

# On a Sufficient Condition for Well-posedness of Weakly Hyperbolic Cauchy Problems

By

Reiko SAKAMOTO\*

Already, we have good results on this theme for 2-nd order equations ([1]). Recently, we have also many results for higher order equations with smooth characteristic roots ([2], [3]).

In this paper, we consider only weakly hyperbolic equations with double characteristic roots at most, which may be non-smooth.

## Chapter I. Energy Inequalities

### § 1. Condition (A)

Let us consider the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} A(t, x'; D_t, D'_x)u = f(t, x') & \text{in } \{t > 0, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \\ D_t^j u|_{t=0} = u_j(x') \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m-1) & \text{in } \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $D_t = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,  $D'_x = \left( \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)$  and

$$A(t, x'; \tau, \xi') = \sum_{i+|\nu| \leq m} a_{i\nu}(t, x') \tau^i \xi'^{\nu}.$$

Now we denote

$$A_0(t, x'; \tau, \xi') = \sum_{i+|\nu|=m} a_{i\nu}(t, x') \tau^i \xi'^{\nu},$$

$$A_1(t, x'; \tau, \xi') = \sum_{i+|\nu|=m-1} a_{i\nu}(t, x') \tau^i \xi'^{\nu},$$

then our consideration will be based on the assumption on  $A_0$ :

---

Communicated by S. Matsuura, April 16, 1977.

\* Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University.

**Condition (A).**

(A.1)  $a_{i_0}(t, x')$  are smooth and constant outside a ball in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ .

(A.2)  $A_0$  is hyperbolic with respect to  $\tau$ , that is,

$$\begin{cases} A_0(t, x'; 1, 0) \neq 0, \\ A_0(t, x'; \tau', \xi') \neq 0 \text{ for } \text{Im } \tau' \neq 0, \xi' \in \mathbf{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

(A.3) Roots of  $A_0(t, x'; \tau, \xi') = 0$  with respect to  $\tau$  are at most double for  $(t, x', \xi') \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\})$ .

For convenience, we denote

$$x = (t, x') = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \xi = (\tau, \xi') = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n),$$

$$X' = (x, \xi') \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}), \quad X = (\tau, X'),$$

and we assume  $A_0(x; 1, 0) = 1$ . We denote

$$A_0(X) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\tau - \tau_i(X')).$$

In the following, let us consider the behaviour of characteristic roots  $\tau_i(X')$  at  $X' = X'_0$  and in its small neighbourhood  $U$ . We may assume

$$\tau_1(X'_0), \tau_2(X'_0), \dots, \tau_{m-d}(X'_0)$$

are distinct each other, and

$$\tau_{m-d+1}(X'_0) = \tau_1(X'_0), \dots, \tau_m(X'_0) = \tau_d(X'_0).$$

Then, there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $X' = X'_0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad H_i(X) &= (\tau - \tau_i(X')) (\tau - \tau_{m-d+i}(X')) \\ &= (\tau - \alpha_i(X'))^2 - \beta_i(X') = h_i(X)^2 - \beta_i(X') \quad (i=1, \dots, d), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_i(X')$  and  $\beta_i(X')$  are real-valued smooth function and  $\beta_i(X') \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{(ii)} \quad \tau_i(X') \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d) \text{ are real-valued smooth functions.}$$

Now, we denote

$$h_i(X) = \tau - \tau_i(X') = \tau - \alpha_i(X') \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d),$$

then

$$\{\alpha_1(X'), \dots, \alpha_{m-d}(X')\}$$

are distinct, real-valued and smooth functions in  $U$ . Hence, we denote

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_i(X) = h_i(X) \frac{A_0(X)}{H_i(X)} \quad (i=1, \dots, d), \\ P'_i(X) = \frac{A_0(X)}{H_i(X)} = \frac{P_i(X)}{h_i(X)} \quad (i=1, \dots, d), \\ P_i(X) = \frac{A_0(X)}{h_i(X)} \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d), \end{array} \right.$$

then these  $m$  polynomials with respect to  $\tau$  with smooth coefficients defined in  $U$  make a base of the linear space of polynomials of order less than  $m$  with respect to  $\tau$ .

Now we proceed to the next step, assuming  $U = \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ , which will be justified in Section 5. Then we have smooth decompositions of  $A_0(X)$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_0(X) = H_i(X) P'_i(X) \quad (i=1, \dots, d), \\ A_0(X) = h_i(X) P_i(X) \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d) \end{array} \right.$$

for  $X' \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ , therefore we have decompositions of  $A(D) = A(x, D)$ :

**Lemma 1. 1.**

(i)  $A(D) = \tilde{H}_i(D) \tilde{P}'_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\} \quad (i=1, \dots, d),$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_i(D) &= (D_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i(D'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}_i(D') = \tilde{h}_i(D)^2 - \tilde{\beta}_i(D'), \\ \tilde{\alpha}_i(D') &= \alpha_i(D') + \alpha_i^1(D') \quad (\alpha_i^1(X') : \text{homogeneous of degree } 0), \\ \tilde{\beta}_i(D') &= \beta_i(D') + \beta_i^1(D') \quad (\beta_i^1(X') : \text{homogeneous of degree } 1), \\ \tilde{P}'_i(D) &= P'_i(D) + P_i^{11}(D) \quad (P_i^{11}(X) : \text{homogeneous of degree } m-3). \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $A(D) = \tilde{h}_i(D) \tilde{P}_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\} \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d),$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_i(D) &= D_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i(D'), \\ \tilde{\alpha}_i(D') &= \alpha_i(D') + \alpha_i^1(D') \quad (\alpha_i^1(X') : \text{homogeneous of degree } 0), \\ \tilde{P}_i(D) &= P_i(D) + P_i^1(D) \quad (P_i^1(X) : \text{homogeneous of degree } m-2). \end{aligned}$$

*Notation 1.*  $\{\{m-2\}\}$  means an operator of order not greater than  $m-2$ .

*Notation 2.* Homogeneous of degree  $k$  means homogeneous of degree  $k$  with respect to  $\xi = (\tau, \xi')$ , which is a polynomial of degree not greater than  $k$  with respect to  $\tau$ .

*Proof of (i).* Let  $a(D) \circ b(D)$  be a singular integral operator with symbol  $a(X)b(X)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(D) &= H_i(D) \circ P'_i(D) \\ &= H_i(D) P'_i(D) - (D_{\xi} H_i)(D) \circ (\partial_x P'_i)(D) + \{\{m-2\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\xi} H_i)(X) (\partial_x P'_i)(X) &= \sum_{j=0}^n (D_{\xi_j} H_i)(X) (\partial_{x_j} P'_i)(X), \\ \partial_t &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \partial_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$A(D) = H_i(D) P'_i(D) + R_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\},$$

where

$$R_i(X) = A_1(X) - (D_{\xi} H_i)(X) (\partial_x P'_i)(X).$$

Using the representation of  $R_i(X)$  by  $\{P'_j(X) \ (j=1, \dots, d), P_j(X) \ (j=1, \dots, m-d)\}$ , we have

$$R_i(X) = c_i(X') P_i(X) + d_i(X') P'_i(X) + S_i(X) H_i(X),$$

where  $c_i(X')$ ,  $d_i(X')$ ,  $S_i(X)$  are smooth and homogeneous. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(D) &= \{H_i(D) + c_i(D') \circ h_i(D) + d_i(D')\} \\ &\quad \times \{P'_i(D) + S_i(D)\} + \{\{m-2\}\} \\ &= \{h_i(D) \circ h_i(D) - \beta_i(D') + c_i(D') \circ h_i(D) + d_i(D')\} \\ &\quad \times \{P'_i(D) + S_i(D)\} + \{\{m-2\}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\{ \left( h_i(D) + \frac{1}{2} c_i(D') \right)^2 - (\beta_i(D') - d_i(D')) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (D_\xi h_i)(D') \circ (\partial_x h_i)(D') \right\} \{P'_i(D) + S_i(D)\} + \{\{m-2\}\} \\
 &= \tilde{H}_i(D) \tilde{P}'_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{H}_i(D) &= \tilde{h}_i(D)^2 - \tilde{\beta}_i(D'), \quad h_i(D) = D_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i(D'), \\
 \tilde{\alpha}_i(D') &= \alpha_i(D') - \frac{1}{2} c_i(D'), \\
 \tilde{\beta}_i(D') &= \beta_i(D') - d_i(D') + (D_\xi h_i)(D') \circ (\partial_x h_i)(D'), \\
 \tilde{P}'_i(D) &= P'_i(D) + S_i(D).
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of (ii).* Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0(D) &= h_i(D) \circ P_i(D) \\
 &= h_i(D) P_i(D) - (D_\xi h_i)(D') \circ (\partial_x P_i)(D) + \{\{m-2\}\},
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$A(D) = h_i(D) P_i(D) + R_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\},$$

where

$$R_i(X) = A_1(X) - (D_\xi h_i)(X) (\partial_x P_i)(X).$$

Using the representation of  $R_i(X)$  by  $\{P'_j(j=1, \dots, d), P_j(j=1, \dots, m-d)\}$ , we have

$$R_i(X) = c_i(X') P_i(X) + S_i(X) h_i(X),$$

where  $c_i(X'), S_i(X)$  are smooth and homogeneous. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(D) &= \{h_i(D) + c_i(D')\} \{P_i(D) + S_i(D)\} + \{\{m-2\}\} \\
 &= \tilde{h}_i(D) \tilde{P}'_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{h}_i(D) &= D_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i(D'), \quad \tilde{\alpha}_i(D') = \alpha_i(D') - c_i(D'), \\
 \tilde{P}'_i(D) &= P_i(D) + S_i(D).
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

## § 2. $\tilde{H}(D)$ and Green's Formulas

Let

$$\tilde{H}(D) = (D_t - \tilde{\alpha}(D'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}(D') = \tilde{h}(D)^2 - \tilde{\beta}(D')$$

be one of  $\{\tilde{H}_t(D)\}_{t=1, \dots, a}$  in § 1. At first, we consider

$$\tilde{\beta}(X') = \beta(X') + \beta^1(X'),$$

where  $\beta(X') \geq 0$  is homogeneous of degree 2 and  $\beta^1(X')$  is homogeneous of degree 1. In the following, we present a sufficient condition for  $\tilde{\beta}(D')$  to be a positive operator:

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\beta}(D')u, u)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \geq -C\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}^2.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let us assume*

$$(i) \quad \beta(X') = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j(X')^2$$

where  $b_j(X')$  are smooth real-valued functions,

$$(ii) \quad \operatorname{Re} \beta^1(X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}(X')},$$

that is,

$$\operatorname{Re} \beta^1(X') = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k(X') b_k(X'),$$

where  $c_k(X')$  are smooth.

Then there exist  $c > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\beta}(D')u, u)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \geq c \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j(D')u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}^2 - C\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}^2.$$

*Remark.* If

$$\{\partial'_x b_1(X'_0), \dots, \partial'_x b_N(X'_0)\}$$

are linearly independent, then (ii) is equivalent to one of the following:

$$(ii)' \quad \operatorname{Re} \beta^1(X') = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbf{b}(X') = 0,$$

$$(ii)'' \quad |\operatorname{Re} \beta^1(X')| \leq C|\mathbf{b}(X')|.$$

In fact, there exist smooth functions

$$\mathbf{b}'(X') = (b_{N+1}(X'), \dots, b_{2n+1}(X')),$$

where  $\mathbf{b}'(X'_0) = 0$  and

$$\{\partial'_x b_1(X'_0), \dots, \partial'_x b_{2n+1}(X'_0)\}$$

are linearly independent. Let  $f(X')$  be a smooth function, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(X') &= f(X'(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}')) = f(X'(0, \mathbf{b}')) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}') b_j \\ &= f(X')|_{\mathbf{b}(X')=0} + \sum_{j=1}^N c'_j(X') b_j(X'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|f(X')| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^N |b_j(X')|$$

is equivalent to

$$f(X') = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbf{b}(X') = 0,$$

that is,

$$f(X') = \sum_{j=1}^N c'_j(X') b_j(X').$$

*Proof.* Since

$$b_j^*(D') = b_j(D') + (D_{\xi} \partial_x b_j)(D') + \{-1\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(D') &= \sum_{j=1}^N b_j(D') \circ b_j(D') \\ &= \sum b_j(D')^2 - \sum (D_{\xi} b_j)(D') \circ (\partial_x b_j)(D') + \{0\} \\ &= \sum b_j^*(D') b_j(D') - \sum (D_{\xi} \partial_x b_j)(D') \circ b_j(D') \\ &\quad - \sum (D_{\xi} b_j)(D') \circ (\partial_x b_j)(D') + \{0\} \\ &= \sum b_j^*(D') b_j(D') - \frac{1}{2} (D_{\xi} \partial_x \beta)(D') + \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have from the assumption (ii)

$$\tilde{\beta}(D') = \beta(D') + \beta^1(D')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum b_j^*(D') b_j(D') + \left\{ \beta^1(D') - \frac{1}{2} (D_\xi \partial_x \beta)(D') \right\} + \{\{0\}\} \\
 &= \sum b_j^*(D') b_j(D') + \sum c_j(D') b_j(D') + id(D') + \{\{0\}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

( $d(x')$ ; real)

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\beta}(D') u, u) &\geq \sum \|b_j(D') u\|^2 - C \{ \sum \|b_j(D') u\| \cdot \|u\| + \|u\|^2 \} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum \|b_j(D') u\|^2 - C' \|u\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Next, we consider  $\tilde{H}(D)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Green's formula). *Let us assume*

- (i)  $\beta(X') = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j(X')^2,$
- (ii)  $\beta^1(X') - \frac{1}{2} D_\xi \partial_x \beta(X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}(X')},$
- (iii)  $\{h(X), b_j(X')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}(X')},$

where

$$\{h(X), b_j(X')\} = (D_\xi h)(X') \partial_x b_j(X') - D_\xi b_j(X') (\partial_x h)(X').$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\tilde{H}(D) u, \tilde{h}(D) u) - (\tilde{h}(D) u, \tilde{H}(D) u) \\
 &= D_\xi \{ \|\tilde{h}(D) u\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j(D') u\|^2 \} + \dots,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\dots| \leq C \{ \|h(D) u\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j(D') u\|^2 + \|u\|^2 \}.$$

*Proof.* From the assumptions (i) and (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\tilde{H}u, \tilde{h}u) - (\tilde{h}u, \tilde{H}u) \\
 &= \{ ((D_t - \tilde{\alpha}) \tilde{h}u, \tilde{h}u) - (\tilde{h}u, (D_t - \tilde{\alpha}) \tilde{h}u) \} \\
 &\quad - \{ (\tilde{\beta}u, \tilde{h}u) - (\tilde{h}u, \tilde{\beta}u) \}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$= D_t \|\tilde{h}u\|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^N \{ (b_j u, b_j \tilde{h}u) - (b_j \tilde{h}u, b_j u) \} + \dots .$$

From the assumption (iii), we have

$$\| (b_j \tilde{h} - \tilde{h} b_j) u \| \leq C \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \| b_j u \| + \| u \| \right\},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^N \{ (b_j u, b_j \tilde{h}u) - (b_j \tilde{h}u, b_j u) \} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \{ (b_j u, (D_t - \alpha) b_j u) - ((D_t - \alpha) b_j u, b_j u) \} + \dots \\ &= -D_t \sum_{j=1}^N \| b_j u \|^2 + \dots . \end{aligned} \tag{Q.E.D.}$$

**Corollary.** *There exists  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$*

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \tilde{h}u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \| b_j u(t) \|^2 \} \\ &+ \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \tilde{h}u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \| b_j u(t) \|^2 \} dt \\ &\leq \{ \| \tilde{h}u(0) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \| b_j u(0) \|^2 \} \\ &+ C \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| \tilde{H}u(t) \|^2 dt + \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| u(t) \|^2 dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In general, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} D_t f(t) dt &= \int_0^t (D_t - 2i\gamma) e^{-2\gamma t} f(t) dt \\ &= -i \left\{ e^{-2\gamma t} f(t) - f(0) + 2\gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} f(t) dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us multiply the both sides of green's formula in Lemma 2.2 by  $ie^{-2\gamma t}$  and integrate them, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \text{Im}(\tilde{H}u(t), \tilde{h}u(t)) dt \\ &= e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \tilde{h}u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \| b_j u(t) \|^2 \} - \{ \| \tilde{h}u(0) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \| b_j u(0) \|^2 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2\gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \|\tilde{h}u(t)\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j u(t)\|^2 \} dt + \dots,$$

where

$$|\dots| \leq C \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \|\tilde{h}u(t)\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j u(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|^2 \} dt. \text{ (Q.E.D.)}$$

Finally, we consider of

$$\tilde{h}(D) = D_t - \tilde{\alpha}(D'), \quad \tilde{\alpha}(D') = \alpha(D') + \alpha^1(D'),$$

where  $\alpha(X')$  is real-valued and homogeneous of degree 1 and  $\alpha^1(X')$  is homogeneous of degree 0. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{h}u, u) - (u, \tilde{h}u) &= ((D_t - \tilde{\alpha})u, u) - (u, (D_t - \tilde{\alpha})u) \\ &= D_t \|u\|^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\dots| \leq C \|u\|^2.$$

Hence we have

**Lemma 2.3.** *There exists  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\gamma t} \|u(t)\|^2 + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \|u(t)\|^2 dt \\ \leq \|u(0)\|^2 + \frac{C}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \|\tilde{h}u(t)\|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have from the corollary of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let us assume the conditions (i) ~ (iii) stated in Lemma 2.2, then there exists  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \|hu(t)\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j u(t)\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|u(t)\|^2 \} \\ + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \|hu(t)\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j u(t)\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|u(t)\|^2 \} dt \\ \leq C \left\{ \|hu(0)\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j u(0)\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|u(0)\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \|\tilde{H}u(t)\|^2 dt \}.$$

§ 3. Condition (B)

Let the decomposition of  $A_0(X)$  at  $X' = X'_0$  be

$$A_0(X) = C \prod_{i=1}^d H_i(X) \prod_{i=d+1}^{m-d} h_i(X),$$

where

$$H_i(X) = h_i(X)^2 - \beta_i(X') = (\tau - \alpha_i(X'))^2 - \beta_i(X') \quad (i=1, \dots, d),$$

$$h_i(X) = \tau - \alpha_i(X') \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d).$$

**Condition (B).** It holds in a neighborhood of  $X'_0$  for  $i=1, \dots, d$

(B. 1) 
$$\beta_i(X') = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} b_j^{(i)}(X')^2,$$

(B. 2) 
$$L_A(\alpha_i(X'), X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}^{(i)}(X')},$$

where

$$L_A(X) = A_1(X) - \frac{1}{2} (D_\xi \partial_x A_0)(X)$$

(B. 3) 
$$\{h_i(X), b_j^{(i)}(X')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}^{(i)}(X')} \quad (j=1, \dots, N_i),$$

(B. 4) 
$$\{b_j^{(i)}(X'), b_k^{(i)}(X')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}^{(i)}(X')} \quad (j, k=1, \dots, N_i).$$

**Lemma 3. 1.** (B. 2) is equivalent to

$$L_{\beta_i}(X') = \beta_i^1(X') - \frac{1}{2} D_\xi \partial_x \beta_i(X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}^{(i)}(X')}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$A(D) = A_0(D) + A_1(D) + \{\{m-2\}\} = \tilde{H}_i(D) \tilde{P}'_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\}$$

$$= H_i(D) \circ P'_i(D) + \{H_i^1(D) \circ P'_i(D) + H_i(D) \circ P_i'^1(D)$$

$$+ D_\xi H_i(D) \circ \partial_x P'_i(D)\} + \{\{m-2\}\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_i(D) &= H_i(D) + H_i^1(D) + \{0\} \\
&= H_i(D) + \{-2h_i(D) \circ \alpha_i^1(D') + (D_{\xi} h_i)(D') (\partial_x h_i)(D') \\
&\quad - \beta_i^1(D')\} + \{0\}, \\
\tilde{P}'_i(D) &= P'_i(D) + P_i^{1'}(D) + \{m-4\},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} A_0(X) = H_i(X) P'_i(X), \\ A_1(X) = H_i^1(X) P'_i(X) + H_i(X) P_i^{1'}(X) + D_{\xi} H_i(X) \partial_x P'_i(X). \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_A(X) &= A_1(X) - \frac{1}{2} D_{\xi} \partial_x A_0(X) \\
&= H_i^1(X) P'_i(X) + H_i(X) P_i^{1'}(X) + D_{\xi} H_i(x) \partial_x P'_i(X) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \{ (D_{\xi} \partial_x H_i)(X) P'_i(X) + (D_{\xi} H_i)(X) (\partial_x P'_i)(X) \\
&\quad + (\partial_x H_i)(X) (D_{\xi} P'_i)(X) + H_i(X) (D_{\xi} \partial_x P'_i)(X) \},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
L_A(\alpha_i(X'), X') &\equiv \left\{ H_i^1(\alpha_i(X'), X') \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} D_{\xi} \partial_x H_i(\alpha_i(x'), X') \right\} P'_i(\alpha_i(X'), X') \\
&= - \left\{ \beta_i^1(X') - \frac{1}{2} D_{\xi} \partial_x \beta_i(X') \right\} P'_i(\alpha_i(X'), X') \\
&\quad \text{mod } \mathbf{b}^{(i)}(X'). \qquad \text{Q.E.D.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for examples, let us consider  $A$  with constant coefficients. Of course, (B. 3) and (B. 4) are trivially satisfied. When (B. 1) is satisfied, (B. 2) is stated as

$$A_1(\alpha_i(\xi'), \xi') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(\xi')},$$

that is,

$$\beta_i^1(\xi') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(\xi')}.$$

We shall see that  $A(\tau, \xi')$  is hyperbolic with respect to  $\tau$  if and only if

$$|\beta_i^1(\xi')| \leq C\sqrt{\beta_i(\xi')} \quad (i=1, \dots, d).$$

In fact, we know that  $A(\tau, \xi')$  is hyperbolic with respect to  $\tau$ , if and only if ([4])

$$(*) \quad |A_1(\tau, \xi')| \leq \frac{C}{|\text{Im } \tau|} |A_0(\tau, \xi')| \quad \text{for } \text{Im } \tau \neq 0, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let us denote

$$A_1(\tau, \xi') = \sum_{j=1}^{m-d} c_j(\xi') P_j(\tau, \xi') + \sum_{j=1}^d d_j(\xi') P'_j(\tau, \xi') \quad (d_j = -\beta_j^1).$$

Since

$$H_i(\tau, \xi') = \{(\text{Re } \tau - \alpha_i(\xi'))^2 - \beta_i(\xi') - (\text{Im } \tau)^2\} + 2i \text{Im } \tau (\text{Re } \tau - \alpha_i(\xi')),$$

we have

$$|H_i(\tau, \xi')| \geq c |\text{Im } \tau| \{ \sqrt{\beta_i(\xi')} + |\text{Im } \tau| \} \quad (c > 0).$$

Therefore (\*) is equivalent to

$$|d_i(\xi')| \leq C(\sqrt{\beta_i(\xi')} + |\text{Im } \tau|),$$

that is,

$$|d_i(\xi')| \leq C\sqrt{\beta_i(\xi')}.$$

Hence we may say that (B.2) is an almost necessary condition for  $A$  to be hyperbolic in constant coefficient case. In fact, if

$$\{\partial'_\xi b_i^{(i)}(\xi'_0), \dots, \partial'_\xi b_{N_i}^{(i)}(\xi'_0)\}$$

are linearly independent, (B.2) is really necessary for  $A$  to be hyperbolic.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let*

$$\beta_i(X') = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} b_j^{(i)}(X')^2,$$

$$b_j^{(i)}(X') = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} c_{jk}^{(i)}(X') B_k^{(i)}(X'), \quad \det(c_{jk}^{(i)}(X'))_{j,k=1, \dots, N_i} \neq 0,$$

then (B. 2), (B. 3), (B. 4) are equivalent to

$$(B. 2)' \quad L_A(\alpha_i(X'), X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{B}^{(i)}(X')},$$

$$(B. 3)' \quad \{h_i(X), B_j^{(i)}(X')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{B}^{(i)}(X')} \quad (j=1, \dots, N_i),$$

$$(B. 4)' \quad \{B_j^{(i)}(X'), B_k^{(i)}(X')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{B}^{(i)}(X')} \quad (j, k=1, \dots, N_i),$$

respectively.

*Proof.* (i) Let

$$f(X') = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \varphi_j(X') b_j^{(i)}(X'),$$

then

$$f(X') = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \varphi_j(X') c_{jk}^{(i)}(X') \right) B_k^{(i)}(X').$$

Hence,

$$f(X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(X')}$$

is equivalent to

$$f(X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{B}^{(i)}(X')}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \{h_i(X), b_j^{(i)}(X')\} = \{h_i(X), \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} c_{jk}^{(i)}(X') B_k^{(i)}(X')\}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} c_{jk}^{(i)}(X') \{h_i(X), B_k^{(i)}(X')\} \pmod{\mathbf{B}^{(i)}(X')}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \{b_j^{(i)}(X'), b_k^{(i)}(X')\} \equiv \sum_{p,q=1}^{N_i} c_{jp}^{(i)}(X') c_{kq}^{(i)}(X') \{B_p^{(i)}(X'), B_q^{(i)}(X')\}$$

$$\pmod{\mathbf{B}^{(i)}(X')}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let us consider a special case when*

$$\beta_i(X') = |\mathbf{c}(x')|^2 |\mathbf{B}(\xi')|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j(x')^2 \sum_{k=1}^M B_k(\xi')^2$$

and

$$\alpha_i(X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}(X')} = (c_j(x') B_k(\xi'))_{j,k=1,\dots,M}.$$

Then, (B. 3) and (B. 4) are satisfied. Moreover (B. 2)<sub>i</sub> is equivalent

to

$$A_1(0, X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}(X')}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{j,k=1}^M \varphi_{jk}(X') c_j(x') B_k(\xi'),$$

we have

$$\partial'_x \alpha_i = \sum_{j,k} \partial'_x \{ \varphi_{jk}(X') c_j(x') \} B_k(\xi') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{B}(\xi')},$$

$$\partial'_\xi \alpha_i = \sum_{j,k} \partial'_\xi \{ \varphi_{jk}(X') B_k(\xi') \} c_j(x') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{c}(x')},$$

$$\partial_i \alpha_i = \sum_{j,k} \partial_i \varphi_{jk}(X') c_j(x') B_k(\xi') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}(x', \xi')}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{h_i, c_j B_k\} &= - \{ \alpha_i, c_j B_k \} \\ &= - (D'_\xi \alpha_i) (\partial'_x c_j) B_k + (\partial'_x \alpha_i) c_j (D'_\xi B_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}}, \\ \{c_j B_k, c_p B_q\} &= c_j (D'_\xi B_k) (\partial'_x c_p) B_q - (\partial'_x c_j) B_k c_p (D'_\xi B_q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_A(\alpha_i(X'), X') &\equiv L_A(0, X') \\ &= A_1(0, X') - \frac{1}{2} (D'_\xi \partial_x H_i)(0, X') P'_i(0, X'), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (D'_\xi \partial_x H_i)(0, X') &\equiv (D'_\xi h_i) (\partial_x h_i) = -\frac{1}{i} \partial_i \alpha_i + (D'_\xi \alpha_i) (\partial'_x \alpha_i) \equiv 0 \\ &\pmod{\mathfrak{b}}. \end{aligned} \qquad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

*Example 1.* Let

$$A_0 = \tau^2 - 2c(x') (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \tau + 2c(x')^2 \xi_1 \xi_2,$$

that is,

$$A_0 = (\tau - \alpha)^2 - \beta, \quad \alpha = c(x') (\xi_1 + \xi_2), \quad \beta = c(x')^2 (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2).$$

Then, (B. 3) and (B. 4) are satisfied, owing to Lemma 3. 3. Let

$$A_1 = a_0(t, x')\tau + a_1(t, x', \xi'),$$

then (B. 2) is equivalent to

$$a_1(t, x', \xi') = \varphi(t, x')c(x')\xi_1 + \psi(t, x')c(x')\xi_2.$$

*Example 2.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \tau^4 - 2|\xi'|^2\tau^2 + c(x')^2(\xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4) \quad (0 \leq c(x') < 1) \\ &= \{\tau^2 - (|\xi'|^2 + [|\xi'|^4 - c(x')^2(\xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4)]^{1/2})\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \tau^2 - \frac{c(x')^2(\xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4)}{|\xi'|^2 + [|\xi'|^4 - c(x')^2(\xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4)]^{1/2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $A_0 = 0$  has a unique double root  $\tau = 0$  at  $c(x')^2(\xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4) = 0$ .

(B. 3) and (B. 4) are satisfied, owing to Lemma 3.3. Let

$$A_1 = a_0(t, x')\tau^3 + a_1(t, x', \xi')\tau^2 + a_2(t, x', \xi')\tau + a_3(t, x', \xi'),$$

then (B. 2) is equivalent to

$$a_3(t, x', \xi') = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j(t, x')\xi_j c(x')\xi_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_j(t, x')\xi_j c(x')\xi_2^2.$$

*Example 3.* Let the characteristic roots of  $A_0$  be smooth and  $d=1$  at most. Let

$$A_0(X) = (\tau - \lambda(X'))(\tau - \mu(X'))P'(X) = H(X)P'(X),$$

where  $\lambda(X'_0) = \mu(X'_0)$ , then

$$H(X) = (\tau - \alpha(X'))^2 - \beta(X'),$$

where

$$\alpha(X') = \frac{\lambda(X') + \mu(X')}{2}, \quad \beta(X') = \left( \frac{\lambda(X') - \mu(X')}{2} \right)^2.$$

Hence, (B. 1) is satisfied by setting

$$b(X') = \lambda(X') - \mu(X').$$

Then, (B. 2) means

$$A_1(\lambda(X'), X') - \frac{1}{2} \{D_{\xi}(\tau - \lambda(X'))\} \partial_x(\tau - \mu(X'))$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + D_{\xi}(\tau - \mu(X')) \partial_x(\tau - \lambda(X')) \} \\
& \times \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau}^2 A_0(\lambda(X'), X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda(X') - \mu(X')},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(\lambda(X'), X') - \frac{1}{2i} \{ -\partial_i \lambda(X') - \partial_i \mu(X') \\
+ \partial'_{\xi} \lambda(X') \partial'_{\xi} \mu(X') + \partial'_{\xi} \mu(X') \partial'_{\xi} \lambda(X') \} \\
\times \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau}^2 A_0(\lambda(X'), X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda(X') - \mu(X')}.
\end{aligned}$$

(B. 3) means

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \tau - \frac{\lambda(X') + \mu(X')}{2}, \lambda(X') - \mu(X') \right\} \\
& = \{ \tau - \lambda(X'), \tau - \mu(X') \} \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda(X') - \mu(X')},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\xi}(\tau - \lambda(X')) \partial_x(\tau - \mu(X')) - D_{\xi}(\tau - \mu(X')) \partial_x(\tau - \lambda(X')) \equiv 0 \\
\pmod{\lambda(X') - \mu(X')}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (B. 2) and (B. 3) are equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
A_1(\lambda(X'), X') - D_{\xi}(\tau - \lambda(X')) \partial_x(\tau - \mu(X')) \\
\quad \times \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau}^2 A_0(\lambda(X'), X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda(X') - \mu(X')}, \\
A_1(\lambda(X'), X') - D_{\xi}(\tau - \mu(X')) \partial_x(\tau - \lambda(X')) \\
\quad \times \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau}^2 A_0(\lambda(X'), X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda(X') - \mu(X')}.
\end{array} \right.$$

#### § 4. Energy Inequalities

Already, we have had in Section 1

$$A(D) = \begin{cases} \tilde{H}_i(D) \tilde{P}'_i(D) + \{m-2\} & (i=1, \dots, d), \\ \tilde{h}_i(D) \tilde{P}_i(D) + \{m-2\} & (i=d+1, \dots, m-d), \end{cases}$$

by the simplification of  $U = \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\})$ . Now, we assume

conditions (B. 1) ~ (B. 3), then we can apply results in Section 2.

**Lemma 4. 1.** *There exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-2\gamma t} \| \mathbf{P}u(t) \|^2 + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| \mathbf{P}u(t) \|^2 dt \\
 & \leq C \left\{ \| \mathbf{P}u(0) \|^2 + \| u(0) \|_{m-2}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| Au(t) \|^2 dt \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + e^{-2\gamma t} \| u(t) \|_{m-2}^2 + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| u(t) \|_{m-2}^2 dt \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \| u(t) \|_k^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^k \| D_i^j u(t) \|_{H^{k-j}(R_2^2)}^2, \\
 \| \mathbf{P}u(t) \|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-d} \| P_i u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \| b_j^{(i)} P'_i u(t) \|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* Let  $Q(X)$  be homogeneous of degree  $m-1$  and

$$Q(\alpha_i(X'), X') \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(X')} \quad (i=1, \dots, d),$$

then we have

$$\| Q(D)u \| \leq C (\| \mathbf{P}u \| + \| u \|_{m-2}).$$

*Proof.* We apply the corollary of Lemma 2. 2 to

$$A(D)u = \tilde{H}_i(D) \tilde{P}'_i(D)u + \{ \{m-2\} \} u \quad (i=1, \dots, d),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \tilde{h}_i \tilde{P}'_i u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \| b_j^{(i)} \tilde{P}'_i u(t) \|^2 \} \\
 & \quad + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \tilde{h}_i \tilde{P}'_i u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \| b_j^{(i)} \tilde{P}'_i u(t) \|^2 \} dt \\
 & \leq \{ \| \tilde{h}_i \tilde{P}'_i u(0) \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \| b_j^{(i)} \tilde{P}'_i u(0) \|^2 \} \\
 & \quad + C \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| Au(t) \|^2 dt + \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| u(t) \|_{m-2}^2 dt \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we apply Lemma 2. 3 to

$$A(D)u = \tilde{h}_i(D) \tilde{P}_i(D)u + \{\{m-2\}\}u \quad (i = d+1, \dots, m-d),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t} \|\tilde{P}_i u(t)\|^2 + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \|\tilde{P}_i u(t)\|^2 dt \\ & \leq \|\tilde{P}_i u(0)\|^2 + \frac{C}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} (\|Au(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|_{m-2}^2) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have only to remark

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_i(D) \tilde{P}'_i(D) = P_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\} & (i=1, \dots, d), \\ b_j^{(j)}(D') \tilde{P}'_i(D) = b_j^{(j)}(D') P'_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\} & (i=1, \dots, d), \\ \tilde{P}_i(D) = P_i(D) + \{\{m-2\}\} & (i=d+1, \dots, m-d). \end{cases}$$

Q.E.D.

Since  $\partial_t A_0(X)$  is strictly hyperbolic, we have

**Lemma 4.2.**

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t} \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-2-k)} \|u(t)\|_k^2 + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-2-k)} \|u(t)\|_k^2 dt \\ & \leq C \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-2-k)} \|u(0)\|_k^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \|\partial_\tau A_0(D)u(t)\|^2 dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we remark that

$$\partial_\tau A_0(X) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^d P_i(X) + \sum_{i=d+1}^{m-d} P_i(X),$$

then we have

**Proposition 4.3.** Let us assume condition (B.1) ~ (B.3), then there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t} (\|Pu(t)\|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \|u(t)\|_k^2) \\ & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} (\|Pu(t)\|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \|u(t)\|_k^2) dt \\ & \leq C \left\{ (\|Pu(0)\|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \|u(0)\|_k^2) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \|Au(t)\|^2 dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote

$$A(\xi') = |\xi'|,$$

then we have

**Corollary.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^2 e^{-2\gamma t} \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|_{m-1}^2 + \gamma^3 \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|_{m-1}^2 dt \\ & \leq C \left\{ \| \mathbf{P}u(0) \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| u(0) \|_k^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| Au(t) \|^2 dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since

$$P_1(D) = D_i^{m-1} + a_1(D') D_i^{m-2} + \dots + a_{m-1}(D'),$$

where  $a_i(X')$  is homogeneous of degree  $i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} D_i^{m-1} u \|^2 & \leq C \gamma^2 \{ \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} P_1(D) u \|^2 + \| u \|_{m-2}^2 \} \\ & \leq C (\| \mathbf{P}u \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| u \|_{m-2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since

$$\gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} A^{m-1-j} D_i^j u \|^2 \leq C \sum_{k=j}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| u \|_k^2 \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, m-2),$$

we have

$$\gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} u \|_{m-1}^2 \leq C (\| \mathbf{P}u \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| u \|_k^2). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Chapter II. Energy Inequalities (continued)**

**§ 5. Localizations**

Let  $X'_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times S^{n-1}$ , then there is an  $r$  such that in the neighbourhood

$$V(X'_0) = \{ X' \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times S^{n-1}; |X' - X'_0| < r \},$$

the decomposition of  $A_0(X)$  holds and condition (B) is satisfied. Of course,  $r$  depends on  $X'_0$ . Here we denote

$$W(X'_0) = \left\{ X' \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times S^{n-1}; |X' - X'_0| < \frac{r}{2} \right\}.$$

Owing to the assumption (A),  $A_0(X)$  is independent of  $(t, x')$  in  $\{t^2 + |x'|^2 > R^2\}$ , therefore there exist  $\{X'_p\}_{p=1, \dots, M}$  such that

$$\{t^2 + |x'|^2 \leq R^2\} \times S^{n-1} \subset \bigcup_{p=1}^M W(X'_p).$$

Especially, we may think

$$(t_0, x'_0) \times S^{n-1} \subset \bigcup_{p=1}^{M_0} W(X'_p) \quad (t_0^2 + |x'_0|^2 = R^2, M_0 < M).$$

Now we denote

$$W_0(X'_p) = W(X'_p) \cup \{(t, x', \xi') \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times S^{n-1}; t^2 + |x'|^2 > R^2, (t_0, x'_0, \xi') \in W(X'_p)\},$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}(X'_p) &= \left\{ (t, x', \xi') \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}); \left(t, x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right) \in W_0(X'_p) \right\} \\ &\quad (p=1, \dots, M_0), \\ \widetilde{W}(X'_p) &= \left\{ (t, x', \xi') \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}); \left(t, x', \frac{\xi'}{|\xi'|}\right) \in W(X'_p) \right\} \\ &\quad (p=M_0+1, \dots, M), \end{aligned} \right.$$

then we have

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}) \subset \bigcup_{p=1}^M \widetilde{W}(X'_p).$$

Let  $W(X'_p) \cap W(X'_q) \neq \emptyset$ , then we have  $X'_p \in V(X'_q)$  or  $X'_q \in V(X'_p)$ . Let  $X'_q \in V(X'_p)$ , then a simple root of  $A_0(X) = 0$  at  $X' = X'_p$  is always simple along the straight line from  $X'_p$  to  $X'_q$  and double roots at  $X' = X'_p$  may be simple or may be double there with same pair.

Here we introduce a decomposition of unity depending on  $\{\widetilde{W}(X'_p)\}$ , that is, there exist  $\{\varphi_p(X')\}_{p=1, \dots, M}$  such that

$$\sum_{p=1}^M \varphi_p(X') = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}), \quad \text{supp}[\varphi_p(X')] \subset \widetilde{W}(X'_p),$$

where  $\varphi_p(X')$  are smooth and homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to  $\xi$ .

Let

$$A_0(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{d_p} H_{pi}(X) \prod_{i=d_p+1}^{m-d_p} h_{pi}(X)$$

be the decomposition of  $A_0(X)$  at  $X' = X'_p$  in  $\widetilde{W}(X'_p)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{pi}(X) &= h_{pi}(X)^2 - \beta_{pi}(X') = (\tau - \alpha_{pi}(X'))^2 - \beta_{pi}(X'), \\ h_{pi}(X) &= \tau - \alpha_{pi}(X'), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta_{pi}(X') = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} b_k^{(pi)}(X')^2.$$

Suitable extensions of  $\alpha_{pi}, b_k^{(pi)}$  outside  $\widetilde{W}(X'_p)$  will be denoted by the same notations. Hence we have

$$A_0^{(p)}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{d_p} H_{pi}(X) \prod_{i=d_p+1}^{m-d_p} h_{pi}(X), \quad X' \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times (\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}),$$

where

$$A_0^{(p)}(X) = A_0(X), \quad X' \in \widetilde{W}(X'_p).$$

Now, we denote

$$\begin{cases} P_{pi}(X) = h_{pi}(X) \frac{A_0^{(p)}(X)}{H_{pi}(X)}, & P'_{pi}(X) = \frac{A_0^{(p)}(X)}{H_{pi}(X)} \quad (i=1, \dots, d_p), \\ P_{pi}(X) = \frac{A_0^{(p)}(X)}{h_{pi}(X)} \quad (i=d_p+1, \dots, m-d_p), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}(D)u\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m-d_p} \|P_{pi}(D)u\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d_p} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} \|b_k^{(pi)}(D')P'_{pi}(D)u\|^2.$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\varphi(X')$  be smooth and homogeneous of degree 0, and let its support be in  $\widetilde{W}(X'_p) \cap \widetilde{W}(X'_q)$  then*

$$\|\mathbf{P}^{(q)}(D)\varphi(D')u\| \leq C \{ \|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}(D)\varphi(D')u\| + \|u\|_{m-2} \}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$A_0(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{d_p} H_{pi}(X) \prod_{i=d_p+1}^{m-d_p} h_{pi}(X) = \prod_{j=1}^{d_q} H_{qj}(X) \prod_{j=d_q+1}^{m-d_q} h_{qj}(X),$$

$$X' \in \widetilde{W}(X'_p) \cap \widetilde{W}(X'_q),$$

then the following cases may happen:

- (i) for  $j \leq d_q$ , there exists  $i \leq d_p$  such that  $H_{qj}(X) = H_{pi}(X)$ ,
- (ii) for  $j \leq d_q$ , there exist  $i > d_p$  and  $i' > d_p$  such that  $H_{qj}(X) = h_{pi}(X) h_{pi'}(X)$ ,
- (iii) for  $j > d_q$ , there exist  $j' > d_q$  and  $i \leq d_p$  such that  $h_{qj}(X) h_{qj'}(X) = H_{pi}(X)$ ,
- (iv) for  $j > d_q$ , there exists  $i > d_p$  such that  $h_{qj}(X) = h_{pi}(X)$ .

Case (i) It is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{N_{qj}} \|b_k^{(qj)}(D') P'_{qj}(D) \varphi(D') u\| \\ & \leq C \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} \|b_k^{(pi)}(D') P'_{pi}(D) \varphi(D') u\| + \|u\|_{m-2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|P_{qj}(D) \varphi(D') u\| \leq C (\|P_{pi}(D) \varphi(D') u\| + \|u\|_{m-2}).$$

The latter is trivial, because

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{qj} \varphi u\| & \leq \|P_{qj} \circ \varphi u\| + C \|u\|_{m-2} \\ & = \|P_{pi} \circ \varphi u\| + C \|u\|_{m-2} \leq \|P_{pi} \varphi u\| + C' \|u\|_{m-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} I_{pi} & = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} \|b_k^{(pi)} P'_{pi} \varphi u\|^2 \\ & = (\beta_{pi} P'_{pi} \varphi u, P'_{pi} \varphi u) + ((\sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} b_k^{(pi)*} b_k^{(pi)} - \beta_{pi}) P'_{pi} \varphi u, P'_{pi} \varphi u) \\ & = K_{pi} + L_{pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\beta_{pi} P'_{pi} \varphi - \beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi = D_{\xi} \beta_{pi} \circ \partial_x P'_{pi} \circ \varphi + D_{\xi} (\beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi}) \circ \partial_x \varphi + \{\{m-2\}\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{pi} & = ((\beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u, P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u) + ((\beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u, D_{\xi} P'_{pi} \circ \partial_x \varphi u) \\ & \quad + (\{D_{\xi} \beta_{pi} \circ \partial_x P'_{pi} \circ \varphi + D_{\xi} (\beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi}) \circ \partial_x \varphi\} u, P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\dots| \leq C \|u\|_{m-2}^2,$$

therefore we have

$$|K_{pi} - ((\beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u, (P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u)| \leq C(\sqrt{I_{pi}} + \|u\|_{m-2}) \|u\|_{m-2}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} b_k^{(pi)*} b_k^{(pi)} - \beta_{pi} = \frac{1}{2} D_\xi \partial_x \beta_{pi} + \{0\}.$$

we have

$$L_{pi} = \frac{1}{2} (D_\xi \partial_x \beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \varphi u, P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u) + \dots,$$

where

$$|\dots| \leq C \|u\|_{m-2}^2,$$

therefore we have

$$\left| L_{pi} - \frac{1}{2} (D_\xi \partial_x \beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u, P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u) \right| \leq C(\sqrt{I_{pi}} + \|u\|_{m-2}) \|u\|_{m-2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} ((\beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u, (P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u) = ((\beta_{qj} \circ P'_{qj} \circ \varphi) u, (P'_{qj} \circ \varphi) u), \\ ((D_\xi \partial_x \beta_{pi} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u, (P'_{pi} \circ \varphi) u) = ((D_\xi \partial_x \beta_{qj} \circ P'_{qj} \circ \varphi) u, (P'_{qj} \circ \varphi) u), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$|I_{pi} - I_{qj}| \leq C(\sqrt{I_{pi}} + \sqrt{I_{qj}} + \|u\|_{m-2}) \|u\|_{m-2}.$$

Here we have

$$I_{qj} \leq C'(I_{pi} + \|u\|_{m-2}^2).$$

Case (ii). It is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{N_{qj}} \|b_k^{(qj)}(D') P'_{qj}(D) \varphi(D') u\| + \|P_{qj}(D) \varphi(D') u\| \\ & \leq C(\|P_{pi}(D) \varphi(D') u\| + \|P_{pi'}(D) \varphi(D') u\| + \|u\|_{m-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $X' \in \tilde{W}(X'_p) \cap \tilde{W}(X'_q)$

$$\begin{aligned} P'_{qj}(X) &= \frac{A_0(X)}{H_{qj}(X)} = \frac{A_0(X)}{h_{pi}(X) h_{pi'}(X)} \\ &= \frac{A_0(X)}{(\tau - \alpha_{pi}(X'))(\tau - \alpha_{pi'}(X'))} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{pi}(X') - \alpha_{pi'}(X')} (P_{pi}(X) - P_{pi'}(X)), \\
 P_{qj}(X) &= (\tau - \alpha_{qj}(X')) \frac{A_0(X)}{H_{qj}(X)} = \frac{(\tau - \alpha_{qj}(X')) A_0(X)}{(\tau - \alpha_{pi}(X')) (\tau - \alpha_{pi'}(X'))} \\
 &= \frac{\alpha_{pi}(X') - \alpha_{qj}(X')}{\alpha_{pi}(X') - \alpha_{pi'}(X')} P_{pi}(X) + \frac{\alpha_{pi'}(X') - \alpha_{qj}(X')}{\alpha_{pi'}(X') - \alpha_{pi}(X')} P_{pi'}(X),
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|b_k^{(qj)} P'_{qj} \varphi u\| + \|P_{qj} \varphi u\| &\leq \|b_k^{(qj)} \circ P'_{qj} \circ \varphi u\| + \|P_{qj} \circ \varphi u\| + C \|u\|_{m-2} \\
 &\leq C' \{ \|P_{qj} \circ \varphi u\| + \|P_{pi'} \circ \varphi u\| + \|u\|_{m-2} \} \\
 &\leq C'' \{ \|P_{pi} \varphi u\| + \|P_{pi'} \varphi u\| + \|u\|_{m-2} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii). It is sufficient to show that

$$\|P_{qj} \varphi u\| + \|P_{qj'} \varphi u\| \leq C (\|P_{pi} \varphi u\| + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} \|b_k^{(pi)} P'_{pi} \varphi u\| + \|u\|_{m-2}).$$

Since for  $X' \in \tilde{W}(X'_p) \cap \tilde{W}(X'_q)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{qj}(X) &= \frac{A_0(X)}{h_{qj}(X)} = \frac{h_{qj'}(X) A_0(X)}{h_{qj'}(X) h_{qj}(X)} = (\tau - \alpha_{qj'}(X')) P'_{pi}(X) \\
 &= P_{pi}(X) + (\alpha_{pi}(X') - \alpha_{qj'}(X')) P'_{pi}(X), \\
 P_{qj'}(X) &= (\tau - \alpha_{qj}(X')) P'_{pi}(X) = P_{pi}(X) + (\alpha_{pi}(X') - \alpha_{qj}(X')) P'_{pi}(X),
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|P_{qj} \varphi u\| + \|P_{qj'} \varphi u\| &\leq \|P_{qj} \circ \varphi u\| + \|P_{qj'} \circ \varphi u\| + C \|u\|_{m-2} \\
 &\leq C' (\|P_{pi} \circ \varphi u\| + \|P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u\|_1 + \|u\|_{m-2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$(\tau - \alpha_{qj})(\tau - \alpha_{qj'}) = (\tau - \alpha_{pi})^2 - \beta_{pi},$$

we have  $\beta_{pi}(X') \neq 0$  for  $X' \in \tilde{W}(X'_p) \cap \tilde{W}(X'_q)$ , hence we have

$$\|P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u\|_1 \leq C (\sum_{k=1}^{N_{pi}} \|b_k^{(pi)} \circ P'_{pi} \circ \varphi u\| + \|u\|_{m-2}).$$

Case (iv). Since

$$P_{qj}(X) = \frac{A_0(X)}{h_{qj}(X)} = \frac{A_0(X)}{h_{pi}(X)} = P_{pi}(X),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{qj}\varphi u\| &\leq \|P_{qj}\circ\varphi u\| + C\|u\|_{m-1} = \|P_{pi}\circ\varphi u\| + C\|u\|_{m-1} \\ &\leq \|P_{pi}\varphi u\| + C'\|u\|_{m-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{Q.E.D.}$$

Now, we return to the problem of the localization of  $A(D)$ . Using the decomposition of unity  $\{\varphi_p(X')\}_{p=1,\dots,M}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(D')A(D) - A^{(p)}(D)\varphi_p(D') \\ = D_\xi\varphi_p(D')\circ\partial_x A_0(D) - D_\xi A_0(D)\circ\partial_x\varphi_p(D') + L_p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|L_p u\| \leq C\|(A+1)^{-1}u\|_{m-1}.$$

Let  $\psi_p(X')$  be smooth and homogeneous of degree 0, whose support is in  $\tilde{W}(X'_p)$ , and  $\psi_p(X')=1$  on the support of  $\varphi_p(X')$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(D_\xi\varphi_p\circ\partial_x A_0 - D_\xi A_0\circ\partial_x\varphi_p)u\| &\leq C\|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}\circ\psi_p u\| \\ &\leq C'\{\|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}\psi_p u\| + \|u\|_{m-2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have from Lemma 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}\psi_p u\| &= \|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}\psi_p \sum_{q=1}^M \varphi_q u\| \leq \sum_{q=1}^M \|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}\psi_p\circ\varphi_q u\| + C\|u\|_{m-2} \\ &\leq C'(\sum_{q=1}^M \|\mathbf{P}^{(q)}\psi_p\circ\varphi_q u\| + \|u\|_{m-2}) \\ &\leq C''(\sum_{q=1}^M \|\mathbf{P}^{(q)}\varphi_q u\| + \|u\|_{m-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

**Lemma 5.2** (Localization of A).

$$\|A^{(p)}\varphi_p u\| \leq C\{\|\varphi_p A u\| + \sum_{q=1}^M \|\mathbf{P}^{(q)}\varphi_q u\| + \|(A+1)^{-1}u\|_{m-1}\}.$$

Now we apply Proposition 4.3 and its corollary to localized operators  $A^{(p)}$ , then we have for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$

$$e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \|\mathbf{P}^{(p)}\varphi_p u(t)\|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \|\varphi_p u(t)\|_k^2 + \gamma^2 \|(A+\gamma)^{-1}\varphi_p u(t)\|_{m-1}^2 \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \mathbf{P}^{(p)} \varphi_p u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| \varphi_p u(t) \|_k^2 \\
 & + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} \varphi_p u(t) \|_{m-1}^2 \} dt \\
 \leq & C \left\{ \| \mathbf{P}^{(p)} \varphi_p u(0) \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| \varphi_p u(0) \|_k^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| A^{(p)} \varphi_p u(t) \|^2 dt \right\} \\
 \leq & C' \left\{ \| \mathbf{P}^{(p)} \varphi_p u(0) \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| \varphi_p u(0) \|_k^2 \right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} (\| \varphi_p A u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{q=1}^M \| \mathbf{P}^{(q)} \varphi_q u(t) \|^2 \\
 & \left. + \| (A + 1)^{-1} u(t) \|_{m-1}^2) dt \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing them up with respect to  $p$ , we have

**Theorem I.** *Let us assume Conditions (A) and (B), then there exist  $\gamma_0 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-2\gamma t} \left\{ \| \mathbf{P} u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| u(t) \|_k^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|_{m-1}^2 \right\} \\
 & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \mathbf{P} u(t) \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| u(t) \|_k^2 \\
 & + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|_{m-1}^2 \} dt \\
 \leq & C \left\{ \| \mathbf{P} u(0) \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-1-k)} \| u(0) \|_k^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| A u(t) \|^2 dt \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\| \mathbf{P} u \|^2 = \sum_{p=1}^M \| \mathbf{P}^{(p)} \varphi_p u \|^2.$$

*Remark* Let us denote

$$\| u \|_{k, \gamma}^2 = \sum_{l=0}^k \gamma^{2(k-l)} \| u \|_l^2,$$

then

$$c_1 \|(A + \gamma)^{-1}u\|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \leq \|u\|_{m-2, \gamma}^2 + \|(A + \gamma)^{-1}u\|_{m-1}^2 \leq c_2 \|(A + \gamma)^{-1}u\|_{m-1, \gamma}^2.$$

**Corollary.** *Let*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \|u(t)\|_m^2 dt < +\infty,$$

*then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \|(A + \gamma)^{-1}u(t)\|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \|Au(t)\|^2 dt.$$

Finally, we consider energy inequalities of higher order or lower order.

**Lemma 5.3.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (D_{\xi}A_0)(D)u \| + \| (A+1)^{-1}(D_xA_0)(D)u \| \\ & \leq C \{ \| \mathbf{P}u \| + \| (A+1)^{-1}u \|_{m-1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \| (D_{\xi}A_0)(D)u \| & \leq \sum_{p=1}^M \| (D_{\xi}A_0)(D)\varphi_p(D')u \| \\ & \leq \sum_{p=1}^M \| (D_{\xi}A_0^{(p)})(D)\varphi_p(D')u \| + C \| (A+1)^{-1}u \|_{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \| (A+1)^{-1}(D_xA_0)(D)u \| & \leq \sum_{p=1}^M \| (A+1)^{-1}(D_xA_0)(D)\varphi_p(D')u \| \\ & \leq \sum_{p=1}^M \| (A+1)^{-1}(D_xA_0^{(p)})(D)\varphi_p(D')u \| \\ & \quad + C \| (A+1)^{-1}u \|_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hands, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\xi}A_0^{(p)})(\alpha_{p\mathbf{i}}(X'), X') & \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(p\mathbf{i})}(X')}, \\ (D_xA_0^{(p)})(\alpha_{p\mathbf{i}}(X'), X') & \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(p\mathbf{i})}(X')}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_{\xi}A_0^{(p)}(D)v\| + \|(A+1)^{-1}(D_xA_0^{(p)})(D)v\| \\ & \leq C(\|P^{(p)}v\| + \|v\|_{m-2}). \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Now, we remark

$$\|(D_xA(D) - A(D)D_x)u\| = \|(D_xA)(D)u\| \leq C\{\|PAu\| + \|u\|_{m-1}\},$$

and we apply Theorem I, replacing  $D_xu$  instead of  $u$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t}(\|PD_xu(t)\|^2 + \gamma^2\|D_xu(t)\|_{m-2,\gamma}^2) \\ & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t}(\|PD_xu(t)\|^2 + \gamma^2\|D_xu(t)\|_{m-2,\gamma}^2) dt \\ & \leq C\left\{\|PD_xu(0)\|^2 + \gamma^2\|D_xu(0)\|_{m-2,\gamma}^2\right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t}(\|D_x(Au)(t)\|^2 + \|PAu(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|_{m-1}^2) dt\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, together with Theorem I, we have for large  $\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t}\{\|Pu(t)\|_1^2 + \gamma^2\|u(t)\|_{m-1,\gamma}^2\} \\ & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t}\{\|Pu(t)\|_1^2 + \gamma^2\|u(t)\|_{m-1,\gamma}^2\} dt \\ & \leq C\left\{\|Pu(0)\|_1^2 + \gamma^2\|u(0)\|_{m-1,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t}\|Au(t)\|_1^2 dt\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have step by step

**Theorem I'.** *Let us assume Conditions (A) and (B), then there exist  $\gamma_k > 0$  and  $C_k > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_k$*

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t}\{\|Pu(t)\|_k^2 + \gamma^2\|u(t)\|_{m-2+k,\gamma}^2\} \\ & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t}\{\|Pu(t)\|_k^2 + \gamma^2\|u(t)\|_{m-2+k,\gamma}^2\} dt \\ & \leq C_k\left\{\|Pu(0)\|_k^2 + \gamma^2\|u(0)\|_{m-2+k,\gamma}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t}\|Au(t)\|_k^2 dt\right\} \\ & (k=0, 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.4.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \{ (A + \gamma)^{-1} A - A (A + \gamma)^{-1} \} u \| \\ & \leq C \{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u \| + \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u \|_{m-1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A + \gamma)^{-1} A - A (A + \gamma)^{-1} &= (A + \gamma)^{-1} (AA - AA) (A + \gamma)^{-1} \\ &= (A + \gamma)^{-1} (-D_{\xi} A \cdot \partial_x A_0 + \{ \{ m - 1 \} \}) (A + \gamma)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} (D_{\xi} A) (\partial_x A_0) (A + \gamma)^{-1} u \| \\ & \leq C \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} (\partial_x A_0) (A + \gamma)^{-1} u \| \\ & \leq C' ( \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u \| + \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u \|_{m-1} ). \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Theorem I, replacing  $(A + \gamma)^{-1} u$  instead of  $u$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \} \\ & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \} dt \\ & \leq C \{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u(0) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u(0) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \} \\ & + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} A u(t) \|^2 + \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|^2 \\ & + \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have for large  $\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t} \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \\ & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \} dt \\ & \leq C \left\{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-1} u(0) \|^2 + \| (A + \gamma)^{-2} u(0) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} A u(t) \|^2 dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, we have step by step

**Theorem I''.** *Let us assume Conditions (A) and (B), then there exist  $\gamma_k > 0$  and  $C_k > 0$  such that for  $\gamma > \gamma_k$*

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-k} u(t) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1-k} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \} \\ & + \gamma \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-k} u(t) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1-k} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \} dt \\ & \leq C_k \left\{ \| \mathbf{P}(A + \gamma)^{-k} u(0) \|^2 + \gamma^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1-k} u(0) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^t e^{-2\gamma t} \| (A + \gamma)^{-k} A u(t) \|^2 dt \right\} \quad (k=0, 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

§ 6. Existence Theorems

First, we consider a slight variation of energy inequalities. Let  $\alpha(t)$  be a smooth function of  $t$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(t) = 1 & \text{for } t \geq 1, \\ \alpha(t) = 0 & \text{for } t \leq -1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$0 < \alpha(t) < 1 \quad \text{for } -1 < t < 1.$$

Let us denote a weight function

$$e_\delta(t) = \alpha(t) + \alpha(-t) e^{\delta t}$$

for  $|\delta| < 1$ , then we have

$$\left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^j e_\delta(t) \right| \leq C_j e_\delta(t).$$

Hence, let us denote

$$\frac{e'_\delta(t)}{e_\delta(t)} = \psi_\delta(t),$$

then we have

$$e_\delta(t) D_t u = (D_t + i\psi_\delta(t)) e_\delta(t) u,$$

therefore we have

$$e_\delta(t) A(D_t, D'_x) u = A(D_t + i\psi_\delta(t), D'_x) e_\delta(t) u = \tilde{A}(D_t, D'_x) e_\delta(t) u.$$

Since

$$\tilde{A}_0(X) = A_0(X), \quad \tilde{A}_1(X) = A_1(X) + \partial_r A_0(X) i\psi_\delta(t),$$

we have

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let Conditions (A) and (B) be satisfied by  $A(D)$ , then they are satisfied also by  $\tilde{A}(D)$ .*

Now let us apply Corollary of Theorem I on  $\tilde{A}$ , then we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} (e_\delta u)(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \tilde{A} \| (e_\delta u)(t) \|^2 dt.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} e_\delta u \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 \geq c \{ \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} D_t^{m-1} (e_\delta u) \|^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-2-k)} \| D_t^k (e_\delta u) \|^2 \} \\ & = c e_\delta^2 \{ \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} (D_t - i\psi_\delta)^{m-1} u \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-2-k)} \| (D_t - i\psi_\delta)^k u \|^2 \} \\ & \geq c e_\delta^2 \left\{ \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} D_t^{m-1} u \|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-2-k)} \| D_t^k u \|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - C \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \gamma^{2(m-2-k)} \| D_t^k u \|^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

we have for large  $\gamma$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} e_\delta(t)^2 \| (A + \gamma)^{-1} u(t) \|_{m-1, \gamma}^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} e_\delta(t)^2 \| Au(t) \|^2 dt.$$

Let us denote

$$e_{\gamma, \gamma'}(t) = \alpha(t) e^{\gamma t} + \alpha(-t) e^{\gamma' t}$$

for  $|\gamma - \gamma'| \leq 1$ , then

$$e_{\gamma, \gamma'}(t) = e^{\gamma t} e_\delta(t) \quad (\delta = \gamma' - \gamma).$$

Hence we have

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $\gamma, \gamma' \geq \gamma_0$  and  $|\gamma - \gamma'| \leq 1$ , then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{-\gamma, -\gamma'}(t)^2 \| u(t) \|_{m-2, \gamma}^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{-\gamma, -\gamma'}(t)^2 \| Au(t) \|^2 dt.$$



**Corollary.** *Let  $\gamma, \gamma' \geq \gamma_0, |\gamma - \gamma'| \leq 1$ , and*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \|u(t)\|_m^2 dt < +\infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\gamma' t} \|v\|_m^2 dt < +\infty.$$

*Then  $Au = Av$  implies  $u = v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w = u - v$ , then we have  $Aw = 0$  and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{-\gamma, -\gamma'}(t)^2 \|w(t)\|_m^2 dt < +\infty.$$

Then we have from Proposition 6.2

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{-\gamma, \gamma'}(t)^2 \|w(t)\|_{m-2, \gamma}^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{-\gamma, -\gamma'}(t)^2 \|Aw(t)\|^2 dt = 0.$$

Q.E.D.

Next, let us consider the formal adjoint  $A^*$  of  $A$ . Since

$$A^*(D) = A_0(D) + \{\bar{A}_1(D) + (D_{\xi} \partial_x A_0)(D)\} + \{m-2\},$$

we have

$$(A^*)_0(X) = A_0(X),$$

$$L_{A^*}(X) = \overline{A_1(X)} + D_{\xi} \partial_x A_0(X) - \frac{1}{2} D_{\xi} \partial_x A_0(X)$$

$$= A_1(X) - \frac{1}{2} D_{\xi} \partial_x A_0(X) = L_A(X).$$

Here we have

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let Conditions (A) and (B) be satisfied by  $A(D)$ , then they are satisfied also by  $A^*(D)$ .*

Hence, we have energy inequalities for  $A^*(D)$ , corresponding to Theorems I, I', I'' for  $A(D)$ . Therefore, in usual technique, ([5])

**Theorem II.** *Let us assume Conditions (A) and (B) for  $A(D)$ . Then Cauchy problem:*

$$\begin{cases} A(D)u = f(t, x') & \text{in } \{t > 0, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \\ D_t^j u|_{t=0} = u_j(x') & (j=0, 1, \dots, m-1) \text{ in } \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \end{cases}$$

is well posed in sense of Sobolev's space.

### Chapter III. Finiteness of Propagation Speed

#### § 7. Change of Variables

Let us consider basic properties about the change of differential operators caused by a change of variables. Let us denote

$$(t, x') = x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (\tau, \xi') = \xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n),$$

and let  $x = \varphi(y)$  be a change of variables  $x$  into  $y$ , and  $y = \psi(x)$  be its inverse. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{y_i} &= \sum_{j=0}^n \varphi_{j(i)}(y) \partial_{x_j}, \quad (\partial_y = {}^t\Phi(y) \partial_x), \\ \partial_{x_i} &= \sum_{j=0}^n \psi_{j(i)}(x) \partial_{y_j}, \quad (\partial_x = {}^t\Psi(x) \partial_y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(y) &= (\varphi_{i(j)}(y))_{i,j=0,\dots,n} = (\partial_{y_j} \varphi_i(y))_{i,j=0,\dots,n}, \\ \Psi(x) &= (\psi_{i(j)}(x))_{i,j=0,\dots,n} = (\partial_{x_j} \psi_i(x))_{i,j=0,\dots,n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi(\psi(x)) \Psi(x) = I.$$

Hence we have another change of variables  $X = (x, \xi)$  into  $Y = (y, \eta)$  and its inverse:

$$X(Y) = (\varphi(y), {}^t\Psi(\varphi(y))\eta), \quad Y(X) = (\psi(x), {}^t\Phi(\psi(x))\xi).$$

Then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} &= \sum_{j=0}^n \psi_{j(i)}(x) \partial_{y_j} + \sum_{j,k,l=0}^n \xi_k \varphi_{k(l,j)}(y) \psi_{l(i)}(x) \partial_{\eta_j}, \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \psi_{j(i)}(x) \partial_{y_j} - \sum_{j,k,l=0}^n \eta_k \psi_{k(i,l)}(x) \varphi_{l(j)}(y) \partial_{\eta_j}, \\ \partial_{\xi_i} &= \sum_{j=0}^n \varphi_{i(j)}(y) \partial_{\eta_j}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_\xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t\Psi(\varphi(y)) & -\sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k H_{\psi_k}(\varphi(y)) \Phi(y) \\ 0 & \Phi(y) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y \\ \partial_\eta \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$H_{\varphi_k}(y) = (\varphi_{k(i,j)}(y))_{i,j=0,\dots,n} = (\partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} \varphi_k(y))_{i,j=0,\dots,n},$$

$$H_{\psi_k}(x) = (\psi_{k(i,j)}(x))_{i,j=0,\dots,n} = (\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \psi_k(x))_{i,j=0,\dots,n},$$

and

$${}^t\Psi H_{\varphi_k} \Psi = -\sum_{i=0}^n \varphi_{k(i)} H_{\psi_i}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi a(X) \cdot \partial_x b(X) &= (\Phi \partial_\eta a) \cdot ({}^t\Psi \partial_y b - \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k H_{\psi_k} \Phi \partial_\eta b) \\ &= \partial_\eta a \cdot \partial_y b - (\Phi \partial_\eta a) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k H_{\psi_k} \Phi \partial_\eta b\right) \\ &= \partial_\eta a \cdot \partial_y b - \partial_\xi a \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k H_{\psi_k} \partial_\xi b\right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore we have

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $a = a(X)$  and  $b = b(X)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi a(X) \cdot \partial_x b(X) - \partial_\eta a(X(Y)) \cdot \partial_y b(X(Y)) \\ = -\partial_\xi a(X) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k H_{\psi_k}(x) \partial_\xi b(X)\right), \end{aligned}$$

and moreover

$$\{a(X), b(X)\}_X = \{a(X(Y)), b(X(Y))\}_Y.$$

Now, let us consider

$$\mathcal{A}(y, D_y) = A(\varphi(y), {}^t\Psi(\varphi(y)) D_y).$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_0(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1(Y) + \dots, \quad Y = (y, \eta),$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_j(Y)$  is homogeneous of degree  $m - j$  with respect to  $\eta$ , and we denote

$$L_{\mathcal{A}}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_1(Y) - \frac{1}{2} D_{\eta} \partial_y \mathcal{A}_0(Y),$$

then we have

**Lemma 7.2.**

$$\mathcal{A}_0(Y) = A_0(X(Y)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(Y) = & A_1(X(Y)) + \frac{1}{2} \{D_{\eta} \partial_y A_0(X(Y)) - (D_{\xi} \partial_x A_0)(X(Y))\} \\ & + \sum_{i,k=0}^n \partial_{x_i} \{\varphi_{i(k)}(\psi(x))\} D_{\eta_k} A_0(X(Y)), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$L_{\mathcal{A}}(Y) - L_A(X(Y)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=0}^n \partial_{x_i} \{\varphi_{i(k)}(\psi(x))\} D_{\eta_k} A_0(X(Y)).$$

*Proof* Let us denote

$$A_0(X) = \sum_{i_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{i_m=0}^n a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x) \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_m},$$

where  $a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$  are invariant with respect to permutations of suffixes. Now we consider

$$A_0(\varphi(y), {}^t\Psi(\varphi(y))D_y) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\varphi(y)) \mathcal{E}_{i_1}(y, D_y) \cdots \mathcal{E}_{i_m}(y, D_y),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(y, D_y) = {}^t\Psi(\varphi(y))D_y,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(\varphi(y), {}^t\Psi(\varphi(y))D_y) = & \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\varphi(y)) \mathcal{E}_{i_1}(y, D_y) \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{E}_{i_m}(y, D_y) \\ & + \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\varphi(y)) (D_{\eta} \mathcal{E}_{i_1})(y, D_y) \circ (\partial_y \mathcal{E}_{i_2})(y, D_y) \\ & \circ \mathcal{E}_{i_3}(y, D_y) \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{E}_{i_m}(y, D_y) + \{m-2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have from Lemma 7.1

$$D_{\eta} \mathcal{E}_i(Y) \cdot \partial_y \mathcal{E}_j(Y)$$

$$= D_{\xi} \xi_i \cdot \partial_x \xi_j + \frac{1}{i} \partial_{\xi} \xi_i \cdot \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k H_{\psi_k} \partial_{\xi} \xi_j \right) = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k \psi_{k(i,j)}(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(\varphi(y), {}^i\mathcal{P}(\varphi(y) D_y)) &= \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\varphi(y)) \mathcal{E}_{i_1}(y, D_y) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{E}_{i_m}(y, D_y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{i} \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\varphi(y)) \sum_{k=0}^n \psi_{k(i_1, i_2)}(\varphi(y)) D_{y_k} \\ &\circ \mathcal{E}_{i_1}(y, D_y) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{E}_{i_m}(y, D_y) + \{m-2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\mathcal{A}_0(Y) = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\varphi(y)) \mathcal{E}_{i_1}(Y) \dots \mathcal{E}_{i_m}(Y) = A_0(X(Y)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}_1(Y) - A_1(X(Y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\varphi(y)) \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k \psi_{k(i_1, i_2)}(\varphi(y)) \mathcal{E}_{i_1}(Y) \dots \mathcal{E}_{i_m}(Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{i,j,k} \eta_k \psi_{k(i,j)}(\varphi(y)) (\partial_{\xi_i} \partial_{\xi_j} A_0)(X(Y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \sum_i \{ \sum_j \psi_{j(i)}(x) \partial_{y_j} - \partial_{x_i} \} \partial_{\xi_i} A_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \{ \sum_{i,j} \psi_{j(i)}(x) \partial_{y_j} (\sum_k \varphi_{i(k)}(y) \partial_{\eta_k} A_0) - \sum_i \partial_{x_i} \partial_{\xi_i} A_0 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \{ \sum_j \partial_{y_j} \partial_{\eta_j} A_0 + \sum_{i,j,k} \psi_{j(i)}(x) \varphi_{i(j,k)}(y) \partial_{\eta_k} A_0 - \sum_i \partial_{x_i} \partial_{\xi_i} A_0 \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,k} \psi_{j(i)}(x) \varphi_{i(j,k)}(y) \partial_{\eta_k} A_0 &= \sum \partial_{x_i} \{ \varphi_{i(k)}(\psi(x)) \} \partial_{\eta_k} A_0 \\ &= - \sum \varphi_{i(j)}(y) \psi_{j(i,k)}(x) \partial_{\xi_k} A_0 = - \sum \partial_{y_j} \{ \psi_{j(k)}(\varphi(y)) \} \partial_{\xi_k} A_0. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

### § 8. Space-like Change of Variables

Let  $\Gamma_x$  be the open connected component of  $\{\xi; A_0(x, \xi) \neq 0\}$  containing  $\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let us say that  $y = \psi(x) = (\psi_0(x), \dots, \psi_n(x))$  is

a space-like change of variables if  $\partial_x \psi_0(x) \in \Gamma_x$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $y = \psi(x)$  be a space-like change of variables. If the Condition (A) is satisfied by  $A(x, D_x)$ , then it is satisfied also by  $\mathcal{A}(y, D_y) = A(\varphi(y), {}^i\Psi(\varphi(y)) D_y)$ .*

*Proof.* We have from Lemma 7.2

$$\mathcal{A}_0(y, \eta) = A_0(\varphi(y), {}^i\Psi(\varphi(y)) \eta) = A_0(\varphi(y), \sum_{j=0}^n \partial_x \psi_j(\varphi(y)) \eta_j),$$

therefore we have

$$\mathcal{A}_0(y, (1, 0, \dots, 0)) = A_0(\varphi(y), (\partial_x \psi_0)(\varphi(y))) \neq 0.$$

Moreover, since

$$A_0(x, \xi) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \pm \text{Im } \xi \in \Gamma_x,$$

we have

$$\mathcal{A}_0(y, \eta) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \eta = (\omega, \eta'), \quad \text{Im } \omega \neq 0, \quad \eta' \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Q.E.D.

Now, let us consider the decomposition of  $A_0(x, \xi)$  at  $X' = X'_0 = (x_0, \xi'_0)$ :

$$A_0(x, \xi) = \prod_{i=1}^d H_i(x, \xi) \prod_{i=d+1}^{m-d} h_i(x, \xi),$$

then we have

**Lemma 8.2.** *Let  $\zeta \in \Gamma_{x_0}$ , then there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that*

- (i)  $H_i(x_0, \xi_0 + \varepsilon \zeta) \geq c \varepsilon^2 \quad (i=1, \dots, d),$
- (ii)  $h_i(x_0, \xi_0 + \varepsilon \zeta) \geq c \varepsilon \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d)$

for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , where  $\xi_0 = (\alpha_i(X'_0), \xi'_0)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let

$$H_i(x_0, \xi) = (\tau - \alpha_i(\xi'))^2 - \beta_i(\xi') = (\tau - \tau_+(\xi')) (\tau - \tau_-(\xi')),$$

where  $\tau_+(\xi') \geq \tau_-(\xi')$ , and let

$$\Gamma_{x_0}^{(1)} = \{\zeta \in \Gamma_{x_0}; |\zeta| < 1\}.$$

Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$\xi_0 + \varepsilon_0 \Gamma_{x_0}^{(1)} \subset \{\tau > \tau_+(\xi')\},$$

that is,

$$\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta \geq \tau_+(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta') \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \zeta = (\theta, \zeta') \in \Gamma_{x_0}^{(1)},$$

because  $A_0(x_0, \xi)$  is hyperbolic with respect to each  $\zeta \in \Gamma_{x_0}$ . Let  $\zeta = (\theta, \zeta') \in \Gamma_{x_0}^{(1)}$  be fixed, then there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta - \tau_+(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta') \geq c\varepsilon \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Because, choosing  $\theta' < \theta$  such that  $(\theta', \zeta) \in \Gamma_{x_0}^{(1)}$ , we have

$$\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta' \geq \tau_+(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta'),$$

that is

$$\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta - \tau_+(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta') = \{\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta' - \tau_+(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta')\} + \varepsilon(\theta - \theta') \geq \varepsilon(\theta - \theta').$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(x_0, \xi_0 + \varepsilon \zeta) &= (\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta - \tau_+(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta')) (\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta - \tau_-(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta')) \\ &\geq (\tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta - \tau_+(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta'))^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 c^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Regarding  $\alpha_i(\xi')$  as  $\tau_+(\xi')$ , we have in the same way

$$h_i(x_0, \xi_0 + \varepsilon \zeta) = \tau_0 + \varepsilon \theta - \alpha_i(\xi'_0 + \varepsilon \zeta') \geq \varepsilon c \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Q.E.D.

**Corollary.** Let  $\zeta = (\theta, \zeta') \in \Gamma_{x_0}$ , then

(i)  $\{\theta - \partial'_\xi \alpha_i(X'_0) \cdot \zeta'\}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} \beta_i(X'_0) \zeta_j \zeta_k > 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, d),$

(ii)  $\theta - \partial'_\xi \alpha_i(X'_0) \cdot \zeta' > 0 \quad (i=d+1, \dots, m-d).$

*Remark.*  $\theta - \partial'_\xi \alpha_i(X'_0) \cdot \zeta' > 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, d)$  follow from (i), because  $\beta_i(X') \geq 0, \beta_i(X'_0) = 0.$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $X_0 = (x_0, \alpha_i(x_0, \xi'_0), \xi'_0)$ , then

$$H_t(X_0) = \partial_t H_t(X_0) = \partial_t' H_t(X_0) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 H_t(X_0) = 2, & \partial_t \partial_{\xi_j} H_t(X_0) = -2\partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_t(X'_0), \\ \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} H_t(X_0) = 2\partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_t(X'_0) \partial_{\xi_k} \alpha_t(X'_0) - \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} \beta_t(X'_0). \end{cases}$$

Then we have by Taylor's expansion

$$\begin{aligned} H_t(x_0, \xi_0 + \varepsilon \zeta) &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \{ \partial_t^2 H_t(X_0) \theta^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_t \partial_{\xi_j} H_t(X_0) \theta \zeta_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} H_t(X_0) \zeta_j \zeta_k \} + O(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \left\{ \left( \theta - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_t(X'_0) \zeta_j \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} \beta_t(X'_0) \zeta_j \zeta_k \right\} + O(\varepsilon^3) \\ &\geq c \varepsilon^2 \quad (c > 0), \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\left( \theta - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_t(X'_0) \zeta_j \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} \beta_t(X'_0) \zeta_j \zeta_k > 0.$$

(ii) Since

$$h_t(X_0) = 0, \partial_t h_t(X_0) = 1, \partial_{\xi_j} h_t(X_0) = -\partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_t(X'_0),$$

we have

$$h_t(x_0, \xi_0 + \varepsilon \zeta) = \varepsilon \left\{ \theta - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_t(X'_0) \zeta_j \right\} + O(\varepsilon^2) \geq c \varepsilon \quad (c > 0),$$

which means

$$\theta - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_t(X'_0) \zeta_j > 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Now denoting

$$Y = (y, \eta) = (y, \omega, \eta'), \quad Y' = (y, \eta'),$$

we consider the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}_0(Y)$  at  $Y' = Y'_0 = (y_0, \eta'_0)$ . Let  $\omega_0$  be a root of  $\mathcal{A}_0(\omega, Y') = 0$ , and let  $Y_0 = (\omega_0, Y'_0)$ , then we have  $A_0(X_0) = 0$ , where

$$X_0 = (x_0, \xi_0) = (\varphi(y_0), \Psi(\varphi(y_0)) \eta_0).$$



Here, we consider the decomposition of  $A_0(X)$  at  $X' = X'_0 = (x_0, \xi'_0)$ :

$$A_0(X) = \prod_{i=1}^d H_i(X) \prod_{i=d+1}^{m-d} h_i(X),$$

then there exists unique number  $i (\leq d)$  such that  $H_i(X_0) = 0$  or  $i (> d)$  such that  $h_i(X_0) = 0$ . First, we consider the case of  $i \leq d$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\omega \{H_i(x_0, \partial_x \psi_0(x_0) \omega + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_x \psi_j(x_0) \eta_j^0)\} |_{\omega=\omega_0} \\ &= (\partial_\tau H_i)(X_0) (\partial_t \psi_0)(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} H_i)(X_0) (\partial_{x_j} \psi_0)(x_0) = 0, \\ & \partial_\omega^2 \{H_i(x_0, \partial_x \psi_0(x_0) \omega + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_x \psi_j(x_0) \eta_j^0)\} |_{\omega=\omega_0} \\ &= (\partial_\tau^2 H_i)(X_0) \{(\partial_t \psi_0)(x_0)\}^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_\tau \partial_{\xi_j} H_i)(X_0) (\partial_t \psi_0)(x_0) \\ & \quad \times (\partial_{x_j} \psi_0)(x_0) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} H_i)(X_0) (\partial_{x_j} \psi_0)(x_0) (\partial_{x_k} \psi_0)(x_0) \\ &= 2 \left[ \{(\partial_t \psi_0)(x_0) - \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} \alpha_i)(X'_0) (\partial_{x_j} \psi_0)(x_0)\}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\xi_k} \beta_i)(X'_0) (\partial_{x_j} \psi_0)(x_0) (\partial_{x_k} \psi_0)(x_0) \right] > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Weierstrass' preparation theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(\varphi(y), {}^i\Psi(\varphi(y)) \eta) &= H_i(x, \partial_x \psi_0(x) \omega + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_x \psi_j(x) \eta_j) \\ &= \{(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}(y, \eta'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}(y, \eta')\} f(y, \omega, \eta') \\ &= \{(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}(Y'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}(Y')\} f(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}(Y'_0) = \omega_0, \quad \tilde{\beta}(Y'_0) = 0, \quad f(Y_0) > 0.$$

In case of  $i > d$ , we have by the similar reasoning

$$h_i(\varphi(y), {}^i\Psi(\varphi(y)) \eta) = (\omega - \tilde{\alpha}(Y')) f(Y),$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}(Y'_0) = \omega_0, \quad f(Y_0) > 0.$$

Hence roots of  $\mathcal{A}_0(\omega, Y'_0) = 0$  are double at most, and therefore we

have its smooth decomposition at  $Y' = Y'_0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_0(Y) = c(y) \prod_{j=1}^{\delta} \tilde{H}_j(Y) \prod_{j=\delta+1}^{m-\delta} \tilde{h}_j(Y),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{H}_j(Y) = (\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}_j(Y') & (j=1, \dots, \delta), \\ \tilde{h}_j(Y) = \omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') & (j=\delta+1, \dots, m-\delta). \end{cases}$$

Let  $Y_0 = (\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'_0), Y'_0)$ , then it corresponds

$$X_0 = X(Y_0) = (\varphi(y_0), {}^t\Psi(\varphi(y_0))\eta_0),$$

and let

$$A_0(X) = \prod_{i=1}^d H_i(X) \prod_{i=d+1}^{m-d} h_i(X)$$

be the decomposition of  $A_0(X)$  at  $X' = X'_0$ , then we have

**Lemma 8.3.** *If  $j \leq \delta$ , then there exists  $i (\leq d)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(X(Y)) &= \tilde{H}_j(Y) f_j(Y), \quad f_j(Y_0) > 0, \\ h_i(X(Y)) &= (\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')) g_j(Y), \quad g_j(Y_0) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and if  $j > \delta$ , then there exists  $i (> d)$  such that

$$h_i(X(Y)) = \tilde{h}_j(Y) f_j(Y), \quad f_j(Y_0) > 0,$$

where  $f_j(Y)$  and  $g_j(Y)$  are smooth in a neighbourhood of  $Y = Y_0$ .

### § 9. Space-like Change of Variables and Condition (B)

Now we consider whether condition (B) is satisfied or not for  $\mathcal{A}$ , given by space-like change of variables of  $A$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0(Y) &= c \prod_{j=1}^{\delta} \tilde{H}_j(Y) \prod_{j=\delta+1}^{m-\delta} \tilde{h}_j(Y) \\ &= c \prod_{j=1}^{\delta} \{(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}_j(Y')\} \prod_{j=\delta+1}^{m-\delta} (\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')). \end{aligned}$$

be the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}_0(Y)$  at  $Y' = Y'_0$ . Let  $j \leq \delta$ , then there exists the decomposition of  $A_0(X)$  at  $X' = X'_0 = X'(Y_0) = X'(\alpha_j(Y'_0), Y'_0)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0(X) &= c \prod_{i=1}^d H_i(X) \prod_{i=d+1}^{m-d} h_i(X) \\
 &= c \prod_{i=1}^d \{(\tau - \alpha_i(X'))^2 - \beta_i(X')\} \prod_{i=d+1}^{m-d} (\tau - \alpha_i(X')),
 \end{aligned}$$

where there exists  $i(\leq d)$  such that

$$H_i(X) = \tilde{H}_j(Y) f_j(Y), \quad f_j(Y) > 0,$$

that is,

$$(\tau - \alpha_i(X'))^2 - \beta_i(X') = \{(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}_j(Y')\} f_j(Y).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\tau - \alpha_i(X') = (\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')) g_j(Y), \quad g_j(Y) \neq 0,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad &(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))^2 g_j(Y)^2 - \beta_i(X'(Y)) \\
 &= \{(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}_j(Y')\} f_j(Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega = \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\beta}_j(Y') &= f_j(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')^{-1} \beta_i(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')) \\
 &\quad + (\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\beta_i(X') = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} b_k^{(i)}(X')^2,$$

then we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_j(Y') = \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{N}_j} b_k^{(j)}(Y')^2 \quad (\tilde{N}_j = N_i + 1),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y') &= f_j(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')^{-1/2} b_k^{(i)}(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')) \quad (k=1, \dots, N_i), \\
 b_{N_i+1}^{(j)}(Y') &= \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y').
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let (B.1) be satisfied for  $\Lambda$ , then it is also satisfied for  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

Next, differentiate (\*) with respect to  $\omega$  and let  $\omega = \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(\partial_{\omega}\beta_i)(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')) &= 2(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))f_j(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y') \\ &\quad + \{(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))^2 - \tilde{\beta}_j(Y')\}(\partial_{\omega}f_j)(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y') \\ &= 2(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))f_j(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y') \\ &\quad - \beta_i(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y'))f_j(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')^{-1}(\partial_{\omega}f_j)(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y'), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') &= \frac{1}{2}f_j(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')^{-1}\{- (\partial_{\omega}\beta_i)(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')) \\ &\quad + \beta_i(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y'))f_j(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')^{-1}(\partial_{\omega}f_j)(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

**Lemma 9.2.** *Let (B.1) be satisfied for  $A$ , then*

$$\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') \equiv 0 \pmod{(\tilde{b}_1^{(j)}(Y'), \dots, \tilde{b}_{N_j-1}^{(j)}(Y'))}.$$

**Corollary.** *Let (B.1) and (B.2) be satisfied for  $A$ , then (B.2) is satisfied also for  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* We have from Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 9.2

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y') &\equiv L_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y') \equiv L(\alpha_i(X'), X') \\ &\pmod{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(j)}(Y')}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Finally, we consider of Conditions (B.3) and (B.4). Condition (B.3) for  $A$  is

$$\{h_i(X), b_k^{(i)}(X')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(X')},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\{(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))g_j(Y), b_k^{(i)}(X'(Y))\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(X'(Y))}$$

from the corollary of Lemma 7.1. Let  $\omega = \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')$  in the latter equality, then we have

$$\{\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(j)}(Y')}.$$

In the same way, Condition (B. 4) is

$$\{b_k^{(i)}(X'), b_l^{(i)}(X')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(X')},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\{b_k^{(i)}(X'(Y)), b_l^{(i)}(X'(Y))\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{b}^{(i)}(X'(Y))}.$$

Let  $\omega = \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y') + \partial_\omega b_k^{(i)}(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y'))(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')), \\ & \tilde{b}_l^{(j)}(Y') + \partial_\omega b_l^{(i)}(X'(\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), Y'))(\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'))\}_{\omega = \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y')} \equiv 0 \\ & \pmod{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(j)}(Y')}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\{\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(j)}(Y')},$$

we have

$$\{\tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y'), \tilde{b}_l^{(j)}(Y')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(j)}(Y')}.$$

On the other hands, we have from Lemma 9.2

$$\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') = \sum_l c_l(Y') \tilde{b}_l^{(j)}(Y'),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y')\} & \equiv \sum_l c_l(Y') \{\tilde{b}_l^{(j)}(Y'), \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y')\} \equiv 0 \\ & \pmod{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(j)}(Y')}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y')\} & = \{\omega - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y')\} \\ & + \{\tilde{\alpha}_j(Y') - \tilde{\alpha}_j(Y'), \tilde{b}_k^{(j)}(Y')\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(j)}(Y')}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

**Lemma 9.3.** *Let us assume conditions (B. 1), (B. 3), (B. 4) for  $A$ , then we have (B. 3), (B. 4) for  $\mathcal{A}$ ,*

**Proposition 9.4.** *Let us assume that Conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied by  $A$ , then they are satisfied also by  $\mathcal{A}$  which is given by a space-like change of variables of  $A$ .*

### § 10. Finiteness of Propagation Speed

Let us denote  $\Gamma_x$  be the connected component of

$$\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; A_0(x, \xi) \neq 0\},$$

containing  $\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\Gamma_x$  is an open convex cone. Let

$$A_0(x, \xi) = \prod_{j=1}^m (\tau - \tau_j(x, \xi')), \quad \xi = (\tau, \xi'),$$

$$\tau(x, \xi') = \max_j \tau_j(x, \xi'),$$

then

$$\Gamma_x = \{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; \tau > \tau(x, \xi')\}.$$

Moreover, we denote

$$\Gamma = \text{interior of } \bigcap_x \Gamma_x,$$

then  $\Gamma$  is also an open convex cone and

$$\Gamma = \{\xi = (\tau, \xi') \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; \tau > \tau(\xi')\}, \quad \tau(\xi') = \sup_x \tau(x, \xi'),$$

where  $\tau(\xi')$  is continuous in  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ , because of the convexity of  $\Gamma$ .

Next, we consider the dual cone  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$ :

$$\Gamma' = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; x \cdot \xi \geq 0, \forall \xi \in \Gamma\}.$$

Let us fix  $a = (1, a') \in \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}'$ , then we have a change of variables

$$(\eta_0, \eta') = (a \cdot \xi, \xi') \quad \text{i.e.} \quad (\xi_0, \xi') = (\eta_0 - a' \cdot \eta', \eta').$$

If  $\tau(\xi')$  is smooth, then  $S = \partial\Gamma \cap \{a \cdot \xi = 1\}$  is represented by

$$S = \{\xi = (\eta_0 - a' \cdot \eta', \eta'); \eta_0 = 1, 1 - a' \cdot \eta' = \tau(\eta')\},$$

and  $S' = \partial\Gamma' \cap \{x_0 = 1\}$  is represented by

$$S' = \{x = (x_0, x'); x_0 = 1, x' = -\partial_{\xi'} \tau(\xi'), \xi' \in \mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}\}.$$

Moreover if

$$\text{rank } (\partial_{\xi_i} \partial_{\xi_j} \tau(\xi'))_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = n-1$$

for  $\xi' \in \mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ , then  $S'$  becomes a smooth manifold of dimension  $n-1$ .

Then there exists a smooth function  $f(x')$  such that

$$\begin{cases} S' = \{x'; f(x') = 0\}, \\ \text{interior domain of } S' = \{x'; f(x') > 0\}, \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$\Gamma' = \left\{ x = (x_0, x'); x_0 > 0, f\left(\frac{x'}{x_0}\right) > 0 \right\}.$$

In general, we shall see that  $\Gamma$  can be approximated from the inside by such cones stated above, that is,

**Lemma 10.1.** *There exist smooth open convex cones  $\{\Gamma_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  such that*

$$\bar{\Gamma}_k \subset \Gamma_{k+1}, \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_k = \Gamma,$$

and

$$\text{rank} (\partial_{\xi_i} \partial_{\xi_j} \tau_k(\xi'))_{i,j=1,\dots,n} = n - 1,$$

where

$$\Gamma_k = \{\xi = (\tau, \xi'); \tau > \tau_k(\xi')\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega = \Gamma \cap \{a \cdot \xi = 1\}$  is convex, there exist smooth and strictly convex domains  $\{\Omega_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  such that

$$\bar{\Omega}_k \subset \Omega_{k+1}, \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k = \Omega.$$

Let

$$\Gamma_k = \text{convex hull of } \Omega_k \text{ and } \{0\},$$

then we have the desired properties.

Q.E.D.

**Corollary.**  $\Gamma'_k$  and  $\Gamma'$  are represented by

$$\Gamma'_k = \left\{ x = (x_0, x'); x_0 > 0, f_k\left(\frac{x'}{x_0}\right) > 0 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma' = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma'_k = \left\{ x = (x_0, x'); x_0 > 0, f\left(\frac{x'}{x_0}\right) > 0 \right\},$$

where

$$f(x') = \inf_k f_k(x'), \quad \partial_x \left\{ f_k \left( \frac{x'}{x_0} \right) \right\} \in \bar{\Gamma}_k \subset \Gamma.$$

**Lemma 10.2.** *Let  $T > 0$ , then there exist smooth approximating functions  $\{\varphi_j(x)\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$  such that  $\partial_x \varphi_j(x) \in \Gamma$  and*

$$D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \dots, \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j = \Gamma' \cap \{0 < x_0 < T\},$$

where

$$D_j = \{x = (x_0, x'); \phi_j(x) > 0, 0 < x_0 < T\}.$$

*Proof* In the corollary of Lemma 10.1, we have

$$\Gamma'_k = \left\{ x = (x_0, x'); x_0 > 0, f_k \left( \frac{x'}{x_0} \right) > 0 \right\},$$

where

$$a \cdot \partial_x f_k \left( \frac{x'}{x_0} \right) = (\partial_{x_0} f_k + a' \cdot \partial'_x f_k) \left( \frac{x'}{x_0} \right) > 0.$$

Let us consider the change of variable:  $(x_0, x') = (y_0, y' + a' \cdot y_0)$  i.e.  $(y_0, y') = (x_0, x' - a' \cdot x_0)$ . Then we have  $\partial_{y_0} = \partial_{x_0} + a' \cdot \partial'_x$ , and  $\partial'_y = \partial'_x$ . Hence,  $f_k \left( \frac{x'}{x_0} \right) = 0$  can be solved with respect to  $y_0$ :

$$y_0 = \varphi_k(y'),$$

that is,

$$x_0 = \varphi_k(x' - a' \cdot x_0).$$

Since  $\partial_x \left\{ f_k \left( \frac{x'}{x_0} \right) \right\} \in \bar{\Gamma}_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\partial_{y_0} - a' \cdot \partial'_y)(y_0 - \varphi_k(y')), \partial'_y(y_0 - \varphi_k(y'))) \\ &= (1 + a' \cdot \partial'_y \varphi_k(y'), -\partial'_y \varphi_k(y')) \in \bar{\Gamma}_k \subset \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\varphi(y') = \sup_k \varphi_k(y'), \quad 0 < \rho_k = \sup_{\varphi(y') < T} \{\varphi(y') - \varphi_k(y')\} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0,$$

where we may assume

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k < +\infty.$$



Let

$$\psi_j(y') = \varphi_j(y') + \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \rho_k,$$

then we have

$$\psi_1(y') > \psi_2(y') > \dots \rightarrow \varphi(y') \quad \text{in } \varphi(y') < T.$$

Now, we operate Friedrichs' mollifier  $\rho_{\epsilon}*$  on each  $\psi_j(y')$ , then there exist  $\epsilon_j > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{\psi}_1(y') > \tilde{\psi}_2(y') > \dots \rightarrow \varphi(y') \quad \text{in } \varphi(y') < T,$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}_j(y') = \rho_{\epsilon_j}(y') * \psi_j(y').$$

Let

$$\phi_j(x) = x_0 - \tilde{\psi}_j(x' - a'x_0), \quad D_j = \{\phi_j(x) > 0\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \phi_j(x) &= (1 + a' \cdot (\partial'_y \tilde{\psi}_j)(x' - a'x_0), -\partial'_y \tilde{\psi}_j(x' - a'x_0)) \\ &= \rho_{\epsilon_j} * (1 + a' \cdot \partial'_y \psi_j, -\partial'_y \psi_j) \in \Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Using the sweeping out method, we have

**Theorem III.** *Let us assume Conditions (A) and (B). Let  $a = (a_0, a') \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  ( $a_0 > 0$ ) and  $u$  satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} Au = 0 & \text{in } \{a - \Gamma'\} \cap \{x_0 > 0\}, \\ D_i^j u = 0 \quad (j = 0, \dots, m-1) & \text{on } \{a - \Gamma'\} \cap \{x_0 = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$u = 0 \quad \text{in } \{a - \Gamma'\} \cap \{x_0 > 0\}.$$

**Corollary.** *Theorems II and III mean that Conditions (A) and (B) are sufficient for the Cauchy problem of A to be  $\mathcal{E}$ -well posed.*

**References**

- [1] Oleinik, O. A., On the Cauchy problem for weakly hyperbolic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **23** (1970).
- [2] Menikoff, A., The Cauchy problem for weakly hyperbolic equations, *Amer. J. Math.*, **97** (1975).
- [3] Ohya, Y., Le problème de Cauchy à caractéristiques multiples, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, **282** (1976).
- [4] Svensson, S. L., Necessary and sufficient conditions for the hyperbolicity of polynomials with hyperbolic principal part, *Arkiv för Mat.*, **8** (1969).
- [5] Sakamoto, R., Mixed problems for hyperbolic equations I, II, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **10** (1970).