similarly as Case 2. Q. E. D.

Proposition 1.5. *If a vector field $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$ satisfies $j^3(Y)(0) = 0$, then there exist a finite number of vector fields $Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in \mathcal{L}'$ such that*

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^r [Y_i, Y_{i+r}] \quad \text{and} \quad j^1(Y_i)(0) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, 2r).$$

Proof. Similarly as in Cases 1 and 2 in the proof of the above proposition. Q. E. D.

§ 2. Derivations of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{L} (I)

2.1. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{ex}(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{L})$ be the space of derivations of \mathcal{T} with values in \mathcal{L} . And let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the derivation algebra of \mathcal{L} or \mathcal{T} respectively. Remember that a derivation D satisfies the property $D[X, Y] = [D(X), Y] + [X, D(Y)]$.

Proposition 2.1. If a derivation D in \mathcal{D} is zero on $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$ for $n+m \leq -1$, then D is zero on $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$.

Proof. Step 1. To show that D is zero on $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x$. We prove this by the induction on n for the decomposition $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x = \sum_{n \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{n,-1}$. When n is non-positive, the assertion holds by the assumption. Assume that D is zero on $\mathcal{T}_{k,-1} (k \leq n-1)$. Let $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{n,-1} (n \geq 1)$, and define the vector fields $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$ as $D(Z) = X + Y$.

Apply D to $[\partial_i, Z] \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1,-1} (1 \leq i \leq p)$, then we get $X \in \mathcal{T}_y$, by Lemma 1.1(i) and the hypothesis of the induction.

We get $[I, Z] = nZ$, by Lemma 1.2. Apply D to the both sides of this equality, then by Lemma 1.1 (iv), we get

$$-X = nX + nY,$$

hence $X = Y = 0$, so $D(Z) = 0$.

Step 2. To show that D is zero on $\mathcal{T}_{0,0}$. Clearly it is enough to show the assertion for the case $X = x_i y_a \partial_j \in \mathcal{T}_{0,0}$. Apply D to

$$X = x_i y_a \partial_j = 2^{-1} [y_a \partial_i, x_i^2 \partial_j],$$

then we have $D(X) = 0$, because $y_a \partial_i \in \mathcal{T}_{-1,0}$ and $x_i^2 \partial_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x$.

Step 3. To show that D is zero on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y$. The proof is carried out by the induction on m for the decomposition $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_{-1,m}$. When m is non-positive, the assertion holds by the assumption. Assume that D is zero on $\mathcal{F}_{-1,k} (k \leq m-1)$. Clearly it is enough to show that $D(Y) = 0$ for the case

$$Y = y_1^{j_1} \dots y_q^{j_q} \partial_i$$

for $\sum_{\alpha} j_{\alpha} = m + 1$. There is an index β such that $j_{\beta} \geq 1$. Apply D to

$$Y = [y_{\beta}^{-1} Y, y_{\beta} x_i \partial_i],$$

then $D(Y) = 0$, because $y_{\beta}^{-1} Y \in \mathcal{F}_{-1,m-1}$, and $y_{\beta} x_i \partial_i \in \mathcal{F}_{0,0}$.

Last Step. Decompose $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{n \geq -1} (\sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_{n,m})$. We prove the assertion of the proposition by the induction on n . The assertion for $n = -1$ holds by Step 3. Assume that D is zero on $\sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_{n,m} (n \leq n_0 - 1)$. It is enough to show that $D(X) = 0$ for the case

$$X = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_r^{i_r} f(y) \partial_k$$

for $\sum_j i_j = n_0 + 1$, and some polynomial $f(y)$ of y_1, \dots, y_r . Apply D to the equality

$$X = \begin{cases} (i_k + 1)^{-1} [x_k^{-i_k} X, x_k^{i_k+1} \partial_k] & \text{if } i_k > 0, \\ [x_{k_0}^{-1} X, x_{k_0} x_k \partial_k] & \text{if } i_k = 0, \text{ and } i_{k_0} > 0 \text{ for some } k_0, \end{cases}$$

we get $D(X) = 0$, because $x_k^{-i_k} X, x_{k_0}^{-1} X \in \sum_{n \leq n_0 - 1} (\sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_{n,m})$, and $x_k^{i_k+1} \partial_k, x_{k_0} x_k \partial_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_x$. Q. E. D.

Corollary 2.2. *The derivation $D \in \mathcal{D}$ is zero on \mathcal{F} , under the same assumption as Proposition 2.1.*

Proof. It follows from Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 in [4], and Proposition 1.4. Q. E. D.

2.2. Proposition 2.3. *If a derivation $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is zero on \mathcal{F} , then D is zero on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$.*

Proof. Step 1. To show that $D(\partial_\alpha) = 0$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, q$). Apply D to $[\partial_i, \partial_\alpha] = [I, \partial_\alpha] = 0$, then we get $D(\partial_\alpha) \in \mathcal{L}'$, by Lemma 1.1(i), (iv).

Define the functions $g_\alpha^\beta(y)$ as $D(\partial_\alpha) = \sum_\beta g_\alpha^\beta(y) \partial_\beta \in \mathcal{L}'$. Apply D to $\delta_{\alpha\gamma} \partial_i = [\partial_\alpha, y_\gamma \partial_i]$, then we get

$$0 = [\sum_\beta g_\alpha^\beta(y) \partial_\beta, y_\gamma \partial_i] = g_\alpha^\gamma(y) \partial_i,$$

hence $g_\alpha^\gamma(y) = 0$, so $D(\partial_\alpha) = 0$.

Step 2. To show that $D(J) = 0$, where $J = \sum_{\alpha=1}^q y_\alpha \partial_\alpha$. Apply D to $[\partial_i, J] = [I, J] = 0$, then we get $D(J) \in \mathcal{L}'$, by Lemma 1.1 (i), (iv).

Apply D to $[J, y_\alpha \partial_i] = y_\alpha \partial_i \in \mathcal{T}$, then we have $D(J) = 0$, by Lemma 1.1 (v).

Last Step. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ is decomposed as $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}' = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{L}'_m$ (cf. § 1.2), then by Lemma 1.3, this step is carried out similarly as Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Q. E. D.

Corollary 2.4. *If a derivation D of \mathcal{L} is zero on $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$ for $n + m \leq -1$, then D is zero on \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Let D be a derivation of \mathcal{L} such that D is zero on $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$ for $n + m \leq -1$. Let D' be the restriction of D to \mathcal{T} . Then by Corollary 2.2, D' is zero on \mathcal{T} , hence by Proposition 2.3, D is zero on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$. The assertion follows from Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 in [4] and Proposition 1.5. Q. E. D.

§ 3. Derivations of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{L} (II)

3.1. Determination of \mathcal{D} . Let Z be a vector field on V . We define $\text{ad}Z$ as $\text{ad}Z(X) = [Z, X]$ for $X \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then we have

Lemma 3.1. *The map: $Z \rightarrow \text{ad}Z|_{\mathcal{T}}$, or $Z \rightarrow \text{ad}Z|_{\mathcal{L}}$ of \mathcal{L} into \mathcal{D} or $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ respectively is an into isomorphism.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show the injectivity. Let $Z \in \mathcal{L}$. Assume that $\text{ad}Z(\mathcal{F})=0$. By Lemma 1.1 (i), we get the vector fields $X \in \mathcal{F}$, and $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$ such that $Z=X+Y$. Then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iv), we have $X=[Z, I]=0$, whence $Y=0$, by Lemma 1.1 (v).

Q. E. D.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then there exists a unique vector field W on V such that $D=\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover, W is in \mathcal{L} .*

The proof of this theorem will be given in § 3. 3.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then there exists a unique vector field $W \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $D=\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}}$ or $=\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover, W is in \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Obvious for the case $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The restriction of D to \mathcal{F} belongs to \mathcal{D} . Then the assertion follows from Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 2.4.

Q. E. D.

Theorem 3.4. (i) *All derivations of \mathcal{L} are inner, that is, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}} = \text{ad } \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$. Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{L})=0.$$

(ii) *The derivation algebra of \mathcal{F} is naturally isomorphic to \mathcal{L} , that is, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}}; W \in \mathcal{L}\} \cong \mathcal{L}$. Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{L}'.$$

In particular, the space $H^1(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{F})$ is of infinite dimension.

Proof. (ii) By Corollary 3.3, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \{\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}}; W \in \mathcal{L}\}$. The converse inclusion is obvious, because \mathcal{F} is an ideal of \mathcal{L} . For the latter half, remember that $H^1(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}/\text{ad } \mathcal{F}$ (see § 1 in [3]).

Q. E. D.

3.2. To prove Theorem 3.2, we prepare the following four

lemmata.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then there exists a vector field $W_1 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $D(\partial_i) \equiv [W_1, \partial_i] \pmod{\mathcal{L}'}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$.*

Proof. Define the functions $f_i^j(x, y)$, and the vector fields $Y_i \in \mathcal{L}'$, as

$$D(\partial_i) = \sum_{j=1}^p f_i^j(x, y) \partial_j + Y_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq p).$$

Apply D to the both sides of $[\partial_i, \partial_k] = 0$, then we have, by Lemma 1.1 (ii),

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \{\partial_i(f_k^j(x, y)) - \partial_k(f_i^j(x, y))\} \partial_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, k \leq p),$$

and so

$$\partial_i(f_k^j(x, y)) = \partial_k(f_i^j(x, y)) \quad (1 \leq i, j, k \leq p).$$

Therefore, there are unique functions $h^j(x, y)$ ($1 \leq j \leq p$) such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_i(h^j(x, y)) = f_i^j(x, y) & (1 \leq i, j \leq p), \\ h^j(0, y) = 0 & (1 \leq j \leq p). \end{cases}$$

Put $W_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^p h^i(x, y) \partial_i$, then we have the assertion of the lemma.

Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Assume that $D(\partial_i) \in \mathcal{L}'$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$). Then*

(i) $D(\partial_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$),

(ii) *there exists a vector field $W_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $[\partial_i, W_2] = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$), and $D(I) \equiv [W_2, I] \pmod{\mathcal{L}'}$.*

Proof. Define the vector fields $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$ as $D(I) = X + Y$. Apply D to $[\partial_i, I] = \partial_i$, then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iii), we have that $D(\partial_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$), and $X \in \mathcal{T}$. Hence, by Lemma 1.1 (iv), we get

$$[X, I] = X \equiv D(I) \pmod{\mathcal{L}'}$$

Therefore, we can put $W_2 = X$.

Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Assume that $D(\partial_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$), and $D(I) \in \mathcal{L}'$. Then, $D(x_i \partial_j) \in \mathcal{L}'$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p$).*

Proof. Define the vector fields $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $Y_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}'$ as $D(x_i \partial_j) = X_{ij} + Y_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p$).

Apply D to $[\partial_k, x_i \partial_j] = \delta_{ik} \partial_j$, then by Lemma 1.1 (i), we have $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{T}$, ($1 \leq i, j \leq p$). Apply D to $[I, x_i \partial_j] = 0$, then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iv), we get $X_{ij} = 0$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p$). Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Assume that $D(\partial_i) = 0$, and that $D(I) \in \mathcal{L}'$, $D(x_i \partial_j) \in \mathcal{L}'$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p$). Then,*

(i) $D(I) = 0$, $D(x_i \partial_j) = 0$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p$),

(ii) *there exists a unique vector field W_3 on V such that*

$$\begin{aligned} [W_3, \partial_i] &= [W_3, I] = [W_3, x_i \partial_j] = 0, \\ [W_3, y_\alpha \partial_i] &= D(y_\alpha \partial_i) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, W_3 is in \mathcal{L}' .

Proof. Define the vector fields $X_{\alpha i} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $Y_{\alpha i} \in \mathcal{L}'$ as $D(y_\alpha \partial_i) = X_{\alpha i} + Y_{\alpha i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q$). Apply D to $[\partial_j, y_\alpha \partial_i] = 0$, then by Lemma 1.1 (i), we have $X_{\alpha i} \in \mathcal{T}$, for all i and α . Apply D to $y_\alpha \partial_i = [y_\alpha \partial_i, I]$, then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iv), we get that $D(I) = 0$ and $Y_{\alpha i} = 0$ for all i and α .

Define the functions $f_{\alpha i}^j(y)$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q$) as $X_{\alpha i} = \sum_j f_{\alpha i}^j(y) \partial_j$. Apply D to $y_\alpha \partial_i = [y_\alpha \partial_i, x_i \partial_i]$, then we get

$$\sum_j f_{\alpha i}^j(y) \partial_j = f_{\alpha i}^i(y) \partial_i + [y_\alpha \partial_i, D(x_i \partial_i)],$$

hence $D(x_i \partial_i) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$), and $f_{\alpha i}^j(y) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and α .

Apply D to $y_\alpha \partial_k = [y_\alpha \partial_i, x_i \partial_k]$ for $i \neq k$, then we get

$$f_{\alpha k}^k(y) \partial_k = f_{\alpha i}^i(y) \partial_k + [y_\alpha \partial_i, D(x_i \partial_k)],$$

hence $D(x_i \partial_k) = 0$ ($1 \leq i, k \leq p$), and $f_{\alpha i}^i(y) = f_{\alpha k}^k(y)$ for all $i \neq k$ and α . Denote $f_{\alpha i}^i(y)$ by $f_\alpha(y)$ ($1 \leq \alpha \leq q$), then $D(y_\alpha \partial_i) = f_\alpha(y) \partial_i$.

Let W_3 be a vector field on V satisfying the equations in (ii). Since $[W_3, \partial_i] = [W_3, I] = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$), then we get $W_3 \in \mathcal{L}'$, by Lemma

1. 1(i), (iv). Write W_3 as $W_3 = \sum_{\beta} h_{\beta}(y) \partial_{\beta}$, then

$$[W_3, y_{\alpha} \partial_i] = h_{\alpha}(y) \partial_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q).$$

Hence, $h_{\alpha}(y)$ must be equal to $f_{\alpha}(y)$ for all α .

Q. E. D.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, by Lemmata 3.5~3.8, we have a unique vector field W on V such that $D = \text{ad}W$ on $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$ for $n+m \leq -1$. We can determine W as $W = W_1 + W_2 + W_3$, where $W_i (i=1, 2, 3)$ are given in the above lemmata. Clearly $W \in \mathcal{L}$.

Hence, by Corollary 2.2, we get that $D = \text{ad}W$ on \mathcal{T} .

Q. E. D.

3.4. Remarks. (i) Any derivation of \mathcal{T} or \mathcal{L} is continuous, because it is realized as $\text{ad}W$ for some $W \in \mathcal{L}$.

(ii) Let V' be a subspace of V , spanned by y_1, \dots, y_q . Then Theorem 3.4 (i) can be rewritten as in the following form in terms of $C^{\infty}(V')$, which is suggestive for calculations of cohomologies of \mathcal{T} with various coefficients.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V'))$ be the derivation algebra of the associative algebra $C^{\infty}(V')$. Then

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{T}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V')).$$

This follows immediately from the following well-known fact.

Lemma 3.10. There is an natural Lie algebra isomorphism of \mathcal{L}' onto $\mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V'))$.

We give here its elementary proof for completeness. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V'))$. Define functions $g_{\alpha}(y)$ ($\alpha=1, \dots, q$) as $D(y_{\alpha}) = g_{\alpha}(y)$. Let $Y = \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}(y) \partial_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}'$. The vector field Y operates on $C^{\infty}(V')$ as a first-order partial differential operator, then it defines a derivation

D_Y of $C^\infty(V')$. Easily by induction, we can show that D coincides with D_Y on the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{R}[y_1, \dots, y_q]$. Hence we obtain Lemma 3. 10, because when $j^2(g)(0)=0$, g is expressed as $g(y) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} y_\alpha y_\beta g_{\alpha\beta}(y)$ with $g_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty(V')$.

§ 4. Lie Algebras $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$, and Their Derivations

4.1. Lie Algebras Associated with Foliations. Let M be a $(p+q)$ -dimensional manifold and \mathcal{F} a codimension- q foliation on M . Around any point of M , there is a distinguished coordinate neighborhood $(U; x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$, for which a plate represented as $y_1 = \text{constant}, \dots, y_q = \text{constant}$ in U is a connected component of $L \cap U$ for some leaf L of \mathcal{F} (see e. g. [6] for definitions).

A vector field X on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is called *leaf-tangent*, if X is tangent to the leaf L through p for any point p of M , that is, the vector X_p belongs to the tangent space $T_p L$ of L at p . A vector field X is called to be *locally foliation preserving* (or *l. f. p.*, in short), if ϕ_t maps every plate to some plate, where $\{\phi_t\}$ is a one-parameter group of local diffeomorphisms generated by X .

Locally for any distinguished coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$, a leaf-tangent vector field is represented as $\sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i$, and a *l. f. p.* vector field is represented as $\sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(y) \partial_\alpha$, where $f_i(x, y)$ ($i=1, \dots, p$) are C^∞ -functions of $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q$, and $g_\alpha(y)$ ($\alpha=1, \dots, q$) are C^∞ -functions of y_1, \dots, y_q . Here we use the notations ∂_i or ∂_α instead of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ or $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}$ respectively, and the convention on indices (see § 1. 1).

All *l. f. p.* vector fields on (M, \mathcal{F}) form a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$, and all leaf-tangent vector fields form its ideal $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$.

If a *l. f. p.* vector field X is complete, then X is foliation preserving, that is, the diffeomorphism ϕ_t maps every leaf of \mathcal{F} to some leaf for each t . Similarly, if a leaf-tangent vector field X is complete, ϕ_t leaves every leaf of \mathcal{F} stable. Thus, when M is compact, *l. f. p.* vector fields are foliation preserving.

4.2. Derivations. Let $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{D}_{ex}(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}))$ be the space of derivations of $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ with values in $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$. And let $\mathcal{D}_\varphi(M, \mathcal{F})$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ be the derivation algebra of $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ or $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ respectively. Sometimes we omit \mathcal{F} in the notations $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$, etc.

Lemma 4.1. *Let U be an open subset of M , and $X \in \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Assume that $[X, Y] = 0$ on U for any $Y \in \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ with support contained in U . Then, $X = 0$ on U .*

Proof. Let $p \in U$. Take a sufficiently small neighborhood U' of p in U , and distinguished coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$ in U' . Let a vector field Y' on U' be any one of ∂_i , $x_j \partial_i$, and $y_\alpha \partial_i$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q$). Since $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -module, there is a vector field $Y \in \mathcal{T}(M)$ such that $Y = Y'$ on U' and the support of Y is contained in U . Then we have $[X, Y] = 0$ on U by the assumption. By the proof of Lemma 3.8, we have that $X = 0$ on U' , in particular, at p . Hence we get $X = 0$ on U . Q. E. D.

From this lemma, we get the following two lemmata, similarly as Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 in [4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$ or $\mathcal{D}_\varphi(M, \mathcal{F})$. Then, D is local.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Then, D is localizable (see § 1.2 in [4] for definition).*

4.3. Proposition 4.4. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Then, there exists a vector field W on M such that $D = \text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})}$. Moreover, W is in $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Take a distinguished coordinate neighborhood system $\{U_\lambda; (x^1_\lambda, \dots, x^p_\lambda, y^1_\lambda, \dots, y^q_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in A}$ on (M, \mathcal{F}) . Since D is localizable, the derivation $D_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{D}(U_\lambda, \mathcal{F}|_{U_\lambda})$ can be defined for all $\lambda \in A$ in such a way that $D(X)|_{U_\lambda} = D_{U_\lambda}(X|_{U_\lambda})$ for all $X \in \mathcal{T}(M)$. Then by Theorem

3. 2, there exists a unique vector field W_λ on U_λ such that $D_{U_\lambda} = \text{ad}W_\lambda|_{\mathcal{F}(U_\lambda)}$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. On the other hand, we have $D_{U_\lambda}|_{U_\lambda \cap U_\mu} = D_{U_\mu}|_{U_\lambda \cap U_\mu}$, so $W_\lambda = W_\mu$ on $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$. Hence there is a vector field W on M such that $W = W_\lambda$ on U_λ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and that $D = \text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}(M)}$. Moreover, we have $W \in \mathcal{L}(M)$, because $W_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(U_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Q. E. D.

Corollary 4. 5. *Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Then there exists a vector field W on M such that $D = \text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F})}$ or $= \text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})}$ respectively. Moreover, W is in $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Obvious for the case $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$. The restriction of D to $\mathcal{F}(M)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(M)$. Then the assertion follows from Proposition 4. 4 and Lemma 4. 1.

Q. E. D.

Then we get Main Theorem similarly as Theorem 3. 4.

Theorem 4. 6. (i) *All derivations of $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ are inner, that is, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \text{ad}\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})) = 0.$$

(ii) *The derivation algebra of $\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$, that is, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \{\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F})}; W \in \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})\} \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F}).$$

4. 4. Examples. Let $H^1 = H^1(\mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{F}(M, \mathcal{F})$ for a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) . In many cases, H^1 are of infinite dimension.

Proposition 4. 7. *Assume that there is a compact leaf L of \mathcal{F} such that there is a saturated neighborhood U of L , which is a product foliation $D^q \times L$, where D^q is a q -dimensional disk. Then, H^1 is of infinite dimension.*

Proof. Every leaf in U is represented by a point of D^q . Let f be a function supported in D^q . Then $f \cdot \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$. Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 3. 4. Q. E. D.

However, H^1 may be of finite dimension. Assume that M is compact. J. Leslie [5] gives examples of $\dim H^1=0$, or 1: (i) an Anosov flow with an integral invariant for $\dim H^1=0$, and (ii) irrational flows on a two dimensional torus T^2 for $\dim H^1=1$. We can modify the latter to get a foliated manifold with $\dim H^1=n$ (for arbitrary $n < +\infty$), that is, irrational flows on an $(n+1)$ -dimensional torus T^{n+1} .

We have also other examples. Fukui and Ushiki [2] shows that $\dim H^1=2$ for the Reeb foliation on a 3-shpere S^3 . Further, Fukui [1] shows that the following: let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a Reeb foliated 3-manifold, then $\dim H^1$ is finite, and equals to the number of generalized Reeb components.

References

- [1] Fukui, K., On the homotopy type of some subgroups of $\text{Diff}(M^3)$, *Japan. J. Math.*, **2**(1976), 249-267.
- [2] Fukui, K. and Ushiki, S., On the homotopy type of $\text{FDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$, *J. Math., Kyoto Univ.*, **15** (1975), 201-210.
- [3] Kanie, Y., Cohomologies of Lie algebras of vector fields with coefficients in adjoint representations; Hamiltonian Case, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **10** (1975), 737-762.
- [4] Kanie, Y., Cohomologies of Lie algebras of algebras of vector fields with coefficients in adjoint representations; Case of Classical Type, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **11** (1975), 213-245.
- [5] Leslie, J., A remark on the group of automorphisms of a foliation having a dense leaf, *J. Differential Geometry*, **7** (1972), 597-601.
- [6] Reeb, G., Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilltées, *Act. Sci. Ind.*, Hermann, Paris, **1183** (1952), 83-154.
- [7] Takens, F., Derivations of vector fields, *Compositio Math.*, **23** (1973), 151-158.

