# On $Z_q$ -Equivariant Immersions for $q=2^r$

Dedicated to Professor Nobuo Shimada on his 60th birthday

Ву

#### Teiichi Kobayashi\*

## § 1. Introduction

Let  $Z_q$  be the cyclic group of order q, where q is an integer >1. A  $C^{\infty}$ -differentiable map f of a  $Z_q$ -manifold in another  $Z_q$ -manifold is called a  $Z_q$ -equivariant immersion (or simply a  $Z_q$ -immersion) if f is an immersion and a  $Z_q$ -equivariant map.

Let m and k be non-negative integers. Euclidean (m+2k)-space  $R^{m+2k}$  has a structure of a  $Z_q$ -manifold  $(R^{m+2k}, Z_q)$  defined by the action:  $Z_q \times R^{m+2k} \to R^{m+2k}$ ;

$$(T, (t_1, \dots, t_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_{m+k})) \longmapsto (t_1, \dots, t_m, Tz_{m+1}, \dots, Tz_{m+k}),$$

where  $T\!=\!\exp{(2\pi i/q)}$  is the generator of  $Z_q$  ( $\subset S^1$ ),  $t_1, \cdots$ ,  $t_m$  are real numbers  $(\in\!R)$  and  $z_{m+1}, \cdots, z_{m+k}$  are complex numbers  $(\in\!C\!=\!R^2)$ . This  $Z_q$ -manifold is also written by  $R^{m,\,2k}$ .

The unit (2n+1)-sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  in complex (n+1)-space  $C^{n+1}$  has a structure of a  $Z_q$ -manifold  $(S^{2n+1}, Z_q)$  defined by the action:  $Z_q \times S^{2n+1} \longrightarrow S^{2n+1}$ ;

$$(T, (z_0, \dots, z_n)) \longmapsto (Tz_0, \dots, Tz_n),$$

where  $z_0, \dots, z_n$  are complex numbers with  $\sum_{j=0}^n |z_j|^2 = 1$ . This action is free and differentiable of class  $C^{\infty}$ . The orbit differentiable manifold  $S^{2n+1}/Z_q$  is the mod q standard lens space  $L^n(q)$ . As is easily seen, there is a  $Z_q$ -immersion of  $(S^{2n+1}, Z_q)$  in  $R^{m,0}$  if and only if there is an immersion of  $L^n(q)$  in  $R^m$ .

A. Jankowski obtained in [1] some non-existence theorems for  $Z_2$ -immersions. In [2] we considered  $Z_{pr}$ -immersions, where p is an odd prime. In this note we prove some non-existence theorems for  $Z_{2r}$ -immersions.

# § 2. Statements of Results

**Theorem 1.** Let r be an integer >1, and n and k be integers with  $0 \le k \le n$ . Assume that there is an integer m satisfying the following conditions:

Communicated by N. Shimada, January 11, 1985.

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780, Japan.

- (i)  $0 < k + m \le n/2$ ,
- (ii)  $\binom{n+m}{k+m} \equiv (-1)^{k+m} (2s+1)^2 \mod 2^r$  for some integer s,
- (iii)  $n+m+1 \not\equiv 0 \mod 2^{n-m-k-1}$ .

Then there does not exist a  $Z_{2r}$ -immersion of  $(S^{2n+1}, Z_{2r})$  in  $(R^{2n+2m+2k+1}, Z_{2r}) = R^{2n+2m+1,2k}$ .

If k=0, we have a new result on the non-existence of an immersion of  $L^{n}(2^{r})$  in  $R^{2n+2m+1}$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let r be an integer >1. Assume that there is an integer m satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 < m \le n/2$ ,
- (ii)  $\binom{n+m}{m} \equiv (-1)^m (2s+1)^2 \mod 2^r$  for some integer s,
- (iii)  $n+m+1 \not\equiv 0 \mod 2^{n-m-1}$ .

Then there does not exist an immersion of  $L^n(2^r)$  in  $R^{2n+2m+1}$ .

For integers r, n and k such that r>1 and  $0 \le k \le n$ , define the integer L(r, n, k) as follows:

$$L(r,\,n,\,k)\!=\!\max\left\{j\!\in\!Z\,|\,1\!\leq\!j\!\leq\!n/2,\!\binom{n-k+j}{j}\!\!\not\equiv\!\!0\bmod2^{r+n-2j+1-\varepsilon}\!\right\}\!,$$

where  $\varepsilon = 0$  or 1 according to n being even or odd respectively. Then we have

**Theorem 3.** There does not exist a  $Z_{2r}$ -immersion of  $(S^{2n+1}, Z_{2r})$  in  $(R^{2n+2L}, Z_{2r}) = R^{2n+2L-2k,2k}$ , where L = L(r, n, k).

**Corollary 4.** There does not exist an immersion of  $L^n(2^r)$  in  $R^{2n+2L}$  where L=L(r, n, 0).

This corollary is known (cf. [3, Corollary 1.5] or [4, Chapter 6, Proposition 4.16]).

Corollary 2 is very restricted. But, in some cases, this gives better results than Corollary 4. For example,  $L^{21}(4)$  (resp.  $L^{36}(4)$ ) is not immersible in  $R^{62}$  (resp.  $R^{108}$ ) by Corollary 4, but  $L^{21}(4)$  (resp.  $L^{36}(4)$ ) is not immersible in  $R^{63}$  (resp.  $R^{109}$ ) by Corollary 2.

## § 3. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some known results according to [2, Lemmas 2.1–2.3 and Proposition 2.4].

For a  $Z_q$ -space  $(X, Z_q)$ , let  $\theta(X, Z_q)$  denote a  $Z_q$ -vector bundle  $(X \times R^2, X, \pi, R^2)$  defined as follows:

- (1)  $\pi: X \times R^2 \rightarrow X$  is the projection onto the first factor.
- (2)  $Z_q$  acts on  $X \times R^2$  diagonally; T(x, z) = (Tx, Tz), where  $x \in X$ ,  $z \in R^2$  and  $T = \exp(2\pi i/q)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** If X and Y are  $Z_q$ -spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $Z_q$ -map, then  $f*\theta(Y, Z_q) = \theta(X, Z_q)$ .

A G-vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  determines a vector bundle  $E/G \rightarrow X/G$  and this correspondence induces a homomorphism  $\rho: KO_G(X) \rightarrow KO(X/G)$ .

Let  $r\eta$  be the real restriction of the canonical complex line bundle  $\eta$  over  $L^n(q)$ . Then we see

Lemma 3.2. 
$$\rho(\theta(S^{2n+1}, Z_q)) = r\eta$$
.

Define the action of  $Z_q$  on the total space of the Whitney sum  $m \oplus k \theta$   $(R^{m+2k}, Z_q)$  of the m-dimensional trivial bundle m over  $R^{m-2k}$  and  $k\theta(R^{m+2k}, Z_q)$  by

$$\begin{split} T((u, t_1), & \cdots, (u, t_m), (u, z_{m+1}), \cdots, (u, z_{m+k})) \\ = & ((Tu, t_1), \cdots, (Tu, t_m), (Tu, Tz_{m+1}), \cdots, (Tu, Tz_{m+k})), \end{split}$$

where  $u \in R^{m,2k}$ ,  $t_i \in R$   $(i=1, \dots, m)$ ,  $z_{m+j} \in R^2$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$  and T is the generator of  $Z_q$ . Then we have

**Lemma 3.3.** There is a  $Z_q$ -bundle isomorphism of the tangent  $Z_q$ -bundle  $\tau(R^{m,2k})$  onto the  $Z_q$ -bundle  $m \oplus k\theta(R^{m+2k}, Z_q)$ .

Using  $\gamma$ -operations, we obtain

**Proposition 3.4.** Let n and k be integers with  $0 \le k \le n$ , and put

$$L\!=\!\max\left\{j\left|\binom{n-k+j}{j}(r\eta-2)^j\neq 0\right\}.$$

Then there does not exist a  $Z_q$ -immersion of  $(S^{2n+1}, Z_q)$  in  $(R^{2n+2L}, Z_q) = R^{2n+2L-2k, 2k}$ .

## § 4. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 3

Two spaces X and Y are said to be mod q S-related, if there are non-negative integers m and n and a map  $f: S^m X \rightarrow S^n Y$  which induces isomorphisms of all homology groups with  $Z_q$ -coefficients, where  $S^k Z$  denotes the k-fold suspension of a space Z. The following is proved in the line of the proof of Proposition 3.1 of [2].

**Proposition 4.1.** Let r be a positive integer, and l and n be integers with  $0 < l \le n/2$ . Assume that there is a positive integer t satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $(l+t)r\eta$  has linearly independent 2t cross-sections, where  $r\eta$  is the real restriction of the canonical complex line bundle  $\eta$  over  $L^n(2^r)$ .

(ii) 
$$\binom{l+t}{l} \equiv (2s+1)^2 \mod 2^r$$
 for some integer s.

Then the stunted lens spaces  $L^n(2^r)/L^{l-1}(2^r)$  and  $L^{n+t}(2^r)/L^{l-1+t}(2^r)$  are mod  $2^r$  S-related.

Combining this proposition with Proposition 3.2 in [2], we have

**Proposition 4.2.** Let r be an integer >1. Then, under the assumption of Proposition 4.1,  $t \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n-l-1}$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. Put  $q=2^r$ , r>1. Suppose that there exists a  $Z_q$ -immersion  $f:(S^{2n+1},Z_q)\to R^{2n+2m+1,2k}$ . Let  $\nu$  be the normal  $Z_q$ -bundle of f. Then we have

$$\tau(S^{2n+1}, Z_q) \oplus \nu = f * \tau(R^{2n+2m+1, 2k}).$$

Since  $\rho(\tau(S^{2n+1}, Z_q)) = \tau(L^n(q))$  (=the usual tangent bundle of  $L^n(q)$ ), we have, by Lemmas 3.1-3.3,

$$\begin{split} \tau(L^n(q)) \oplus \rho \nu &= \rho \, f * ((2n + 2m + 1) \oplus k \, \theta \, (R^{2n + 2m + 1 + 2k}, \, Z_q)) \\ &= (2n + 2m + 1) \oplus k \, \rho \, \theta \, (S^{2n + 1}, \, Z_q) = (2n + 2m + 1) \oplus k r \eta \, . \end{split}$$

It is well-known that  $\tau(L^n(q)) \oplus 1 = (n+1)r\eta$ . Thus

$$(n+1-k)r\eta + \rho\nu = 2n+2m+2$$
.

Let  $A=u\cdot 2^{r+n-1}$ , where u is some positive integer. Then  $A(r\eta-2)=0$ , because  $r\eta-2$  ( $\in \widetilde{KO}(L^n(q))$ ) is of order  $2^{r+n-1-\varepsilon}$ , where  $\varepsilon=0$  or 1 according to n being even or odd respectively (cf. [3, Theorem 1.4]). Hence, if we take u such that 2A-2n-2+2k>2n+1, we have

$$(A-n-1+k)r\eta = (2A-2n-2m-2) \oplus \rho \nu$$
.

Put l=k+m and t=A-n-m-1. Then the above equality implies that  $(l+t)r\eta$  has linearly independent 2t cross-sections. Since we may choose u so that  $\binom{A-n-1+k}{k+m}\equiv \binom{-n-1+k}{k+m} \mod 2^r$ , we have, by (ii),

$$\binom{l+t}{l} = \binom{A-n-1+k}{k+m} \equiv \binom{-n-1+k}{k+m} = (-1)^{k+m} \binom{n+m}{k+m} \equiv (2s+1)^2 \bmod 2^r.$$

We therefore see, by Proposition 4.2, that  $t \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n-m-k-1}$ , and hence  $n+m+1 \equiv 0 \mod 2^{n-m-k-1}$ . But this contradicts (iii). q. e. d.

There are errors in [2]. As is seen in the proof of Theorem 1, we must correct them as follows:

Line 14 in p. 344 should be replaced by

- (ii)  $\binom{n+m}{n-k}\equiv (-1)^{k+m}(a\,p+b)^2 \mod p^r$  for some integers a and b with (b,p)=1 Line 14 in p. 347 should be replaced by
- (ii)  $\binom{l+t}{l} \equiv (ap+b)^2 \mod p^r$  for some integers a and b with (b, p)=1.

Proof of Theorem 3. For  $1 \le j \le n/2$ , the order of  $(r\eta - 2)^j$  ( $\in \widetilde{KO}(L^n(2^r))$ ) is equal to  $2^{r+n-2j+1-\varepsilon}$ , where  $\varepsilon = 0$  or 1 according to n being even or odd respectively (cf. [3, Theorem 1.4]). Thus the result follows from Proposition 3.4.

### References

- Jankowski, A., Note on Z<sub>2</sub>-equivariant immersions, Ann. Soc. Math. Polonae, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 17 (1973), 81-85.
- [2] Kobayashi, T., Some  $Z_q$ -equivariant immersions, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **6** (1976), 343-351.
- [3] Kobayashi, T. and Sugawara, M., Note on KO-rings of lens spaces mod 2<sup>r</sup>, Hiro-shima Math. J. 8 (1978), 85-90.
- [4] Mahammed, N., Piccinini, R. and Suter, U., Some applications of topological K-theory, *North-Holland Math. Studies* Vol. **45**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.