

# Some Calculations in the Unstable Adams-Novikov Spectral Sequence<sup>1)</sup>

By

Martin BENDERSKY\*

## § 1. Introduction

The unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for a space  $X$  is a sequence of groups  $\{E_r(X)\}$ ,  $r=2, 3, \dots$ , which converge to the homotopy groups of  $X$ , and whose  $E_2$ -term depends on the complex cobordism groups of  $X$ . We investigate this spectral sequence when  $X$  is the infinite special unitary group  $SU$ , or one of the finite groups  $SU(n)$ , or when  $X$  is an odd sphere  $S^{2n+1}$ .

The reader is referred to [2] for the construction and properties of the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. For some purposes, it is convenient to localize at a prime  $p$ , in which case the complex cobordism homology theory, based on the spectrum  $MU$ , is replaced by Brown-Peterson homology, based on the spectrum  $BP$ . We then have a useful spectral sequence with many of the properties of the stable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. Namely, the filtrations are less than or equal to the filtrations in the unstable Adams spectral sequence based on mod- $p$  homology. When  $X$  is a space for which  $H_*(X; BP)$  is free over the coefficient ring  $\pi_*(BP)$  and cofree as a coalgebra, then the  $E_2$ -term is isomorphic to an Ext group in an abelian category (see § 2; also [2, § 7]). Furthermore, this Ext group may be computed as the homology of an unstable cobar complex which we describe explicitly in Section 2. In particular, these considerations apply to the cases  $X = SU$ ,  $X = SU(n)$ , or  $X = S^{2n+1}$ .

We first consider the situation where  $X$  is a  $p$ -local  $H$ -space with torsion-free homotopy and torsion-free homology. The results of Wilson [10] and the

---

Communicated by N. Shimada, November 21, 1978.

\* Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 98195, U.S.A.

Present address: Department of Mathematics, Rider College, Lawrenceville, N. J. 08648 U.S.A.

1) Research in part supported by NSF Grant MCS 76-0823.

general properties of the spectral sequence imply that the spectral sequence collapses, with  $E_2^{0,*}(X) \approx \pi_*(X)$ . In this case, the homotopy groups of  $X$  (and even the homotopy type of  $X$ ) are determined by the module of primitives  $PH_*(X; BP)$  as an unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule (see §3). In particular, this is true for  $X = SU$ . We also give an explicit description of the generators of  $E_2^{0,*}(SU)$ .

In Section 4, we consider the case  $X = SU(n)$ . We compute  $E_2^{1,*}(SU(n))$ , which gives information about the pullback of the groups  $\pi_{2k}(SU(k))$  to  $\pi_{2k}(SU(n))$  for  $n < k$ . We also compute the kernel of the map  $E_2^{2,*}(SU(n)) \rightarrow E_2^{2,*}(SU(n+1))$ . In the case of an odd prime  $p$ , the non-zero elements in this kernel survive to  $E_\infty^{2,*}(SU(n))$  to give elements in homotopy related to the unstable image of the  $J$ -homomorphism. There is a similar but more complicated result for  $p = 2$ .

In Section 5, we give a vanishing line for  $E_2^{s,t}(S^{2n+1})$ , and also for  $E_2^{s,t}(SU(n))$ . We then show that in a range of dimensions,  $\pi_*(S^{2n+1})$  and  $\pi_*(SU(n))$  may be computed as Ext groups in the category of unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules. These calculations agree with, and extend those of Zabrodsky [11], and Mimura, Nishida and Toda [7].

Throughout the paper, space means simply connected Hausdorff topological space with basepoint, and map means continuous function preserving basepoint. The homotopy relation for spaces and maps is  $\simeq$ . The smash product is denoted by  $\wedge$ . In an algebraic situation, homomorphism means that the algebraic structure is preserved, and  $\approx$  means a homomorphism which is an isomorphism onto. The ring of integers is denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and the rational numbers by  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For a prime number  $p$ , the ring of integers localized at  $p$  is  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ . Except for 4.10(3) all spaces are assumed to be  $p$ -localized. For an integer  $n$ , the ring of integers modulo  $n$  is  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ .

### Acknowledgement

The author wishes to acknowledge the contribution of E. B. Curtis to the final form of this paper.

## §2. The Unstable Adams-Novikov Spectral Sequence

In this section, we summarize the main results of [2], which gives the construction and main properties of the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral

sequence. When a prime  $p$  is fixed,  $BP$  refers to the Brown-Peterson spectrum associated with  $p$ . For a space  $X$ , the (reduced) homology groups of  $X$  with coefficients in  $BP$  are denoted by  $H_*(X; BP)$ . The coefficient ring  $\pi_*(BP)$  is called  $A$ , and the ring of co-operations  $\pi_*(BP \wedge BP)$  is called  $\Gamma$  ( $\Gamma$  is the  $BP$ -analogue of the dual of the Steenrod algebra).

The spectrum  $BP$  defines a functor  $BP(\cdot)$  from spaces to spaces by

$$BP(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^n(BP_n \wedge X).$$

The unit in  $BP$  is a map  $i: S \rightarrow BP$  of the sphere spectrum  $S$  to  $BP$ , which gives a map

$$\eta = \eta(X): X \rightarrow BP(X).$$

This gives rise to functors  $D^s(\cdot)$  and a tower of fibrations

$$(2.1) \quad \dots \rightarrow D^s(X) \xrightarrow{\delta^s} D^{s-1}(X) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow D^1(X) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} D^0(X) = X$$

as follows. Inductively on  $s$ ,

$$\delta^s: D^s(X) \rightarrow D^{s-1}(X)$$

is the fibration over  $D^{s-1}(X)$  induced from the path-space fibration over  $D^{s-1}(BP(X))$  by the map  $D^{s-1}(\eta)$ . The homotopy exact couple of this tower is called the unstable Adams spectral sequence for  $X$  with respect to  $BP$  and its terms are denoted  $\{E_r^{s,t}(X; BP)\}$ . When the ring spectrum  $BP$  is assumed, we call this the spectral sequence for  $X$ , and write  $\{E_r^{s,t}(X)\}$ . From [2, § 7], we have the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** *For each simply-connected CW-space  $X$ , the spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{s,t}(X; BP)\}$  converges to the homotopy groups of  $X$  localized at  $p$ . If  $H_*(X; BP)$  is free as an  $A$ -module, and cofree as a coalgebra, then*

$$E_2^{s,t}(X; BP) \approx \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}}^s(A[t], PH_*(X; BP)).$$

Here  $\mathcal{U}$  is the category of unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules (which will be described below).  $A[t]$  stands for the free  $A$ -module on one generator of degree  $t$ ;  $PH_*(X; BP)$  stands for the submodule of primitives in  $H_*(X; BP)$ . Furthermore, these Ext groups may be calculated as the homology of an unstable cobar complex  $C^{*,*}(PH_*(X; BP))$ , which will be described explicitly below.

We next recall some facts about  $BP$  from [1] and [6]. First

$$H_*(BP; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[m_1, m_2, \dots]$$

$$H_*(BP \wedge BP; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \approx H_*(BP)[t_1, t_2, \dots]$$

where  $\text{degree}(m_i) = 2(p^i - 1) = \text{degree}(t_i)$ . The elements  $t_i$  are chosen so that

$$(2.3) \quad \eta_R(m_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k m_i t_{k-i}^{p^i}$$

where  $\eta_R$  is the right unit map. The elements  $m_0$  and  $t_0$  are to be interpreted as 1. Then the Hazewinkel elements  $v_i$  are defined recursively by the formula:

$$(2.4) \quad v_i = pm_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j v_{i-j}^{p^j}$$

It is shown in [5] that the  $v_i$  are in the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism  $\pi_*(BP) \rightarrow H_*(BP; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ , which is a monomorphism, so the  $v_i$  may be considered to be in  $\pi_*(BP)$  also. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \pi_*(BP) \approx \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots] \\ \Gamma &= \pi_*(BP \wedge BP) \approx A[t_1, t_2, \dots]. \end{aligned}$$

The structure maps for  $(A, \Gamma)$  consists of a product  $\phi: \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ , left and right unit maps  $\eta_L, \eta_R: A \rightarrow \Gamma$ , a counit map  $\varepsilon: \Gamma \rightarrow A$ , and a diagonal map  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma$ . The product  $\phi$  and the left unit map  $\eta_L$  are built into the description of  $\Gamma$  as a polynomial algebra over  $A$ . The right unit map  $\eta_R$  is given above (2.3) for the  $m_i$ , and thereby, using (2.4), for the  $v_i$  also. The diagonal map  $\psi$  satisfies the formula

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i+j=n} m_i \psi(t_j)^{p^i} = \sum_{i+j+k=n} m_i t_j^{p^i} \otimes t_k^{p^{i+j}}$$

The notation  $M \otimes_A N$  requires that  $M$  be a right  $A$ -module, and that  $N$  be a left  $A$ -module.  $\Gamma$  is a right  $A$ -module by  $\eta_R$ , and a left  $A$ -module by  $\eta_L$ . The notation  $\eta_L$  is usually suppressed, and  $\eta_R$  is sometimes called  $\eta$ .

There is a formal group law associated with  $BP$  as follows. Let  $\mathbf{C}P^\infty$  stand for infinite dimensional complex projective space, and let

$$(2.6) \quad \mu: \mathbf{C}P^\infty \times \mathbf{C}P^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^\infty$$

be the map which classifies the tensor product of the canonical line bundles. Then (in unreduced homology),

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\mathbf{C}P^\infty; BP) &\approx A[[X]] \\ H^*(\mathbf{C}P^\infty \times \mathbf{C}P^\infty; BP) &\approx A[[X_1, X_2]], \end{aligned}$$

which are respectively the formal power series rings in one and two variables over  $A$ . The formal group law  $F$  is defined by the formal power series:

$$F(X_1, X_2) = \mu^*(X) = \sum a_{i,j} X_1^i X_2^j$$

where the coefficients  $a_{i,j}$  belong to  $A_{2i+2j-2}$ . As in [1], let  $\log$  be the formal power series (over  $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ):

$$\log(X) = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i X^{p^i}.$$

Let  $\exp$  be the formal power series inverse to  $\log$ , which satisfies  $\log(\exp X) = X$ . Then

$$F(X_1, X_2) = \exp(\log X_1 + \log X_2).$$

The formal group law  $F$  is associative and commutative. Elements  $z_i$  from  $\Gamma$  may be substituted for the undeterminates, and we write  $\sum^F z_i$  for  $F(z_1, F(z_2, \dots))$ . Then formula (2.5) becomes

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_j^F \psi(t_j) = \sum_{j,k}^F t_j \otimes t_k^{p^j}.$$

There is a canonical anti-automorphism  $c: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ , which satisfies  $c\eta_L = \eta_R$  and  $c\eta_R = \eta_L$ . This gives a formal group law  $F^*$  conjugate to  $F$ , defined by the formula:

$$(2.8) \quad \sum^{F^*} z_i = c(\sum^F c(z_i)).$$

**Notation 2.9.** Let  $c(t_i) = h_i$ .

The elements  $h_i$  satisfy the following formulas, which are obtained by applying  $c$  to (2.3), (2.5), and (2.12):

$$(2.10) \quad m_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (h_{n-i})^{p^i} \eta(m_i)$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{i+j=n} \psi(h_j)^{p^i} \eta(m_i) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} h_k^{p^{i+j}} \otimes h_j^{p^i} \eta(m_i)$$

$$(2.12) \quad \sum^{F^*} \psi(h_j) = \sum^{F^*} h_k^{p^j} \otimes h_j.$$

We also have  $\Gamma \approx A[h_1, h_2, \dots]$ .

For each finite sequence of non-negative integers,  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ , let

$$h^I = h_1^{i_1} h_2^{i_2} \dots h_n^{i_n}.$$

The length of  $I$  is the integer  $l(I) = i_1 + \dots + i_n$ .

**Definition 2.13.** For each non-negatively graded, free left  $A$ -module  $M$ , let  $U(M)$  be the sub- $A$ -module of  $\Gamma \otimes_A M$  spanned by all elements of the form  $h^I \otimes_A m$  where  $2l(I) < \text{degree}(m)$ .

For an arbitrary non-negatively graded left  $A$ -module let

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{f} F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be exact with  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  free. Then define

$$U(M) = \text{coker}(U(f): U(F_1) \rightarrow U(F_0)).$$

It is easily verified that  $U(M)$  is independent of  $F_1, F_0$  and  $f$ .

**Remark 2.14.** In [2, (7.4)], the functor  $U(\cdot)$  is defined in terms of another functor  $G(\cdot)$  (specifically,  $U(M)$  is the submodule of primitives in  $G(M)$ ). The discussion of [2, (8.7)] shows that the two definitions of  $U(M)$  agree.

There is a  $\Gamma$ -comodule structure on  $\Gamma \otimes_A M$  by the map

$$\psi \otimes 1: \Gamma \otimes_A M \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_A \Gamma \otimes_A M.$$

An easy induction using (2.12) shows that  $\psi \otimes 1$  takes  $U(M)$  to  $U^2(M)$ , and hence induces a map

$$\delta^U: U(M) \rightarrow U^2(M).$$

There is also a counit map  $\varepsilon^U: U(M) \rightarrow M$  induced by the counit map in  $\Gamma$ . In the notation of [2, §5],  $(U, \delta^U, \varepsilon^U)$  is a cotriple on the category  $\mathcal{A}$  of non-negatively graded left  $A$ -modules.

A module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with a  $U$ -structure will be called an unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule (see [2, (7.4)]). This means that there is a map  $\psi: M \rightarrow U(M)$  such that the following diagrams commute:

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & U(M) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta^U \\ U(M) & \xrightarrow{U(\psi)} & U^2(M) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & U(M) \\ & \searrow = & \downarrow \varepsilon^U \\ & & M \end{array}$$

The category of unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules will be called  $\mathcal{U}$  ( $\mathcal{U}$  is called  $\mathcal{A}(U)$  in [2]). By construction  $\mathcal{U}$  is an abelian category. To simplify notation, we shall write

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}}^{s,t}(M) \quad \text{for} \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}}^s(A[t], M)$$

for  $M$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . These Ext groups may be calculated as the homology groups of an unstable cobar complex  $C^{*,*}(M)$ . Specifically, for each pair  $(s, t)$  of non-negative integers,

$$C^{s,t}(M) = U^s(M)_t.$$

As is customary, we write  $[\gamma_1 | \cdots | \gamma_s]m$  for the element  $\gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_s \otimes m$  in  $U^s(M)$ . The complementary degree  $t$  of such an element is the integer

$$t = \text{deg}(\gamma_1) + \dots + \text{deg}(\gamma_s) + \text{deg}(m).$$

The differential

$$d^s: C^{s,t}(M) \rightarrow C^{s+1,t}(M)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^s[\gamma_1 | \dots | \gamma_s]m &= [1 | \gamma_1 | \dots | \gamma_s]m \\ &\quad + \sum (-1)^j [\gamma_1 | \dots | \gamma'_j | \gamma''_j | \dots | \gamma_s]m \\ &\quad + \sum (-1)^{s+1} [\gamma_1 | \dots | \gamma_s | \gamma']m'' \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi(\gamma_j) = \sum \gamma'_j \otimes \gamma''_j$  and  $\psi(m) = \sum \gamma' \otimes m''$ . Then, from [2, (9.3)], we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s,t}(M) \approx H^{s,t}(C^{*,*}(M)).$$

**Remark 2.16.** As in the stable case, there is a smaller “normalized” cobar complex  $\tilde{C}^{*,*}(M)$ . This is obtained from the functor

$$\tilde{U}(M) = \ker \{ \varepsilon^U: U(M) \rightarrow M \}$$

by

$$\tilde{C}^{s,*}(M) = \tilde{U}^s(M).$$

The inclusion  $\tilde{C}(M) \rightarrow C(M)$  is a chain equivalence. This gives the following “easy” vanishing line.

**Proposition 2.17.** *If  $M$  is a  $k$ -connected unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule, then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s,t}(M) = 0$ , for  $t \leq 2(p-1)s + k$ .*

The proof is immediate because  $\tilde{C}^{s,t}(M) = 0$  for  $t \leq 2(p-1)s + k$ .

This vanishing line will be improved in Section 5.

### § 3. The Spectral Sequence for $SU$

When the space  $X$  is  $SU$  (=the infinite special unitary group), the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence simplifies considerably, as shown below; compare with the unstable spectral sequence for  $SU$  based on mod  $p$  homology in [4, p. 191]. In fact, we have the following general result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $H$ -space with torsion-free homology and torsion-free homotopy. Then the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for  $X$  collapses. That is,*

$$E_{2,t}^{s,t}(X) \approx \begin{cases} \pi_t(X), & \text{for } s=0, \\ 0, & \text{for } s>0. \end{cases}$$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 3.1, we recall the results of Wilson [10] which are the main ingredients of the proof.

**Wilson's Spaces  $Y_k$  (3.2).** There are indecomposable  $H$ -spaces  $Y_k (k=1, 2, \dots)$ , which have the following properties.

- (i)  $Y_k$  is  $(k-1)$ -connected, and  $\pi_k(Y_k) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ .
- (ii) For  $k \neq 2(p^n + p^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$ ,  $\Omega Y_{k+1} \simeq Y_k$ . For  $k = 2(p^n + p^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$ ,  $\Omega Y_{k+1} \simeq Y_k \times Y_{pk}$ .
- (iii) If  $f: Y_k \rightarrow Y_k$  is a continuous map which induces an isomorphism  $f_*: \pi_k(Y_k) \approx \pi_k(Y_k)$ , then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence.
- (iv) If  $X$  is a  $H$ -space with torsion-free homology and torsion-free homotopy, then  $X$  is a product of the  $Y_k$ 's:

$$X \simeq \prod_{\alpha} Y_{k_{\alpha}}$$

(but not necessarily as  $H$ -spaces).

- (v) Let  $BP_k$  be the  $k$ -th space in the  $\Omega$ -spectrum for  $BP$ . Then there are maps  $i$  and  $j$

$$Y_k \xrightarrow{i} BP_k \xrightarrow{j} Y_k$$

where  $j \circ i$  is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover we may consider  $BP_k = Y_k \times Z$  where  $Z$  is a space at least  $k$ -connected.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* For each of the spaces  $Y_k$ , consider the following homotopy-commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_k & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & BP(Y_k) & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & Y_k \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow BP(i) & & \uparrow j \\ BP_k & \xrightarrow{\eta} & BP(BP_k) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & BP_k \end{array}$$

where  $\eta' = \eta(Y_k)$ ,  $\eta = \eta(BP_k)$ ; the map  $\mu$  is induced by the product in  $BP$ , and  $\mu' = j \circ \mu \circ BP(i)$ . The properties of  $BP$  as a ring spectrum imply that  $\mu \circ \eta$  is a homotopy equivalence. Hence  $\mu' \circ \eta'$  induces  $\pi_k(Y_k) \approx \pi_k(Y_k)$ , and so by (3.2, (iii)) must be a homotopy equivalence. By the construction (2.1) or [2, (2.4)] this implies that the spectral sequence for  $Y_k$  collapses. It follows that for any product of the  $Y_k$ 's, the spectral sequence collapses. By (3.2, (iv)),  $X$  is such a space, so the spectral sequence collapses for  $X$ . Q. E. D.



**Remark 3.3.** Using the facts that  $SU$  is an  $H$ -space with torsion-free homology and torsion-free homotopy, we see that the spectral sequence collapses for  $SU$ . For an alternative approach, see Remark 3.8.

We proceed to analyze the image of the  $BP_*$ -Hurewicz homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im} \{ \pi_* (SU) \rightarrow H_*(SU; BP) \} &\approx E_2^{0,*}(SU) \\ &\approx \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{0,*}(PH_*(SU; BP)). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $PH_*(SU; BP)$  stands for the submodule of primitives in  $H_*(SU; BP)$  considered as an unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule. For later use, we give a description of  $PH_*(SU; BP)$ . Recall from [8] that there is a map

$$f: S^1 \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty \rightarrow SU.$$

As in [1], let  $\beta_k$ , for  $k=1, 2, \dots$  be the natural generator of  $H_{2k}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; BP)$ . Let  $f_*(\iota_1 \wedge \beta_k) = x_{2k+1}$ , which is a primitive element in  $H_{2k+1}(SU; BP)$ .  $H_*(SU; BP)$  is cofree as a coalgebra, and  $PH_*(SU; BP)$  is the free  $A$ -module generated by  $\{x_{2k+1}\}, k=1, 2, \dots$ .

To describe the unstable  $\Gamma$ -coaction on  $PH_*(SU; BP)$  we proceed as follows. As in [1], by abuse of notation, let  $\beta_k$  also stand for the generator of  $H_{2k}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; MU)$ , and let  $b_k^{MU}$  be the generator of  $H_{2k}(MU; MU)$ . Then from [1, (11.4)] the formula for the coaction in  $H_*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; MU)$  is

$$\psi(\beta_k) = \sum_j \left( \sum_s b_s^{MU} \right)_{k-j}^j \otimes \beta_j$$

where  $(\sum_s b_s^{MU})_{k-j}^j$  stands for the terms of degree  $2k-2j$  in  $(\sum_s b_s^{MU})^j$ . The Quillen idempotent induces a map from  $H_*(MU; MU)$  to  $H_*(BP; BP)$  which sends  $\sum_s b_s^{MU}$  to  $\sum_s^{F^*} h_s$ , as shown in [2, (8.3)]. Thus the formula for the coaction in  $H_*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; BP)$  is

$$\psi(\beta_k) = \sum_j \left( \sum_s^{F^*} h_s \right)_{k-j}^j \otimes \beta_j.$$

Then by naturality of  $f_*$ , the formula for the coaction in  $H_*(SU; BP)$  is

$$(3.4) \quad \psi(x_{2k+1}) = \sum_j \left( \sum_s^{F^*} h_s \right)_{k-j}^j \otimes x_{2j+1}.$$

It would be awkward to calculate the groups  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{0,*}(PH_*(SU; BP))$  directly. Instead, we do the following. Define an  $A$ -linear map

$$\phi: PH_*(SU; BP) \rightarrow PH_{*+2}(SU; BP)$$

by

$$\phi(x_{2i+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} ka_{i-k+1}x_{2k+1}$$

where  $a_s = a_{s,1}$  (the  $a_{i,j}$  are the BP-formal group law coefficients). The  $a_s$  may be computed recursively by  $a_0 = 1$ , and the formula (see [1, (10.1)]):

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p^n m_n = \left( \sum_{s \geq 0} a_s \right)^{-1}.$$

Let  $\phi^k$  stand for the  $k$ -th iterate of the map  $\phi$ . The following is motivated by Toda's proof of the Bott Periodicity Theorem [8].

**Proposition 3.5.** *For each non-negative integer  $k$ ,  $\phi^k(x_3)$  generates  $E_2^{0,2k+3}(SU)$ .*

*Proof.* The Hopf construction applied to the map  $\mu: \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  gives a map:

$$H(\mu): S^1 \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty \rightarrow S^1 \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty.$$

The restriction of  $H(\mu)$  to  $S^1 \wedge S^2 \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  will be called  $\zeta$ .  $\zeta$  induces a map in homology:

$$\zeta_*: H_*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; BP) \rightarrow H_{*+2}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; BP).$$

By dualizing the formal group law for BP, we see that

$$\zeta_*(\beta_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} ka_{i-k+1}\beta_i.$$

Consider the composite map (which will be called  $\zeta_{k+1}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} S^{2k+3} &\xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} S^{2k+1} \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty \xrightarrow{S^{2k+1}(\zeta)} S^{2k-1} \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty \xrightarrow{S^{2k-4}(\zeta)} \\ &\dots \xrightarrow{S^2(\zeta)} S^3 \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty \xrightarrow{\zeta} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{C}P^\infty \xrightarrow{f} SU. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\zeta_{k+1}$  induces a map of the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequences

$$(\zeta_{k+1})_*: E_2^{0,2k+3}(S^{2k+3}) \rightarrow E_2^{0,2k+3}(SU)$$

with  $(\zeta_{k+1})_*(\iota_{2k+3}) = \phi^k(x_3)$ . This shows that  $\phi^k(x_3)$  is a cycle. By examining the coefficient of  $x_{2m+1}$ , where  $m$  is the integer  $k-1$  reduced modulo  $p-1$ , we see that  $\phi^k(x_3)$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Therefore,  $\phi^k(x_3)$  is a generator of  $E_2^{0,2k+3}(SU)$ . Q. E. D.

**Remarks 3.6.** (i) The above proof also shows that the composite map  $\zeta_{k+1}$  is a generator of  $\pi_{2k+1}(SU)$ . This reproves a theorem of Toda [8].

(ii) Some examples of the generators of  $E_2^{0,*}(SU)$  for the prime  $p=2$  are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_3) &= 2x_5 - v_1x_3 \\ \phi^2(x_3) &= 6x_7 - 6v_1x_5 + 3v_1^2x_3 \\ \phi^3(x_3) &= 24x_9 - 36v_1x_7 + 30v_1^2x_5 - (12v_2 + 9v_1^2)x_3. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $Y$  be an  $H$ -space with torsion-free homology and torsion-free homotopy. Suppose that  $X$  is a space such that  $H_*(X; BP)$  is cofree as a coalgebra over  $A$ , and that*

$$PH_*(X; BP) \approx PH_*(Y; BP)$$

as unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules. Then  $X \simeq Y$ .

*Proof.* By (3.1), the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for  $Y$  collapses. Both  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy the assumptions of (2.2), so  $E_2^{*,*}(X) \approx E_2^{*,*}(Y)$ . Thus the spectral sequence collapses for  $X$  too, and  $\pi_*(X)$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Also,  $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  because of the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(BP; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) &\approx \pi_*(BP \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \wedge X) \\ &\approx H_*(BP; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \otimes_A H_*(X; BP). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by [1, (5.23)],  $X$  is an  $H$ -space with torsion-free homotopy and torsion-free homology. By (3.2, (iv)),  $X$  and  $Y$  are each a product of the  $Y_k$ 's. As  $\pi_*(X) \approx \pi_*(Y)$ , the factors which occur for  $X$  are the same as those which occur for  $Y$ . Q. E. D.

**Remark 3.8.** It is possible by a lengthy calculation to determine the coaction in the space  $Y = Y_3 \times Y_5 \times \dots \times Y_{2p-1}$ , and then to show that

$$PH_*(SU; BP) \approx PH_*(Y; BP)$$

as unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules. Thus (3.7) implies that

$$SU \simeq Y_3 \times Y_5 \times \dots \times Y_{2p-1}.$$

Making use of (3.2, (iv)), we have that  $\Omega^2 Y_3 \simeq S^1 \times Y_{2p-1}$ , and that for  $2 \leq j \leq p-1$ ,  $\Omega^2 Y_{2j+1} \simeq Y_{2j-1}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^2(SU) &\simeq S^1 \times Y_{2p-1} \times Y_3 \times \dots \times Y_{2p-3} \\ &\simeq S^1 \times SU \end{aligned}$$

which is the (complex) Bott periodicity theorem.

#### §4. Calculations of $E_2^{1,*}(SU(n))$

Let  $SU(n)$  be the spectral unitary group in  $n$  variables.  $H_*(SU(n); BP)$  is

free as an  $A$ -module, and cofree as a coalgebra. The submodule of primitives  $PH_*(SU(n); BP)$  will be called  $M(n)$ . By (2.2), we have

$$E_2^{s,t}(SU(n)) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s,t}(M(n)).$$

As an  $A$ -module,  $M(n)$  is freely generated by the elements  $x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{2n-1}$  defined in Section 3. The unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule structure  $\psi: M(n) \rightarrow UM(n)$  is given by formula (3.4). The groups  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s,t}(M(n))$  are the homology of the unstable cobar complex. By sparseness, we have

$$E_2^{s,t}(SU(n)) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \text{ even}.$$

Consider the fibration

$$SU(n) \rightarrow SU(n+1) \rightarrow S^{2n+1}.$$

Passing to  $BP_*$ -homology, and then taking primitives, we obtain a short exact sequence of unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules:

$$0 \rightarrow M(n) \rightarrow M(n+1) \rightarrow A[2n+1] \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces a long exact sequence of Ext groups, which, after identifying them as  $E_2$ -terms, becomes

$$(4.1) \quad \dots \rightarrow E_2^{s,t}(SU(n)) \rightarrow E_2^{s,t}(SU(n+1)) \rightarrow E_2^{s,t}(S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{-\delta} \dots$$

where  $\delta$  has bidegree  $(1, 0)$ . The indexing  $(s, t)$  is such that  $t-s$  is the homotopy dimension, whereas in [2], as in the stable case (e.g. [6]),  $t-s$  is stem dimension.

**Proposition 4.2.**

- (i)  $E_2^{s,t}(SU(n)) \approx E_2^{s,t}(SU(n+1))$ , for  $t < 2n + 2s$ .
- (ii)  $E_2^{0,t}(SU(n)) \approx 0$ , for  $t \geq 2n$ ,  
 $E_2^{0,2i+1}(SU(n)) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , for  $1 \leq i < n$ .
- (iii)  $E_2^{1,2n+1}(SU(n)) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/(n!)\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .
- (iv) For  $n < k$ , the inclusion  $SU(n) \rightarrow SU(k)$  induces a monomorphism

$$E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n)) \rightarrow E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(k)).$$

*Proof.* Statements (i) and (ii) follow immediately from the long exact sequences (4.1), the easy vanishing line (2.17) for  $E_2^{s,t}(S^{2n+1})$ , and the calculation of  $E_2^{0,*}(SU)$ . Part (iii) follows from (3.5) and (4.1). Part (iv) follows from (4.1) and the fact that  $E_2^{0,t}(S^{2n+1}) = 0$ , for  $t \neq 2n + 1$ . Q. E. D.

The calculation of the rest of the 1-line for  $SU(n)$  is the calculation of which elements of  $E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(k))$  pull back to  $E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n))$ , for  $n < k$ . The

difficulty in working directly with the cobar complex  $C^{*,*}(M(n))$  is the formal sum which occurs in the expression for the differential. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2k+1}) &= 1 \otimes x_{2k+1} - \psi(x_{2k+1}) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( \sum_s^{F^*} h_s \right)_{k-j} \otimes x_{2j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a map  $\bar{e}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  as follows. From Section 2, we see that  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a polynomial algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  generated by the  $m_k$  and the  $\eta_R(m_k)$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\bar{e}: \Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the homomorphism defined on the generators by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}(m_k) &= 1/p^k \\ \bar{e}(\eta_R(m_k)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $k=1, 2, \dots$ . Also, let  $\bar{e}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  denote the restriction of this map to  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** For the Hazewinkel elements  $v_i$  in  $\Gamma$ , we have  $\bar{e}(v_1)=1$ ,  $\bar{e}(v_i)=0$  for  $i>1$ .

*Proof.*  $v_1 = pm_1$ , so  $\bar{e}(v_1)=1$ . For  $i>1$ , the  $v_i$  are defined by formula (2.4), and it follows by induction on  $i$  that  $\bar{e}(v_i)=0$  for  $i>1$ . Q. E. D.

In the unstable cobar complex  $C^{*,*}(S^{2n+1})$ , we have  $C^{0,*}(S^{2n+1}) \approx A$ . For  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $d(a) = \eta_R(a) - a$ , so

$$\bar{e}(d(a)) = -\bar{e}(a).$$

Therefore,  $\bar{e}(d(A)) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Passing to the homology of the unstable cobar complex, we obtain a well-defined map

$$e: E_2^{1,*}(S^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$

which is (up to sign) an unstable analogue of the complex Adams  $e$ -invariant localized at  $p$ . The description of  $E_2^{1,*}(S^{2n+1})$  in [2, §9] shows that  $e$  is a monomorphism. Thus the order of any element  $\alpha$  in  $E_2^{1,*}(S^{2n+1})$  is the same as the order of  $e(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .

**Definition 4.5.** For each pair  $(k, j)$  of positive integers, we define a rational number  $b_{k,j}$  as follows. For each  $j \geq 1$ , let

$$\left( Y + \frac{Y^p}{p} + \frac{Y^{p^2}}{p^2} + \dots \right)^j = \sum_{k \geq j} b_{k,j} Y^k$$

in the formal power series ring  $\mathbb{Q}[[Y]]$ .

The properties of  $\bar{e}$  which will be used in the proof of (4.7) are the following. Recall from (2.9) that  $h_k = c(t_k)$ , where  $c$  is the canonical anti-automorphism.

**Lemma 4.6.** (i)  $\bar{e}(h_k) = 1/p^k$ ,

(ii)  $\bar{e}(\sum^{F^*} h_s)_{k-j}^j = b_{k,j}$ .

*Proof.* Apply the canonical anti-automorphism  $c$  to (2.3) to obtain

$$m_k = \eta_R(m_k) + h_1^{p^k-1} \eta_R(m_{k-1}) + \dots + h_k.$$

As  $\bar{e}$  is 0 on the image of  $\eta_R$ , part (i) follows. For part (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}(\sum^{F^*} h_s)_{k-j}^j &= \bar{e}(\sum h_s)_{k-j}^j = \left(1 + \frac{Y^{p-1}}{p} + \frac{Y^{p^2-1}}{p^2} + \dots\right)_{k-j}^j \\ &= \left(Y + \frac{Y^p}{p} + \frac{Y^{p^2}}{p^2} + \dots\right)_k^j \\ &= b_{k,j}. \end{aligned} \qquad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

The matrix  $B = [b_{k,j}]$  is lower triangular, with diagonal entries  $b_{k,k} = 1$ . Hence there is a well-defined inverse matrix  $C = [c_{k,j}]$  which is also lower triangular. For each  $2 \leq n \leq k$ , let  $\omega_k(n)$  be the integer defined by

$$\omega_k(n) = \text{maximum}_{n \leq j \leq k} \{\text{order } c_{k,j} \text{ in } \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}\}.$$

**Theorem 4.7.** For each  $2 \leq n \leq k$ ,

$$E_2^{1, 2k+1}(SU(n)) \approx \omega_k(n) \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} / k! \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}.$$

*Proof.* From Section 2 (see also [2]), we know that  $E_2^{*,*}(SU(n))$  may be calculated as the homology of the unstable cobar complex  $C^{*,*}(M(n))$ . Let  $g_k$  be the element  $-d(x_{2k+1})$  in  $C^{1, 2k+1}(M(k+1))$ ; that is

$$\begin{aligned} g_k &= \psi(x_{2k+1}) - 1 \otimes x_{2k+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\sum^{F^*} h_s)_{k-j}^j \otimes x_{2j+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{k,j} \otimes x_{2j+1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_{k,j} = (\sum^{F^*} h_s)_{k-j}^j$ . The long exact sequence (4.1) shows that the homology class of  $g_k$  generates  $E_2^{1, 2k+1}(SU(k))$ .

Next, let integers  $\tau_k(n)$  for  $1 \leq n < k$  and rational numbers  $a_{k,j}(n)$  for  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , all  $1 \leq j$ , be defined as follows. First, let  $a_{k,j}(k) = \bar{e}(\gamma_{k,j})$ . Then recursively for  $1 \leq n < k$ , let

$$\tau_k(n) = \text{order of } a_{k,n}(n+1) \text{ in } \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$$

$$a_{k,j}(n) = \tau_k(n)(a_{k,j}(n+1) - a_{k,n}(n+1)a_{n,j}(n)).$$

We shall show by downward induction on  $n$  that for  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , there are elements  $g_k(n)$  in  $UM(n)$  of the form

$$g_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k,j}(n) \otimes x_{2j+1}$$

where the  $\gamma_{k,j}(n)$  are in  $\Gamma$  with  $\bar{e}(\gamma_{k,j}(n)) = a_{k,j}(n)$ , and such that the homology class of  $g_k(n)$  in  $E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n))$  represents the generator. This is true for  $n = k$ , because  $g_k(k) = g_k$ . Then assume inductively for  $n < k$  that there is an element  $g_k(n+1)$  as asserted. Consider the map

$$E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} E_2^{1,2k+1}(S^{2n+1}).$$

Then  $\rho_*(g_k(n+1)) = \gamma \otimes x_{2n+1}$ , where  $\gamma$  is in  $\Gamma$ , with  $\bar{e}(\gamma) = a_{k,n}(n+1)$ . Then  $\tau_k(n) = \text{order of } \gamma \otimes x_{2n+1} \text{ in } E_2^{1,2k+1}(S^{2n+1})$ , so there is an element  $a_k(n+1)$  in  $A$ , with

$$d(a_k(n+1)) = \tau_k(n) \cdot \gamma \otimes x_{2n+1}.$$

Then the element

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(n) &= \tau_k(n)g_k(n+1) - d(a_k(n+1))x_{2n+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{k,j}(n) \otimes x_{2j+1} \end{aligned}$$

generates  $E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n))$ , and satisfies

$$\bar{e}(\gamma_{k,j}(n)) = a_{k,j}(n).$$

Next we define rational numbers  $b_{k,j}(n)$  for all pairs of integers  $(k, j)$  with  $k \geq n$  as follows. First,  $b_{k,j}(k) = b_{k,j}$  as defined in (4.5). Then recursively for  $n < k$ , let

$$b_{k,j}(n) = b_{k,j}(n+1) - b_{k,n}(n+1)b_{n,j}.$$

Observe that the result of row-reducing the  $k$ -th row of the matrix  $B = [b_{k,j}]$  by using rows  $k-1, k-2, \dots, n+1$  takes the  $k$ -th row

$$\langle b_{k,1}, b_{k,2}, \dots, b_{k,k-1}, 1, 0, \dots \rangle$$

to the row

$$\langle b_{k,1}(n+1), \dots, b_{k,n}(n+1), 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots \rangle.$$

Hence, in the matrix  $C$  (=the inverse of  $B$ ), we have  $c_{k,n} = -b_{k,n}(n+1)$ . In particular, these two rational numbers have the same order in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . We

assert that

$$a_{k,j}(n) = \omega_k(n) \cdot b_{k,j}(n)$$

which we shall show by downward induction on  $n$ . The statement is true for  $n = k$ , as  $a_{k,j}(k) = b_{k,j}(k)$ , and  $\omega_k(k) = 1$ . Assume inductively that

$$a_{k,j}(n + 1) = \omega_k(n + 1)b_{k,j}(n + 1).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,j}(n) &= \tau_k(n) (a_{k,j}(n + 1) - a_{k,n}(n + 1)a_{n,j}) \\ &= \tau_k(n)\omega_k(n + 1) (b_{k,j}(n + 1) - b_{k,n}(n + 1)b_{n,j}) \\ &= \tau_k(n)\omega_k(n + 1)b_{k,j}(n) \\ &= \text{order} (a_{k,n}(n + 1)) \cdot \omega_k(n + 1) \cdot b_{k,j}(n) \\ &= \text{order} (\omega_k(n + 1)b_{k,n}(n + 1)) \cdot \omega_k(n + 1) \cdot b_{k,j}(n) \\ &= \max \{ \omega_k(n + 1), \text{order} (b_{k,n}(n + 1)) \} b_{k,j}(n) \\ &= \omega_k(n)b_{k,j}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the map

$$(i_{n,k})_* : E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n)) \rightarrow E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(k))$$

induced by the inclusion  $i_{n,k} : SU(n) \rightarrow SU(k)$ . By (4.2, (iv)),  $(i_{n,k})_*$  is a monomorphism, and by the above,

$$(i_{n,k})_* g_k(n) = \omega_k(n) \cdot g_k.$$

Thus  $E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n)) \approx \omega_k(n)\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/k!\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  as asserted. Q. E. D.

From these calculations, we can determine the kernel of the homomorphism

$$E_2^{*,*}(SU(n)) \rightarrow E_2^{*,*}(SU(n + 1)).$$

Recall from [2], that  $E_2^{1,2k+1}(S^{2n+1})$  is shown to be a cyclic group of order  $\sigma_k(n)$  where  $\sigma_k(n)$  is as follows. If  $k - n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2p - 2}$ ,  $\sigma_k(n) = 1$ . Otherwise, write  $k - n = (2p - 2) \cdot p^m \cdot q$ , where  $q$  is prime to  $p$ . Then for an odd prime  $p$ ,

$$\sigma_k(n) = \min \{ p^n, p^{m+1} \}$$

and for  $p = 2$ ,

$$\sigma_k(n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } k - n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2, & \text{if } k = 3, n = 1, \\ 4, & \text{if } k - n = 2, n \geq 2, \\ \min \{ 2^n, 2^{m+2} \}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



**Corollary 4.8.** For  $k < n$ , the kernel of

$$E_2^{2,2k+1}(SU(n)) \rightarrow E_2^{2,2k+1}(SU(n+1))$$

is a cyclic group of order  $\sigma_k(n)/\tau_k(n)$ , where  $\sigma_k(n)$  is as above, and  $\tau_k(n)$  is the integer defined in the course of the proof of Theorem 4.7.

*Proof.* From the long exact sequence (4.1), we must determine the cokernel of

$$E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n+1)) \rightarrow E_2^{1,2k+1}(S^{2n+1}).$$

We have calculated that for  $k < n$ , the order of the image is  $\tau_k(n)$ . Therefore the cokernel has order  $\sigma_k(n)/\tau_k(n)$  as asserted. Q. E. D.

**Remark 4.9.** The results of Bousfield [3] concerning products in the unstable homotopy spectral sequence with coefficients in a ring may be generalized to a ring spectrum (in place of the ring). The statements of [3, (8.2)] apply to our situation. In particular, the differentials are seen to act as derivations with respect to the action of  $E_r^{*,*}(S^{2n+1})$  on  $E_r^{*,*}(SU(n))$ . The coboundary map

$$\delta: E_2^{s,t}(S^{2n+1}) \rightarrow E_2^{s+1,t}(SU(n))$$

has the form  $\delta(\alpha) = \pm \alpha \otimes d'(x_{2n+1})$ , for  $\alpha$  in  $E_2^{s,t}(S^{2n+1})$ . Thus  $\delta$  is map of spectral sequences. For an odd prime  $p$ , the elements of  $E_2^{1,2k+1}(S^{2n+1})$  are all permanent cycles [2], and their coboundaries are permanent cycles in  $E_2^{2,2k+1}(SU(n))$ . When non-zero, these represent non-zero elements of  $\pi_*(SU(n))$ . A similar but more complicated statement holds for  $p=2$ , taking into account the differential  $d^3$  on  $E_2^{1,*}(S^{2n+1})$ .

**Examples 4.10.** Some examples (of the upper left-hand corners) of the matrices of (4.5) are the following:

(1) For  $p=2$ ,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4} & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{7}{8} & 3 & \frac{5}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{7}{8} & \frac{5}{4} & -\frac{3}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{13}{8} & -\frac{9}{4} & -\frac{9}{4} & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{15}{16} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) For  $p=3$ ,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{9} & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{7}{9} & 0 & -\frac{4}{3} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) The methods of this section also apply to the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence based on  $MU$  (instead of  $BP$ ). In this case, the definition of  $\bar{e}$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}(m_k) &= 1/k + 1 \\ \bar{e}(\eta(m_k)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the  $m_k = [CP^k]/k + 1$  in  $\pi_{2k}(MU)$ . The matrix  $B$  of (4.5) is defined by

$$\left(Y + \frac{Y^2}{2} + \frac{Y^3}{3} + \dots\right)^j = \sum_{k \geq j} b_{k,j} Y^k.$$

The matrix  $C$  is the inverse of  $B$ , and then  $\omega_n(k)$  is the least common multiple of the orders of  $c_{k,j}$  in  $Q/Z$  as  $n \leq j \leq k$ . The analogue to Theorem 4.7 becomes:

$$E_2^{1,2k+1}(SU(n)) \approx \omega_k(n)Z/k!Z$$

For this, the integral case,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{11}{12} & \frac{3}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{7}{4} & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{137}{180} & \frac{15}{8} & \frac{17}{6} & \frac{5}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{24} & \frac{7}{12} & -\frac{3}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{120} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{5}{4} & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{720} & \frac{31}{360} & -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{13}{6} & -\frac{5}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**§ 5. Some Calculations in  $E_2^{*,*}(S^{2n+1})$**

In this section, we describe a method of making resolutions of unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules which are convenient for calculations. We use these resolutions to establish vanishing lines for unstable Ext groups. In particular, we give a vanishing line for  $E_2^{*,*}(S^{2n+1})$ . We also make some calculations of  $E_2^{*,*}(S^{2n+1})$  in low stems, which together with the calculations of  $E_2^{*,*}(S^{2n+1})$  of [2], give some of the unstable groups  $\pi_*(S^{2n+1})$ .

Throughout this section, a prime  $p$  is fixed,  $BP$  is the Brown-Peterson spectrum associated with  $p$ ,  $\pi_*(BP) = A$ , and  $\pi_*(BP \wedge BP) = \Gamma$ . The category of connected  $A$ -modules is called  $\mathcal{A}$ . The category  $\mathcal{U}$  of unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules is defined in Section 2 by the cotriple  $(U, \varepsilon^U, \delta^U)$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $J: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the forgetful functor. Then for  $M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $N$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , there are natural isomorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(N, U(M)) \xrightleftharpoons[\beta]{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(J(N), M).$$

If  $f: N \rightarrow U(M)$  is a map in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $\alpha(f) = \varepsilon^U \circ f: J(N) \rightarrow M$  is a map in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $g: J(N) \rightarrow M$  is a map in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\beta(g) = U(g) \circ \psi: N \rightarrow U(M)$  is a map in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Specifically, if  $x$  is in  $N$ , with  $\psi(x) = \sum_i \gamma_i \otimes x_i$ , then

$$(5.2) \quad \beta(g)(x) = \sum_i \gamma_i \otimes g(x_i).$$

In particular, a map  $f: N \rightarrow U(M)$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  is determined by the map  $g = \varepsilon^U \circ f$  by formula (5.2).

Recall that  $A[t]$  is the free  $A$ -module on one generator  $\iota_t$  of degree  $t$ , with trivial  $\Gamma$ -coaction. Then for any  $A$ -module  $M$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(A[t], M) \approx \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(J(A[t]), M) \approx M_t.$$

**Acyclic Resolutions (5.3).** Suppose that  $M$  is in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then an acyclic resolution of  $M$  by models is a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}} U(M^0) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} U(M^1) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} U(M^2) \rightarrow \dots$$

which is acyclic, and the maps  $\partial_{-1}, \partial_0, \partial_1, \dots$  are in  $\mathcal{U}$ . From this, we obtain a complex

$$M^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} M^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} M^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

where, for each  $i \geq 0$ ,  $d$  is the map

$$d^i(x) = \varepsilon^U \circ \partial_i(1 \otimes x).$$

Then, by standard homological algebra in the abelian category  $\mathcal{U}$ , we have:

$$(5.4) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}}^{s,t}(M) \approx (\ker d^s / \text{im } d^{s-1})_t.$$

Our next step is to find convenient resolutions for certain kinds of modules.

**Definition 5.5.** An  $A$ -module  $M$  is called quasi-free if  $M \approx F/R$  where  $F$  is a free  $A$ -module with homogeneous basis  $\{x_\alpha\}$ , and  $R$  is a sub- $A$ -module of  $F$  which is generated by homogeneous elements  $\{r\}$ , each of which is of the form

$$r = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}$$

where the  $c_{\alpha}$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .

The usefulness of quasi-free modules is the following.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi-free  $A$ -module. Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer, and let  $N$  be the sub- $A$ -module of  $M$  spanned by the elements of  $M_m$  for  $m < n$ . Then*

$$M \approx N \oplus M/N$$

as  $A$ -modules.

*Proof.* Write  $M \approx F/R$  as given in the definition (5.5). Then let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \text{span} \{x_{\alpha} \mid \text{degree}(x_{\alpha}) < n\} \\ F_1 &= \text{span} \{x_{\alpha} \mid \text{degree}(x_{\alpha}) \geq n\} \\ R_0 &= \text{span} \{r \mid \text{degree}(r) < n\} \\ R_1 &= \text{span} \{r \mid \text{degree}(r) \geq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is immediate that  $F = F_0 \oplus F_1$ ,  $R = R_0 \oplus R_1$ , and  $N \approx F_0/R_0$ ,  $M/N \approx F_1/R_1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} M &\approx F_0/R_0 \oplus F_1/R_1 \\ &\approx N \oplus M/N. \end{aligned} \qquad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $M$  be an unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule, which is quasi-free as an  $A$ -module, and suppose that  $\text{connectivity}(M) = k - 1$ , with  $k \geq 2p - 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2s,t}(M) &= 0, \quad \text{for } t < 2(p-1)ps + k, \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2s+1,t}(M) &= 0, \quad \text{for } t < 2(p-1)(ps+1) + k. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By downward induction on the connectivity of  $M$ . If  $M$  is highly connected, (5.7) holds by the Miller-Zahler vanishing line for stable  $\Gamma$ -comodules ([6], [12]). Assume inductively that (5.7) holds for connectivity  $\geq k$  and let  $M$  be an unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule, quasi-free as an  $A$ -module, with connectivity  $(M) = k - 1$ , and  $k \geq 2p - 1$ . We construct an acyclic resolution of  $M$  by models as follows.

Let  $S^{2p-2}M$  be the  $(S^{2p-2}M)_{2p-2+n} = M_n$ . For  $x$  in  $M$ , let  $S^{2p-2}x$  denote the corresponding element in  $S^{2p-2}M$ . The unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule structure on  $M$  is a map  $\psi: M \rightarrow U(M)$ . Recall from (2.13) that  $U(M)$  is spanned by

the elements  $h^I \otimes x$  where  $x$  is in  $M$ , and  $2l(I) < \text{degree}(x)$ . Thus  $\text{coker } \psi$  is spanned by the same  $h^I \otimes x$  but excluding the  $1 \otimes x$ . We define an  $A$ -linear map

$$f: \text{coker } \psi \rightarrow S^{2p-2}M$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} f(h_1 \otimes x) &= S^{2p-2}x \\ f(h^I \otimes x) &= 0, \text{ for } h^I \neq h_1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $f$  is well defined.

Let  $N$  be the sub- $A$ -module of  $\text{coker } \psi$  spanned by the elements of degree strictly less than  $2(p-1)p+k$ . Then by (5.6),

$$\text{coker } \psi \approx N \oplus (\text{coker } \psi / N).$$

Let  $M^1 = S^{2p-2}M \oplus (\text{coker } \psi / N)$ , and let

$$(f \oplus \lambda): \text{coker } \psi \rightarrow M^1$$

be the map where  $f$  is as above and  $\lambda$  is the natural projection. Then  $g = \beta(f \oplus \lambda)$  is a map

$$g: \text{coker } \psi \rightarrow U(M^1).$$

We claim that (i):  $g$  is one-one; and (ii):  $g$  is subjective in degrees  $< 2(p-1)p+k$ . Note that  $M$  quasi-free (as an  $A$ -module) implies that  $U(M)$  and  $\text{coker } \psi$  are quasi-free also. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{coker } \psi & \xrightarrow{g} & U(M^1) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & M^1 \\ \approx \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \approx \\ N \oplus (\text{coker } \psi / N) & & & & S^{2p-2}M \oplus (\text{coker } \psi / N). \end{array}$$

Suppose that  $x_1$  is in  $N$  and  $x_2$  is in  $(\text{coker } \psi / N)$  and that  $g(x_1 \oplus x_2) = 0$ . Then the projection of  $\varepsilon g(x_1 \oplus x_2)$  on  $\text{coker } \psi / N$  is  $x_2$ , so  $x_2 = 0$ .  $N$  is spanned by elements of the form  $h^I \otimes x$  which have  $\text{degree} < 2(p-1)p+k$ . Thus  $N$  is spanned by elements  $h^n_1 \otimes x$ , where  $0 < n < p$ . Then

$$g(h^n_1 \otimes x) = (nh^{n-1}_1 \otimes S^{2p-2}x) + h^n_1 \otimes \varepsilon g(1 \otimes x).$$

Statements (i) and (ii) now follow readily by induction on  $n$ .

We next construct an acyclic resolution of  $M$  by models

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}} U(M) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} U(M^1) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} U(M^2) \rightarrow \dots$$

as follows. The map  $\partial_{-1} = \psi$ , the coaction map for  $M$ . The map  $\partial_0$  is the composite

$$U(M) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{coker } \psi \xrightarrow{g} U(M^1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the natural quotient map and  $g$  is defined above. Let  $M^2 = \text{coker } \partial_0$ , and for  $i > 2$ , let  $M^i = U^{i-2}(M^2)$ . For  $i \geq 1$ , the  $\partial_i$  are the maps in the cobar resolution for  $M^2$ . Then by (5.4),

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s,t}(M) \approx (\ker d^s / \text{im } d^{s-1})_t$$

of the sequence

$$M \xrightarrow{d^0} M^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} M^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} \dots$$

By construction,  $M_i^1 = 0$ , for  $t < 2(p-1) + k$  and  $M_i^2 = 0$ , for  $t < 2(p-1) + k$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1,t}(M) &= 0, & \text{for } t < 2(p-1) + k, \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2,t}(M) &= 0, & \text{for } t < 2(p-1)p + k. \end{aligned}$$

For  $s \geq 3$ , we have isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s,t}(M) \approx \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s-2,t}(M^2).$$

The statement of (5.7) follows by induction. Q. E. D.

**Corollary 5.8.** For  $n \geq p-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{2s,t}(S^{2n+1}) &= 0, & \text{for } t < 2(p-1)ps + 2n + 1, \\ E_2^{2s+1,t}(S^{2n+1}) &= 0, & \text{for } t < 2(p-1)(ps+1) + 2n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.9.** For each pair of positive integers  $(m, k)$  with  $m < p$ , let  $M_m(k)$  be the free  $A$ -module with generators

$$\{x_{2m+1+2(p-1)i}\}, \quad 0 \leq i < k.$$

The  $\Gamma$ -coaction on  $M_m(k)$  is given by formula (3.4). (The coaction is defined because  $\Gamma$  is sparse, i.e.  $\Gamma_t = 0$  if  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2p-2}$ .)

**Corollary 5.10.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2s+1,t}(M_m(k)) &= 0, & \text{for } t < (2p-2)(ps+k) + 2m + 1, \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2s+2,t}(M_m(k)) &= 0, & \text{for } t < 2(p-1)(ps+k+1) + 2m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The short exact sequence of unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules

$$0 \rightarrow M_m(k) \rightarrow M_m(k+1) \rightarrow A[2m+1+2(p-1)k] \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence of Ext groups. Then (5.10) follows by induction, using (3.3) and (5.8). Q. E. D.

**Corollary 5.11.** *Let  $n \leq p - 1$ . Then for each  $s \geq 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{2s+1,t}(S^{2n+1}) &= 0, & \text{for } t < 2(p-1)(ps+1)+2n+1, \\ E_2^{2s+2,t}(S^{2n+1}) &= 0, & \text{for } t < 2(p-1)(ps+2)+2n+1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is the statement of (5.10) with  $k = 1$ . Q. E. D.

**Remarks 5.12.** (i) In [7], spaces  $B_m(k)$  are constructed, with

$$PH_*(B_m(k); BP) \approx M_m(k).$$

(In [7],  $B_m(k)$  is denoted  $B_m^k(p)$ .) As a consequence of [7, (3.5)], the unstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for  $SU(n)$  breaks up into a direct sum of  $(p-1)$  spectral sequences, with

$$E_2(SU(n)) \approx \bigoplus_{1 \leq m < p} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_m(k(n, m)))$$

where  $k(n, m) = \left\lceil \frac{n-m-1}{p} \right\rceil + 1$ .

(ii) The vanishing line (5.11) cannot be improved on  $S^3$  as  $\beta_1^r \alpha_1^2 \neq 0$  in  $E_2(S^3)$  for all  $r$ . ( $\beta_1$  and  $\alpha_1$  denote the classes in  $E_2$  which represent the corresponding homotopy elements.) This is not the best possible for higher spheres; see Theorem 5.16, below.

**Corollary 5.13.** *For  $t - s < 2(p-1)(p(p-1)+k)+2m-1$ ,*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{s,t}(M_m(k)) = E_{\infty}^{s,t}(B_m(k))$$

*and there are no extensions if  $t - s < 2(p-1)(p(p-1)+k-1)+2m$ .*

*Proof.* Sparseness. Q. E. D.

**Example 5.14.** If  $n < p - 1$ , and  $q < 2p(p-1)+2n+1$ ,

$$\pi_q(SU(n)) \approx E_2(SU(n))$$

and all non-zero elements occur in filtrations 0, 1 and 2. Compare with [12; (5.7.4)].

**Remarks 5.15.** The above applies to any space  $X$  such that  $H_*(X; BP)$  is free as an  $A$ -module and cofree as a coalgebra, and such that  $PH_*(X; BP)$  as an unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodule is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $M_m(k)$ 's. In particular, for  $p$  an odd prime, by [7; (4.1)], these methods apply to  $Spin(n)$  and to  $Sp(n)$ .



We leave the details to the reader.

To illustrate the method (5.7) of resolving unstable  $\Gamma$ -comodules, we show the following (see [9; (7.1)]).

**Theorem 5.16.** *Let a prime  $p$  be fixed. For  $q$  in the range  $2n+1 < q < 2p^2+2n-4$ , the  $p$ -localized group  $\pi_q(S^{2n+1})$  is zero except in the following cases:*

(i) For  $0 < k < p$ ,

$$\pi_{(2p-2)k+2n}(S^{2n+1}) \approx \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Also (the case when  $k=p$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(2p-2)p+2n}(S^{2n+1}) &\approx \mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{for } n=1, \\ &\approx \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, & \text{for } n>1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For  $n < k < p$

$$\pi_{(2p-2)k+2n-1}(S^{2n+1}) \approx \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Also (the case when  $k=p$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(2p-2)p+2n-1}(S^{2n+1}) &\approx \mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{for } n=1, \text{ or } n \geq p, \\ &\approx \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, & \text{for } 1 < n < p. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We prove (5.16) for  $n < p$ . The proof for  $n \geq p$  is similar. For the range  $2n+1 < t-s < 2p^2+2n-4$ , by (5.11), we have  $E_2^{s,t}(S^{2n+1})=0$  for  $s > 2$ . Hence in this range,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_q(S^{2n+1}) &\approx E_{\frac{1}{2}, q+1}(S^{2n+1}), & \text{for } q \text{ even,} \\ &\approx E_{\frac{1}{2}, q+2}(S^{2n+1}), & \text{for } q \text{ odd.} \end{aligned}$$

We shall calculate  $E_2^{*,*}(S^{2n+1})$  in this range by an acyclic resolution of  $A[2n+1]$  as follows. Let  $M^0$  be the free  $A$ -module with one generator  $x$  of degree  $2n+1$ . Let  $M^1$  be the free  $A$ -module with one generator  $y$  of degree  $(2p-2)+2n+1$ . Let  $M^2$  be the  $A$ -module generated by elements  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{p-n}$ , where  $\text{degree}(z_i) = (2p-2)(n+i) + 2n+1$ , modulo the ideal of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &pz_1 \\ &(n+k)v_1z_k - p(n+k+1)z_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that these relations imply that in  $M^2$ ,

$$p^i z_i = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < p-n,$$

$$p^{p-n+1}z_{p-n} = 0.$$

Let  $M^3$  be the sub- $A$ -module of  $\Gamma \otimes_A M^2$  generated by the elements  $\{h_1^k \otimes z_i\}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq p-n$  and  $k > 0$ , and with relations induced from those in  $M^2$ . Let

$$\partial_{-1}: A[2n+1] \rightarrow M^0$$

be the map with  $\partial_{-1}(e_{2n+1}) = 1 \otimes x$ . By [2, § 8], in the range of dimensions under consideration,  $U(M^0)$  has an  $A$ -basis consisting of the elements:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^k \otimes x, & \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ h_1^k v_1 \otimes x, & \quad \text{for } n \leq k < p-1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\partial_0: U(M^0) \rightarrow U(M^1)$  be the  $A$ -linear map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(h_1^k \otimes x) &= kh_1^{k-1} \otimes y, & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ \partial_0(h_1^k v_1 \otimes x) &= kv_1 h_1^{k-1} \otimes y - p(k+1)h_1^k \otimes y, & \text{for } n \leq k < p-1 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\partial_1: U(M^1) \rightarrow U(M^2)$  be the  $A$ -linear map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(h_1^k \otimes y) &= 0, & \text{for } 0 \leq k < n, \\ \partial_1(h_1^k \otimes y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-n} \binom{k}{i} h_1^i \otimes z_{k-n-i+1}, & \text{for } n \leq k < p-1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let  $\partial_2: U(M^2) \rightarrow U(M^3)$  be the composite

$$U(M^2) \xrightarrow{\lambda} U(M^2)/\text{Im } \partial_1 \approx M^3 \xrightarrow{\psi} U(M^3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the natural quotient map and  $\psi$  is the coaction map for  $M^3$ .

It is readily verified that

$$0 \rightarrow A[2n+1] \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}} U(M^0) \xrightarrow{\partial_0} U(M^1) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} U(M^2) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} U(M^3)$$

is an acyclic resolution of  $A[2n+1]$  in the range of dimensions under consideration. Thus, by (5.4), in the range  $t-s < 2p^2 + 2n - 4$ ,  $E_{\frac{s}{2}, t}(S^{2n+1})$  may be calculated as the homology of the complex

$$M^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} M^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} M^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} M^3.$$

The maps  $d^i$  are given in (5.3), which in this case become:

$$\begin{aligned} d^0(v_1^k x) &= pkv_1^{k-1}x \\ d^1(v_1^k y) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n > 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 1, k < p-1, \\ p^{p-1}z_{p-1}, & \text{if } n = 1, k = p-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the kernel of  $d^2$  is generated by the elements  $\{v_1^m p^{k-1} z_k\}$  for  $m \geq 0, k \geq 1$ . The relations in  $M^3$  imply that

$$v_1^k z_1 = p^k z_{k+1}, \quad \text{for } k < p - n - 1,$$

$$v_1^{p-n-1} z_1 = p^{p-n} z_{p-n}$$

(to within a factor of a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ). Thus in the range  $t < 2p^2 + 2n - 3$ ,  $E_2^{1,t}(S^{2n+1})$  is generated by the classes  $\{v_1^k y\}$  (except when  $n = 1$ , and  $k = p - 1$ , in which case  $pv_1^{p-1}y$  is the generator). These classes have the orders asserted in (5.14, (i)). Also, in the range  $t < 2p^2 + 2n - 2$ ,  $E_2^{2,t}(S^{2n+1})$  is generated by the classes  $\{v_1^k z_1\}$  for  $0 \leq k < p - n - 1$ , and by  $v_1^{p-n-1}z_1/p$ . These classes have the orders asserted in (5.16), (ii). Q. E. D.

**Remarks 5.17.** In the range  $q < 2p^2 - 5$ , the double suspension homomorphism

$$\pi_{2n+1+q}(S^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{2n+3+q}(S^{2n+3})$$

is the multiplication by  $p$  except in the following cases, when the double suspension sends a generator to a generator.

- (1)  $q = (2p - 2)k - 1$  and  $0 < k < p$ , all  $n$ ,  
 $q = (2p - 2)p - 1$  and  $n > 1$ .
- (2)  $q = (2p - 2)k - 2$ , and  $n \geq p - 1$ .

**References**

- [1] Adams, J. F., *Stable homotopy and generalized homology*, University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [2] Bendersky, M., Curtis E. B. and Miller, H. R., The unstable Adams spectral sequence for generalized homology, *Topology*, **17** (1978), 229-248.
- [3] Bousfield A. K. and Kan, D. M., Products and pairings in the homotopy spectral sequence, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **177** (1963), 319-343.
- [4] Curtis, E. B., Simplicial homotopy theory, *Advances in Math.*, **6** (1971), 107-209.
- [5] Hazewinkel, M., A universal formal group and complex cobordism, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81** (1975), 930-933.
- [6] Miller, H. R., *Some algebraic aspects of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*, Thesis, Princeton University, 1974.
- [7] Mimura, M., Nishida G. and Toda, H., Mod- $p$  decomposition of compact Lie groups, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **13** (1977), 627-680.
- [8] Toda, H., A topological proof of theorems of Bott, Borel and Hirzebruch, *Mem. Kyoto Univ.*, **32** (1958), 103-119.
- [9] ———, On iterated suspension I, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **5** (1965), 87-142.
- [10] Wilson, W. S., The  $\Omega$ -spectrum for Brown-Peterson cohomology, Part II, *Amer.*

*J. of Math.*, **97** (1975), 101–123.

- [11] Zabrodsky, A., *Hopf spaces*, North-Holland Math. Studies **22**, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1976.
- [12] Zahler, R. S., The Adams-Novikov spectral sequence for the spheres, *Ann. of Math.*, **96** (1972), 480–504.

*Note added in proof:* In order to compute the matrix  $C$  in Section 4 it is convenient to use the following observation: For a polynomial  $A(Y) = Y + a_2 Y^2 + \dots$  let  $[A(Y)]$  be the matrix with the entries in the  $j$ -th column given by the coefficients of  $(A(Y))^j$ . Then  $[B(Y)] [A(Y)] = [AB(Y)]$ .