

A Remark to ‘Global Regularity and Spectra of Laplace-Beltrami Operators on Pseudoconvex Domains’

By

Kensho TAKEGOSHI*

Let $D \in M$ be a pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary ∂D on a complex manifold M and let $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow M$ be a positive holomorphic line bundle on M . In the previous paper [6], we proved the following statement which is inspired by Kohn’s work [3] (cf. [6] Theorem N_s and Corollary).

For every non-negative integer s , there exists a positive integer $m(s)$ such that if $m \geq m(s)$, for every $v \in C_{\infty}^{p,q}(\bar{D}, \mathbf{B}^{\otimes m})$ with $\bar{\partial}v=0$, there exists $u \in C_s^{p,q-1}(\bar{D}, \mathbf{B}^{\otimes m})$ with $\bar{\partial}u=v$.

Here $C_s^{p,q}(\bar{D}, \mathbf{B}^{\otimes m})$ denotes the space of $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes m}$ -valued differential forms of type (p, q) and of class C^s up to boundary ($0 \leq s \leq \infty$). With respect to this statement, it is natural to ask *whether we need to take the tensor product of \mathbf{B} so many times actually*. In this connection, we give here the following example as a partial answer to this question.

Assertion. *There exist a pseudoconvex domain $D \in L$ with smooth boundary ∂D on a complex manifold L and a positive holomorphic line bundle $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following properties:*

- i) D is Stein,
- ii) *there exists $v \in C_{\infty}^{0,1}(\bar{D}, \mathbf{B})$ with $\bar{\partial}v=0$ such that any solution $u \in C_{\infty}^{0,0}(D, \mathbf{B})$ of $\bar{\partial}u=v$ satisfies $\text{sing. supp.}(u) \neq \emptyset$.*

Here $\text{sing. supp.}(u)$ denotes the singular support of u with respect to the closed domain \bar{D} . More precisely, the complement of $\text{sing. supp.}(u)$ consists of all points $x \in \bar{D}$ such that x has a neighborhood U with the property that the

Communicated by S. Nakano, July 13, 1984.

* Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

restriction of u to $U \cap \bar{D}$ is in $C_{\bar{0}}^{0,0}(U \cap \bar{D}, \mathbf{B})$. The above example tells us that even when $s=0$, we need to take the tensor product of \mathbf{B} sufficiently many times in order to gain the boundary regularity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -solution. On the other hand, we do not know whether the integer $m(s)$ can be taken bounded or not as s tends to infinity. With respect to the propagation of singularities for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator, the reader is also referred to [2], [3] and [4].

The author thanks to Professor S. Nakano and Dr. T. Ohsawa for their kind advices.

Construction of $D \in \mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$.

According to [1], Appendix, we construct $D \in \mathbf{L}$. Let A be a non-singular compact curve whose genus is ≥ 2 and let $L \rightarrow A$ be a holomorphic line bundle with $\deg(L)=0$. Let $\{a_{ij}\}$ be a system of transition functions of L with respect to a trivializing covering $\{V_i\}$. Then we can find non-constant harmonic functions h_i on V_i such that $a_{ij} = \exp(-\sqrt{-1}(h_i - h_j))$ on $V_i \cap V_j$. Let $w_i = 0$ be the local defining equation of the zero section in $p^{-1}(V_i)$ such that $w_i = a_{ij}^{-1} w_j$ on $p^{-1}(V_i \cap V_j)$. Then D is defined as follows:

$$D \cap p^{-1}(V_i) = \{(z_i, w_i) : |w_i|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(w_i \exp(-\sqrt{-1}h_i)) < 0\}.$$

Then D is a pseudoconvex domain with smooth real analytic boundary ∂D which contains the zero section of L and ∂D is strongly pseudoconvex outside the zero section of L .

Next we take a holomorphic line bundle $E \rightarrow A$ with $\deg(E)=1$. Using the global function $\Phi = |w_i|^2$ on L , we can assume that the pull back of E by the mapping $p: L \rightarrow A$ is a positive line bundle on L . We set $\mathbf{B} = p^*E$.

Proof of i) and ii).

Since D does not contain any compact curve, by [1], D is Stein. By the choice of A and E , we obtain $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(A, \mathcal{O}(E)) \geq 1$. Hence we can take a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed E -valued differential form of type $(0, 1)$ and of class C^∞ which is not $\bar{\partial}$ -exact, say f . We set $v = p^*f$. Then it is clear that $v \in C_{\bar{0}}^{0,1}(\bar{D}, \mathbf{B})$ and $\bar{\partial}v = 0$. Since D is Stein, there exists $u \in C_{\bar{0}}^{0,0}(D, \mathbf{B})$ with $\bar{\partial}u = v$ on D . If $\operatorname{sing. supp.}(u) = \phi$ in the above sense, then we can restrict u to the zero section of L and so f is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. This contradicts to the choice of f . This means that any solution $u \in C_{\bar{0}}^{0,0}(D, \mathbf{B})$ of $\bar{\partial}u = v$ satisfies $\operatorname{sing. supp.}(u) \neq \phi$.

References

- [1] Diederich, K., Ohsawa, T., A Levi problem on two dimensional complex manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, **261** (1982), 255–261.
- [2] Diederich, K., Plug, P., Necessary conditions for hypoellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, **100**, P. U. Press, (1981), 151–154.
- [3] Kohn, J. J., Global regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **181** (1973), 273–292.
- [4] ———, Subellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains: sufficient conditions, *Acta Math.*, **142** (1979), 79–122.
- [5] ———, Boundary regularity of $\bar{\partial}$, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, **100**, P. U. Press, (1981), 243–260.
- [6] Takegoshi, K., Global regularity and spectra of Laplace-Beltrami operators on pseudoconvex domains, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **19** (1983), 275–304.

