# Stability of Kähler Metrics in Deformations of Non-Compact Complex Manifolds of Dimension Two

Dedicated to Professor S. Nakano on his 60th birthday

By

Kensho TAKEGOSHI\*

## Introduction

In deformations of non-compact complex manifolds, not much is known about the stability theorem. As in deformations of compact complex manifolds (see [5]), it seems to be a fundamental problem to establish some stability theorem in the non-compact case. In this paper, we prove the following stability theorem.

**Main Theorem.** Suppose  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \to B$  is a differentiable family of non-compact complex manifolds of dimension two over a ball B centered at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and the fibre  $M_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$ ,  $t=0 \in B$ , is provided with a Kähler metric. Then for any relatively compact domain  $X_0$  of  $M_0$ , any sufficiently small deformation  $X_t \subset M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ ,  $t \in B$ , of  $X_0$  admits a Kähler metric. Moreover for given any Kähler metric on  $M_0$ , we can choose a Kähler metric on each domain  $X_t$ which depends differentiably on t and coincides on  $X_0$  with the given Kähler metric.

In the case  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B$  being a differentiable family of compact complex manifolds of arbitrary dimension, the Main Theorem was proved by Kodaira and Spencer for  $M_t$  using an elliptic differential operator of fourth order ([5]). On the other hand, in the case dim<sub>c</sub>  $M_t=1$ , the Main Theorem is more or less known as a special case of the pseudo-rigidity of Stein manifolds of arbitrary dimension ([1]). Our method of the proof is based on the theory of elliptic differential equations of second order on bounded domains with smooth bound-

Communicated by S. Nakano, December 15, 1983. Revised March 1, 1984.

<sup>\*</sup> Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

#### Kensho Takegoshi

ary. But our method can not apply to the case dim<sub>c</sub>  $M_t \ge 3$ .

The author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor S. Nakano for his constant encouragement and kind advices. He also thanks to the referee for the improvement of the proof of Proposition 4.

# §1. Preliminaries

Let  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \to B$  be a differentiable family of non-compact complex manifolds of dimension *n* over a ball *B* centered at the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  where  $\pi$  is a surjective differentiable map of maximal rank. We denote  $M_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$  for  $t \in B$ . Let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to B$  be a differentiable family of bounded domains with smooth boundary i.e. each domain  $X_t = \pi_{1\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(t)$  is relatively compact in  $M_t$  and its boundary  $\partial X_t$  is defined by a real valued  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $h_t$  on  $M_t$  depending differentiably on *t* in such a way that  $X_t = \{h_t > 0\}$  and the gradient of  $h_t$  nowhere vanishes on  $\partial X_t$ . Then replacing each  $M_t$  by a relatively compact neighborhood of  $\overline{X}_t = X_t \cup \partial X_t$  and shrinking *B* arbitrarily, we can assume that there exists a diffeomorphism  $f: \mathcal{M} \to M_0 \times B$  such that i)  $p \circ f = \pi$  ( $p: M_0 \times B$  $\to B$ ) ii) each restriction  $f_t$  of f onto  $M_t$  yields a diffeomorphism from  $M_t$  onto  $M_0$  and  $f_0 = identity$  iii) each  $f_t$  maps  $\partial X_t$  diffeomorphically onto  $\partial X_0$ . We set  $f_{st} = f_s^{-1} \circ f_t$  for s and  $t \in B$ . From now on, we fix the above diffeomorphism f.

We denote by  $\Theta \to \mathcal{M}$  the complex vector bundle of holomorphic tangent vectors along the fibres of M. We denote by  $\Theta^*$ ,  $\overline{\Theta}$  and  $\wedge^p\Theta$ , the dual, the conjugate and *p*-tuple exterior product of  $\Theta$ . Let  $\Theta^*(p, q) = (\wedge^p\Theta^*) \wedge (\wedge^q \overline{\Theta}^*)$ and let  $\Theta_t^*(p, q)$  be the restriction of  $\Theta^*(p, q)$  to the fibre  $M_t$ . We denote by  $C^{p,q}(M_t)$  (resp.  $C^{p,q}(X_t)$ ) the space of sections of class  $C^{\infty}$  of  $\Theta_t(p, q)$  over  $M_t$ (resp.  $X_t$ ) and set  $C^{p,q}(\overline{X}_t) =$ Image  $(C^{p,q}(M_t) \to C^{p,q}(X_t))$ . We denote by  $C^{p,q}$  $(\mathcal{M})$  (resp.  $C^{p,q}(\mathcal{X})$ ) the space of sections of class  $C^{\infty}$  of  $\Theta^*(p,q)$  over  $\mathcal{M}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{X}$ ) and set  $C^{p,q}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}) =$ Image  $(C^{p,q}(\mathcal{M}) \to C^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}))$ .

Now we introduce hermitian metrics  $\{ds_t^2\}_{t\in B}$  on the fibres  $M_t$  which depend differentiably on t and from now on we fix this family of hermitian metrics. Then the inner product  $(, )_t$  on the space  $C^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t)$  is defined by  $ds_t^2$  as usual. Let  $L^{p,q}(X_t)$  be the Hilbert space obtained by completing the space of sections in  $C^{p,q}(X_t)$  with compact supports under the norm  $|| \quad ||_t$  $=(, )_t$ . Let  $d_t, \partial_t$  and  $\bar{\partial}_t$  be the d-operator, the  $\partial$ -operator and the  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on  $M_t$  with  $d_t = \partial_t + \bar{\partial}_t$ . We denote by  $\vartheta_t$  the formal adjoint operator of  $\bar{\partial}_t$ . We denote again by  $\bar{\partial}_t$  the closed maximal extension of the original  $\bar{\partial}_t$  and denote by  $\bar{\partial}_t^*$  the adjoint operator of  $\bar{\partial}_t$  in the Hilbert spaces  $L^{p,q}(X_t)$  respectively. Moreover we consider the restricted Laplace-Beltrami operator  $L_t = \bar{\partial}_t \bar{\partial}_t^* + \bar{\partial}_t^* \bar{\partial}_t$  in the spaces  $L^{p,q}(X_t)$ . These operators are densely defined closed operators. For a given densely defined operator  $T: L^{p,q}(X_t) \rightarrow L^{r,s}(X_t)$ , we denote the domains, ranges and nullities of T and its adjoint operator  $T^*$  by  $D_T^{p,q}$ ,  $D_T^{r,s}$ ,  $R_T^{r,s}$ ,  $R_T^{p,q}$ ,  $N_T^{p,q}$  and  $N_T^{r,s}$ .

We define the subspace  $B^{p,q}(\overline{X}_i)$  of  $C^{p,q}(\overline{X}_i)$  by

$$B^{p,q}(\overline{X}_t) := \{ \varphi_t \in C^{p,q}(\overline{X}_t) | \partial_t h_t \wedge *_t \varphi_t = 0 \text{ on } \partial X_t \}$$

where  $*_t$  is the star operator with respect to  $ds_t^2$ . The space  $B^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t)$  describes the boundary condition of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem as follows (see [2] (1.3.2) and (1.3.5) Propositions).

(1.1) 
$$C^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t) \cap D^{p,q}_{L_t} = \{\varphi_t \in B^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t) \text{ and } \bar{\partial}_t \varphi_t \in B^{p,q+1}(\bar{X}_t)\}$$

and  $L_t = \Box_t$  on  $C^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t) \cap D^{p,q}_{L_t}$ , where  $\Box_t = \bar{\partial}_t \vartheta_t + \vartheta_t \bar{\partial}_t$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to  $ds_t^2$ .

In particular, when q=n ( $n=\dim_{C} M_{t}$ ), by (1.1) we obtain

(1.2) 
$$C^{p,n}(\bar{X}_t) \cap D^{p,n}_{L_t} = \{\varphi_t \in C^{p,n}(\bar{X}_t) | \varphi_t = 0 \text{ on } \partial X_t\}.$$

Namely in this case the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann boundary conditions reduce to the Dirichlet boundary condition. Hence the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem for (p, n) forms on  $X_t$  is solvable (see Sect. 2., Proposition 1 and Theorem 2). Moreover this boundary condition is invariant under the transformation  $f_t^*$ .

Lastly we define the hermitian form  $Q_t$  on the space  $B^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t)$  by

$$oldsymbol{Q}_t(arphi_t, \psi_t) = (ar{\partial}_t \ arphi_t, \ ar{\partial}_t \ \psi_t)_t + (artheta_t \ arphi_t, \ artheta_t \ \psi_t)_t + (arphi_t, \ \psi_t)_t$$

for  $\varphi_t$ ,  $\psi_t \in B^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t)$  and  $t \in B$ . Here we remark that  $B^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t) = C^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t) \cap D^{b,q}_{\delta t}$  and  $\bar{\partial}_t^* = \vartheta_t$  on  $B^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t)$  (see [2] (1.3.2) Proposition).

# § 2. The Parametrized $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem

In this section, using the solvability of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem for (p, n) forms on  $X_t$  and the vanishing theorem for  $L^2$ -harmonic (p, n) forms on  $X_t$ , we show that the *Neumann operator*  $N_t$  depends differentiably on t. We begin with the following estimate (see [2] (3.2.13) Corollary).

**Proposition 1** (a priori estimate for (p, n) forms). There exists a continuous function C(t)>0 such that

(2.1) 
$$\|\varphi_t\|_{1,t}^2 \leq C(t) \boldsymbol{Q}_t(\varphi_t,\varphi_t), \quad \varphi_t \in B^{p,n}(\bar{X}_t), \quad t \in B.$$

Here we denote by  $|| \quad ||_{\nu,t}$  the Sobolev  $\nu$ -norm on the spaces  $C^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t), \nu = 1$ , 2, .... The following theorem is deduced from the above proposition (see [2]).

**Theorem 2** (Solvability of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem for (p, n) forms). For each  $t \in B$ , the nullity  $N_{L_t}^{p,n}$  of  $\mathbb{L}_t = \bar{\partial}_t \ \bar{\partial}_t^* + \bar{\partial}_t^* \ \bar{\partial}_t$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $C^{p,n}(\bar{X}_t)$  and there exists a unique bounded self-adjoint operator  $N_t$ :  $L^{p,n}(X_t)$  $\rightarrow L^{p,n}(X_t)$ , which is called the Neumann operator associated to the operator  $\mathbb{L}_t$ , such that

1)  $D_{N_t}^{p,n} = L^{p,n}(X_t), R_{N_t}^{p,n} \hookrightarrow D_{L_t}^{p,n}, R_{N_t}^{p,n} \perp N_{L_t}^{p,n} \text{ and } N_{N_t}^{p,n} = N_{L_t}^{p,n}$ 

2) for any  $\alpha_t \in L^{p,n}(X_t)$ ,  $\alpha_t = \bar{\partial}_t \bar{\partial}_t^* N_t \alpha_t + H_t \alpha_t$ , where  $H_t$  is the orthogonal projection onto the space  $N_{L_t}^{b,n}$ .

3)  $N_t \mathbb{L}_t = \mathbb{L}_t N_t = I - \mathbb{H}_t$  on  $D_{L_t}^{p,n}$  and  $\mathbb{P}_t = I - \bar{\partial}_t^* N_t \bar{\partial}_t$  on  $D_{\bar{\partial}_t}^{p,n-1}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}_t$  is the orthogonal projection onto the space  $N_{\bar{\partial}_t}^{p,n-1}$ 

4)  $N_t$  maps  $C^{p,n}(\overline{X}_t)$  into  $C^{p,n}(\overline{X}_t)$ 

5) for any non-negative integer  $\nu$ , there exists a continuous function  $C_{\nu}(t) > 0$  such that

(2.2) 
$$||\varphi_t||_{\nu+2,t} \leq C_{\nu}(t) (||\Box_t \varphi_t||_{\nu,t} + ||\varphi_t||_t)$$
$$\varphi_t \in C^{p,n}(\overline{X}_t) \cap D_{L_t}^{p,n} \text{ and } t \in B.$$

We first prove the following.

**Proposition 3.** For each  $t \in B$ ,  $L_t: L^{p,n}(X_t) \to L^{p,n}(X_t)$  is surjective and there exist a neighborhood  $W_t \subseteq B$  of t and a positive constant  $C_t$  such that

(2.3) 
$$||\varphi_s||_s \leq C_t ||\mathbf{L}_s \varphi_s||_s, \quad \varphi_s \in D_{\mathbf{L}_s}^{b,n} \text{ and } s \in W_t.$$

*Proof.* First we prove the former assertion. By Theorem 2, 2), we have only to show

$$N_{L_t}^{p,n} = \{0\}, t \in B$$

We fix a point  $t \in B$ . If  $\delta_0 > 0$  is sufficiently small, then we can apply Proposition 1 and Theorem 2 to each domain  $X_{t,\delta} = \{h_t < \delta\}, \ 0 < \delta < \delta_0$ . We define the homomorphism  $\rho_{t,\delta} \colon N_{L_{t,\delta}}^{b,n} \to N_{L_t}^{b,n}$  by  $\rho_{t,\delta} \coloneqq H_t \circ r_{t,\delta}$  where  $r_{t,\delta} \colon L^{b,n}(X_{t,\delta}) \to L^{b,n}(X_t)$  is the restriction homomorphism. Then there exists a positive constant  $\delta_1$  such that  $\rho_{t,\delta} \colon N_{L_{t,\delta}}^{b,n} \to N_{L_t}^{b,n}$  is an isomorphism,  $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ . The existence of  $\delta_1$  and the injectivity of  $\rho_{t,\delta}$  are proved as follows. Suppose that there were sequences  $\{\delta_k\}$  and  $\{\theta_{t,k}\}$  such that  $\delta_k \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ ,  $\theta_{t,k} \in N_{L_{t,\delta_k}}^{b,n}$ ,  $||\theta_{t,k}||_{t,\delta_k} = 1$  and  $\rho_{t,\delta_k}(\theta_{t,k}) = 0$ . Since the value C(t) of the inequality (2.1) can be taken independently of  $\delta$  if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, combining Theo-

1056

rem 2 with the inequality (2.1),  $||\theta_{t,k}||_{1,t}$  bounded. By Rellich's lemma (see [2] (A.2.3) Proposition), taking a subsequence,  $\theta_{t,k}$  converges to some  $\theta_t \in L^{p,n}(X_t)$  such that  $H_t \theta_t = 0$  and  $||\theta_t||_t = 1$  since the inner product  $(,)_t$  depends continuously on t. On the other hand, we recall that  $\varphi_t \in D_{\partial t}^{b,n}$  if and only if for some positive constant C,  $|(\varphi_t, \overline{\partial}_t \psi_t)_t| \leq C ||\psi_t||_t$ ,  $\psi_t \in C^{p,n-1}(\overline{X}_t)$ . Since  $\overline{\partial}_{t,s_k}^* \theta_{t,k} = 0$ ,  $(\theta_t, \overline{\partial}_t \psi_t)_t = 0$ ,  $\psi_t \in C^{p,n-1}(\overline{X}_t)$ . Hence  $\theta_t \in N_{L_t}^{b,n} \cap N_{L_t}^{b,n+} = \{0\}$ . This is a contradiction. The surjectivity of  $\rho_{t,s}$  is proved as follows. If  $\rho_{t,s}$  were not surjective  $(0 < \delta < \delta_1)$ , then there would be a non-zero element  $\omega_t$  of  $N_{L_t}^{b,n}$  with  $\omega_t \perp \rho_{t,s} (N_{L_t,s}^{b,n})$ . Extending the definition of  $\omega_t$  by setting  $\omega_t = 0$  on  $X_{t,s} \setminus X_t$ , we denote it by  $\omega'_t$ . Then since  $\omega'_t \in L^{p,n}(X_{t,s})$  and  $\omega'_t \perp N_{L_t,s}^{b,n}$ , from Theorem 2, 2),  $\omega'_t = \overline{\partial}_{t,s} \overline{\partial}_{t,s}^* N_{t,s} \omega'_t$ . Setting  $\psi'_t = \overline{\partial}_{t,s}^* N_{t,s} \omega'_t$ ,  $(\omega_t, \theta_t)_t = (\overline{\partial}_t r_{t,s}(\psi'_t), \theta_t)_t = (r_{t,s}(\psi'_t), \overline{\partial}_s^* \theta_t)_t = 0$  for any  $\theta_t \in N_{L_t}^{b,n}$ . Hence  $\omega_t = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore our assertion holds:

To show  $N_{L_t}^{b,n} = \{0\}$ , we have only to prove that  $\rho_{t,\delta} \colon N_{L_t,\delta}^{b,n} \to N_{L_t}^{b,n}$  is the zero map for  $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ . For any element  $\theta_{t,\delta}$  of  $N_{L_t,\delta}^{b,n}$ , combining the fact  $H^n(X_{t,\delta}, \mathcal{Q}^b) = 0$  ([6] Theorem) with the Dolbeault theorem, there exists  $\eta_{t,\delta} \in C^{b,n-1}(X_{t,\delta})$  with  $\theta_{t,\delta} = \bar{\partial}_{t,\delta} \eta_{t,\delta}$ . Hence  $\rho_{t,\delta}(\theta_{t,\delta}) = 0$ . Therefore we have  $N_{L_t}^{b,n} = \{0\}$ .

Next we prove the latter assertion. We may put t=0. Suppose that there were sequences  $\{t_k\}$  and  $\{\varphi_k\}$  such that  $t_k \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ ,  $\varphi_k \in D_{L_{t_k}}^{p,n}$ ,  $||\varphi_k||_{t_k} = 1$  and  $||\mathbf{L}_{t_k} \varphi_k||_{t_k} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Since  $B^{p,n}(\bar{X}_t)$  is dense in  $D_{\partial t}^{p,n}$  with respect to the form  $\mathbf{Q}_t$  (see [3] Proposition 1.2.3 and 1.2.4), the inequality (2.1) holds for any form contained in  $D_{L_t}^{p,n}$ . Hence we may assume that  $||\varphi_k||_{1,t_k}$  is bounded. From the local invariance of the Sobolev spaces under coordinate transformations and Rellich's lemma, there exists  $\varphi_0 \in L^{p,n}(X_0)$  such that  $||f_{t_k}^* \varphi_k - \varphi_0||_0 \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$  in  $\sum_{r+s=p+n} L^{r,s}(X_0)$ . Then  $||\varphi_0||_0 > 0$ . On the other hand, using  $||\mathbf{L}_{t_k}\varphi_k||_{t_k} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ ,  $(\varphi_0, \overline{\partial}_0\psi_0)_0 = 0$  for any  $\psi_0 \in C^{p,n-1}(\overline{X}_0)$ . Hence  $\varphi_0 \in N_{L_0}^{p,n} = \{0\}$ . This is a contradiction.

Any section  $\varphi \in C^{p,q}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$  determines a family  $\{r_t(\varphi) | t \in B\}$  of sections  $r_t(\varphi) \in C^{p,q}(\overline{X}_t)$ , where  $r_t: C^{p,q}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}) \to C^{p,q}(\overline{X}_t)$  is the restriction homomorphism. Given, for each  $t \in B$ , a form  $\varphi_t \in C^{p,q}(\overline{X}_t)$ , we say that  $\varphi_t$  depends *differenti-ably* on t if and only if there exists  $\varphi \in C^{p,q}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}})$  such that

$$\varphi_t = r_t(\varphi), t \in B$$
.

Given, for each  $t \in B$ , a linear operator  $A_t$  of  $L^{p,q}(X_t)$  into  $L^{r,s}(X_t)$ , we say

that  $A_t$  depends differentiably on t if and only if  $A_t r_t(\varphi)$  depends differentiably on t for any section  $\varphi \in C^{p,q}(\overline{\mathcal{X}})$ . Next we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.** The Neumann operator  $N_t$ :  $L^{p,n}(X_t) \rightarrow L^{p,n}(X_t)$  defined in Theorem 2 depends differentiably on t.

*Proof.* By the inequalities (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$||\eta_s||_{\nu+2,s} \leq C_{\nu} ||\Box_s \eta_s||_{\nu,s}$$

if  $\eta_s \in C^{p,n}(\overline{X}_s) \cap D_{L_s}^{p,n}$ ,  $\nu \ge 0$  and  $s \in W_t$ , where  $C_{\nu}$  is a positive constant not depending on  $s \in W_t$ . By Sobolev's lemma (see [2] (A.2.3) Proposition), we get

$$(2.4) |\eta_s|_{l,s} \leq C_l ||\Box_s \eta_s||_{\nu,s}$$

if  $\eta_s \in C^{p,n}(\bar{X}_s) \cap D_{L_s}^{p,n}, \nu \ge n+l-1$  and  $s \in W_i$ , where  $| |_{l,s}$  is the supremum norm up to the *l*-th derivatives on  $C^{p,n}(\bar{X}_s)$  and  $C_l$  is a positive constant not depending on  $s \in W_i$ .

Consider a family  $\{\varphi_t | t \in B\}$  of sections  $\varphi_t \in C^{p,n}(\overline{X}_t)$ . We say that  $\varphi_t$  is of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in t if and only if all derivatives of  $\varphi_t$  with respect to the fibre coordinates  $z_i^{\mathfrak{a}}$  and  $z_i^{\overline{\beta}}$  are of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in t. We denote by  $\prod_{i=1}^{p,q} t_i^{p,q}$  the projection of  $\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i+j \leq 2n \\ 0 \leq i+j \leq 2n}} C^{i,j}(\overline{X}_t)$  onto  $C^{p,q}(\overline{X}_t)$ . Since  $\prod_{i=1}^{p,n} (f^{-1})^{\kappa}$  induces a differentiable isomorphism of  $\Theta^{\ast}(p, n)_{|W_t}$  onto  $\Theta^{\ast}_i(p, n) \times W_i$ ,  $\varphi_t$  is of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in t if and only if for any  $t \in B$ , all derivatives of  $\prod_{i=1}^{p,n} f_{si}^{\ast} \varphi_s$  with respect to the fibre coordinates  $z_i^{\mathfrak{a}}$  and  $z_i^{\overline{\beta}}$  are of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in s. If  $\varphi_t$  is of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in t for every  $0 \leq \kappa < \infty$ , then clearly  $\varphi_t$  depends differentiably on t.

By Theorem 2, 4), we have only to prove that  $\varphi_t := N_t \psi_t$  depends differentiably on t for any family  $\{\psi_t | t \in B\}$  of sections  $\psi_t \in C^{p,n}(\bar{X}_t)$  depending differentiably on t. We prove this statement in several steps. We first prove the following assertion.

i) If  $\psi_t$  is continuous (i.e. of class  $C^0$ ) in t, then  $\varphi_t$  is continuous in t.

*Proof.* We set  $\varphi_s^* = \prod_t^{p,n} f_{st}^* \varphi_s$ . By (1.2), we get

(2.5) 
$$\varphi_s^* \in C^{p,n}(\bar{X}_t) \cap D_{L_t}^{p,n}.$$

Replacing  $\eta_s$  by  $\varphi_s$  and  $\varphi_s^* - \varphi_t^* (\varphi_t^* = \varphi_t)$ , we have from (2.4) and (2.5) the following inequalities

$$|\varphi_s|_{l,s} \leq C_l ||\psi_s||_{\nu,s}$$

1058

(2.7) 
$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_s^* - \varphi_t^*|_{l,t} \\ \leq C_l(||f_{st}^* \psi_s - \psi_t||_{\nu,t} + ||(\Box_t f_{st}^* - f_{st}^* \Box_s) \varphi_s||_{\nu,t}) \end{aligned}$$

if  $\nu > n+l-1$ . Since  $\psi_t$  is continuous in t and the coefficients of the operator  $\Box_t$  depend differentiably on t, from (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$|\varphi_s^* - \varphi_t^*|_{l,t} \to 0 \text{ as } s \to t, \ l \ge 0.$$

Hence  $\varphi_t$  is continuous in t.

ii) If  $\psi_t$  is of class  $C^1$  in t, then  $\varphi_t$  is of class  $C^1$  in t.

*Proof.* We define the linear operator  $\mathbf{F}_s$ :  $C'(\bar{X}_t) \rightarrow C'(\bar{X}_t)$  by  $\mathbf{F}_s := f_{st}^* \square_s$  $\prod_{s,n}^{p,n} f_{ts}^*$  where  $C'(\bar{X}_t) = \sum_{p+q=r} C^{p,q}(\bar{X}_t)$ . We denote by  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_t}{\partial t_{\mu}}$  the operator obtained from  $\mathbf{F}_t$  by applying  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\mu}}$  to each coefficient of  $\mathbf{F}_t$   $(1 \le \mu \le m)$  i.e.  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_t}{\partial t_{\mu}} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{\mu}} \mathbf{F}_s\right)_{s=t}$ . We define  $\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial t_{\mu}}$  by  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{\mu}} (f_{st}^* \psi_s)\right)_{s=t}$ . We set  $\eta_t = \mathbf{N}_t \left(\prod_{t=1}^{p,n} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial t_{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_t}{\partial t_{\mu}} \varphi_t\right)\right)$ 

and

$$\chi_t(h) = \frac{1}{h} \left( \varphi_{t+h}^* - \varphi_t^* \right) - \eta_t$$

for  $t+h=(t_1, \dots, t_{\mu-1}, t_{\mu}+h, t_{\mu+1}, \dots, t_m)$ . Then  $\chi_t(h)$  is written as follows using (2.5) and Theorem 2, 3).

(2.8) 
$$\chi_{t}(h) = N_{t} \left( \prod_{t=0}^{p,n} \left( \frac{1}{h} \left( f_{t+h,t}^{*} \psi_{t+h} - \psi_{t} \right) - \frac{\partial \psi_{t}}{\partial t_{\mu}} \right) \right) - N_{t} \left( \prod_{t=0}^{p,n} \left( \frac{1}{h} \left( F_{t+h} - F_{t} \right) f_{t+h,t}^{*} \varphi_{t+h} - \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial t_{\mu}} \varphi_{t} \right) \right).$$

Since  $\chi_i(h) \in D_{L_i}^{b,n}$  for any  $h \neq 0$  by (2.8), applying  $\chi_i(h)$  to (2.4), we have

$$(2.9) |\chi_t(h)|_{l,t} \to 0 \text{ as } h \to 0, l \ge 0.$$

This means that  $\varphi_t$  is of class  $C^1$  in t.

iii) If  $\psi_t$  is of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in t, then  $\varphi_t$  is of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in t.

**Proof.** By induction on  $\kappa$ . Assume that the statement is true for  $\kappa - 1$  ( $\kappa \ge 2$ ). Let U and V be local coordinate neighborhoods on  $M_t$  such that

 $U \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap \overline{X}_t \neq \phi$  and let  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  be a system of real coordinates on V. Then from (2.9) we have

(2.10) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\mu}} D^{\sigma}(\varphi_{t,A_{p}\overline{B}_{n}}) = D^{\sigma}(N_{t}\left(\prod_{t}^{p,n}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{t}}{\partial t_{\mu}} - \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial t_{\mu}}\varphi_{t}\right)\right)_{A_{p}\overline{B}_{n}}) \text{ on } U$$

where  $1 \leq \mu \leq m$ ,  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2n})$ ,  $D^{\sigma} = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^{\sigma_i}$  and  $\varphi_t = \frac{1}{p!n!} \sum_{A_p B_n} \varphi_{t,A_p \overline{B}_n} dz^{A_p} \wedge dz^{\overline{B}_n}$  and so on. By inductive hypothesis,  $N_t \left(\prod_{i=1}^{p,n} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial t_{\mu}} - \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t_{\mu}} \varphi_t\right)\right)$  is of class  $C^{\kappa-1}$  in t. Hence the equality (2.10) implies that  $\varphi_t$  is of class  $C^{\kappa}$  in t. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.

We summarize the results obtained in this section.

**Theorem 5.** 1) For any  $\alpha_t \in L^{p,n}(X_t)$  and  $t \in B$ ,  $\alpha_t = \bar{\partial}_t \bar{\partial}_t^* N_t \alpha_t$ . 2) The operators  $N_t: L^{p,n}(X_t) \to L^{p,n}(X_t)$  and  $P_t: L^{p,n-1}(X_t) \to L^{p,n-1}(X_t)$  depend differentiably on t.

#### § 3. Proof of Main Theorem

We take an exhaustion function  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of class  $C^{\infty}$  on  $M_0$ . Since any relatively compact domain on  $M_0$  is contained in a sublevel set  $\{\mathcal{P}_0 < c\}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we may replace its domain by such a sublevel set. We take a non-critical value c of  $\mathcal{P}_0$  and set  $X_0 = \{\mathcal{P}_0 < c\}$ . Extending  $\mathcal{P}_0$  to a neighborhood of  $X_0$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and shrinking B arbitrarily, we obtain a differentiable family  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  of bounded domains with smooth boundary such that  $\pi_{1\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(0) = X_0$ . We fix the diffeomorphism f as taken in Sect. 1. To prove the theorem, we have only to prove the following assertion.

Assertion. For given any Kähler metric  $d\sigma^2$  on  $M_0$ , there exist a neighborhood W of t=0 in B and a family  $\{ds_t^2=\sum g_{i,\alpha\overline{\beta}} dz_{t,i}^{\alpha}, dz_{t,i}^{\overline{\beta}}\}_{t\in W}$  of hermitian metrics such that 1)  $ds_t^2$  is a Kähler metric on  $X_i$ ,  $t \in W$  and  $ds_0^2 = d\sigma^2$  on  $X_0$ 2) the functions  $g_{i,\alpha\overline{\beta}}(z_i, \overline{z}_i, t)$  are of class  $C^{\infty}$  in  $z_i$ ,  $\overline{z}_i$  and t.

*Proof.* Let  $\omega_0$  be the Kähler form associated to  $d\sigma^2$  on  $M_0$ . We set

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_t'=\!f_{0t}^* \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 0}, t\!\in\!B$$
 .

Then  $\omega'_t$  is a  $d_t$ -closed real two form on  $\overline{X}_t$  depending differentiably on t. Since  $\dim_C M_t = 2$ , we can apply Theorem 5 to (p, 2) forms of class  $C^{\infty}$  on  $\overline{X}_t$ . Combining the  $d_t$ -closedness of  $\omega'_t$  with Theorem 5, each  $\omega'_t$  satisfies the following

1060

differential equations.

$$\bar{\partial}_t(\prod_t^{1,1}\omega_t'-\partial_t\vartheta_t N_t\prod_t^{0,2}\omega_t')=0$$

 $*)_t$ 

$$\partial_t (\prod_{t=1}^{1,1} \omega'_t - \overline{\partial_t \vartheta_t N_t \prod_{t=1}^{0,2} \omega'_t}) = 0$$

Hence we set

$$\omega_t = \prod_i^{1,1} \omega_i' - \partial_i \vartheta_i N_t \prod_i^{0,2} \omega_i' - \overline{\partial_i \vartheta_i N_t \prod_i^{0,2} \omega_i'}, \ t \in B.$$

From the equation \*)<sub>t</sub>,  $\omega_t$  is a  $d_t$ -closed real (1,1) differential form on  $\bar{X}_t$  such that  $\omega_0 = \omega$  on  $\bar{X}_0$ . Since  $\omega_t$  depends differentiably on t by Theorem 5, 2),  $\omega_t$  gives a Kähler form on  $X_t$  for each  $t \in W$  if W is a sufficiently small neighborhood of t=0 in B. Hence the assertion is now clear. This completes the proof of Main Theorem.

Remark. Using Theorem 5, we can prove the following theorem too.

**Theorem.** Let  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \to B$  be a differentiable family of non-compact complex manifolds of dimension two. Then for any relatively compact domain in  $M_0$ , any holomorphic line bundle on  $M_0$  can be extended to a differentiable family of holomorphic line bundles on a neighborhood of its domain in  $\mathcal{M}$  whose restriction to its domain coincides with the given holomorphic line bundle.

Since the idea of the proof is quite similar to the idea of Sect. 13 in [4], the detail is left to the reader.

## References

- Andreotti, A. and Vesentini, E., On the pseudo-rigidity of Stein manifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, (3) 16 (1962), 213-223.
- [2] Folland, G.B. and Kohn, J.J., *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press (1972).
- [3] Hörmander, L.,  $L^2$  estimates and existence theorems for the  $\bar{\partial}$  operator, Acta Math., 113 (1965), 89–152.
- [4] Kodaira, K. and Spencer, D.C., On the deformations of complex analytic structures, I, II, Ann. of Math., 67 (1958), 328-466.
- [5] —, On the deformations of complex analytic structures, III, stability theorems for the complex structures, *Ann. of Math.*, 71 (1960), 43–76.
- [6] Siu, Y.T., Analytic sheaf cohomology of dimension n of n dimensional non-compact complex manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.*, 28 (1969), 407–411.