

A Common Fixed Point Theorem for a Sequence of Multivalued Mappings

By

Kenjiro YANAGI*

§ 1. Introduction

Recently, fixed point theorems for multivalued contraction mappings in metric spaces were obtained by many authors ([5], [2], [7], [1], [4], [6], etc). On the other hand, Dube [3] proved a theorem on common fixed points of two multivalued mappings.

In this paper, we shall give a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of multivalued mappings satisfying some conditions in complete metric spaces. In our theorem, we shall obtain an extension of the result of Itoh [4] to the case of a sequence of multivalued mappings.

§ 2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$, we define $D(x, A) = \inf \{d(x, y) : y \in A\}$. Let $CB(X)$ denote the family of all non-empty closed bounded subsets of X . For $A, B \in CB(X)$, let $H(A, B)$ denote the distance between A and B in the Hausdorff metric induced by d on $CB(X)$. The following lemmas are direct consequences of definition of Hausdorff metric.

Lemma 1. *If $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $x \in A$, then $D(x, B) \leq H(A, B)$.*

Lemma 2. *For any $x \in X$, $A, B \in CB(X)$,*

$$|D(x, A) - D(x, B)| \leq H(A, B).$$

Lemma 3. *Let $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $k \in (1, \infty)$ be given. Then for*

Communicated by S. Matsuura, June 24, 1976. Revised July 1, 1977.

* Department of Mathematics, Yamaguchi University.

$a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq kH(A, B)$.

(X, d) is said to be metrically convex if for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exists an element $z \in X$, $x \neq z \neq y$, such that

$$d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y).$$

In Assad and Kirk [1], the following is noted.

Lemma 4. *If K is a nonempty closed subset of the complete and metrically convex metric space (X, d) , then for any $x \in K$, $y \notin K$, there exists $z \in \partial K$ (the boundary of K) such that*

$$d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y).$$

§ 3. A Common Fixed Point Theorem

The following is a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of multivalued mappings $T_n: K \rightarrow CB(X)$ when K is a nonempty closed subset of a complete metrically convex metric space X .

Theorem. *Let (X, d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space and K be a nonempty closed subset of X . Let T_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of multivalued mappings of K into $CB(X)$. Suppose that there are nonnegative real numbers α, β, γ with $\alpha + (\alpha+3)(\beta+\gamma) < 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} H(T_i(x), T_j(y)) \leq & \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \{D(x, T_i(x)) + D(y, T_j(y))\} \\ & + \gamma \{D(x, T_j(y)) + D(y, T_i(x))\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and for all $i, j=1, 2, \dots$. If $T_n(x) \subset K$ for each $x \in \partial K$ and each $n=1, 2, \dots$, then the sequence T_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) has a common fixed point in K .

Proof. Since X is metrically convex, there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that $T_1(x_0) \subset K$. If $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, any $z \in T_1(x_0)$ is a common fixed point. In fact, for $n=2, 3, \dots$, $H(T_1(x_0), T_n(z)) = 0$ and then $z \in T_n(z)$, $n=2, 3, \dots$. Since $H(T_1(z), T_2(z)) = 0$, we have $z \in T_1(z)$. So we assume that

$\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$. Since $\alpha + (\alpha + 3)(\beta + \gamma) < 1$, there exists $k > 1$ such that $(1 + k\beta + k\gamma)(k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma) / (1 - k\beta - k\gamma)^2 < 1$. We choose sequences $\{x_n\}$ in K and $\{y_n\}$ in the following way. Let $x_1 = y_1 \in T_1(x_0)$. By Lemma 3, there exists $y_2 \in T_2(x_1)$ such that $d(y_1, y_2) \leq kH(T_1(x_0), T_2(x_1))$. If $y_2 \in K$, let $x_2 = y_2$. If $y_2 \notin K$, choose an element $x_2 \in \partial K$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, y_2) = d(x_1, y_2)$ by Lemma 4. By induction we can obtain sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ such that for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

- (a) $y_{n+1} \in T_{n+1}(x_n)$,
- (b) $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq kH(T_n(x_{n-1}), T_{n+1}(x_n))$,

where

- (c) if $y_{n+1} \in K$, then $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$, and
- (d) if $y_{n+1} \notin K$, then $x_{n+1} \in \partial K$ and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, y_n) = d(x_n, y_{n+1}).$$

We shall estimate the distance $d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 2$. There arise three cases.

- (i) The case that $x_n = y_n$ and $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq kH(T_n(x_{n-1}), T_{n+1}(x_n)) \\ &\leq k\alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + k\beta \{D(x_{n-1}, T_n(x_{n-1})) + D(x_n, T_{n+1}(x_n))\} \\ &\quad + k\gamma \{D(x_{n-1}, T_{n+1}(x_n)) + D(x_n, T_n(x_{n-1}))\} \\ &\leq k\alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + k\beta \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &\quad + k\gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(1 - k\beta - k\gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma) d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma}{1 - k\beta - k\gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

- (ii) The case that $x_n = y_n$ and $x_{n+1} \neq y_{n+1}$. By (d) we obtain that

$$d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq d(x_n, y_{n+1}) = d(y_n, y_{n+1}).$$

As in the case (i), we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma}{1 - k\beta - k\gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

thus

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma}{1 - k\beta - k\gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

(iii) The case that $x_n \neq y_n$ and $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$. In this case $x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$ holds. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

From (b) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq kH(T_n(x_{n-1}), T_{n+1}(x_n)) \\ &\leq k\alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + k\beta \{D(x_{n-1}, T_n(x_{n-1})) + D(x_n, T_{n+1}(x_n))\} \\ &\quad + k\gamma \{D(x_{n-1}, T_{n+1}(x_n)) + D(x_n, T_n(x_{n-1}))\} \\ &\leq k\alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + k\beta \{d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &\quad + k\gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, y_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (1 + k\gamma) d(x_n, y_n) + (k\alpha + k\gamma) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + k\beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + (k\beta + k\gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (1 + k\gamma) d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + k\beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + (k\beta + k\gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1 + k\beta + k\gamma}{1 - k\beta - k\gamma} d(x_{n-1}, y_n).$$

Since $x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$ and $x_n \neq y_n$, it follows from (ii) that

$$d(x_{n-1}, y_n) \leq \frac{k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma}{1 - k\beta - k\gamma} d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).$$

Thus it follows that for every $n \geq 2$,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(1 + k\beta + k\gamma)(k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma)}{(1 - k\beta - k\gamma)^2} d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).$$

The case that $x_n \neq y_n$ and $x_{n-1} \neq y_{n-1}$ does not occur. Since

$$\frac{k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma}{1 - k\beta - k\gamma} \leq \frac{(1 + k\beta + k\gamma)(k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma)}{(1 - k\beta - k\gamma)^2},$$

we proved the following; for $n \geq 2$,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \begin{cases} pd(x_{n-1}, x_n), & \text{or} \\ pd(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}), \end{cases}$$

where $p = (1 + k\beta + k\gamma)(k\alpha + k\beta + k\gamma) / (1 - k\beta - k\gamma)^2$. Put

$$\delta = p^{-1/2} \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\},$$

then by induction we can show that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq p^{n/2} \delta \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It follows that for any $m > n \geq 1$,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \delta \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} p^{i/2}.$$

This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete and K is closed, $\{x_n\}$ converges to some point $z \in K$. From the definition of $\{x_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} = y_{n_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Then for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_{n_i}, T_n(z)) &\leq H(T_{n_i}(x_{n_i-1}), T_n(z)) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n_i-1}, z) + \beta \{D(x_{n_i-1}, T_{n_i}(x_{n_i-1})) + D(z, T_n(z))\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{D(x_{n_i-1}, T_n(z)) + D(z, T_{n_i}(x_{n_i-1}))\} \\ &\leq \alpha \{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i}, z)\} \\ &\quad + \beta \{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + d(z, x_{n_i}) + D(x_{n_i}, T_n(z))\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + D(x_{n_i}, T_n(z)) + d(z, x_{n_i})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$D(x_{n_i}, T_n(z)) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + d(z, x_{n_i})\}.$$

Therefore, $D(x_{n_i}, T_n(z)) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the inequality $D(z, T_n(z)) \leq d(x_{n_i}, z) + D(x_{n_i}, T_n(z))$ and the above result, it follows that $D(z, T_n(z)) = 0$. Since $T_n(z)$ is closed, this implies that $z \in T_n(z)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Q.E.D.

Remark. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$, then $\alpha + (\alpha + 3)(\beta + \gamma) < 1$ if and only if $(1 + \beta + \gamma)(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) / (1 - \beta - \gamma)^2 < 1$. Hence putting $T_n = T$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ in our theorem, we obtain the result of Itoh [4].

Since every Banach space is metrically convex, we have the following corollary for singlevalued mappings.

Corollary. *Let E be a Banach space and K be a nonempty closed subset of E . Let f_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) a sequence of singlevalued mappings of K into E . Suppose that there are nonnegative real numbers α, β, γ with $\alpha + (\alpha + 3)(\beta + \gamma) < 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_i(x) - f_j(y)\| \leq & \alpha \|x - y\| + \beta \{\|x - f_i(x)\| + \|y - f_j(y)\|\} \\ & + \gamma \{\|x - f_j(y)\| + \|y - f_i(x)\|\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$. If $f_n(\partial K) \subset K$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then there exists a unique common fixed point $z \in K$.

We conclude this paper by stating an open problem whether our theorem holds when nonnegative real numbers α, β, γ satisfy $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ instead of $\alpha + (\alpha + 3)(\beta + \gamma) < 1$.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank the referee for his critical and helpful comments.

References

- [1] Assad, N. A. and Kirk, W. A., Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, *Pacific J. Math.*, **43** (1972), 553-562.
- [2] Dube, L. S. and Singh, S. P., On multivalued contraction mappings, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie*, **14** (1970), 307-310.
- [3] Dube, L. S., A theorem on common fixed points of multivalued mappings, *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, **89** (1975), 463-468.
- [4] Itoh, S., Multivalued generalized contractions and fixed point theorems, *Research Reports on Information Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology*, **A-21** (1975).
- [5] Nadler, S. B. Jr., Multivalued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **30** (1969), 457-488.
- [6] Ray, B. K., Some fixed point theorems, *Fund. Math.*, **XCII** (1976), 76-90.
- [7] Reich, S., Kannan's fixed point theorem, *Bollettino U. M. I.*, **4** (1971), 1-11.