

# Fourier Integral Operators in Gevrey Class on $\mathbf{R}^n$ and the Fundamental Solution for a Hyperbolic Operator

By

Kazuo TANIGUCHI\*

## Introduction

Consider a hyperbolic operator

$$(1) \quad L = D_t^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-j} a_{j,\alpha}(t, x) D_x^\alpha D_t^j \quad \text{on } [0, T]$$

with constant multiplicity, where  $a_{j,\alpha}(t, x)$  are functions in the Gevrey class of order  $d(>1)$ , that is, they satisfy

$$|\partial_t^k \partial_x^\alpha a_{j,\alpha}(t, x)| \leq CM^{-(k+|\beta|)} k!^d \beta!^d \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}_x^n.$$

The purpose of the present paper is to construct the fundamental solution  $E_o(t, s)$  of the Cauchy problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = 0 & t > 0, \\ \partial_t^j u(0) = g_j, & j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \end{cases}$$

and obtain the result on the propagation of singularities for a solution  $u(t)$  of (2).

To investigate the above problem we introduce the following symbol classes as subclasses of a symbol class  $S^m$  studied in [12]. In the following we tacitly use the notation in [12].

**Definition(S).** i) We say that a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  ( $\in S^m$ ) belongs to a class  $S_{G(d)}^m$  if

$$(3) \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}$$

hold with constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

---

Communicated by S. Matsuura, March 31, 1983.

\* Department of Mathematics, University of Osaka Prefecture, Sakai, Osaka 591, Japan.

ii) We say that a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  belongs to a class  $S_{G(d,1)}^m$  if  $p(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $S_{G(d)}^m$  and satisfies for constants  $C, M$  and  $\mu$  independent of  $\alpha, \beta$

$$(4) \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha! \beta! \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} \text{ for } |\xi| \geq \mu.$$

iii) We say that a symbol  $p(x, \xi) (\in S^{-\infty})$  belongs to a class  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  if for any  $\alpha$  there exists a constant  $C_\alpha$  such that

$$(5) \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta! N! \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N}$$

hold for any  $\beta$  and  $N$  with a constant  $M$  independent of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $N$ .

**Definition (T).** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subset of an Euclidian space  $R_t^n$ . We say that a symbol  $p(\tilde{t}, x, \xi)$  in  $\mathcal{A} \times R_{x,\xi}^{2n}$  belongs to  $M_{\tilde{t}}^l(S_{G(d)}^m)$  if for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$   $p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\tilde{t}, x, \xi)$  is a  $C^l$ -function and for any fixed  $\tilde{t} \in \mathcal{A}$  the symbol  $\partial_{\tilde{t}}^l p(\tilde{t}, x, \xi)$  ( $|\tilde{t}| \leq l$ ) satisfies (3) with  $C$  and  $M$  independent also of  $\tilde{t}$ . We also set  $M_{\tilde{t}}(S_{G(d)}^m) = \bigcap_{\tilde{t}} M_{\tilde{t}}^l(S_{G(d)}^m)$ .

In the same way we define the classes  $M_{\tilde{t}}^l(S_{G(d,1)}^m)$ ,  $M_{\tilde{t}}^l(\mathcal{R}_{G(d)})$  and  $M_{\tilde{t}}(\mathcal{R}_{G(d)})$ , which correspond to  $S_{G(d,1)}^m$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . Using these symbol classes we reduce the problem (2) to the problem

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_o U = 0, \\ U(0) = G \end{cases}$$

for the perfectly diagonalized operator

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{L}_o = D_t - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t, X, D_x) \mathcal{I}_{l_1} & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & \cdot \\ & & & \lambda_r(t, X, D_x) \mathcal{I}_{l_r} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_1(t) & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & B_r(t) \end{pmatrix} + R_o(t)$$

under the condition that (1) is a hyperbolic operator with constant multiplicity (c.f. Proposition 3.4). Here,  $\lambda_j(t, x, \xi)$  belong to  $M_{\tilde{t}}(S_{G(d)}^1)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_l$  is an identity matrix,  $B_j(t)$  are  $l_j \times l_j$  matrices of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $M_{\tilde{t}}(S_{G(d)}^\sigma)$  ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq (r-1)/r$ ) and

$R_o(t)$  is a matrix of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . Note that from (5), for any  $t$ ,  $R_o(t)$  maps a class  $\mathcal{E}'$  of distributions with compact supports to a class  $\gamma^d$  of functions in the Gevrey class of order  $d$ . This result shows that in order to study the problem (2) for (1) it is sufficient to construct the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  for the operator

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{L} = D_t - \lambda(t, X, D_x) + b(t, X, D_x) \quad \text{on } [0, T]$$

with  $\lambda(t, x, \xi) \in M_t^0(S_{G(d)}^1)$  and  $b(t, x, \xi) \in M_t^0(S_{G(d)}^\sigma)$  ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1/d$ ). So, what we have to do is the construction of the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Now, we give our main theorem in this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume  $\lambda(t, x, \xi) \in M_t^0(S_{G(d)}^1)$  is real-valued and  $b(t, x, \xi) \in M_t^0(S_{G(d)}^\sigma)$  for some  $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1/d$  ( $< 1$ ). Then, the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  of (8) can be written in the form*

$$(9) \quad E(t, s) = \{I + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} W_\nu(t, s)\} I_\phi(t, s) + R(t, s) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t, s \leq T_o$$

for a small  $T_o$ . In (9)  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} W_\nu(t, s)$  is a series of pseudo-differential operators  $W_\nu(t, s)$  with symbols  $w_\nu(t, s; x, \xi)$  satisfying for  $j=0, 1$

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\partial_t^j \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta w_\nu(t, s; x, \xi)| \\ &\leq (C_o^\nu |t-s|^\nu \nu!^{-1}) M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\nu\sigma - |\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

with constants  $C_o$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\nu$ ;  $I_\phi(t, s)$  is a Fourier integral operator with the phase function  $\phi(t, s; x, \xi)$ , where  $\phi(t, s; x, \xi)$  is a solution of

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \phi = \lambda(t, x, \nabla_x \phi), \\ \phi|_{t=s} = x \cdot \xi; \end{cases}$$

and  $R(t, s)$  is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol  $r(t, s; x, \xi)$  in  $M_{t,s}^1(\mathcal{R}_{G(d)})$ .

Since the symbol of  $R(t, s)$  belongs to  $M_{t,s}^1(\mathcal{R}_{G(d)})$ ,  $R(t, s)$  maps  $\mathcal{E}'$  to  $\gamma^d$  for any fixed  $t, s$ . Hence we can call  $R(t, s)$  a regularizer. From Theorem 1 and Proposition 1.3 we easily obtain

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $\lambda(t, x, \xi)$  in (8) is homogeneous for large*

$|\xi|$ . Then, for a solution  $u(t)$  of

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u(t) = 0 & t > 0, \\ u(0) = g, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(13) \quad \text{WF}_{G(d)}(u(t)) = \{(q(t, y, \eta), \rho p(t, y, \eta)); (y, \eta) \in \text{WF}_{G(d)}(g) \text{ for large } |\eta|, \rho > 0\},$$

where  $\text{WF}_{G(d)}(u)$  is a wave front set of  $u$  in the Gevrey class of order  $d$  (see Definition 1.2) and  $\{q(t, y, \eta), p(t, y, \eta)\}$  is a solution of

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dq}{dt} = -\nabla_{\xi} \lambda(t, q, p), & \frac{dp}{dt} = \nabla_x \lambda(t, q, p), \\ q|_{t=0} = y, & p|_{t=0} = \eta. \end{cases}$$

This result is also obtained by Mizohata [17]. He has showed it by using the energy method, not by constructing the fundamental solution. For the parametrix of  $\mathcal{L}$  Lascar reported in [15] that he constructed it, but the author has not known his detailed proof. Another result concerning the construction of the parametrix is reported in [2] and the propagation of singularities for a solution of (2) is studied in [19] and [25].

From Theorems 1, 2 and Proposition 3.4 we obtain

**Corollary 3.** *In (1) we assume*

$$\tau^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} a_{j,\alpha}(t, x) \xi^\alpha \tau^j = \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} (\tau - \lambda_j(t, x, \xi))^{m_j} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq 1$$

and  $\sigma \equiv \max_j \{(m_j - 1)/m_j\} \leq 1/d$ . Then, the fundamental solution  $E_o(t, s)$  can be constructed in the form

$$(15) \quad E_o(t, s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} W_{j,\nu}(t, s) I_{\phi_j}(t, s) + R(t, s),$$

where  $W_{j,\nu}(t, s)$ ,  $I_{\phi_j}(t, s)$  and  $R(t, s)$  satisfy the similar properties to those in Theorem 1. Moreover, let  $\{q_j(t, y, \eta), p_j(t, y, \eta)\}$  be a solution of (14) with  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ . Then, we have for a solution of (2)

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} \text{WF}_{G(d)}(\partial_t^j u(t)) \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^{\kappa} \{(q_j(t, y, \eta), \rho p_j(t, y, \eta)); (y, \eta) \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} \text{WF}_{G(d)}(g_j) \text{ for large } |\eta|, \rho > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 3 we study the above result under a weaker condition: There exist regularly hyperbolic operators  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r$  such that  $L$  has a form

$$(17) \quad L = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_r + \sum_{j=0}^{m-q} a_j'(t, X, D_x) D_t^j$$

with  $a_j'(t, x, \xi) \in M_t(S_{G(\bar{d})}^{m-q-j})$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ). This formulation is based on the work in [16], where the authors proved  $\gamma^d$ -well-posedness for  $d \leq r/(r-q)$  in the case that  $a_j'(t, X, D_x)$  are differential operators. The number  $r/q$  is called the irregularity in [8]. For the case of constant multiplicity, Ohya [22] also proved the  $\gamma^d$ -well-posedness and in [5] Ivrii gave the necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to be  $\gamma^d$ -well-posed. Under Ivrii's condition we can also reduce (2) for (1) to (6) for (7) and get Corollary 3.

The construction of the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  (the proof of Theorem 1) is performed by the way employed in [13], [14] and [23]. There, the authors construct  $E(t, s)$  for the  $C^\infty$ -case by using the successive approximation after solving an eiconal equation (11). The key point of their proof is obtaining a sharp estimate of multi-products of Fourier integral operators. Since we assume  $d > 1$ , we can use cut functions in the Gevrey class and can improve their estimate to the Gevrey class. This enables us to prove Theorem 1. In [6] and [7] Kajitani has constructed the fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system with coefficients in the Gevrey class of order  $d$  by solving transport equations and using the asymptotic sum of amplitude functions. His fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  has the form similar to (15) and the regularizer  $R(t, s)$  in his  $E(t, s)$  is an integral operator with a kernel in the Gevrey class of order  $2d-1$ . So, from his  $E(t, s)$  we get (16) in the case  $d=1$ , but we cannot obtain (16) for the case  $d > 1$ . In our construction, since we do not solve transport equations and hence we do not use the asymptotic sum, the regularizer  $R(t, s)$  becomes an integral operator with a kernel in the Gevrey class of order  $d$  and get (16) for the case  $d > 1$ .

The outline of the present paper is the following: In Section 1 we give a class of Fourier integral operators and a result on wave front sets. In Section 2, after showing the result on products of Fourier integral operators, conjugate Fourier integral operators and pseudo-differential operators we obtain a sharp estimate of multi-

products of Fourier integral operators. Since we need tedious calculation to obtain the former results, we devote their proofs to Section 4. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1 and show the way of reducing the problem (2) to the problem (6).

In Section 5 we prove a sharp estimate of symbols of multi-products of pseudo-differential operators, which is also used in Section 2. For the proof we follow the discussions in Section 1 of [23]. There, to obtain the key estimate we divide the multi-product of  $\nu + 1$  pseudo-differential operators into  $2^\nu$  terms by using cut functions depending on a parameter  $\varepsilon$  (see (1.57) of [23]). But, in our case we cannot use such a decomposition since we cannot find a suitable  $\varepsilon$  to obtain our estimate, especially to find a suitable “radius of convergence”. So, we employ a different method of the division into  $2^\nu$  terms. Then, we obtain the desired estimate for our case.

The final section, Section 6, is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.4 on the perfect diagonalization. This is a version of the one in [10] for the Gevrey class. Since we use the asymptotic sum for products of pseudo-differential operators, we use the class  $S_{G(d,1)}^m$ , not the class  $S_{G(d)}^m$ , and the discussion in [1]. Then, the discussions in [10] work well and we can obtain Proposition 3.4.

The author wishes to thank Prof. M. Ikawa for his encouragement.

### § 1. Definitions and Wave Front Sets

Throughout this paper the constant  $d$  denotes a number larger than 1. To define Fourier integral operators we will introduce a class  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau)$  of phase functions as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $0 \leq \tau < 1$ . We say that a phase function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  belongs to a class  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau)$  if  $\phi(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$  and for  $J(x, \xi) \equiv \phi(x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi$  the estimate

$$(1.1) \quad |J_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq \tau M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|}$$

hold for a constant  $M$  independent of  $\alpha, \beta$ . We also set

$$\mathcal{P}_{G(d)} = \bigcup_{0 \leq \tau < 1} \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau).$$

*Remark 1.* We say that for  $\phi_\theta \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau_\theta)$  ( $0 \leq \tau_\theta < 1$ ) the set  $\{\phi_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$ , if  $\tau_\theta \leq \tilde{\tau}_o$  for a constant  $\tilde{\tau}_o (< 1)$  independent of  $\theta$

and  $J_\theta(x, \xi) \equiv \phi_\theta(x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi$  satisfies (1.1) with  $\tau = \tau_\theta$  and a constant  $M$  independent of  $\theta$ .

*Remark 2.* In the same way we define bounded sets in  $S_{G(d)}^m$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  as follows: We say that for  $p_\theta \in S_{G(d)}^m$  the set  $\{p_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is bounded in  $S_{G(d)}^m$  if we can take constants  $C$  and  $M$  in (3) independent also of the parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and we say that for  $p_\theta \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  the set  $\{p_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  if we can take constants  $C_\alpha$  and  $M$  in (5) independent also of  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

Let  $\phi(x, \xi)$  be a phase function in  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$ . Then, a Fourier integral operator  $P_\phi = p_\phi(X, D_x)$  with the phase function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  and a symbol  $\sigma(P_\phi) = p(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{G(d)}^m$  is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad P_\phi u(x) = O_s^{-} \int \int e^{i(\phi(x, \xi) - x' \cdot \xi)} p(x, \xi) u(x') dx' d\xi^z$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on  $R^n$  and the right hand side of (1.2) is the oscillatory integral defined in [12] (Chap. 10). Following [12] we denote the set of such Fourier integral operators by  $S_{G(d), \phi}^m$ . If  $\phi = x \cdot \xi$ , the set  $S_{G(d), \phi}^m$  is the one of pseudo-differential operators. In this case we write  $S_{G(d), \phi}^m$  simply by  $S_{G(d)}^m$ . Similarly, we define a Fourier integral operator  $P_\phi$  with the phase function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  and a symbol  $p(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  by (1.2) and denote a class of such Fourier integral operators by  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi}$ . Corresponding to this class we write a class of pseudo-differential operators as  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)} = \{p(X, D_x); p(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}\}$ , since no confusion occurs between the class of symbols and that of pseudo-differential operators. Remark that the following holds: If  $p(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  and a real symbol  $J(x, \xi)$  satisfies (1.1) then  $e^{iJ(x, \xi)} p(x, \xi)$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . This fact shows that  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi} = \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$ , which corresponds to (2.6) of [9]. So, we may use mainly the class  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  among the class  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$ . Denote by  $\gamma^d(M)$  the class of functions  $u(x)$  satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha u(x)| \leq CM^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^d \quad (x \in R^n)$$

and denote  $\gamma^d = \bigcup_{M > 0} \gamma^d(M)$ . Then, the operator in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  maps a class  $\mathcal{E}'$  of distributions with compact supports to a class  $\gamma^d$ . In this sense, we call the operators in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  regularizers.

The following definition coincides with that of  $WF_L(u)$  for  $L = \{L_k = (k+1)^d\}$  in [4].

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}'$ . We say that a point  $(x^\circ, \xi^\circ)$  of  $T^*(R^n) \setminus \{0\}$  does not belong to the wave front set  $WF_{G^{(d)}}(u)$  ( $\subset T^*(R^n) \setminus \{0\}$ ) of  $u$ , if there exist a conic neighborhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\xi^\circ$  and a function  $\chi(x)$  in  $\gamma^d$  with  $\chi(x^\circ) \neq 0$  such that the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)$  of  $\chi(x)u(x)$  satisfies for any  $N$

$$(1.3) \quad |\xi|^N |\mathcal{F}[\chi u](\xi)| \leq CM^{-N} N!^d \quad \text{for } \xi \in \Gamma$$

with constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $N$ .

Concerning the wave front set  $WF_{G^{(d)}}(u)$  of the Gevrey class the following holds.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let a phase function  $\phi(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{G^{(d)}}$  be homogeneous for large  $|\xi|$ . Then, for a Fourier integral operator  $P_\phi$  with a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{G^{(d)}}^m$  the relation

$$(1.4) \quad WF_{G^{(d)}}(P_\phi u) \subset \{(x, \rho \nabla_x \phi(x, \xi)); (\nabla_\xi \phi(x, \xi), \xi) \in WF_{G^{(d)}}(u) \text{ for large } |\xi|, \rho > 0\}$$

holds for  $u \in \mathcal{E}'$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $u \in \mathcal{S}$  by the similar result for the  $C^\infty$ -case. Suppose that the points  $(x^\circ, \xi^\circ)$  and  $(y^\circ, \eta^\circ)$  satisfy  $\xi^\circ = \nabla_x \phi(x^\circ, \eta^\circ)$ ,  $y^\circ = \nabla_\xi \phi(x^\circ, \eta^\circ)$ ,  $(y^\circ, \eta^\circ) \notin WF_{G^{(d)}}(u)$  and  $|\eta^\circ| \geq C_1$ . From the definition there exist a function  $\chi_1(x)$  in  $\gamma^d$  satisfying  $\chi_1 = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $y^\circ$  and a conic neighborhood  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\eta^\circ$  such that (1.3) holds with  $\chi = \chi_1$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1$ . Take  $\phi(\xi) \in S_{G^{(d)}}^0$  satisfying  $\text{supp } \phi \subset \Gamma_1$  and  $\phi = 1$  in a conic neighborhood of  $\eta^\circ$ , and take a function  $\chi_2(x)$  in  $\gamma^d$  such that  $\chi_2(x^\circ) \neq 0$  and  $\text{supp } \chi_2 \subset \{x; \chi_1(\nabla_\xi \phi(x, \eta)) = 1 \text{ for all } \eta\}$ . Using these functions we divide  $\mathcal{F}[\chi_2 P_\phi u](\xi)$  into three parts:

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{F}[\chi_2 P_\phi u](\xi) = \int e^{i(-x \cdot \xi + \phi(x, \eta))} \chi_2(x) p(x, \eta) \phi(\eta) \mathcal{F}[\chi_1 u](\eta) d\eta dx + \int e^{i(-x \cdot \xi + \phi(x, \eta))} \chi_2(x) p(x, \eta) (1 - \phi(\eta)) \mathcal{F}[\chi_1 u](\eta) d\eta dx$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \chi_2(x) \{O_s - \int \int e^{i(\phi(x, \eta) - y \cdot \eta)} p(x, \eta) (1 - \chi_1(y)) u(y) dy d\eta\} dx \\
 & \equiv f_1(\xi) + f_2(\xi) + f_3(\xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed  $\alpha$  we estimate  $\xi^\alpha f_j(\xi)$ ,  $j=1, 2, 3$ , individually. First, we estimate  $\xi^\alpha f_1(\xi)$ . Note that we can write

$$(1.6) \quad e^{-i\phi(x, \xi)} D_x^\beta e^{i\phi(x, \xi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta|} \phi_{\beta, k}(x, \xi)$$

with the property

$$(1.7) \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha \phi_{\beta, k}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\beta| + |\alpha| + |\delta|)} \beta!^d \alpha!^d \delta!^d k!^{-d} \langle \xi \rangle^{k - |\alpha|}.$$

Using (1.6) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi^\alpha f_1(\xi) &= \sum_{\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha'|} \binom{\alpha'}{\alpha''} \int \int e^{i(-x \cdot \xi + \phi(x, \eta))} \phi_{\alpha', k}(x, \eta) \\
 & \quad \times D_x^{\alpha''} (\chi_2(x) p(x, \eta)) \phi(\eta) F[\chi_1 u](\eta) d\eta dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (1.3) for  $u(x)$  we have

$$(1.8) \quad |\xi^\alpha f_1(\xi)| \leq CM^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^d$$

if we take new constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha$ . Next, we estimate  $\xi^\alpha f_2(\xi)$ . If we take an appropriate conic neighborhood  $\Gamma_2$  of  $\xi^\circ$ , the relation

$$|\xi - \nabla_x \phi(x, \eta)| \geq C(|\xi| + |\eta|) \text{ for } \xi \in \Gamma_2 \ (c > 0)$$

holds on the support of the integrand of  $f_2(\xi)$ . So, if we set  $L_1 = i|\xi - \nabla_x \phi(x, \eta)|^{-2} (\xi - \nabla_x \phi(x, \eta)) \cdot \nabla_x$  we have from  $L_1 e^{i(-x \cdot \xi + \phi(x, \eta))} = e^{i(-x \cdot \xi + \phi(x, \eta))}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi^\alpha f_2(\xi) &= \xi^\alpha \int e^{i(-x \cdot \xi + \phi(x, \eta))} (L_1^t)^{|\alpha|} \{\chi_2(x) p(x, \eta)\} \\
 & \quad \times (1 - \phi(\eta)) \mathcal{F}[\chi_1 u](\eta) d\eta dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$(1.9) \quad |\xi^\alpha f_2(\xi)| \leq CM^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^d \text{ for } \xi \in \Gamma_2.$$

For  $f_3(\xi)$  we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi^\alpha f_3(\xi) &= \sum_{\alpha' + \alpha'' + \delta = \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'! \alpha''! \delta!} \sum_{k=0}^{|\delta|} \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \chi_{2(\alpha')}(x) \\
 & \quad \times \{O_s - \int \int e^{i(\phi(x, \eta) - y \cdot \eta)} \phi_{\delta, k}(x, \eta) p_{(\alpha'')}(x, \eta) \\
 & \quad \times (1 - \chi_1(y)) u(y) dy d\eta\} dx
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\phi_{\beta, k}(x, \xi)$  in (1.6)-(1.7). Note that on  $\text{supp } \chi_2(x) (1 - \chi_1(y))$  the inequality  $|\nabla_\xi \phi(x, \eta) - y| \geq C_0 > 0$  holds. Hence, setting

$L_2 = -i |\nabla_\xi \phi(x, \eta) - y|^{-2} (\nabla_\xi \phi(x, \eta) - y) \cdot \nabla_\eta$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^\alpha f_3(\xi) &= \sum_{\alpha' + \alpha'' + \delta = \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha'! \alpha''! \delta!} \sum_{k=0}^{|\delta|} \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \chi_{2(\alpha')} (x) \\ &\quad \times \{O_s - \int \int e^{i(\phi(x, \eta) - y \cdot \eta)} (L_2^t)^{k+l(m)+n+1} \{\phi_{\delta, k}(x, \eta) \\ &\quad \times p_{(\alpha'')} (x, \eta)\} (1 - \chi_1(y)) u(y) dy d\eta\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $l(m) = [\max(m, 0)]$ . This implies

$$(1.10) \quad |\xi^\alpha f_3(\xi)| \leq CM^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^d.$$

Consequently, we have for any  $\alpha$

$$|\xi^\alpha \mathcal{F}[\chi_2 P_\phi u](\xi)| \leq CM^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^d \quad \text{for } \xi \in \Gamma_2$$

from (1.5) and (1.8) – (1.10). This means  $(x^\circ, \xi^\circ) \notin \text{WF}_{G(d)}(P_\phi u)$ .

Q. E. D.

### § 2. Multi-Products of Fourier Integral Operators

In this section we will obtain a sharp estimate for the symbols of multi-products of Fourier integral operators. For simplicity we denote for  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$

$$L_{G(d)}^m(\phi) = \{p_\phi^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{p}_\phi(X, D_x); p^0(x, \xi) \in S_{G(d)}^m, \tilde{p}(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}\},$$

that is, symbolically  $L_{G(d)}^m(\phi) = S_{G(d), \phi}^m + \mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi}$ . If  $\phi(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi$  we denote  $L_{G(d)}^m(\phi)$  simply by  $L_{G(d)}^m$ .

For a sequence  $\{\phi_j\}$  of phase functions  $\phi_j(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau_j)$  we consider multi-products

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{Q}_{\nu+1} = P_{1, \phi_1} P_{2, \phi_2} \cdots P_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}$$

of Fourier integral operators  $P_{j, \phi_j}$  in  $L_{G(d)}^\sigma(\phi_j)$  with  $\sigma \geq 0$ . We put following assumptions:

(A.1) There exists a small constant  $\tau^0$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau_j \leq \tau^0$ . If we set  $J_j(x, \xi) = \phi_j(x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi$ ,  $\{J_j/\tau_j\}$  is bounded in  $S_{G(d)}^1$ .

(A.2) If we write  $P_{j, \phi_j} = p_{j, \phi_j}^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{p}_{j, \phi_j}(X, D_x) \in S_{G(d), \phi_j}^\sigma + \mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi_j}$  the set  $\{p_j^0(x, \xi)\}$  is bounded in  $S_{G(d)}^\sigma$  and  $\{\tilde{p}_j(x, \xi)\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

The result we want to show in this section is the following :

**Theorem 2.1.** *We assume (A.1) and (A.2). Then, the multi-product (2.1) of Fourier integral operators  $P_{j,\phi_j}$  is a Fourier integral operator  $Q_{\nu+1,\phi_{\nu+1}}$  in  $L_{G(d)}^{(\nu+1)\sigma}(\Phi_{\nu+1})$  with a phase function  $\Phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$  and is represented by the form*

$$(2.2) \quad Q_{\nu+1,\phi_{\nu+1}} = q_{\nu+1}^0(X, D_x)I_{\phi_{\nu+1}} + \tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(X, D_x)I_{\phi_{\nu+1}}$$

for the symbols  $q_{\nu+1}^0(x, \xi)$  and  $\tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad |q_{\nu+1}^0(x, \xi)| \leq C_0^{\nu} M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma-|\alpha|},$$

$$(2.4) \quad |\tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)| \leq C_0^{\nu} C_{\alpha} M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d (N + [\nu\sigma])!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N}$$

for any  $N$ , where the constants  $C_0$  and  $M$  are independent of  $\nu, \alpha, \beta, N$  and the constant  $C_{\alpha}$  is independent of  $\nu, \beta$  and  $N$ .

In (2.2) the operator  $I_{\phi}$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$  is the Fourier integral operator with the phase function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  and the symbol 1.

We will prove Theorem 2.1 after some preparations. First, we give the product formulae between Fourier integral operators, conjugate Fourier integral operators and pseudo-differential operators, whose proofs are given in Section 4.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The following inclusion formulae hold.*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{S}_{G(d)}^m \cdot \mathcal{S}_{G(d),\phi}^{m'} \subset L_{G(d)}^{m+m'}(\phi),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{S}_{G(d),\phi}^m \cdot \mathcal{S}_{G(d)}^{m'} \subset L_{G(d)}^{m+m'}(\phi),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{R}_{G(d)} \cdot L_{G(d)}^m(\phi) \subset \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}, \quad L_{G(d)}^m(\phi) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{G(d)} \subset \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}.$$

*Remark 1.* It is easy to see from (2.5) – (2.7)

$$(2.8) \quad L_{G(d)}^m \cdot L_{G(d)}^{m'}(\phi) \subset L_{G(d)}^{m+m'}(\phi),$$

$$(2.9) \quad L_{G(d)}^m(\phi) \cdot L_{G(d)}^{m'} \subset L_{G(d)}^{m+m'}(\phi).$$

*Remark 2.* The inclusion mapping (2.5) is bounded in the following sense: “Assume  $\{p_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is bounded in  $S_{G(d)}^m$ ,  $\{p'_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is bounded in  $S_{G(d)}^{m'}$  and  $\{\phi_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$ . Denote  $p_{\theta}(X, D_x)p'_{\theta,\phi_{\theta}}(X, D_x) = q_{\theta,\phi_{\theta}}^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{q}_{\theta,\phi_{\theta}}(X, D_x)$  with  $q_{\theta,\phi_{\theta}}^0(x, \xi) \in S_{G(d)}^{m+m'}$  and  $\tilde{q}_{\theta}(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . Then,  $\{q_{\theta,\phi_{\theta}}^0\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  and  $\{\tilde{q}_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  are bounded in  $S_{G(d)}^{m+m'}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  respectively.” In the same sense, the inclusion mappings in (2.6) and (2.7) are bounded.

Denote by  $I_{\phi^*}$  the conjugate Fourier integral operator with the phase function  $\phi(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$  and the symbol 1. Then, we have

**Proposition 2.3.** *The following relations hold.*

$$(2.10) \quad L_{G(d)}^m(\phi) \cdot I_{\phi^*} \subset L_{G(d)}^m, \quad I_{\phi^*} \cdot L_{G(d)}^m(\phi) \subset L_{G(d)}^m.$$

*Remark.* The inclusion mappings in (2.10) are bounded in the similar sense to Remark 2 of Proposition 2.2.

For the multi-products of phase functions we have

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\phi_j(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau_j)$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots$ . Assume (A.1). Then, the multi-product  $\Phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = \phi_1 \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  defined in [14] belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(c_0 \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1})$  ( $\bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} = \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{\nu+1}$ ) with some constant  $c_0$  independent of  $\nu$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{X_v^j, \Xi_v^j\}_{j=1}^\nu(x, \xi)$  be the solution of

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} X_v^j = \nabla_{\xi} \phi_j(X_v^{j-1}, \Xi_v^j), \\ \Xi_v^j = \nabla_x \phi_{j+1}(X_v^j, \Xi_v^{j+1}), \quad j=1, \dots, \nu \quad (X_v^0 = x, \Xi_v^{\nu+1} = \xi). \end{cases}$$

Then, by the induction on  $N$  we can prove from the method of the proof of Theorem 1.7' in [14]

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha + \beta| \leq N} [M^{|\alpha + |\beta|| - 1} / (\alpha! \beta! (|\alpha + \beta| - 1)!^{d-1}) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \{ \langle \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|} \| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} (X_v^j - X_v^{j-1}) \| \\ & \quad + \langle \xi \rangle^{-1 + |\alpha|} \| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} (\Xi_v^j - \Xi_v^{j+1}) \| \} ] \leq C_1 \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} \end{aligned}$$

for constants  $C_1$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\nu$ . This implies

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \{ \langle \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|} \| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} (X_v^j - X_v^{j-1}) \| + \langle \xi \rangle^{-1 + |\alpha|} \| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} (\Xi_v^j - \Xi_v^{j+1}) \| \} \\ & \leq C_1 \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1} M^{-(|\alpha + |\beta||)} \alpha! \beta!^d. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = \phi_1 \# \dots \# \phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  is defined by

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (\phi_j(X_v^{j-1}, \Xi_v^j) - X_v^j \cdot \Xi_v^j) + \phi_{\nu+1}(X_v^{\nu}, \xi) \\ (X_v^0 = x), \end{aligned}$$

we get  $\Phi_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(c_0 \bar{\tau}_{\nu+1})$  with an appropriate constant  $c_0$ .

Q. E. D.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\phi_j(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau_j)$ ,  $j=1, 2$ . Assume  $\tau_1 + \tau_2$*

is small enough. Then, we have

$$(2.14) \quad I_{\phi_1} I_{\phi_2} \in L_{G(d)}^0(\phi_1 \# \phi_2).$$

*Remark.* For  $\phi_{j,\theta} \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau_{j,\theta})$ ,  $j=1, 2$ , we denote  $I_{\phi_{1,\theta}} I_{\phi_{2,\theta}} = \tilde{p}_{\theta, \phi_\theta}^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{p}_{\theta, \phi_\theta}(X, D_x)$  ( $\Phi_\theta = \phi_{1,\theta} \# \phi_{2,\theta}$ ). Then, if  $\tau_{1,\theta} + \tau_{2,\theta} \leq \tau_o$  for a  $\tau_o$  independent of  $\theta$  and the sets  $\{\phi_{j,\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) are bounded in  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$ , the sets  $\{p_\theta^0\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  and  $\{\tilde{p}_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  of the corresponding symbols  $p_\theta^0(x, \xi)$  and  $\tilde{p}_\theta(x, \xi)$  are bounded in  $S_{G(d)}^0$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ , respectively.

We postpone the proof of this proposition to Section 4.

For the multi-products of pseudo-differential operators we have

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $P_j = p_j^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{p}_j(X, D_x) \in L_{G(d)}^\sigma$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\sigma \geq 0$ . Assume

$$(2.15) \quad |p_{j(\beta)}^{0(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_o M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma-|\alpha|},$$

$$(2.16) \quad |\tilde{p}_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N}$$

for any  $N$ , where the constants  $C_o$  and  $M$  are independent of  $j, \alpha, \beta, N$  and the constant  $C_\alpha$  is independent of  $j, \beta, N$ . Then, the multi-product  $Q_{\nu+1} = P_1 P_2 \dots P_{\nu+1}$  has the form  $Q_{\nu+1} = q_{\nu+1}^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(X, D_x)$  with the properties

$$(2.17) \quad |q_{\nu+1(\beta)}^{0(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq A^\nu C_o^{\nu+1} M_1^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma-|\alpha|},$$

$$(2.18) \quad |\tilde{q}_{\nu+1(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq A^\nu \tilde{C}_o^{\nu+1} C'_\alpha M_1^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d (N + [\nu\sigma])!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N}$$

for any  $N$ .

Here,  $A$  and  $M_1$  are constants determined only by the dimension  $n$  and  $M$ ,  $\tilde{C}_o = \max_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} \{C_\alpha, C_o\}$  and the constants  $C'_\alpha$  are determined by  $n, \alpha$  and  $C_\alpha$ . All the constants  $A, M_1$  and  $C'_\alpha$  are independent of  $\nu$ .

Since the proof is rather long, we will give it in Section 5. As in [23] we get two corollaries.

**Corollary 2.7** (cf. Theorem 3 of [23]). Let  $P = p^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{p}(X, D_x) \in L_{G(d)}^0$  with

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{cases} |p_{(\beta)}^{0(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_o M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|}, \\ |\tilde{p}_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N} \end{cases} \text{ for any } N.$$

Assume that  $C_o$  and  $\max_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} C_\alpha$  are small enough. Then the inverse  $Q$  of

$I - P$  exists in  $L^0_{G(d)}$  and is represented by the Neumann series  $Q = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P^\nu$ .

**Corollary 2.8** (cf. Proposition 2.2 of [23]). *Let  $\phi(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tau)$ . If  $\tau$  is small enough, there exist pseudo-differential operators  $R$  and  $R'$  in  $L^0_{G(d)}$  such that*

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{cases} I_\phi R I_{\phi^*} = I, \\ I_{\phi^*} R' I_\phi = I. \end{cases}$$

Now, we are prepared to *prove Theorem 2.1*. Since we can prove it by the parallel way to the proof of Theorem 1 in [23], we will give only the sketch of the proof. Set  $\Phi_j = \phi_1 \# \phi_2 \# \dots \# \phi_j$ . Then, as in Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.10 in [23] we can find, by using Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.3, Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.8, pseudo-differential operators  $P'_j$  in  $L^0_{G(d)}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} P_{1, \phi_1} = P'_1 I_{\phi_1}, \\ I_{\phi_{j-1}} P_{j, \phi_j} = P'_j I_{\phi_j} \quad (j \geq 2; \Phi_1 = \phi_1). \end{cases}$$

From this we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_{\nu+1} &= P'_1(I_{\phi_1} P_{2, \phi_2}) P_{3, \phi_3} \cdots P_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}} \\ &= P'_1 P'_2(I_{\phi_2} P_{3, \phi_3}) P_{4, \phi_4} \cdots P_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}} \\ &= \dots \\ &= P'_1 P'_2 \cdots P'_\nu(I_{\phi_\nu} P_{\nu+1, \phi_{\nu+1}}) \\ &= P'_1 P'_2 \cdots P'_{\nu+1} I_{\phi_{\nu+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Theorem 2.6 and (2.8) we get the theorem.

### § 3. Fundamental Solution for a Hyperbolic Operator

We will construct the fundamental solution for  $\mathcal{L}$  of (8). For the proof we will solve an eiconal equation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \phi = \lambda(t, x, \nabla_x \phi), \\ \phi|_{t=s} = x \cdot \xi. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that  $\lambda(t, x, \xi)$  is a real symbol in  $M^0_i(S^1_{G(d)})$ . Then, there exists a constant  $T_0$  such that the solution  $\phi(t, s; x, \xi)$  exists uniquely in  $\{(t, s); 0 \leq t, s \leq T_0\}$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\bar{c}_0 |t-s|)$  for a*

constant  $\tilde{c}_0$  independent of  $t$  and  $s$ .

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9] combined with the idea in §1 of Chap. XI of [24]. Let  $\{q, p\}(t, s; y, \eta)$  be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dq}{dt} = -\mathcal{F}_y \lambda(t, q, p), & \frac{dp}{dt} = \mathcal{F}_x \lambda(t, q, p) \\ q|_{t=s} = y, & p|_{t=s} = \eta. \end{cases}$$

Then, by the method of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [9] we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad |\partial_y^\alpha \partial_y^\beta (q - y)| \leq C |t - s| M^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|},$$

$$(3.3) \quad |\partial_y^\alpha \partial_y^\beta (p - \eta)| \leq C |t - s| M^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|}$$

if  $0 \leq t, s \leq T'_0$  for a small  $T'_0$ . From (3.2) there exists an inverse function  $Y(t, s; x, \xi)$  of  $x = q(t, s; Y, \xi)$  for  $0 \leq t, s \leq T_0$  if  $T_0 (\leq T'_0)$  is small, and it satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (Y - x)| \leq C |t - s| M^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|}.$$

Set  $\phi(t, s; x, \xi) = p(t, s; Y(t, s; x, \xi), \xi)$  and

$$\phi(t, s; x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + \int_s^t \lambda(\theta, x, \phi(\theta, s; x, \xi)) d\theta.$$

Then,  $\phi(t, s; x, \xi)$  is a solution of (3.1) by the similar discussions in §1 of Chap. XI of [24] and it belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}(\tilde{c}_0 |t - s|)$  with a constant  $\tilde{c}_0$  independent of  $t$  and  $s$ . Q. E. D.

Now, we *prove Theorem 1*. Let  $I_\phi(t, s)$  be the Fourier integral operator with the phase function  $\phi(t, s; x, \xi)$  and the symbol 1. Operate  $\mathcal{L}$  in (8) to  $I_\phi(t, s)$ . Then, we can prove by the similar way to the proof of (2.5)

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{L}I_\phi(t, s) = P_\phi(t, s)$$

with  $P_\phi(t, s)$  in  $L_{G(d)}^s(\phi(t, s))$  for any  $t$  and  $s$ . Now, we seek  $E(t, s)$  in the form

$$(3.6) \quad E(t, s) = I_\phi(t, s) + \int_s^t I_\phi(t, \theta) W(\theta, s) d\theta.$$

Then,  $W(t, s)$  must satisfy

$$(3.7) \quad P_\phi(t, s) - iW(t, s) + \int_s^t P_\phi(t, \theta) W(\theta, s) d\theta = 0.$$

Set

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} W_1(t, s) = -iP_\phi(t, s), \\ W_\nu(t, s) = -i \int_s^t P_\phi(t, \theta) W_{\nu-1}(\theta, s) d\theta \quad (\nu \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Then, in the formal sense  $W(t, s) = \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty W_\nu(t, s)$  is a solution of (3.7). From (3.8)  $W_\nu(t, s)$  for  $\nu \geq 2$  has the form

$$(3.9) \quad W_\nu(t_0, s) = (-i)^\nu \int_s^{t_0} \dots \int_s^{t_{\nu-2}} P_\phi(t_0, t_1) P_\phi(t_1, t_2) \dots \times P_\phi(t_{\nu-1}, s) dt_{\nu-1} \dots dt_1.$$

Hence, substituting  $W(t, s) = \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty W_\nu(t, s)$  with (3.9) into (3.6), the fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  can be written formally in the form

$$(3.10) \quad E(t, s) = I_\phi(t, s) - i \int_s^t I_\phi(t, t_0) P_\phi(t_0, s) dt_0 + \sum_{\nu=2}^\infty (-i)^\nu \int_s^{t_0} \dots \int_s^{t_{\nu-2}} I_\phi(t, t_0) P_\phi(t_0, t_1) \dots \times P_\phi(t_{\nu-1}, s) dt_{\nu-1} \dots dt_0.$$

In what follows we give the precise meaning for (3.10). From 3° of Theorem 2.3 in [14] we have  $\phi(t, t_0) \# \phi(t_0, t_1) \# \dots \# \phi(t_{\nu-1}, s) = \phi(t, s)$ . Hence, if  $T'_0 (\leq T_0)$  is small, from Theorem 2.1 there exist symbols  $w_\nu^0(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; x, \xi)$  in  $M_{(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s)}^0(S_{G(d)}^{\nu\sigma})$  and  $\tilde{w}_\nu(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; x, \xi)$  in  $M_{(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s)}^0(\mathcal{R}_{G(d)})$  ( $\tilde{t}^{\nu-1} = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{\nu-1})$ ) such that for  $j=0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^j \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta w_\nu^0(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_0^\nu M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\nu\sigma-|\alpha|}, \\ |\partial_t^j \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta \tilde{w}_\nu(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; x, \xi)| &\leq C_0^\nu C_\alpha M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d N!^d \nu!^{\sigma d} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $N$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &I_\phi(t, t_0) P_\phi(t_0, t_1) \dots P_\phi(t_{\nu-1}, s) \\ &= w_\nu^0(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; X, D_x) I_\phi(t, s) + \tilde{w}_\nu(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; X, D_x) I_\phi(t, s). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have applied Theorem 2.1 noting that the order of the above operator becomes  $\nu\sigma$  because the order of  $I_\phi(t, s)$  is zero. Define

$$w_\nu(t, s; x, \xi) = (-i)^\nu \int_s^{t_0} \dots \int_s^{t_{\nu-2}} w_\nu^0(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; x, \xi) dt_{\nu-1} \dots dt_0 \quad (t_{-1} = t)$$

and

$$\tilde{r}_\nu(t, s; x, \xi) = (-i)^\nu \int_s^{t_0} \dots \int_s^{t_{\nu-2}} \tilde{w}_\nu(t, \tilde{t}^{\nu-1}, s; x, \xi) dt_{\nu-1} \dots dt_0.$$

Then, they satisfy for  $j=0, 1$

$$|\partial_t^j \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta w_\nu(t, s; x, \xi)|$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (C_0^\nu |t-s|^\nu \nu!^{-1}) M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\nu\sigma-|\alpha|}, \\ &|\partial_i^j \partial_\xi^q D_x^\beta \tilde{r}_\nu(t, s; x, \xi)| \\ &\leq (C_0^\nu |t-s|^\nu \nu!^{\sigma d-1}) C_\alpha M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $N$ .

Take  $T_0 (\leq T'_0)$  such that  $T_0 C_0 < 1$ . Then, the series  $\tilde{r}(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \tilde{r}_\nu(t, s; x, \xi)$  converges and we can see the sum  $\tilde{r}(t, s; x, \xi)$  belongs to  $M_{i,s}^1(\mathcal{R}_{G(d)})$  if  $0 \leq t, s \leq T_0$ . Note that the series  $\sum_{\nu=1}^\infty w_\nu(t, s; X, D_x)$  has a meaning as an operator from  $\gamma^d$  into itself if  $\sigma d < 1$  and as an operator from  $\gamma^d(M)$  into  $\gamma^d$  for a small  $M$  if  $\sigma d = 1$ . Summing up the above results, we obtain Theorem 1.

*Remark.* In the expression (9) of Theorem 1 we set  $R(t, s) = \tilde{r}(t, s; X, D_x) I_\phi(t, s)$ . This belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi(t,s)}$ . But from  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi(t,s)} = \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  we see that  $R(t, s)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

Next, we consider a hyperbolic system

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{L} = D_t - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t, X, D_x) & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \lambda_l(t, X, D_x) \end{pmatrix} + (b_{jk}(t, X, D_x))$$

with the diagonal principal part, where  $\lambda_j(t, x, \xi)$  are real symbols in  $M_t^0(S_{G(d)}^1)$  and  $b_{jk}(t, x, \xi)$  belong to  $M_t^0(S_{G(d)}^\sigma)$  ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1/d$ ). Let  $\phi_j(t, s; x, \xi)$  be a solution of (3.1) with  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ . Then, we have by the similar discussions for the proof of Theorem 1

**Theorem 3.2.** *The fundamental solution  $E(t, s)$  for (3.11) can be represented in the form*

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} E(t, s) &= \sum_{m=1}^l E_{0,m,\phi_m}(t, s) \\ &+ \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \sum_{\mu \in \Pi_{\nu+1}} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_0} \cdots \int_s^{t_{\nu-2}} E_{\nu,\mu,\phi_\mu}(t, t_0, \dots, t_{\nu-1}, s) dt_{\nu-1} \cdots dt_0 \\ &+ R(t, s) \quad (t_{-1} = t) \end{aligned}$$

when  $0 \leq t, s \leq T_0$ . Here,  $T_0$  is a small constant,  $\Pi_{\nu+1} = \{\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_{\nu+1}); m_j = 1, \dots, l\}$  and  $\Phi_\mu(t, t_0, \dots, t_{\nu-1}, s) = \phi_{m_1}(t, t_0) \# \phi_{m_2}(t_0, t_1) \# \cdots \# \phi_{m_{\nu+1}}(t_{\nu-1}, s)$

for  $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_{v+1}) \in \Pi_{v+1}$ . In (3.12)  $E_{v,\mu,\phi_\mu}(t, t_0, \dots, t_{v-1}, s)$  for  $\mu \in \Pi_{v+1}$  is a Fourier integral operator with symbol  $e_{v,\mu}(t, t_0, \dots, t_{v-1}, s; x, \xi)$  satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha D_x^\beta e_{v,\mu}| \leq C_0^\nu M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\nu\sigma-|\alpha|}$$

and  $R(t, s)$  is a regularizer with a symbol in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

From (3.12) we can investigate the propagation of singularities for a solution  $U(t)$  of the Cauchy problem

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}U(t) = 0 & 0 < t \leq T_\sigma, \\ U|_{t=0} = G. \end{cases}$$

The details are left in the future. The author is not convinced that the similar result to (3.34) in [13] holds.

In the remainder of this section we give a method of reducing a Cauchy problem

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = 0, \\ \partial_t^j u|_{t=0} = h_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \end{cases}$$

for a hyperbolic operator

$$(3.15) \quad L = D_t^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{|\alpha|+j \leq m} a_{j,\alpha}(t, x) D_x^\alpha D_t^j \quad \text{with } a_{j,\alpha}(t, x) \in M_t(\gamma^d)$$

to a Cauchy problem (3.13) for a hyperbolic system  $\mathcal{L}$  of the form (3.11). Here,  $a(t, x) \in M_t(\gamma^d)$  means that it satisfies  $|\partial_t^j D_x^\alpha a(t, x)| \leq C_j M_j^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^d$  for constants  $C_j$  and  $M_j$  independent of  $\alpha$ . We assume that there exist regularly hyperbolic operators  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r$  such that  $L$  has a form

$$(3.16) \quad L = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_r + \sum_{j=0}^{m-q} a'_j(t, X, D_x) D_t^j \quad \text{for } a'_j \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{m-q-j}),$$

where  $q$  is an integer satisfying  $1 \leq q \leq r$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Set  $\sigma = (r-q)/r$ . Then, there exists a hyperbolic system  $\mathcal{L}$  of the form (3.11) with  $b_{jk}(t, x, \xi)$  in  $M_t^0(S_{G(d)}^\sigma)$  such that the Cauchy problem (3.14) can be reduced to an equivalent Cauchy problem (3.13).*

In the following we disregard the contribution of regularizers and

the equality means that it holds modulo regularizers in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.3.* We divide the proof into two steps.

(I) Denote the order of  $L_k$  by  $s_k$  and let  $\lambda_{k,j}(t, x, \xi)$ ,  $j=1, \dots, s_k$ , be characteristic roots of  $L_k$ . We may assume  $\lambda_{k,j}(t, x, \xi) \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^1)$  by multiplying a cut function with respect to  $\xi$  if necessary. Since  $L_k$  is a regularly hyperbolic operator, there exist  $\lambda'_{k,j}(t, x, \xi) \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^0)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_k = & (D_t - \lambda_{k,1}(t, X, D_x) - \lambda'_{k,1}(t, X, D_x)) \cdots \\ & \times (D_t - \lambda_{k,s_k}(t, X, D_x) - \lambda'_{k,s_k}(t, X, D_x)) \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{s_k-1} b_{k,j}(t, X, D_x) D_t^j \end{aligned}$$

with  $b_{k,j} \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{-m})$ . Denote  $\bar{s}_0 = 0$ ,  $\bar{s}_k = s_1 + \dots + s_k$  and

$$\partial_j = D_t - \lambda_{k,j-\bar{s}_{k-1}}(t, X, D_x) - \lambda'_{k,j-\bar{s}_{k-1}}(t, X, D_x) \quad \text{if } \bar{s}_{k-1} < j \leq \bar{s}_k.$$

Then,  $L$  has the form

$$(3.17) \quad L = \partial_1 \partial_2 \cdots \partial_m + \sum_{j=2}^m b_j(t, X, D_x) \partial_j \cdots \partial_m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-q} \tilde{b}_j(t, X, D_x) D_t^j$$

with  $b_j(t, x, \xi) \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^0)$  and  $\tilde{b}_j(t, x, \xi) \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{m-q-j})$ . Set  $\Pi = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{r'=1}^r \Pi_{r'}$ , for

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_r = & \{J = (j_1, \dots, j_k); \bar{s}_{r-1} + 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq m, k \leq s_r - 1\} \\ \Pi_{r'} = & \{J = (j_1, \dots, j_k); \bar{s}_{r'-1} + 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq m, \\ & \bar{s}_{r'+1} \leq k \leq \bar{s}_{r'} - 1, \mu_{r'}(J) \geq 1 \geq \mu_{r'+1}(J) \geq \dots \geq \mu_r(J)\} \\ & (1 \leq r' < r) \end{aligned}$$

and denote the number of elements in  $\Pi$  by  $l$ , where  $\bar{s}_{r'} = s_{r'} + \dots + s_r$  and  $\mu_{r'}(J) = s_{r'} - (\text{the number of elements in } \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \cap \{\bar{s}_{r'-1} + 1, \dots, \bar{s}_{r'}\})$  for  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ . Then, by the method of [20] (see also §III in Appendix of [12]) we can prove that  $L$  in (3.17) has the form

$$(3.18) \quad L = \partial_1 \partial_2 \cdots \partial_m + \sum_{j=2}^r b'_j(t, X, D_x) \partial_j \cdots \partial_m + \sum_{J \in \Pi'} b_J(t, X, D_x) \partial^J$$

with  $b'_j \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^0)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq q$ ),  $b'_j \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{j-q-1})$  ( $q < j \leq r$ ) and  $b_J \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{-q})$ , where  $\Pi' = \{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \Pi; k \leq m - r\}$ ,  $\partial^J = \partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_k}$  for  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$  and  $\partial^J = I$  for  $J = 0$ . In fact, first we prove

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-q} \tilde{b}_j(t, X, D_x) D_t^j = \sum_{J \in \Pi', |J|=s_r-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\bar{s}_r-1-q+1} \tilde{b}_{j,j}^1(t, X, D_x) D_t^j \right) \partial^J$$

$$+ \sum_{J \in \Pi_r} b_j^1(t, X, D_x) \partial^J$$

with  $\tilde{b}_{j,j}^1 \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{m-|J|_1-q-j})$  and  $b_j^1 \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{r-q})$ , where  $|J|$  denotes the length  $k$  of  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ ; and next we prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J \in \Pi_r, |J|_1 = s_{r-1}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s_{r-1}-q+1} \tilde{b}_{j,j}^1(t, X, D_x) D_j^i \right) \partial^J \\ &= \sum_{r'=1}^2 \sum_{J \in \Pi_{r-1}, |J|_1 = s_{r-1}-r'} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s_{r-2}-q+1} \tilde{b}_{j,j}^2(t, X, D_x) D_j^i \right) \partial^J \\ & \quad + \sum_{J \in \Pi_{r-1} \cup \Pi_r} b_j^2(t, X, D_x) \partial^J \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{b}_{j,j}^2 \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{m-|J|_1-q-j})$  and  $b_j^2 \in M_t(S_{G(d)}^{r-q})$ . Repeating this method we can prove (3.18).

(II) Suppose that a function  $u \equiv u(t, x)$  satisfies  $Lu = 0$ . Set for  $J \in \Pi$

$$\begin{cases} u_0 = A^{(r-1)\sigma} u & (J=0), \\ u_J = A^{(r-1)\sigma} \partial^J u & \text{for } |J| \leq m-r, \\ u_J = A^{(r-1-k)\sigma} \partial^J u & \text{for } |J| = m-r+k \quad (1 \leq k \leq r-1), \end{cases}$$

where  $A = \langle D_x \rangle$ . Then, by applying the method of §3 in [11] the  $l$ -dimensional vector  $U = (u_J)_{J \in \Pi}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{L}U = 0$  for a system  $\mathcal{L}$  of the form (3.11). In this way we reduce the problem (3.14) to a problem (3.13). The fact that (3.14) and (3.13) are equivalent is verified by the method in [18] and [11]. This concludes the proof.

Q. E. D.

From this proposition and Theorem 3.2 we can prove that (3.14) is  $\gamma^d$ -correct (or  $\gamma^d$ -well-posed) in the sense of [5] if  $d \leq r/(r-q)$  and we can investigate the propagation of singularities for a solution of the Cauchy problem (3.14). In the case of constant multiplicity we can improve Proposition 3.3 as follows.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Assume that the operator (3.15) is a hyperbolic operator with constant multiplicity and its coefficients  $a_{j,\alpha}(t, x)$  satisfy*

$$(3.19) \quad |\partial_t^k D_x^\beta a_{j,\alpha}(t, x)| \leq CM^{-(k+|\beta|)} k!^d \beta!^d \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Then, the problem (3.14) is reduced to the problem (3.13) for a perfectly diagonalized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  of the form (7) in the Introduction. Moreover, if the operator (3.15) satisfies (3.16), then the lower order terms  $B_j(t)$*

in (7) are pseudo-differential operators of order  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma = (r - q)/r$ .

We will prove this proposition in Section 6. We note that from Proposition 3.4 we obtain Corollary 3 with  $\sigma = \max_j \{(m_j - 1)/m_j\}$  replaced by  $\sigma = (r - q)/r$  if (3.15) satisfies (3.16).

Next, we turn to the problem studied in [3] and [21]. Consider a regularly hyperbolic operator

$$(3.20) \quad L = D_t^2 - \sum_{j,k} b_{jk}^0(t, x) D_{x_j} D_{x_k} + b(t, x) D_t + \sum_j b_j(t, x) D_{x_j} + c(t, x) \quad \text{on } [0, T]$$

with continuous coefficients. We assume

$$(3.21) \quad b_{jk}^0(t, x), b(t, x), b_j(t, x), c(t, x) \in \gamma^d \text{ for any fixed } t,$$

$$(3.22) \quad |D_x^\alpha (b_{jk}^0(t, x) - b_{jk}^0(s, x))| \leq C |t - s|^\kappa M^{-|\alpha|} \alpha^{|\alpha|} \quad (t, s \in [0, T]),$$

$$(3.23) \quad \left| \sum_{j,k} b_{jk}^0(t, x) \xi_j \xi_k \right| \geq \delta |\xi|^2 \quad (\delta > 0).$$

We show Proposition 3.3 with  $\sigma = (r - q)/r$  replaced by  $\sigma = 1 - \kappa$ . We may assume (3.22) holds for all  $t, s \in R^1$ . Take an even function  $\chi(t) \in \gamma^d$  such that  $\int \chi(t) dt = 1$  and  $\chi = 0$  if  $|t| \geq 1/2$ . We approximate  $b_{jk}^0(t, x)$  by

$$b_{jk}(t, x, \xi) = \int \chi((t - s) \langle \xi \rangle) b_{jk}^0(s, x) ds \cdot \langle \xi \rangle.$$

Then, from the evenness of  $\chi$  the symbols  $b_{jk}(t, x, \xi)$  belong to  $M_i^0(S_{G(\partial)}^0) \cap M_i^1(S_{G(\partial)}^0)$  and

$$b_{jk}(t, x, \xi) - b_{jk}^0(t, x) \in M_i^0(S_{G(\partial)}^{-\epsilon})$$

hold. Denote

$$\lambda(t, x, \xi) = \left\{ \sum_{j,k} b_{jk}(t, x, \xi) \xi_j \xi_k \right\}^{\pm} \chi'(\xi)$$

where  $\chi'(\xi)$  is a function in  $\gamma^d$  satisfying  $\chi' = 1$  for  $|\xi| \geq 2$  and  $\chi' = 0$  for  $|\xi| \leq 1$ . Then, the operator  $L$  can be written in the form

$$L = D_t^2 - \lambda(t, X, D_x)^2 + b(t, X) (D_t - \lambda(t, X, D_x)) + \tilde{b}(t, X, D_x)$$

with  $\tilde{b}(t, x, \xi) \in M_i^0(S_{G(\partial)}^{1+g})$ . Note that  $\partial_t \lambda(t, x, \xi) \in M_i^0(S_{G(\partial)}^{1+g})$ . Then,  $L$  has the form

$$L = (D_t + \lambda(t, X, D_x) + b(t, X)) (D_t - \lambda(t, X, D_x)) + \tilde{b}'(t, X, D_x)$$

with  $\tilde{b}'(t, x, \xi) \in M_i^0(S_{G(\partial)}^{1+g})$ . For a function  $u = u(t, x)$  we set  $u_1 = Au$

and  $u_2 = (D_t - \lambda(t, X, D_x))u$ . Then, if  $u$  satisfies  $Lu = 0$ ,  $U = {}^t(u_1, u_2)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_1 U = 0$  for a system

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = D_t - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t, X, D_x) & A \\ 0 & -\lambda(t, X, D_x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} [\lambda(t, X, D_x), A]A^{-1} & 0 \\ \tilde{b}'(t, X, D_x)A^{-1} & b(t, X) \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\mathcal{L}_1$  has a lower order term in  $M_t^0(S_{G(a)}^\sigma)$ . Next, we set

$$N(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n(t, X, D_x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for a symbol  $n(t, x, \xi) \in M_t^0(S_{G(a)}^\sigma) \cap M_t^1(S_{G(a)}^\sigma)$  satisfying  $n(t, x, \xi) = -\langle \xi \rangle / (2\lambda(t, x, \xi))$  for  $|\xi| \geq 2$ . Then, using  $N(t)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -n(t, X, D_x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\partial_t(\sigma(N(t))) \in M_t^0(S_{G(a)}^\sigma)$  we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{L}_1 N(t) = N(t) \mathcal{L}$$

with

$$(3.25) \quad \mathcal{L} = D_t - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t, X, D_x) & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda(t, X, D_x) \end{bmatrix} + (b_{jk}(t, X, D_x))$$

$(b_{jk} \in M_t^0(S_{G(a)}^\sigma)).$

In this way, we can prove Proposition 3.3 with  $\mathcal{L}$  in (3.25).

### § 4. Calculus of Products of Fourier Integral Operators

The end of this section is to prove Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.5. To begin with, we prove

**Lemma 4.1.** i) Suppose that a double symbol  $r(x, \xi, x', \xi')$  satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad |r_{(\beta, \beta')}^{(\alpha, \alpha')}(x, \xi, x', \xi')| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\alpha'|+|\beta|+\beta')} \times \alpha!^d \alpha'!^d \beta!^d \beta'!^d \langle \xi' \rangle^{m-|\alpha|-|\alpha'|}$$

with constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta$  and  $\beta'$ . Then, the simplified symbol

$$r_L(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} r(x, \xi + \eta, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta$$

of  $r(x, \xi, x', \xi')$  belongs to  $S_{G(a)}^m$ .

ii) Suppose that a double symbol  $r(x, \xi, x', \xi')$  satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad |r_{(\beta, \beta')}^{(\alpha, \alpha')}(x, \xi, x', \xi')| \leq C_{\alpha, \alpha'} M^{-(|\beta|+|\beta'|+N)} \times \beta!^d \beta'!^d N!^d \langle \xi' \rangle^{-|\alpha|-|\alpha'|-N} \text{ for any } N$$

with a constant  $M$  independent of  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', N$  and a constant  $C_{\alpha, \alpha'}$  independent of  $\beta, \beta'$  and  $N$ . Then, the simplified symbol  $r_L(x, \xi)$  of  $r(x, \xi, x', \xi')$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

*Proof.* First we assume (4.1). Differentiating  $r_L(x, \xi)$  with respect to  $x$  and  $\xi$ , we have

$$r_{L(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha \\ \beta' + \beta'' = \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} r_{(\beta', \beta'')}^{(\alpha', \alpha'')}(x, \xi + \eta, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta.$$

Here and in what follows we often omit the notion “ $O_s$ –”. Using (6.10) of Chap. 1 in [12] we obtain

$$|r_{L(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\alpha|}$$

for new constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . This shows  $r_L(x, \xi) \in S_{G(d)}^m$ . Similarly, we can prove ii). Q. E. D.

Now, we prove Proposition 2.2.

*Proof of Proposition 2.2.* Let  $p_1(X, D_x) \in S_{G(d)}^m$  and  $p_{2, \phi}(X, D_x) \in S_{G(d), \phi}^m$ . Then, from Theorem 2.2. of Chap. 10 in [12] the product  $p_1(X, D_x) p_{2, \phi}(X, D_x)$  is a Fourier integral operator with a symbol  $q(x, \xi)$  defined by

$$q(x, \xi') = O_s - \iint e^{i\psi} p_1(x, \xi) p_2(x', \xi') dx' d\xi,$$

where  $\psi = x \cdot \xi - x' \cdot \xi + \phi(x', \xi') - \phi(x, \xi')$ . Take a function  $\chi(\xi)$  in  $\gamma^d$  satisfying

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \quad \chi = 1 \quad (|\xi| \leq 2/5), \quad \chi = 0 \quad (|\xi| \geq 1/2)$$

and divide  $q(x, \xi)$  into two terms:

$$(4.4) \quad q(x, \xi) = q_0(x, \xi) + q_\infty(x, \xi),$$

where

$$q_0(x, \xi') = \iint e^{i\psi} p_1(x, \xi) \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle) p_2(x', \xi') dx' d\xi,$$

$$q_\infty(x, \xi') = \iint e^{i\psi} p_1(x, \xi) (1 - \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle)) p_2(x', \xi') dx' d\xi.$$

Denote  $\tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \xi, x') = \int_0^1 \tilde{V}_x \phi(x' + \theta(x - x'), \xi) d\theta$ . Then,  $\psi$  has the form  $\psi = (x - x') \cdot (\xi - \tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \xi', x'))$ . Hence, using the change of variables:

$y = x' - x, \eta = \xi - \tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \xi', x')$  we have

$$q_0(x, \xi') = \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1(x, \eta + \tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \xi', x + y)) \times \chi((\eta + \tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \xi', x + y) - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle) p_2(x + y, \xi') dy d\eta.$$

This formula shows that  $q_0(x, \xi)$  is the simplified symbol of a double symbol

$$q'_0(x, \xi, x', \xi') = [p_1(x, \xi) \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle) p_2(x', \xi')]_{\xi = \xi - \xi' + \tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \xi', x')},$$

which satisfies (4.1). Hence, from i) of Lemma 4.1 we obtain  $q_0(x, \xi) \in S_{G(a)}^{m+m'}$ .

Now, we prove  $q_{\infty, \phi}(X, D_x) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(a), \phi}$ . This result is equivalent to  $\tilde{q}_{\infty}(X, D_x) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(a)}$  if we set  $\tilde{q}_{\infty}(x, \xi) = q_{\infty}(x, \xi) e^{iJ(x, \xi)}$  for  $J(x, \xi) = \phi(x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi$ . So, we may prove  $\tilde{q}_{\infty}(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(a)}$ . It has the form

$$\tilde{q}_{\infty}(x, \xi') = \iint e^{i\tilde{\phi}} p_1(x, \xi) (1 - \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle)) p_2(x', \xi') dx' d\xi,$$

where  $\tilde{\phi} = x \cdot \xi - x' \cdot \xi + \phi(x', \xi') - x \cdot \xi'$ . Set  $\tilde{\Psi}_{\alpha}(x', \xi; x, \xi') = e^{-i\tilde{\phi}} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha} e^{i\tilde{\phi}}$ . Then, it satisfies

$$|D_x^{\beta} D_{x'}^{\delta} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Psi}_{\alpha}| \leq C_{\alpha, \gamma} M^{-(|\beta| + |\delta|)} \beta! \delta! \langle x - x' \rangle^{|\alpha|}.$$

Using this and  $\tilde{V}_x \tilde{\phi} = \xi - \xi'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_{\infty}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi') &= \sum_{\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} D_x^{\beta} \iint e^{i\tilde{\phi}} \tilde{\Psi}_{\alpha'}(x', \xi; x, \xi') \\ &\quad \times p_1(x, \xi) \partial_{\xi''}^{\alpha''} \{(1 - \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle)) p_2(x', \xi')\} dx' d\xi \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha \\ \beta' + \beta'' + \beta''' = \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \frac{\beta!}{\beta'! \beta''! \beta'''!} \iint e^{i\tilde{\phi}} (\xi - \xi')^{\beta'} D_x^{\beta''} \tilde{\Psi}_{\alpha'}(x', \xi; x, \xi') \\ &\quad \times p_{1(\beta''')} (x, \xi) \partial_{\xi''}^{\alpha''} \{(1 - \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle)) p_2(x', \xi')\} dx' d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $L_1 = -i |-\xi + \tilde{V}_x \phi(x', \xi')|^{-2} (-\xi + \tilde{V}_x \phi(x', \xi')) \cdot \tilde{V}_{x'}$  and  $L_2 = (1 + |x - x'|^2)^{-1} (1 - i(x - x') \cdot \tilde{V}_{\xi'})$ . Then, integrating by parts we have

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{q}_{\infty}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi') = \sum_{\substack{\alpha' + \alpha'' = \alpha \\ \beta' + \beta'' + \beta''' = \beta}} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \frac{\beta!}{\beta'! \beta''! \beta'''!} \tilde{q}_{\infty, \beta', \beta'', \beta'''}^{\alpha', \alpha''}(x, \xi')$$

with

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_{\infty, \beta', \beta'', \beta'''}^{\alpha', \alpha''}(x, \xi') &= \iint e^{i\tilde{\phi}} (L_1^l)^l (L_2^l)^{n+1+|\alpha'|} \{(\xi - \xi')^{\beta'} \\ &\quad \times D_x^{\beta''} \tilde{\Psi}_{\alpha'}(x', \xi; x, \xi') p_{1(\beta''')} (x, \xi) \\ &\quad \times \partial_{\xi''}^{\alpha''} \{(1 - \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle)) p_2(x', \xi')\} dx' d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In (4.6) we take  $l = |\beta'| + |\alpha'| + N + n + 1 + [\max(m, 0) + \max(m', 0)]$ .



Then, we obtain from  $|\xi + \tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \xi')| \geq C |\xi - \xi'| \geq C' \langle \xi' \rangle$

$$(4.7) \quad |\tilde{q}_{\infty(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi')| \leq C_{\alpha} M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi' \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N} \quad \text{for any } N.$$

This shows  $q_{\infty} \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . Consequently, we have proved (2.5). Similarly, we can prove (2.6). The above proof is also valid for the proof of (2.7) if we use Lemma 4.1-ii) instead of using Lemma 4.1-i). This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.2. Q. E. D.

*Remark.* Throughout this section we denote by  $\chi(\xi)$  a function in  $\mathcal{r}^d$  satisfying (4.3).

Using the method of the proof of (2.12) we can improve Lemma 2.1 in [23] as follows.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\phi(x, \xi)$  belong to  $\mathcal{P}_{G(d)}$ . Then, we have the following:*

i) *The inverse function  $\xi = \tilde{V}_x \phi^{-1}(x, \eta, x')$  of  $\eta = \int_0^1 \tilde{V}_x \phi(x' + \theta(x-x'), \xi) d\theta$  satisfies (2.3)-a), (2.3)-b) in [23] and*

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_{\eta}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} D_{x'}^{\beta'} \tilde{V}_x \phi^{-1}(x, \eta, x')| \\ & \leq C M^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\beta'|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \beta'!^d \langle \eta \rangle^{1-|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

ii) *The inverse function  $x' = \tilde{V}_{\xi} \phi^{-1}(\xi, y', \xi')$  of  $y' = \int_0^1 \tilde{V}_{\xi} \phi(x', \xi' + \theta(\xi - \xi')) d\theta$  satisfies*

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} D_{y'}^{\beta'} (\tilde{V}_{\xi} \phi^{-1}(\xi, y', \xi') - y')| \\ & \leq C M^{-(|\alpha|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|)} \alpha!^d \alpha'!^d \beta'!^d, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} D_{y'}^{\beta'} \{ \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle) (\tilde{V}_{\xi} \phi^{-1}(\xi, y', \xi') - y') \}| \\ & \leq C M^{-(|\alpha|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|)} \alpha!^d \alpha'!^d \beta'!^d \langle \xi' \rangle^{-|\alpha|-|\alpha'|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove Proposition 2.3.

*Proof of Proposition 2.3.* Let  $p_{\phi}(X, D_x)$  be a Fourier integral operator in  $\mathcal{S}_{G(d), \phi}^m$ . Then, by (1.29)-(1.30) in Chap. 10 of [12]  $p_{\phi}(X, D_x) I_{\phi^*}$  is a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol

$$q(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} q'(x, \xi + \eta, x + y) dy d\eta$$

for

$$q'(x, \xi, x') = \{p(x, \zeta) |\det \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \tilde{V}_x \phi(x, \zeta, x')|^{-1}\}_{\zeta = \tilde{V}_x \phi^{-1}(x, \xi, x')}$$

where for a vector  $f = {}^t(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of functions  $f_j(x, \xi)$   $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f$  denotes  $(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \xi_k})_{\substack{j \downarrow 1, \dots, n \\ k \rightarrow 1, \dots, n}}$ . Write

$$q(x, \xi) = \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \chi(\eta / \langle \xi \rangle) q'(x, \xi + \eta, x + y) dy d\eta + \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} (1 - \chi(\eta / \langle \xi \rangle)) q'(x, \xi + \eta, x + y) dy d\eta.$$

Then, using Lemma 4.2-i) we see that the first term belongs to  $S_{G(d)}^m$  because of Lemma 4.1-i) and the second term belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  if we use the integration by parts. This shows  $S_{G(d), \phi}^m \cdot I_{\phi^*} \subset L_{G(d)}^m$ . Next, we assume  $p_\phi(X, D_x) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d), \phi}$ . Then, the product  $p_\phi(X, D_x) I_{\phi^*}$  is a pseudo-differential operator  $\tilde{q}(X, D_x, X')$  with a symbol  $\tilde{q}(x, \xi, x') = p(x, \xi) e^{i(J(x, \xi) - J(x', \xi))}$ . Since  $\tilde{q}(x, \xi, x')$  satisfies

$$|\tilde{q}_{(\beta, \beta')}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi, x')| \leq C_\alpha M^{-(|\beta| + |\beta'| + N)} \beta! \beta'! N! \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha| - N}$$

for any  $N$ ,

we can prove that its simplified symbol  $\tilde{q}_L(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . These results show the first formula of (2.10). In the same way we can prove the second formula of (2.10) by using Lemma 4.2-ii).

Q. E. D.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 2.5. We divide it into three steps.

(I) We follow the proof of Proposition 2.8 in [23]. Set  $\Phi(x, \xi) = \phi_1 \# \phi_2(x, \xi)$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\equiv \psi(x, x'; \xi, \xi') \\ &= \phi_1(x, \xi) - x' \cdot \xi + \phi_2(x', \xi') - \Phi(x, \xi'). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $I_{\phi_1} I_{\phi_2}$  is a Fourier integral operator with the phase function  $\Phi(x, \xi)$  and a symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  defined by

$$(4.11) \quad p(x, \xi') = O_s - \iint e^{i\psi} dx' d\xi.$$

Set  $\chi_\infty(\xi, \xi') = 1 - \chi((\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle)$  and consider

$$p_\infty(x, \xi') = O_s - \iint e^{i\psi} \chi_\infty(\xi, \xi') dx' d\xi.$$

For  $\phi' = \phi_1(x, \xi) + \phi_2(x', \xi') - \bar{\Phi}(x, \xi')$  we have

$$(4.12) \quad \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} e^{i\phi'} = e^{i\phi'} \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta|} \Psi_{k;\alpha,\beta}(x', \xi'; x, \xi')$$

with symbols  $\Psi_{k;\alpha,\beta}(x', \xi'; x, \xi')$  satisfying

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \Psi_{k;\alpha,\beta}| \\ & \leq C_{\alpha,\gamma} M^{-(|\beta|+|\delta|)} \beta!^d \delta!^d k!^{-d} \langle x-x' \rangle^{|\alpha|} \langle \xi-\xi' \rangle^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, following the way of (2.34) – (2.36) in [23] we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad p_{\infty}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi') = \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta|} \iint e^{i\psi} p_{\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}(x', \xi'; x, \xi') dx' d\xi$$

for symbols  $p_{\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}(x', \xi'; x, \xi')$  satisfying

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} p_{\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}| \\ & \leq C_{\alpha,\gamma} M^{-(|\beta|+|\delta|)} \beta!^d \delta!^d k!^{-d} \langle x-x' \rangle^{|\alpha|} \langle \xi-\xi' \rangle^k. \end{aligned}$$

On  $\text{supp } p_{\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}$  the inequality  $|\xi-\xi'| \geq (2/5) \langle \xi' \rangle$  holds.

This implies

$$(4.16) \quad |\mathcal{V}_{x'} \phi| \geq \frac{1}{6} |\xi-\xi'| \geq \frac{1}{15} \langle \xi' \rangle$$

if  $\tau_2 < 1/3$ . Set

$$\begin{cases} L_1 = -i |\mathcal{V}_{x'} \phi|^{-2} \mathcal{V}_{x'} \phi \cdot \mathcal{V}_{x'}, \\ L_2 = (1 + |\mathcal{V}_{\xi} \phi|^2)^{-1} (1 - i \mathcal{V}_{\xi} \phi \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\xi}). \end{cases}$$

Then, by the integration by parts we have

$$p_{\infty}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi') = \sum_{k=0}^{|\beta|} \iint e^{i\psi} (L_1^t)^{n+1+k+N} (L_2^t)^{n+1+|\alpha|} p_{\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}(x', \xi'; x, \xi') dx' d\xi.$$

Note  $1 + |\mathcal{V}_{\xi} \phi| \geq C \langle x-x' \rangle$ . Then, we obtain from (4.15) and (4.16)

$$|p_{\infty}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi')| \leq C_{\alpha} M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi' \rangle^{-N} \quad \text{for any } N.$$

This implies

$$(4.17) \quad p_{\infty}(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}.$$

(II) For  $\chi_0(\xi, \xi') = \chi((\xi-\xi')/\langle \xi' \rangle)$  we consider

$$p_0(x, \xi') = O_s - \iint e^{i\psi} \chi_0(\xi, \xi') dx' d\xi.$$

Let  $\{X, \Xi\}(x, \xi)$  be the solution of

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{cases} X = \mathcal{V}_{\xi} \phi_1(x, \Xi), \\ \Xi = \mathcal{V}_x \phi_2(X, \xi). \end{cases}$$

Using a change of variables:  $x' = X(x, \xi') + y$ ,  $\xi = \Xi(x, \xi') + \eta$ , we write

$$(4.19) \quad p_0(x, \xi') = O_s - \iint e^{-i\tilde{\phi}(y, \eta; x, \xi')} \tilde{\chi}_0(\eta; x, \xi') dy d\eta.$$

Here,

$$(4.20) \quad \tilde{\chi}_0(\eta; x, \xi) = \chi((\Xi(x, \xi) + \eta - \xi) / \langle \xi \rangle)$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi} \equiv \tilde{\phi}(y, \eta; x, \xi) &= -\phi(x, X(x, \xi) + y; \Xi(x, \xi) + \eta, \xi) \\ &= y \cdot \eta - \{\phi_1(x, \Xi + \eta) - X \cdot \eta - \phi_1(x, \Xi)\} \\ &\quad - \{\phi_2(X + y, \xi) - y \cdot \Xi - \phi_2(X, \xi)\}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [13] we divide  $p_0(x, \xi)$  into two terms

$$(4.22) \quad p_0(x, \xi) = p_{0,0}(x, \xi) + p_{0,\infty}(x, \xi);$$

$$(4.23) \quad p_{0,0}(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-i\tilde{\phi}} \tilde{\chi}_0(\eta; x, \xi) \chi(\langle \xi \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) / (\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^2) dy d\eta,$$

$$(4.24) \quad p_{0,\infty}(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-i\tilde{\phi}} \tilde{\chi}_0(\eta; x, \xi) \times (1 - \chi(\langle \xi \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) / (\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^2)) dy d\eta,$$

where a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  ( $\leq 1/2$ ) is determined in the step (III). In this step we will prove

$$(4.25) \quad p_{0,\infty} \in S_{G^{(d)}}^0 \cap \mathcal{R}_{G^{(d)}}.$$

On the support of the integrand of (4.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\xi}^2 D_x^{\beta} \partial_{\eta}^{\gamma} D_y^{\delta} \tilde{\phi}| &\leq CM^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| + |\delta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \gamma!^d \delta!^d (\langle \xi \rangle |y| + |\eta|) \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha| - |\gamma|} \\ &\quad (|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| + |\delta| \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

because of

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi} &= y \cdot \eta - \left\{ \int_0^1 \nabla_{\xi} \phi_1(x, \Xi + \theta \eta) d\theta \cdot \eta - X \cdot \eta \right\} \\ &\quad - \left\{ \int_0^1 \nabla_x \phi_2(X + \theta y, \xi) d\theta \cdot y - y \cdot \Xi \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $p_{0,\infty}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$  can be written in the form

$$(4.26) \quad p_{0,\infty}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha|} \iint e^{-i\tilde{\phi}} p_{0,\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}(y, \eta; x, \xi) dy d\eta$$

for symbols  $p_{0,\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}(y, \eta; x, \xi)$  satisfying

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_{\eta}^{\alpha} D_y^{\beta} p_{0,\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}| &\leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\delta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \gamma!^d \delta!^d k!^{-d} \\ &\quad \times \langle \xi \rangle |y| + |\eta|)^k \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-|\gamma|}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the similar discussions to the one in (4.12)–(4.15). From (2.45) in [23] we have

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \xi \rangle^2 |\mathcal{V}_y \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\mathcal{V}_y \tilde{\psi}|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{18} \langle \xi \rangle |y| + |\eta|)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{45} \varepsilon^2 \langle \xi \rangle^2 \quad \text{on } \text{supp } p_{0,\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $L_3 = i(\langle \xi \rangle^2 |\mathcal{V}_y \tilde{\psi}|^2 + |\mathcal{V}_y \tilde{\psi}|^2)^{-1} (\langle \xi \rangle^2 \mathcal{V}_y \tilde{\psi} \cdot \mathcal{V}_y + \mathcal{V}_y \tilde{\psi} \cdot \mathcal{V}_y)$  and integrate each term in (4.26) by parts. Then, we have for any  $N$

$$(4.29) \quad p_{0,\infty(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha+\beta|} \iint e^{-i\tilde{\psi}} (L_3^t)^{2n+1+k+N} p_{0,\infty,(k,\alpha,\beta)}(y, \eta; x, \xi) dy d\eta.$$

From (4.27)–(4.29) we have

$$|p_{0,\infty(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N},$$

which shows (4.25).

(III) In this step we consider (4.23). The following lemma originates from the idea in the III)–step of the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [13].

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 \leq 1/4$ . Then, there exist matrices  $F(y, \eta; x, \xi)$ ,  $F'(y, \eta; x, \xi)$  and  $G(y, \eta; x, \xi)$  such that*

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{cases} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \partial_{\eta}^{\gamma} D_y^{\delta} F| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\delta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \gamma!^d \delta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-|\gamma|}, \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \partial_{\eta}^{\gamma} D_y^{\delta} F'| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\delta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \gamma!^d \delta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|-|\gamma|}, \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \partial_{\eta}^{\gamma} D_y^{\delta} G| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\delta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \gamma!^d \delta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-|\gamma|} \end{cases}$$

when  $|\eta| \leq \langle \xi \rangle / 2$  and

$$(4.31) \quad \tilde{\psi} = (y + F\eta) \cdot (F'y + G\eta)$$

holds for  $\tilde{\psi}$  in (4.21). Moreover, there exists a constant  $\varepsilon (\leq 1/2)$  such that

$$(4.32) \quad D(y, \eta; x, \xi) \equiv \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y + F\eta) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (y + F\eta) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (F'y + G\eta) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (F'y + G\eta) \end{pmatrix} \geq C_1 > 0$$

holds when  $|y| + |\eta| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \leq \varepsilon$ .

Admitting this lemma for the moment we continue the proof of Proposition 2.5. In (4.23) we take a constant  $\varepsilon$  as the one in the above lemma. Then, by (4.32) we can change the variables from  $(y, \eta)$  to  $(z, \zeta) = (y + F\eta, F'y + G\eta)$ . Let  $y = Y(z, \zeta; x, \xi)$  and  $\eta = H(z, \zeta; x, \xi)$  be the inverse function of  $z = y + F(y, \eta; x, \xi)\eta$ ,  $\zeta = F'(y, \eta; x, \xi)y + G(y, \eta; x, \xi)\eta$ . Then, from (4.23) we have

$$p_{0,0}(x, \xi) = O_s^{-1} \iint e^{-iz'\zeta} \{ \tilde{\chi}_0(\eta; x, \xi) \chi(\langle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) / (\varepsilon \langle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle)^2 \} \\ \times D(y, \eta; x, \xi)^{-1} \}_{(y, \eta) = (Y, H)(z, \zeta; x, \xi)} dz d\zeta.$$

This shows that  $p_{0,0}(x, \xi)$  is the simplified symbol of

$$p'_{0,0}(x, \xi, x', \xi') = \{ \tilde{\chi}_0(\eta; x, \xi') \chi(\langle \langle \xi' \rangle \rangle^2 |y|^2 + |\eta|^2) / (\varepsilon \langle \langle \xi' \rangle \rangle)^2 \} \\ \times D(y, \eta; x, \xi')^{-1} \}_{(y, \eta) = (Y, H)(x' - x, \xi' - \xi; x, \xi')}.$$

Since  $p'_{0,0}(x, \xi, x', \xi')$  satisfies (4.1), we obtain

$$(4.33) \quad p_{0,0}(x, \xi) \in S_{G(d)}^0.$$

Combining (4.33) with (4.17) and (4.25) we obtain (2.14). This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.5.

*Proof of Lemma 4.3.* Set

$$\begin{cases} B \equiv B(\eta; x, \xi) = \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \nabla_\eta \phi_1(x, \Xi + \theta\eta) d\theta, \\ B' \equiv B'(y; x, \xi) = \int_0^1 (1-\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \nabla_x \phi_2(X + \theta y, \xi) d\theta. \end{cases}$$

Then, from (4.21) and (4.18) we have

$$\tilde{\psi} = y \cdot \eta - B\eta \cdot \eta - B'y \cdot y.$$

Hence, the equation (4.31) holds when  $F, F'$  and  $G$  satisfy

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{cases} {}^tF' = -B', \\ {}^tG + {}^tFF' = \mathcal{I}, \\ {}^tGF = -B, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the identity matrix.

Denote the norm  $\max_k \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{jk}|$  of a matrix  $A = (a_{jk})$  by  $\|A\|$ . Note that  $B$  and  $B'$  are symmetric and

$$(4.35) \quad \|B\| \leq 2\tau_1 \langle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle^{-1}, \quad \|B'\| \leq \tau_2 \langle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle$$

if  $|\eta| \leq \langle \langle \xi \rangle \rangle / 2$ . In the following we assume that the inequality  $|\eta| \leq$

$\langle \xi \rangle / 2$  always holds. Set

$$(4.36) \quad F = -B \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2l)!}{(l+1)!l!} (B'B)^l.$$

Then, since  $2\tau_1\tau_2 < 1/4$  the series (4.36) converges from (4.35) and satisfies

$$FB'F + F + B = 0.$$

Using this matrix  $F$  we set

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{cases} F' = -B', \\ G = \mathcal{J} + B'F. \end{cases}$$

Then, the matrices  $F$ ,  $F'$  and  $G$  satisfy (4.34). From (4.36) and (4.37) we also get (4.30) and

$$\begin{cases} \|F\| \leq 3\tau_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}, \\ \|F'\| \leq \tau_2 \langle \xi \rangle, \\ \|G - \mathcal{J}\| \leq \tau_1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain (4.32) if  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 \leq 1/4$  and  $|y| + |\eta| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \leq \varepsilon$  hold for a small constant  $\varepsilon$ . Summing up, we get matrices  $F$ ,  $F'$  and  $G$  satisfying (4.30)–(4.32). Q. E. D.

## § 5. Multi-Products of Pseudo-Differential Operators

In this section we prove Theorem 2.6. First, we consider

$$(5.1) \quad Q_{\nu+1} = p_1^0(X, D_x) p_2^0(X, D_x) \cdots p_{\nu+1}^0(X, D_x)$$

for pseudo-differential operators  $p_j^0(X, D_x)$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{G(d)}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume that  $p_j^0(x, \xi)$  satisfy (2.15) with constants  $C_0$  and  $M$  independent of  $j$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then, the same result as in Theorem 2.6 holds with  $\tilde{C}_0 = C_0$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the discussions in Section 1 of [23]. We divide the proof into three steps.

(I) It is well-known that the symbol  $q_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  of  $Q_{\nu+1}$  has the form

$$(5.2) \quad q_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-i\phi} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1} p_j^0(x + y^{j-1}, \xi + \eta^j) d\tilde{y}^\nu d\tilde{\eta}^\nu \\ (y^0 = \eta^{\nu+1} = 0),$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad \phi = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y^j \cdot (\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (y^j - y^{j-1}) \cdot \eta^j \quad (y^0 = \eta^{\nu+1} = 0)$$

and  $d\tilde{y}^{\nu} = dy^1 \cdots dy^{\nu}$ ,  $d\tilde{\eta}^{\nu} = d\eta^1 \cdots d\eta^{\nu}$  for  $\tilde{y}^{\nu} = (y^1, \dots, y^{\nu})$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu} = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^{\nu})$ . For  $j \leq \nu$  we set

$$(5.4) \quad p'_j(x, \xi, x') = (1 + |x - x'|^2)^{-(n+1)} (1 + i(x - x') \cdot \nabla_{\xi})^{n+1} p_j^0(x, \xi).$$

Then, from Lemma 1.5 of [23] we have

$$Q_{\nu+1} = p'_1(X, D_x, X') \cdots p'_\nu(X, D_x, X') p_{\nu+1}(X, D_x),$$

which implies

$$(5.5) \quad q_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = O_s^{-} \iint e^{-i\phi} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} p'_j(x + y^{j-1}, \xi + \eta^j, x + y^j) \times p_{\nu+1}^0(x + y^{\nu}, \xi) d\tilde{y}^{\nu} d\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}.$$

From (5.4) we also have

$$(5.6) \quad |p'_{j(\beta, \beta')}(\alpha)(x, \xi, x')| \leq C_o A_1 M^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\beta'|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \beta'! \times \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma - |\alpha|} (1 + |x - x'|)^{-(n+1)}$$

with new constant  $A_1$  and  $M$  independent of  $j$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ . Here and in what follows the constant  $C_o$  denotes the one in (2.15). For  $\chi \in \gamma^d$  satisfying (4.3) we set

$$(5.7) \quad \chi_0(\xi, \xi') = \chi(\langle (\xi - \xi') / \langle \xi' \rangle \rangle), \quad \chi_1(\xi, \xi') = 1 - \chi_0(\xi, \xi').$$

Setting

$$K_{\nu} = \{\kappa = (k_1, \dots, k_{\nu}) ; k_j = 0, 1\},$$

we divide  $q_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  in (5.5) into  $2^{\nu}$  terms :

$$(5.8) \quad q_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = \sum_{\kappa \in K_{\nu}} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi),$$

where  $q_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi)$  is a simplified symbol of

$$(5.9) \quad q_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x^0, \tilde{x}^{\nu}, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \chi_{k_j}(\xi^j, \xi^{\nu+1}) \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} p'_j(x^{j-1}, \xi^j, x^j) p_{\nu+1}^0(x^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1}) (\tilde{x}^{\nu} = (x^1, \dots, x^{\nu}), \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1} = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^{\nu+1})).$$

(II) First, we consider  $q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0), L}(x, \xi)$  for  $\kappa^0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in K_{\nu}$ . On  $\text{supp} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0)}(x^0, \tilde{x}^{\nu}, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1})$  the relations  $(1/2) \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \leq \langle \xi^j \rangle \leq 2 \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle$  hold for any  $j$ . Hence,  $q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0)}(x^0, \tilde{x}^{\nu}, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1})$  satisfies



$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.10) \quad & |\partial_{\xi^1}^{\alpha^1} \cdots \partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^{\alpha^{\nu+1}} D_{x^0}^{\beta^0} D_{x^1}^{\beta^1} \cdots D_{x^\nu}^{\beta^\nu} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0)}(x^0, \tilde{x}^\nu, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1})| \\
 & \leq C_o^{\nu+1} (2^{n+\sigma} A_1)^\nu M^{-(|\alpha^{\nu+1}| + |\beta^0| + |\beta^\nu|)} \bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1!d} \beta^{0!d} \tilde{\beta}^{\nu!d} \\
 & \quad \times \langle \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1} \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma - |\alpha^{\nu+1}|} \prod_{j=1}^\nu (1 + |x^{j-1} - x^j|)^{-(n+1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

with a constant  $M$  replaced by a smaller constant  $M$ , where  $|\bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1}| = |\alpha^1| + \cdots + |\alpha^{\nu+1}|$ ,  $|\tilde{\beta}^\nu| = |\beta^1| + \cdots + |\beta^\nu|$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1} = \alpha^1 \cdots \alpha^{\nu+1}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}^\nu = \beta^1 \cdots \beta^\nu$  for  $\bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1} = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{\nu+1})$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}^\nu = (\beta^1, \dots, \beta^\nu)$ . Differentiating  $q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0), L}(x, \xi)$  with respect to  $x$  and  $\xi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.11) \quad & \partial_{\xi}^\alpha D_x^\beta q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0), L}(x, \xi) \\
 & = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \nu+1} \frac{\alpha! \beta!}{\bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1!} \tilde{\beta}^{\nu!}} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0, \bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1}, \beta^0, \tilde{\beta}^\nu), L}(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma - |\alpha|}
 \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.12) \quad & q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0, \bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1}, \beta^0, \tilde{\beta}^\nu), L}(x, \xi) \\
 & = O_s - \iint e^{-i\psi} \partial_{\xi^1}^{\alpha^1} \cdots \partial_{\xi^{\nu-1}}^{\alpha^{\nu-1}} D_{x^0}^{\beta^0} D_{x^1}^{\beta^1} \cdots D_{x^\nu}^{\beta^\nu} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0)}(x, \xi + \eta^1, \\
 & \quad x + y^1, \dots, \xi + \eta^\nu, x + y^\nu, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-(\nu+1)\sigma + |\alpha|} d\tilde{y}^\nu d\tilde{\eta}^\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, in (5.11) the summation is taken over all  $\bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1} = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{\nu+1})$  and  $(\beta^0, \tilde{\beta}^\nu) = (\beta^0, \beta^1, \dots, \beta^\nu)$  satisfying  $\alpha^1 + \cdots + \alpha^{\nu+1} = \alpha$ ,  $\beta^0 + \beta^1 + \cdots + \beta^\nu = \beta$ . Take one of symbols in (5.11) and denote it simply by

$$r_L(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-i\psi} r(x, \xi + \eta^1, x + y^1, \dots, \xi + \eta^\nu, x + y^\nu, \xi) d\tilde{y}^\nu d\tilde{\eta}^\nu,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & r(x^0, \tilde{x}^\nu, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1}) \\
 & = \partial_{\xi^1}^{\alpha^1} \cdots \partial_{\xi^{\nu+1}}^{\alpha^{\nu+1}} D_{x^0}^{\beta^0} \cdots D_{x^\nu}^{\beta^\nu} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0)}(x^0, \tilde{x}^\nu, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1}) \langle \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1} \rangle^{-(\nu+1)\sigma + |\alpha|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (5.10)  $r(x^0, \tilde{x}^\nu, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1})$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_{x^1}^{\gamma^1} \cdots D_{x^\nu}^{\gamma^\nu} r| & \leq \{C_o^{\nu+1} A_2^\nu M_2^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1!d} \beta^{0!d} \tilde{\beta}^{\nu!d}\} \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^\nu (1 + |x^{j-1} - x^j|)^{-(n+1)} \\
 & \quad \text{for } |\gamma^j| \leq n+1 \quad (j=1, \dots, \nu)
 \end{aligned}$$

for constants  $A_2$  and  $M_2$  independent of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\nu$ . This means that  $r(x^0, \tilde{x}^\nu, \tilde{\xi}^{\nu+1})$  satisfies (1.32) in [23] with  $B = C_o^{\nu+1} A_2^\nu M_2^{-(|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \bar{\alpha}^{\nu+1!d} \times \beta^{0!d} \tilde{\beta}^{\nu!d}$ ,  $\delta=0$  and  $m_j=0, j=1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, applying Proposition 1.7 in [23] we have

$$|r_L(x, \xi)| \leq A_o^\nu B,$$

that is,

$$|q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0, \alpha^{\nu+1}, \beta^0, \beta^\nu), L}(x, \xi)| \leq C_o^{\nu+1} A_o^\nu A_2^\nu M_2^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \tilde{\alpha}^{\nu+1!d} \tilde{\beta}^{0!d} \tilde{\beta}^{\nu!d}.$$

Combining this with (5.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0), L}(x, \xi)| \\ & \leq \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \nu+1} \frac{\alpha! \beta!}{\tilde{\alpha}^{\nu+1!} \tilde{\beta}^{0!} \tilde{\beta}^{\nu!}} C_o^{\nu+1} A_o^\nu A_2^\nu M_2^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \\ & \quad \times \langle \tilde{\alpha}^{\nu+1!d} \tilde{\beta}^{0!d} \tilde{\beta}^{\nu!d} \langle \xi \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma - |\alpha|} \rangle \\ & \leq (A_o A_2)^\nu C_o^{\nu+1} (M_2/2)^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \nu+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha^1} \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha^{\nu+1}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta^0} \cdots \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\beta^\nu} \right\} \\ & \quad \times \langle \xi \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma - |\alpha|} \\ & \leq (2^{2n} A_o A_2)^\nu C_o^{\nu+1} 2^{2n} (M_2/2)^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma - |\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if we set  $q_{\nu+1}^0(x, \xi) = q_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0), L}(x, \xi)$ , it satisfies (2.17).

(III) We will prove for  $\kappa \neq \kappa^0$

$$(5.13) \quad |\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta q_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi)| \leq A^\nu C_\alpha^{\nu+1} C'_\alpha M_1^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d (N + [\nu\sigma])!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha| - N} \quad \text{for any } N$$

with constants  $A, C'_\alpha$  and  $M_1$  satisfying the same conditions as in Theorem 2.6. Then, setting  $\tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) = \sum_{\kappa \in K_\nu, \kappa \neq \kappa^0} \tilde{q}_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi)$  we obtain the desired symbols  $q_{\nu+1}^0(x, \xi)$  and  $\tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$ .

In the following we fix  $\kappa = (k_1, \dots, k_\nu) \in K_\nu$  ( $\kappa \neq \kappa^0$ ) and prove (5.13). We change the variables in

$$q_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^\nu (y^j - y^{j-1}) \cdot \eta^j \right\} \times q_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x, \xi + \eta^1, x + y^1, \dots, \xi + \eta^\nu, x + y^\nu, \xi) d\tilde{y}^\nu d\tilde{\eta}^\nu \quad (y^0 = 0)$$

as  $z^j = y^j - y^{j-1}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \nu; y^0 = 0$ ). Then, we have

$$q_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi) = \iint \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^\nu z^j \cdot \eta^j \right\} \times q_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x, \xi + \eta^1, x + z^1, \dots, \xi + \eta^\nu, x + z^\nu, \xi) dz^1 \cdots dz^\nu,$$

where  $\tilde{z}^j = z^1 + \dots + z^j$  and  $d\tilde{z}^\nu = dz^1 \cdots dz^\nu$ . Take a sequence  $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and a sequence  $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of non-negative integers  $\mu_j$  satisfying

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{cases} m_j + \mu_j = \sigma, & j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu + 1, \\ \left| \sum_{j'=1}^j m_{j'} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} & \text{for any } j. \end{cases}$$

Set  $J^0 = \{j; k_j = 0\} \cup \{\nu + 1\}$ ,  $J^1 = \{j; k_j = 1\}$ ,  $j^0 = \max \{j; j \in J^1\}$  and  $l = \sum_{j \in J^0} \mu_j + [\bar{m}_{\nu+1} + 1]$ . Then, using the integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi) &= \iint \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} z^j \cdot \eta^j \right\} \prod_{j \in J^1} \left\{ -i |\eta^j|^{-2} \eta^j \cdot \nabla_{z^j} \right\}^{\mu_j} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ -i |\eta^{j^0}|^{-2} \eta^{j^0} \cdot \nabla_{z^{j^0}} \right\}^{l+N} q_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x, \\ &\quad \xi + \eta^1, x + \bar{z}^1, \dots, \xi + \eta^{\nu}, x + \bar{z}^{\nu}, \xi) d\bar{z}^{\nu} d\bar{\eta}^{\nu} \\ &\equiv \iint \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} z^j \cdot \eta^j \right\} q'_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x, \xi + \eta^1, \\ &\quad x + \bar{z}^1, \dots, \xi + \eta^{\nu}, x + \bar{z}^{\nu}, \xi) d\bar{z}^{\nu} d\bar{\eta}^{\nu} \\ &\quad \times \langle \xi \rangle^{-N - \bar{m}_{\nu+1}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{m}_{\nu+1} = m_1 + \dots + m_{\nu+1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} q'_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x^0, \bar{x}^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1}) &= \prod_{j \in J^1} \left\{ -i |\xi^j - \xi^{\nu+1}|^{-2} (\xi^j - \xi^{\nu+1}) \cdot (\nabla_{x^j} + \dots + \nabla_{x^{\nu}}) \right\}^{\mu_j} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ -i |\xi^{j^0} - \xi^{\nu+1}|^{-2} (\xi^{j^0} - \xi^{\nu+1}) \cdot (\nabla_{x^{j^0}} + \dots + \nabla_{x^{\nu}}) \right\}^{l+N} \\ &\quad \cdot q_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x^0, \bar{x}^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1}) \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{N + \bar{m}_{\nu+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that on  $\text{supp } q'_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x^0, \bar{x}^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1})$  we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle \leq \langle \xi^j \rangle \leq 2 \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle & \text{if } j \in J^0, \\ |\xi^j - \xi^{\nu+1}| \geq \frac{2}{5} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle & \text{if } j \in J^1. \end{cases}$$

Hence from (5.9) and (5.6) we have for  $|\gamma^j| \leq n + 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial_{x^1}^{\gamma^1} \dots \partial_{x^{\nu}}^{\gamma^{\nu}} q'_{\nu+1, (\kappa)}(x^0, \bar{x}^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu+1})| \\ &\leq C_o^{\nu+1} A_2^{\nu} M_2^{-(\nu+N)} (\sum_{j \in J^1} \mu_j + l + N)!^d \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \langle \xi^j \rangle^{m_j} \prod_{j \in J^1} \{ \langle \xi^j \rangle |\xi^j - \xi^{\nu+1}|^{-1} \}^{\mu_j} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j \in J^0} \langle \xi^j \rangle^{\mu_j} \langle \xi^{\nu+1} \rangle^{N + \bar{m}_{\nu+1}} |\xi^{j^0} - \xi^{\nu+1}|^{-l-N} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} (1 + |x^{j-1} - x^j|)^{-(n+1)} \\ &\leq C_o^{\nu+1} A_2^{\nu} M_2^{-(\nu+N)} 5^{(\nu+1)\sigma + N + 1} (N + [(\nu + 1)\sigma] + 1)!^d \prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \langle \xi^j \rangle^{m_j} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} (1 + |x^{j-1} - x^j|)^{-(n+1)} \\ &\leq C_o^{\nu+1} A_3^{\nu} C'_0 M_2^{-N} 5^N 2^{dN} (N + [\nu\sigma])!^d \prod_{j=1}^{\nu+1} \langle \xi^j \rangle^{m_j} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} (1 + |x^{j-1} - x^j|)^{-(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

with constants  $A_2, A_3, C'_0$  and  $M_2$  independent of  $\nu$  and  $N$ . This

means that  $q'_{\nu+1,(\kappa)}(x^0, \bar{x}^\nu, \bar{\xi}^{\nu+1})$  satisfies (1.32) in [23] with  $B=A_3^\nu \times C_0^{\nu+1}C'_0M_2^{-N}5^N2^{dN}(N+[\nu\sigma])!^d$ ,  $\delta=0$  and a sequence  $\{m_j\}$  defined by (5.14). Hence applying Proposition 1.7 in [23] we obtain

$$|q_{\nu+1,(\kappa),L}(x, \xi)| \leq \{A_0^\nu A_3^\nu C_0^{\nu+1} C'_0 M_2^{-N} 5^N 2^{dN} (N + [\nu\sigma])!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\bar{m}_{\nu+1}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-N - \bar{m}_{\nu+1}}\}.$$

Here, we remark that in [23] we have used only the condition “ $|\sum_{j=1}^k m_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $k$ ” for the proof of Proposition 1.7 in [23] instead of using a stronger condition (1.12) in [23]. So, we obtain (5.13) with constants  $A=A_0A_3$  and  $M_1=2^{-d}M_2/5$  for the case  $\alpha=\beta=0$ . Similarly we obtain (5.13) for the other cases. This proves Proposition 5.1. Q. E. D.

Next, we consider a multi-product

$$(5.15) \quad p_1(X, D_x)p_2(X, D_x)\cdots p_{\nu+1}(X, D_x)$$

of pseudo-differential operators  $p_j(X, D_x)$ , assuming that at least one factor  $p_l(X, D_x)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(\alpha)}$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *In (5.15) we assume that*

$$(5.16) \quad |p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha M^{-|\beta|} \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma - |\alpha|}$$

and there exists a number  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, \nu+1\}$  such that  $p_l(x, \xi)$  satisfies

$$(5.17) \quad |p_{l(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha M^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N} \text{ for any } N,$$

where  $M$  is independent of  $\alpha, \beta, j, N$  and  $C_\alpha$  is independent of  $\beta, j, N$ . Set  $\tilde{C}_0 = \max_{|\alpha| \leq n+1} C_\alpha$ . Then, the symbol  $\tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  of multi-product (5.15) satisfies (2.18).

*Proof.* As in (5.4) we set for  $j(\leq \nu)$

$$p'_j(x, \xi, x') = (1 + |x - x'|^2)^{-(n+1)} (1 + i(x - x') \cdot \nabla_\xi)^{n+1} p_j(x, \xi).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi) &= \iint e^{-i\phi} \prod_{j=1}^\nu p'_j(x + y^{j-1}, \xi + \eta^j, x + y^j) \\ &\quad \times p_{\nu+1}(x + y^\nu, \xi) d\bar{y}^\nu d\bar{\eta}^\nu \\ &= \sum_{\kappa \in K_\nu} \tilde{q}_{\nu+1,(\kappa),L}(x, \xi) \quad (y^0=0) \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi) = & \iint e^{-i\psi} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \chi_{k_j}(\xi + \eta^j, \xi) \\ & \times \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} p'_j(x + y^{j-1}, \xi + \eta^j, x + y^j) \\ & \times p_{\nu+1}(x + y^{\nu}, \xi) dy^{\nu} d\eta^{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\psi$  is a function in (5.3) and  $\chi_k(\xi, \xi')$ ,  $k=0, 1$ , are defined by (5.7). Note that the following holds: There exists a constant  $A_1$  independent of  $j, \alpha, \beta, \beta'$  and  $N$  such that we have for constants  $C''_{\alpha}$  with  $C''_0=1$

$$\begin{aligned} |p'_{j(\beta, \beta')}(\alpha)(x, \xi, x')| \leq & A_1 \tilde{C}_o C''_{\alpha} M^{-(|\beta|+|\beta'|)} \beta!^d \beta'! \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma-|\alpha|} \\ & \times (1 + |x - x'|)^{-(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |p'_{l(\beta, \beta')}(\alpha)(x, \xi, x')| \leq & A_1 \tilde{C}_o C''_{\alpha} M^{-(|\beta|+|\beta'|+N)} \beta!^d \beta'! N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N} \\ & \times (1 + |x - x'|)^{-(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

if  $p_l(x, \xi)$  satisfies (5.17). Hence, by the same way as in (III) in the proof of the preceding proposition we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.18) \quad & |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} \tilde{q}_{\nu+1, (\kappa), L}(x, \xi)| \\ & \leq A^{\nu} \tilde{C}_o^{\nu+1} C''_{\alpha} M_1^{-(|\beta|+N)} \beta!^d (N + [\nu\sigma])!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-N} \quad \text{for any } N \end{aligned}$$

for  $\kappa \neq \kappa^0$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{q}_{\nu+1, (\kappa^0), L}(x, \xi)$  also satisfies (5.18). This shows that the symbol  $\tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  of (5.15) satisfies (2.18).

Q. E. D.

Now, we prove Theorem 2.6.

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.* Assume that  $P_j = p_j^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{p}_j(X, D_x)$  for  $p_j^0(x, \xi)$  and  $\tilde{p}_j(x, \xi)$  satisfying (2.15) and (2.16). Write  $Q_{\nu+1} = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_{\nu+1}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} (5.19) \quad Q_{\nu+1} = & P_1^0 P_2^0 \cdots P_{\nu+1}^0 \\ & + \tilde{P}_1 P_2^0 \cdots P_{\nu+1}^0 \\ & + P_1 \tilde{P}_2 P_3^0 \cdots P_{\nu+1}^0 \\ & + \cdots \cdots \\ & + P_1 P_2 \cdots P_{\nu-1} \tilde{P}_{\nu} P_{\nu+1}^0 \\ & + P_1 P_2 \cdots P_{\nu} \tilde{P}_{\nu+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_j^0 = p_j^0(X, D_x)$  and  $\tilde{P}_j = \tilde{p}_j(X, D_x)$ . Note that  $p_j(x, \xi) (= \sigma(P_j)) = p_j^0(x, \xi) + \tilde{p}_j(x, \xi)$  satisfies (5.16). Hence, applying Proposition 5.1 to the first term in (5.19) and Proposition 5.2 to the other terms in

(5.19), we obtain  $Q_{\nu+1} = q_{\nu+1}^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(X, D_x)$  for the symbols  $q_{\nu+1}^0(x, \xi)$  and  $\tilde{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  satisfying (2.17) and (2.18). This concludes the proof. Q. E. D.

### § 6. Perfect Diagonalization

In this section we give a proof of Proposition 3.4 by showing a method of the perfect diagonalization for a first order hyperbolic system with constant multiplicity. We will begin with giving the product formula for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $S_{G(d,1)}^m$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $p_j(x, \xi)$  belong to  $S_{G(d,1)}^{m_j}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ). Then, there exist symbols  $q^0(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{G(d,1)}^{m_1+m_2}$  and  $\tilde{q}(x, \xi)$  in  $\mathcal{B}_{G(d)}$  such that for  $P_j = p_j(X, D_x)$  the equation*

$$(6.1) \quad P_1 P_2 = q^0(X, D_x) + \tilde{q}(X, D_x)$$

holds. Moreover, there exist constants  $C, M$  and  $\mu$  such that

$$(6.2) \quad \left| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} (q^0(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) p_{2(\gamma)}(x, \xi)) \right| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} \alpha! \beta! N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1+m_2-N-|\alpha|} \text{ for } |\xi| \geq \mu$$

hold for any  $N$ .

We will prove Proposition 6.1 after some preparations. Let  $\mu^0$  be a constant satisfying for  $j=1, 2$

$$(6.3) \quad |p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha! \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m_j-|\alpha|} \text{ for } |\xi| \geq \mu^0.$$

Define

$$(6.4) \quad q_{\nu}(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\gamma|=\nu} \frac{1}{\gamma!} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) p_{2(\gamma)}(x, \xi).$$

Then,  $q_{\nu}(x, \xi)$  satisfy for new constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\nu$

$$(6.5) \quad |q_{\nu(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+\nu)} \alpha! \beta!^d \nu!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1+m_2-\nu-|\alpha|} \text{ for } |\xi| \geq \mu^0.$$

Taking account of (6.5) we investigate the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.2.** Assume that  $q_\nu(x, \xi) \in S_{G(d,1)}^{m-\nu}$  ( $\nu=0, 1, \dots$ ) satisfy

$$(6.6) \quad |q_\nu(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+\nu)} \alpha! \beta! \nu!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\nu-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu$$

with constants  $C, M$  and  $\mu$  independent of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\nu$ . Then, there exists a symbol  $q(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{G(d,1)}^m$  such that the inequalities

$$(6.7) \quad \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta (q(x, \xi) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} q_\nu(x, \xi)) \right| \leq C_1 M_1^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} \alpha! \beta! N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m-N-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu+1$$

hold for any  $N$ , where the constants  $C_1$  and  $M_1$  are independent of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{s_\nu\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying

$$(6.8) \quad ||\{s_\nu\}||^2 \equiv \sum_\nu |s_\nu|^2 M_2^\nu \nu!^{-2(d+1)} < \infty$$

for a constant  $M_2$ . Then, from the discussions in [1], pp. 314-317, we can find a function  $\phi(t)$  such that the inequalities

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\partial_t^k \phi(t)| \leq C ||\{s_\nu\}|| M_3^{-k} k! |t|^{-k} \quad (t \neq 0), \\ \left| \partial_t^k \left( \phi(t) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \frac{t^\nu}{\nu!} s_\nu \right) \right| \leq C ||\{s_\nu\}|| M_3^{-(k+N)} k! N!^d |t|^{N-k} \quad (t \neq 0) \end{array} \right.$$

hold with constants  $C$  and  $M_3$  independent of  $k, N$  and  $\{s_\nu\}$ . Apply this result to a sequence

$$s_\nu(x, \xi) = q_\nu(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\nu \nu!$$

with parameters  $x$  and  $\xi$ . Note that from (6.6) we have for new constants  $C$  and  $M$

$$||\{\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta s_\nu(x, \xi)\}|| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \alpha! \beta! \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu$$

if we take an appropriate constant  $M_2$  in (6.8). Then, we can find a function  $\phi(t; x, \xi)$  satisfying

$$(6.9) \quad |\partial_t^k \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta \phi(t; x, \xi)| \leq CM_4^{-(k+|\alpha|+|\beta|)} k! \alpha! \beta! \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} |t|^{-k} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu, t \neq 0,$$

$$(6.10) \quad \left| \partial_t^k \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta \left\{ \phi(t; x, \xi) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \frac{t^\nu}{\nu!} s_\nu(x, \xi) \right\} \right| \leq CM_4^{-(k+|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} k! \alpha! \beta! N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} |t|^{N-k} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu, t \neq 0.$$

Take a function  $\chi_\mu(\xi)$  in  $\gamma^d$  satisfying  $\chi_\mu=1$  for  $|\xi| \geq \mu+1$  and  $\chi_\mu=0$  for  $|\xi| \leq \mu$ . Then, the desired symbol  $q(x, \xi)$  is obtained by setting

$$q(x, \xi) = \phi(\langle \xi \rangle^{-1}; x, \xi) \chi_\mu(\xi),$$

since the property  $q(x, \xi) \in S_{G(d,1)}^m$  follows from (6.9) and the property (6.7) follows from (6.10). Q. E. D.

Now, we prove Proposition 6.1.

*Proof of Proposition 6.1.* For a sequence  $\{q_\nu(x, \xi)\}$  of symbols defined by (6.4) we apply Lemma 6.2. Then, we can find a symbol  $q^0(x, \xi)$  in  $S_{G(d,1)}^{m_1+m_2}$  satisfying (6.2). Note that for the symbol  $q(x, \xi)$  defined by

$$q(x, \xi) = O_s^- \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1(x, \xi + \eta) p_2(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta$$

we have

$$P_1 P_2 = q(X, D_x).$$

So, the proof is completed if we prove that the symbol

$$\tilde{q}(x, \xi) = q(x, \xi) - q^0(x, \xi)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

Let  $\chi(\xi)$  be the function in  $\gamma^d$  satisfying (4.3). We write  $\tilde{q}(x, \xi)$  as

$$(6.11) \quad \tilde{q}(x, \xi) = \tilde{r}(x, \xi) + \tilde{r}'(x, \xi),$$

where

$$(6.12) \quad \tilde{r}(x, \xi) = O_s^- \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1(x, \xi + \eta) \chi(\eta / \langle \xi \rangle) p_2(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta - q^0(x, \xi),$$

$$(6.13) \quad \tilde{r}'(x, \xi) = O_s^- \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1(x, \xi + \eta) (1 - \chi(\eta / \langle \xi \rangle)) p_2(x + y, \eta) dy d\eta.$$

It is easy to see

$$(6.14) \quad \tilde{r}'(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$$

from the similar discussions in the proof of Proposition 2.2 in §4. For the proof of

$$(6.15) \quad \tilde{r}(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$$

we fix an integer  $N$  and divide  $\tilde{r}(x, \xi)$  into three terms

$$(6.16) \quad \tilde{r}(x, \xi) = \left[ \sum_{|\gamma| \leq N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) p_2^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) + \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \sum_{|\delta|=1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{|\gamma|} \left\{ \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi + \eta) \right. \right.$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \chi^{(\theta)}(\eta/\langle \xi \rangle) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} p_{2(\gamma+\delta)}(x+\theta y, \xi) dy d\eta \} d\theta \\
& + N \sum_{|\gamma|=N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{N-1} \left\{ \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi+\eta) \chi(\eta/\langle \xi \rangle) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times p_{2(\gamma)}(x+\theta y, \xi) dy d\eta \right\} d\theta - q^0(x, \xi) \\
& \equiv \tilde{r}_N^1(x, \xi) + \tilde{r}_N^2(x, \xi) + \tilde{r}_N^3(x, \xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r}_N^1(x, \xi) &= \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) p_{2(\gamma)}(x, \xi) - q^0(x, \xi), \\
\tilde{r}_N^2(x, \xi) &= \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \sum_{|\delta|=1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{|\gamma|} \left\{ \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi+\eta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \chi^{(\theta)}(\eta/\langle \xi \rangle) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} p_{2(\gamma+\delta)}(x+\theta y, \xi) dy d\eta \right\} d\theta, \\
\tilde{r}_N^3(x, \xi) &= N \sum_{|\gamma|=N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{N-1} \left\{ \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi+\eta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \chi(\eta/\langle \xi \rangle) p_{2(\gamma)}(x+\theta y, \xi) dy d\eta \right\} d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, from (6.2) we have

$$(6.17) \quad |\tilde{r}_{N(\beta)}^{1(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} \alpha! \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1+m_2-N-|\alpha|}$$

for  $|\xi| \geq \mu$ .

In the integrand of each term in  $\tilde{r}_N^2(x, \xi)$  the inequality (2/5)  $\langle \xi \rangle \geq |\eta| \leq \langle \xi \rangle / 2$  holds. Hence, integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r}_N^2(x, \xi) &= \sum_{|\gamma| < N} \sum_{|\delta|=1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{|\gamma|} \left\{ \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi+\eta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \chi^{(\theta)}(\eta/\langle \xi \rangle) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times (-i|\eta|^{-2} \eta \cdot \nabla_y)^{N-|\gamma|-1} p_{2(\gamma+\delta)}(x+\theta y, \xi) \cdot dy d\eta \right\} d\theta,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(6.18) \quad |\tilde{r}_{N(\beta)}^{2(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1+m_2-N-|\alpha|}$$

for  $|\xi| \geq \mu'$

if we take a constant  $\mu' (\geq \mu)$  satisfying

$$(6.19) \quad |\xi + \eta| \geq \mu^0 \quad \text{when } |\xi| \geq \mu' \text{ and } |\eta| \leq \langle \xi \rangle / 2$$

for the constant  $\mu^0$  in (6.3). Using (6.19) and (6.3) we also have

$$(6.20) \quad |\tilde{r}_{N(\beta)}^{3(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1+m_2-N-|\alpha|}$$

for  $|\xi| \geq \mu'$ .

Summing up (6.16), (6.17), (6.18) and (6.20) we obtain for  $|\xi| \geq \mu'$

$$(6.21) \quad |\tilde{r}_{N(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} \alpha!^d \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1+m_2-N-|\alpha|}$$

for any  $N$ .

From the definition (6.12) the inequalities (6.21) hold also for  $|\xi| \leq \mu'$ . This proves (6.15). Q. E. D.

*Remark.* We will write  $q^0(x, \xi)$  satisfying (6.1) and (6.2) as  $\sigma_0(P_1P_2)$ .

Now, we turn to the *proof of Proposition 3.4*. In the following we use the symbol class  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^m)$  defined by the following: We say that a symbol  $p(t, x, \xi)$  belongs to  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^m)$  if  $p(t, x, \xi)$  belongs to  $\bigcap_t M_t^l(S_{G(d,1)}^m)$  and satisfies

$$|\partial_t^k p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, x, \xi)| \leq CM^{-(k+|\alpha|+|\beta|)} k!^d \alpha! \beta! \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}$$

for  $(t, x, \xi) \in [0, T] \times R_{x,\xi}^{2n}, |\xi| \geq \mu$

with constants  $C, M$  and  $\mu$  independent of  $k, \alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Since the operator  $L$  of (3.15) is of constant multiplicity, in view of (3.19) we may assume that its distinct characteristic roots  $\lambda_1(t, x, \xi), \dots, \lambda_h(t, x, \xi)$  satisfy  $\lambda_j(t, x, \xi) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^1)$  and

$$(6.22) \quad |\lambda_j(t, x, \xi) - \lambda_k(t, x, \xi)| \geq C \langle \xi \rangle \quad (C > 0, j \neq k)$$

by modifying them in  $[0, T] \times R_x^n \times \{|\xi| \leq 1\}$ . Assume (3.16). Then, using Proposition 6.1 and the discussions in the proof of Proposition 3.3 we can reduce the problem (3.14) to the problem (3.13) with

$$(6.23) \quad \mathcal{L} = D_t - \mathcal{D}(t) + \left( B_{jk}(t) \begin{matrix} j \downarrow 1, \dots, h \\ k \rightarrow 1, \dots, h \end{matrix} \right) + R(t),$$

where

$$(6.24) \quad \mathcal{D}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1(t, X, D_x) \mathcal{I}_{l_1} & & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & \lambda_h(t, X, D_x) \mathcal{I}_{l_h} \\ 0 & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$(l_1 + \dots + l_h = l),$

$B_{jk}(t)$  are  $l_j \times l_k$  matrices of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma = (r-q)/r$ , and  $R(t)$  is a regularizer in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ , which means that  $R(t)$  is an  $l \times l$  matrix of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $M_l(\mathcal{R}_{G(d)})$ . So, the proof of Proposition 3.4 is completed if we apply the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a hyperbolic operator of the form (6.23), where  $\mathcal{D}(t)$  is defined by (6.24) with real symbols  $\lambda_j(t, x, \xi)$  in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^1)$  satisfying (6.22),  $B_{jk}(t)$  are  $l_j \times l_k$  matrices of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^\sigma)$  ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1/d$ ) and  $R(t)$  is a regularizer in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . Then, there exists a matrix  $P(t)$  of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{\sigma-1})$  such that*

$$(6.25) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I} + P(t)) = (\mathcal{I} + P(t))\mathcal{L}_o$$

holds for a perfectly diagonalized operator

$$(6.26) \quad \mathcal{L}_o = D_t - \mathcal{D}(t) + \begin{pmatrix} B_1(t) & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & & B_h(t) \end{pmatrix} + R_o(t)$$

and the inverse  $Q(t)$  of  $\mathcal{I} + P(t)$  exists with  $Q(t) \in L_{G(d)}^0$  for any  $t$ , where in (6.26)  $B_j(t)$  are  $l_j \times l_j$  matrices of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^\sigma)$  and  $R_o(t)$  is a regularizer in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

For the proof we will give two lemmas.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a hyperbolic operator of the form (6.23). Then, under the assumptions in Theorem 6.3 there exists a matrix  $P^1(t)$  of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{\sigma-1})$  such that for a matrix  $B^1(t)$  with  $\sigma(B^1(t)) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^0)$  and a regularizer  $R^1(t)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$*

$$(6.27) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I} + P^1(t)) = (\mathcal{I} + P^1(t))\mathcal{L}_1 + B^1(t) + R^1(t)$$

holds with  $\mathcal{L}_1$  of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = D_t - \mathcal{D}(t) + F^o(t),$$

where

$$F^o(t) = \text{diag}[F_1^o(t), \dots, F_h^o(t)]$$

for  $l_j \times l_j$  matrices  $F_j^o(t)$  of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^\sigma)$ . Here,  $\text{diag}[F_1^o(t), \dots, F_h^o(t)]$  means

$$\text{diag}[F_1^o(t), \dots, F_h^o(t)] = \begin{pmatrix} F_1^o(t) & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & & F_h^o(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* We construct  $F^o(t)$  and  $P^1(t)$  satisfying

$$(6.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(F^o(t)) + \sigma(\mathcal{D}(t))\sigma(P^1(t)) - \sigma(P^1(t))\sigma(\mathcal{D}(t)) \\ = \sigma(B(t)) + \sigma(B(t))\sigma(P^1(t)) - \sigma(P^1(t))\sigma(F^o(t)) \end{aligned}$$

modulo  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^0)$ , where  $B(t) = (B_{jk}(t))$ . Then, from Proposition 6.1 the property (6.28) yields (6.27).

Define pseudo-differential operators  $F^{[0]}(t)$  and  $P^{[0]}(t)$  by

$$F^{[0]}(t) = \text{diag}[B_{11}(t), \dots, B_{hh}(t)]$$

and

$$\sigma(P^{[0]}(t)) = \left( (\sigma(P^{[0]}(t)))_{jk} \begin{matrix} j \rightarrow 1, \dots, h \\ k \downarrow 1, \dots, h \end{matrix} \right)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(P^{[0]}(t))_{jj} = 0, \\ \sigma(P^{[0]}(t))_{jk} = \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \sigma(B_{jk}(t)) \quad (j \neq k). \end{cases}$$

Here, we denote the  $(j, k)$  blocks of  $\sigma(P^{[0]}(t))$  by  $\sigma(P^{[0]}(t))_{jk}$ . Then,  $\sigma(F^{[0]}(t))$  belongs to  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^0)$  and  $\sigma(P^{[0]}(t))$  belongs to  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{-1})$ . Hence, if  $\sigma \leq 1/2$ , the property (6.28) holds by setting  $F^o(t) = F^{[0]}(t)$  and  $P^1(t) = P^{[0]}(t)$ . This proves the lemma in the case of  $\sigma \leq 1/2$ . For the case of  $\sigma > 1/2$  we take an integer  $N$  satisfying  $N \geq (2\sigma - 1)/(1 - \sigma)$  and define  $F^{[\nu]}(t)$  and  $P^{[\nu]}(t)$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, N$ ) inductively by  $\sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t)) = \text{diag}[\sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))_{11}, \dots, \sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))_{hh}]$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))_{jj} = \sum_{k=1}^r \sigma(B_{jk}(t))\sigma(P^{[\nu-1]}(t))_{kj} \\ (\in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{\sigma - (1-\sigma)\nu})) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t)) = (\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk})$  with

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))_{jj} = 0, \\ \sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} = \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \left\{ \sum_{k'=1}^h \sigma(B_{jk'}(t))\sigma(P^{[\nu-1]}(t))_{k'k} \right. \\ \quad \left. + \sum_{\nu'+\nu''=\nu-1} \sigma(P^{[\nu']}(t))_{jk}\sigma(F^{[\nu'']}(t))_{kk} \right\} \\ (\in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{-(1-\sigma)(\nu+1)})), \quad j \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Then, we obtain the desired operators  $F^o(t)$  and  $P^1(t)$  by setting

$$\begin{cases} F^o(t) = F^{[0]}(t) + F^{[1]}(t) + \dots + F^{[N]}(t), \\ P^1(t) = P^{[0]}(t) + P^{[1]}(t) + \dots + P^{[N]}(t). \end{cases}$$

Q. E. D.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $P^1(t)$  be as in the preceding lemma. Then, there*

exists a matrix  $Q^1(t)$  of pseudo-differential operators with symbols in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^0)$  such that

$$(6.29) \quad (\mathcal{J} + P^1(t))Q^1(t) = \mathcal{J} + R(t)$$

holds for a regularizer  $R(t)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma < 1$ , there exists a constant  $\mu$  such that

$$|\det(\mathcal{J}_t + \sigma(P^1(t)))(x, \xi)| \geq C > 0 \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu.$$

Take a function  $\chi_\mu(\xi)$  in  $\gamma^d$  satisfying  $\chi_\mu(\xi) = 0$  if  $|\xi| \leq \mu$  and  $\chi_\mu(\xi) = 1$  if  $|\xi| \geq \mu + 1$ . Define matrices  $q^{[\nu]}(t, x, \xi)$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, \dots$ ) of symbols by

$$\begin{cases} q^{[0]}(t, x, \xi) = (\mathcal{J}_t + \sigma(P^1(t)))(x, \xi)^{-1} \chi_\mu(\xi), \\ q^{[\nu]}(t, x, \xi) = - \sum_{\substack{\nu' + |\gamma| = \nu \\ \nu' < \nu}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} q^{[0]}(t, x, \xi) \partial_\xi^\gamma \sigma(P^1(t))(x, \xi) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \times D_x^\gamma q^{[\nu']} (t, x, \xi) \quad (\nu \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

Then,  $q^{[\nu]}(t, x, \xi)$  belongs to  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{-\nu})$  and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_t^\alpha \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta q^{[\nu]}(t, x, \xi)| \\ & \leq CM^{-(k+|\alpha|+|\beta|+\nu)} k!^\alpha \alpha! \beta!^\alpha \nu!^\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-\nu-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu + 1 \end{aligned}$$

with constants  $C$  and  $M$  independent of  $k, \alpha, \beta$  and  $\nu$ . Hence, applying Lemma 6.2 we can find  $q^1(t, x, \xi)$  in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^0)$  satisfying for constants  $C_1, M_1$  and  $\mu_1$

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_t^\alpha \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta (q^1(t, x, \xi) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} q^{[\nu]}(t, x, \xi))| \\ & \leq C_1 M_1^{-(k+|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} k!^\alpha \alpha! \beta!^\alpha N!^\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-N-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6.30) and Proposition 6.1 we get (6.29) with  $Q^1 = q^1(t, X, D_x)$  by the usual method. Q. E. D.

Define  $B^2(t) = \left( B_{jk}^2(t) \begin{matrix} j \downarrow 1, \dots, h \\ k \rightarrow 1, \dots, h \end{matrix} \right)$  by

$$B^2(t) = Q^1(t)B^1(t).$$

Then, from (6.27) we obtain

$$(6.31) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{J} + P^1(t)) = (\mathcal{J} + P^1(t))\mathcal{L}_2 + R^2(t)$$

for a regularizer  $R^2(t)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_2$  denotes the operator

$$(6.32) \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = D_t - \mathcal{D}(t) + F^0(t) + B^2(t).$$

In (6.32) we may assume  $\sigma(B^2(t)) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^0)$  by means of Proposi-

tion 6.1. Moreover, replacing  $F^o(t)$  by

$$F^o(t) + \text{diag}[B_{11}^2(t), \dots, B_{hh}^2(t)],$$

we may assume that in (6.32) the diagonal blocks of  $B^2(t)$  are zero. Now, we are prepared to prove Theorem 6.3. The following discussions originate from those in [10] and in §IV of Appendix in [12].

*Proof of Theorem 6.3.* First, we construct  $P^2(t)$  and  $F(t)$  with  $\sigma(P^2(t)) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(\bar{d},1)}^{-1})$  and  $\sigma(F(t)) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(\bar{d},1)}^{-1})$  such that

$$(6.33) \quad \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{J} + P^2(t)) = (\mathcal{J} + P^2(t))(D_t - \mathcal{D}(t) + F^o(t) + F(t)) + R^3(t)$$

holds, where the blocks of  $F(t)$  are zero except diagonal blocks and  $R^3(t)$  is a regularizer in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(\bar{d})}$ . Set

$$\Sigma = \{ \{P^{[\nu]}(t)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty; \sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t)) = \left( (\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t)))_{jk} \begin{matrix} j \rightarrow 1, \dots, h \\ k \rightarrow 1, \dots, h \end{matrix} \right), \\ \sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))_{jj} = 0, \quad \sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(\bar{d},1)}^{-1-\nu}) (j \neq k) \},$$

Here, the notation  $\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk}$  has the same meaning as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. For  $\{P^{[\nu]}(t)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty \in \Sigma$  we define  $\{F^{[\nu]}(t)\}$  and  $\{A^{[\nu]}(t)\}$  as follows:

$$(6.34) \quad \sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t)) = \text{diag}[\sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))_{11}, \dots, \sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))_{hh}]$$

with

$$(6.35) \quad \sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))_{jj} = \sum_{k=1}^h \sum_{|\gamma|+\nu'=\nu} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} \sigma(B_{jk}^2(t)) D_x^{\gamma} \sigma(P^{[\nu']}(t))_{kj} (\in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(\bar{d},1)}^{-1-\nu}))$$

and

$$(6.36) \quad \sigma(A^{[0]}(t)) = \sigma(B^2(t)),$$

$$(6.37) \quad \sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t)) = (\sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk}) \quad (\nu \geq 1)$$

with

$$(6.38) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))_{jj} = 0, \\ \sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} \\ = \sum_{k'=1}^h \sum_{|\gamma|+\nu'=\nu-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} (\sigma(B_{jk'}^2(t))) D_x^{\gamma} (\sigma(P^{[\nu']}(t))_{k'k}) \\ + D_t (\sigma(P^{[\nu-1]}(t))_{jk}) \\ - \sum_{|\gamma|+\nu'+\nu''=\nu-2} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} (\sigma(P^{[\nu']}(t))_{jk}) D_x^{\gamma} (\sigma(F^{[\nu'']}(t))_{kk}) \\ - \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|+\nu'=\nu \\ |\gamma| \geq 1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} \{ \lambda_j(t) \mathcal{J}_{l_j} - \sigma(F_j^o(t)) \} D_x^{\gamma} (\sigma(P^{[\nu']}(t))_{jk}) \end{cases}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|+\nu'=\nu \\ |\gamma|\geq 1}} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} (\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t)))_{jk} D_x^{\gamma} \{ \lambda_k(t) \mathcal{I}_{l_k} - \sigma(F_k^{\circ}(t)) \} \\ (\in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{-\nu})) \quad (j \neq k),$$

regarding the third term in the right hand side of (6.38) as zero in case  $\nu=1$ . Next, we solve equations for unknowns  $\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t)) = (\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t)))_{jk} (j, k=1, \dots, h)$ :

$$(6.39) \quad \sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jj} = 0,$$

$$(6.40) \quad \sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} - \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \sigma(F_j^{\circ}(t)) \right\} \sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} \\ + \sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \sigma(F_k^{\circ}(t)) \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} \quad \text{for large } |\xi| \quad (j \neq k),$$

where  $A^{[\nu]}(t)$  are defined by (6.36)–(6.38). Set

$$\begin{cases} g_{jk}(t, x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \sigma(F_j^{\circ}(t)), \\ h_{jk}(t, x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \sigma(F_k^{\circ}(t)) \quad (j \neq k). \end{cases}$$

From (6.22) and  $\sigma(F_j^{\circ}(t)) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{\sigma})$  for  $\sigma < 1$  there exists a constant  $\mu$  such that the matrix norms  $\|g_{jk}(t, x, \xi)\|$  and  $\|h_{jk}(t, x, \xi)\|$  of  $g_{jk}(t, x, \xi)$  and  $h_{jk}(t, x, \xi)$  satisfy

$$\|g_{jk}(t, x, \xi)\| \leq 1/4, \quad \|h_{jk}(t, x, \xi)\| \leq 1/4 \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu.$$

Hence, the solutions  $\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk}$  of (6.40) are given by

$$(6.41) \quad \sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa'=0}^{\kappa} \binom{\kappa}{\kappa'} g_{jk}(t)^{\kappa'} \\ \times \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk} \right) h_{jk}(t)^{\kappa - \kappa'}$$

for  $|\xi| \geq \mu$ . We modify  $\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk}$  in  $[0, T] \times R_x^n \times \{|\xi| \leq \mu + 1\}$  such that  $\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))_{jk}$  belong to  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{-1-\nu})$ . Then, we obtain a solution  $\{\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))\}$  of (6.39)–(6.40) in  $\Sigma$ .

Now, we define a mapping  $\mathcal{T}$  from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Sigma$  by  $\mathcal{T}(\{P^{[\nu]}(t)\}) = \{\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t)\}$ . From the definition the operator  $\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t)$  is determined only by  $P^{[0]}(t), \dots, P^{[\nu-1]}(t)$ . So, by the induction on  $\nu$  we can see that the fixed point  $\{P_{\sigma}^{[\nu]}(t)\}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  exists uniquely in  $\Sigma$ . Assume that the fixed point  $\{P_{\sigma}^{[\nu]}(t)\}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfies

$$(6.42) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} D_x^{\beta} \sigma(P_{\sigma}^{[\nu]}(t))| \\ \leq CM^{-(k+|\alpha|+|\beta|+\nu)} k!^d \alpha! \beta!^d \nu!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-\nu-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu$$

with constants  $C, M$  and  $\mu$  independent of  $k, \alpha, \beta$  and  $\nu$ . Then, from Lemma 6.2 there exists a symbol  $p^2(t, x, \xi)$  in  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{-1})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_t^k \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta (p^2(t, x, \xi) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \sigma(P_\nu^{[\nu]}(t))(x, \xi)) \right| \\ & \leq CM^{-(k+|\alpha|+|\beta|+N)} k!^d \alpha! \beta!^d N!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-N-|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \mu \end{aligned}$$

with new constants  $C, M$  and  $\mu$ . Then, setting  $P^2(t) = p^2(t, X, D_x)$  and

$$F(t) = \text{diag}[F_1(t), \dots, F_h(t)]$$

with  $\sigma(F_j(t)) = \text{the } (j, j) \text{ block of } \sigma_c(B^2(t)P^2(t))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) + (\mathcal{D}(t) - F^o(t))P^2(t) - P^2(t)(\mathcal{D}(t) - F^o(t)) \\ = B^2(t) + B^2(t)P^2(t) + P_t^2(t) - P^2(t)F(t) - R^3(t), \end{aligned}$$

for a regularizer  $R^3(t)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ , where  $P_t^2(t)$  is a matrix of pseudo-differential operators with symbol  $D_t \sigma(P^2(t))$ . This is nothing else but (6.33).

In order to prove that the fixed point  $\{P_\nu^{[\nu]}(t)\}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfies (6.42), we define following [1] a formal norm  $||| \{q^{[\nu]}(t)\}, M |||^{(m)}$  for a sequence  $\{q^{[\nu]}(t)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$  with  $q^{[\nu]}(t) \equiv q^{[\nu]}(t, x, \xi) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{m-\nu})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (6.43) \quad & ||| \{q^{[\nu]}(t)\}, M |||^{(m)} \\ & = \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta, \nu} C_{k, \alpha, \beta}^\nu \sup_{\substack{t, x \\ |\xi| \geq \mu}} \{ || \partial_t^k \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta q^{[\nu]}(t, x, \xi) || \langle \xi \rangle^{-m+|\alpha|+\nu} \} \\ & \quad \times M^{k+|\alpha|+|\beta|+2\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu$  is some constant,

$$(6.44) \quad C_{k, \alpha, \beta}^\nu = \frac{2(2n)^{-\nu\nu!}}{(|\alpha| + \nu)!(k + |\beta| + \nu)!^d}$$

and  $|| \cdot ||$  denotes the matrix norm. For a symbol  $q(t, x, \xi) \in \Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^m)$  we denote  $|||q(t), M|||^{(m)} = ||| \{q^{[\nu]}(t)\}, M |||^{(m)}$  by setting  $q^{[0]}(t) = q(t)$  and  $q^{[\nu]}(t) = 0$  ( $\nu \geq 1$ ). Then, from the assumptions there exists a constant  $M$  such that the following hold if we take  $\mu$  in (6.43) sufficiently large :

$$(6.45) \quad ||| \sigma(B^2(t)), M |||^{(0)} \leq C_1,$$

$$(6.46) \quad ||| (\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t))^{-1}, M |||^{(-1)} \leq C_2 \quad (j \neq k),$$

$$(6.47) \quad \begin{cases} ||| g_{jk}(t), M |||^{(0)} \leq 1/3, \\ ||| h_{jk}(t), M |||^{(0)} \leq 1/3, \end{cases}$$

and



$$(6.48) \quad \sum_{\substack{k, \alpha, \beta \\ |\alpha + \beta| \geq 1}} C_{k, \alpha, \beta}^0 \sup_{\substack{t, x \\ |\xi| \geq \mu}} \{ \|\partial_t^k \partial_x^\alpha D_x^\beta (\lambda_j(t) \mathcal{S}_{i_j} - \sigma(F_j^\circ(t)))\| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1 + |\alpha|} \} \\ \times M^{k + |\alpha| + |\beta| - 1} \leq C_3$$

for  $C_{k, \alpha, \beta}^0$  defined by (6.44) with  $\nu = 0$ .

Now, suppose that  $\{P^{[\nu]}(t)\} \in \Sigma$  satisfies

$$||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} < \infty.$$

Let  $\{F^{[\nu]}(t)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$ ,  $\{A^{[\nu]}(t)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$  and  $\{\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty$  be defined by (6.34) — (6.40). Then, from Lemma 1.2 of [1] we have

$$(6.49) \quad ||| \{\sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} \leq C_1 ||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)},$$

$$(6.50) \quad ||| \{\sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(0)} \\ \leq C_1 + C_1 M^2 ||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} + M ||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} \\ + M^4 ||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} ||| \{\sigma(F^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} \\ + 2C_3 M ||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)},$$

and from (6.46) — (6.47) and (6.41)

$$(6.51) \quad ||| \{\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} \\ \leq \sum_{\kappa=0}^\infty \sum_{\kappa'=0}^\kappa \binom{\kappa}{\kappa'} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\kappa'} C_2 ||| \{\sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(0)} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\kappa - \kappa'} \\ = 3C_2 ||| \{\sigma(A^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(0)}.$$

Hence, we have

$$(6.52) \quad ||| \{\sigma(\tilde{P}^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} \leq 3C_1 C_2 + C_4 M ||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} \\ + C_5 M (||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)})^2$$

with some constants  $C_4$  and  $C_5$ . So, if we set for a constant  $C^0$  larger than  $3C_1 C_2$

$$\Sigma_o = \{ \{P^{[\nu]}(t)\}_{\nu=0}^\infty \in \Sigma ; ||| \{\sigma(P^{[\nu]}(t))\}, M |||^{(-1)} \leq C^0 \},$$

we see that the mapping  $\mathcal{S}$  maps  $\Sigma_o$  into  $\Sigma_o$  if  $M$  is sufficiently small. Moreover, if we go over the proof of (6.52) once again, we see that the restriction of  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\Sigma_o$  is a contraction if we take  $M$  satisfying  $C_4 M + 2C_5 C^0 M < 1$ . This implies that the fixed point  $\{P_o^{[\nu]}(t)\}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  belongs to  $\Sigma_o$ , which means  $\{P_o^{[\nu]}(t)\}$  satisfies (6.42). Summing up, we have found  $P^2(t)$  and  $F(t)$  satisfying (6.33).

In (6.33) we set

$$B^0(t) = F^0(t) + F(t).$$

Then,  $B^0(t)$  has a form

$$B^0(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B_1(t) & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & B_h(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

and from (6.31) and (6.33) we have

$$(6.53) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I} + P^1(t))(\mathcal{I} + P^2(t)) \\ = (\mathcal{I} + P^1(t))(\mathcal{I} + P^2(t))(D_t - \mathcal{D}(t) + B^0(t)) + R^4(t)$$

with a regularizer  $R^4(t)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$ . For a positive number  $\mu$  we take a function  $\chi_\mu(\xi)$  in  $\gamma^d$  satisfying  $\chi_\mu(\xi) = 0$  if  $|\xi| \leq \mu$  and  $\chi_\mu(\xi) = 1$  if  $|\xi| \geq \mu + 1$  and let  $P(t; \mu)$  be the pseudo-differential operator with the symbol

$$\sigma(P(t; \mu)) = (\sigma(P^1(t)) + \sigma(P^2(t)) + \sigma_o(P^1(t)P^2(t)))\chi_\mu(\xi).$$

Then, from (6.53) we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{I} + P(t; \mu)) = (\mathcal{I} + P(t; \mu))(D_t - \mathcal{D}(t) + B^0(t)) + R(t; \mu),$$

for a regularizer  $R(t; \mu)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  depending on a parameter  $\mu$ . Since the order of  $\sigma(P^1(t)) + \sigma(P^2(t)) + \sigma_o(P^1(t)P^2(t))$  is less than zero,  $\sigma(P(t; \mu))$  satisfies the first inequality of (2.19) with an arbitrary small constant  $C_o$  if  $\mu$  tends to the infinity. Therefore, we can take sufficiently large constant  $\mu^0$  such that the inverse  $Q(t)$  of  $\mathcal{I} + P(t; \mu^0)$  exists. Now, we set  $P(t) = P(t; \mu^0)$  and  $R_o(t) = Q(t)R(t; \mu^0)$ . Then, we obtain (6.25). This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.3.

Q. E. D.

Finally, we give some remarks concerning the inverse  $Q(t)$  of  $\mathcal{I} + P(t)$  in Theorem 6.3. In order to apply Theorem 6.3 to Proposition 3.4 it is sufficient that  $Q(t)$  belongs to  $L_{G(d)}^0$  for any  $t$ . But, we can improve the result “ $Q(t) \in L_{G(d)}^0$  for any  $t$ ” in the following way: “the inverse  $Q(t)$  has the form  $Q(t) = q^0(t, X, D_x) + \bar{q}(t, X, D_x)$  with symbols  $q^0(t, x, \xi) \in S_{G(d,1)}^0$  and  $\bar{q}(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_{G(d)}$  for any  $t$ .” This result is proved by applying the following property (\*) and the discussions in proving Corollary 2.7 since  $\sigma(P(t; \mu))$  belongs to  $\Gamma_t^d(S_{G(d,1)}^{\sigma-1})$ .

(\*) In Theorem 2.6 we assume furthermore that  $p_j^0(x, \xi)$  belong to

$S_{G(a,1)}^o$  and satisfy

$$|\rho_{j(\beta)}^{0(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_o M^{-\langle |\alpha| + |\beta| \rangle} \alpha! \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{\sigma - |\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \bar{\mu}$$

with constants  $C_o$ ,  $M$  and  $\bar{\mu}$  independent of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $j$ . Then, the multi-product  $Q_{\nu+1} = P_1 \cdots P_{\nu+1}$  of  $P_j = p_j(X, D_x)$  has the form  $Q_{\nu+1} = q_{\nu+1}^0(X, D_x) + \bar{q}_{\nu+1}(X, D_x)$  with symbols  $q_{\nu+1}^0(x, \xi)$  satisfying

$$|q_{\nu+1}^0(x, \xi)| \leq A^\nu C_o^{\nu+1} M_1^{-\langle |\alpha| + |\beta| \rangle} \alpha! \beta!^d \langle \xi \rangle^{(\nu+1)\sigma - |\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq \bar{\mu}_1$$

and  $\bar{q}_{\nu+1}(x, \xi)$  satisfying (2.18). Here, the constants  $A$ ,  $M_1$  and  $\bar{\mu}_1$  are independent of  $\nu$ .

The above discussions also give another proof of Lemma 6.5. In fact, we can prove (6.29) by setting  $Q^1(t) = (\mathcal{I} + P^1(t)\chi_\mu(D_x))^{-1}$  for large constant  $\mu$ , where  $\chi_\mu(\xi)$  is the function used in the last part of the proof of Theorem 6.3.

## References

- [1] Boutet de Monvel, L. and Krée, P., Pseudo-differential operators and Gevrey class, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, **17** (1967), 295-323.
- [2] Bronstein, M. D., The Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators with characteristics of variable multiplicity, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 1982, 87-103.
- [3] Colombini, F., De Giorgi, E. and Spagnolo, S., Sur les équations hyperboliques avec des coefficients qui ne dépendent que du temps, *Ann. Scu. Norm. Pisa*, **6** (1979), 511-559.
- [4] Hörmander, L., Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **24** (1971), 671-704.
- [5] Ivrii, V. Ja., Conditions for correctness in Gevrey classes of the Cauchy problem for weakly hyperbolic equations, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, **17** (1976), 547-563.
- [6] Kajitani, K., Fundamental solution of Cauchy problem for hyperbolic systems and Gevrey class, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **1** (1977), 163-193.
- [7] ———, Leray-Volevich's system and Gevrey class, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **21** (1981), 547-574.
- [8] Komatsu, H., Irregularity of characteristic elements and hyperbolicity, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **12** Suppl. (1977), 233-245.
- [9] Kumano-go, H., A calculus of Fourier integral operators on  $R^n$  and the fundamental solution for an operator of hyperbolic type, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **1** (1976), 1-44.
- [10] ———, Fundamental solutions for operators of regularly hyperbolic type, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **29** (1977), 399-406.
- [11] ———, Fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system with diagonal principal part, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **4** (1979), 959-1015.
- [12] ———, *Pseudo-differential operators*, (the MIT Press 1982).
- [13] Kumano-go, H. and Taniguchi, K., Fourier integral operators of multi-phase and the

- fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **22** (1979), 161-196.
- [14] Kumano-go, H., Taniguchi, K. and Tozaki, Y., Multi-products of phase functions for Fourier integral operators with an application, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **3** (1978), 349-380.
- [15] Lascar, R., Distributions intégrale de Fourier et classe de Denjoy-Carleman. Applications, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, **284** (1977), 485-488.
- [16] Leray, J. and Ohya, Y., Systèmes linéaires, hyperbolique non stricts, *Colloque de Liège*, (1964), *C. N. R. B.*, 105-144.
- [17] Mizohata, S., Pseudo-differential equations in Gevrey class, *Studies on the structure of Partial differential equations, Proc. Symp. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto 1981, RIMS Kokyuroku*, to appear.
- [18] Morimoto, Y., Fundamental solution for a hyperbolic equations with involutive characteristics of variable multiplicity, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **4** (1979), 609-643.
- [19] ———, Propagation du front d'onde Gevrey pour un exemple de système hyperbolique, preprint.
- [20] Moritsugu, T., The initial value problem for a hyperbolic equation with characteristic roots of variable multiplicities, *Master's thesis (in Japanese), Osaka Univ.*, 1980.
- [21] Nishitani, T., Sur les équations hyperboliques à coefficients höldériens en  $t$  et de classe de Gevrey en  $x$ , *Bull. Sc. math.*, **107** (1983), 113-138.
- [22] Ohya, Y., Le problème de Cauchy pour les équations hyperboliques à caractéristique multiple, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **16** (1964), 268-286.
- [23] Taniguchi, K., Multi-products of Fourier integral operators and the fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system with involutive characteristics, *Osaka J. Math.* **21** (1984), 169-224.
- [24] Treves, F., *Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators, vol 2*, (Plenum Press 1981).
- [25] Wakabayashi, S., Singularities of solutions of the hyperbolic Cauchy problem in Gevrey classes, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **59** (1983), 182-185.