

Type I Orbits in the Pure States of a C^* -dynamical System

By

Akitaka KISHIMOTO

0. Introduction

Let A be a C^* -algebra and let α be an action of a locally compact abelian group G on A such that α is continuous, i.e., $t \mapsto \alpha_t(x)$ is continuous on G for each $x \in A$. Let $P(A)$ denote the set of pure states of A and α^* the action of G on $P(A)$ defined by $\alpha_t^* f = f \circ \alpha_t$, $t \in G$, which is continuous in the sense that $(t, f) \mapsto \alpha_t^* f$ is jointly continuous if $P(A)$ is equipped with the weak* topology. Thus, with the C^* -dynamical system (A, G, α) one associates the dynamical system $(P(A), G, (\alpha^*))$. (If A is abelian, $P(A)$ is a locally compact space and A is identified with the continuous functions on $P(A)$ vanishing at infinity.)

Let $f \in P(A)$. We call the orbit $\{\alpha_t^* f, t \in G\}$ through f of *type I* if the representation

$$\rho_f = \int_G^{\oplus} \pi_f \circ \alpha_t \, dt$$

of A on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_f) = L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H}_f$ is of type I, where π_f is the GNS representation of A associated with f , and dt is a Haar measure on G . If A is abelian, or more generally of type I, or if α^* is strongly continuous, then every orbit in $P(A)$ is of type I. In general it is not even true that a system has a type I orbit.

In this paper we shall show that there exist type I orbits for C^* -dynamical systems satisfying a certain spectrum condition. This is defined in terms of a spectrum similar to the Connes spectrum.

Before we state our main results more concretely, we look at the representations ρ_f closely. Given $f \in P(A)$, we denote by u the unitary representa-

Communicated by H. Araki, October 1, 1986.

* Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan

tion of G on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_f)$ defined by

$$(u(s)\xi)(t) = \xi(t+s), \quad a, a, t$$

for $\xi \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_f)$. Since $\rho_f \circ \alpha_s = \text{Ad } u(s) \circ \rho_f$, α extends to an action $\bar{\alpha}$ on $\rho_f(A)''$ by $\bar{\alpha}_s = \text{Ad } u(s)$. Let $Z = \rho_f(A)'' \cap \rho_f(A)'$ and let $N = \text{Sp}(\bar{\alpha}|Z)$. Then since Z is a von Neumann subalgebra of $L^\infty(G) \otimes 1$, $\bar{\alpha}$ is ergodic on Z . Hence the von Neumann algebra $\rho_f(A)''$ is homogeneous, e.g., purely of type I, II, or III, and N is a closed subgroup of \hat{G} . It easily follows that

$$Z = \{p: p \in N\}'' \otimes 1.$$

Let $H = \{s \in G: \pi_f \circ \alpha_s \sim \pi_f\}$ where \sim denotes (quasi-) equivalence. Then H is a subgroup of G and it follows that $H \subset N^\perp$ in general.

0.1. Proposition. Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and let α be a continuous action of a separable (i.e., second-countable) locally compact abelian group G on A , and adopt the notation above. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\rho_f(A)''$ is of type I.
2. $H = N^\perp$.

Now one of our results runs as follows: In the same situation as above suppose that A is simple and unital, α is faithful, and that there is an automorphism σ of A such that $\sigma \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_t \circ \sigma$, $t \in G$ and $[\sigma^n(x), y] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $x, y \in A$ (which is a situation where the spectrum condition referred to before is satisfied). Then for each closed discrete subgroup H of G there exists a pure state f as described in 0.1 (see 2.3 and 3.3). When the group G is compact we can remove, by using [1], the condition ‘discreteness’ on H in the above result (see 3.5), and furthermore we could say much more about the pure states in connection with the action α^* due to the Glimm’s type theorem [3].

We conclude this section by giving the proof of 0.1.

Suppose (1) and let $s \in N^\perp$. Then $\bar{\alpha}_s$ leaves each element of the center Z invariant, and hence it is inner, i.e., there is a unitary V in $\rho_f(A)''$ such that $\alpha_s = \text{Ad } V$. Let $\{V_t: t \in G\}$ be a measurable family of unitaries such that

$$V = \int_G^\oplus V_t dt.$$

Then there is a $t \in G$ such that

$$\pi_f \circ \alpha_{s+t}(x) = V_t \pi_f \circ \alpha_t(x) V_t^*, \quad x \in A$$

and hence $\pi_f \circ \alpha_s \sim \pi_f$ or $s \in H$. Conversely if $s \in H$, $\bar{\alpha}_s$ is implemented by

by a unitary of the form $1 \otimes u$ and hence it is trivial on Z , or $s \in N^\perp$. Thus (2) follows.

Suppose (2). For each s in the closed subgroup H of G , α_s extends to an automorphism β_s of $\pi_f(A)'' = B(\mathcal{A}_f)$: $\beta_s \circ \pi_f = \pi_f \circ \alpha_s$. Since A is separable, it follows that $s \mapsto \beta_s(Q)$ is weakly* measurable for $Q \in B(\mathcal{A}_f)$, i.e., $s \mapsto \varphi \circ \beta_s$ is weakly measurable for $\varphi \in B(\mathcal{A}_f)_*$. Hence, as $B(\mathcal{A}_f)_*$ is separable, $s \mapsto (\beta_s)_*$ is strongly measurable, and so strongly continuous. For the representation

$$\pi_H = \int_H^\oplus \pi_f \circ \alpha_s \, ds$$

of A on $L^2(H, \mathcal{A}_f)$, one has that π_H is quasi-equivalent to π_f : For any $Q \in \pi_H(A)''$ there is a unique $Q_1 \in \pi_f(A)''$ such that

$$Q = \int_H^\oplus \beta_s(Q_1) \, ds$$

and, for any $Q_1 \in B(\mathcal{A}_f)$, the above direct integral defines an element of $\pi_H(A)''$. Since ρ_f is unitarily equivalent to

$$\int_{G/H}^\oplus \pi_H \circ \alpha_{f(s)} \, ds,$$

where $f: G/H \rightarrow G$ is a measurable function such that $f(s) + H = s$, $s \in G/H$, it follows by (2) that the above integral is a central decomposition of ρ_f . Hence $\rho_f(A)'' = L^\infty(G/H) \otimes \pi_f(A)''$, which is of type I.

§1. Spectral Subspaces

Let A be a C^* -algebra and let α be a continuous action of a locally compact abelian group G on A . For a subset U of \hat{G} , $A^\alpha(U)$ denotes the set of $x \in A$ with $\text{Sp}_\alpha(x) \subset U$. Let $\{x_\mu\}$ be a bounded net in A and let $p \in G$. If for any neighbourhood U of $0 \in \hat{G}$ there exists a μ_0 such that $x_\mu \in A^\alpha(p+U)$ for all $\mu \geq \mu_0$, then $\{x_\mu\}$ is said to be a bounded net of spectrum p .

Let π be a representation of A and let $\mathcal{M} = \pi(A)''$. For each $p \in \hat{G}$ let $\mathcal{M}(p)$ denote the set of elements of \mathcal{M} which are obtained as weak limit points of $\{\pi(x_\mu)\}$ for all bounded nets $\{x_\mu\}$ in A of spectrum p . (Note that $\mathcal{M}(p)$ also depends on π and A .) Then it is obvious that $\mathcal{M}(p)$ is a subspace of \mathcal{M} and that $\mathcal{M}(p)^* = \mathcal{M}(-p)$ and $\mathcal{M}(p) \mathcal{M}(q) \subset \mathcal{M}(p+q)$ for $p, q \in \hat{G}$. If there is a continuous action $\bar{\alpha}$ of G on \mathcal{M} such that $\bar{\alpha}_t \circ \pi = \pi \circ \alpha_t$, $t \in G$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}^{\bar{\alpha}}(p)$ where

$$\mathcal{M}^{\bar{\alpha}}(p) = \{Q \in \mathcal{M}: \bar{\alpha}_t(Q) = \langle t, p \rangle Q, t \in G\}.$$

1.1. **Proposition.** Let A be a C^* -algebra and let α be a continuous action of a locally compact abelian group G on A . Let π be a representation of A and define a representation ρ of A by

$$\rho = \int_G^\oplus \pi \circ \alpha_t \, dt$$

on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_\pi) = L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi$ where dt is a Haar measure. Let $\mathcal{M} = \pi(A)''$ and $\mathcal{N} = \rho(A)''$, and let α be the action of G on \mathcal{N} induced by α . Then for any $p \in \hat{G}$ it follows that $\mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(p) = p \otimes \mathcal{M}(p)$ and that for each $Q \in \mathcal{M}(p)$ there is a bounded net $\{x_\mu\}$ in A of spectrum p such that $\|x_\mu\| \leq \|Q\|$ and $\lim \pi(x_\mu) = Q$. In particular $\mathcal{M}(p)$ is a closed subspace of \mathcal{M} , and $\mathcal{M}(0)$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}(p)$, and let $\{x_\mu\}$ be a bounded net of spectrum p such that $\pi(x_\mu)$ converges weakly to Q . Since $\|\alpha_t(x_\mu) - \langle t, p \rangle x_\mu\| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of $t \in G$ ([8], 8.1.7) one has that

$$\langle \pi \circ \alpha_t(x_\mu) \xi, \eta \rangle \rightarrow \langle t, p \rangle \langle Q \xi, \eta \rangle$$

uniformly on each compact subset of G for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$. Thus it follows that $\lim \rho(x_\mu) = p \otimes Q$ which belongs to $\mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(p)$.

Let $Q' \in \mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(p)$. Since $Q' \in L^\infty(G) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, and Q' satisfies that $\bar{\alpha}_t(Q') = \langle t, p \rangle Q'$, there is a bounded operator Q on \mathcal{H}_π such that $Q' = p \otimes Q$. We have to show that $Q \in \mathcal{M}(p)$.

By Kaplansky's density theorem there is a net $\{x_\mu\}$ in A such that $\|x_\mu\| \leq \|Q'\| = \|Q\|$ and $\lim \rho(x_\mu) = Q'$. Let g be a positive continuous integrable function on G such that $\text{supp } \hat{g}$ is compact and $\hat{g}(0) = 1$. For such a g and μ let

$$x_{\mu, g} = \int \alpha_t(x_\mu) \overline{\langle t, p \rangle} g(t) \, dt.$$

Then $\|x_{\mu, g}\| \leq \|x_\mu\| \|g\|_1 \leq \|Q'\|$ and $\rho(x_{\mu, g})$ converges to Q' as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ for each g . Define an order on the pairs (μ, g) by:

$$(\mu_1, g_1) \geq (\mu_2, g_2) \quad \text{if} \quad \mu_1 \geq \mu_2, \text{supp } \hat{g}_1 \subset \text{supp } \hat{g}_2.$$

Then $\{x_{\mu, g}\}$ is a net and Q' is a weak limit point of $\{\rho(x_{\mu, g})\}$. This shows that there is a bounded net $\{y_\mu\}$ in A of spectrum p such that $\|y_\mu\| \leq \|Q'\|$ and $\lim \rho(y_\mu) = Q'$. Since $\{y_\mu\}$ is bounded, there is a subnet $\{y'_\mu\}$ of $\{y_\mu\}$ such that $\pi(y'_\mu)$ converges weakly, say to Q_1 . Since $\|\alpha_t(y'_\mu) - \langle t, p \rangle y'_\mu\| \rightarrow 0$ for $t \in G$, it follows that $\lim \rho(y'_\mu) = p \otimes Q_1$. Hence $Q = Q_1$ and thus $Q \in \mathcal{M}(p)$.

Consider the same situation as in 1.1. Let N be a closed subgroup of \hat{G} and let $p \in \hat{G}$. A bounded net $\{x_\mu\}$ in A is said to be of spectrum $p+N$ if for any neighbourhood U of $0 \in \hat{G}$ there exists a μ_0 such that $x_\mu \in A^\omega(p+N+U)$ for all $\mu \geq \mu_0$, or equivalently if it is a bounded net of spectrum $p+N$ ($\in \hat{G}/N$) with respect to the action defined by restricting α to $H=N^\perp$. Let $\mathcal{M}(p+N)$ be the set of elements of \mathcal{M} which are obtained as weak limit points of $\{\pi(x_\mu)\}$ for all bounded nets $\{x_\mu\}$ in A of spectrum $p+N$. Then one immediately obtains

1.2. Corollary. In the same situation as in 1.1, let N be a closed subgroup of \hat{G} . Then $\mathcal{M}(p+N)^* = \mathcal{M}(-p+N)$, $\mathcal{M}(p+N) \mathcal{M}(q+N) \subset \mathcal{M}(p+q+N)$, and $\mathcal{M}(p+N)$ is a closed subspace of \mathcal{M} . Moreover for any $Q \in \mathcal{M}(p+N)$ there exists a bounded net $\{x_\mu\}$ in A of spectrum $p+N$ such that $\|x_\mu\| \leq \|Q\|$ and $\lim \pi(x_\mu) = Q$.

1.3. Proposition. Let A be a prime C^* -algebra and let α be a continuous action of a locally compact abelian group G on A . Let π be a faithful representation of A and define the representation ρ of A as in 1.1. Let $\mathcal{M} = \pi(A)''$ and let $\mathcal{N} = \rho(A)''$. If \mathcal{M} is a factor, the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\mathcal{M}(0) = \mathcal{M}$, and α is faithful.
2. $\mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}$ for all $p \in \hat{G}$.
3. $\mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1$ for all $p \in \hat{G}$.
4. $\mathcal{N} \supset L^\infty(G) \otimes 1$.

Proof. Suppose (1). Then $\mathcal{M}(p)$ is a closed ideal of \mathcal{M} , i.e., $\mathcal{M}(p) = (0)$ or \mathcal{M} , since \mathcal{M} is a factor. The set of $p \in \hat{G}$ with $\mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}$ is a closed subgroup of \hat{G} ; it is a group since $\mathcal{M}(p)^* = \mathcal{M}(-p)$ and $\mathcal{M}(p) \mathcal{M}(q) \subset \mathcal{M}(p+q)$, and it is closed since $\{p \in \hat{G} : \mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1\}$ is closed.

Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be the action of G on \mathcal{N} induced by α . Since $\bar{\alpha}$ is faithful, we only have to show that $\mathcal{M}(p) \neq (0)$, or $\mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(p) \neq (0)$ by 1.1, for each $p \in \text{Sp}(\bar{\alpha})$. For any compact neighbourhood U of $p \in \text{Sp}(\bar{\alpha})$, $\mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(U)$ is not zero. Let $Q \in \mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(U)$ with $\|Q\| = 1$ and let $t \mapsto Q(t)$ be a norm continuous map of G into \mathcal{M} which represents Q (see [9], IV.7.17 and note that the continuity requirement is satisfied since $\text{Sp}_{\bar{\alpha}}(Q)$ is compact). By replacing Q by $\bar{\alpha}_s(Q)$ with $s \in G$ if necessary, we suppose that $\|Q(0)\| > 1/2$. Since $1 \otimes Q(0) \in \mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(0)$, we further suppose that $Q(0) \geq 0$ by replacing Q by $Q \cdot 1 \otimes Q(0)^* / \|Q(0)\|$. In a similar way we may eventually suppose that $Q(0) = 1/2$ by using $1 \otimes \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(0)$. For each compact neighbourhood U of p we choose $Q_U \in \mathcal{N}^{\bar{\alpha}}(U)$ such that $\|Q_U\| \leq 1$ and $Q_U(0) = 1/2$. Then since the family $t \mapsto Q_U(t)$ is equi-continuous as U shrinks

to $\{p\}$, any weak limit point of $\{Q_U\}$ is not zero and belongs to $\mathcal{N}^{\hat{G}}(p)$.

By 1.1 the implications (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) are immediate.

Suppose (4) and let $x \in A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let U be an open neighbourhood of 0 such that $\|\alpha_t(x) - x\| < \epsilon$, $t \in U$. Let K be a compact subset of G and take a finite covering $\{U + t_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of K . Let

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n (\chi_{U_i} \otimes 1) \rho(\alpha_{-t_i}(x))$$

where $U_i = (U + t_i) \cap K \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} U_j$, $U_1 = (U + t_1) \cap K$. Then $Q \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\|Q - \chi_K \otimes \pi(x)\| < \epsilon$ which implies that $\chi_K \otimes \pi(x) \in \mathcal{N}$ and so it follows that $1 \otimes \pi(x) \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus $\mathcal{N} \supset L^\infty(G) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ i.e., $\mathcal{M}(0) = \mathcal{M}$. Since $\text{Sp}(\alpha) = \hat{G}$ follows trivially this concludes the proof.

§2. Spectrum

Let α be a continuous action of a locally compact abelian group G on a C^* -algebra A . The Connes spectrum $\Gamma(\alpha)$ of α is defined as follows (cf. [8]): $p \in \Gamma(\alpha)$ if for any non-zero $x \in A$ and any compact neighbourhood U of p there are $t \in G$ and $a \in A^\alpha(U)$ such that $x\alpha_t(x^*) \neq 0$. We define a subset $\Gamma_1(\alpha)$ of \hat{G} as follows: $p \in \Gamma_1(\alpha)$ if for any non-zero $x \in A$, any compact neighbourhood U of p , and any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $a \in A^\alpha(U)$ such that $\|a\| = 1$ and $\|xax^*\| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|x\|^2$. Clearly $\Gamma_1(\alpha)$ is a closed subset of $\Gamma(\alpha)$ and satisfies that $\Gamma_1(\alpha) \ni 0$ and $-\Gamma_1(\alpha) = \Gamma_1(\alpha)$.

2.1. Proposition. $\Gamma_1(\alpha)$ is a closed subgroup of \hat{G} .

Proof. It suffices to show that $\Gamma_1(\alpha)$ is closed under multiplication. Let $p, q \in \Gamma_1(\alpha)$, $x \in A$, and $\epsilon > 0$. For any compact neighbourhood U of $0 \in \hat{G}$ there is an $a \in A^\alpha(p + U)$ such that $\|a\| = 1$ and $\|xax^*\| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|x\|^2$. Let $y = ax^*$. And then $\|y\| \leq \|x\|$ and $\|xy\| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\|x\|\|y\|$. By the following lemma we have a $b \in A^\alpha(q + U)$ such that $\|b\| = 1$ and $\|xby\| \geq \varphi_1(1 - \epsilon)\|xy\|$, where $\varphi_1(t) \uparrow 1$ as $t \uparrow 1$. Since $ba \in A^\alpha(p + q + U + U)$ and $\|xbax^*\| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\varphi_1(1 - \epsilon)\|x\|^2$, one gets the conclusion.

2.2. Lemma. There exists an increasing function φ on $[0, 1]$ such that $\lim_{t \uparrow 1} \varphi(t) = \varphi(1) = 1$ and for any $p \in \Gamma_1(\alpha)$, any neighbourhood U of p and any non-zero $x, y \in A$, $t \in [0, 1]$ with $\|xy\| = t\|x\|\|y\|$, it follows that

$$\sup \{\|xay\| : a \in A^\alpha(U)_1\} \geq \varphi(t)\|x\|\|y\|$$

where $A^\alpha(U)_1$ denotes the unit ball of $A^\alpha(U)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in A, t \in [0, 1]$ be as in the above lemma, and let $x_1 = \|x\|^{-2}x^*x$, and $y_1 = \|y\|^{-2}y^*y$. Then $\|x_1\| = \|y_1\| = 1, x_1 \geq 0, y_1 \geq 0$ and $\|x_1, y_1\| \geq t^3$. Since $\|xay\| \geq \|x_1ay_1\| \|x\| \|y\|$ and $t^3 \uparrow 1$ as $t \uparrow 1$ we now assume that $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ and that $\|x\| = 1 = \|y\|$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $a \in A^\sigma(U)$ such that $\|a\| = 1$ and $\|xyayx\| > (1 - \varepsilon)t^2$. There exists a pure state f of A such that

$$f(xyayx^2 ya^*yx) > (1 - \varepsilon)^2 t^4.$$

Since $xyayx^2 ya^*yx \leq t^2 xy^2x$, one has that $f(xy^2x) > (1 - \varepsilon)^2 t^2$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} f((xy - x)(xy - x)^*) &= f(xy^2x) - 2f(xy^2x) + f(x^2) \\ &\leq f(x^2) - f(xy^2x) < 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^2 t^2. \end{aligned}$$

One calculates:

$$\begin{aligned} f(xay^2 a^*x) &\geq f(xayx^2 ya^*x) \\ &= f(xyayx^2 ya^*yx) + f((x - xy) ayx^2 ya^*yx) + f(xayx^2 ya^*(x - yx)) \\ &> (1 - \varepsilon)^2 t^4 - 2t^2(1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^2 t^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup \{ \|xay\| : a \in A^\sigma(U)_1 \} \geq t(t^2 - 2(1 - t^2)^{1/2})^{1/2}$$

and thus the lemma is proved.

2.3. Proposition. Let A be a simple unital C^* -algebra and let α be a continuous action of a locally compact abelian group G on A . Suppose that there is an automorphism σ of A such that $\sigma \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_t \circ \sigma, t \in G$ and $[x, \sigma^n(y)]$ goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x, y \in A$. Then $\Gamma_1(\alpha) = \Gamma(\alpha) = \text{Sp}(\alpha)$.

Proof. This follows easily since $\|x \sigma^n(y)\| \rightarrow \|x\| \|y\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ [7].

2.4. Proposition. Let A be a simple unital C^* -algebra and let α be a continuous action of a compact abelian group G on A such that $A^\sigma(0) = \mathbb{C}1$. Then $\Gamma_1(\alpha) = \{0\}$ and $\Gamma(\alpha) = \text{Sp}(\alpha)$.

Proof. It is trivial that $\Gamma(\alpha) = \text{Sp}(\alpha)$, and we may assume that $\text{Sp}(\alpha) = \hat{G}$. For each $p \in \hat{G}, A^\sigma(p)$ is one-dimensional and contains a unitary, say u_p . There is an injective homomorphism φ of \hat{G} into G such that $\alpha_{\varphi(p)} = \text{Ad } u_p, p \in \hat{G}$ (and the range is dense in G). If $p \neq 0$, there is a $q \in \hat{G}$ such that $\langle \varphi(p), q \rangle \neq 1$, and so there is a non-zero positive x in the C^* -algebra generated by u_q such that $x \alpha_{\varphi(p)}(x) = 0$, i.e., $xu_p x = 0$, which shows that $p \notin \Gamma_1(\alpha)$.

For the C^* -dynamical system in 2.4, every orbit in the pure states is of type II_1 , or, for any pure state f , the representation

$$\int_G^{\oplus} \pi_f \circ \alpha_t \, dt$$

is quasi-equivalent to the GNS representation associated with the unique invariant (tracial) state.

§3. Type I Orbits

The following result generalizes part of [2], Theorem 2.1, where Condition 5 below is derived for some asymptotically abelian systems.

3.1. Theorem. Let A be a separable prime C^* -algebra and α a faithful continuous action of a separable locally compact abelian group G on A . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. There exists a $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that for any $x, y \in A$ and any compact neighbourhood U of $0 \in \hat{G}$ it follows that

$$\sup \{ \|xay\| : a \in A^\alpha(U) \} \geq \delta \|x\| \|y\| .$$

2. Condition 1 holds with $\delta = 1$.

3. There exists a $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that for any $x \in A$ and any non-empty open subset U of \hat{G} it follows that

$$\sup \{ \|xax^*\| : a \in A^\alpha(U) \} \geq \delta \|x\|^2 .$$

4. Condition 3 holds with $\delta = 1$, or $\Gamma_1(\alpha) = \hat{G}$.

5. There exists a pure state f of A such that π_f is faithful and for the representation ρ of A defined by

$$\rho = \int_G^{\oplus} \pi_f \circ \alpha_t \, dt$$

on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_f) = L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H}_f$, $\rho(A)''$ is of type I with center $L^\infty(G) \otimes 1$.

3.2. Remarks. A condition similar to (1) above was considered in [1] in the case G is compact. From the result there we may conjecture that (1) is equivalent to

1'. For any non-zero $x, y \in A$ and any neighbourhood U of $0 \in \hat{G}$ it follows that $xA^\alpha(U)y \neq (0)$.

A similar remark applies to Condition 3 or 4. Condition 5 was first considered in [6] and from the result there it follows that (5) is equivalent to

5'. There exists a pure state f of $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ such that π_f is faithful and is covariant under the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ of \hat{G} .

Proof of 3.1. If (5) is satisfied, then for $\mathcal{M}=\pi_f(A)''$ one has that $\mathcal{M}(p)=\mathcal{M}=B(\mathcal{H}_f)$ by 1.3, from which one immediately obtains the other conditions by using 1.1; e.g., to obtain (4) note that for any $p\in\hat{G}$ there is a bounded net $\{x_\mu\}$ in A of spectrum p such that $\|x_\mu\|\leq 1$ and $\pi(x_\mu)\rightarrow 1$, and hence one obtains that for any $x\in A$, $\|xx_\mu x^*\|$ converges to $\|x\|^2$.

It is trivial that (2) implies (1) and (4) implies (3). We shall show that (1) implies (3). Let $p, q\in\text{Sp}(\alpha)$. Then for any compact neighbourhood U of $0\in\hat{G}$, Condition 1 implies that

$$A^\alpha(p+U)A^\alpha(U)A^\alpha(q+U)\neq(0),$$

which then implies that $p+q\in\text{Sp}(\alpha)$. Since α is faithful, it follows that $\text{Sp}(\alpha)=\hat{G}$. Then it is straightforward to prove that (1) with δ implies (3) with δ^2 in place of δ .

Now we have to show that (3) implies (5). Let $\{u_n\}$ be a dense sequence in the unitaries of A (or $A+\mathbb{C}1$ if $A\not\cong 1$), and let $\{U_n\}$ be a countable basis for the open subsets of \hat{G} , where we suppose that each isolated point set appears infinitely often in $\{U_n\}$. We enumerate $\{(u_k, U_m): k, m=1, 2, \dots\}$ and let $\{(u_n, U_n)\}$ be the resulting sequence. Let $\{I_n\}$ be a sequence of non-zero ideals of A such that for any non-zero ideal J of A there is an n with $J\supset I_n$. (This is possible because A is separable and prime.) Define T be the set of $x\in A$ such that $x\geq 0$, $\|x\|=1$, and $B(x)\equiv\{a\in A: xa=ax=a\}$ is non-zero. Note that $B(x)$ is a hereditary C*-subalgebra of A and the open projection $p(x)$ corresponding to $B(x)$ is majorized by the (closed) spectral projection of x corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 (in A^{**}).

Fix $e_1\in T\cap I_1$ and let $p_1=p(e_1)$. Let

$$\lambda_1 = \sup \{ \|p_1 u_1^*(b+b^*) u_1 p_1\|, b\in A^\alpha(U_1)_1 \}.$$

Then it follows from (3) that $\lambda_1\geq\delta$ since for $a\in T\cap B(e_1)$

$$\sup \{ \|a u_1^* b u_1 a\|, b\in A^\alpha(U_1)_1 \} \geq \delta$$

and $a p_1=a$. And then we find $a_1\in T$ and $b_1\in A^\alpha(U_1)_1$ such that $a_1 e_1=a_1$ and

$$\sup \text{Spec}(y_1) > \lambda_1 - \delta/2$$

where $\text{Spec}(y_1)$ is the spectrum of y_1 and $y_1=a_1 u_1^*(b_1+b_1^*) u_1 a_1$. Define a continuous function f_1 on \mathbb{R} by

$$f_1(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t\leq 0 \\ 1 & t\geq \lambda_1 - \delta/2 \end{cases}$$

and by linearity elsewhere, and note that $f_1(y_1) \in T$. Then as A is prime, $B(f_1(y_1)) \cap I_2$ is a non-zero hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A . We choose $e_2 \in T \cap B(f_1(y_1)) \cap I_2$.

We repeat this procedure. Namely, if e_n is defined, let $p_n = p(e_n)$ and let

$$\lambda_n = \sup \{ \|p_n u_n^*(b+b^*) u_n p_n\| : b \in A^\alpha(U_n)_1 \} .$$

We find $a_n \in T \cap B(e_n)$ and $b_n \in A^\alpha(U_n)_1$ such that

$$\sup \text{Spec}(y_n) > \lambda_n - \delta/2n$$

where $y_n = a_n u_n^*(b_n + b_n^*) u_n a_n$. Define a continuous function f_n on \mathbf{R} by

$$f_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ 1 & t \geq \lambda_n - \delta/2n \end{cases}$$

and by linearity elsewhere, and choose $e_{n+1} \in T \cap B(f_n(y_n)) \cap I_n$.

Since $f_n(y_n) e_n = f_n(y_n)$ and $e_{n+1} f_n(y_n) = e_{n+1}$, $\{e_n\}$ forms a decreasing sequence in T . Let f be a pure state of A such that $f(e_n) = 1$ for all n ; we assert that f satisfies the desired properties.

The representation π_f is faithful because $\|f|I_n\| = 1$ for all n .

We want to show that $f(a_n^2) \rightarrow 1$. Let $z_n = u_n^*(b_n + b_n^*) u_n$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n - \delta/2n &\leq f(a_n z_n a_n) = f(a_n p_n z_n p_n a_n) \\ &\leq \|p_n z_n p_n\| f(a_n^2) \leq \lambda_n f(a_n^2) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_n \geq \delta > 0$ and $f(a_n^2) \leq 1$, this implies that $f(a_n^2) \rightarrow 1$.

Let $p \in \hat{G}$ and let u be a unitary of A (or $A + \mathbf{C}1$) and choose a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $\|u_{n_k} - u\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\{U_{n_k}\}$ forms a basis for the neighbourhoods of p . Since

$$\text{Re } f(a_n u_n^* b_n u_n a_n) > (\lambda_n - \delta/2n)/2 ,$$

$\lambda_n \geq \delta$, and $\|\pi_f(a_n) \varrho_f - \varrho_f\| \rightarrow 0$, any weak limit point Q of $\{\pi_f(b_{n_k})\}$ on \mathcal{A}_f satisfies that

$$\text{Re } \langle Q \pi_f(u) \varrho_f, \pi_f(u) \varrho_f \rangle \geq \delta/2 .$$

Note that $\|Q\| \leq 1$, and Q is the weak limit of a bounded net in $\pi_f(A)$ of spectrum p , i.e., $Q \in \mathcal{M}(p)$ where $\mathcal{M} = \pi_f(A)'' = B(\mathcal{A}_f)$. Thus, we have shown that for any $p \in \hat{G}$ and any unit vector ξ of \mathcal{A}_f there is a $Q \in \mathcal{M}(p)$ such that $\|Q\| \leq 1$ and

$$\text{Re } \langle Q\xi, \xi \rangle \geq \delta/2 .$$

From section 1 it follows that $\mathcal{M}(p)$ is a weakly closed subspace of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M}(0) \mathcal{M}(p) \mathcal{M}(0) \subset \mathcal{M}(p)$, $\mathcal{M}(p) \mathcal{M}(p)^* \subset \mathcal{M}(0)$, and $\mathcal{M}(0)$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Since the initial and final supports of $\mathcal{M}(p)$ are 1, the weak linear span of $\mathcal{M}(p) \mathcal{M}(p)^*$ and $\mathcal{M}(p)^* \mathcal{M}(p)$ respectively is $\mathcal{M}(0)$. Thus it follows that $\mathcal{M}(p)$ has an element $u(p)$ such that at least one of $u(p) u(p)^*$ and $u(p)^* u(p)$ is 1. If $u(p) u(p)^* = 1$, then $\mathcal{M}(p) = u(p) \mathcal{M}(0)$ and if $u(p)^* u(p) = 1$, then $\mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}(0) u(p)$. Since the central support of $e = u(p) u(p)^*$ is 1 in $\mathcal{M}(0)$, the reduction $\mathcal{M}(0)' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(0)' e$ is an isomorphism. We define a map β_p of $\mathcal{M}(0)'$ by

$$\beta_p(Q) e = u(p) Q u(p)^*, \quad Q \in \mathcal{M}(0)' .$$

To show that this is well-defined we have to prove that $u(p) Q u(p)^* \in \mathcal{M}(0)' e$. But this follows since $\text{Ad } u(p)$ is an isomorphism of $u(p)^* u(p) \mathcal{M}(0) u(p)^* u(p)$ onto $e \mathcal{M}(0) e$. It easily follows that β_p is an automorphism of $\mathcal{M}(0)'$ and that it does not depend on the choice of $u(p)$: if $v \in e \mathcal{M}(p)$, then $\beta_p(Q) v = v Q$. Since $\mathcal{M}(p) \mathcal{M}(q) \subset \mathcal{M}(p+q)$ and moreover the weak linear span of $\mathcal{M}(p) \mathcal{M}(q)$ is equal to $\mathcal{M}(p+q)$, it follows that β is an action of G on $\mathcal{M}(0)'$ (without any continuity asserted).

If β is trivial, then $\mathcal{M}(p) \subset \mathcal{M}(0)'' = \mathcal{M}(0)$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}(p)$ is a weakly closed ideal of $\mathcal{M}(0)$. Since the support of $\mathcal{M}(p)$ is 1, it follows that $\mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}(0)$. Hence $\mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1$ for all $p \in \hat{G}$, which implies Condition 5 by 1.3.

Suppose that there exist a $p \in \hat{G}$ and a non-zero projection $E \in \mathcal{M}(0)'$ such that $\|E \beta_p(E)\| < \delta/2$. Then $\|E(1 - \beta_p(E)) E\| > 1 - \delta^2/4$, which implies $\|E(u u^* - u E u^*) E\| > 1 - \delta^2/4$ for $u = u(p)$. Hence

$$\|E u (1 - E)\| > (1 - \delta^2/4)^{1/2} .$$

From this it follows that there exist unit vectors $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_f$ such that $(1 - E)\xi = \xi$, $E \eta = \eta$, and

$$\langle u \xi, \eta \rangle = |\langle u \xi, \eta \rangle| \equiv \lambda > (1 - \delta^2/4)^{1/2} .$$

Furthermore, there exists a $Q \in \mathcal{M}(-p)$ such that $\|Q\| \leq 1$ and

$$\langle Q \eta, \eta \rangle = |\langle Q \eta, \eta \rangle| \equiv \mu \geq \delta/2 ,$$

When one writes $u \xi = \lambda \eta + \zeta$, one obtains that $\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle = 0$ and $\|\zeta\| < \delta/2$. Then $Q u \in \mathcal{M}(0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \langle Q u \xi, \eta \rangle &= \text{Re } \lambda \langle Q \eta, \eta \rangle + \text{Re } \langle \zeta, Q^* \eta \rangle \\ &\geq \lambda \mu - \|\zeta\| \|Q^* \eta - \mu \eta\| \\ &> \frac{\delta}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\delta^2}{4}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{\delta}{2} (1 - \mu^2)^{1/2} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction since $EQ u(1-E)=0$. Hence for any $p \in \hat{G}$ and any non-zero projection E of $\mathcal{M}(0)'$ one has that $\|E \beta_p(E)\| \geq \delta/2$. Hence it follows (cf. [4]) that β_p is inner and

$$\text{Sp}(\beta_p) \subset \{e^{i\theta}; |\theta| \leq 2\theta_0\} \quad (*)$$

where $\theta_0 = \cos^{-1} \delta/2 \in (0, \pi/2)$.

If G is compact, then $\mathcal{M}(p)$ is the weak closure of $\pi_f(A^\alpha(p))$ and so the family $\mathcal{M}(p)$ with $p \in \hat{G}$ generates $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H}_f)$. Thus β is an ergodic action of the discrete group \hat{G} on $\mathcal{M}(0)'$ and $\mathcal{M}(0)'$ is a factor since β_p is inner for each $p \in \hat{G}$. If $v(p)$ is a unitary of $\mathcal{M}(0)'$ which implements β_p , one has that $\|Ev(p)E\| \geq \delta/2$ for any non-zero projection E of $\mathcal{M}(0)'$, and hence one may assume that

$$\text{Spec}(v(p)) \subset \{e^{i\theta}; |\theta| \leq \theta_0\} \quad (**)$$

by multiplying a complex number of modulus 1 if necessary (cf. [5]). Since $\beta_q(v(p))$ also implements β_p for any $q \in \hat{G}$, one has that $\beta_q(v(p))v(p)^* \in \mathcal{C}1$, and concludes that there exists a $t \in G$ such that $\beta_q(v(p)) = \langle t, q \rangle v(p)$, $q \in \hat{G}$. For this to be compatible with (**), one must have $t=0$ or $v(p) \in \mathcal{C}1$ which implies that β_p is the identity map. Thus β is trivial and so one gets the conclusion.

Suppose that G equals $K \times Z^l \times R^m$ where K is a compact group and l, m are non-negative integers. First we apply the previous argument to the system $(A, K, \alpha|_K)$ to obtain that the π_f restricted to $A^{\alpha|_K}(0)$ is irreducible. Then we consider the system $(A^{\alpha|_K}(0), Z^l \times R^m, \alpha|_{Z^l \times R^m})$ knowing that the properties of π_f described for (A, G, α) are still satisfied for this new system. Hence we now suppose that $G = Z^l \times R^m$. Let $N = \ker \beta$, which is a subgroup of $\hat{G} = T^l \times R^m$. Since $N = \{p \in \hat{G}; \mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1\}$, N is closed. If $N \neq \hat{G}$, then the quotient group \hat{G}/N has an element of infinite order. Let $p \in \hat{G}$ be such that $np \notin N$ for any $n \neq 0$. It follows that any $\lambda \in \text{Sp}(\beta_p)$ is of finite order in T and $\text{Sp}(\beta_p)$ is discrete since otherwise $\text{Sp}(\beta_p^n)$ must meet $\{e^{i\theta}; \pi \geq |\theta| > 2\theta_0\}$ for some n , which contradicts (*). Thus $\text{Sp}(\beta_p)$ is a finite set whose elements are all of finite order, which implies that $\text{Sp}(\beta_p^n)$ is $\{1\}$ for some n , a contradiction. Hence $N = \hat{G}$ or β is trivial.

In general let \mathcal{Q} be the set of compactly generated open subgroups of G , i.e., $H \in \mathcal{Q}$ if there is an open neighbourhood U of $0 \in G$ such that \bar{U} is compact, $U = -U$, and H is generated by U as a group. \mathcal{Q} is a directed set under inclusion. For $H \in \mathcal{Q}$, H^\perp is a compact subgroup of \hat{G} since H^\perp is the dual of

the discrete group G/H . For any open neighbourhood V of $0 \in \hat{G}$ there exists an $H \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $H^\perp \subset V$ (by the definition of the topology of \hat{G}).

Let $p \in \hat{G}$. Since H is of the form $K \times Z^l \times R^m$ for $H \in \mathcal{Q}$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}(p+H^\perp) \ni 1$ for any $H \in \mathcal{Q}$. Since $\{p+H^\perp+V: H \in \mathcal{Q}, V \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } 0 \in \hat{G}\}$ forms a basis for the neighbourhoods of p , it easily follows that $\mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1$, and thus β is trivial. This concludes the proof.

3.3. Theorem. Let A be a separable prime C*-algebra and α a continuous action of a separable locally compact abelian group G on A such that $\Gamma_1(\alpha) = \hat{G}$. Let H be a closed discrete subgroup of G and let $N = H^\perp$. Then there exists a pure state φ of A such that π_φ is faithful and for the representation ρ_φ of A defined by

$$\rho_\varphi = \int_G^\oplus \pi_\varphi \circ \alpha_t \, dt$$

on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_\varphi) = L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\varphi$, $\rho_\varphi(A)''$ is of type I with center $\{p: p \in N\}'' \otimes 1$.

Proof. By 3.1 there is a pure state f of A such that π_f is faithful and $\rho_f(A)'' \cap \rho_f(A)' = L^\infty(G) \otimes 1$. We define a representation Φ on $l^2(H, \mathcal{H}_f)$ of the crossed product $A \times_\beta H$ with $\beta = \alpha|_H$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(a) \xi)(t) &= \pi_f(\alpha_t(a)) \xi(t), \quad a \in A, \\ (\bar{\Phi}(\lambda(s)) \xi)(t) &= \xi(t+s), \quad s \in H, \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in l^2(H, \mathcal{H}_f)$ where λ is the canonical unitary representation of H in the multiplier algebra $M(A \times_\beta H)$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ is the unique extension of Φ to $M(A \times_\beta H)$. Then Φ is a faithful irreducible representation of $A \times_\beta H$ since π_f is faithful and $\pi_f \circ \alpha_s$ is disjoint from π_f for $s \in H \setminus \{0\}$. (In particular, $A \times_\beta H$ is prime.) For $p \in N$ there is a bounded net $\{x_\mu\}$ in A of spectrum p such that $\|x_\mu\| \leq 1$ and $\lim \pi_f(x_\mu) = 1$. Since $\|\alpha_s(x_\mu) - x_\mu\| \rightarrow 0$ for $s \in H$, one obtains that $\lim \Phi(x_\mu) = 1$. Thus it follows that for any neighbourhood U of $p \in N$ and any $x \in A \times_\beta H$,

$$\sup \{\|x(a+a^*)x^*\|: a \in A^{\sigma}(U)\} = 2\|x\|^2.$$

Consider the dual action $\hat{\beta}$ of the compact abelian group \hat{H} on the prime C*-algebra $A \times_\beta H$. By 3.4 below one can apply [1] to this system to conclude that there is a faithful irreducible representation π of $A \times_\beta H$ such that the restriction of π to A is also irreducible. Let $\mathcal{M} = \pi(A \times_\beta H)''$. In the notation in Section 1 one has that $\mathcal{M}(s) = \mathcal{M}$ for $s \in H$. Hence it follows that for any $x \in A \times_\beta H$ and $s \in H$,

$$\sup \{ \|x(a+a^*)x^*\| : a \in A\lambda(s) \} = 2\|x\|^2. \tag{**}$$

(In particular $\Gamma_1(\hat{\beta})=H$ for the dual action $\hat{\beta}$ of \hat{H} on $A \times_{\beta} H$.)

We now apply a procedure similar to the one in the proof of 3.1 by using (*) and (**) simultaneously (instead of the condition:

$$\sup \{ \|x(a+a^*)x^*\| : a \in A^{\delta}(U) \} \geq \delta\|x\|^2$$

for any non-empty open set U of \hat{G} and any $x \in A$). Since the procedure is quite similar, we omit the details. The result is that one obtains a pure state f of $A \times_{\beta} H$ such that π_f is faithful and for any unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_f$, any $p \in N$, and any $s \in H$, there are a bounded net $\{a_{\mu}\}$ in A of spectrum p and a bounded net $\{b_{\mu}\}$ in A such that $\|a_{\mu}\| \leq 1$, $\|b_{\mu}\| \leq 1$, and

$$\lim \langle \pi_f(a_{\mu}) \xi, \xi \rangle = 1, \quad \lim \langle \pi_f(b_{\mu} \lambda(s)) \xi, \xi \rangle = 1.$$

From the second estimates one concludes that $\pi_f(A)'' = \pi_f(A \times_{\beta} H)''$ in exactly the same way as in 3.1. Thus the restriction π of π_f to A is irreducible. On setting $\mathcal{M} = \pi(A)''$ for the system (A, G, α) , the first estimates imply that $\mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1$ for $p \in N$. (Since we now know that the value corresponding to λ_p in the proof of 3.1 is 2, we can conclude that $\|E \beta_p(E)\| = 1$ for any non-zero projection E of $\mathcal{M}(0)'$, which implies that β_p is the identity.) Since α_s is weakly inner in π for $s \in H$, if $\mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1$ then $\langle s, p \rangle = 1$ for $s \in H$, i.e., $p \in H^+$. Thus it follows that $\mathcal{M}(p) \ni 1$ if, and only if $p \in N$. For the representation ρ of A defined by

$$\rho = \int_G^{\oplus} \pi \circ \alpha_t \, dt,$$

it follows by 1.1 that $\rho(A)'' \cap \rho(A)' = \{p : p \in N\}'' \otimes 1$. Thus $\rho(A)''$ is of type I and this completes the proof with $\varphi = f|_A$.

3.4. Lemma. Let A be a prime C^* -algebra and β an action of a discrete group H on A such that β_t is properly outer for each $t \in H \setminus \{0\}$. Let $A \times_{\beta} H$ be the reduced crossed product of A by β . Then for any non-zero $x, y \in A \times_{\beta} H$ it follows that $xAy \neq (0)$.

Proof. There is a faithful conditional expectation Φ of $A \times_{\beta} H$ onto A such that $\Phi(a) = a$ for $a \in A$, and $\Phi(a \lambda(s)) = 0$ for $a \in A, s \in H \setminus \{0\}$. Let $x = \sum x(s) \lambda(s), y = \sum y(s) \lambda(s)$ be positive elements of $A \times_{\beta} H$ such that the summations are finite. We shall show that

$$\sup \{ \|xay\| : a \in A_1 \} \geq \|\Phi(x)\| \|\Phi(y)\|. \tag{*}$$

Since those elements x, y are dense in the positive part of $A \times_{\beta} H$, this is enough to conclude that (*) holds for any positive $x, y \in A \times_{\beta} H$. From this we get the conclusion.

To prove (*) we proceed as in [5]. First for any $\epsilon > 0$ one finds positive $e, f \in A$ such that $\|e\| = 1 = \|f\|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|exe - ex(0)e\| < \epsilon, \quad \|ex(0)e\| > (1 - \epsilon)\|x(0)\|, \\ \|fyf - fy(0)f\| < \epsilon, \quad \|fy(0)f\| > (1 - \epsilon)\|y(0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then one finds a $b \in A$ such that $\|b\| = 1$, and

$$\|ex(0)ebfy(0)f\| > (1 - \epsilon)\|ex(0)e\| \|fy(0)f\|.$$

Thus one obtains that for $a = ebf \in A$,

$$\|xay\| \geq \|exebfyf\| > (1 - \epsilon)^3 \|x(0)\| \|y(0)\| - 2\epsilon.$$

Since $\Phi(x) = x(0)$ etc., this concludes the proof.

3.5. Theorem. Let A be a separable prime C*-algebra and α a faithful continuous action of a (separable) compact abelian group G on A . Let H be an arbitrary closed subgroup of G . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. A^G is prime and there exists a G -invariant pure state f of A such that π_f is faithful.
2. A^H is prime and there exists an H -invariant pure state φ of A such that π_{φ} is faithful and $\rho_{\varphi}(A)'' \cap \rho_{\varphi}(A)' = \{p : p \in H^+\}'' \otimes 1$, where $A^H = A^{\alpha|_H}(0)$ etc. and ρ_{φ} is defined as in 3.3.

Proof. Suppose (1). By using the state f in (1) one can define a representation of $A \times_{\alpha} G$ by extending π_f on the same space \mathcal{H}_f . Hence it follows from 3.1 that $\Gamma_1(\hat{\alpha}) = G$ for the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ on $A \times_{\alpha} G$. In the same way for the dual action $\hat{\beta}$ on $A \times_{\beta} H$ with $\beta = \alpha|_H$ it follows that $\Gamma_1(\hat{\beta}) = H$, or rather more: For any $x \in A \times_{\beta} H$ and any non-empty open subset U of H ,

$$\sup \{\|x(a + a^*)x^*\| : a \in (A \times_{\beta} H)^{\hat{\beta}}(U)_1\} = 2\|x\|^2.$$

On the other hand one can conclude as in the proof of 3.3 that for any $x \in A \times_{\beta} H$ and any neighbourhood U of $p \in H^+$,

$$\sup \{\|x(a + a^*)x^*\| : a \in A^{\alpha}(U)_1\} = 2\|x\|^2.$$

Using these two conditions we proceed in exactly the same way as in 3.3 to obtain a pure state f of $A \times_{\beta} H$ such that π_f is faithful, $\pi_f(A)'' = \pi_f(A \times_{\beta} H)''$,

and with $\mathcal{M}=\pi_f(A)''$ for the system (A, G, α) , $\mathcal{M}(p)\ni 1$ if, and only if $p\in H^+$. Since $\pi_f|_A$ is β -covariant there is a unit vector in \mathcal{H}_f which defines a β -invariant state φ of A . Since $\pi_f|_A=\pi_\varphi$, φ has the desired properties.

Suppose (2). It follows from [1] that α_s is properly outer for each $s\in H\setminus\{0\}$. If $s\in H$, then α_s induces an automorphism of $\rho_\varphi(A)''$ (with φ in (2)) which is non-trivial on the center, and so it is properly outer. Thus α_s is properly outer for any $s\in G\setminus\{0\}$. Now we shall show that A^ω is prime, concluding the proof by [1].

We restrict π_φ to $B=A^H$, which we denote by π and consider the action β of G/H on B induced by α . Let $\mathcal{M}=\pi(B)''$ for $(B, G/H, \beta)$. Then (2) implies that $\mathcal{M}(p)\ni 1$ for $p\in(G/H)^\wedge=H^+$.

Let u be the unitary representation of H on \mathcal{H}_φ defined by $u_s\pi_\varphi(x)\Omega_\varphi=\pi_\varphi\circ\alpha_s(x)\Omega_\varphi$, $x\in A$, and let E_p be the spectral projection of u corresponding to the character $p\in\hat{H}$. Then since $\pi_\varphi(B)''=\{E_p: p\in\hat{H}\}'$, $\pi_p=\pi|_{E_p}\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is an irreducible representation of B for any $p\in\hat{H}$. Since the condition that $\mathcal{M}(p)\ni 1$ for $p\in H^+$ is inherited by π_p , $p\in\hat{H}$, it follows from 1.3 that $\pi_p(B)''=\pi_p(B^\beta)''$. Thus the family $\{\pi_p: p\in\hat{H}\}$ of irreducible representations of B satisfies that $\pi_p(B^\beta)''=\pi_p(B)''$ and $\bigoplus_{p\in\hat{H}}\pi_p$ is faithful. From this it follows that $xB^\beta y\neq(0)$ for any non-zero $x, y\in B$ since B is prime. Thus in particular $B^\beta=A^G$ is prime.

References

- [1] Bratteli, O., Evans, D., Elliott, G.A. and Kishimoto, A., Quasi-product actions of a compact abelian group on a C^* -algebra preprint.
- [2] Bratteli, O. and Kishimoto, A., Derivations and free group actions on C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **15** (1986), 377-410.
- [3] Bratteli, O., Kishimoto, A. and Robinson, D.W., Embedding product type actions into C^* -dynamical systems, *J. Functional Analysis*, to appear.
- [4] Connes, A., Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors, *Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **8** (1975), 384-420.
- [5] Kishimoto, A., Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple C^* -algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81** (1981), 429-435.
- [6] ——— One-parameter automorphism groups of C^* -algebras, *Geometric methods in operator algebras*, H. Araki and E.G. Effros, ed., Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 123 (1986).
- [7] Kishimoto, A. and Robinson, D.W., Dissipations, derivations, dynamical systems, and asymptotic abelianness, *J. Operator Theory* **14** (1985), 237-253.
- [8] Pedersen, G.K., *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco (1979).
- [9] Takesaki, M., *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin (1979).