

Homotopy Classification of Connected Sums of Sphere Bundles over Spheres, II

By

Hiroyasu ISHIMOTO*

Introduction

In the classification problems of manifolds, the connected sums of sphere bundles over spheres appear frequently. For example, we can see those in [6], [7], and [13]. Motivated by those, in the preceding paper [8], we classified the connected sums consisting of sphere bundles over spheres which admit cross-sections up to homotopy equivalence.

In this paper, as promised previously, we investigate the general case. And, under some assumptions on dimensions, i.e. in metastable range, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for two connected sums of sphere bundles over spheres to be homotopy equivalent, by extending the results of James-Whitehead [10] and using the handlebody theory of Wall [14] and Ishimoto [5]. Applications of the main theorem to special cases will appear in the subsequent paper.

Let B_i , $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, be p -sphere bundles over q -spheres ($p, q > 1$), and let \bar{B}_i , $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, be the associated $(p+1)$ -disk bundles. It is understood that each B_i , or \bar{B}_i , also denotes the total space of the bundle and has the oriented differentiable structure induced from those of the fibre and the base space. If $p \geq q$, each B_i admits a cross-section, and the homotopy classification of the connected sums of such bundles has been completed in [8]. So, we assume that $p < q - 1$. The torsion case that $p = q - 1$ is excluded from this paper and the problem is still open. We denote the characteristic element of B_i by $\alpha(B_i)$ or simply by α_i and we put $\varepsilon_i = \pi_*(\alpha_i)$, where $\pi_*: \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S^p)$ is the homomorphism induced from the projection $\pi: SO_{p+1} \rightarrow SO_{p+1}/SO_p = S^p$.

The boundary connected sum $\natural_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$ can be considered as a handlebody of

Communicated by N. Shimada, February 27, 1981.

* Department of Mathematics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920, Japan.

$\mathcal{H}(m+1, r, q)$, $m=p+q$, and the connected sum $\#_{i=1}^r B_i$ is its boundary. In general, $\#_{i=1}^r B_i$ may have various representations into the connected sums of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres up to diffeomorphism. In fact, we can observe it using the handlebody theory as follows.

Let W be a handlebody of $\mathcal{H}(m+1, r, q)$, $m=p+q$, and assume that $2p > q > 1$. Let $\phi: H \times H \rightarrow \pi_q(S^{p+1})$, $H = H_q(W)$, be the pairing defined by Wall [14], and let $\alpha: H \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})$ be the map assigning to each $x \in H \cong \pi_q(W)$ the characteristic element of the normal bundle of the imbedded q -sphere which represents x . α is a quadratic form with the associated homomorphism $\partial \circ \phi$, where $\partial: \pi_q(S^{p+1}) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})$ belongs to the homotopy exact sequence of the fibering $SO_{p+1} \rightarrow SO_{p+2} \rightarrow S^{p+1}$. ([14], p. 257). A base $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$ of the free abelian group H is called *admissible* if $\phi(w_i, w_j) = 0$ for all i, j ($i \neq j$). If H has an admissible base $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r\}$, then W can be represented as a boundary connected sum of $(p+1)$ -disk bundles over q -spheres with the characteristic elements $\alpha(w_i)$, $i=1, 2, \dots, r$. For, we can take the imbedded q -spheres which represent w_i , $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, to be disjoint (cf. Ishimoto [5]). Hence, by tying the tubular neighbourhoods of such imbedded q -spheres with thin bands in W , and by the h -cobordism theorem, we know that W is diffeomorphic ($m > 4$) to such a boundary connected sum of disk bundles over spheres.

Thus, the representations of $W = \natural_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$ into the boundary connected sums of $(p+1)$ -disk bundles over q -spheres correspond with the admissible bases of $H = H_q(W)$. Since $H_q(\partial W) \cong H_q(W)$ if $p \neq q-1$, q , we obtain various representations of $\partial W = \#_{i=1}^r B_i$ into the connected sums of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres associated with the admissible bases of $H \cong H_q(\partial W)$.

In Section 2, it is shown that Wall's pairing is a homotopy invariant of the boundary of the handlebody if $p \neq q-1$. That is,

Proposition 1. *Let W, W' be handlebodies of $\mathcal{H}(p+q+1, r, q)$ and assume that $2p > q > 1$ and $p \neq q-1$. If there exists a homotopy equivalence $f: \partial W \rightarrow \partial W'$ which preserves orientation, then for the isomorphism $h = i'_* \circ f_* \circ i_*^{-1}: H_q(W) \rightarrow H_q(W')$, we have $\phi = \phi' \circ (h \times h)$, where ϕ, ϕ' are Wall's pairings of W, W' and i, i' are inclusion maps of $\partial W, \partial W'$ into W, W' , respectively.*

If $p \geq q$, the proposition is trivial since $\phi = \phi' = 0$. Hence, it makes sense for $p \leq q-1$. Note that $\phi(x, x) = (E \circ \pi_*)(\alpha(x))$ by [14], where E is the suspension homomorphism. Immediately we have the following.

Corollary 2. *Under the above assumptions on p, q , if ∂W has the homotopy*

type of $\#_{i=1}^r B_i$, a connected sum consisting of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres, then W is represented into a boundary connected sum of $(p+1)$ -disk bundles over q -spheres, and hence ∂W into a connected sum of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres. Furthermore, if s bundles in $B_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, admit cross-sections, ∂W is represented into a connected sum of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres in which s bundles admit cross-sections.

Corollary 3. Under the above assumptions on p, q , if $H=H_q(W)$ has no admissible bases, then ∂W never has the homotopy type of a connected sum of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres.

Let ω be an element of $\pi_{q-1}(S^p)$. We have the following homomorphisms

$$\pi_{p+q-1}(S^{q-1}) \xrightarrow{\omega_*} \pi_{p+q-1}(S^p) \xleftarrow{J} \pi_{q-1}(SO_p) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1}),$$

where ω_* is defined by the composition with ω, J is the J -homomorphism, and i_* is induced from the inclusion. Let $G(\omega)=i_*(J^{-1}(\text{Im } \omega_*))$ (James-Whitehead [9]).

Let H, ϕ, α and $\varepsilon=\pi_*\alpha$ be the invariants of $W=\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$, where ε is a quadratic form with the associated homomorphism $\pi_*\circ\partial\circ\phi$. We note that if $p \neq q-1, q$, then $(H; \phi, \alpha)$ is determined from $\partial W=\#_{i=1}^r B_i$. In fact, $H=H_q(W) \cong H_q(\partial W), \alpha(w_i)=\alpha(B_i), i=1, 2, \dots, r$, where $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ is the canonical basis of H represented by zero cross-sections of $\bar{B}_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, and $\phi(w_i, w_j)=0$ if $i \neq j, \phi(w_i, w_i)=E\pi_*\alpha(B_i)$ for each i, j . Let $B'_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r'$, be another set of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres ($p \neq q-1$). If $\#_{i=1}^r B_i$ has the homotopy type of $\#_{i=1}^{r'} B'_i$, then $r=r'$ by those homological aspect. Therefore, we assume that $r=r'$ henceforth. Similarly define H', ϕ', α' , and ε' for $W'=\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}'_i$. Let α_i, α'_i be the characteristic elements of B_i, B'_i respectively and put $\varepsilon_i=\pi_*(\alpha_i), \varepsilon'_i=\pi_*(\alpha'_i), i=1, 2, \dots, r$. We obtain the following.

Theorem 4. Let $q/2 < p < q-1$. Then, the connected sums $\#_{i=1}^r B_i, \#_{i=1}^r B'_i$ are of the same oriented homotopy type if and only if $\varepsilon_i=\varepsilon'_i$ and $\{\alpha_i\}=\{\alpha'_i\}$ in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon_i)=\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon'_i)$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ "modulo representations". More precisely, they are of the same oriented homotopy type if and only if there exist the admissible bases $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}, \{w'_1, \dots, w'_r\}$ of H, H' respectively such that

- (i) $\varepsilon(w_i)=\varepsilon'(w'_i), i=1, 2, \dots, r$, (i.e. $\varepsilon \cong \varepsilon'$) and
- (ii) $\{\alpha(w_i)\}=\{\alpha'(w'_i)\}$ in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon(w_i))=\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon'(w'_i)), i=1, 2, \dots, r$.

If all $B_i, B'_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, admit cross-sections, then $\phi = \phi' = 0$. So, any bases of H, H' are admissible, α, α' are the homomorphisms, and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' = 0$. Furthermore, $G(0) = i_* J^{-1}(0)$ induces $i_* \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)/G(0) \cong J\pi_{q-1}(SO_p)/P\pi_q(S^p)$, where $P = [\ , \ \iota_p]$ and ι_p is the orientation generator of $\pi_p(S^p)$ (cf. [10], p. 152). Hence, we have Theorem 1 of [8] for $p < q - 1$.

Proposition 1 is proved in Section 2, and using it Theorem 4 is proved in Section 4 and Section 5.

§ 1. Cell Structure and Linking Elements

Let $W = D^{m+1} \cup_{\{\varphi_i\}} \{ \cup_{i=1}^r D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1} \}$ be a handlebody of $\mathcal{H}(m+1, r, q)$, $m = p + q$, $p, q > 1$, where $\varphi_i: \partial D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1} \rightarrow \partial D^{m+1}$, $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, are the disjoint imbeddings. Let $Y = S^m - \cup_{i=1}^r \text{Int } \varphi_i(S_i^{q-1} \times D_i^{p+1})$. Then $\partial W = Y \cup_{\{\bar{\varphi}_i\}} \{ \cup_{i=1}^r D_i^q \times S_i^p \}$, where $\bar{\varphi}_i = \varphi_i|_{S_i^{q-1} \times S_i^p}$, $i=1, 2, \dots, r$. Let $\tilde{S}_i^p \subset \text{Int } Y$ be the imbedded p -sphere slightly moved from $x_i \times S_i^p$, $x_i \in \partial D_i^q$, where $i=1, 2, \dots, r$. We join \tilde{S}_i^p , $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, by r arcs in $\text{Int } Y$ from a fixed point and take a thin closed neighbourhood N . N has the homotopy type of $\vee_{i=1}^r S_i^p$.

By the Alexander duality theorem, we have

$$H_i(N) \cong H_i(Y) \quad \text{if } i < m - 1,$$

and, since N, Y are simply connected,

$$\pi_i(N) \cong \pi_i(Y) \quad \text{if } i < m - 2,$$

where the isomorphisms are induced from the inclusion map. So that, $H_i(Y, N) \cong 0$ for $i < m - 1$, and therefore by the homology exact sequence of $(\partial W, Y, N)$, we have

$$H_i(\partial W, N) \cong H_i(\partial W, Y) \quad \text{if } i < m - 1.$$

Here, by the excision theorem,

$$H_i(\partial W, Y) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} + \dots + \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } i = q, m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $[D_i^q \times y_i]$, $y_i \in S_i^p$, $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, form a basis of $H_q(\partial W, Y)$. Hence, noting that N, Y and ∂W are simply connected and $H_i(\partial W, N) \cong H_i(\partial W, Y) \cong 0$ for $i < q$, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_q(\partial W, N) &\cong H_q(\partial W, N), \\ \pi_q(\partial W, Y) &\cong H_q(\partial W, Y), \end{aligned}$$

by the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem.

Let $V = \partial W - \text{Int } D^m$ and we may assume that $N \subset \text{Int } V$. Then, by the homology exact sequence of $(\partial W, V, N)$,

$$H_i(V, N) \cong H_i(\partial W, N) \quad \text{if } i < m,$$

and similarly as above,

$$\pi_q(V, N) \cong H_q(V, N).$$

Thus, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H_q(V, N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_q(\partial W, N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_q(\partial W, Y) \\
 \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\
 \pi_q(V, N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_q(\partial W, N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_q(\partial W, Y) \\
 \searrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial \\
 & & \pi_{q-1}(N) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_{q-1}(Y) \\
 & & \uparrow \cong & \nearrow \cong & \\
 & & \pi_{q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) & &
 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal isomorphisms are all induced from the inclusion maps.

We note that $H_{m-1}(\partial W, N) \cong 0$ by the homology exact sequence of $(\partial W, N)$ and $H_m(V, N) \cong 0$. So that, $H_i(V, N) \cong 0$ if $i \neq q$. Let $m > 5$. Then, by [12] or applying Theorem 7.6 and 7.8 of [11] to the triad $(V'; \partial N, S^{m-1})$, where $V' = V - \text{Int } N$, we obtain the q -handles T_i in V' , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, such that the homology classes $[T_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, form the basis of $H_q(V', \partial N) \cong H_q(V, N)$ which corresponds to the basis $\{[D_i^q \times y_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ of $H_q(\partial W, Y)$. We may identify V with $N \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_r$.

Henceforth, we assume that $2p > q > 1$ and $m > 5$. Let $\lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{ij} \in \pi_{q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) = \sum_{i=1}^r \pi_{q-1}(S_i^p)$ be the linking element of the link $\{\cup_{i=1}^r \varphi_i(S_i^{q-1} \times o)\} \cup \varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times y_j) \subset S^m$ defined by $\varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times y_j) \subset S^m - \cup_{i=1}^r \varphi_i(S_i^{q-1} \times o) \simeq Y$. $\lambda_{ij} \in \pi_{q-1}(S_i^p)$ coincides with the linking element of the link $\varphi_i(S_i^{q-1} \times o) \cup \varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times o) \subset S^m$ defined by $\varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times o) \subset S^m - \varphi_i(S_i^{q-1} \times o) \simeq S_i^p$ ($i \neq j$). λ_{jj} coincides with the linking element of the link $\varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times o) \cup \varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times y_j) \subset S^m$ and is called the self-linking element of $\varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times o)$. Note that $\lambda_{jj} = \pi_* \alpha(w_j)$, where w_j is the basis element of $H_q(W) \cong H_q(W, D^{m+1})$ determined by $[D_j^q \times o]$. Let $v_j \in \pi_{q-1}(Y)$ be the homotopy class of $\varphi_j|_{S_j^{q-1} \times y_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then, in the above diagram, v_j corresponds to λ_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Hence, by com-

mutativity of the diagram, the attaching map of the q -axis of T_j is given by $\lambda_j, j=1, 2, \dots, r$. Thus, we have

Lemma 1.1. *Let $W=D^{m+1} \cup_{\{\varphi_i\}} \{\cup_{i=1}^r D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1}\}$ be a handlebody of $\mathcal{H}(m+1, r, q)$, where $m=p+q$ and $\varphi_i: \partial D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1} \rightarrow \partial D^{m+1}, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, are disjoint imbeddings. We assume that $2p>q>1$ and $(p, q) \neq (2, 3)$. Then, ∂W has the homotopy type of*

$$\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j^q\right) \cup D^m$$

and the attaching map of each D_j^q is given by $\lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{ij} \in \pi_{q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) = \sum_{i=1}^r \pi_{q-1}(S_i^p)$, where each λ_{ij} is the linking element of the link $\varphi_i(S_i^{q-1} \times o) \cup \varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times o) \subset S^m (i \neq j)$ and λ_{jj} is the self-linking element of $\varphi_j(S_j^{q-1} \times o) \subset S^m, i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$.

Remark. In each additional case for $m=4, 5$, the lemma holds trivially since ∂W is represented as a connected sum of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres which admit cross-sections.

§ 2. Proof of Proposition 1

Let W, W' be the handlebodies of $\mathcal{H}(m+1, r, q), m=p+q$, and assume that $1 < p < q-1$ or $p > q > 1$. Let $W'=D'^{m+1} \cup_{\{\varphi'_i\}} \{\cup_{i=1}^r D_i'^q \times D_i'^{p+1}\}$ be a representation, where $\varphi'_i: \partial D_i'^q \times D_i'^{p+1} \rightarrow \partial D'^{m+1}, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, are disjoint imbeddings. By the assumption on p, q , we know that $H_k(\partial W') \cong 0$ if $k \neq 0, p, q, m, H_p(\partial W')$ has the basis $u'_i = [x'_i \times S_i'^p], i=1, 2, \dots, r$, and $H_q(\partial W')$ has the basis $v'_j, j=1, 2, \dots, r$, which corresponds to $[D_j'^q \times o] \in H_q(W', D'^{m+1}), j=1, 2, \dots, r$, under the isomorphisms induced from the inclusion maps $H_q(\partial W') \cong H_q(W') \cong H_q(W', D'^{m+1})$. We call $\{u'_1, \dots, u'_r\}, \{v'_1, \dots, v'_r\}$ to be the bases associated with the handles of W' .

Lemma 2.1. *For any homotopy equivalence $f: \partial W \rightarrow \partial W'$ which preserves orientation, there exists a representation $W=D^{m+1} \cup_{\{\varphi_i\}} \{\cup_{i=1}^r D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1}\}$, where $\varphi_i: \partial D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1} \rightarrow \partial D^{m+1}, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, are disjoint imbeddings, such that $f_*(u_i) = u'_i, f_*(v_j) = v'_j$ for $i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$. Here, $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}, \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ are the bases of $H_p(\partial W), H_q(\partial W)$ respectively associated with the handles of W .*

Proof. Let $\tilde{u}_i = f_*^{-1}(u'_i), \tilde{v}_j = f_*^{-1}(v'_j), i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$, and let $\tilde{w}_j = i_*(\tilde{v}_j), j=1, 2, \dots, r$, where $i_*: H_q(\partial W) \cong H_q(W)$ is induced from the inclusion map.

We represent W by the basis $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_r\}$ (cf. Milnor [11], Theorem 7.6). So, we have a representation $W = D^{m+1} \cup_{\{\varphi_i\}} \{\cup_{i=1}^r D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1}\}$. Then, clearly $i_*(v_j) = \tilde{w}_j = i_*(\tilde{v}_j)$ and therefore $\tilde{v}_j = v_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Furthermore, $\tilde{u}_i \cdot \tilde{v}_j = \delta_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and $u_i \cdot \tilde{v}_j = \partial[x_i \times D_i^{p+1}] \cdot \tilde{v}_j = [x_i \times D_i^{p+1}] \cdot (i_*(\tilde{v}_j)) = [x_i \times D_i^{p+1}] \cdot \tilde{w}_j = \delta_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Hence, $\tilde{u}_i = u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Now, we prove Proposition 1. If $p \geq q$, the assertion holds trivially. So, we assume that $2p > q > 1$ and $p < q - 1$. Let $f: \partial W \rightarrow \partial W'$ be a homotopy equivalence which preserves orientation. Let $W = D^{m+1} \cup_{\{\varphi_i\}} \{\cup_{i=1}^r D_i^q \times D_i^{p+1}\}$ be the representation given by Lemma 2.1. Then, by Lemma 1.1, we have the following diagram commutative up to homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial W & \xrightarrow[\cong]{f} & \partial W' \\ \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ (\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \cup_{\{\lambda_j\}} (\bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j^q) \cup D^m & \xrightarrow[\cong]{g} & (\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \cup_{\{\lambda'_j\}} (\bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j^{q'}) \cup D'^m \end{array}$$

It may be assumed that $g((\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \cup_{\{\lambda_j\}} (\bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j^q)) \subset (\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \cup_{\{\lambda'_j\}} (\bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j^{q'})$ and each $g|S_i^p$ is the identity ($S_i^p, S_i^{p'}$ are copies of S^p) since $f_*(u_i) = u'_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Hence, we have the following commutative diagram, where we put $X = (\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \cup_{\{\lambda_j\}} (\bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j^q) \cup D^m$ and $X' = (\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \cup_{\{\lambda'_j\}} (\bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j^{q'}) \cup D'^m$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_q(\partial W) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_q(\partial W') \\ \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ H_q(X) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & H_q(X') \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_q(X, \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_*} & H_q(X', \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \\ \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ \pi_q(X, \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_*} & \pi_q(X', \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \\ \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial \\ \pi_{q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) & \xrightarrow{(\partial|_{\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p})_* = 1} & \pi_{q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \end{array}$$

Note that each v_j, v'_j correspond to $[D_j^q] \in H_q(X, \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p), [D_j^{q'}] \in H_q(X', \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'})$ respectively. $\{D_j^q\} \in \pi_q(X, \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p), \{D_j^{q'}\} \in \pi_q(X', \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'})$ correspond to $[D_j^q], [D_j^{q'}]$ under the Hurewicz isomorphisms. Then, since $f_*(v_i)$

$=v'_j, j=1, 2, \dots, r$, we know that $\lambda_j = \partial\{D_j^q\} = \partial\bar{g}_*\{D_j^q\} = \partial\{D_j'^q\} = \lambda'_j, j=1, 2, \dots, r$. So that, $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{ij}$ for all $i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$. On the other hand, $E\lambda_{ij} = \phi(w_i, w_j), E\lambda'_{ij} = \phi'(w'_i, w'_j)$ by Lemma 7 of Wall [14], where E is the suspension homomorphism, $w_j = i_*(v_j), w'_j = i'_*(v'_j), j=1, 2, \dots, r$, and i_*, i'_* are the isomorphisms induced from inclusion maps. Therefore, $\phi(w_i, w_j) = \phi'(w'_i, w'_j), i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$, and this yields $\phi(w_i, w_j) = \phi' \circ (h \times h)(w_i, w_j), i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$, where $h = i'_* \circ f_* \circ i_*^{-1}$. This completes the proof.

Let $B_i, B'_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, be p -sphere bundles over q -spheres ($p, q > 1$) with the characteristic elements $\alpha_i, \alpha'_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, respectively. Then, $\#_{i=1}^r B_i$ has the homotopy type of $X = (\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i^q) \cup D^{p+q}$, and $\#_{i=1}^r B'_i$ the homotopy type of $X' = (\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i'^p) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i'^q) \cup D'^{p+q}$, where each $D_i^q, D_i'^q$ are attached to $S_i^p, S_i'^p$ by $\varepsilon_i = \pi_*\alpha_i, \varepsilon'_i = \pi'_*\alpha'_i$ respectively (cf. [8] § 1). Let $p < q - 1$. Let $\{u_i; i=1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be the basis of $H_p(\#_{i=1}^r B_i)$ represented by the fibres of $B_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r$. Since $H_q(\#_{i=1}^r B_i) \cong H_q(\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i)$, the zero cross-sections of $\bar{B}_i, i=1, 2, \dots, r$, determine the basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ of $H_q(\#_{i=1}^r B_i)$. u_i corresponds to $[S_i^p] \in H_p(X)$ and v_i to $[D_i^q] \in H_q(X), i=1, 2, \dots, r$. Those are the bases associated with handles if we consider $\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$ to be a handlebody. Similarly define $\{u'_i; i=1, 2, \dots, r\}, \{v'_i; i=1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for $\#_{i=1}^r B'_i$. Then, the above diagram and a similar argument will show the following, where $\pi_{q-1}(S_i^p), \pi_{q-1}(S_i'^p)$ are direct summands of $\pi_{q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p), \pi_{q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i'^p)$ respectively, $i=1, 2, \dots, r$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < q - 1$. If there exists a map $f: \#_{i=1}^r B_i \rightarrow \#_{i=1}^r B'_i$ such that $f_*(u_i) = u'_i, f_*(v_j) = v'_j, i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$, then $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon'_i$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, r$. Here, if $p \geq q$ the assertion is trivial.*

§ 3. Difference of Bundles

Let B_i, B'_i be p -sphere bundles over q -spheres with the characteristic elements α_i, α'_i respectively, $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, and assume that $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon'_i$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pi_*(\alpha_i), \varepsilon'_i = \pi'_*(\alpha'_i)$. Let S_i^p, p_i , and S_i^q be respectively the fixed fibre, the projection, and the base space of B_i . Define $S_i'^p, p'_i$, and $S_i'^q$ similarly for B'_i . In the disjoint union of B_i and B'_i , identify S_i^p with $S_i'^p$. Then, we have a p -sphere bundle over $S_i^q \vee S_i'^q$, where $S_i^q, S_i'^q$ are identified at $s_i = p_i(S_i^p) = p'_i(S_i'^p)$. Since B_i, B'_i are included in this bundle as subspaces, we may denote it by $B_i \cup B'_i$ (cf. [10], p. 156).

Let $g_i: S^q \rightarrow S_i^q \vee S_i^q$ be a map representing $\iota_q^i - \iota_q^{\prime i} \in \pi_q(S_i^q \vee S_i^q)$, where $\iota_q^i, \iota_q^{\prime i}$ are the orientation generators of $\pi_q(S_i^q), \pi_q(S_i^q)$ respectively. The induced bundle $A_i = g_i^*(B_i \cup B_i')$ has the characteristic element $\alpha_i - \alpha_i'$ and admits a cross-section since $\pi_*(\alpha_i - \alpha_i') = \pi_*(\alpha_i) - \pi_*(\alpha_i') = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_i' = 0$ by the above assumption. Let $h_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i \cup B_i'$ be the bundle map which covers g_i . A fixed fibre $S_{A_i}^p$ of A_i is oriented so that $h_i|S_{A_i}^p: S_{A_i}^p \rightarrow S_i^p = S_i^p$ is of degree 1, and A_i is oriented by the orientations of $S_{A_i}^p$ and S^q .

Let $S_{A_i}^q$ be the cross-section of A_i associated with $\xi_i \in \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$ satisfying $i_*(\xi_i) = \alpha_i - \alpha_i'$. Then, $A_i = (S_{A_i}^p \vee S_{A_i}^q) \cup e_{A_i}^{p+q}$ and the attaching map is given by $\partial\tau_i = \iota_p^{A_i} \circ \eta_i + [\iota_q^{A_i}, \iota_p^{A_i}]$, where $\eta_i = J\xi_i$ and τ_i is the orientation generator of $\pi_{p+q}(A_i, S_{A_i}^p \vee S_{A_i}^q)$ (cf. [9]). Hence, by Lemma 1.1 of [8],

$$(3.1) \quad \#_{i=1}^r A_i \simeq A = \left\{ \bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_{A_i}^p \vee S_{A_i}^q) \right\} \cup e_{A_i}^{p+q},$$

and the attaching map of the $(p+q)$ -cell is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \partial\tau = \sum_{i=1}^r (\iota_p^{A_i} \circ \eta_i + [\iota_q^{A_i}, \iota_p^{A_i}]),$$

where τ is the orientation generator of $\pi_{p+q}(A, \bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_{A_i}^p \vee S_{A_i}^q))$ and $\pi_{p+q-1}(S_{A_i}^p \vee S_{A_i}^q), i=1, 2, \dots, r$, are considered as direct summands of $\pi_{p+q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_{A_i}^p \vee S_{A_i}^q))$.

In $A_1 \# A_2 \# \dots \# A_r$, join every $(p+q-1)$ -sphere where connected sum is performed to the base points of the bundles neighboring at the $(p+q-1)$ -sphere by suitably chosen arcs. If we crush the $(p+q-1)$ -spheres and the arcs to a point, the yielding space can be considered as $\bigvee_{i=1}^r A_i$. Let $v: \#_{i=1}^r A_i \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r A_i$ be the collapsing map. Then, we have a map

$$h = (\bigvee_{i=1}^r h_i) \circ v: \#_{i=1}^r A_i \longrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r (B_i \cup B_i').$$

$\#_{i=1}^r A_i$ can be replaced by the complex A of (3.1) and h may be assumed to preserve the base point. We denote the map by the same symbol h .

B_i has the cell structure $B_i = S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^{p+q}$, where e_i^q is attached to S_i^p by $\iota_p^i \circ \varepsilon_i$. Here, ι_p^i is the orientation generator of $\pi_p(S_i^p)$. Let σ_i be the orientation generator of $\pi_{p+q}(B_i, S_i^p \cup e_i^q)$. Then, $\partial\sigma_i \in \pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^p \cup e_i^q)$ is represented by the attaching map of e_i^{p+q} . Similarly to Lemma 1.1 of [8], it is seen that

$$(3.3) \quad \#_{i=1}^r B_i \simeq B = \left\{ \bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q) \right\} \cup e^{p+q},$$

where each e_i^q is attached by $\iota_p^i \circ \varepsilon_i$, and

$$(3.4) \quad \partial\sigma = \partial\sigma_1 + \partial\sigma_2 + \cdots + \partial\sigma_r,$$

where σ is the orientation generator of $\pi_{p+q}(B, \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q))$ and each $\pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^p \cup e_i^q)$ is considered as a direct summand of $\pi_{p+q-1}(\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q))$. Let $B'_i = S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^{p+q}$ be the cell structure of B'_i . e_i^q is attached to S_i^p by $\iota_p^{i \circ \varepsilon'_i}$, where $\iota_p^{i \circ \varepsilon'_i}$ is the orientation generator of $\pi_p(S_i^p)$. Let σ'_i be the orientation generator of $\pi_{p+q}(B'_i, S_i^p \cup e_i^q)$.

Let $K = \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^q)$ be the subcomplex of $\vee_{i=1}^r (B_i \cup B'_i)$, where e_i^q, e_i^q are attached to $S_i^p = S_i^p$ by $\iota_p^{i \circ \varepsilon_i}$ and $\iota_p^{i \circ \varepsilon'_i}$ respectively. Then, it may be assumed that $h(\vee_{i=1}^r (S_{\lambda_i}^p \vee S_{\lambda_i}^q)) \subset K$ for the map $h: A \rightarrow \vee_{i=1}^r (B_i \cup B'_i)$. Let $\bar{h}: (A, \vee_{i=1}^r (S_{\lambda_i}^p \vee S_{\lambda_i}^q)) \rightarrow (\vee_{i=1}^r (B_i \cup B'_i), K)$. From the construction of h , we know

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{h}_*(\tau) = (\bar{\sigma}_1 - \bar{\sigma}'_1) + (\bar{\sigma}_2 - \bar{\sigma}'_2) + \cdots + (\bar{\sigma}_r - \bar{\sigma}'_r),$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_i$ is the image of σ_i by the homomorphism induced from the inclusion $(B_i, S_i^p \cup e_i^q) \subset (B_i \cup B'_i, S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^q)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, $\bar{\sigma}'_i$ is similar, and $\pi_{p+q}(B_i \cup B'_i, S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^q)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, are considered as the direct summands of $\pi_{p+q}(\vee_{i=1}^r (B_i \cup B'_i), K)$. Let $\delta_i = \partial\sigma_i$, and let $\bar{\delta}_i$ be the image of δ_i by the homomorphism induced from the inclusion $S_i^p \cup e_i^q \subset S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^q$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Define $\delta'_i, \bar{\delta}'_i$ similarly, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Here, $\pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^q)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, are understood as direct summands of $\pi_{p+q-1}(\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^q))$. Then,

$$\partial\bar{h}_*\tau = \partial \sum_{i=1}^r (\bar{\sigma}_i - \bar{\sigma}'_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r (\partial\bar{\sigma}_i - \partial\bar{\sigma}'_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r (\bar{\delta}_i - \bar{\delta}'_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{\delta}_i - \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{\delta}'_i,$$

and by (3.2),

$$\partial\bar{h}_*\tau = h_*\partial\tau = \sum_{i=1}^r h_*(\iota_p^{A_i \circ \eta_i} + [\iota_q^{A_i}, \iota_p^{A_i}]).$$

Hence, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{\delta}_i - \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{\delta}'_i = \sum_{i=1}^r h_*(\iota_p^{A_i \circ \eta_i} + [\iota_q^{A_i}, \iota_p^{A_i}]).$$

§4. Proof of the Necessity for Theorem 4

Let $B_i, B'_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, be p -sphere bundles over q -spheres with the characteristic elements α_i, α'_i respectively and assume that $q/2 < p < q - 1$. Let $f: \#_{i=1}^r B_i \rightarrow \#_{i=1}^r B'_i$ be a homotopy equivalence which preserves orientation.

Assertion 1. *There exists another expression of $\#_{i=1}^r B_i$ into a connected*

sum of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres $\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$ such that in the cell decompositions $\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i \simeq \bar{B} = \{ \vee_{i=1}^r (\bar{S}_i^p \cup \bar{e}_i^q) \} \cup \bar{e}^{p+q}$ and $\#_{i=1}^r B_i \simeq B = \{ \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^{q'}) \} \cup e'^{p+q}$, $f_* : H_*(\bar{B}) \rightarrow H_*(B')$ satisfies $f_*([\bar{S}_i^p]) = [S_i^{p'}]$, $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ and $f_*([\bar{e}_j^q]) = [e_j^{q'}]$, $j=1, 2, \dots, r$, where f may be assumed to satisfy $f(\vee_{i=1}^r \bar{S}_i^p) \subset \vee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}$ and $\bar{f} : (\bar{B}, \vee_{i=1}^r \bar{S}_i^p) \rightarrow (B', \vee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'})$ is the relativization of f .

Proof. Let $W = \natural_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$, $W' = \natural_{i=1}^r \bar{B}'_i$, and let $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ be the bases of $H_p(\partial W)$, $H_q(\partial W')$ respectively associated with the handles of W . Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a representation of W into such a handlebody that $f_*(u_i) = u'_i$, $f_*(v_j) = v'_j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ are bases of $H_p(\partial W)$, $H_q(\partial W)$ respectively associated with the new handles of W . Of course, $\{w'_j = i'_* v'_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$, the basis of $H_q(W')$ is admissible since $w'_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, are represented by zero cross-sections of $\bar{B}'_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Hence, by Proposition 1, the basis of $H_q(W)$, $\{w_j = i_* v_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ is admissible. Therefore, again W can be represented into a boundary connected sum of $(p+1)$ -disk bundles over q -spheres $\natural_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$ and ∂W into a connected sum of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres $\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i$. We note that in the above cell-decompositions, u_i, v_j, u'_i , and v'_j correspond to $[\bar{S}_i^p]$, $[\bar{e}_j^q]$, $[S_i^{p'}]$, and $[e_j^{q'}]$ respectively for each i, j . This completes the proof.

Assertion 2. Under the cell decompositions $\#_{i=1}^r B_i \simeq B = \{ \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q) \} \cup e^{p+q}$ and $\#_{i=1}^r B'_i \simeq B' = \{ \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^{q'}) \} \cup e'^{p+q}$, if $f_* : H_*(B) \rightarrow H_*(B')$ satisfies $f_*([S_i^p]) = [S_i^{p'}]$, $f_*([e_j^q]) = [e_j^{q'}]$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, then $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon'_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pi_* \alpha_i$, $\varepsilon'_i = \pi'_* \alpha'_i$, and $\{\alpha_i\} = \{\alpha'_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon_i) = \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon'_i)$.

Proof. The former half of the assertion is known immediately from Lemma 2.2. To prove the latter half, we apply Section 3. By the assumption, we may assume that f maps each S_i^p identically onto $S_i^{p'}$ (S_i^p and $S_i^{p'}$ can be identified by means of $\ell_p^{i \circ} (\ell_p^i)^{-1}$) and $f(\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q)) \subset \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^{q'})$. Let $f^0 = f|_{\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q)}$ and let $\rho : K \rightarrow \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^{q'})$ be the retraction defined by $\rho|_{\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q)} = f^0$ and $\rho|_{\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^{q'})} = \text{identity}$. Then, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) & \xrightarrow{k_*^0} & \pi_q(K) & \xrightarrow{l_*^0} & \pi_q(K, \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \\
 (4.1) \quad \downarrow \rho_* = 1 & & \downarrow \rho_* & & \downarrow \bar{\rho}_* \\
 \pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) & \xrightarrow{k_*'} & \pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^{q'})) & \xrightarrow{l_*'} & \pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^{q'}), \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'})
 \end{array}$$

where k^0 , l^0 , k' , and l' are inclusion maps and $\bar{\rho}$ is the relativization of ρ .

Let $j: (S_i^p \cup e_i^q, S_i^p) \rightarrow (\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q), \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p)$, $\bar{m}: (S_i^p \cup e_i^q, S_i^p) \rightarrow (K, \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p)$ be inclusion maps and let j' , \bar{m}' be similar for $(S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q, S_i'^p)$. Let $\kappa_q^i \in \pi_q(S_i^p \cup e_i^q, S_i^p) \cong H_q(S_i^p \cup e_i^q, S_i^p)$ be the generator corresponding to $[e_i^q]$ and define $\kappa_q'^i \in \pi_q(S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q, S_i'^p)$ similarly. Then, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{\rho}_* \bar{m}'_*(\kappa_q'^i) = j'_* \kappa_q'^i, \quad \bar{\rho}_* \bar{m}_*(\kappa_q^i) = j_* \kappa_q^i.$$

The former is clear since $\bar{\rho} \circ \bar{m}' = j'$. The latter is known from the following commutative diagram including the factorization of \bar{m}_* .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{m}_*: \pi_q(S_i^p \cup e_i^q, S_i^p) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q), \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \longrightarrow \pi_q(K, \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \\ & \downarrow j_* & \swarrow \bar{\rho}_* \\ \pi_q(S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q, S_i'^p) & \xrightarrow{j'_*} & \pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q), \bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i'^p), \end{array}$$

where $\bar{J}_*(j_* \kappa_q^i) = j'_* \kappa_q'^i$ since $\bar{J}_*[e_i^q] = [e_i'^q]$ by the assumption.

We apply the homomorphism $h_*: \pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_{A_i}^p \vee S_{A_i}^q)) \rightarrow \pi_q(K)$. $l_*^q h_* \kappa_q^{A_i} = \bar{m}_* \kappa_q^i - \bar{m}'_* \kappa_q'^i$ is clear from the definition of h . Hence, by (4.1) and (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} l'_*(\rho_* h_* \kappa_q^{A_i}) &= \bar{\rho}_*(l_*^q h_* \kappa_q^{A_i}) = \bar{\rho}_*(\bar{m}_* \kappa_q^i - \bar{m}'_* \kappa_q'^i) \\ &= \bar{\rho}_* \bar{m}_* \kappa_q^i - \bar{\rho}_* \bar{m}'_* \kappa_q'^i = j_* \kappa_q^i - j'_* \kappa_q'^i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$(4.3) \quad \rho_* h_* \kappa_q^{A_i} = k'_* \theta'_i \quad \text{for some } \theta'_i \in \pi_q(\bigvee_{j=1}^r S_j^p).$$

Then, applying ρ_* to (3.6) and by (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_* \bar{\delta}_i - \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_* \bar{\delta}'_i &= \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_* h_*(\kappa_p^{A_i} \circ \eta_i + [\kappa_q^{A_i}, \kappa_p^{A_i}]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (\rho_* h_* \kappa_p^{A_i} \circ \eta_i + [\rho_* h_* \kappa_q^{A_i}, \rho_* h_* \kappa_p^{A_i}]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (k'_* \kappa_p'^i \circ \eta_i + [k'_* \theta'_i, k'_* \kappa_p'^i]) = \sum_{i=1}^r k'_*(\kappa_p'^i \circ \eta_i + [\theta'_i, \kappa_p'^i]). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $\sigma \in \pi_{p+q}(B, \bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q))$, $\sigma' \in \pi_{p+q}(B', \bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q))$ be the orientation generators and let $\delta = \partial\sigma$, $\delta' = \partial\sigma'$. Since f is of degree 1

$$f_*^0 \delta = f_*^0 \partial\sigma = \partial f_* \sigma = \partial\sigma' = \delta',$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_* \bar{\delta}_i - \sum_{i=1}^r \rho_* \bar{\delta}'_i &= \sum_{i=1}^r f_*^0 \delta_i - \sum_{i=1}^r \delta'_i = f_*^0 (\sum_{i=1}^r \delta_i) - \sum_{i=1}^r \delta'_i \\ &= f_*^0 \delta - \delta' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r k'_* (\iota_p^{i \circ} \eta_i + [\theta'_i, \iota_p^{i \circ}]) = 0.$$

(i) Now, we assume that $2p > q + 1$. Then, $\pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \cong \pi_q(S_1^{p'}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_q(S_r^{p'})$ and we have the unique summation $\theta'_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \theta'_{ij}$, $\theta'_{ij} \in \pi_q(S_j^{p'})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Let $\theta'_{ij} = \iota_p^{j \circ} \theta_{ij}$, $\theta_{ij} \in \pi_q(S^p)$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_p^{i \circ} \eta_i + [\theta'_i, \iota_p^{i \circ}] &= \iota_p^{i \circ} \eta_i + \sum_{j=1}^r [\theta'_{ij}, \iota_p^{i \circ}] \\ &= \iota_p^{i \circ} \eta_i + \sum_{j=1}^r [\iota_p^{j \circ} \theta_{ij}, \iota_p^{i \circ}] \\ &= \iota_p^{i \circ} (\eta_i + [\theta_{ii}, \iota_p^{i \circ}]) + \sum_{j \neq i} [\iota_p^{j \circ} \theta_{ij}, \iota_p^{i \circ}], \end{aligned}$$

and by Barcus-Barratt [1] or G. W. Whitehead [15],

$$[\iota_p^{j \circ} \theta_{ij}, \iota_p^{i \circ}] = [\iota_p^{j \circ}, \iota_p^{i \circ}] \circ (-1)^{p+q} E^{p-1} \theta_{ij},$$

where θ_{ij} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, are the suspension elements. Hence, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \iota_p^{i \circ} \eta_i + [\theta'_i, \iota_p^{i \circ}] = \iota_p^{i \circ} (\eta_i + [\theta_{ii}, \iota_p^{i \circ}]) + \sum_{j \neq i} [\iota_p^{j \circ}, \iota_p^{i \circ}] \circ (-1)^{p+q} E^{p-1} \theta_{ij}.$$

Let $a_i = \iota_p^{i \circ} (\eta_i + [\theta_{ii}, \iota_p^{i \circ}])$, $\beta_{ji} = (-1)^{p+q} E^{p-1} \theta_{ij} + (-1)^q E^{p-1} \theta_{ji}$, and $b_{ji} = [\iota_p^{j \circ}, \iota_p^{i \circ}] \circ \beta_{ji}$, where $a_i \in \pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^{p'}) \subset \pi_{p+q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'})$ and $b_{ji} \in \pi_{p+q-1}(S_j^{p'} \vee S_i^{p'}) \subset \pi_{p+q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'})$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then, by (4.4) and (4.5), we know

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r k'_* a_i + \sum_{i < j} k'_* b_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for } k'_* : \pi_n(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^{p'}) \longrightarrow \pi_n(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^q)), \\ n = p + q - 1.$$

Here, each $k'_* a_i$ belongs to the direct summand $\pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^q)$. Now, assume temporarily that every $k'_* b_{ij}$ belongs to another direct summand independent of $\pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^q)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. This is the fact which will be shown in Assertion 3. Then, (4.6) yields $k'_* a_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{p+q-1}(S^p) & \xrightarrow{k_*} & \pi_{p+q-1}(S^p \cup e_i^q) \\ \cong \downarrow \iota_p^{i \circ} & & \cong \downarrow \mu_* \quad e_i^q \\ \pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^{p'}) & \xrightarrow{k'_*} & \pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^{p'} \cup e_i^q), \end{array}$$

where k_* is induced from the inclusion map and μ_* is the canonical isomorphism, we have

$$(4.7) \quad k_*(\eta_i + [\theta_{ii}, \epsilon_p]) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

where $\eta_i = J\xi_i$, $\xi_i \in \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$, and $i_*\xi_i = \alpha_i - \alpha'_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Here, $[\theta_{ii}, \epsilon_p] = -J\partial\theta_{ii}$, $\partial: \pi_q(S^p) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$. Let $\xi'_i = \xi_i - \partial\theta_{ii} \in \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$. Then, $k_*J\xi'_i = k_*(J\xi_i - J\partial\theta_{ii}) = k_*(\eta_i + [\theta_{ii}, \epsilon_p]) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Since $\text{Ker } k_* = \text{Im}(\epsilon'_i)_*$, $(\epsilon'_i)_* = \epsilon'^i_\circ: \pi_{p+q-1}(S^{q-1}) \rightarrow \pi_{p+q-1}(S^p)$ by (3.2) of James-Whitehead [10], $J\xi'_i$ belongs to $\text{Im}(\epsilon'_i)_*$, and $i_*\xi'_i = i_*(\xi_i - \partial\theta_{ii}) = i_*\xi_i = \alpha_i - \alpha'_i$. Hence, we know that $\alpha_i - \alpha'_i \in i_*(J^{-1}(\text{Im}(\epsilon'_i)_*)) = G(\epsilon'_i)$. That is, $\{\alpha_i\} = \{\alpha'_i\}$ in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\epsilon_i) = \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\epsilon'_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

(ii) Let $2p = q + 1$ ($p, q > 1$). Then, $\pi_q(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) = \sum_{j=1}^r \epsilon_p^j \circ \pi_q(S^p) \oplus \sum_{j < k} [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k] \circ \pi_q(S^{2p-1})$ by Hilton [4]. So that, we have the unique sum $\theta'_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \epsilon_p^j \circ \theta_{ij} + \sum_{j < k} [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k] \circ \theta_{ijk}$, where $\theta_{ij} \in \pi_q(S^p)$, $\theta_{ijk} \in \pi_q(S^{2p-1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ for any i, j, k . Therefore,

$$[\theta'_i, \epsilon_p^i] = \sum_{j=1}^r [\epsilon_p^j \circ \theta_{ij}, \epsilon_p^i] + \sum_{j < k} [[\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k] \circ \theta_{ijk}, \epsilon_p^i].$$

By (7.4) of Barcus-Barratt [1],

$$[\epsilon_p^j \circ \theta_{ij}, \epsilon_p^i] = [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^i] \circ (-1)^{p-1} E^{p-1} \theta_{ij} + [\epsilon_p^j, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^i]] \circ (-1)^p E^{p-1} H_0(\theta_{ij}),$$

where H_0 is the Hopf-Hilton homomorphism and the second term vanishes if p is odd since θ_{ij} becomes a suspension element. And,

$$\begin{aligned} [[\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k] \circ \theta_{ijk}, \epsilon_p^i] &= [[\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k], \epsilon_p^i] \circ E^{p-1} \theta_{ijk} \\ &= [\epsilon_p^i, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k]] \circ (-1)^p E^{p-1} \theta_{ijk}. \end{aligned}$$

So that, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} [\theta'_i, \epsilon_p^i] &= \epsilon_p^i \circ [\theta_{ii}, \epsilon_p^i] + \sum_{j \neq i} [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^i] \circ (-1)^{p-1} E^{p-1} \theta_{ij} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} [\epsilon_p^j, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^i]] \circ (-1)^p E^{p-1} H_0(\theta_{ij}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j < k} [\epsilon_p^i, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k]] \circ (-1)^p E^{p-1} \theta_{ijk}. \end{aligned}$$

Every Whitehead product of weight 3 is a linear combination of the Whitehead products $[\epsilon_p^i, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k]]$ such that $i \geq j < k$ by using the Jacobi identity (Hilton [4]). Hence,

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r (\epsilon_p^i \circ \eta_i + [\theta'_i, \epsilon_p^i]) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \epsilon_p^i \circ (\eta_i + [\theta_{ii}, \epsilon_p^i]) + \sum_{j < i} [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^i] \circ \beta_{ji} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq j < k} [\epsilon_p^i, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k]] \circ \gamma_{ijk}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_{ji} \in \pi_{3p-2}(S^{2p-1})$ ($j < i$) is defined as in (i) and γ_{ijk} ($i \geq j < k$) is a certain element of $\pi_{3p-2}(S^{3p-2}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.

Let $a_i = \epsilon_p^i \circ (\eta_i + [\theta_{ii}, \epsilon_p^i])$, $b_{ji} = [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^i] \circ \beta_{ji}$ as in (i), and let $c_{ijk} = [\epsilon_p^i, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k]] \circ \gamma_{ijk}$. Then, by (4.4) and (4.9), we know

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r k'_* a_i + \sum_{i < j} k'_* b_{ij} + \sum_{i \geq j < k} k'_* c_{ijk} = 0,$$

where $k'_* : \pi_{p+q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r S_i^p) \rightarrow \pi_{p+q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q))$, $q = 2p - 1$. Therefore, if we show that every $k'_* b_{ij}$ and every $k'_* c_{ijk}$ belong to the direct summands independent of $\pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^p \cup e_i^q)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, then $k'_* a_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ by (4.10), and we can complete the proof similarly as in (i).

Thus, the following will conclude the proof of Assertion 2.

Assertion 3. *Every $k'_* b_{ij}$ ($i < j$) and every $k'_* c_{ijk}$ ($i \geq j < k$) are included in a direct summand of $\pi_{p+q-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q))$ which is independent of $\pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^p \cup e_i^q)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.*

Proof. Let $X_t = S_t^p \cup e_t^q$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then, $\pi_n(\bigvee_{t=1}^r X_t) = \sum_{t=1}^r \pi_n(X_t) \oplus \partial\pi_{n+1}(\prod_{t=1}^r X_t, \bigvee_{t=1}^r X_t)$, $n = p + q - 1$. We have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S^{p+q-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{ij}} & S^{2p-1} & \xrightarrow{[\epsilon_p^i, \epsilon_p^j]} & S_i^p \vee S_j^p & \xrightarrow{k'} & X_i \vee X_j \subset \bigvee_{t=1}^r X_t \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^{p+q} & \xrightarrow{c(\beta_{ij})} & D^{2p} & \xrightarrow{[\epsilon_p^i \times \epsilon_p^j]} & S_i^p \times S_j^p & \xrightarrow{k'} & X_i \times X_j \subset \prod_{t=1}^r X_t, \end{array}$$

where vertical maps and k' are inclusions. Hence, $k'_* b_{ij}$ belongs to $\partial\pi_{n+1}(\prod_{t=1}^r X_t, \bigvee_{t=1}^r X_t)$ which is independent of $\pi_n(X_t)$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Generally, every basic product of weight ≥ 2 belongs to $\partial\pi_{n+1}(\prod_{t=1}^r S_t^p, \bigvee_{t=1}^r S_t^p)$. In fact, in the splitting exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\prod_{t=1}^r S_t^p, \bigvee_{t=1}^r S_t^p) &\xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_n(\bigvee_{t=1}^r S_t^p) \\ &\xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_n(\prod_{t=1}^r S_t^p) \cong \sum_{t=1}^r \pi_n(S_t^p) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

such Whitehead products are mapped to zero. So, for any basic product $[\epsilon_p^i, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k]]$ ($i \geq j < k$), there exists an element $\chi \in \pi_{n+1}(\prod_{t=1}^r S_t^p, \bigvee_{t=1}^r S_t^p)$ such that $[\epsilon_p^i, [\epsilon_p^j, \epsilon_p^k]] = \partial\chi$. Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 S^{p+q-1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ijk}} & S^{3p-2} & \xrightarrow{[\ell_p^i, [\ell_p^j, \ell_p^k]]} & \prod_{t=1}^r S_t^{p'} & \xrightarrow{k'} & \prod_{t=1}^r X_t \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 D^{p+q} & \xrightarrow{c(\gamma_{ijk})} & D^{3p-1} & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \prod_{t=1}^r S_t^{p'} & \xrightarrow{k'} & \prod_{t=1}^r X_t
 \end{array}$$

Hence, k'_*c_{ijk} belongs to $\partial\pi_{n+1}(\prod_{t=1}^r X_t, \vee_{t=1}^r X_t)$. This completes the proof.

Assertion 4. For “any” admissible basis $\{w'_1, \dots, w'_r\}$ of $H' = H_q(\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}'_i)$, there exists an admissible basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ of $H = H_q(\#_{i=1}^r \bar{B}_i)$ such that

- (i) $\varepsilon(w_i) = \varepsilon'(w'_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$
- (ii) $\{\alpha(w_i)\} = \{\alpha'(w'_i)\}$ in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon(w_i)) = \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon'(w'_i)),$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$

Proof. There exists another expression of $\#_{i=1}^r B'_i$ into a connected sum of p -sphere bundles over q -spheres $\#_{i=1}^r \tilde{B}'_i$ such that in the cell decomposition $\#_{i=1}^r \tilde{B}'_i \simeq \{\vee_{i=1}^r (\tilde{S}_i^{p'} \cup \tilde{e}_i^{q'})\} \cup \tilde{e}^{p+q}$, each homology class $[\tilde{e}_i^{q'}]$ corresponds to $w'_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$ Hence, Assertion 1 and Assertion 2 conclude the proof.

This completes the proof of the necessity for Theorem 4.

§ 5. Proof of the Sufficiency for Theorem 4

Let B_i, B'_i be p -sphere bundles over q -spheres ($2p > q > 1$) with the characteristic elements α_i, α'_i respectively and let $\varepsilon_i = \pi_*(\alpha_i), \varepsilon'_i = \pi_*(\alpha'_i)$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$ Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}, \{w'_1, \dots, w'_r\}$ be the admissible bases of H, H' respectively, satisfying

- (i) $\varepsilon(w_i) = \varepsilon'(w'_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r,$ and
- (ii) $\{\alpha(w_i)\} = \{\alpha'(w'_i)\}$ in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon(w_i)) = \pi_{q-1}(SO_{p+1})/G(\varepsilon'(w'_i)),$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$

By adopting the representations of the connected sums of given bundles using the admissible bases $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}, \{w'_1, \dots, w'_r\}$, we may assume that w_i, w'_i are represented by zero cross-sections of \bar{B}_i, \bar{B}'_i respectively, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$ Then, $\alpha(w_i) = \alpha_i, \alpha'(w'_i) = \alpha'_i, \varepsilon(w_i) = \varepsilon_i,$ and $\varepsilon'(w'_i) = \varepsilon'_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$ Hence, the proof is accomplished by directly extending that of James-Whitehead [10] ((1.5), p. 163).

Since $\alpha_i - \alpha'_i \in G(\varepsilon_i)$, there exists an element $\xi_i \in \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$ such that $i_*\xi_i = \alpha_i - \alpha'_i$ and $J\xi_i \in \text{Im}(\varepsilon_i)_*, i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$ By (3.2) of [10], the sequence

$$\pi_{p+q-1}(S^{q-1}) \xrightarrow{-(\varepsilon_i)_*} \pi_{p+q-1}(S^p) \xrightarrow{-(k_i)_*} \pi_{p+q-1}(S^p \cup_{\varepsilon_i} e^q)$$

is exact, where $(k_i)_*$ is induced from the inclusion. Hence, $J\xi_i \in \text{Im}(\varepsilon_i)_* = \text{Ker}(k_i)_*$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Let $B_i = S_i^p \cup e_i^q \cup e_i^{p+q}$, $B'_i = S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q \cup e_i'^{p+q}$ be the cell-decompositions given by (3.3) of [9], where $e_i^q, e_i'^q$ are attached by $\iota_p^{i \circ \varepsilon_i}, \iota_p^{i \circ \varepsilon'_i}$ respectively. We identify S^p canonically with $S_i^p, S_i'^p$ so that $\iota_p^i = \iota_p = \iota_p^i$. Since $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon'_i$, there exists a homotopy equivalence $g_i: S^p \cup e_i^q \rightarrow S^p \cup e_i'^q$ such that $g_i|_{S^p} = \text{id}$. Let $\sigma_i \in \pi_{p+q}(B_i, S^p \cup e_i^q)$, $\sigma'_i \in \pi_{p+q}(B'_i, S^p \cup e_i'^q)$ be the orientation generators and let $\delta_i = \partial\sigma_i, \delta'_i = \partial\sigma'_i$. Then, by (3.3) and Lemma (3.8) of [10],

$$(i) \quad (g_i)_*\delta_i - \delta'_i = (k_i)_*J\xi'_i \text{ for some } \xi'_i \in \pi_{q-1}(SO_p) \text{ such that } i_*\xi'_i = \alpha_i - \alpha'_i,$$

and

$$(ii) \quad g_i \text{ can be chosen so that } \xi'_i \text{ is a given element in } i_*^{-1}(\alpha_i - \alpha'_i).$$

Hence, by taking ξ_i as ξ'_i , there exists a homotopy equivalence $g_i: S^p \cup e_i^q \rightarrow S^p \cup e_i'^q$ such that $g_i|_{S^p} = \text{id}$ and $(g_i)_*\delta_i = \delta'_i$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

In the cell-decompositions $\#_{i=1}^r B_i \simeq B = \{ \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q) \} \cup e^{p+q}$, $\#_{i=1}^r B'_i \simeq B' = \{ \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q) \} \cup e'^{p+q}$, let $\sigma \in \pi_{p+q}(B, \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q))$, $\sigma' \in \pi_{p+q}(B', \vee_{i=1}^r (S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q))$ be the orientation generators, and let $\delta = \partial\sigma, \delta' = \partial\sigma'$. Then,

$$\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots + \delta_r, \quad \delta' = \delta'_1 + \delta'_2 + \dots + \delta'_r,$$

where it is understood that $\sum_{i=1}^r \pi_{p+q-1}(S_i^p \cup e_i^q) \subset \pi_{p+q-1}(\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q))$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r \pi_{p+q-1}(S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q) \subset \pi_{p+q-1}(\vee_{i=1}^r (S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q))$. Now, let

$$g = \bigvee_{i=1}^r g_i: \bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i^p \cup e_i^q) \longrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^r (S_i'^p \cup e_i'^q).$$

Then, $g_*\delta = \sum_{i=1}^r g_*\delta_i = \sum_{i=1}^r (g_i)_*\delta_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \delta'_i = \delta'$, that is, $g_*\delta = \delta'$. Hence, g has an extension $f: B \rightarrow B'$ of degree 1. $f_*: H_n(B) \rightarrow H_n(B')$ is isomorphic for $n = 0, p, p+q$, and for $n = q$ as is shown by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^p(B) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{f_*} & H^p(B') \\ \cong \downarrow D & & \cong \downarrow D \\ H_q(B) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_q(B), \end{array}$$

where $f_* \circ (D \circ f^* \circ D^{-1}) = \text{id}$ and D is the Poincaré duality isomorphism. Since B, B' are simply connected, f is a homotopy equivalence. This completes the proof.

References

[1] Barcus, W. D. and Barratt, M. G., On the homotopy classification of the extensions of a fixed map, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **88** (1958), 57-74.

- [2] Boardman, J. M. and Steer, B., On Hopf invariants, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **42** (1967), 180–221.
- [3] Haefliger, A., Differentiable links, *Topology*, **1** (1962), 241–244.
- [4] Hilton, P. J., On the homotopy groups of the union of spheres, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **30** (1955), 154–172.
- [5] Ishimoto, H., Representing handlebodies by plumbing and surgery, *Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ.*, **7** (1972), 483–510.
- [6] ———, On the classification of $(n-2)$ -connected $2n$ -manifolds with torsion free homology groups, *ibid.*, **9** (1973), 211–260.
- [7] ———, On the classification of some $(n-3)$ -connected $(2n-1)$ -manifolds, *ibid.*, **11** (1976), 723–747.
- [8] ———, Homotopy classification of connected sums of sphere bundles over spheres, I, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **55** (1979), 306–308, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **83** (1981), to appear.
- [9] James, I. M. and Whitehead, J. H. C., The homotopy theory of sphere bundles over spheres (I), *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3) **4** (1954), 196–218.
- [10] ———, The homotopy theory of sphere bundles over spheres (II), *ibid.*, (3) **5** (1955), 148–166.
- [11] Milnor, J., *Lectures on the h -cobordism theorem*, Princeton, 1965.
- [12] Smale, S., On the structure of manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.*, **84** (1962), 387–399.
- [13] Tamura, I., On the classification of sufficiently connected manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **20** (1968), 371–389.
- [14] Wall, C. T. C., Classification problems in differential topology — I, Classification of handlebodies, *Topology*, **2** (1963), 253–261.
- [15] Whitehead, G. W., A generalization of the Hopf invariant, *Ann. of Math.*, **51** (1950), 192–237.
- [16] ———, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1978.