

On the Ranks of Homotopy Groups of a Space

By

Kouyemon IRIYE

For a simply connected space X of finite type and a prime p we define two power series

$$P_\pi(X) = \sum (\dim \pi_n(X) \otimes Z_p) \cdot t^n$$

and

$$P_H(X) = \sum (\dim H_n(\Omega X; Z_p)) \cdot t^n.$$

Let $R_\pi(X)$ and $R_H(X)$ (or simply R_π and R_H) be the radiuses of convergence of $P_\pi(X)$ and $P_H(X)$ respectively. Henn [2] proved

Theorem 1. *Let X be a simply connected space of finite type and p be a prime. Then $R_\pi \geq \min\{R_H, C_p\}$, where C_p is a constant depending only on p and $1 \geq C_2 \geq 1/2$, $1 \geq C_p \geq 3^{-1/(2p-3)}$ for an odd prime p .*

and conjectured

Conjecture. *If X is a simply connected finite complex then $R_\pi(X) = R_H(X)$.*

In this paper we give a following partial answer to the above.

Theorem 2. *$\min\{1, R_\pi\} \leq R_H$ for all simply connected spaces of finite type.*

Thus Theorems 1 and 2 imply

Corollary. *Let X be a simply connected space of finite type and p be a prime. If $R_H(X) \leq C_p$, then $R_\pi(X) = R_H(X)$.*

*This research was partially supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.
Communicated by N. Shimada, August 25, 1986.
Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

Remark. (1) Let $R_{\pi_*(X;Z_p)}$ be the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum (\dim(\pi_n(X;Z_p) \otimes Z_p)) \cdot t^n$. Using the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_n(X) \otimes Z_p \rightarrow \pi_n(X;Z_p) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(X) * Z_p \rightarrow 0$$

for $n \geq 4$, we see that $R_\pi(X) = R_{\pi_*(X;Z_p)}$. So his statement ([2], Theorem 1) is equivalent to us.

(2) In [2] he proved only that $C_p \geq 1/2$, but it is not difficult to get the above estimate for an odd prime.

Example. Consider the suspension space of a connected space of finite type. By the Bott-Samelson theorem [1] $H_*(\Omega \Sigma X; Z_p) \cong T(H_*(X; Z_p))$, where T is the tensor algebra functor. If $\dim \tilde{H}_*(X; Z_p) > 1$, then by Theorem 2 $R_\pi(\Sigma X) \leq R_H(\Sigma X) < 1$. This result implies the existence of an infinite family of integers $\{q_i\}$ and $C > 1$ such that $\dim \pi_{q_i}(\Sigma X) \otimes Z_p \geq C^{q_i}$.

The author is grateful to the hospitality of the Mathematisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, at which this research was done. He also would like to thank R. Schön and H. -W. Henn for helpful conversation.

Proof of Theorem 2.

From now on we deal with only the case that $p=2$. Because for an odd prime the following arguments work quite similarly. So $H_*(X)$ stands for $H_*(X; Z_2)$.

For a space X of finite type we define a power series

$$P(X) = \sum (\dim H_n(X)) \cdot t^n.$$

For a power series f we denote by $r(f)$ its radius of convergence. Thus $P_H(X) = P(\Omega X)$ and $R_H(X) = r(P(\Omega X))$. Theorem 2 is deduced from the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a simple space of finite type. Then $\min\{1, r(P_\pi(X))\} \leq r(P(X))$.*

Now we prove Theorem 3. Let X be a simple space of finite

type. $\{X_n\}$ denotes the Postnikov decomposition of X . In particular

$$\pi_i(X_n) = \begin{cases} \pi_i(X) & \text{for } i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{for } i > n, \end{cases}$$

and there is a fibration

$$(4) \quad K(\pi_n(X), n) \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}.$$

Notice that, since X is simple, the local coefficient system over X_{n-1} associated with the Serre fibration (4) is trivial. Then the Serre spectral sequence associated with (4) induces the following relation among the three power series:

$$P(X_{n-1}) \cdot P(K(\pi_n(X), n)) \geq P(X_n),$$

where the sign \geq signifies that each coefficient of the first power series is equal to or larger than the corresponding coefficient to the second. Since $X_0 = *$ and $P(X_n) \equiv P(X) \pmod{t^{n+1}}$, the above inequations for $n \geq 1$ induce the inequation

$$(5) \quad \prod_{n \geq 1} P(K(\pi_n(X), n)) \geq P(X).$$

For a finitely generated abelian group π , $P(K(\pi, n))$ is well-known by Serre.

Theorem 6. ([3]) For $m \geq 1$ and $q \geq 0$,

$$P(K(Z_{2^m}, q+1)) = \prod_{h_1 \geq \dots \geq h_q \geq 0} (1 - t^{2^{h_1} + \dots + 2^{h_q} + 1})^{-1} \geq P(K(Z, q+1)).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} m(q) &= \dim \pi_q(X) \otimes Z_2 \\ M(q) &= \max \{m(1), \dots, m(q)\}, \\ a(n, q) &= \# \{h_1 \geq \dots \geq h_q \geq 0; 2^{h_1} + \dots + 2^{h_q} = n\}, \\ a(n) &= \sum_{q \geq 0} a(n, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b(n) = \sum_{q \geq 0} a(n-1, q) M(q+1).$$

Then by (5) and Theorem 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-b(n)} &= \prod_{q \geq 0, n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-a(n-1, q) M(q+1)} \\ &\geq \prod_{q \geq 0, n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-a(n-1, q) m(q+1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{q \geq 0} \prod_{h_1 \geq \dots \geq h_q \geq 0} (1 - t^{2^{h_1 + \dots + 2^{h_q + 1}}})^{-m(q+1)} \\
&\geq P(X),
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(7) \quad \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-b(n)} \geq P(X).$$

Let $0 \leq r < 1$. If $\sum_{n \geq 1} b(n) t^n$ converges for $|t| \leq r$, then $\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{b(n)}$ converges and its limit is nonzero. Thus $\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-b(n)}$ converges. On the other hand we have that $\log \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-b(n)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} b(n) \{t^n + \dots\} \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} b(n) t^n$. Therefore $r(P(X)) \geq r(\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-b(n)}) = \min\{1, r(\sum b(n) t^n)\}$. So it is sufficient to prove that

$$(8) \quad r(\sum b(n) t^n) \geq \min\{1, rP_\pi(X)\}.$$

This is proved by means of the following lemmas. Lemma 9 is directly proved by definition. Lemma 10 is proved by induction on n with Lemma 9, (2) and (3).

Lemma 9. (1) $a(n, q) = 0$ for $n < q$.

(2) $a(2n+1, q) = a(2n, q-1)$ and $a(2n+1) = a(2n)$.

(3) $a(2n, q) = a(2n-2, q-2) + a(n, q)$ and $a(2n) = a(2n-2) + a(n)$ for $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 10. If we take a real number C ($C > 1$) and an integer N such that $C^N \geq C^{N-2} + 1$, then $a(n) \leq 2^N \cdot C^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Now we prove the inequation (8). If we take C and N as in Lemma 10, then

$$\begin{aligned}
r(\sum b(n) t^n) &\geq r(\sum a(n-1) M(n) t^n) \\
&\geq r(\sum 2^N \cdot C^n M(n) t^n) \\
&= r(\sum M(n) t^n) / C \\
&= \min\{1, \sum m(n) t^n\} / C \\
&= \min\{1, rP_\pi(X)\} / C.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
r(\sum b(n) t^n) &\geq \sup \{\min\{1, rP_\pi(X)\} / C; C > 1\} \\
&= \min\{1, rP_\pi(X)\},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (8) and of Theorem 3.

Remark. Of course Theorem 3 is valid for odd primes. In this case we can use [4] instead of [3] to prove it.

References

- [1] Bott, R. and Samelson, H., On the Pontrjagin product in spaces of paths, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **27** (1953), 320-337.
- [2] Henn, H. -W., On the growth of homotopy groups, *Manuscripta Math.* **56** (1986), 235-245.
- [3] Serre, J. -P., Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d'Eilenberg-MacLane, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **27** (1953), 198-232.
- [4] Umeda, Y., A remark on a theorem of J. -P. Serre, *Proc. of Japan Academy*, **35** (1959), 563-566.

