

On the Completion of the G -Equivariant Unitary Cobordism Rings of G -Spaces

Dedicated to Professor Minoru Nakaoka on his sixtieth birthday.

By

Michikazu FUJII* and Masayoshi KAMATA**

§ 1. Introduction

Let G be a compact abelian Lie group. We treat G -equivariant unitary cobordism theories $U_G^*(X)$ and $U^*((EG \times X)/G)$. Denote by I_G the kernel of the forgetful homomorphism

$$\psi: U_G^* \rightarrow U^*$$

and by I the kernel of the augmentation

$$\varepsilon: U^*(BG) \rightarrow U^*.$$

A natural transformation introduced by tom-Dieck [4], [5], [6], [8]

$$\alpha: U_G^*(X) \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)$$

of multiplicative equivariant cohomology theories, which preserves Thom classes, derives a homomorphism

$$\hat{\alpha}: \widehat{U_G^*(X)} \rightarrow \widehat{U^*((EG \times X)/G)}$$

between the I_G -adic completion $\widehat{U_G^*(X)}$ and the I -adic completion $\widehat{U^*((EG \times X)/G)}$. When X is a point, it is shown by Löffler [14] that $\hat{\alpha}$ is isomorphic. On the other hand, the G -equivariant unitary cobordism is related to K_G -theory, [1], [17], by a natural transformation

$$\mu_G: U_G^*(X) \rightarrow K_G^*(X)$$

(cf. [2]). Taking up a multiplicative system T_κ consisting of all one dimensional representations in the representation ring $R(G) \cong K_G(\text{pt})$, the

Communicated by N. Shimada, June 25, 1982.

* Department of Mathematics, Okayama University, Okayama 700, Japan.

** Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 810, Japan.

localization $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X)$ by $T = \mu_{\mathfrak{G}}^{-1}(T_K)$ is also related to $K_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X)$ by $\mu_{\mathfrak{G}}$. In the present paper the authors determine a simple system of $U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*$ -algebra $U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(P(V))$, $P(V)$ the complex projective space in a complex G -module V , and observe the relation of $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X)$ to $K_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X)$ (cf. [15]). Furthermore we observe a natural transformation from $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X)$ to $U^*((EG \times X)/G)$ for $G = S^1$ or Z_p , and obtain that if $G = S^1$ or Z_p , $U^*(X^{\mathfrak{G}})$ is a free U^* -module and $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X)$ is a free $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*$ -module, then $\hat{\alpha}: \widehat{U_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X)} \rightarrow \widehat{U^*((EG \times X)/G)}$ is isomorphic.

§ 2. On a Simple System of the $h_{\mathfrak{G}}^*$ -Algebra $h_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(P(V))$

Let $h_{\mathfrak{G}}$ be a multiplicative G -equivariant cohomology theory equipped with the suspension isomorphism $\sigma_V: \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{*+|V|}(V^c \wedge X)$, $|V| = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$, for any complex G -module V . We assume that for any complex G -vector bundle $\xi: E \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ over a compact G -space X there exists a Thom class $t(\xi)$ in $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{|\xi|}(T(\xi))$, where $T(\xi)$ denotes the Thom complex of ξ and $|\xi| = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \xi$. Thom classes are defined as classes with the following properties:

- (1) (naturality) $t(f^*\xi) = f^*t(\xi)$
- (2) (multiplicativity) $t(\xi \times \eta) = t(\xi) \wedge t(\eta)$
- (3) (normality) $t(\underline{V}) = \sigma_V(1)$ where $\underline{V}: V \rightarrow \{a \text{ point}\}$.

Then we obtain the Thom isomorphism for a complex G -vector bundle $\xi: E \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ over a compact G -space X :

$$\mathcal{O}: h_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{*+|\xi|}(T(\xi))$$

which is defined by $\mathcal{O}(x) = \hat{d}^*(x \wedge t(\xi))$, where \hat{d} is the map induced from a map $d: E \rightarrow X \times E$, $e \mapsto (\pi(e), e)$. The Euler class $e(\xi)$ of ξ is defined by

$$e(\xi) = s^*t(\xi)$$

where $s: X^+ \rightarrow T(\xi)$ is the zero section.

The complex projective space $P(V)$ for a complex G -module V is the quotient space of the unit sphere $S(V)$ in V under the identification $v \equiv \lambda v$, $\lambda \in S^1$. Let $\rho_V: G \rightarrow U(n)$, $n = \dim V$, be the unitary representation corresponding to the complex G -module V . A G -action on $P(V)$ is

given by letting φ take $[v]$ to $[\rho_V(\varphi)v]$. The fixed point set $P(V)^G$ is a disjoint union of complex projective spaces. Taking a complex G -module W , let $E(V; W)$ be a quotient space of $S(V) \times W$ under the equivalence relation which relates (v, w) to $(\lambda v, \lambda^{-1}w)$ for all $v \in S(V)$, $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in S^1$, which has a G -action given by $\varphi[v, w] = [\rho_V(\varphi)v, \rho_W(\varphi)w]$. We then have an equivariant complex G -vector bundle

$$\pi: E(V; W) \rightarrow P(V)$$

given by $\pi[v, w] = [v]$ which is denoted by $\eta(V; W)$. For complex G -modules W_1 and W_2 with the representations $\rho_{W_1}: G \rightarrow U(n_1)$ and $\rho_{W_2}: G \rightarrow U(n_2)$ respectively, one has the complex G -modules \overline{W}_1 for the representation given by $\rho_{\overline{W}_1}(\varphi) = \overline{\rho_{W_1}(\varphi)}$ and $W_1 \otimes W_2$ for the representation given by $\rho_{W_1 \otimes W_2}(\varphi) = \rho_{W_1}(\varphi) \otimes \rho_{W_2}(\varphi)$. The proof of the following proposition is clear.

Proposition 2.1. *If L_1 and L_2 are one dimensional complex G -modules, then $\eta(V; L_1)$ is isomorphic to $\eta(V \otimes L_2; L_1 \otimes \overline{L}_2)$.*

The Thom complex $T(\xi)$ is a quotient space $D(\xi)/S(\xi)$ of the disk bundle $D(\xi)$ collapsing the sphere bundle $S(\xi)$. We obtain the following basic result which plays an important role in the computation of $U_G^*(P(V))$.

Proposition 2.2. (1) *The map $\phi: P(W \oplus V)/P(W) \rightarrow T(\eta(V; \overline{W}))$ defined by*

$$\phi([w, v]) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1}{\|v\|}v, \frac{1}{\|v\|}\overline{w} \right] & \text{for } v \neq 0 \\ \text{the base point} & \text{for } v = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a G -homeomorphism.

(2) *Suppose that L is a one dimensional complex G -module. Then $P(L \oplus V)$ is G -homeomorphic to $T(\eta(V; \overline{L}))$.*

We consider the injection $i: T(\eta(V; \overline{L})) \rightarrow T(\eta(L \oplus V; \overline{L}))$ induced from the bundle map $\eta(V; \overline{L}) \rightarrow \eta(L \oplus V; \overline{L})$ taking $[v, z]$ to $[0, v, z]$, and the map $j: P(L \oplus V)^+ \rightarrow T(\eta(V; \overline{L}))$ induced from the G -homeo-

morphism of Proposition 2.2 (2). We give the following relation among i , s and j .

Proposition 2.3. *The following diagram is commutative up to G -homotopy:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & T(\eta(L \oplus V; \bar{L})) \\
 & \nearrow i & \uparrow s \\
 T(\eta(V; \bar{L})) & \xleftarrow{j} & P(L \oplus V)^+ .
 \end{array}$$

Proof. The homotopy $H: P(L \oplus V)^+ \times I \rightarrow T(\eta(L \oplus V; \bar{L}))$ combining s and $i \circ j$ is given by

$$H([\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}], t) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{t}{\|(t\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v})\|} \mathbf{z}, \frac{1}{\|(t\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v})\|} \mathbf{v}, \frac{1-t}{\|(t\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v})\|} \bar{\mathbf{z}} \right] & \text{if } (\mathbf{v}, t) \neq (0, 0) \\ \text{the base point} & \text{if } (\mathbf{v}, t) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

and

$$H(\text{the base point}, t) = \text{the base point.} \qquad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

The injection i of Proposition 2.3 induces the homomorphism $i^*: \tilde{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*(T(\eta(L \oplus V; \bar{L}))) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*(T(\eta(V; \bar{L})))$ which takes $t(\eta(L \oplus V; \bar{L}))$ to $t(\eta(V; \bar{L}))$. For the map $\underline{i}: P(V) \rightarrow P(L \oplus V)$ given by $\underline{i}([\mathbf{v}]) = [0, \mathbf{v}]$, we have the Gysin homomorphism (cf. [10])

$$\underline{i}_! : h_\mathbb{Z}^*(P(V)) \longrightarrow h_\mathbb{Z}^*(P(L \oplus V))$$

defined by the following composition

$$\underline{i}_! : h_\mathbb{Z}^*(P(V)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*(T(\eta(V; \bar{L}))) \xrightarrow{j^*} h_\mathbb{Z}^*(P(L \oplus V))$$

where \mathcal{O} is the Thom isomorphism and j is the map of Proposition 2.3. Then we obtain the following

Proposition 2.4. *For any $a \in h_\mathbb{Z}^*(P(L \oplus V))$*

$$\underline{i}_!(\underline{i}^*(a)) = e(\eta(L \oplus V; \bar{L})) \cdot a .$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.3, we calculate

$$\underline{i}_!(\underline{i}^*(a)) = j^* \mathcal{O} \underline{i}^*(a) = j^* i^* \mathcal{O}(a) = s^* \mathcal{O}(a) = e(\eta(L \oplus V; \bar{L})) \cdot a .$$

Q.E.D.

We now determine the simple system of the h_G^* -algebra $h_G^*(P(V))$ as follows.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that V is G -isomorphic to a direct sum $L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$ of one dimensional complex G -modules L_i . Then $h_G^*(P(V))$ is a free h_G^* -module with the basis:*

$$1, x_1, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}$$

where $x_j = e(\eta(V; \bar{L}_j))$.

Proof. We prove by induction on n . Since $P(L_1)$ is a point, the case of $n=1$ is clear. As an inductive hypothesis we assume that $h_G^*(P(V'))$, $V' = L_2 \oplus L_3 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$, is a free h_G^* -module with the basis $1, x'_2, x'_2x'_3, \dots, x'_2x'_3 \dots x'_{n-1}$, where $x'_j = e(\eta(V'; \bar{L}_j))$. The short exact sequence for the pair $(P(V), P(L_1))$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{h}_G^*(P(V)/P(L_1)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}^*} h_G^*(P(V)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}^*} h_G^*(P(L_1)) \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that $h_G^*(P(V))$ is isomorphic to $h_G^*(P(L_1)) \oplus \tilde{j}^* \tilde{h}_G^*(P(V)/P(L_1))$. The following diagram is commutative by Proposition 2. 2.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{h}_G^*(P(V)/P(L_1)) & \xleftarrow{\phi^*} & \tilde{h}_G^*(T(\eta(V'; \bar{L}_1))) \\ \downarrow \tilde{j}^* & \swarrow j^* & \uparrow \emptyset: Thom \ isomorphism \\ h_G^*(P(V)) & & h_G^*(P(V')) \end{array}$$

Hence, $h_G^*(P(V))$ is isomorphic to $h_G^*(P(L_1)) \oplus j^* \emptyset h_G^*(P(V'))$. Proposition 2. 4 implies that

$$j^* \emptyset (x'_2 \dots x'_k) = x_1x_2 \dots x_k .$$

This completes the proof.

We shall now proceed to analyze the relations among the x_i 's.

Proposition 2. 6. *In the situation of Theorem 2.5, the following relation holds:*

$$x_1x_2 \dots x_n = 0$$

where $x_j = e(\eta(V; \bar{L}_j))$.

Proof. We prove by induction on n . At first we consider the exact sequence for the pair $(P(L_1 \oplus V), P(L_1))$

$$\tilde{h}_G^*(P(L_1 \oplus V)/P(L_1)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}^*} h_G^*(P(L_1 \oplus V)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}^*} h_G^*(P(L_1)).$$

Then, by making use of Proposition 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(\eta(L_1; \bar{L}_1)) &= \tilde{i}^* e(\eta(L_1 \oplus V; \bar{L}_1)) \\ &= \tilde{i}^* j^* t(\eta(V; \bar{L}_1)) \\ &= \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}^* \phi^* t(\eta(V; \bar{L}_1)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $x_1 = 0$ in $h_G^*(P(L_1))$. Next, suppose that $x'_2 \cdots x'_n = 0$ in $h_G^*(P(V'))$, where $V' = L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$ and $x'_j = e(\eta(V'; \bar{L}_j))$. It follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = \underline{i}_1 \underline{i}^*(x_2 \cdots x_n) = 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Proposition 2.7. *In the situation of Theorem 2.5, the following relation holds:*

$$(x - e(L_1))(x - e(L_2)) \cdots (x - e(L_n)) = 0$$

where $x = e(\eta(V; C))$ and $e(L_j)$ denotes the Euler class for a G -vector bundle $L_j \rightarrow \{\text{a point}\}$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on n . For $n = 1$, we consider the bundle map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(L_1; C) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & L_1 \\ \eta(L_1; C) & \downarrow & \downarrow L_1 \\ P(L_1) & \xrightarrow{c} & \{\text{pt}\} \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{c}([v, z]) = zv$. Since $e(\eta(L_1; C)) = c^*(e(L_1))$ which is denoted by $e(L_1)$, one has $e(\eta(L_1; C)) - e(L_1) = 0$. Suppose that in $h_G^*(P(V'))$, $V' = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n-1}$,

$$(x' - e(L_1))(x' - e(L_2)) \cdots (x' - e(L_{n-1})) = 0$$

where $x' = e(\eta(V'; C))$. Take G -invariant subspaces in $P(V)$

$$P_0 = \{[z_1, \dots, z_n] \mid \|z_n\| < 1\} \xrightarrow{i_0} P(V)$$

and

$$P_1 = \{[z_1, \dots, z_n] \mid \|z_n\| > 0\} \xrightarrow{i_1} P(V)$$

where each i_s denotes the natural inclusion. The injections $\tilde{i}_0: P(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_{n-1}) \rightarrow P_0$ defined by $\tilde{i}_0([z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}]) = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0]$ and $\tilde{i}_1: P(L_n) \rightarrow P_1$ by $\tilde{i}_1([z]) = [0, \dots, 0, z]$ give G -equivariant homotopy equivalences, respectively. Then one has the following:

$$i_0^*((x - e(L_1))(x - e(L_2)) \cdots (x - e(L_{n-1}))) = 0$$

$$i_1^*((x - e(L_n))) = 0.$$

Here, we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_G^*(P(V), P_0) \otimes h_G^*(P(V), P_1) & \xrightarrow{j_0^* \otimes j_1^*} & h_G^*(P(V)) \otimes h_G^*(P(V)) \\ \downarrow \times & & \downarrow \times \\ h_G^*(P(V) \times P(V), P(V) \times P_1 \smile P_0 \times P(V)) & \xrightarrow{(j_0 \times j_1)^*} & h_G^*(P(V) \times P(V)) \\ \downarrow d^* & & \downarrow d^* \\ 0 = h_G^*(P(V), P(V)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & h_G^*(P(V)) \end{array}$$

where j_0, j_1 and j are natural injections and d is the diagonal map. Since there are elements a in $h_G^*(P(V), P_0)$ and b in $h_G^*(P(V), P_1)$ such that

$$j_0^*(a) = (x - e(L_1))(x - e(L_2)) \cdots (x - e(L_{n-1}))$$

$$j_1^*(b) = x - e(L_n),$$

it follows that

$$(x - e(L_1))(x - e(L_2)) \cdots (x - e(L_n)) = 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Here we shall observe the ring structure of $K_G^*(P(V))$, where $V = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$ and $\dim L_j = 1$. We can see that in K_G -theory

$$x_j = 1 - \overline{L_j} \cdot \eta(V; C) \quad \text{and} \quad e(L_j) = 1 - L_j.$$

Then Proposition 2.6 implies that

$$(1 - L_1 \cdot \overline{\eta(V; C)})(1 - L_2 \cdot \overline{\eta(V; C)}) \cdots (1 - L_n \cdot \overline{\eta(V; C)}) = 0$$

and

$$\sum (-1)^i \lambda_i(V) \overline{\{\eta(V; C)\}}^i = 0.$$

Therefore it follows from Theorem 2.5 that

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that $V = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$ where L_j is a one dimensional complex G -module. Then $K_G^*(P(V))$ is isomorphic to*

$$K_G^*(\text{pt}) [\overline{\eta(V; C)}] / (\sum (-1)^i \lambda_i(V) \overline{\{\eta(V; C)\}}^i).$$

Let $P(\xi) \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ be the projective space bundle associated with a complex G -vector bundle ξ and let η_P be the canonical line bundle over $P(\xi)$. Making use of the local triviality for complex G -vector bundles, G a compact abelian Lie group (cf. [9]), and the Mayer-Vietoris argument, we obtain

Theorem 2.9 (Segal [17]). *Suppose that ξ is an n -dimensional complex G -vector bundle over a compact G -space X . Then $K_G^*(P(\xi))$ is isomorphic to*

$$K_G^*(X) [\overline{\eta_P}] / (\sum (-1)^i \pi^* \lambda_i(\xi) \overline{\eta_P}^i).$$

§ 3. On the Natural Transformation $\alpha: U_G^*(X) \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)$

Let X be a compact Hausdorff G -space and let h_G^* be the equivariant cohomology theory treated in section 2. For a complex G -module V , we consider the G -vector bundle $\underline{V}: X \times V \rightarrow X$ and denote by $e(\underline{V})$ the Euler class in the h_G^* -theory. When we discuss the $U^*((EG \times -)/G)$ -theory, where $EG \rightarrow BG$ is the universal G -principal bundle, the Euler class $e(\underline{V})$ is interpreted as the Euler class for the complex vector bundle $EG \times_G \underline{V}: (EG \times X \times V)/G \rightarrow (EG \times X)/G$ in the U^* -theory. In particular, regarding EG as the direct limit space $\lim EG^{(n)}$ of G -invariant n -connected finite CW-complexes $EG^{(n)}$, one has that if X is a finite G -CW-complex, then

$$U^*((EG \times X)/G) = \lim_{\longleftarrow} U^*((EG^{(n)} \times X)/G)$$

(cf. [1], [12], [19]). And we see that there holds the Thom isomorphism in the theory $h_G^*(-) = U^*((EG \times -)/G)$ for any finite dimen-

sional complex G -vector bundle over a finite G -CW-complex.

Let M and N be closed G -manifolds. For a G -map $f: M \rightarrow N$ with a complex orientation which is compatible with the G -action [10], [16], we obtain a Gysin homomorphism

$$f_! : \tilde{h}_G^*(M^+) \longrightarrow \tilde{h}_G^{*+\dim N - \dim M}(N^+).$$

The Gysin homomorphisms satisfy the following properties:

$$(3.1) \quad (gf)_! = g_! f_!$$

$$(3.2) \quad f_!(f^*(x) \cup y) = x \cup f_!(y).$$

The exact sequence of the pair $(D(V), S(V))$ of the unit disk $D(V)$ and the unit sphere $S(V)$ in a complex G -module V and the Thom isomorphism imply the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *There exists an exact sequence*

$$\longrightarrow \tilde{h}_G^*(pt^+) \xrightarrow{\cdot e(V)} \tilde{h}_G^{*+|V|}(pt^+) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \tilde{h}_G^{*+|V|}(S(V)^+) \xrightarrow{\pi_!} \tilde{h}_G^{*+1}(pt^+) \longrightarrow$$

where $\pi: S(V) \rightarrow pt = \{a \text{ point}\}$ and $|V| = 2 \dim_G V$.

Let $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$ be a set consisting of all finite dimensional complex G -modules which have no trivial summand and let

$$S_{h_G} = \{e(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}\}.$$

We denote by $S_{h_G}^{-1}h_G^*(X)$ the localized module of the h_G^* -module $h_G^*(X)$ consisting of all fractions $\{x/e(V) \mid x \in h_G^*(X) \text{ and } e(V) \in S_{h_G}\}$. For complex G -modules V and W we consider the natural injection $j_{V, V \oplus W}: S(V) \rightarrow S(V \oplus W)$ defined by $j(v) = (v, 0)$ and the direct limit

$$\varinjlim \tilde{h}_G^{*+|V|}(S(V)^+)$$

with respect to the direct system $\{\tilde{h}_G^{*+|V|}(S(V)^+), j_{V, V \oplus W}|V, W \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}\}$. Then one has the following result, which is applied to $\tilde{h}_G^*(-) = \tilde{U}_G^*(X^+ \wedge -)$, $\tilde{U}^*((EG^+ \wedge X^+ \wedge -)/G)$, X a finite G -CW-complex.

Proposition 3.4. *There exists an exact sequence:*

$$\longrightarrow \tilde{h}_G^*(pt^+) \longrightarrow S_{h_G}^{-1}\tilde{h}_G^*(pt^+) \longrightarrow \varinjlim \tilde{h}_G^{*+|V|}(S(V)^+) \longrightarrow \tilde{h}_G^{*+1}(pt^+) \longrightarrow .$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \rightarrow \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^*(\text{pt}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cdot e(V)} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V|}(\text{pt}^+) & \xrightarrow{\pi_V^*} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V|}(S(V)^+) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+1}(\text{pt}^+) \rightarrow \\
 (*) \quad \downarrow = & (1) & \downarrow \cdot e(W) & (2) & \downarrow j_{V, V \oplus W} & (3) & \downarrow = \\
 \rightarrow \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^*(\text{pt}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cdot e(V \oplus W)} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}(\text{pt}^+) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{V \oplus W}^*} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}(S(V \oplus W)^+) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+1}(\text{pt}^+) \rightarrow .
 \end{array}$$

The multiplicativity of the Euler classes and (3.1) imply the commutativity of (1) and (3), respectively. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. For the disk $D(W; \varepsilon) = \{w \in W \mid \|w\| \leq \varepsilon\}$ and the sphere $S(W; \varepsilon) = \{w \in W \mid \|w\| = \varepsilon\}$, a map $j_1: D(W) \rightarrow D(W; \varepsilon)$ given by $j_1(w) = \varepsilon w$ induces a map $\tilde{j}_1: D(W)/S(W) \rightarrow D(W; \varepsilon)/S(W; \varepsilon)$. We define a map $j: S(V \oplus W) \rightarrow (S(V) \times D(W; \varepsilon))/ (S(V) \times S(W; \varepsilon))$ by

$$j(v, w) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{v}{\|v\|}, w \right] & \text{if } \|w\| < \varepsilon \\ \text{the base point} & \text{if } \|w\| \geq \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and define maps $\pi_1: (S(V) \times D(W; \varepsilon))/ (S(V) \times S(W; \varepsilon)) \rightarrow D(W; \varepsilon)/S(W; \varepsilon)$ and $\pi_2: S(V \oplus W) \rightarrow D(W)$ by $\pi_1([v, w]) = [w]$ and $\pi_2(v, w) = w$, respectively. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V|}(\text{pt}^+) & \xrightarrow{\emptyset_\varepsilon} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}(D(W; \varepsilon)/S(W; \varepsilon)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}_1^*} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}(D(W)/S(W)) \\
 \downarrow \pi_V^* & & \downarrow \pi_1^* & & \downarrow p^* \\
 \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V|}(S(V)^+) & \xrightarrow{\emptyset} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}((S(V) \times D(W; \varepsilon))/ (S(V) \times S(W; \varepsilon))) & & \\
 \searrow j_{V, V \oplus W} & & \downarrow j^* & & \\
 & & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}(S(V \oplus W)^+) & \xleftarrow{\pi_2^*} & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}(D(W)^+) \\
 & & \swarrow \pi_{V \oplus W}^* & & \downarrow s^* \\
 & & & & \tilde{h}_\varepsilon^{*+|V \oplus W|}(\text{pt}^+)
 \end{array}$$

where \emptyset and \emptyset_ε denote the Thom isomorphisms, p the projection, and s the zero section. We can see that $j_{V, V \oplus W}: S(V) \rightarrow S(V \oplus W)$ is the G -embedding and the tubular neighborhood of $S(V)$ in $S(V \oplus W)$ is G -homeomorphic to $S(V) \times \mathring{D}(W; \varepsilon)$ by j , where $\mathring{D}(W; \varepsilon) = \{w \in W \mid \|w\| < \varepsilon\}$. Hence we obtain that $j^*\emptyset = j_{V, V \oplus W}$. It is easy to see the commutativity of the others. Noting that $\tilde{j}_1^*\emptyset_\varepsilon$ is the Thom isomorphism \emptyset :

$\tilde{h}_G^* \text{pt} \rightarrow \tilde{h}_G^* (D(W)/S(W))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j_{r, r \oplus w} \pi_V^* (x) &= \pi_{V \oplus W}^* \rho^* \tilde{f}_1^* \tilde{\theta}_\varepsilon (x) \\ &= \pi_{V \oplus W}^* \rho^* \tilde{\theta} (x) \\ &= \pi_{V \oplus W}^* (x \cdot e(W)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the square (2) in the diagram (*) is commutative. Taking the direct limit for the diagram, we have the proposition.

Let X^G be the fixed point set of a G -space X . T. tom-Dieck [7] proved the following proposition for equivariant cohomology theories equipped with the continuity axiom discussed in [7].

Proposition 3.5. $S_{h_G}^{-1} \tilde{h}_G^* (X^+) \cong S_{h_G}^{-1} \tilde{h}_G^* ((X^G)^+)$.

Now let us summarize some basic properties of the natural transformation

$$\alpha: U_G^* (X) \rightarrow U^* ((EG \times X)/G)$$

of equivariant cohomology theories which is introduced by tom-Dieck (cf. [4], [5], [6], [8], [13]):

(3.6) α is a U^* -homomorphism.

(3.7) α is multiplicative.

(3.8) If X is a compact free G -space, then α is isomorphic.

(3.9) α preserves the Thom classes.

(3.10) For $G = Z_p$ or S^1 , $\alpha: U_G^* \rightarrow U^*(BG)$ is injective.

For a trivial G -space X , one has a natural monomorphism

$$\iota: U^*(X) \rightarrow U_G^*(X)$$

by taking $x = [f: S^{2n-k} \wedge X^+ \rightarrow MU(n)]$ to an element of $U_G^*(X)$ with the representative f . For any G -space Y , $U_G^*(Y)$ is a U^* -module by the homomorphism

$$m: U^* \otimes U_G^*(Y) \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes id} U_G^* \otimes U_G^*(Y) \xrightarrow{m_G} U_G^*(Y)$$

where m_G is the multiplication in U_G^* -theory. We now obtain

Proposition 3.11 ([4], [14]). *For $G=S^1$ or Z_p , U_G^* is a flat U^* -module.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 U^{k+|V|}((EG \times S(V))/G) & \cong & U^{k+|V|}(S(V)/G) & \stackrel{D}{\cong} & U_{-k-1-\dim G}(S(V)/G) \\
 \downarrow j_{V, V \oplus W!} & & \downarrow j_{V, V \oplus W!} & & \downarrow j_{V, V \oplus W_*} \\
 U^{k+|V \oplus W|}((EG \times S(V \oplus W))/G) & \cong & U^{k+|V \oplus W|}(S(V \oplus W)/G) & \stackrel{D}{\cong} & U_{-k-1-\dim G}(S(V \oplus W)/G)
 \end{array}$$

where D denotes the Atiyah-Poincaré duality isomorphism, we have an isomorphism

$$\lim_{\longrightarrow} U^{*+|V|}((EG \times S(V))/G) \cong U_{-* - 1 - \dim G}(BG).$$

Therefore it follows from Proposition 3.4 that there exists an exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow U_{-* - \dim G}(BG) \rightarrow U_G^* \xrightarrow{\lambda} S_{U_G^*}^{-1} U_G^* \rightarrow U_{-* - 1 - \dim G}(BG) \rightarrow U_G^{*+1} \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Suppose that $G=Z_p$. Then we have an exact sequence (cf. [5])

$$0 \longrightarrow U^* \longrightarrow U_G^* \xrightarrow{\lambda} S_{U_G^*}^{-1} U_G^* \longrightarrow U_{* - 1}(BG) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Let \mathfrak{u}_*^G denote the bordism algebra of G -actions with unrestricted isotropy groups on closed U -manifolds. Let $\mathfrak{M}_*^G(G)$ denote the bordism algebra of pairs (T, W) , where T is a smooth G -action on the compact U -manifold W with no fixed points in the boundary of W . Then we have the following exact sequence [3]:

$$0 \longrightarrow U_* \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{u}_*^G \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{M}_*^G(G) \longrightarrow U_{* - 1}(BG) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

In [18], R. E. Stong shows that \mathfrak{u}_*^G is a free U^* -module on even dimensional generators and \mathfrak{u}_0^G is a free abelian group on the actions $[G/H, \mathfrak{m}]$, where \mathfrak{m} is the multiplication and H runs through all subgroups of G . Furthermore the image of α is then generated by $[G, \mathfrak{m}]$. Therefore the cokernel of α is a free U^* -module, and there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_*^U(G) \longrightarrow U_{*-1}(BG) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathfrak{M}_*^U(G)$ is a free U_* -module [3], the projective dimension of the U_* -module $U_*(BG)$ is less than or equal to 1. Consider now the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Image } \lambda \longrightarrow S_{U_*}^{-1} U_*^* \longrightarrow U_{-* -1}(BG) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Noting that $S_{U_*}^{-1} U_*^*$ is a free U^* -module (cf. [5]), we have that for any U^* -module R , $\text{Tor}_{U^*}^1(\text{Image } \lambda, R) = 0$. Making use of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U^* \longrightarrow U_*^* \longrightarrow \text{Image } \lambda \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have that $\text{Tor}_{U^*}^1(U_*^*, R) = 0$ and U_*^* is a flat U^* -module.

Suppose that $G = S^1$. Then we have a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow U_*^* \longrightarrow S_{U_*}^{-1} U_*^* \longrightarrow U_{-* -2}(BG) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $S_{U_*}^{-1} U_*^*$ and $U_*(BG)$ are free U^* -modules (cf. [3], [5]), U_*^* is a projective U^* -module. Q.E.D.

As described in [4], we obtain

Proposition 3.12. *Let G be Z_p or S^1 . If X is a finite CW-complex with the trivial G -action, then there is a U^* -isomorphism:*

$$m_\alpha : U_*^* \otimes_{U^*} U^*(X) \longrightarrow U_*^*(X).$$

Proposition 3.13. *Let G be Z_p or S^1 . If X is a finite CW-complex with the trivial G -action and $U^*(X)$ is a free U^* -module,*

$$\alpha : U_*^*(X) \rightarrow U^*(BG \times X)$$

is injective.

Proof. (3.7) derives the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_*^* \otimes_{U^*} U^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes 1} & U^*(BG) \otimes_{U^*} U^*(X) \\ m_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ U_*^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & U^*(BG \times X) \end{array} .$$

Since $U^*(X)$ is the free U^* -module, (3.10) implies that $\alpha \otimes 1$ is injective.

By [11] \mathfrak{m} is isomorphic. Hence α is injective. Q.E.D.

Remark. If X is a finite CW-complex and the integral cohomology $H^*(X)$ has no torsion, we use the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for $U^*(X)$ to obtain that $U^*(X)$ is a free U^* -module (cf. [3]) and we can apply Proposition 3.13 to this case.

Denoting by S_G or S the multiplicative system S_{h_θ} according as $h_\theta^*(-) = U_\theta^*(-)$ or $U^*((EG \times -)/G)$, one has

$$\alpha(S_G) = S.$$

Therefore Proposition 3.13 implies the following result.

Proposition 3.14. *In the situation of Proposition 3.13, the localized map*

$$S_{h_\theta}^{-1}\alpha : S_G^{-1}U_\theta^*(X) \longrightarrow S^{-1}U^*(BG \times X)$$

is injective.

Here we shall prove the following

Theorem 3.15. *Let G be Z_p or S^1 . Let X be a finite G -CW-complex. Suppose that the integral cohomology groups of the fixed point set $H^{\text{even}}(X^G)$ has no torsion elements and $H^{\text{odd}}(X^G) = 0$. Then*

$$\alpha : U_\theta^{\text{even}}(X) \longrightarrow U^{\text{even}}((EG \times X)/G)$$

is injective.

Proof. We consider the following commutative diagram with respect to the sphere bundle $\pi : S(\underline{V}) \rightarrow X$ of a complex G -bundle $\underline{V} : X \times V \rightarrow X$, $V \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & U_\theta^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\cdot e_1} & U_\theta^{*+|\underline{V}|}(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & U_\theta^{*+|\underline{V}|}(S(\underline{V})) & \xrightarrow{\pi_!} & U_\theta^{*+1}(X) & \rightarrow \\ & \downarrow \alpha_1 & (1) & \downarrow \alpha_2 & (2) & \downarrow \alpha_3 & (3) & \downarrow \alpha_1 & \\ \rightarrow & U^*((EG \times X)/G) & \xrightarrow{\cdot e_2} & U^{*+|\underline{V}|}((EG \times X)/G) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & U^{*+|\underline{V}|}((EG \times S(\underline{V}))/G) & \xrightarrow{\pi_!} & U^{*+1}((EG \times X)/G) & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

where $e_1 = e(\underline{V})$, $e_2 = e((EG \times \underline{V})/G)$ and α_i 's denote the natural trans-

formations. The commutativity of the above diagram is shown by the naturality, (3.7) and (3.9). α_s is isomorphic by (3.8). Taking the direct limit, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & S_{\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim U_{\bar{\sigma}}^{*-1+|\nu|}(S(\underline{V})) & \longrightarrow & U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X) & \longrightarrow & S_{\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X) \rightarrow \\ & S_{\bar{h}_{\sigma}}^{-1}\alpha \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & S_{\bar{h}_{\sigma}}^{-1}\alpha \downarrow \\ \rightarrow & S^{-1}U^{*-1}((EG \times X)/G) & \rightarrow & \varinjlim U^{*-1+|\nu|}((EG \times S(\underline{V}))/G) & \rightarrow & U^*((EG \times X)/G) & \rightarrow & S^{-1}U^*((EG \times X)/G). \end{array}$$

It follows from Propositions 3.5 and 3.14 that the localized map $S_{\bar{h}_{\sigma}}^{-1}\alpha$ is injective. The condition $H^{\text{odd}}(X^{\sigma}) = 0$ derives $U^{\text{odd}}(X^{\sigma}) = 0$ and since $U^*(BG \times X^{\sigma}) \cong U^*(BG) \otimes_{U^*} U^*(X^{\sigma})$ [11], it follows that $U^{\text{odd}}(BG \times X^{\sigma}) = 0$. Hence Proposition 3.5 implies that $(S^{-1}U^*((EG \times X)/G))^{\text{odd}} = 0$ and $(S_{\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X))^{\text{odd}} = 0$. Therefore the theorem follows.

Furthermore we have

Proposition 3.16. *Let G be Z_p or S^1 . Let X be a finite G -CW-complex. If $U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X)$ is a free $U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*$ -module and $U^*(X^{\sigma})$ is a free U^* -module, then*

$$\alpha: U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X) \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)$$

is injective.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X/X^{\sigma}) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \\ S_{\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}\tilde{U}_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X/X^{\sigma}) & \longrightarrow & S_{\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X). \end{array}$$

Since $U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X)$ is a free $U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*$ -module, λ is injective. And it follows from $S_{\bar{\sigma}}^{-1}\tilde{U}_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X/X^{\sigma}) = 0$ (cf. [7]) that j^* is a zero homomorphism. Hence the long exact sequence of the pair (X, X^{σ}) becomes a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X) \longrightarrow U_{\bar{\sigma}}^*(X^{\sigma}) \longrightarrow \tilde{U}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{*+1}(X/X^{\sigma}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.13 completes the proof.

§ 4. On the Localization $T^{-1}U_G^*(X)$

Let γ_G^n be the universal complex G -vector bundle and denote by MG_n the Thom complex. Let $x \in \tilde{U}_G^{2k}(X)$ be represented by $f: V^c \wedge X \rightarrow MG_{\|V\|+k}$ where $\|V\| = \dim_c V$. Let $\mu_G(x)$ be the image of the Thom class $t_K(\gamma_G^{\|V\|+k})$ of K_G -theory in the composition

$$\tilde{K}_G^{\|V\|+2k}(MG_{\|V\|+k}) \xrightarrow{f^!} \tilde{K}_G^{\|V\|+2k}(V^c \wedge X) \xrightarrow{\sigma_V^{-1}} \tilde{K}_G^{2k}(X).$$

If $x \in \tilde{U}_G^{2k+1}(X)$ be represented by $f: V^c \wedge S^1 \wedge X \rightarrow MG_{\|V\|+k+1}$, $\mu_G(x)$ is defined by $\sigma_V^{-1} \sigma_{S^1}^{-1} f^! t_K(\gamma_G^{\|V\|+k+1})$. Thus we have a multiplicative natural transformation

$$\mu_G: U_G^*(-) \longrightarrow K_G^*(-)$$

of cohomology theories which preserves the Thom classes and the Euler classes. We take up a multiplicative set T_K in a representation ring $R(G) \equiv K_G^0(\text{pt})$ which consists of all one dimensional representation spaces and we consider a multiplicative system $T = \mu_G^{-1}(T_K)$ in U_G^0 . Since each element of T_K is invertible, the localization $T_K^{-1}K_G^*(X)$ is isomorphic to $K_G^*(X)$, and the natural transformation μ_G induces a natural transformation

$$T^{-1}\mu_G: T^{-1}U_G^*(X) \longrightarrow K_G^*(X).$$

Let us consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{CD} U_G^0 @>\alpha>> U^0(BG) @>\varepsilon>> U^0 \simeq Z \\ @VV\mu_G V @VV\mu V @VV\mu V \\ K_G^0 @>\alpha_K>> K^0(BG) @>\varepsilon>> K^0 \simeq Z \end{CD}$$

where α_K is defined by mapping each complex G -vector bundle ξ to a complex vector bundle $(EG \times \xi)/G \rightarrow BG$, ε the augmentation and μ the natural transformation of Conner-Floyd [2]. Let y be in T_K , then $\alpha_K(y)$ is a one dimensional vector bundle, so $\varepsilon \alpha_K(y) = 1$. Taking an element x in T , we can see that $\varepsilon \alpha(x) = 1$ and $\alpha(x)$ is invertible. Therefore the natural transformation $\alpha: U_G^*(X) \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)$ induces a natural transformation $T^{-1}\alpha: T^{-1}U_G^*(X) \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)$. Then we

shall verify the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be Z_p or S^1 and let X be a finite G -CW-complex. Suppose that $U^*(X^G)$ is a free U^* -module and $T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*(X)$ is a free $T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*$ -module. Then*

$$T^{-1}\alpha : T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*(X) \longrightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)$$

is injective.

Proof. Proposition 3.5 implies that $S^{-1}T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*(X, X^G) = 0$. Therefore the proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 3.16.

We shall compute the ring $T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*(P(V))$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $V = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$ where L_j is a one dimensional complex G -module. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*(P(V)) \cong T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*[y] / ((y - e(L_1)) \dots (y - e(L_n)))$$

where $y = e(\eta(V; C)) / 1$.

Proof. Let $x = e(\eta(V; C))$ and $x_i = e(\eta(V; \bar{L}_i))$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) in U_{θ}^* -theory. Then $y = x/1$ and $y_i = x_i/1$ are the Euler classes of $\eta(V; C)$ and $\eta(V; \bar{L}_i)$ in $T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*$ -theory. Using Theorem 2.5, we can uniquely express $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$ as linear combinations of $1, x_1, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}$ over U_{θ}^* :

$$x^k = c_{k,0}1 + c_{k,1}x_1 + \dots + c_{k,n-1}x_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Then $1, y, \dots, y^{n-1}$ can be uniquely described as linear combinations of $1, y_1, y_1y_2, \dots, y_1y_2 \dots y_{n-1}$ over $T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*$ as follows:

$$(4.3) \quad y^k = d_{k,0}1 + d_{k,1}y_1 + \dots + d_{k,n-1}y_1y_2 \dots y_{n-1} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$$

where $d_{k,j} = c_{k,j}/1$. For simplicity we put

$$\eta = \eta(V; C) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_i = \eta(V; \bar{L}_i).$$

Applying the homomorphism $T^{-1}\mu_G : T^{-1}U_{\theta}^*(X) \rightarrow K_{\theta}^*(X)$, we have

$$(1 - \eta)^k = a_{k,0}1 + a_{k,1}(1 - \eta_1) + \dots + a_{k,n-1}(1 - \eta_1)(1 - \eta_2) \dots (1 - \eta_{n-1})$$

where $a_{k,j} = T^{-1}\mu_G(d_{k,j}) = \mu_G(c_{k,j})$. Noting that $\eta_i = \bar{L}_i\eta$ and $1, \eta, \dots, \eta^{n-1}$ are linearly independent, we have

$$a_{k,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < j \\ L_1L_2 \cdots L_k & \text{if } k = j. \end{cases}$$

Consider matrices $C = (c_{k,j})$ and $D = (d_{k,j})$ with the elements $c_{k,j}$ and $d_{k,j}$ respectively. Then we have $\mu_G(\det C) = L_1 \cdot L_1L_2 \cdots L_1L_2 \cdots L_{n-1}$. Thus $\det D = (\det C)/1$ is invertible in $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$. Therefore there is an inverse matrix of D . Hence $1, y, \dots, y^{n-1}$ is a free basis of $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ -module $T^{-1}U_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(P(V))$, because by Theorem 2.5 $1, y_1, y_1y_2, \dots, y_1y_2 \cdots y_{n-1}$ is the free basis.

The relation follows from Proposition 2.7. Q.E.D.

We can use Theorem 4.2 to compute $U^*((EG \times P(V))/G)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $V = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n$ where L_j is a one dimensional complex G -module. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$U^*((EG \times P(V))/G) \cong U^*(BG)[y'] / ((y' - e_1) \cdots (y' - e_n))$$

where $y' = e((EG \times \eta(V; C))/G)$ and $e_j = \pi^*(e((EG \times L_j)/G))$, $\pi: (EG \times P(V))/G \rightarrow BG$ the projection.

Proof. We now note that $\alpha(e(\eta(V; L))) = e((EG \times \eta(V; L))/G)$. Let $y'_j = e((EG \times \eta(V; \bar{L}_j))/G)$. Applying $T^{-1}\alpha$ to (4.3), we have

$$(y')^k = d'_{k,0}1 + d'_{k,1}y'_1 + \cdots + d'_{k,n-1}y'_1y'_2 \cdots y'_{n-1} \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, n-1),$$

where $d'_{k,j} = T^{-1}\alpha(d_{k,j})$. Let D' be a matrix consisting of the elements $d'_{k,j}$. Then, in virtue of Theorem 4.2 D' has an inverse matrix. Therefore Theorem 2.5 completes the proof.

Using Theorem 4.2 and the local triviality of a complex G -vector bundle [9], G a compact abelian Lie group, the Mayer-Vietoris argument establishes the following

Theorem 4.5 (cf. [15]). *Let ξ be an n -dimensional complex G -vector bundle over a compact G -space X , and $\pi: P(\xi) \rightarrow X$ the pro-*

jective space bundle associated with ξ . Then $T^{-1}U_G^*(P(\xi))$ is a free $T^{-1}U_G^*(X)$ -module on the generators $1, x_P, x_P^2, \dots, x_P^{n-1}$, where x_P is the Euler class of the canonical line bundle over $P(\xi)$.

Thus we can obtain characteristic classes $c_i^G(\xi) \in T^{-1}U_G^{2i}(X)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ ($c_0^G(\xi) = 1$), of an n -dimensional complex G -vector bundle ξ over a compact G -space X defined by the following

$$x_P^n = \pi^* c_1^G(\xi) x_P^{n-1} - \pi^* c_2^G(\xi) x_P^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \pi^* c_n^G(\xi),$$

which satisfy

- (1) $c_i^G(f^!\xi) = f^* c_i^G(\xi)$ for any G -map f ,
- (2) $c_i^G(\xi \oplus \eta) = \sum_{l+k=i} c_l^G(\xi) c_k^G(\eta)$,
- (3) $c_i^G(\eta(V; C)) = e(\eta(V; C))$.

As usual we can prove the following

Proposition 4.6. *If ξ is an n -dimensional complex G -vector bundle over a compact G -space X , $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ the usual line bundles over the flag bundle $F(\xi)$ of ξ , then the map defined by $t_i \rightarrow c_1^G(\xi_i)$ defines an isomorphism of $T^{-1}U_G^*(X)$ -modules*

$$T^{-1}U_G^*(X) [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] / I \rightarrow T^{-1}U_G^*(F(\xi))$$

where I is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\mathfrak{S}^i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) - c_i^G(\xi), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

\mathfrak{S}^i being the i -th elementary symmetric function.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\pi: E(\xi) \rightarrow X$ be an n -dimensional complex G -vector bundle over a compact G -space and $G_k(\xi)$ the Grassmann bundle of k -dimensional subspaces of $E(\xi)$. Let η be the canonical k -dimensional bundle over $G_k(\xi)$, η' the quotient bundle $\pi^*\xi/\eta$. Then the map defined by $t_i \rightarrow c_i^G(\eta)$, $s_j \rightarrow c_j^G(\eta')$ defines an isomorphism of $T^{-1}U_G^*(X)$ -module*

$$T^{-1}U_G^*(X) [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-k}] / I \rightarrow T^{-1}U_G^*(G_k(\xi))$$

where I is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\sum_{i+j=l} t_i s_j - c_l^g(\xi) \quad \text{for all } l.$$

Then we have the Conner-Floyd isomorphism

Theorem 4.8. *For any compact G-space X*

$$K_g^*(X) \cong U_g^*(X) \otimes_{U_g^*(pt)} K_g^*(pt).$$

In the description $EG = \lim EG^{(n)}$, we can take $EG^{(n)} \rightarrow EG^{(n)}/G$ as a G -principal bundle. Then $(EG^{(n)} \times P(V))/G \rightarrow EG^{(n)}/G$ is a complex projective space bundle and $U^*((EG^{(n)} \times P(V))/G)$ is a free $U^*(EG^{(n)}/G)$ -module on the generators $1, x_P, x_P^2, \dots, x_P^{n-1}$, where V is an n -dimensional complex G -module and x_P denotes the first Chern class of the canonical line bundle over $(EG^{(n)} \times P(V))/G$ [2]. This result and Proposition 4.4 give rise to a similar discussion to $T^{-1}U_g^*$ -theory for the theory $h_g^*(-) = U^*((EG \times -)/G)$ or $U^*((EG^{(n)} \times -)/G)$. Then we have characteristic classes $c_i^{h^g}(\xi)$ in the h_g^* -theory for any finite dimensional complex G -vector bundle over a finite G -CW-complex. Hence we obtain the following

Proposition 4.9. *Suppose that X is a finite G-CW-complex in the situation of Proposition 4.6, then the map defined by $t_i \rightarrow c_i^{h^g}(\xi_i)$ defines an isomorphism of $h_g^*(X)$ -modules*

$$h_g^*(X) [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] / I \rightarrow h_g^*(F(\xi))$$

where I is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\mathfrak{S}^i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) - c_i^{h^g}(\xi), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

\mathfrak{S}^i being the i -th elementary symmetric function.

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose that X is a finite G-CW-complex in the situation of Proposition 4.7, then the map defined by $t_i \rightarrow c_i^{h^g}(\eta)$, $s_j \rightarrow c_j^{h^g}(\eta')$ defines an isomorphism of $h_g^*(X)$ -modules*

$$h_g^*(X) [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-k}] / I \rightarrow h_g^*(G_k(\xi))$$

where I is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\sum_{i+j=l} t_i s_j - c_l^{h^a}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } l.$$

§ 5. On the Completion of $U_G^*(X)$, $G=S^1$ or Z_p

Let $\psi: U_G^* \rightarrow U^*$ be the forgetful homomorphism and $\varepsilon: U^*(BG) \rightarrow U^*$ the augmentation homomorphism. We consider an ideal $I = \ker \varepsilon$ of $U^*(BG)$ and an ideal $I_G = \ker \psi$ of U_G^* . In discussion on I (resp. I_G)-adic completion of $U^*((EG \times X)/G)$ (resp. $U_G^*(X)$) the following fact is useful.

Proposition 5.1. *Let L be the one dimensional canonical complex G -module which is the generator of the Lie ring $R(G)$. Let $x = e((EG \times L)/G)$ and $x_G = e(L)$. Then, for a finite G -CW-complex X*

- (i) $I^n \cdot U^*((EG \times X)/G)$ is an ideal generated by x^n .
- (ii) $I_G^n \cdot U_G^*(X)$ is an ideal generated by x_G^n .

Proof. (i) $U^*(BS^1) = U^*[[x]]$ and $U^*(BZ_p)$ is a U^* -algebra of formal power series of x with a relation $e((EZ_p \times L^p)/Z_p) = 0$. Since $\varepsilon(x) = 0$, it follows that $(x^n) = I^n \cdot U^*((EG \times X)/G)$.

(ii) The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_G^* & \xrightarrow{\psi} & U^* \\ \alpha \downarrow & \nearrow \varepsilon & \\ & & U^*(BG) \end{array}$$

and $\alpha(x_G) = x$ imply that $x_G \in I_G$ and $(x_G^n) \subset I_G^n \cdot U_G^*(X)$. Let $V = nL$. Consider the Gysin exact sequence with respect to $\underline{V}: X \times V \rightarrow X$ (cf. Proposition 3.3). Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & U_G^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\cdot x_G^n} & U_G^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi_G^*} & U_G^*(S(\underline{V})) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\sigma_1}} & U_G^*(X) & \rightarrow \\ (5.2) & \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \alpha_2 \downarrow & \\ & \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G) & \xrightarrow{\cdot x^n} & U^*((EG \times X)/G) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & U^*((EG \times S(\underline{V}))/G) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & U^*((EG \times X)/G) & \rightarrow. \end{array}$$

If $y \in I_G^n \cdot U_G^*(X)$, $\alpha(y) \in I^n \cdot U^*((EG \times X)/G) = \ker \pi^*$. Since α_1 is

isomorphic, y belongs to $(x^n_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 5.3. *Let X be a finite G -CW-complex. Then*

(i) $\alpha: U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)$ induces a monomorphism

$$\hat{\alpha}: U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)/I^n_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \rightarrow U^*((EG \times X)/G)/I^n \cdot U^*((EG \times X)/G).$$

(ii) *If $U^*(X^G)$ is a free U^* -module and $T^{-1}U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is a free $T^{-1}U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, then $\hat{\alpha}$ is isomorphic.*

Proof. Proposition 5.1 shows that α induces the monomorphism $\hat{\alpha}$. We give a proof of (ii). Suppose that $[b] \in U^*((EG \times X)/G)/I^n \cdot U^*((EG \times X)/G)$. Consider the diagram (5.2), in which α_2 coincides with the composition $U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \xrightarrow{\lambda} T^{-1}U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \xrightarrow{T^{-1}\alpha} U^*((EG \times X)/G)$. There exists an element c in $U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(S(\underline{V}))$ such that $\alpha_1(c) = \pi^*(b)$. Since $\pi_1\alpha_1(c) = 0$ and $T^{-1}\alpha$ is injective by Proposition 4.1, $\lambda(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(c)) = 0$ and there exists an element t in $T \subset U^0_{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that

$$t\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(c) = 0.$$

Here we note that $\psi(t) = 1 = \psi(1)$ and $1 - t \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}$. We put $u = 1 - t$. Then we see that

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_1}((1 - u^n)c) = (1 + u + \dots + u^{n-1})t\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(c) = 0$$

and get an element d in $U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ such that

$$\pi^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(d) = (1 - u^n)c.$$

Now we calculate

$$\pi^*\alpha(d) = \alpha_1((1 - u^n)c) = (1 - \alpha(u^n))\pi^*(b) = \pi^*(b - \alpha(u^n)b),$$

then we see that $\alpha(d) - b + \alpha(u^n)b$ belongs to (x^n) . Since $\alpha(u^n)b \in (x^n)$, we obtain

$$\hat{\alpha}([d]) = [b].$$

Hence $\hat{\alpha}$ is surjective.

Q.E.D.

By an elementary observation of the I (resp. $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$)-adic topology for $U^*((EG \times X)/G)$ (resp. $U^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$), we obtain the following

Theorem 5.4. *Let X be a finite G -CW-complex. If $U^*(X^G)$ is a free U^* -module and $T^{-1}U_G^*(X)$ is a free $T^{-1}U_G^*$ -module, then α induces a topological isomorphism*

$$\widehat{U_G^*(X)} \cong \overline{U^*((EG \times X)/G)}.$$

Let $G (= Z_p \text{ or } S^1)$ act on S^{2n+1} by $\varphi(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\varphi z_0, \varphi z_1, \dots, \varphi z_n)$, $\varphi \in G$. We describe $EG^{(2n)}$ as S^{2n+1} . Then it follows from [1], [12], [19] that for a finite G -CW-complex X , there exists an isomorphism

$$U^*((EG \times X)/G) \cong \varprojlim U^*((EG^{(2n)} \times X)/G).$$

If X is the projective space $P(V)$, the Grassmann manifold $G_k(V)$ or the flag manifold $F(V)$, V a finite dimensional complex G -module, then Propositions 4.4, 4.9 and 4.10 imply that

$$\text{Ker}\{i^*: U^*((EG \times X)/G) \rightarrow U^*((EG^{(2n)} \times X)/G)\},$$

i the natural injection, is an ideal generated by $\{e((EG \times L)/G)\}^{n+1}$, L the canonical one dimensional complex G -module. Therefore Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.4 imply

Corollary 5.5. *Let $X = P(V)$, $G_k(V)$ or $F(V)$, V a finite dimensional complex G -module. Then there exists a topological isomorphism*

$$\widehat{U_G^*(X)} \cong U^*((EG \times X)/G).$$

References

[1] Atiyah, M. F. and Segal, G. B., Equivariant K -theory and completion, *J. Diff. Geometry*, **3** (1969), 1-18.
 [2] Conner, P. E. and Floyd, E. E., *The relation of cobordism to K-theories, Lecture Notes in Math.*, **28**, Springer-Verlag (1966).
 [3] Conner, P. E. and Smith, L., On the complex bordism of finite complexes, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Publ. Math.*, **37** (1969), 417-521.
 [4] tom-Dieck, T., *Kobordismen-Theorie und Transformations-Gruppen*. Preprint Series, Aarhus Univ. (1968/69).
 [5] tom-Dieck, T., Bordism of G -manifolds and integrality theorems, *Topology*, **9** (1970), 345-358.
 [6] ———, Actions of finite abelian p -groups without stationary points, *Topology*, **9** (1970), 359-366.
 [7] ———, Lokalisierung äquivarianter Kohomologie-Theorien, *Math. Z.*, **121** (1971), 253-262.

- [8] ———, Periodische Abbildungen unitärer Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Math. Z.*, **126** (1972), 275–295.
- [9] Fujii, M. and Kôno, S., A note on the local triviality of G -vector bundles, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **30** (1982), 49–52.
- [10] Kawakubo, K., Equivariant Riemann-Roch theorems, localization and formal group law, *Osaka J. Math.*, **17** (1980), 531–571.
- [11] Landweber, P. S., Cobordism and classifying spaces, *Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math.*, **22**, *Algebraic Topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. (1971), 125–129.
- [12] ———, Elements of infinite filtration in complex cobordism, *Math. Scand.*, **30** (1972), 223–226.
- [13] Löffler, P., Bordismengruppen unitärer Torusmannigfaltigkeiten, *Manuscripta Math.*, **12** (1974), 307–327.
- [14] ———, Equivariant unitary cobordism and classifying spaces, Topology and its applications, *Budva* (1972), 158–160.
- [15] Okonek, C., Der Conner-Floyd-Isomorphismus für Abelsche Gruppen, *Math. Z.*, **179** (1982), 201–212.
- [16] Quillen, D., Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations, *Advances in Math.*, **7** (1971), 29–56.
- [17] Segal, G. B., Equivariant K -theory, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci., Publ. Math.*, **34** (1968), 129–151.
- [18] Stong, R. E., Complex and oriented equivariant bordism, *Proc. Univ. of Georgia, Top. Manif. Inst.* (1969), 291–316.
- [19] Yoshimura, Z., On cohomology theories of infinite CW -complexes, II, III and IV, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **8** (1973), 483–508 and **9** (1974), 683–706 and 707–720.