

Time Dependent Representations of the Stationary Wave Operators for “Oscillating” Long-Range Potentials

By

Kiyoshi MOCHIZUKI* and Jun UCHIYAMA**

Introduction

Since the original paper of Dollard [7], the long-range scattering theory for the Schrödinger operators $-\Delta + V(x)$ has been studied by many authors (e.g., Buslaev-Matveev [5], Amrein-Martin-Misra [2], Alsholm-Kato [1], Hörmander [9], Kitada [13], Ikebe-Isosaki [10] and Kako [11]). These works treat the case that the potential $V(x)$ approaches zero without too much oscillation at infinity:

$$(0.1) \quad \nabla^\alpha V(x) = O(r^{-|\alpha|-\delta}) \quad (|\alpha| = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0$$

($\nabla = \nabla_x$ is the gradient in \mathbb{R}^n , $r = |x|$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ are multi-indices with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$), and prove the existence ([1], [2], [5], [9], [11]) and the completeness ([10], [13]) of the modified wave operators

$$(0.2) \quad W_D^\pm = s\text{-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \exp \{iLt\} \exp \{-iL_0t - iX_\pm(p, t)\} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $L_0 = -\Delta$, $L = -\Delta + V(x)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $p = -i\nabla_x$ and $X_\pm(\xi, t)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, solve the equations

$$(0.3) \quad \partial_t X_\pm(\xi, t) = V(2\xi t + \nabla_\xi X_\pm(\xi, t)) \quad (\partial_t = \partial/\partial t)$$

near $t = \pm\infty$. The selfadjoint operators $X_\pm(p, t)$ are called time dependent modifiers for L .

Stationary modifiers $Y_\pm(x, \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, solve the equation

$$(0.4) \quad \mp 2\sqrt{\lambda} \partial_r Y_\pm(x, \lambda) + |\nabla Y_\pm(x, \lambda)|^2 + V(x) = 0(r^{-1-\delta})$$

Communicated by S. Matsuura, March 26, 1981.

* Department of Mathematics, Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390, Japan.

** Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University of Industrial Arts and Textile Fibres, Kyoto 606, Japan.

near infinity. As we see in [10] and [13], $Y_{\pm}(x, \lambda)$ can be obtained from $X_{\pm}(\xi, t)$ by a kind of Legendre transformation in classical mechanics and are used to establish the completeness of $W_{\mathcal{D}}^{\pm}$. On the other hand, $Y_{\pm}(x, \lambda)$ are directly used in [11] to obtain another formulation of the modified wave operators. Let $\mathcal{E}_0(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, be the spectral measure of L_0 . Then in [11] is proved the following: For any pre-compact set $e \Subset (0, \infty)$, the limits

$$(0.5) \quad W_{\mathcal{J}}^{\pm}(e) = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \exp\{iLt\} J_{\pm}(e) \exp\{-iL_0t\} \mathcal{E}_0(e) \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

exist, are isometry on $\mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and coincide with $W_{\mathcal{D}}^{\pm}\mathcal{E}_0(e)$, where $J_{\pm}(e): \mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are identification operators (cf. Kato [12]) defined by

$$(0.6) \quad J_{\pm}(e)f = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{G(e)} \exp\{ix \cdot \check{\xi} - iY_{\pm}(x, |\check{\xi}|^2)\} \hat{f}(\check{\xi}) d\check{\xi}$$

with $\hat{f}(\check{\xi})$ being the Fourier transform of $f(x)$ and $G(e) = \{\check{\xi}; |\check{\xi}|^2 \in e\}$.

In this paper we shall partly extend the above mentioned results to a class of ‘‘oscillating’’ long-range potentials settled in our previous papers [14] and [15] (the definite conditions on $V(x)$ will be given in Section 1). Our main purpose is to show that modified wave operators of the form (0.5) exist and are complete for each $e \Subset (A_{\delta}, \infty)$, where the real number A_{δ} depends on the asymptotic conditions at infinity of $V(x)$. The results will be summarized in Theorem of Section 4.

Our ‘‘oscillating’’ long-range class includes the following examples:

$$(E.1) \quad V(x) = c(x) + V_s(x),$$

$$(E.2) \quad V(x) = \frac{c(x)}{\log r} + V_s(x) \quad (r = |x|),$$

$$(E.3) \quad V(x) = c(x) \sin(\log r) + V_s(x),$$

$$(E.4) \quad V(x) = \frac{\lambda(x) \sin \mu r}{r} + V_s(x),$$

where $c(x)$ (real) satisfies the conditions

$$c(x) = O(1), \quad \nabla^{\alpha} c(x) = O(r^{-1-|\alpha|\delta}) \quad (|\alpha| = 1, 2, 1/2 < \delta < 1)$$

near infinity, $\lambda(x)$ (real) satisfies the conditions

$$\nabla^{\alpha} \lambda(x) = O(r^{-|\alpha|\delta}) \quad (|\alpha| = 0, 1, 2, 1/2 < \delta < 1)$$

near infinity, μ is a real number and $V_s(x)$ (real) is short-range, i.e., $V_s(x) = O(r^{-1-\delta_0})$ ($\delta_0 > 0$) near infinity. Note that (E.1) generalizes the usual $\frac{1}{2}$ long-

range potential which satisfies (0.1) with $\delta > 1/2$. Namely, by the terminology “oscillating” long-range potentials we never exclude ones which are in the frame of ordinary long-range potentials.

Now, in (0.5) we take $L_0 = -\Delta + A_\delta$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This choice of the free Hamiltonian mainly depends on the fact that we allow the case $A_\delta < 0$. In fact, for the above examples A_δ is given by (cf. (1.2) and Assumption 2 of Section 1)

$$(0.7) \quad A_\delta = \begin{cases} c_\infty & \text{for (E.1),} \\ 0 & \text{for (E.2),} \\ |c_\infty| \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon^{-2}} & \text{for (E.3),} \\ \lambda_\infty |\mu| / \varepsilon + \mu^2 / 4 & \text{for (E.4),} \end{cases}$$

where $c_\infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} c(x)$, $\lambda_\infty = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(x) \mu \cos \mu r}{|\mu|}$ and $\varepsilon = 4 \min \{\delta_0, 2\delta - 1, 1/2\}$, and so we have $A_\delta < 0$ for (E.1) if $c_\infty < 0$. Further, in our case, equation (0.4) does not work well, and it is necessary to define $J_\pm(e)$ in a different manner. Let $\rho_\pm(x, \lambda)$, $\lambda > A_\delta$, be two solutions, specified in [15], of the equation

$$(0.8) \quad \partial_r^2 \rho + \frac{n-1}{r} \partial_r \rho - (\partial_r \rho)^2 + V(x) - \lambda = 0 (r^{-1-\delta})$$

near infinity. Then our identification operators $J_\pm(e)$, $e \in (A_\delta, \infty)$, are defined by

$$(0.9) \quad J_\pm(e) f = \frac{\pm 1}{2i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_e \exp \{-\rho_\pm(x, \lambda)\} [\mathcal{F}_0 f](\lambda, \tilde{x}) d\lambda,$$

where $\tilde{x} = x/|x|$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2((A_\delta, \infty) \times S^{n-1})$ (S^{n-1} being the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n) is a spectral representation of $L_0 = -\Delta + A_\delta$:

$$(0.10) \quad [\mathcal{F}_0 f](\lambda, \tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda - A_\delta)^{(n-2)/4} \times (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \{-i\sqrt{\lambda - A_\delta} \tilde{x} \cdot y - i\pi(n-3)/4\} f(y) dy.$$

We shall show that the operators $\exp \{iLt\} J_\pm(e) \exp \{-iL_0 t\} \mathcal{E}_0(e)$ are bounded in $\mathcal{E}_0(e) L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and strongly converge as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ to the stationary wave operators $U_\pm(e)$. Here the existence and completeness of $U_\pm(e)$ are already established in [15]. Our argument essentially bases on [14] and [15], whose results are summarized in Section 1. To show the boundedness of $J_\pm(e)$ we shall follow a method of Calderón-Vaillancourt [6] on the L^2 -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators (Sections 2 and 3). On the other hand, for the

proof of the convergence the stationary phase method will play an important role (Sections 4 and 5).

As we see in (0.7) for (E.3) and (E.4), $(A_{\bar{s}}, \infty)$ does not in general cover the essential spectrum of $L = -\Delta + V(x)$. In this sense it remains some ambiguity in our theory.

Here we note that potentials of the special form

$$(0.11) \quad V(x) = V(r) = \frac{\lambda \sin \mu r^\alpha}{r^\beta} + V_s(r) \quad (\lambda, \mu \text{ are real constants})$$

including the case $\alpha = \beta = 1$ (cf. (E.4)) have been studied by Dollard-Friedman [8], Ben-Artzi-Devinatz [4] and others. They reduce the problem to the study of ordinary differential operators on the half line $\mathbf{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$, and prove the absolute continuity of the positive spectrum $(0, \infty)$ of $-\Delta + V(r)$ except for one possible eigenvalue $\mu^2/4$, and the existence and completeness of the Møller wave operators. In this paper, we do not assume that $V(x)$ is spherically symmetric. However, our results for the concrete potential (0.11) with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ (von Neumann-Wigner's adiabatic oscillator) is weaker than theirs. Also we have not shown whether or not our wave operators are equivalent to the ordinary Møller ones.

In case $V(x) = V_s(x)$, we can see that our modified wave operators coincide with the Møller wave operators modulo some simple unitary operators. Similar results can also be expected to the potential $V(x)$ which is improper integrable in $r = |x| \in \mathbf{R}_+$. It remains as an open problem so far.

§ 1. Assumptions and Preliminaries

Let Ω be an infinite domain in \mathbf{R}^n with smooth compact boundary $\partial\Omega$ lying inside some sphere $S(R_0) = \{x; |x| = R_0\}$. We consider in Ω the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V(x)$, where Δ is the Laplacian and $V(x)$ is a potential function. We assume

Assumption 1. $V(x) = V_1(x) + V_s(x)$, where $V_1(x)$ is a real-valued function satisfying the "Stummel condition" for some $\mu > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{|x-y| < 1} |V_1(y)|^2 |x-y|^{-n+4-\mu} dy < \infty & (\text{if } n \geq 4), \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{|x-y| < 1} |V_1(y)|^2 dy < \infty & (\text{if } n \leq 3), \end{cases}$$

and $V_s(x)$ is a real-valued bounded measurable function in Ω . Moreover, the unique continuation property holds for both $-\Delta + V(x)$ and $-\Delta + V_1(x)$.

Assumption 2. $V_1(x)$ is an ‘‘oscillating’’ long-range potential; that is, there exist some constants $C_1 > 0$, $R_1 \geq R_0$, $a \geq 0$ and $1/2 < \delta_j < 1$ ($j = 1, 2$) such that for any $x \in B(R_1) = \{x; |x| > R_1\}$,

- (i) $|V_1(x)| \leq C_1$,
- (ii) $|\partial_r V_1(x)| \leq C_1 r^{-1}$,
- (iii) $|\partial_r^2 V_1(x) + a V_1(x)| \leq C_1 r^{-1-\delta_1}$,
- (iv) $|(\mathcal{F} - \tilde{x}\partial_r)V_1(x)| \leq C_1 r^{-1-\delta_2}$,
- (v) $|(\mathcal{F} - \tilde{x}\partial_r)\partial_r V_1(x)| \leq C_1 r^{-1-\delta_1}$,
- (vi) $|(\mathcal{F} - \tilde{x}\partial_r) \cdot (\mathcal{F} - \tilde{x}\partial_r)V_1(x)| \leq C_1 r^{-1-2\delta_2}$.

On the other hand, $V_s(x)$ is a short-range potential; that is, there exist some constants $C_2 > 0$ and $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that for any $x \in B(R_1)$,

- (vii) $|V_s(x)| \leq C_2 r^{-1-\delta_0}$.

In the following we put $\delta = \min \{\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and $\tilde{\delta} = \min \{\delta, 2\delta_2 - 1\}$. Note that the condition $\delta_j < 1$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$) does not restrict the generality.

We put

$$(1.1) \quad E(\gamma) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma} \{r\partial_r V_1(x) + \gamma V_1(x)\} \quad \text{for } \gamma > 0,$$

and define A_σ , $\sigma > 0$, as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad A_\sigma = E(\min \{4\sigma, 2\}) + a/4,$$

where $a \geq 0$ is the constant given in (iii) of Assumption 2. Then as is discussed in [14; §8], we have the

Lemma 1.1. A_σ is non-increasing and continuous in $\sigma > 0$, and

$$(1.3) \quad A_{1/2} = \min_{\sigma > 0} A_\sigma \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} V_1(x) + a/4,$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad |V_1(x)| \leq C_3 r^{-1} \quad \text{in } B(R_1) \quad \text{if } a > 0.$$

We put

$$(1.5) \quad \eta(\lambda) = 4\lambda / (4\lambda - a) \quad \text{for } \lambda > A_{1/2}.$$

Note that $\eta(\lambda) \equiv 1$ if $a = 0$. Then by means of (1.3) and (1.4) we can easily prove the following

Lemma 1.2. *Let ε be any constant satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then there exist some constants $C_4 > 0$ and $R'_1 \geq R_1$ depending only on ε such that for any $(x, \lambda) \in B(R'_1) \times [A_{1/2} + \varepsilon, \infty)$,*

$$(1.6) \quad \varepsilon/2 \leq \lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(x) \leq |\lambda| + C_4,$$

$$(1.7) \quad 1/2 \leq \partial_\lambda \{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(x)\} \leq 2 \quad (\partial_\lambda = \partial/\partial\lambda),$$

$$(1.8) \quad |\partial_\lambda^l \{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(x)\}| \leq C_4 r^{-1} \quad (l = 2 \sim 6).$$

For some $R_2 \geq R'_1$ and any $(x, \lambda) \in B(R_2) \times [A_{1/2} + \varepsilon, \infty)$ we put

$$(1.9) \quad \rho_\pm(x, \lambda) = \mp i \int_{R_2}^r \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(s\bar{x})} ds + \frac{n-1}{2} \log r + \frac{1}{4} \log \{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(x)\}.$$

Then by a straight calculation (cf. Lemma 1.1 of [15]) we have the

Lemma 1.3. *There exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ depending on ε such that for any $(x, \lambda) \in B(R_2) \times [A_{1/2} + \varepsilon, \infty)$,*

$$(1.10) \quad |\partial_r^2 \rho_\pm + \frac{n-1}{r} \partial_r \rho_\pm - (\partial_r \rho_\pm)^2 + V_1(x) - \lambda| \leq C_5 r^{-1-\delta_1},$$

$$(1.11) \quad |(\nabla - \tilde{x}\partial_r)\rho_\pm| \leq C_5 r^{-\delta_2},$$

$$(1.12) \quad |(\nabla - \tilde{x}\partial_r)\partial_r \rho_\pm| \leq C_5 r^{-1-\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}},$$

$$(1.13) \quad |(\nabla - \tilde{x}\partial_r) \cdot (\nabla - \tilde{x}\partial_r)\rho_\pm| \leq C_5 r^{-2\delta_2}.$$

For any real number μ and $G \subset \Omega$, let $L_\mu^2(G)$ denote the space of all functions $f(x)$ such that

$$(1.14) \quad \|f\|_{\mu, G}^2 = \int_G (1+r)^{2\mu} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

If $\mu = 0$ or $G = \Omega$, the subscript μ or G will be omitted. Let α, β be a pair of positive constants satisfying

$$(1.15) \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha + \beta \leq 2\delta.$$

For $\lambda > A_{\beta/2} (\geq A_\delta)$ and $f \in L_{(1+\beta)/2}^2(\Omega)$ let us consider the exterior boundary-value problem

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta + V(x) - \lambda)u(x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ Bu = \begin{cases} u \\ v(x) \cdot \nabla u + d(x)u \end{cases} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $v(x) = (v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x))$ is the outer unit normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ and

$d(x)$ is a real-valued smooth function on $\partial\Omega$. The outgoing (+) or incoming (-) solution of (1.16) will be distinguished by the radiation condition

$$(1.17)_{\pm} \quad u \in L^2_{-(1+\alpha)/2}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_r u + (\partial_r \rho_{\pm}(x, \lambda))u \in L^2_{-(1+\beta)/2}(B(R_2)).$$

Now let L be the selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(L) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega); \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad Bu = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega\} \\ Lu = -\Delta u + V(x)u \quad \text{for} \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(L), \end{cases}$$

and let $R(\zeta)$ ($\zeta \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}$) and $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) be its resolvent and spectral measure, respectively. Then the main results of [14] and [15] can be summarized in the following propositions. To show Proposition 1.1 we require (1.10) and (1.12) (see Theorems 1—5 of [14]). To show Proposition 1.2 we require (1.10) and (1.11) (see Theorem 2.1 of [15]). (1.13) is used to show Proposition 1.3 (see Theorems 3.1, 4.1 and 6.1 of [15]).

Proposition 1.1. (a) *Let α, β be any pair satisfying (1.15), and let ε and N be any constants satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < 1 < N < \infty$. Then there exists a constant $C_6 > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^2_{(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$ (which is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$), $\lambda \in [A_{\beta/2} + \varepsilon, A_{\beta/2} + N]$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$,*

$$(1.19) \quad \|R(\lambda \pm i\tau)f\|_{-(1+\sigma)/2} \leq C_6 \|f\|_{(1+\beta)/2}.$$

Moreover, $R(\lambda \pm i\tau)f$ converges in $L^2_{-(1+\alpha)/2}(\Omega)$ to the unique outgoing [or incoming] solution $u_{\pm} = R_{\pm}(\lambda)f$ of (1.16) as $\tau \downarrow 0$.

(b) *The above convergence is uniform in $\lambda \in [A_{\beta/2} + \varepsilon, A_{\beta/2} + N]$. Thus, $R_{\pm}(\lambda)f$ is continuous in $L^2_{-(1+\alpha)/2}(\Omega)$ with respect to $(\lambda, f) \in (A_{\beta/2}, \infty) \times L^2_{(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$.*

(c) *Let $R_{\pm}^*(\lambda): L^2_{(1+\alpha)/2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{-(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$ be the adjoint of $R_{\pm}(\lambda)$. Then we have for any $f \in L^2_{(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$ ($\subset L^2_{(1+\sigma)/2}(\Omega)$) and $\lambda \in (A_{\beta/2}, \infty)$,*

$$(1.20) \quad R_{\pm}^*(\lambda)f = R_{\mp}(\lambda)f.$$

(d) *For any pre-compact set $e \in (A_{\beta/2}, \infty)$ and $f, g \in L^2_{(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$ we have*

$$(1.21) \quad (\mathcal{E}(e)f, g) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_e (R_+(\lambda)f - R_-(\lambda)f, g) d\lambda,$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$, or more generally, the duality between $L^2_{-(1+\alpha)/2}(\Omega)$ and $L^2_{(1+\alpha)/2}(\Omega)$. Thus, the part of L in $\mathcal{E}((A_{\beta/2}, \infty))L^2(\Omega)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\lambda \in (A_{\beta/2}, \infty)$.

Remark 1.1. Let $R_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ be the operator $R_{\pm}(\lambda)$ with $V_s(x) \equiv 0$. In this case we can choose $\beta = 1$ in (1.15), where α should be chosen as

$$(1.22) \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \min \{ \delta_1, \delta_2 \} - 1.$$

Proposition 1.2. (a) For any α, β satisfying (1.15), let $f \in L^2_{(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \geq A_{\beta/2} + \varepsilon$. Then there exists a sequence $r_l = r_l(\alpha, \beta, f, \lambda)$ diverging to ∞ such that

$$(1.23) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(r_l)} \{ r^{-\alpha} |R_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f|^2 + r^\beta |(\nabla + \tilde{x}\partial_r \rho_{\pm})R_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f|^2 \} dS = 0.$$

(b) For any $\lambda \in [A_{1/2} + \varepsilon, \infty)$ and $f \in L^2_1(\Omega)$, let $r_l = r_l(\alpha, 1, f, \lambda)$, where α satisfies (1.22). Then

$$(1.24) \quad \mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda, r_l)f \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \{ \rho_{\pm}(r_l \cdot, \lambda) \} [R_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f](r_l \cdot)$$

strongly converges in $L^2(S^{n-1})$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda): L^2_1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(S^{n-1})$ be defined by

$$(1.25) \quad \mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f = s\text{-}\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda, r_l)f \quad \text{in } L^2(S^{n-1}).$$

Then we have

$$(1.26) \quad \|\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f\|_{L^2(S^{n-1})}^2 = (2\pi i)^{-1} (R_{1,+}(\lambda)f - R_{1,-}(\lambda)f, f).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ is independent of the choice of r_l .

(c) Let $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy

$$(1.27) \quad 0 < \tilde{\alpha} \leq \tilde{\beta} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta} \leq 2\tilde{\delta} = \min \{ 2\delta, 4\delta_2 - 2 \}$$

((1.27) is a stronger condition than (1.15)). Then for any $\lambda \in [A_{\tilde{\beta}/2} + \varepsilon, \infty)$ the operator $\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$ to $L^2(S^{n-1})$ by continuity. Denoting the extended operator by $\mathcal{F}'_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ again, we have for any $f \in L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$, $\phi \in L^2(S^{n-1})$ and $\lambda \in [A_{\tilde{\beta}/2} + \varepsilon, \infty)$,

$$(1.28) \quad (\mathcal{F}'_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f, \phi)_{L^2(S^{n-1})} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda, r_l)f, \phi)_{L^2(S^{n-1})},$$

where $r_l = r_l(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, f, \lambda)$.

Remark 1.2. In [15] we neglect the fact that R'_1 in Lemma 1.2 depends on ε , and then $\mathcal{F}'_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ depends on ε and $R_2 \geq R'_1$ by (1.9) and (1.25). So the above and the following propositions are corrections of [15]. Let ε' and R''_1 be another pair and let $\mathcal{F}'_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ be the operator $\mathcal{F}'_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ corresponding to ε' and some $R''_2 \geq R''_1$. Then for $\lambda \in [A_{\tilde{\beta}/2} + \varepsilon, \infty) \cap [A_{\tilde{\beta}/2} + \varepsilon', \infty)$, $\mathcal{F}'_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ coincides with

$\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda)$ modulo a unitary operator on $L^2(S^{n-1})$:

$$(1.29) \quad [\mathcal{F}'_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f](\tilde{x}) = \exp \left\{ \pm i \int_{R_2}^{R_2'} \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(s\tilde{x})} ds \right\} [\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f](\tilde{x})$$

for $f \in L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$.

Remark 1.3. Let $\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda)$ be the operator $\mathcal{F}_{1,+}(\lambda)$ corresponding to the selfadjoint operator $L_0 = -\Delta + A_{\tilde{\delta}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case, $\eta(\lambda)V_1(x) \equiv A_{\tilde{\delta}}$ being constant, we can choose $R_2 = 0$. Then for any $\lambda > A_{\tilde{\delta}}$, $\mathcal{F}_0(\lambda)f$ is represented by the right side of (0.10) (see Remark 6.2 of [15]).

Proposition 1.3. Let $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.27) and $A_{\tilde{\delta}} \leq A_{\tilde{\beta}/2} < A_{\tilde{\delta}} + \varepsilon$.

(a) For $\lambda \in [A_{\tilde{\beta}/2} + \varepsilon, \infty)$ let

$$(1.30) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\pm}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda) \{1 - V_{\tilde{s}}R_{\pm}(\lambda)\}.$$

Then it defines a bounded operator from $L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$ to $L^2(S^{n-1})$. Moreover, it depends continuously on λ .

(b) Let $\mathcal{F}_{\pm} : L^2_{(1+2\tilde{\delta})/2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2([A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, \infty) \times S^{n-1})$ be defined by

$$(1.31) \quad [\mathcal{F}_{\pm}f](\lambda, \tilde{x}) = [\mathcal{F}_{1,\pm}(\lambda)f](\tilde{x}), \quad (\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in [A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, \infty) \times S^{n-1}.$$

Then \mathcal{F}_{\pm} can be extended to a partial isometric operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ onto $L^2([A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, \infty) \times S^{n-1})$ with initial set $\mathcal{E}([A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, \infty))L^2(\Omega)$. The extended operator will be denoted by \mathcal{F}_{\pm} again.

(c) (Spectral representations) For any bounded Borel function $b(t)$ on \mathbb{R} and any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$(1.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}([A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, \infty))b(L)f &= \mathcal{F}_{\pm}^* b(\lambda) \mathcal{F}_{\pm} f \\ &= s\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon}^N \mathcal{F}_{\pm}^*(\lambda) b(\lambda) [\mathcal{F}_{\pm} f](\lambda, \cdot) d\lambda \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\pm}^* : L^2([A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, \infty) \times S^{n-1}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is the adjoint of \mathcal{F}_{\pm} and $\mathcal{F}_{\pm}^*(\lambda) : L^2(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{F}_{\pm}(\lambda)$.

(d) (Stationary wave operators) We put for any pre-compact set $e \in [A_{\tilde{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, \infty)$,

$$(1.33) \quad U_{\pm}(e) = \mathcal{F}_{\pm}^* \mathcal{F}_0 \mathcal{E}_0(e),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_0(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, is the spectral measure of L_0 . Then each $U_{\pm}(e)$ is a unitary operator from $\mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $\mathcal{E}(e)L^2(\Omega)$, which intertwines the operators $\mathcal{E}_0(e)L_0$ and $\mathcal{E}(e)L$. Namely, we have for any bounded Borel function $b(t)$ on \mathbb{R} ,

$$(1.34) \quad \mathcal{E}(e)b(L) = U_{\pm}(e)\mathcal{E}_0(e)b(L_0)U_{\pm}^*(e),$$

$$(1.35) \quad \mathcal{E}_0(e)b(L_0) = U_{\pm}^*(e)\mathcal{E}(e)b(L)U_{\pm}(e),$$

where $U_{\pm}^*(e): \mathcal{E}(e)L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the adjoint of $U_{\pm}(e)$.

§2. Expressions of $\mathcal{F}_{\pm}^*(\lambda)$ and the Identification Operators $J_{\pm}(e)$

Let ρ_{\pm} be as given in (1.9) with some $R_2 \geq R'_1$ and $e = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be a bounded interval in $[\Lambda_{\beta} + 2\varepsilon, \infty)$. For any $\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in C_0^{\infty}(e \times S^{n-1})$ we put

$$(2.1) \quad v_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{-\rho_{\pm}(x, \lambda)\} \phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \psi(r), & |x| = r > R_2 + 1 \\ 0, & |x| = r < R_2 + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) \quad g_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) = \{-\Delta + V(x) - \lambda\} v_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda),$$

where $\psi(r)$ is a smooth function of $r > 0$ such that $0 \leq \psi(r) \leq 1$, $\psi(r) = 0$ for $r < R_2 + 1$ and $= 1$ for $r > R_2 + 2$. Note that

$$(2.3) \quad g_{\phi, \pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{-\rho_{\pm}\} [\{\partial_r^2 \rho_{\pm} + \frac{n-1}{r} \partial_r \rho_{\pm} - (\partial_r \rho_{\pm})^2 + V - \lambda\} \phi \psi + \{(V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \cdot (V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \rho_{\pm} - ((V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \rho_{\pm})^2\} \phi \psi - \{\psi'' + \frac{n-1}{r} \psi' - 2(\partial_r \rho_{\pm}) \psi'\} \phi - \{(V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \cdot (V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \phi - 2(V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \rho_{\pm} \cdot \nabla \phi\} \psi].$$

Here $(V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \cdot (V - \tilde{x} \partial_r) \phi = 0(r^{-2})$ and $\nabla \phi = 0(r^{-1})$ near infinity. Then as is easily seen from (1.9), (vii) and Lemma 1.3, we have

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a constant $C_7 > 0$ such that for any $(x, \lambda) \in B(R_2 + 1) \times e$,*

$$(2.4) \quad |g_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_7 r^{-(n-1)/2} r^{-(1+\delta)},$$

$$(2.5) \quad |v_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_7 r^{-(n-1)/2}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \{\partial_r + \partial_r \rho_{\pm}(x, \lambda)\} v_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{in } (x, \lambda) \in B(R_2 + 2) \times e,$$

$$(2.7) \quad Bv_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{on } (x, \lambda) \in \partial\Omega \times e.$$

Let $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be as given in Proposition 1.3. Then (2.4) implies that $g_{\phi, \pm} \in L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$, and it follows from (2.5)—(2.7) that $v_{\phi, \pm}$ determines an outgoing [incoming] solution of (1.16) and (1.17) $_{\pm}$ with $f = g_{\phi, \pm}$, $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$. Namely, we have

$$(2.8) \quad v_{\phi, \pm}(\cdot, \lambda) = [R_{\pm}(\lambda)g_{\phi, \pm}(\cdot, \lambda)](\cdot), \lambda \in e.$$

Proposition 2.1. For any $\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in C_0^\infty(e \times S^{n-1})$, $\mathcal{F}_\pm^*(\lambda)\phi(\lambda, \cdot) \in L^2_{-(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$ is expressed as follows:

$$(2.9) \quad [\mathcal{F}_\pm^*(\lambda)\phi(\lambda, \cdot)](x) = \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \{v_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) - [R_\mp(\lambda)g_{\phi, \pm}(\cdot, \lambda)](x)\}.$$

Proof. For $f \in L^2_{(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \in e$ let

$$(2.10) \quad u_\pm(\cdot, \lambda) = R_\pm(\lambda)f = R_{1, \pm}(\lambda)\{1 - V_s R_\pm(\lambda)\}f.$$

Noting $\{1 - V_s R_\pm(\lambda)\}f \in L^2_{(1+\beta)/2}(\Omega)$, we choose a sequence $r_l = r_l(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \{1 - V_s R_\pm(\lambda)\}f, \lambda)$ diverging to ∞ as in Proposition 1.2 (a). Let $\Omega(r_l) = \{x \in \Omega; |x| < r_l\}$. Then by the Green formula, (2.6) and (2.7) we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \int_{\Omega(r_l)} \{u_\pm \overline{g_{\phi, \pm}} - f \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}}\} dx \\ &= \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \int_{S(r_l)} \{\partial_r u_\pm \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}} - u_\pm \partial_r \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}}\} dS \\ &= \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \int_{S(r_l)} (\partial_r + \partial_r \rho_\pm) u_\pm \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}} dS \mp \int_{S(r_l)} (\text{Im } \partial_r \rho_\pm) u_\pm \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}} dS. \end{aligned}$$

Here by (1.9) and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mp \int_{S(r_l)} (\text{Im } \partial_r \rho_\pm) u_\pm \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}} dS = \int_{S(r_l)} \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(x) u_\pm \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}} dS \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{\rho_\pm(r_l \tilde{x}, \lambda)\} u_\pm(r_l \tilde{x}, \lambda) \overline{\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x})} dS_{\tilde{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, letting $l \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.11), we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \int_{\Omega} \{u_\pm \overline{g_{\phi, \pm}} - f \overline{v_{\phi, \pm}}\} dx \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\{\rho_\pm(r_l \cdot, \lambda)\} u_\pm(r_l \cdot, \lambda), \phi(\lambda, \cdot) \right)_{L^2(S^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

By means of (2.10) and Proposition 1.1 (c), the left side of (2.12) equals $\left(f, \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \{v_{\phi, \pm} - R_\mp(\lambda)g_{\phi, \pm}\}\right)$. On the other hand, by means of Proposition 1.2 (c) and (1.30), the right side equals $(f, \mathcal{F}_\pm^*(\lambda)\phi(\lambda, \cdot))$. Thus, we obtain (2.9).

q. e. d.

Now we define the operator $K_\pm(e)$ as follows:

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} [K_\pm(e)\phi(\cdot, \cdot)](x) &= \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \int_e v_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\pm 1}{2\sqrt{\pi i}} \int_e \exp\{-\rho_\pm(x, \lambda)\} \psi(r)\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) d\lambda, & |x| > R_2 + 1 \\ 0, & |x| \leq R_2 + 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in C_0^\infty(e \times S^{n-1})$. In the next section we shall show that $K_\pm(e)$ can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^2(e \times S^{n-1})$ to $L^2(\Omega)$. The extended operator will be denoted by $K_\pm(e)$ again. Then our identification operators $J_\pm(e)$ are:

$$(2.14) \quad J_\pm(e)f = K_\pm(e)\mathcal{F}_0f \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

§ 3. L^2 -Boundedness of $K_\pm(e)$

We begin with a lemma which is a slight modification of Calderón-Vaillancourt [6].

Lemma 3.1. *Let I be a bounded interval of $\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ and let $A(r)$ ($r \in I$) be a weakly measurable and uniformly bounded family of operators in a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . If the inequalities*

$$\|A(r)A^*(r')\| \leq h^2(r, r') \quad \text{and} \quad \|A^*(r)A(r')\| \leq h^2(r, r')$$

hold for $r, r' \in I$ with a non-negative function $h(r, r')$ which is the kernel of a bounded integral operator H_I in $L^2(I)$ ($A^*(r)$ being the adjoint of $A(r)$), then the operator $\int_I A(r)dr$ defined by

$$\left(\int_I A(r)dr\right)f = \int_I A(r)fd r \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{H}$$

is a bounded operator in \mathfrak{H} with norm

$$\left\|\int_I A(r)dr\right\| \leq \|H_I\|.$$

Proof. By assumption we can admit $\|A(r)\| \leq M$ for any $r \in I$. From the two inequalities

$$\|A(r_1)A^*(r_2)A(r_3)\cdots A^*(r_{2m})\| \leq \|A(r_1)A^*(r_2)\| \cdots \|A(r_{2m-1})A^*(r_{2m})\|$$

and

$$\|A(r_1)A^*(r_2)A(r_3)\cdots A^*(r_{2m})\| \leq \|A(r_1)\| \|A^*(r_2)A(r_3)\| \cdots \|A^*(r_{2m-2})A(r_{2m-1})\| \|A^*(r_{2m})\|,$$

we have for $r_i \in I$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$),

$$(3.1) \quad \|A(r_1)A^*(r_2)A(r_3)\cdots A^*(r_{2m})\| \leq Mh(r_1, r_2)h(r_2, r_3)\cdots h(r_{2m-1}, r_{2m}).$$

Since $\int_I A(r)dr \left(\int_I A(r)dr\right)^*$ is a bounded selfadjoint operator in \mathfrak{H} and

$(\int_I A(r)dr)^* = \int_I A^*(r)dr$, we have from (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad \left\| \int_I A(r)dr \right\|^2 &= \left\| \left[\int_I A(r)dr \left(\int_I A(r)dr \right)^* \right]^m \right\|^{1/m} \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{I^{2m}} \|A(r_1)A^*(r_2)A(r_3)\cdots A^*(r_{2m})\| dr_1 \cdots dr_{2m} \right)^{1/m} \\
 &\leq \left(M \int_{I^2} dr_1 dr_{2m} \int_{I^{2m-2}} h(r_1, r_2) \cdots h(r_{2m-1}, r_{2m}) dr_2 \cdots dr_{2m-1} \right)^{1/m} \\
 &\leq \{M(H_I^{2m-1} \chi_I, \chi_I)_{L^2(I)}\}^{1/m} \leq (M|I| \|H_I\|^{2m-1})^{1/m},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_I(r) = 1$ on I and $|I|$ is the length of I . Letting m go to ∞ in (3.2), we have the assertion. q. e. d.

Remark 3.1. By Petti's theorem the weak measurability of $A(r)$ and the separability of \mathfrak{H} show that $A(r)f, f \in \mathfrak{H}$, is strongly measurable on I . Moreover, $A(r)f$ is Bochner integrable on I since $\|A(r)\|$ is bounded in I (see Yosida [16], pp. 130—134).

Let $e_0 = (A_{1/2} + \varepsilon, A_{1/2} + N)$, where N is chosen so large that $e = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in e_0$. Let $\zeta(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty(e_0)$ be a real function such that $\zeta(\lambda) = 1$ on e , and let $\chi(r) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ satisfy the following: $\chi(r) = 1$ for $r < 1$, $= 0$ for $r > 2$ and $0 < \chi(r) < 1$ for $1 < r < 2$. We put for $\mu, \lambda \in e_0, r > R_2 + 1$ and $\tilde{x} \in S^{n-1}$,

$$(3.3) \quad S_\pm(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x}) = \pm \int_{R_2}^r \{ \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) - \sqrt{\mu - \eta(\mu)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) \} ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad p_R(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \psi(r)^2 \chi(r/R)^2 \zeta(\lambda) \zeta(\mu) \\
 &\quad \times \{ \lambda - \eta(\lambda) V_1(r\tilde{x}) \}^{-1/4} \{ \mu - \eta(\mu) V_1(r\tilde{x}) \}^{-1/4},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(r)$ is as given in (2.1) and $R \geq (R_2 + 1)/2$.

For any $r, r' > R_2 + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad S_\pm(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) + S_\pm(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) &= \pm \int_{r'}^r \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \\
 &\quad \pm \left\{ \int_{R_2}^{r'} \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds - \int_{R_2}^r \sqrt{\mu - \eta(\mu)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad \partial_\xi^3 \exp \left\{ \pm i \int_{r'}^r \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\} \\
 = \sigma_\pm(\xi, r, r', \tilde{x}) \exp \left\{ \pm i \int_{r'}^r \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\};
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad \sigma_{\pm}(\xi, r, r', \tilde{x}) &= \mp i \left(\int_{r'}^r \partial_{\xi} \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right)^3 \pm i \int_{r'}^r \partial_{\xi}^3 \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \\
 &\quad - 3 \int_{r'}^r \partial_{\xi} \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \left(\int_{r'}^r \partial_{\xi}^2 \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the following inequalities are consequences of Lemma 1.2. Namely, there exists a constant $C_8 \geq 1$ such that for any $r, r' \geq R_2 + 1, \xi, \mu, \lambda \in e_0, \tilde{x} \in S^{n-1}$ and $R \geq (R_2 + 1)/2$,

$$(3.8) \quad |\sigma_{\pm}(\xi, r, r', \tilde{x})| \geq C_8^{-1} |r - r'|^3 - C_8 |r - r'|,$$

$$(3.9) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^l \sigma_{\pm}(\xi, r, r', \tilde{x})| \leq C_8 (1 + |r - r'|^3) \quad (l = 1, 2, 3),$$

$$(3.10) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^l [p_R(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) p_R(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x})]| \leq C_8 \quad (l = 0, 1, 2, 3).$$

With these inequalities we can apply Lemma 3.1 to prove the following

Lemma 3.2. *The operator $P_{R,\pm}$ defined by*

$$(3.11) \quad [P_{R,\pm} \phi](\mu, \tilde{x}) = \int_{R_2+1}^{\infty} \int_{e_0} \exp \{iS_{\pm}(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x})\} p_R(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x}) \phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) dr d\lambda$$

for $\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in \mathfrak{H} = L^2(e_0 \times S^{n-1})$ is bounded in \mathfrak{H} , and there exists a constant $C_9 > 0$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad \|P_{R,\pm}\| \leq C_9 \text{ for any } R \geq (R_2 + 1)/2.$$

Proof. We define the family $A_{R,\pm}(r), r \in I_R = (R_2 + 1, 2R)$, of operators in \mathfrak{H} by

$$(3.13) \quad [A_{R,\pm}(r)\phi](\mu, \tilde{x}) = \int_{e_0} \exp \{iS_{\pm}(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x})\} p_R(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x}) \phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) d\lambda.$$

Obviously, each $A_{R,\pm}(r)$ is bounded and selfadjoint in \mathfrak{H} . Since we have

$$(3.14) \quad \|A_{R,\pm}(r)\| \leq \left\{ \sup_{\tilde{x} \in S^{n-1}} \int_{e_0} \int_{e_0} |p_R(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x})|^2 d\lambda d\mu \right\}^{1/2},$$

it follows from (3.10) that

$$(3.15) \quad \|A_{R,\pm}(r)\| \leq C_{10} \quad \text{for any } R \geq (R_2 + 1)/2 \text{ and } r \in I_R.$$

Further, by the Lebesgue theorem, $A_{R,\pm}(r)$ is strongly continuous in I_R . Thus, to complete the proof we have only to show the existence of a kernel $h_R(r, r')$ which satisfies the following inequalities:

$$(3.16) \quad \|A_{R,\pm}(r)A_{R,\pm}(r')\| \leq h_R^2(r, r'),$$

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{I_R} \left| \int_{I_R} h_R(r, r') f(r') dr' \right|^2 dr \leq C_{11} \int_{I_R} |f(r')|^2 dr'$$

for any $R \geq (R_2 + 1)/2$, $r, r' \in I_R$ and $f(r) \in L^2(I_R)$, where $C_{11} > 0$ is independent of R .

We can choose $C_{12} > 0$ and $C_{13} > 0$ to satisfy

$$C_{12} + C_8^{-1}\tau^3 - C_8\tau \geq C_{13}(1 + \tau^3) \quad \text{for any } \tau \geq 0.$$

It then follows from (3.8) that

$$(3.18) \quad |\mp iC_{12} \operatorname{sgn}(r - r') + \sigma_{\pm}(\xi, r, r', \tilde{x})| \geq C_{13}(1 + |r - r'|^3),$$

where $\operatorname{sgn} t = 1$ if $t \geq 0$ and $= -1$ if $t < 0$. So by (3.6),

$$(3.19) \quad \exp \left\{ \pm i \int_{r'}^r \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\} = \{ \mp iC_{12} \operatorname{sgn}(r - r') + \sigma_{\pm}(\xi, r, r', \tilde{x}) \}^{-1} \\ \times \{ \mp iC_{12} \operatorname{sgn}(r - r') + \partial_{\xi}^3 \} \exp \left\{ \pm i \int_{r'}^r \sqrt{\xi - \eta(\xi)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\}.$$

Note that the support in ξ of $p_R(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x})p_R(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x})$ is contained in e_0 . Then (3.5), (3.19) and integrations by parts give

$$\int_{e_0} \exp \{ iS_{\pm}(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) + iS_{\pm}(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) \} p_R(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) p_R(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) d\xi \\ = \int_{e_0} \exp \{ iS_{\pm}(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) + iS_{\pm}(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) \} \{ \mp iC_{12} \operatorname{sgn}(r - r') - \partial_{\xi}^3 \} \\ \times [\{ \mp iC_{12} \operatorname{sgn}(r - r') + \sigma_{\pm}(\xi, r, r', \tilde{x}) \}^{-1} p_R(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) p_R(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x})] d\xi.$$

Applying (3.9), (3.10) and (3.18) in this equality, we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \int_{e_0} \exp \{ iS_{\pm}(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) + iS_{\pm}(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) \} p_R(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) p_R(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) d\xi \right| \\ \leq C_{14}(1 + |r - r'|^3)^{-1},$$

where $C_{14} > 0$ is independent of $R \geq (R_2 + 1)/2$, $r, r' \in I_R$, $\mu, \lambda \in e_0$ and $\tilde{x} \in S^{n-1}$.

Now for any $\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in \mathfrak{H}$,

$$[A_{R,\pm}(r)A_{R,\pm}(r')\phi](\mu, \tilde{x}) \\ = \int_{e_0} \phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) d\lambda \int_{e_0} \exp \{ iS_{\pm}(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) + iS_{\pm}(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) \} \\ \times p_R(\mu, \xi, r, \tilde{x}) p_R(\xi, \lambda, r', \tilde{x}) d\xi.$$

So (3.20) has shown the inequality

$$(3.21) \quad \|A_{R,\pm}(r)A_{R,\pm}(r')\| \leq C'_{14}(1 + |r - r'|^3)^{-1} \quad \text{with } C'_{14} = C_{14}|e_0|.$$

Hence, choosing $h_R(r, r') = \sqrt{C'_{14}}(1 + |r - r'|^3)^{-1/2}$ for any $R \geq (R_2 + 1)/2$, we have (3.16) and (3.17) with $C_{11} = C'_{14} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}} (1 + r^3)^{-1/2} dr \right\}^2 < \infty$. q. e. d.

Remark 3.2. The method of the above proof, which apparently seems to

be much different, however, follows the idea employed in Calderón-Vaillancourt [6]. If $V_1(x)$ is sufficiently smooth, e.g., $\in C^{19}(B(R_2))$, a general theory of Asada-Fujiwara [3] can be applied to obtain the above result.

As a corollary of Lemma 3.2 we can now prove the following

Proposition 3.1. *For any $\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in C_0^\infty(e \times S^{n-1})$, where $e \in e_0$, let $K_\pm(e)\phi$ be defined by (2.13). Then we have $K_\pm(e)\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and*

$$(3.22) \quad \|K_\pm(e)\phi\|^2 \leq C_9 \|\phi\|_{L^2(e \times S^{n-1})}^2.$$

Thus, $K_\pm(e)$ can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^2(e \times S^{n-1})$ to $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. By integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} [K_\pm(e)\phi](x) &= \frac{\pm 1}{2\sqrt{\pi i}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \psi(r) \\ &\quad \times \int_e \exp \left\{ \pm i \int_{R_2}^r \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\} \{\lambda - \eta(\lambda) V_1(x)\}^{-1/4} \phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \psi(r) \int_e \exp \left\{ \pm i \int_{R_2}^r \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\} \\ &\quad \times \partial_\lambda \left[\left\{ \int_{R_2}^r \partial_\lambda \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds \right\}^{-1} \{\lambda - \eta(\lambda) V_1(x)\}^{-1/4} \phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \right] d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This with Lemma 1.2 shows that

$$(3.23) \quad |[K_\pm(e)\phi](x)| \leq C_{15} r^{-(n-1)/2} r^{-1} \quad \text{in } B(R_2 + 1),$$

i.e., $K_\pm(e)\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$. Thus, we can apply the Lebesgue theorem and the Fubini theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.24) \quad \|K_\pm(e)\phi\|^2 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\chi(r/R) [K_\pm(e)\phi](x)|^2 dx \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_e \int_{S^{n-1}} \overline{\phi(\mu, \tilde{x})} d\mu dS \int_{R_2}^\infty \int_e \exp \{iS_\pm(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x})\} \\ &\quad \times p_R(\mu, \lambda, r, \tilde{x}) \phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) dr d\lambda = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (P_{R,\pm} \phi, \phi)_{L^2(e \times S^{n-1})} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (P_{R,\pm} \phi, \phi)_{L^2(e_0 \times S^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

(3.12) and (3.24) imply (3.22). q. e. d.

§4. Theorem; Time Dependent Representations of $U_\pm(e)$

First we note the following lemma which can easily be proved by Lemma 1.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ε and N be any constants satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $N > A_{\bar{\delta}} - A_{1/2} + 2$. Then there exist some constants $C_{16} \geq 1$ and $R_2 \geq R'_1$ such that for any $(x, \lambda) \in B(R_2) \times [A_{\bar{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, A_{1/2} + N]$,*

$$(4.1) \quad C_{16}^{-1} \leq -\partial_{\lambda}^2 \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(x) \leq C_{16}.$$

In this and next section we choose $R_2 \geq R'_1$ defining $\rho_{\pm}(x, \lambda)$ as in the above lemma, and prove the following theorem which gives time dependent representations of the stationary wave operators $U_{\pm}(e)$ with $e \in [A_{\bar{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, A_{1/2} + N]$. Note that the operators $U_{\pm}(e)$ and $J_{\pm}(e)$ and functions $v_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)$ and $g_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)$ are now determined depending on the above R_2 .

Theorem. *Let ε, N and R_2 be as in the above lemma. For any interval $e = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \subset [A_{\bar{\delta}} + 2\varepsilon, A_{1/2} + N]$ let $J_{\pm}(e): \mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ be defined by (2.14). Then the strong limits*

$$(4.2) \quad W_{\mp}^{\pm}(e) = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \exp\{iLt\} J_{\pm}(e) \exp\{-iL_0t\} \mathcal{E}_0(e)$$

exist in $L^2(\Omega)$ and coincide with the stationary wave operators $U_{\pm}(e)$ defined by (1.33). Thus, $W_{\mp}^{\pm}(e)$ are unitary operators from $\mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $\mathcal{E}(e)L^2(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{E}(e)LW_{\mp}^{\pm}(e)f = W_{\mp}^{\pm}(e)\mathcal{E}_0(e)L_0f \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{D}(L_0).$$

Remark 4.1. $K_{\pm}(e)$ and $J_{\pm}(e)$ depend on the function $\psi(r)$ given in (2.1). However, $W_{\mp}^{\pm}(e)$ does not depend on the choice of $\psi(r)$.

The following proposition will be proved in the next section by use of Lemma 4.1 and the stationary phase method.

Proposition 4.1. *For $\phi(\lambda, \bar{x}) \in C_0^{\infty}(e \times S^{n-1})$ let*

$$(4.4) \quad \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t) = \int_e \exp\{-i\lambda t\} g_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) d\lambda \quad (\pm t > 0),$$

where $g_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)$ is defined by (2.2). Then we have

$$(4.5) \quad \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(\cdot, t)\| dt < \infty.$$

Based on Propositions 2.1, 3.1 and 4.1, we can now follow the idea employed in Kitada [13], Ikebe-Isozaki [10] and Kako [11], where is treated the case of “non-oscillating” long-range potentials, to prove the above theorem.

Lemma 4.2. *We have for any $\phi(\lambda, \bar{x}) \in C_0^{\infty}(e \times S^{n-1})$,*

$$(4.6) \quad \left\| \int_e R_{\pm}(\lambda)g_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, \lambda)d\lambda \right\| \leq \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, t)\| dt.$$

Proof. Noting that $g_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, \lambda) \in L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$, where $\tilde{\beta}$ is as given in Proposition 1.3, we put

$$G_{\pm}(x) = \int_e R_{\mp}(\lambda)g_{\phi,\pm}(x, \lambda)d\lambda,$$

$$G_{\tau,\pm}(x) = \int_e R(\lambda \mp i\tau)g_{\phi,\pm}(x, \lambda)d\lambda \quad (\tau > 0),$$

where the measurability of the integrands is guaranteed by Proposition 1.1 (b) and the continuity of $g_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, \lambda)$ in $\lambda \in e$. In virtue of (2.4) we have

$$G_{\tau,\pm}(x) = -i \int_e \left[\int_0^{\pm\infty} \exp\{i(L - \lambda \pm i\tau)t\} dt \right] g_{\phi,\pm}(x, \lambda)d\lambda$$

$$= -i \int_0^{\pm\infty} \exp\{i(L \pm i\tau)t\} \hat{g}_{\phi,\pm}(x, t) dt.$$

Thus,

$$(4.7) \quad \|G_{\tau,\pm}\| \leq \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, t)\| dt < \infty \quad \text{for any } \tau > 0.$$

Further, since we have for any $f \in L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$ and $\tau > 0$,

$$(G_{\tau,\pm}, f) = \int_e (g_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, \lambda), R(\lambda \pm i\tau)f)d\lambda,$$

it follows from Proposition 1.1 (a), (b), (c) and the Lebesgue theorem that

$$\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} (G_{\tau,\pm}, f) = \int_e (g_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, \lambda), R_{\pm}(\lambda)f)d\lambda$$

$$= \int_e (R_{\mp}(\lambda)g_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, \lambda), f)d\lambda = (G_{\pm}, f).$$

$L^2_{(1+\tilde{\beta})/2}(\Omega)$ being dense in $L^2(\Omega)$, this and (4.7) imply that G_{\pm} is the weak limit as $\tau \downarrow 0$ of $G_{\tau,\pm}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Hence, $G_{\pm} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\|G_{\pm}\| \leq \liminf_{\tau \downarrow 0} \|G_{\tau,\pm}\| \leq \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\phi,\pm}(\cdot, t)\| dt,$$

which is to be proved. q. e. d.

Proof of Theorem. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy $[\mathcal{F}_0 f](\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in C^{\infty}_0(e \times S^{n-1})$, and put $u(t) = \exp\{-iL_0 t\}f$. Since $\mathcal{F}_0 u(t) = \exp\{-i\lambda t\}\mathcal{F}_0 f$ by Proposition 1.3 (c), we see that $\mathcal{F}_0 u(t)$ also belongs to $C^{\infty}_0(e \times S^{n-1})$. By Propositions 1.3 (d) and 2.1 we then have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp \{-iL t\} U_{\pm}(e) f &= U_{\pm}(e) u(t) \\ &= \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \int_e \{v_{\mathcal{F}_0 u(t), \pm}(\cdot, \lambda) - R_{\mp}(\lambda) g_{\mathcal{F}_0 u(t), \pm}(\cdot, \lambda)\} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Here by definition

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\pm 1}{2i} \int_e v_{\mathcal{F}_0 u(t), \pm}(\cdot, \lambda) d\lambda = J_{\pm}(e) u(t) = J_{\pm}(e) \exp \{-iL_0 t\} f.$$

On the other hand, the equality

$$g_{\mathcal{F}_0 u(t), \pm}(\cdot, \lambda) = \exp \{-i\lambda t\} g_{\mathcal{F}_0 f, \pm}(\cdot, \lambda)$$

and (4.4) show that

$$\hat{g}_{\mathcal{F}_0 u(t), \pm}(\cdot, s) = \hat{g}_{\mathcal{F}_0 f, \pm}(\cdot, s+t) \quad \text{for any } \pm s > 0,$$

and hence, we have from Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.1,

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \int_e R_{\mp}(\lambda) g_{\mathcal{F}_0 u(t), \pm}(\cdot, \lambda) d\lambda \right\| &\leq \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\mathcal{F}_0 f, \pm}(\cdot, s+t)\| ds \\ &= \pm \int_t^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\mathcal{F}_0 f, \pm}(\cdot, s)\| ds \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \longrightarrow \pm\infty. \end{aligned}$$

(4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) prove the following:

$$(4.11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|\exp \{iL t\} J_{\pm}(e) \exp \{-iL_0 t\} f - U_{\pm}(e) f\| = 0.$$

Since $C_0^\infty(e \times S^{n-1})$ is dense in $L^2(e \times S^{n-1})$ and \mathcal{F}_0 is a unitary operator from $\mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $L^2(e \times S^{n-1})$, (4.11) holds for any $f \in \mathcal{E}_0(e)L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The proof is thus completed.

q. e. d.

§ 5. Proof of Proposition 4.1; The Stationary Phase Method

We put for the sake of simplicity

$$(5.1) \quad \xi(x, \lambda) = \int_{R_2}^r \sqrt{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)} V_1(s\tilde{x}) ds,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) = \sqrt{\pi} \exp \{\rho_{\pm}(x, \lambda)\} g_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda),$$

where $\phi(\lambda, \tilde{x}) \in C_0^\infty(e \times S^{n-1})$ with $e = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \subset [A_{\delta} + 2\varepsilon, A_{1/2} + N]$. We can find a concrete form of $\zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)$ in (2.3).

The following lemma is easily proved by a straight calculation (cf. Lemmas 1.2, 1.3 and 4.1).

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a constant $C_{17} \geq 1$ such that for any $(x, \lambda) \in B(R_2 + 1) \times e$,*

$$(5.3) \quad C_{17}^{-1}r \leq \partial_\lambda \xi(x, \lambda) \leq C_{17}r,$$

$$(5.4) \quad C_{17}^{-1}r \leq -\partial_\lambda^2 \xi(x, \lambda) \leq C_{17}r,$$

$$(5.5) \quad |\partial_\lambda^l \xi(x, \lambda)| \leq C_{17}r \quad (l=3, 4, 5),$$

$$(5.6) \quad |\partial_\lambda^l \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_{17}r^{-1-\delta} \quad (l=0, 1, 2).$$

Let $e_1 = [\lambda_3, \lambda_4] \subset e$ be a closed interval which contains the support in λ of $\zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda)$ for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$. We put

$$(5.7) \quad t_j(x) = (\partial_\lambda \xi)(x, \lambda_j).$$

Then we have

$$(5.8) \quad C_{17}^{-1}r \leq t_2(x) < t_4(x) < t_3(x) < t_1(x) \leq C_{17}r$$

since $(\partial_\lambda \xi)(x, \lambda)$ is by (5.4) a monotone decreasing (in a strong sense) function of $\lambda \in e$ for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$. Moreover, we have the

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a constant $C_{18} \geq 1$ such that for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$,*

$$(5.9) \quad C_{18}^{-1}r \leq t_1(x) - t_3(x) \leq C_{18}r,$$

$$(5.10) \quad C_{18}^{-1}r \leq t_4(x) - t_2(x) \leq C_{18}r.$$

Proof. Since we have

$$t_1(x) - t_3(x) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \partial_\lambda^2 \xi(x, \lambda_1 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)\theta)$$

for a suitable θ ($0 < \theta = \theta(x) < 1$), (5.9) is a consequence of (5.4). (5.10) can similarly be proved. q. e. d.

With the above lemmas we shall estimate the function

$$(5.11) \quad \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t) = \int_e \exp\{-i\lambda t\} g_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) d\lambda \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{e_1} \exp\{-i\lambda t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda)\} \{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(x)\}^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) d\lambda.$$

Our estimation will be done in the each case $\pm t > t_1(x)$, $0 \leq \pm t < t_2(x)$ or $t_2(x) \leq \pm t \leq t_1(x)$.

In the case $\pm t > t_1(x)$ or $0 \leq \pm t < t_2(x)$, it holds that

$$(5.12) \quad |\partial_\lambda \{\lambda t \mp \xi(x, \lambda)\}| = |t - \partial_\lambda \xi(x, \lambda)| \geq |t| - t_3(x) \quad \text{or} \quad t_4(x) - |t|$$

for any $(x, \lambda) \in B(R_2 + 1) \times e_1$. So we can prove the

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a $C_{19} > 0$ such that*

$$(5.13) \quad |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t)| \leq C_{19} r^{-(n-1)/2} r^{-1-\delta} \{|t| - t_3(x)\}^{-2} [1 + r^2 \{|t| - t_3(x)\}^{-2}]$$

for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$ and $\pm t > t_1(x)$, and

$$(5.14) \quad |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t)| \leq C_{19} r^{-(n-1)/2} r^{-1-\delta} \{t_4(x) - |t|\}^{-2} [1 + r^2 \{t_4(x) - |t|\}^{-2}]$$

for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$ and $0 \leq \pm t < t_2(x)$.

Proof. Integrating by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{e_1} \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{\partial_\lambda(-i\lambda t \pm i\xi)} \partial_\lambda \right\}^2 \exp\{-i\lambda t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda)\} \right] \\ &\quad \times \{\lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(x)\}^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{e_1} \exp\{-i\lambda t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda)\} (t \mp \partial_\lambda \xi)^{-2} \\ &\quad \times [\partial_\lambda^2 \{(\lambda - \eta V_1)^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}\} \pm 3(\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(t \mp \partial_\lambda \xi)^{-1} \partial_\lambda \{(\lambda - \eta V_1)^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}\} \\ &\quad + \{3(\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)^2 (t \mp \partial_\lambda \xi)^{-2} \pm (\partial_\lambda^3 \xi)(t \mp \partial_\lambda \xi)^{-1}\} (\lambda - \eta V_1)^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}] d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, noting (5.12), (5.4), (5.5) and the inequality

$$(5.15) \quad |\partial_\lambda^l \{(\lambda - \eta V_1)^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}\}| \leq C_{20} r^{-1-\delta} \quad (l=0, 1, 2)$$

which follows from (5.6) and Lemma 1.2, we obtain (5.13) and (5.14). q. e. d.

Next we consider the case $t_2(x) \leq \pm t \leq t_1(x)$.

Lemma 5.4. *There exists a (unique) function $\lambda_c(x, t)$ such that for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$ and $t_2(x) \leq \pm t \leq t_1(x)$,*

$$(5.16) \quad |t| = (\partial_\lambda \xi)(x, \lambda_c(x, t)),$$

$$(5.17) \quad \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_c(x, t) \leq \lambda_2,$$

$$(5.18) \quad \lambda_c(x, -t) = \lambda_c(x, t).$$

Proof. We have only to solve in λ the equation $|t| = (\partial_\lambda \xi)(x, \lambda)$, which is possible by the monotonicity of $(\partial_\lambda \xi)(x, \lambda)$. q. e. d.

$\lambda_c(x, t)$ is the so-called critical point of $\lambda|t| - \xi(x, \lambda)$.

Let $\omega(\lambda)$ be a C^∞ -function of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq \omega(\lambda) \leq 1$, $\omega(\lambda) = 1$ for $|\lambda| \leq 1/2$ and $= 0$ for $|\lambda| \geq 1$. By use of this function we divide $\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t)$ into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} (5.19) \quad \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{e_1} \exp\{-i\lambda t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda)\} \\ &\quad \times \omega(v(x, t) \{\lambda - \lambda_c(x, t)\}) \{\lambda - \eta V_1(x)\}^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{e_1} \exp\{-i\lambda t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda)\} \\ &\quad \times \{1 - \omega(v(x, t) \{\lambda - \lambda_c(x, t)\})\} \{\lambda - \eta V_1(x)\}^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(1)}(x, t) + \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(2)}(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $v(x, t) \geq 1$ is given later. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda t \mp \xi(x, \lambda) &= \lambda_c t \mp \xi(x, \lambda_c) \mp \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \\ &\quad \mp \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \lambda_c)^3 \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)^2 (\partial_\lambda^3 \xi)(x, \lambda_c + (\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.20) \quad \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(1)}(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} r^{-(n-1)/2} \exp \{ -i\lambda_c(x, t)t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda_c(x, t)) \} \\ &\quad \times \int_{e_1} \exp \left\{ \pm \frac{i}{2}(\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \right\} a_\pm(x, t, \lambda) \exp \{ \pm ib(x, t, \lambda) \} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.21) \quad a_\pm(x, t, \lambda) = \omega(v(x, t) \{ \lambda - \lambda_c(x, t) \}) \{ \lambda - \eta(\lambda) V_1(x) \}^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda),$$

$$(5.22) \quad b(x, t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \lambda - \lambda_c(x, t) \}^3 \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)^2 (\partial_\lambda^3 \xi)(x, \lambda_c + (\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau) d\tau.$$

By (5.15) and (5.5) we have noting $v(x, t) \geq 1$,

$$(5.23) \quad |\partial_\lambda^l a_\pm(x, t, \lambda)| \leq C_{21} v^l(x, t) r^{-1-\delta} \quad (l=0, 1, 2)$$

$$(5.24) \quad |\partial_\lambda^l b(x, t, \lambda)| \leq C_{21} |\lambda - \lambda_c(x, t)|^{3-l} r \quad (l=0, 1, 2).$$

We put

$$(5.25) \quad h_\pm(x, t, \lambda) = a_\pm(x, t, \lambda) \exp \{ \pm ib(x, t, \lambda) \}.$$

Then obviously,

$$(5.26) \quad \partial_\lambda h_\pm = \{ \partial_\lambda a_\pm \pm ia_\pm \partial_\lambda b \} \exp \{ \pm ib \},$$

$$(5.27) \quad \partial_\lambda^2 h_\pm = \{ \partial_\lambda^2 a_\pm \pm 2i \partial_\lambda a_\pm \partial_\lambda b \pm ia_\pm \partial_\lambda^2 b - a_\pm (\partial_\lambda b)^2 \} \exp \{ \pm ib \}.$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let $v(x, t) = r^{1/3}$ in (5.19). Then there exists a $C_{22} > 0$ such that for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$ and $t_2(x) \leq \pm t \leq t_1(x)$,*

$$(5.28) \quad |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(1)}(x, t)| \leq C_{22} r^{-(n-1)/2} r^{-3/2-\delta}.$$

Proof. Note that the support in λ of $h_\pm(x, t, \lambda)$ is contained in e_1 . Then by use of the equality

$$h_\pm(x, t, \lambda) = h_\pm(x, t, \lambda_c) + (\lambda - \lambda_c) \int_0^1 (\partial_\lambda h_\pm)(x, t, \lambda_c + (\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau) d\tau$$

we have for any sufficiently large N ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.29) \quad & \int_{e_1} \exp \{ \pm 2^{-1} i (\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \} h_\pm(x, t, \lambda) d\lambda \\
 & = h_\pm(x, t, \lambda_c) \int_{-N}^N \exp \{ \pm 2^{-1} i (\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \} d\lambda \\
 & \quad + \int_{-N}^N \exp \{ \pm 2^{-1} i (\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \} (\lambda - \lambda_c) d\lambda \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^1 (\partial_\lambda h_\pm)(x, t, \lambda_c + (\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here applying the Fresnel integral formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.30) \quad & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N \exp \{ \pm 2^{-1} i (\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \} d\lambda \\
 & = \sqrt{2\pi} |(\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c)|^{-1/2} \exp(\mp \pi i/4).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since the Lebesgue theorem shows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 (\partial_\lambda h_\pm)(x, t, \lambda_c + (\pm N - \lambda_c)\tau) d\tau = 0,$$

integrating by parts and changing the order of integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.31) \quad & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N \exp \{ \pm 2^{-1} i (\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \} (\lambda - \lambda_c) d\lambda \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^1 (\partial_\lambda h_\pm)(x, t, \lambda_c + (\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau) d\tau \\
 & = \pm i \{ (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \}^{-1} \int_0^1 \tau d\tau \int_\Sigma \exp \{ \pm 2^{-1} i (\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 \\
 & \quad \times (\partial_\lambda^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c) \} (\partial_\lambda^2 h_\pm)(x, t, \lambda_c + (\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau) d\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Sigma = \{ \lambda; \lambda_3 - \lambda_c \leq (\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau \leq \lambda_4 - \lambda_c \quad \text{and} \quad |(\lambda - \lambda_c)\tau| \leq v^{-1} \},$$

if we note that $\omega(\lambda) = 0$ for $|\lambda| \geq 1$ and $h_\pm(x, t, \lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \notin e_1$. Taking account of (5.5), (5.23) and (5.24), we now have from (5.20), (5.27) and (5.29)—(5.31) the following inequalities which prove (5.28):

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(1)}(x, t)| & \leq C_{23} r^{-(n-1)/2} \left[r^{-1-\delta} r^{-1/2} + r^{-1} \int_0^1 \tau d\tau \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left. \int_{|\lambda - \lambda_c| < (v\tau)^{-1}} \{ v^2 + vr|\lambda - \lambda_c|^2 \tau^2 + r|\lambda - \lambda_c|\tau + r^2|\lambda - \lambda_c|^4 \tau^4 \} r^{-1-\delta} d\lambda \right] \\
 & = C_{23} r^{-(n-1)/2} r^{-1-\delta} \left[r^{-1/2} + r^{-1} \int_0^1 2\tau \left\{ v\tau^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} r v^{-2} \tau^{-1} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} r v^{-2} \tau^{-1} + \frac{1}{5} r^2 v^{-5} \tau^{-1} \right\} d\tau \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= C_{23}r^{-(n-1)/2}r^{-1-\delta} \left[r^{-1/2} + r^{-1} \left\{ 2v + \frac{5}{3}rv^{-2} + \frac{2}{5}r^2v^{-5} \right\} \right] \\
 &= C_{23}r^{-(n-1)/2}r^{-1-\delta} \left(r^{-1/2} + \frac{61}{15}r^{-2/3} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used $v = r^{1/3}$. q. e. d.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $v(x, t)$ be as in the above lemma. Then there exists a $C_{24} > 0$ such that for any $x \in B(R_2 + 1)$ and $t_2(x) \leq \pm t \leq t_1(x)$,*

$$(5.32) \quad |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(2)}(x, t)| \leq C_{24}r^{-(n-1)/2}r^{-5/3-\delta}.$$

Proof. We put

$$(5.33) \quad d_{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) = \{1 - \omega(v(x, t) \{ \lambda - \lambda_c(x, t) \})\} \{ \lambda - \eta(\lambda)V_1(x) \}^{-1/4} \zeta_{\phi, \pm}(x, \lambda).$$

Then it follows from (5.15) that

$$(5.34) \quad |\partial_{\lambda}^l d_{\pm}(x, t, \lambda)| \leq C_{25}v^l(x, t)r^{-1-\delta} \quad (l=0, 1, 2)$$

in the whole e_1 . Note that $d_{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) = 0$ in $\{ \lambda \in e_1; |\lambda - \lambda_c| < (2v)^{-1} \}$. On the other hand, it follows from (5.16) and (5.4) that for any $\lambda \in e_1$ satisfying $|\lambda - \lambda_c(x, t)| \geq (2v)^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.35) \quad |t \mp \partial_{\lambda} \xi(x, \lambda)| &= |\partial_{\lambda} \xi(x, \lambda_c) - \partial_{\lambda} \xi(x, \lambda)| \\
 &= |\lambda - \lambda_c| |(\partial_{\lambda}^2 \xi)(x, \lambda_c + (\lambda - \lambda_c)\theta)| \quad (0 < \theta < 1) \\
 &\geq (2v)^{-1} C_{17}^{-1} r = (2C_{17})^{-1} r^{2/3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, integrating by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.36) \quad \sqrt{\pi}r^{(n-1)/2} \hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(2)}(x, t) &= \int_{e_1} \exp \{ -i\lambda t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda) \} d_{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) d\lambda \\
 &= - \int_{e_1} \exp \{ -i\lambda t \pm i\xi(x, \lambda) \} (t \mp \partial_{\lambda} \xi)^{-2} [\partial_{\lambda}^2 d_{\pm} \pm 3\partial_{\lambda}^2 \xi (t \mp \partial_{\lambda} \xi)^{-1} \partial_{\lambda} d_{\pm} \\
 &\quad + \{ 3(\partial_{\lambda}^2 \xi)^2 (t \mp \partial_{\lambda} \xi)^{-2} \pm \partial_{\lambda}^3 \xi (t \mp \partial_{\lambda} \xi)^{-1} \} d_{\pm}] d\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, applying (5.34) and (5.35) in the right side of (5.36), we obtain

$$|\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(2)}(x, t)| \leq C_{26}r^{-(n-1)/2}r^{-4/3}r^{-1-\delta} \{ v^2 + rv^{-2/3}v + (r^2r^{-4/3} + rv^{-2/3}) \},$$

which proves (5.32) since $v = r^{1/3}$. q. e. d.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let μ be a constant satisfying $0 < \mu < 2\delta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.37) \quad &\left\{ \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(\cdot, t)\| dt \right\}^2 \\
 &\leq \int_0^{\pm\infty} (1 + |t|)^{-1-\mu} dt \left\{ \int_0^{\pm\infty} (1 + |t|)^{1+\mu} dt \int_{B(R_2+1)} |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t)|^2 dx \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \pm \mu^{-1} \int_{B(R_{2+1})} dx \int_0^{\pm\infty} |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t)|^2 (1 + |t|)^{1+\mu} dt.$$

We divide the integrand of the right side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (5.38) \quad & \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t)|^2 (1 + |t|)^{1+\mu} dt \\ & = \pm \left[\int_0^{\pm t_2(x)} + \int_{\pm t_2(x)}^{\pm t_1(x)} + \int_{\pm t_1(x)}^{\pm\infty} \right] |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(x, t)|^2 (1 + |t|)^{1+\mu} dt \\ & = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.14) of Lemma 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \leq \pm 2C_{19}^2 r^{-(n-1)} r^{-2-2\delta} \int_0^{\pm t_2(x)} (1 + |t|)^{1+\mu} (t_4(x) - |t|)^{-4} \\ \times [1 + r^4(t_4(x) - |t|)^{-4}] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (5.10) and (5.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \leq 2(2 + \mu)^{-1} C_{19}^2 C_{18}^4 r^{-(n-1)} r^{-2-2\delta} r^{-4} (1 + C_{17} r)^{2+\mu} (1 + C_{18}^4) \\ \leq C_{27} r^{-(n-1)} r^{-4-2\delta+\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.13) of Lemma 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 \leq \pm 2C_{19}^2 r^{-(n-1)} r^{-2-2\delta} \int_{\pm t_1(x)}^{\pm\infty} (1 + |t|)^{1+\mu} (|t| - t_3(x))^{-4} \\ \times [1 + r^4(|t| - t_3(x))^{-4}] dt \\ \leq \pm 2C_{19}^2 r^{-(n-1)} r^{-2-2\delta} \int_{\pm t_1(x)}^{\pm\infty} 2^\mu \{ (|t| - t_3(x))^{1+\mu} + (t_3(x) + 1)^{1+\mu} \} \\ \times (|t| - t_3(x))^{-4} [1 + r^4(|t| - t_3(x))^{-4}] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (5.9) and (5.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 \leq 2^{1+\mu} C_{19}^2 r^{-(n-1)} r^{-2-2\delta} \{ (2 - \mu)^{-1} (C_{18} r)^{-2+\mu} + 3^{-1} (1 + C_{17} r)^{1+\mu} \\ \times (C_{18} r)^{-3} \} (1 + C_{18}^4) \\ \leq C_{28} r^{-(n-1)} r^{-4-2\delta+\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \leq \pm 2 \int_{\pm t_2(x)}^{\pm t_1(x)} (1 + |t|)^{1+\mu} \{ |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(1)}(x, t)|^2 + |\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}^{(2)}(x, t)|^2 \} dt \\ \leq 2(2 + \mu)^{-1} r^{-(n-1)} (C_{22}^2 r^{-3-2\delta} + C_{24}^2 r^{-10/3-2\delta}) (1 + t_1(x))^{2+\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (5.8) that

$$I_2 \leq C_{29} r^{-(n-1)} r^{-1-2\delta+\mu}.$$

Summarizing these inequalities, we have from (5.37) and (5.38),

$$\left\{ \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \|\hat{g}_{\phi, \pm}(\cdot, t)\| dt \right\}^2 \\ \leq \mu^{-1} \int_{B(\mathbf{R}_{2+1})} \{ (C_{27} + C_{28})r^{-4-2\delta+\mu} + C_{29}r^{-1-2\delta+\mu} \} r^{-(n-1)} dx < \infty .$$

Thus, (4.5) holds and the proof of Proposition 4.1 is complete. q. e. d.

References

- [1] Alsholm, P. and Kato, T., Scattering with long-range potentials, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **23** (1973), 393–399.
- [2] Amrein, W. O., Martin, Ph. A. and Misra, B., On the asymptotic condition of scattering theory, *Helv. Phys. Acta*, **43** (1970), 313–344.
- [3] Asada, K. and Fujiwara, D., On some oscillatory integral transformations in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *Japan J. Math.*, **4** (1978), 299–361.
- [4] Ben-Artzi, M. and Devinatz, A., Spectral and scattering for the adiabatic oscillator and related potentials, *J. Math. Phys.*, **20** (1979), 594–607.
- [5] Buslaev, V. S. and Matveev, V. B., Wave operators for the Schrödinger equation with a slowly decreasing potential, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **2** (1970), 266–274 (English trans. from Russian).
- [6] Calderón, A. P. and Vaillancourt, R., A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **69** (1972), 1185–1187.
- [7] Dollard, J. D., Asymptotic convergence and the Coulomb interaction, *J. Math. Phys.*, **5** (1964), 729–738.
- [8] Dollard, J. D. and Friedman, C. N., Existence of the Møller wave operators for $V(r) = \lambda \sin(\mu r^\alpha)/r^\beta$, *Ann. Phys.*, **111** (1978), 251–266.
- [9] Hörmander, L., The existence of wave operators in scattering theory, *Math. Z.*, **146** (1976), 69–91.
- [10] Ikebe, T. and Isozaki, H., A stationary approach to the completeness of long-range wave operators (preprint 1980).
- [11] Kako, T., Existence and equivalence of two types of long-range modified wave operators, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA*, **25** (1978), 133–147.
- [12] Kato, T., Scattering theory with two Hilbert spaces, *J. Func. Anal.*, **1** (1967), 342–369.
- [13] Kitada, H., Scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with long-range potentials, II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **30** (1978), 603–632.
- [14] Mochizuki, K. and Uchiyama, J., Radiation conditions and spectral theory for 2-body Schrödinger operators with “oscillating” long-range potentials, I, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **18** (1978), 377–408.
- [15] ———, Radiation conditions and spectral theory for 2-body Schrödinger operators with “oscillating” long-range potentials, II, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **19** (1979), 47–70.
- [16] Yosida, K., *Functional analysis*, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, Springer 1965.