

# The First Cohomology Groups of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras<sup>1)</sup>

By

Nobutada NAKANISHI\*

## Introduction

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space. We denote by  $D(V)$  the Lie algebra consisting of all formal vector fields over  $V$ . Let  $L$  be a Lie subalgebra of  $D(V)$ . We are interested in the first cohomology group  $H^1(L)$  of a Lie algebra  $L$  with adjoint representation.

Let  $L$  be an infinite dimensional transitive simple Lie algebra, that is,  $L$  is one of  $D(V)$ ,  $L_{\mathfrak{sl}}$ ,  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}$ , or  $L_{\mathfrak{ct}}$ . (For a notation, see §2.) It is known in T. Morimoto [5] that  $H^1(D(V))=H^1(L_{\mathfrak{ct}})=0$ , and  $\dim H^1(L_{\mathfrak{sl}})=\dim H^1(L_{\mathfrak{sp}})=1$ .

In this paper we will treat the following two types of infinite dimensional Lie algebras:

(1) Infinite dimensional transitive graded Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$ . (For a precise definition, see §1.)

(2) Infinite dimensional intransitive Lie algebras  $L[W^*]$  whose transitive parts  $L$  are infinite and simple. (In this case  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$ .)

In Section 3 and Section 4, we will give two criteria for  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  to be of finite dimension. More precisely we will prove

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra with a semi-simple linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is finite dimensional.*

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra whose linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  contains an element  $e$  which satisfies  $[e, x_p] =$*

---

Communicated by N. Shimada, February 8, 1980.

\* Department Mathematics, Maizuru Technical College, Maizuru 625, Japan.

<sup>1)</sup> This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 474043), Ministry of Education.

$px_p$  for all  $x_p \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Then  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is finite dimensional. Furthermore if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is derived from  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , then  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is isomorphic to  $n(\mathfrak{g}_0)/\mathfrak{g}_0$ , where  $n(\mathfrak{g}_0)$  denotes the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ .

It may well be doubted if every infinite transitive graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the finite dimensional cohomology group  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$ . But unfortunately this presumption is false. In Section 5 we will give an easy condition for  $\mathfrak{g}$  to be  $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \infty$ . (For such a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we can construct derivations of arbitrarily large negative degree.)

That is, we will prove

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra which satisfies  $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}] = 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ . Then  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is infinite dimensional.*

In Section 6 our objects are infinite intransitive Lie algebras  $L[W^*]$ . Let  $V = U + W$  (direct sum). We denote by  $S(W^*)$  the ring of formal power series over  $W$ . Let  $L$  be an infinite transitive simple Lie algebra over  $U$ . Then a Lie algebra  $L[W^*]$  is obtained as a topological completion of  $L \otimes S(W^*)$ . These Lie algebras  $L[W^*]$  are obtained as the result of the classification theorem of infinite intransitive Lie algebras [6]. In determining  $H^1(L[W^*])$ , V. Guillemin's work is essential. Using his results we will prove

**Theorem D.** *Let  $D(W)$  be a Lie algebra of all formal vector fields over  $W$  and let  $e$  be a basis of one dimensional center of  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ . Then we have*

$$H^1(L[W^*]) \cong \begin{cases} D(W) & \text{for } L = D(U) \text{ or } L_{\text{ct}}(U), \\ D(W) + S(W^*) \otimes e & \text{for } L = L_{\text{st}}(U) \text{ or } L_{\text{ap}}(U). \end{cases}$$

Above results can be considered as a formal version of Y. Kanie [3]. In a forthcoming paper, we will give an example of an infinite intransitive Lie algebra  $L$  such that  $H^1(L) = 0$ .

Throughout this paper, all vector spaces and Lie algebras are assumed to be defined over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers.

## §1. Infinite Transitive Graded Lie Algebras

In this section, we define transitive graded Lie algebras which we will study in the subsequent sections.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. Assume that there is given a family  $\{\mathfrak{g}_p\}_{p \geq -1}$  of subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which satisfies the following conditions:

a)  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  (direct sum);

b)  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_p < \infty$ ;

c)  $[\mathfrak{g}_p, \mathfrak{g}_q] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{p+q}$ ;

d) For every non-zero  $x_p \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ ,  $p \geq 0$ , there is an element  $x_{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  such that  $[x_p, x_{-1}] \neq 0$ . Under these conditions, we say that the direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  or simply  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a *transitive graded Lie algebra*.

By conditions c) and d),  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is considered as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is called *the linear isotropy algebra* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is said to be *irreducible* if the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  given by  $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  is irreducible.

**Definition 1.2.** The space  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}$  which is called *the  $p$ -th prolongation* of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes S^p(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \otimes S^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*),$$

where  $S^p(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*)$  denotes the  $p$ -times symmetric tensor of the dual space  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

We say that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is of *finite type* if  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)} = 0$  for some (and hence for all larger)  $p$ . Otherwise we say that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is of *infinite type*. Put  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(-1)} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  has a Lie algebra structure with respect to a canonical bracket operation. We say that the transitive graded Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}$  thus obtained is *derived* from  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an abstract transitive graded Lie algebra with a linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is considered as a graded Lie subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . It is clear that if a transitive graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of infinite dimension, its linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  must be of infinite type.

Let  $A$  be a Lie algebra. A derivation  $c$  of  $A$  is a linear mapping from  $A$  to itself satisfying  $c[x, y] = [c(x), y] + [x, c(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{ad}(A)$ ) the derivation algebra (resp. the algebra of inner derivations of  $A$ ). Then, by definition, the first cohomology group  $H^1(A)$  of  $A$  with adjoint representation is equal to the space  $\text{Der}(A)/\text{ad}(A)$ . A derivation  $c$  of a graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  is said to be of degree  $r$  or  $\deg c = r$  if it satisfies  $c(\mathfrak{g}_p) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{p+r}$  for all  $p$ .

## § 2. Infinite Transitive Simple Lie Algebras

It is well-known that there are the following four classes of infinite transitive simple Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$  (see [5]).

(1)  $L_{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ : the Lie algebra of all formal (or better, formal power series) vector fields in  $n$ -variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

(2)  $L_{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$ : the Lie algebra of formal vector fields in  $n$ -variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , preserving the volume form  $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ .

(3)  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}(2n)$ : the Lie algebra of formal vector fields in  $2n$ -variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ , preserving the symplectic form  $\sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$ .

(4)  $L_{\mathfrak{ct}}(2n+1)$ : the Lie algebra of formal vector fields in  $(2n+1)$ -variables  $z, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ , preserving the contact form  $dz + 2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot dy_i - y_i dx_i$ , up to functional factors.

We will often write  $D(V)$  for  $L_{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ , where  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space with a basis  $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n$ . Let  $L$  be one of Lie algebras  $D(V)$ ,  $L_{\mathfrak{sl}}$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}$ . Each  $L$  has the natural filtration  $\{L_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$  defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} L_p &= L & \text{for } p \leq -1; \\ L_0 &= \{X \in L; \text{ the value } X(0) \text{ of } X \text{ at the origin} = 0\}; \\ L_p &= \{X \in L_{p-1}; [X, L] \subset L_{p-1}\} & \text{for } p \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the decreasing sequence of subspaces:  $L = L_{-1} \supset L_0 \supset L_1 \supset L_2 \supset \dots$  satisfies

- (a)  $\bigcap_{p=-1}^{\infty} L_p = 0$ ;
- (b)  $[L_p, L_q] \subset L_{p+q}$ ;
- (c)  $\dim L_p/L_{p+1} < \infty$ .

Put  $\mathfrak{g}_p(L) = L_p/L_{p+1}$ . Then by (b), (c) and the definition of  $L_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , we have the transitive graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gr}(L) = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p(L)$ . We also have the Lie algebra  $L' = \prod_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p(L)$ , which is the completion of  $\mathfrak{gr}(L)$ .

Under these notations we will summarize a few useful properties of  $L$ . (For the proof, see Kobayashi-Nagano [4].)

(1) Each  $L$  is an infinite transitive irreducible Lie algebra and moreover  $L$  is isomorphic to  $L'$ , where the word "irreducible" means that the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_0(L)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(L)$  is irreducible.

(2) The linear isotropy algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_0(L)$  of  $D(V)$ ,  $L_{\mathfrak{sl}}$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}$  are  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{C})$  respectively, and for  $p \geq 1$   $\mathfrak{g}_p(L)$  is isomorphic to the  $p$ -th prolongation  $\mathfrak{g}_0(L)^{(p)}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0(L)$ .

- (3) For  $\mathfrak{g}_0(L) = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{C})$ , it holds that
- (i)  $[\mathfrak{g}_0(L)^{(r)}, \mathfrak{g}_0(L)^{(s)}] = \mathfrak{g}_0(L)^{(r+s)}$  for  $r, s \geq 0$ ,
  - (ii)  $\mathfrak{g}_0(L)$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathfrak{g}_0(L)^{(r)}$  for  $r \geq -1$ .

By the classification theorem of Kobayashi-Nagano [4], we know that there are only three classes of transitive simple irreducible Lie algebras of infinite type over  $\mathbf{C}$ , that is, they are  $D(V)$ ,  $L_{\mathfrak{sl}}$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}$ .

For the contact Lie algebra  $L_{ct}(2n+1)$  (or simply  $L_{ct}$ ), we must define another filtration.

$$\begin{aligned} L_p &= L_{ct} & \text{for } p \leq -2; \\ L_{-1} &= \{X \in L_{ct}; \langle X, \theta \rangle_0 = 0, \text{ where } \theta \text{ is the contact form}\}; \\ L_0 &= \{X \in L_{ct}; X(0) = 0\}; \\ L_p &= \{X \in L_{p-1}; [X, L_{-1}] \subset L_{p-1}\} & \text{for } p \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using this filtration,  $L_{ct}$  is isomorphic to  $\prod_{p=-2}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p(L)$ . For the subsequent discussion about  $L_{ct}$ , we have only to recall that

$$(4) \quad L_{-1} = [L_{ct}, L_1].$$

In Section 3 and Section 6, we essentially use the following facts which were proved by T. Morimoto [5].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be an infinite transitive simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$ .*

*Then*

$$H^1(L) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } L = D(V) \text{ or } L_{ct} \\ \mathbf{C} & \text{for } L = L_{\mathfrak{sl}} \text{ or } L_{\mathfrak{sp}}. \end{cases}$$

*Remark 1.* Let  $L$  be one of Lie algebras  $L_{\mathfrak{sl}}$  or  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}$ . Since  $L$  is isomorphic with the Lie algebra  $L' = \prod_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p(L)$ , their isotropy algebras  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  and  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$  are considered to be subalgebras of them. Let  $e$  denote a unit matrix in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then the above theorem asserts that  $\text{ad}(e)$  yields a basis of one dimensional space  $H^1(L)$ .

*Remark 2.* Let  $\text{gr}(L)$  be a graded Lie algebra associated with an infinite transitive simple Lie algebra  $L$ . Then we also have  $H^1(\text{gr}(L)) = 0$  for  $L = D(V)$  or  $L_{ct}$ , and  $H^1(\text{gr}(L)) \cong \mathbf{C}$  for  $L = L_{\mathfrak{sl}}$  or  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}$ . These facts are particularly used in Section 3.

### §3. The First Cohomology Groups of Infinite Transitive Graded Lie Algebras (I)

Throughout this section, let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite (dimensional) transitive graded Lie algebra over  $\mathcal{C}$  and let its linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be semi-simple. Put  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = V$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is considered as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . First we will determine the type of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is semi-simple, we can decompose  $V$  into  $V = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_k$  (vector space direct sum), where each  $V_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ ) is a  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -invariant subspace and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  acts irreducibly on  $V_i$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  the Lie algebra of linear transformations of  $V_i$  induced by  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . By the natural inclusion,  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  is considered as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . We also denote it by the same letter  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  if there is no confusion. Put  $\mathfrak{n}_i = \{t \in \mathfrak{g}_0; t(V_j) = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq i\}$ . Then each  $\mathfrak{n}_i$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_k$  is a direct sum as Lie algebras. It clearly holds

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{n}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_k \subset \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{h}_k.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)} = \mathfrak{n}_1^{(p)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_k^{(p)}$  (direct sum).

*Proof.* Let  $t: V \times \cdots \times V \rightarrow V$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}$ . First note that  $t(v_1, \dots, v_{p+1}) = 0$  if  $v_i \in V_i, v_j \in V_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . (It is easy to see that  $t(v_1, \dots, v_{p+1}) \in V_i \cap V_j$ .) Let  $v_1, \dots, v_{p+1} \in V$  and  $v_i = v_i^1 + \cdots + v_i^k$  with  $v_i^1 \in V_1, v_i^2 \in V_2, \dots, v_i^k \in V_k$  for  $i=1, \dots, p+1$ . Then by the above remark, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} t(v_1, \dots, v_{p+1}) &= t(v_1^1, \dots, v_{p+1}^1) + \cdots + t(v_1^k, \dots, v_{p+1}^k) \\ &= t_1(v_1^1, \dots, v_{p+1}^1) + \cdots + t_k(v_1^k, \dots, v_{p+1}^k) \\ &= t_1(v_1, \dots, v_{p+1}) + \cdots + t_k(v_1, \dots, v_{p+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t_i$  denotes an element of  $\mathfrak{n}_i^{(p)}$  induced by  $t$ . (Since  $t_i(*, v_1', \dots, v_p') \in \mathfrak{n}_i$  for  $v_1', \dots, v_p' \in V$ ,  $t_i$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{n}_i^{(p)}$ .) Since  $\mathfrak{n}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_k$  is a direct sum, our assertion is obvious. q. e. d.

Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is infinite dimensional and  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  must be of infinite type by Lemma 3.1. From now on, without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_l$  ( $l \leq k$ ) are of infinite type and  $\mathfrak{n}_{l+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_k$  are of finite type.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be a linear isotropy algebra of an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then there exists a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_b$  of finite type of  $\mathfrak{h}_{l+1} + \cdots + \mathfrak{h}_k$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is written as

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{n}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_l + \mathfrak{g}_b \quad (\text{Lie algebra direct sum}),$$

where each ideal  $\mathfrak{n}_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, l$ ) is isomorphic to either  $\mathfrak{sl}(V_i)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(V_i)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, l$ ) be a natural projection. Since  $\pi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism,  $\mathfrak{g}_0/\text{Ker } \pi$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{h}_i$ . Recall that the quotient space of a semi-simple Lie algebra is also semi-simple. Thus  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  is semi-simple and its center is zero. Moreover each  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  acts irreducibly on  $V_i$  and is of infinite type. Hence by the classification theorem of transitive irreducible Lie algebras of infinite type, we know that  $\mathfrak{h}_i$  must be equal to either  $\mathfrak{sl}(V_i)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(V_i)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{n}_i$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{h}_i$ , we have  $\mathfrak{n}_i = \mathfrak{sl}(V_i)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(V_i)$ . ( $\mathfrak{sl}(V_i)$  and  $\mathfrak{sp}(V_i)$  are naturally imbedded in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ .) Note that  $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_l = \mathfrak{h}_l$ . Then we can find a subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_b$  such that  $\mathfrak{n}_{l+1} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_k \subset \mathfrak{g}_b \subset \mathfrak{h}_{l+1} + \cdots + \mathfrak{h}_k$ . Considering (3.1), we obtain the expression of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  as (3.3). By Lemma 3.1, we also have  $\mathfrak{g}_b^{(p)} = \mathfrak{n}_{l+1}^{(p)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_k^{(p)}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{g}_b$  is of finite type. q. e. d.

Next we will determine the type of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . From (3.3) in Lemma 3.2, we have  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(1)} = \mathfrak{n}_1^{(1)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_l^{(1)} + \mathfrak{g}_b^{(1)}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(1)}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_1^{(1)} \neq 0, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_m^{(1)} \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_{m+1}^{(1)} = 0, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_l^{(1)} = 0$ . Then we have

**Lemma 3.3.**  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  has the following form:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1^{(1)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_m^{(1)} + H_1,$$

where  $H_1$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_b^{(1)}$ .

*Proof.* For  $i=1, \dots, m$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_i^{(1)}$  is an  $\mathfrak{n}_i$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{n}_i^{(1)}$ . By the property (3) (ii) in Section 2, we have  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \supset \mathfrak{n}_1^{(1)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_m^{(1)}$ . Hence there exists a subspace  $H_1$  of  $\mathfrak{n}_{m+1}^{(1)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_l^{(1)} + \mathfrak{g}_b^{(1)}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1^{(1)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_m^{(1)} + H_1$  and  $H_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_{m+1}^{(1)} = 0, \dots, H_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_l^{(1)} = 0$ . For  $j=m+1, \dots, l$ , decompose  $t \in H_1$  into  $t = t_{m+1} + \cdots + t_l + t_b$  with  $t_{m+1} \in \mathfrak{n}_{m+1}^{(1)}, \dots, t_l \in \mathfrak{n}_l^{(1)}, t_b \in \mathfrak{g}_b^{(1)}$ . Define a subspace  $A_j$  of  $\mathfrak{n}_j^{(1)}$  by

$$A_j = \{t_j \in \mathfrak{n}_j^{(1)}; t = t_{m+1} + \cdots + t_l + t_b \in H_1\}.$$

For all  $x_j \in \mathfrak{n}_j$  and  $t_j \in A_j$ , it holds that  $[x_j, t_j] = [x_j, t] \in \mathfrak{n}_j^{(1)} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1 = \{0\}$ . This means that  $[\mathfrak{n}_j, A_j] = 0$ . Using the property (3) (ii), we have  $A_j = 0$  for  $j = m+1, \dots, l$ . Hence  $H_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_b^{(1)}$ . q. e. d.

Since  $\mathfrak{g}_p \supset [\mathfrak{g}_1, [\mathfrak{g}_1, [\dots, [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1] \dots]]$  for  $p > 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  contains  $\mathfrak{n}_1^{(p)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)}$  by Lemma 3.3 and the property (3) (i) in Section 2. By the same argument as Lemma 3.3, we get

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $p > 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  has the following form:

$$\mathfrak{g}_p = \mathfrak{n}_1^{(p)} + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}_m^{(p)} + H_p,$$

where  $H_p$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_b^{(p)}$ . (For sufficiently large  $p$ ,  $H_p = 0$  since  $\mathfrak{g}_b$  is of finite type.)

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we can easily determine the form of the given infinite transitive graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$ . That is, we have

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra. Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the following form:

$$\mathfrak{g} = G_1 + \cdots + G_m + G'_{m+1} + \cdots + G'_l + G'_b \quad (\text{direct sum}),$$

where  $G_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) is of the form  $\mathfrak{gr}(L_{\mathfrak{sl}}(V_i))$  or  $\mathfrak{gr}(L_{\mathfrak{sp}}(V_i))$ , and  $G'_j$  ( $j=m+1, \dots, l$ ) is of the form  $V_j + \mathfrak{sl}(V_j)$  or  $V_j + \mathfrak{sp}(V_j)$ , and  $G'_b$  is a finite dimensional Lie algebra. (From now on, we put  $G' = G'_{m+1} + \cdots + G'_l + G'_b$ . Then  $G'$  is a finite dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .)

For computing  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$ , we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $A$  be an abstract Lie algebra and let  $A_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, k$ ) be perfect ideals of  $A$ . If  $A = A_1 + \cdots + A_k$  (direct sum), then  $H^1(A) \cong H^1(A_1) + \cdots + H^1(A_k)$  (direct sum).

*Proof.* Let  $c \in \text{Der}(A)$ . We denote by  $c_{ij}$  the  $\text{Hom}(A_i, A_j)$ -component of  $c$ . For  $x, y \in A_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad c[x, y] &= [c(x), y] + [x, c(y)] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k [c_{ij}(x), y] + \sum_{j=1}^k [x, c_{ij}(y)] \\ &= [c_{ii}(x), y] + [x, c_{ii}(y)] \in A_i. \end{aligned}$$

Combined this with  $A_i = [A_i, A_i]$ , we obtain  $c_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Put  $c_{ii} = c_i$ . By (3.4),  $c_i$  induces a derivation of  $A_i$ . Hence  $\text{Der}(A) = \text{Der}(A_1) + \cdots + \text{Der}(A_k)$  (direct sum). Our assertion is now evident. q. e. d.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $A$  be an abstract Lie algebra such that  $A = A_1 + A_2$  (direct sum) with  $A_1 = [A_1, A_1]$ . Moreover assume that the center of  $A_1$  is zero. Then  $H^1(A) \cong H^1(A_1) + H^1(A_2)$  (direct sum).

*Proof.* We can write  $c = c_{11} + c_{12} + c_{21} + c_{22}$  by using same notations as Lemma 3.6. Since  $A_1$  is perfect, we have  $c_{12} = 0$ . Let  $x \in A_1$  and  $y \in A_2$ . By the equation  $0 = c[x, y] = [c(x), y] + [x, c(y)]$ , we get  $[x, c_{21}(y)] = 0$ . This

means that  $c_{21}(y) \in \{\text{center of } A_1\}$ . Since the center of  $A_1$  is zero, we have  $c_{21} = 0$ . Now it is easy to verify the assertion. q. e. d.

Combined with Theorem 2.1, we obtain finally the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra with a semi-simple linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.5,  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the following form:  $\mathfrak{g} = G_1 + \cdots + G_m + G'$ , where  $\dim G' < \infty$ . Since  $G_1 + \cdots + G_m$  is perfect and has no non-trivial center, we have  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \cong H^1(G_1 + \cdots + G_m) + H^1(G')$  by Lemma 3.7. On the other hand,  $H^1(G_1 + \cdots + G_m) \cong H^1(G_1) + \cdots + H^1(G_m)$  by Lemma 3.6, and  $\dim H^1(G_i) = 1$  or  $0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  by Theorem 2.1. (See also Remark 2, and recall that  $G_i = \text{gr}(L_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(V_i))$  or  $\text{gr}(L_{\mathfrak{g}_p}(V_i))$ .) Thus we obtain  $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}) < \infty$ .

q. e. d.

#### §4. The First Cohomology Groups of Infinite Transitive Graded Lie Algebras (II)

In this section, we assume that the linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  contains an element  $e$  which satisfies  $[e, x_p] = px_p$  for all  $x_p \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Put  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = V$ . We can write  $c(e) = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} x_p$  with  $x_p \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . For all  $v \in V$ , we have

$$[c(e), v] + [e, c(v)] = c[e, v] = -c(v).$$

Comparing the  $V$ -components of this equation, we obtain  $[x_0, v] = 0$ , and hence  $x_0 = 0$  by the transitivity condition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We now define a new derivation  $c'$  derived from  $c$  by

$$(4.1) \quad c' = c + \text{ad} \left( \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{1}{p} x_p \right).$$

It is clear that  $c'(e) = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**  $\deg c' = 0$ . (For the definition of "degree" of a derivation, see § 1.)

*Proof.* We must show that  $c'(\mathfrak{g}_p) \subset \mathfrak{g}_p$  for all  $p \geq -1$ . Put  $c'(x) = \sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} y_q$  ( $y_q \in \mathfrak{g}_q$ ) for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Then we have

$$c'[e, x] = pc'(x) = p \sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} y_q = [e, c'(x)] = \sum_{q=-1}^{\infty} qy_q.$$

Hence  $y_q=0$  for  $q \neq p$  and thus  $c'(x)=y_p \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . q. e. d.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $c'=0$  on  $V$ , then  $c'=0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $v \in V$ , it holds that  $[c'(x), v] + [x, c'(v)] = c'[x, v]$ . By the assumption of  $c'$ , we obtain  $[c'(x), v] = 0$ . Combining  $c'(\mathfrak{g}_0) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$  with the transitivity of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we obtain  $c'(x) = 0$ . Repeating this procedure for all  $p \geq 1$ , we can also obtain that  $c'(\mathfrak{g}_p) = 0$ . Hence  $c' = 0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . q. e. d.

Let  $[c] \in H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  denote an equivalence class of a derivation  $c$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $c' = c + \text{ad}\left(\sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{1}{p} x_p\right)$ , we have  $[c] = [c']$ . By Lemma 4.1, a restriction of  $c'$  to  $V$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . We denote this linear mapping by  $c'|_V$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra whose linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  contains an element  $e$  which satisfies  $[e, x_p] = px_p$  for all  $x_p \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Then  $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \leq (\dim V)^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be any derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We define a linear mapping  $\psi: \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  by  $\psi(c) = c'|_V$ . By Lemma 4.2, we obtain that if  $c$  is contained in  $\text{Ker } \psi$ , then  $c$  is an inner derivation. Hence our assertion is obvious. q. e. d.

In case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is derived from  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , we can get the more precise result. Let  $n(\mathfrak{g}_0)$  denote the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Then we have

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra derived from  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then for all  $x \in n(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ ,  $\text{ad}(x)$  is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that  $\text{ad}(x)(\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0^{(1)}$  and  $v \in V$ . With respect to the bracket operation in  $D(V)$ , we have

$$[\text{ad}(x)(z), v] = \text{ad}(x)[z, v] + [z, [v, x]] \in \mathfrak{g}_0.$$

Hence we have  $\text{ad}(x)(z) \in \mathfrak{g}_0^{(1)}$ , that is,  $\text{ad}(x)(\mathfrak{g}_0^{(1)}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^{(1)}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p+1)} = (\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)})^{(1)}$ , it can be inductively proved that  $\text{ad}(x)(\mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0^{(p)}$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . q. e. d.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra derived from  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Moreover assume that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  contains an element  $e$  which satisfies  $[e, x_p] = px_p$  for all  $x_p \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ . Then  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is isomorphic to  $n(\mathfrak{g}_0)/\mathfrak{g}_0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.4, we can define a linear mapping  $f: n(\mathfrak{g}_0) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  by  $f(x) = [x]$ . We prove that  $f$  is surjective. Let  $c$  be any derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Recall that  $[c] = [c']$ . Since  $c'$  satisfies  $c'(V) \subset V$ , there exists an element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  such that  $c' = \text{ad}(x)$  on  $V$ . Let  $v \in V$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . By the Jacobi identity

in  $D(V)$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \text{ad}(x)[v, y] = [\text{ad}(x)(v), y] + [v, \text{ad}(x)(y)].$$

On the other hand,  $c'$  satisfies

$$(4.3) \quad c'[v, y] = [c'(v), y] + [v, c'(y)].$$

Note that  $\text{ad}(x)[v, y] = c'[v, y]$  and  $\text{ad}(x)(v) = c'(v)$ . From equations (4.2) and (4.3), it holds that  $[v, (\text{ad}(x) - c')(y)] = 0$ . By the transitivity condition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we obtain that  $\text{ad}(x) = c'$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and hence  $x \in n(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $\text{ad}(x)$  is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $c' - \text{ad}(x)$  vanishes on  $V$ . Now by Lemma 4.2, we clearly have  $c' = \text{ad}(x)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus we have proved that  $f$  is surjective. Since  $\text{Ker} f = \mathfrak{g}_0$ , we obtain that  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is isomorphic to  $n(\mathfrak{g}_0)/\mathfrak{g}_0$ . q. e. d.

### §5. Example of Infinite Transitive Graded Lie Algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \infty$

As stated in Introduction, we give an example of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is of infinite dimension. Note that a derivation  $c$  of degree  $\leq -2$  is necessarily an outer derivation. We define a sequence of derived ideals  $\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  inductively by  $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \dots, \mathfrak{g}^{(p)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(p-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(p-1)}]$ . Then we prove

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$  be an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra which satisfies  $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = 0$ . Then  $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $\varphi_k = \text{ad}(v_1)\text{ad}(v_2)\dots\text{ad}(v_k)$  for  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . We show that  $\varphi_k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by induction. In the case of  $k=1$ ,  $\varphi_1 = \text{ad}(v_1)$  is an ‘‘inner’’ derivation. Let  $k \geq 1$ . Assume that  $\varphi_k[x, y] = [\varphi_k(x), y] + [x, \varphi_k(y)]$  for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Put  $\varphi_{k+1} = \varphi_k \circ \text{ad}(v_{k+1})$  for  $v_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Then by the Jacobi identity, we have

$$\varphi_{k+1}[x, y] = \varphi_k[v_{k+1}, [x, y]] = \varphi_k[[v_{k+1}, x], y] + \varphi_k[x, [v_{k+1}, y]].$$

By the assumption of induction and by  $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = 0$ , this element is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k[[v_{k+1}, x], y] + \varphi_k[x, [v_{k+1}, y]] &= [\varphi_k[v_{k+1}, x], y] + [x, \varphi_k[v_{k+1}, y]] \\ &= [\varphi_{k+1}(x), y] + [x, \varphi_{k+1}(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi_k$  is a derivation for all  $k \geq 1$ . Now if  $\varphi_k = \text{ad}(v_1)\text{ad}(v_2)\dots\text{ad}(v_k) = 0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  for all  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , we would have  $\underbrace{[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, [\dots, [\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_k] \dots]]}_{k\text{-times}} = 0$ .

By the transitivity condition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we must have  $\mathfrak{g}_k = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

Thus there exist  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  for arbitrarily large  $k$  such that  $\varphi_k = \text{ad}(v_1) \cdot \text{ad}(v_2) \cdots \text{ad}(v_k) \neq 0$ . Since  $\text{deg } \varphi_k = -k$ ,  $\varphi_k$  is a non-trivial outer derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and hence  $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \infty$ . q. e. d.

*A typical example.* Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  be a two dimensional vector space with a basis  $\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y$ , and let  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  be a one dimensional vector space with a basis  $x^{p+1}\partial/\partial y$  for  $p \geq 0$ . Then we have an infinite transitive graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{p=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}_p$ , which satisfies  $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = 0$ . In this case,  $\varphi_k = \text{ad}(\underbrace{\partial/\partial x \cdots \partial/\partial x}_{k\text{-times}})$  are non-trivial derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Hence  $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$  is of infinite dimension.

## § 6. The First Cohomology Groups of Infinite Intransitive Lie Algebras $L[W^*]$

**6.1.** First we explain a Lie algebra  $L[W^*]$  which is a main object in this section. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space with  $V = U + W$  (direct sum). We denote by  $S(W^*)$  the ring of formal power series over  $W$ . Let  $L$  be an infinite transitive simple Lie algebra over  $U$ . Both  $L$  and  $S(W^*)$  are complete topological vector spaces with respect to their natural topology induced by the filtrations. Then a Lie algebra  $L[W^*]$  is obtained as a topological completion of  $L \otimes S(W^*)$ . Since  $L[W^*]$  is a perfect Lie algebra, we know that each derivation  $c$  of  $L[W^*]$  is continuous.

**6.2.** Let  $A$  be an abstract Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then the commutator ring of  $A$ , which we denote by  $\mathbf{C}_A$ , is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{C}_A = \{ \rho \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, A); \rho \circ \text{ad}(x) = \text{ad}(x) \circ \rho \text{ for all } x \in A \}.$$

In this sub-section we want to determine the commutator rings  $\mathbf{C}_L$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{L[W^*]}$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *For an infinite transitive simple Lie algebra  $L$ , it holds that  $\mathbf{C}_L = \mathbf{C}$ .*

For the proof of Proposition 6.1, we need three lemmas. First we rewrite the some properties of  $L$  stated in Section 2 in the following lemma.

- Lemma 6.2.** (1)  $L_0 = [L, L_1]$ , for  $L = D(U)$ ,  $L_{\partial_1}(U)$  and  $L_{\partial_p}(U)$ ,  
 (2)  $L_{-1} = [L, L_1]$ , for  $L = L_{\text{ct}}(U)$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** (V. Guillemin [1]).  $\mathbf{C}_L$  is a commutative field which canonically contains the field  $\mathbf{C}$ .

*Proof.* For  $a \in \mathbf{C}$ , let  $\rho_a$  be a mapping such that  $x \mapsto ax$  for  $x \in L$ . Then it is clear that  $\rho_a$  belongs to  $\mathbf{C}_L$ . Through a mapping  $a \mapsto \rho_a$ , we can consider  $\mathbf{C}$  is contained in  $\mathbf{C}_L$ . Let  $\rho$  be a non-zero element of  $\mathbf{C}_L$ . Since  $L$  is simple, we have  $\rho(L) = L$  and  $\text{Ker } \rho = 0$ . Hence a non-zero  $\rho$  has an inverse. Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathbf{C}_L$ . It is clear that  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2 \in \mathbf{C}_L$ . Now it is sufficient to show that  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2 = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1$ . For all  $x, y \in L$  we have  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2[x, y] = [\rho_1(x), \rho_2(y)] = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1[x, y]$ . Combining this equation with  $L = [L, L]$ , we obtain  $\rho_1 \circ \rho_2 = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1$ . q. e. d.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Each  $\mathbf{C}_L$  has a faithful representation as a ring of endomorphisms as follows:*

- (1)  $\mathbf{C}_L \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(L/L_0, L/L_0)$  for  $L = D(U), L_{\mathfrak{sl}}(U)$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}(U)$ ,
- (2)  $\mathbf{C}_L \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(L/L_{-1}, L/L_{-1})$  for  $L = L_{\text{ct}}(U)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $\mathbf{C}_L$ . Since the filtration  $\{L_p\}$  of  $L$  satisfies  $L_0 = [L, L_1]$  by Lemma 6.2, we obtain  $\rho(L_0) \subset L_0$ . Hence a linear mapping  $\rho \mapsto \bar{\rho} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(L/L_0, L/L_0)$  is naturally induced. Assume  $\bar{\rho} = 0$ . Then  $\rho(L)$  is an ideal of  $L$  contained in  $L_0$ , and hence  $\rho(L) = 0$ . Thus a linear mapping  $\rho \mapsto \bar{\rho}$  is faithful. The assertion (2) is proved by the same argument as (1).

q. e. d.

*Proof of Proposition 6.1.* First let  $L$  be an infinite irreducible transitive Lie algebra. Then by Remark 1 in Section 2, the linear isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of  $L$  is considered as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ . Recall that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of  $L = D(U)$ ,  $L_{\mathfrak{sl}}(U)$  and  $L_{\mathfrak{sp}}(U)$  are  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbf{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbf{C})$  respectively. By Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4,  $\mathbf{C}_L$  can be regarded as an abelian Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ . We will show that  $\mathbf{C}_L$  is contained in the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathbf{C}_L$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $u \in U$ . Then in  $D(U)$  we clearly have

$$[[\rho, x], u] = [\rho, [x, u]] - [x, [\rho, u]] = (\rho \circ \text{ad}(x) - \text{ad}(x) \circ \rho)(u) = 0.$$

Since  $[\rho, x] \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $L$  is transitive, we obtain  $[\rho, x] = 0$ , and hence  $[\mathbf{C}_L, \mathfrak{g}_0] = 0$ . Put  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathbf{C}_L$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$  yields a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$  and  $\mathbf{C}_L$  is contained in the center of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  acts irreducibly on  $U$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$  also acts irreducibly on  $U$ . Note that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$  is of infinite type. By the classification theorem of Lie algebras of infinite type ([2] or [4]),  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$  must be equal to  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$  or  $\mathfrak{csp}(U)$ . Thus we have  $\mathbf{C}_L = \mathbf{C}$ .

Next let  $L = L_{\text{ct}}(U)$ . Put  $L/L_{-1} = U'$ . Then  $U'$  is a one dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{gl}(U')$ , which contains  $\mathbf{C}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{C}_L = \mathbf{C}$ . q. e. d.

Using Proposition 6.1, we can verify the following proposition originally proved by V. Guillemin [1].

**Proposition 6.5.** *The commutator ring of  $L[W^*]$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$ , is isomorphic to  $S(W^*)$ .*

*Outline of proof.* We will regard  $L$  as imbedded in  $L[W^*]$ . Let  $\rho$  be an element of  $\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$ . We will denote by  $\{f^\alpha\}$  the monomial basis in  $S(W^*)$ . If  $x \in L$ , then we can write

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \rho_\alpha(x) f^\alpha, \quad \rho_\alpha(x) \in L,$$

where  $\rho_\alpha$  depends linearly on  $x$ . Since  $\rho$  is an element of  $\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$ , we clearly obtain  $\rho_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_L$ . By Proposition 6.1,  $\rho_\alpha$  is an element of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence we can write

$$\rho(x) = x \otimes \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \rho_\alpha f^\alpha, \quad \text{for all } x \in L.$$

Since  $L$  is simple, we have  $[L, L[W^*]] = L[W^*]$ . Hence if  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$ , it is determined completely by its restriction to  $L$ . The isomorphism between  $\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$  and  $S(W^*)$  is given by  $\rho \mapsto \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \rho_\alpha f^\alpha$ . This completes the proof. q. e. d.

By Proposition 6.5,  $\text{Der}(\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]})$  is identified with  $\text{Der}(S(W^*))$ . Now we have a homomorphism:  $l: \text{Der}(S(W^*)) \rightarrow \text{Der}(L[W^*])$ . Let  $X \in \text{Der}(L[W^*])$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$ . Then  $X \circ \rho - \rho \circ X$  is an element of  $\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$ . We denote this element of  $\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}$  by  $L_X \rho$ . By an easy consideration, the mapping  $X \mapsto L_X$  is a homomorphism of  $\text{Der}(L[W^*])$  into  $\text{Der}(\mathcal{C}_{L[W^*]}) = \text{Der}(S(W^*))$ . Hence there is a natural homomorphism

$$L: \text{Der}(L[W^*]) \longrightarrow \text{Der}(S(W^*)).$$

It is easy to see that  $L \circ l = \text{identity}$ , which implies that a homomorphism  $L$  is surjective. Since any elements of the kernel of  $L$  are  $S(W^*)$ -linear mappings, the kernel of  $L$  is identified with the set of all mappings  $c: L \rightarrow L[W^*]$  satisfying the identity

$$c[x, y] = [c(x), y] + [x, c(y)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

We denote this set by  $\text{Der}(L, L[W^*])$ .

Summarizing the above remarks, we have

**Proposition 6.6** (V. Guillemin [1]). *There is a split exact sequence of Lie algebras:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Der}(L, L[W^*]) \longrightarrow \text{Der}(L[W^*]) \xrightarrow{L} \text{Der}(S(W^*)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$\longleftarrow \downarrow$$

**6.3.** In this sub-section, we will determine the first cohomology group  $H^1(L[W^*])$ . By Proposition 6.6, we have a natural isomorphism:

$$\text{Der}(L[W^*]) \cong \text{Der}(L, L[W^*]) + \text{Der}(S(W^*)) \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

The space  $\text{Der}(S(W^*))$  is canonically identified with  $D(W)$ , the Lie algebra of all formal vector fields over  $W$ . Hence it suffices to determine  $\text{Der}(L, L[W^*])$  for calculating  $\text{Der}(L[W^*])$ .

Let  $x \in L$  and  $c \in \text{Der}(L, L[W^*])$ . We denote by  $f^\alpha$  the basis of  $S(W^*)$  consisting of monomials. Then we can write:

$$c(x) = \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} x_\alpha \otimes f^\alpha, \quad x_\alpha \in L.$$

Put  $x_\alpha = c_\alpha(x)$ . Then  $c_\alpha$  is a linear mapping of  $L$  into itself. For  $x, y \in L$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c[x, y] &= \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} c_\alpha[x, y] \otimes f^\alpha = [c(x), y] + [x, c(y)] \\ &= \left[ \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} c_\alpha(x) \otimes f^\alpha, y \right] + \left[ x, \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} c_\alpha(y) \otimes f^\alpha \right] \\ &= \prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} ([c_\alpha(x), y] + [x, c_\alpha(y)]) \otimes f^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $c_\alpha[x, y] = [c_\alpha(x), y] + [x, c_\alpha(y)]$ , which implies that  $c_\alpha$  is an element of  $\text{Der}(L)$ . By Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique element  $z_\alpha$  of  $L$  (resp.  $L + \mathbb{C}e$ ) such that  $c_\alpha = \text{ad}(z_\alpha)$  for  $L = D(U)$  or  $L_{\text{ct}}(U)$  (resp.  $L = L_{\text{st}}(U)$  or  $L_{\text{sp}}(U)$ ). Thus we have  $c = \text{ad}(\prod_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} z_\alpha \otimes f^\alpha)$ . Here the symbol  $e$  denotes a unit matrix, i.e. a basis of one dimensional center of  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ . Now we easily obtain the following isomorphism:

$$\text{Der}(L[W^*]) \cong \begin{cases} L[W^*] + D(W) & \text{for } L = D(U) \text{ or } L_{\text{ct}}(U) \\ (L[W^*] + S(W^*) \otimes e) + D(W) & \text{for } L = L_{\text{st}}(U) \text{ or } L_{\text{sp}}(U). \end{cases}$$

Since  $L[W^*]$  has no non-trivial center, the space  $\text{ad}(L[W^*])$  of all inner derivations of  $L[W^*]$  is naturally isomorphic to  $L[W^*]$ .

Summarizing the above results, we have proved:

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $D(W)$  be a Lie algebra of all formal vector fields over  $W$  and let  $e$  be a basis of one dimensional center of  $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ . Then we have the following isomorphism:*

$$H^1(L[W^*]) \cong \begin{cases} D(W) & \text{for } L=D(U) \text{ or } L_{ct}(U) \\ D(W)+S(W^*)\otimes e & \text{for } L=L_{st}(U) \text{ or } L_{sp}(U). \end{cases}$$

### References

- [1] Guillemin, V., A Jordan-Hölder decomposition for certain class of infinite dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Differential Geometry*, **2** (1968), 313-345.
- [2] Guillemin, V., Quillen, D. and Sternberg, S., The classification of the complex primitive infinite pseudogroups, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **55** (1966), 687-690.
- [3] Kanie, Y., Some Lie algebras of vector fields and their derivations; Case of partially classical type, (to appear in *Nagoya Math. J.*).
- [4] Kobayashi, S. and Nagano, T., On filtered Lie algebras and geometric structures, III and IV, *J. Math. Mech.*, **14** (1965), 679-706 and **15** (1966), 163-175.
- [5] Morimoto, T., The derivation algebras of the classical infinite Lie algebras, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **16** (1976), 1-24.
- [6] ———, On the intransitive Lie algebras whose transitive parts are infinite and primitive, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **29** (1977), 35-65.