

Hodge Spectral Sequence and Symmetry on Compact Kähler Spaces

By

Takeo OHSAWA*

Introduction

For every complex manifold M , there exists a canonical spectral sequence which abuts to the de Rham cohomology of M . It consists of the set of C^∞ differential forms on M , and the complex exterior derivatives $\bar{\partial}$ and ∂ of type $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$, respectively, and its E_1 -term is defined to be $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}/\text{Im } \bar{\partial}$. This will be referred to as the Hodge spectral sequence on M , after the celebrated result of W. Hodge [4].

Hodge's theorem states that the Hodge spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 and that $E_1^{p,q}(M) \cong E_1^{q,p}(M)$ if M is a compact Kähler manifold. Here $E_1^{p,q}(M)$ denotes the (p, q) -component of the E_1 -term.

The purpose of the present note is to study an analogue of Hodge spectral sequences on compact complex spaces within the spirit of the previous note [7], where we considered the spaces which admit only isolated singularities.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a compact Kähler space of pure dimension and let Y be an analytic subset of X containing the singular locus of X . Then, the Hodge spectral sequence on $X \setminus Y$ degenerates for the total degrees less than $\text{codim } Y - 1$ at the E_1 -term. Moreover, $E_1^{p,q}(X \setminus Y) \cong E_1^{q,p}(X \setminus Y)$ for $p + q < \text{codim } Y - 1$.*

In order to understand the symmetry $E_1^{p,q}(X \setminus Y) \cong E_1^{q,p}(X \setminus Y)$, we shall also prove the following.

Received December 24, 1986.

* Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606 Japan.

Theorem 2 *Let X and Y be as above, and let (E, h) be a flat Hermitian vector bundle over $X \setminus Y$. Then, $H^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E) \cong H^{a,p}(X \setminus Y, E^*)$, for $p+q < \text{codim } Y - 1$. Here $H^{p,q}$ denotes the cohomology of type (p, q) in the sense of Dolbeault and E^* denotes the dual bundle of E .*

For the proof of the above mentioned results, an L^2 -version of Andreotti-Grauert's vanishing theorem on q -complete spaces is necessary which is to be proved in §2 by using a new L^2 -estimate obtained in [8].

The author expresses his hearty thanks to Professors H. Flenner and S. Tsuyumine for stimulating discussions during the author's stay at Mathematisches Institut of the University of Göttingen.

§ 1. Preliminaries

Definition A (reduced) complex space X together with the following data $\{U_j, \varphi_j\}_{j \in A}$ is called a Kähler space.

- 1) A is a set of indices.
- 2) $\{U_j\}_{j \in A}$ is an open covering of X .
- 3) φ_j is a C^∞ strictly plurisubharmonic function on U_j .
- 4) $\varphi_j - \varphi_k$ is pluriharmonic on $U_j \cap U_k$.

Given a Kähler space X , one attaches a Kähler metric on the complement of the singular locus by $\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_j$, which is globally well defined by condition 4).

Let X be a compact Kähler space of pure dimension n with singular locus Z , and let Y be an analytic subset of X containing Z . We shall denote by ds^2 the prescribed Kähler metric on $X \setminus Z$.

Let $\{Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^m$ be a partition of Y into subsets satisfying the following properties.

- i) \bar{Y}_α are pure dimensional analytic subsets of Y .
- ii) $Y_{\alpha+1} \subset \bar{Y}_\alpha$.
- iii) $\dim \bar{Y}_\alpha = m - \alpha$.
- iv) The reduced structures of Y_α are regular.

Such a partition (a stratification of Y) always exists, since the singular loci of complex analytic spaces are analytic subsets.

As a complex manifold Y_α has a holomorphic coordinate patch. In other words, for each point $y \in Y_\alpha$ one can find a Stein open neighbourhood U in Y_α and a biholomorphic map from U onto a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{m-\alpha}$. Since every holomorphic function on U is holomorphically extendable to a neighbourhood of U in X , it follows that U is a holomorphic neighbourhood retract in X . Therefore, Y_α can be covered by Stein open subsets, each of which has a Stein neighbourhood, say V , with a holomorphic embedding into a domain of some complex number space \mathbb{C}^N such that the image of $V \cap Y_\alpha$ is contained in a linear subspace of dimension $m-\alpha$. Identifying V as a subspace of \mathbb{C}^N , one sees that the restrictions of linear functions vanishing on $V \cap Y_\alpha$, say $z_1, \dots, z_{N-m+\alpha}$, generate the ideal of holomorphic functions vanishing on $V \cap Y_\alpha$ in the ring of holomorphic functions on V . One associates to V a possibly smaller Stein open set

$$W := \left\{ x \in V; \sum_{\nu=1}^{N-m+\alpha} |z_\nu(x)|^2 < \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

and define a plurisubharmonic function ϕ_W on W by

$$\phi_W(x) := -\ln(-\ln\|z'(x)\|^2).$$

Here we put $z' := (z_1, \dots, z_{N-m+\alpha})$ and $\|z'(x)\|^2 := \sum_{\nu=1}^{N-m+\alpha} |z_\nu(x)|^2$.

Suppose that a point y in Y_α belongs to the polar sets of two such functions ϕ_W and $\phi_{W'}$ (i.e. $\phi_W(y) = \phi_{W'}(y) = -\infty$). Then, there exists a neighbourhood $\Omega \ni y$ and a constant C such that

$$(1) \quad |\exp(-\phi_W) - \exp(-\phi_{W'})| < C \quad \text{on } \Omega \setminus Y.$$

In fact, this follows from that z_i are generators of the ideal sheaf of $V \cap Y_\alpha$.

Now let \mathcal{I}_α be the ideal sheaf of \bar{Y}_α in the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of X . Then, for each point $y \in \bar{Y}_\alpha$ there exists a neighbourhood U_y in X and finitely many holomorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_m ($m = m(y)$) which generate the stalks of \mathcal{I}_α at every point of U_y , (cf. [3]). Then we put $W_y := \left\{ x \in U_y; \sum_{j=1}^m |f_j|^2 < \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ and $\phi_y := -\ln(-\ln\|f\|^2)$, where $\|f\|^2 := \sum_{j=1}^m |f_j|^2$.

Let $\{W_k\}$ be a finite system of such Stein open subsets of X whose union contains \bar{Y}_α , where we put $W_k = W_{y_k}$, and let ϕ_k be the associated plurisubharmonic functions on W_k defined as above. Such a system $\{W_k, \phi_k\}$ shall be referred to as a *polarized cover along \bar{Y}_α* . Suppose that $y \in W_k \cap W_l$. Then, by the same reasoning as above, one sees that there exists a neighbourhood $\Omega \ni y$ and a constant C such that

$$(1') \quad |\exp(-\phi_k) - \exp(-\phi_l)| < C \quad \text{on } \Omega \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha.$$

Let $\{W_k, \phi_k\}$ be a polarized cover along \bar{Y}_α and let $\{\rho_k, \rho\}$ be a C^∞ partition of unity associated to the covering $\{W_k, X \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha\}$ of X such that $\rho_k \geq 0$. Namely, ρ_k is a system of nonnegative C^∞ functions on X such that $\text{supp } \rho_k \subset W_k$ and $\sum \rho_k \equiv 1$ on a neighbourhood of \bar{Y}_α , say W_α , and $\rho := 1 - \sum \rho_k$.

We put $\phi_\alpha := \sum \rho_k \phi_k$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_\alpha &= \sum \partial \rho_k \bar{\partial} \phi_k + \sum \partial \phi_k \bar{\partial} \rho_k + \sum \phi_k \partial \bar{\partial} \rho_k + \sum \rho_k \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_k \\ &= \sum \partial \rho_k \bar{\partial} \phi_k - \sum (\partial \sum \rho_l) \bar{\partial} \phi_k + \sum \partial \phi_k \bar{\partial} \rho_k - \sum \partial \phi_k (\bar{\partial} \sum \rho_l) \\ &\quad + \sum \phi_k \partial \bar{\partial} \rho_k - \sum \phi_k (\partial \bar{\partial} \sum \rho_l) + \sum \rho_k \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_k \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \partial \rho_k (\bar{\partial} \phi_k - \bar{\partial} \phi_l) + \sum_{k,l} (\partial \phi_k - \partial \phi_l) \bar{\partial} \rho_k + \sum_{k,l} (\phi_k - \phi_l) \partial \bar{\partial} \rho_k \\ &\quad + \sum \rho_k \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_k, \end{aligned}$$

on $W_\alpha \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha$.

We are going to estimate the eigenvalues of $\partial \bar{\partial} \phi_\alpha$.

Once for all, let $|\cdot|_k$ denote the length of the differential forms measured by $ds^2 + \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_k$. Then we have $|\partial \phi_k|_k \leq \sqrt{2}$, since $\phi_k = -\ln(-\ln \|f_k\|^2)$ for some vector f_k of holomorphic functions and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_k &= \frac{-\partial \bar{\partial} \ln \|f_k\|^2}{\ln \|f_k\|^2} + \frac{\partial \ln \|f_k\|^2 \bar{\partial} \ln \|f_k\|^2}{(\ln \|f_k\|^2)^2} \\ &\geq \partial \phi_k \bar{\partial} \phi_k. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K_{kl} \subset W_k \cap W_l$ be any compact subset. Then,

$$(3) \quad C_{kl}^{-1} (ds^2 + \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_k) \leq ds^2 + \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_l \leq C_{kl} (ds^2 + \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_k)$$

on $K_{kl} \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha$, where C_{kl} is a constant depending on K_{kl} . In particular we have

$$(4) \quad |\partial \phi_k|_l \leq \sqrt{2C_{kl}} \quad \text{on } K_{kl} \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha.$$

Proof of (3): We put $f_k = (a_1, \dots, a_{m_k})$.

Then

$$(5) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_k = \frac{\sum_{\mu < \nu} (a_\mu \partial a_\nu - a_\nu \partial a_\mu) \overline{(a_\mu \partial a_\nu - a_\nu \partial a_\mu)}}{(-\ln\|f_k\|^2)^2 \|f_k\|^4} + \frac{(\sum_\mu \bar{a}_\mu \partial a_\mu) (\sum_\nu a_\nu \bar{\partial} \bar{a}_\nu)}{(\ln\|f_k\|^2)^2 \|f_k\|^4}.$$

Let $\phi_i = -\ln(-\ln\|f_i\|^2)$ and $f_i = (b_1, \dots, b_{m_i})$. Then

$$(6) \quad a_\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} u_{\mu j} b_j, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq m_k$$

for some holomorphic functions $u_{\mu j}$ on $W_k \cap W_i$.

Substituting (6) into (5) and applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality etc., we have

$$(7) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_k \geq C'_{kl} \frac{\sum_{i < j} (b_i \partial b_j - b_j \partial b_i) \overline{(b_i \partial b_j - b_j \partial b_i)}}{(-\ln\|f_k\|^2) \|f_k\|^4} + \frac{(\sum_\mu \sum_{i,j} u_{\mu i} \bar{u}_{\mu j} \bar{b}_j \partial b_i) (\sum_\mu \sum_{i,j} \bar{u}_{\mu i} u_{\mu j} b_j \partial \bar{b}_i)}{(\ln\|f_k\|^2)^2 \|f_k\|^4} + O_{kl},$$

on $K_{kl} \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha$ for some constant C'_{kl} . Here O_{kl} has bounded length with respect to ds^2 .

Note that $(\sum_{i=1}^m |\xi_i|^2) (\sum_{j=1}^m |\eta_j|^2) = \sum_{i < j} |\xi_i \eta_j - \xi_j \eta_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i |\xi_i|^2$, for any complex numbers ξ_i and η_j , $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ (Lagrange's equality). Applying this equality to (7), we have

$$(8) \quad \frac{(\sum_\mu \sum_{i,j} u_{\mu i} \bar{u}_{\mu j} \bar{b}_j \partial b_i) (\sum_\mu \sum_{i,j} \bar{u}_{\mu i} u_{\mu j} b_j \partial \bar{b}_i)}{(\ln\|f_k\|^2)^2 \|f_k\|^4} \leq C \frac{\sum_{i,j} (b_i \partial b_j - b_j \partial b_i) \overline{(b_i \partial b_j - b_j \partial b_i)} + (\sum_i \bar{b}_i \partial b_i) (\sum_j b_j \partial \bar{b}_j)}{(\ln\|f_k\|^2)^2 \|f_k\|^4},$$

on $K_{kl} \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha$, for some constant C .

Since we have chosen W_k so that $\ln\|f_k\|^2 < -\ln 2$ on W_k , we have

$$(9) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_k \leq C' \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i + O'_{kl} \quad \text{on } K_{kl} \setminus \bar{Y}_\alpha,$$

where C' is a constant and O'_{kl} is bounded with respect to ds^2 . (3) follows from (9) immediately.

From (1'), (2), (3) and (4), we obtain

$$(10) \quad -A_\alpha ds^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum \rho_k \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_k \leq \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha,$$

for sufficiently large $A_\alpha \geq 1$.

Thus we know that $Ads^2 + \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha$ is a metric on $X \setminus Y$ for any $A > A_\alpha$. Furthermore, let $\lambda_1^A \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n^A$ be the eigenvalues of $\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha$ with respect to the metric $Ads^2 + \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha$ ($A > A_\alpha$). Then, from (10) one immediately sees that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $A > A_\alpha$ such that $\lambda_j^A > -\varepsilon$ for $n - \alpha < j$ on $X \setminus Y$. Moreover, (10) implies that at least $n - \alpha$ eigenvalues of $\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha$ with respect to ds^2 tend to $+\infty$ as one approaches to a point in Y_α (see (5) and recall Courant's mini-max principle). Hence, for any point $y \in Y_\alpha$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can choose a neighbourhood $\Omega \ni y$ in X so that $1 - \varepsilon < \lambda_j^A < 1 + \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - \alpha$ on $\Omega \setminus Y$.

Note that (4) implies $\partial\phi_\alpha \bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha < C(Ads^2 + \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\alpha)$ for some $C > 0$.

For any positive number u we put $\phi_u := u \sum_{\alpha=0}^m \phi_\alpha$ and $ds_{A,u}^2 := Ads^2 + \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_u$. Then, $ds_{A,u}^2$ is a complete Kähler metric on $X \setminus Y$ whenever $A > u \sum_{\alpha=0}^m A_\alpha$.

Now we have the following.

Proposition 1.1 *Let (X, ds^2) be a compact Kähler space of pure dimension n and Y an analytic subset containing the singular locus of X . Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a complete Kähler metric ds_Y^2 on $X \setminus Y$, a proper C^∞ map $\phi : X \setminus Y \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$ and a neighbourhood $W' \supset Y$ such that,*

(*) $|\partial\phi|_Y^2 < \varepsilon,$

(**) $|\partial\bar{\partial}\phi|_Y < 2n,$

(***) *The eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ of $\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ with respect to ds_Y^2 satisfy*
 $1 - \varepsilon < \lambda_j < 1 + \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq j \leq \text{codim } Y$ on $W \setminus Y$,
 $-\varepsilon < \lambda_j$ for $j > \text{codim } Y$ on $X \setminus Y$.

Here $|\cdot|_Y$ denotes the length with respect to the metric ds_Y^2 .

Proof Let $A \gg 0$, $u \ll \frac{1}{A}$, and put $\phi = \phi_u$, $ds_Y^2 = ds_{A,u}^2$.

§2. Vanishing of the Local L^2 -Cohomology

Let (M, ds^2) be a Hermitian manifold of dimension n , and let (E, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over M . For any C^∞ $(1, 1)$ -form $G = i \sum G_{\alpha\beta} dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_\beta$ with $G_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{G}_{\beta\alpha}$ on M , we define real-valued functions $\Gamma_{p,q}[G]$ by

$$\Gamma_{p,q}[G](x) := \min \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \lambda_{i_\alpha}(x) + \sum_{\beta=1}^q \lambda_{j_\beta}(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k(x) \right.;$$

$$\lambda_k(x) \text{ (} 1 \leq k \leq n \text{) are the eigenvalues of } G \text{ at } x,$$

$$1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_q \leq n \left. \right\}.$$

In terms of $\Gamma_{p,q}$ we shall state a sufficient condition for an a priori estimate for the operator $\bar{\partial}$. The L^2 -norm for E -valued forms will be denoted by $\| \cdot \|_h$.

Let ω be the fundamental form of ds^2 and A the adjoint of the multiplication $u \rightarrow \omega \wedge u$. We denote by $\bar{\partial}_h^*$ the (L^2-) adjoint of the operator $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to the metrics ds^2 and h . The operator $\partial^* := -\bar{*} \partial \bar{*}$ ($\bar{*}$: the conjugate after the Hodge's star) acts on E -valued forms and we denote by ∂_h the adjoint of ∂^* with respect to ds^2 and h . Then we have $[\bar{\partial}, A] = i\partial^* + T_1$ and $[\partial_h, A] = -i\bar{\partial}_h^* + T_2$, where $[\ , \]$ denotes the Poisson bracket and T_j ($j=1, 2$) contain no differentiation (i.e. T_j are function-linear).

Let $\langle T_j \rangle$ denote the (L^2-) operator norms of T_j . Then, from the explicit expression of the operator $T_1 + T_2$ in terms of $d\omega$ and other elementary operators like $\bar{*}$, A , etc. (cf. [5] appendix), we see that there exists a positive number β_n depending only on n such that $3\langle T_1 \rangle^2 + \langle T_2 \rangle^2 \leq \beta_n |d\omega|^2$. In what follows we fix such β_n .

Proposition 2.1 *Let F_1 be a C^∞ real-valued function on M and $h_1 := h \exp(-F_1)$. Let Θ be the curvature form of h . Suppose that there exists a C^∞ real-valued function F satisfying*

$$(11) \quad \Gamma_{p,q}[\partial \bar{\partial}(F + F_1)] \geq n|\Theta| + \beta_n |d\omega|^2 + 3|\partial F|^2 + \varepsilon$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\|\bar{\partial}u\|_{h_1}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_h^*u\|_{h_1}^2 \geq \varepsilon \|u\|_{h_1}^2,$$

for any compactly supported E -valued $C^\infty(p, q)$ -form u on M .

For the proof, see [8], Corollary 1.7.

Definition A Hermitian vector bundle (E, h) is said to be flat, if the operator $(\bar{\partial} + \partial_h) \circ (\bar{\partial} + \partial_h)$ is identically zero.

By the above definition, (E, h) is flat if and only if $\Theta \equiv 0$.

In §1 we have constructed a metric ds^2 and a function ϕ satisfying several properties, from which we shall produce the functions F_1 and F as above. In particular, for flat vector bundles we have the following.

Proposition 2.2 *Let (N, ds_N^2) be a Kähler manifold of dimension n and let (E, h) be a flat Hermitian vector bundle over N . Suppose that there exist a positive integer r and a C^∞ real-valued function ϕ on N such that*

- (i) $|\partial\phi|^2 < 1/12.$
- (ii) $|\partial\bar{\partial}\phi| < 2n.$
- (iii) *The eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ of $\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$ satisfy*

$$1 - \frac{1}{4n} < \lambda_j < 1 + \frac{1}{4n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq r$$

$$-\frac{1}{4n} < \lambda_j \quad \text{for } r < j.$$

Then, for any $A > 2^{16}\beta_n^2 n^4$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the inequality (11) is satisfied by $M = \{x \in N; \phi(x) < c\}$, $ds^2 = (A(c - \phi)^{-2} + 1) ds_N^2 + 2A(c - \phi)^{-3} \partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi$, $F = \phi$, $F_1 = A(c - \phi)^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon = 1/8$, for $p + q > 2n - r$.

Proof Let $|\cdot|_A$ denote the length of the forms with respect to the metric ds^2 . Let ω_N and ω be the fundamental forms of ds_N^2 and ds^2 , respectively. Then, $d\omega = A(c - \phi)^{-3} d\phi \wedge (2\omega_N - i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)$. We estimate $|d\omega|_A$ as follows.

First, from the definition of ds^2 , $|d\phi|_A < 2A^{-1/2}(c - \phi)^{3/2}$ and $|\omega_N|_A < 2n(A(c - \phi)^{-2} + 1)^{-1}$. Secondly, from (ii), $|\partial\bar{\partial}\phi|_A < 2n(A(c - \phi)^{-2} + 1)^{-1}$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |d\omega|_A &\leq A(c - \phi)^{-3} |d\phi|_A (2|\omega_N|_A + |\partial\bar{\partial}\phi|_A) \\ &< 6nA^{1/2}(c - \phi)^{-3/2} (A(c - \phi)^{-2} + 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|d\omega|_A < 6nA^{1/2}(c - \phi)^{-3/2} < 6nA^{-1/4} \quad \text{if } A < (c - \phi)^2,$$

and

$$|d\omega|_A < 6nA^{-1/2}(c - \phi)^{1/2} \leq 6nA^{-1/4} \quad \text{if } A \geq (c - \phi)^2.$$

Thus, $\beta_n |d\omega|_A^2 \leq 36\beta_n n^2 A^{-1/2}$, so that

$$(12) \quad \beta_n |d\omega|_A^2 < \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{if } A > 2^{16} \beta_n^2 n^4.$$

To estimate the left hand side of the inequality, let $x \in M$ be any point and let L be the subspace of the complex tangent space of M at x spanned by the eigenvectors corresponding to $\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_r(x)$. Then, for any vector $v \in L$, one has, for $F = \phi$ and $F_1 = A(c - \phi)^{-1}$,

$$(13) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{4n} < \frac{\langle \partial \bar{\partial}(F + F_1), v, \bar{v} \rangle}{|v|_A^2} < 1 + \frac{1}{4n},$$

from (iii). Here $|v|_A$ denotes the length of v with respect to ds^2 . Similarly, for any unit tangent vector w at x ,

$$(14) \quad \langle \partial \bar{\partial}(F + F_1), w, \bar{w} \rangle > -\frac{1}{4n}.$$

Combining (13) and (14), we have

$$(15) \quad \Gamma_{p,q}(\partial \bar{\partial}(F + F_1)) > \frac{3}{4}, \quad \text{if } p + q > 2n - r.$$

From (i) we have

$$(16) \quad 3 |\partial F|_A^2 < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Combining (12), (15) and (16), we obtain the desired inequality for the flat bundle (E, h) .

Applying Proposition 2.2 to the Kähler manifold $(W \setminus Y, ds_W^2)$ described in Proposition 1.1, we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.3 *Let (X, ds^2) be a compact Kähler space of pure dimension n and Y an analytic subset containing the singular locus of X . Then, there exists a C^∞ proper map $\phi: X \setminus Y \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (c ; arbitrarily small) such that, for any compactly supported $C^\infty(p, q)$ -form u on $W := \{x \in X \setminus Y; \phi(x) < c\}$ with values in a flat vector bundle (E, h) over $X \setminus Y$, the estimate*

$$\|\bar{\partial}u\|_{h_W}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_{h_W}^* u\|_{h_W}^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{h_W}^2$$

holds for $p + q > 2n - \text{codim } Y$ with respect to the metrics

$$ds_W^2 = (A(c - \phi)^{-2} + 1) ds_Y^2 + 2A(c - \phi)^{-3} \partial \phi \bar{\partial} \phi$$

and $h_w = h \exp(-A(c-\phi)^{-1})$, where $A > 2^{16} \beta_n^2 n^4$ and ds_Y^2 is some (i.e. not arbitrary) complete Kähler metric on $X \setminus Y$.

Since the above (W, ds_W^2) is a complete Hermitian manifold, Proposition 2.3 implies that the Hermitian bundle $(E|_W, h_w)$ is $W^{p,q}$ -elliptic in the sense of Andreotti-Vesentini [2], if $p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y$.

Thus, in virtue of Andreotti-Vesentini's theorem, we have the following corollary to Proposition 2.3.

Corollary 2.4 *Under the above situation, let f be any E -valued (p, q) -form on W which is square integrable with respect to ds_W^2 and h_w and $\bar{\partial}f=0$ in the sense of distribution. If $p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y$, then there exists an E -valued $(p, q-1)$ -form g on W , square integrable with respect to ds_W^2 and h_w such that $\bar{\partial}g=f$ and $\|g\|_{h_w} \leq 2\|f\|_{h_w}$.*

§ 3. L^2 Cohomology and Harmonic Forms

Let (M, ds_M^2) be a Hermitian manifold of dimension n , and let (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle over M . We denote by $L^{p,q}(M, E)_h$ the set of square integrable E -valued (p, q) -forms on M with respect to ds_M^2 and h , and put

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}^{p,q}(M, E)_h := \{f \in L^{p,q}(M, E)_h; \bar{\partial}f=0\} / \{g \in L^{p,q}(M, E)_h; \exists u \in L^{p,q-1}(M, E)_h \text{ such that } g = \bar{\partial}u\}.$$

Here the derivatives are taken in the distribution sense.

Let $L_{loc}^{p,q}(M, E)$ be the set of locally square integrable E -valued (p, q) -forms on M . We put

$$H^{p,q}(M, E) := \{f \in L_{loc}^{p,q}(M, E); \bar{\partial}f=0\} / \{g \in L_{loc}^{p,q}(M, E); \exists u \in L_{loc}^{p,q-1}(M, E) \text{ such that } \bar{\partial}u=g\}.$$

Since the L^2 -version of Dolbeault's Lemma is valid (cf. [6] or [9]), $H^{p,q}(M, E)$ is canonically isomorphic to the E -valued Dolbeault cohomology of type (p, q) .

We put $\square_h := \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}_h^* + \bar{\partial}_h^*\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\square}_h := \partial_h\partial^* + \partial^*\partial_h$. Clearly, $\bar{\square}_h = *^{-1}\square_h*$.

We put $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(E)_h := \{f \in L^{p,q}(M, E)_h; \square_h f=0\}$.

If the metric ds_M^2 is Kählerian, one has $[\partial_h, A] = -i\bar{\partial}_h^*$ and $[\bar{\partial}, A] = i\partial^*$. Hence $[i(\bar{\partial} + \partial_h)(\bar{\partial} + \partial_h), A] = \bar{\partial} \cdot i[\partial_h, A] + i[\partial_h, A]\bar{\partial} + \partial_h \cdot i[\bar{\partial}, A] +$

$i[\bar{\partial}, A]\partial_h = \square_h - \overline{\square}_h$. If the bundle (E, h) is flat, then we have $\square_h = \overline{\square}_h$. Thus we obtain

Lemma 3.1 *Let (M, ds_M^2) be a Kähler manifold and (E, h) a flat Hermitian vector bundle over M . Then, $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(E)_h \cong \mathcal{H}^{n-q, n-p}(E)_h$. Here the isomorphism is given by $f \mapsto *f$.*

Identifying h as a C^∞ section of $\text{Hom}(E, \bar{E}^*)$, we have $\partial_h = h^{-1} \circ \partial \circ h$. Therefore we obtain

Lemma 3.2 *Under the situation of Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(E)_h \cong \mathcal{H}^{q,p}(E^*)_{h^*}$. Here the isomorphism is given by $f \mapsto \bar{h}f$. ($h^* := {}^t h^{-1}$).*

The following is fundamental.

Proposition 3.3 *Let (M, ds_M^2) be a complete Hermitian manifold and (E, h) a Hermitian vector bundle over M . Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(E)_h = \{f \in L^{p,q}(M, E)_h; \bar{\partial}f = 0, \bar{\partial}_h^* f = 0\}.$$

Proof. See Andreotti–Vesentini [2].

Thus, if the metric ds_M^2 is complete, then we have an orthogonal decomposition:

$$L^{p,q}(M, E)_h = \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(E)_h \oplus \overline{R_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(E)} \oplus \overline{R_{\bar{\partial}_h^*}^{p,q}(E)}.$$

Here $R_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(E)$ (resp. $R_{\bar{\partial}_h^*}^{p,q}(E)$) denotes the range of $\bar{\partial}$ (resp. $\bar{\partial}_h^*$), and $\overline{R_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(E)}$ (resp. $\overline{R_{\bar{\partial}_h^*}^{p,q}(E)}$) its closure.

From the above decomposition we obtain

$$(17) \quad H_{(2)}^{p,q}(M, E)_h \cong \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(E)_h,$$

if $R_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(E)$ is closed (for instance it is the case when $H_{(2)}^{p,q}(M, E)_h$ is finite dimensional).

Combining Lemma 3.2 with (17), we have

Proposition 3.4 *Let (M, ds_M^2) be a complete Kähler manifold and (E, h) a flat Hermitian vector bundle over M . Suppose that $\dim H_{(2)}^{p,q}(M, E)_h < \infty$ and $\dim H_{(2)}^{p,q}(M, E^*)_{h^*} < \infty$. Then $H_{(2)}^{p,q}(M, E)_h \cong H_{(2)}^{q,p}(M, E^*)_{h^*}$.*

§ 4. Proof of Theorems

First we shall prove Theorem 2.

Let $X, Y, (E, h)$, etc. be as in Proposition 2.3. We shall show that the natural homomorphism $\tau: H_0^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E) \rightarrow H_{(2)}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E)_h$ is isomorphism if $p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y + 1$. Here $H_0^{p,q}$ denotes the cohomology with compact support and the L^2 cohomology $H_{(2)}^{p,q}$ is with respect to ds_Y^2 .

Surjectivity: Let $[u] \in H_{(2)}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E)_h$, where $u \in L^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E)_h$ and $\bar{\partial}u = 0$. Clearly, $u|_W$ is square integrable, for any choice of W (or c), with respect to ds_W^2 and h_W . Hence, by Corollary 2.4, one can find a $v \in L_{loc}^{p,q-1}(W, E)$, square integrable with respect to ds_W^2 and h_W , such that $\bar{\partial}v = u$. Since ds_W^2 is quasi-isometric to ds_Y^2 on a neighbourhood of Y , it follows immediately that u is represented by a compactly supported form, which completes the proof of the surjectivity.

Injectivity: Let $[w] \in H_0^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E)$. If $\tau([w]) = 0$, then there exists an $f \in L^{p,q-1}(X \setminus Y, E)_h$ such that $\bar{\partial}f = w$. Since the support of w is compact, $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ near Y . Hence, applying Corollary 2.4, one can find a neighbourhood $W' \supset Y$ and an E -valued $(p, q-1)$ -form g on $W' \setminus Y$ such that $\bar{\partial}g = f$ on $W' \setminus Y$, whence follows that $[w] = 0$.

In virtue of Andreotti-Grauert's finiteness theorem (cf. [1]), $\dim H^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E) < \infty$ for $p+q < \text{codim } Y - 1$. Hence, by Serre-Malgrange's duality

$$(18) \quad \dim H_0^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E^*) < \infty, \text{ for } p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y + 1.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(19) \quad \dim H_0^{q,p}(X \setminus Y, E) < \infty, \text{ for } p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y + 1.$$

In view of the above isomorphism, we obtain the finite dimensionality of $H_{(2)}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E)_h$ and $H_{(2)}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E^*)_{h^*}$ for $p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y + 1$. Thus, by Proposition 3.4, we have $H_{(2)}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E)_h \cong H_{(2)}^{q,p}(X \setminus Y, E^*)_{h^*}$ for $p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y + 1$, so that $H_0^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E) \cong H_0^{q,p}(X \setminus Y, E^*)$ for $p+q > 2n - \text{codim } Y + 1$.

Hence, by the duality again we obtain

$$H^{p,q}(X \setminus Y, E) \cong H^{q,p}(X \setminus Y, E^*), \text{ for } p+q < \text{codim } Y - 1,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 1 $E_1^{p,q}(X \setminus Y) = E_{\infty}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y)$ if every cohomology

class in $H^{p,q}(X \setminus Y)$ and $H^{p-1,q}(X \setminus Y)$ is represented by a d -closed form. This can be shown for $p+q < \text{codim } Y - 1$ as follows.

First, taking the dual of the isomorphism $\tau: H_0^{p,q}(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow H_{(2)}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y)$ we have $H_{(2)}^{p,q}(X \setminus Y) \cong H^{p,q}(X \setminus Y)$ for $p+q < \text{codim } Y - 1$. (For the trivial bundle, (E, h) is not referred to.)

Therefore, from (17) $H^{p,q}(X \setminus Y) \cong \mathcal{H}^{p,q}$ for $p+q < \text{codim } Y - 1$.

Since by the equality $\square = \bar{\square}$ combined with Proposition 3.3, every form in $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}$ is d -closed, the assertion is proved.

That $E_1^{p,q}(X \setminus Y) \cong E_1^{q,p}(X \setminus Y)$ for $p+q < \text{codim } Y - 1$ is a corollary of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Andreotti, A. and Grauert, H., Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espace complexes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **90** (1962), 193-259.
- [2] Andreotti, A. and Vesentini, E., Carleman estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami equation on complex manifolds, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, **25** (1965), 81-130.
- [3] Hörmander, L., *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, North-Holland Co. Ltd., 1973.
- [4] Hodge, W., *The theory and application of harmonic integrals*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1952.
- [5] Ohsawa, T., Isomorphism theorems for cohomology groups on weakly 1-complete manifolds, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **18** (1982), 191-232.
- [6] ———, Cohomology vanishing theorems on weakly 1-complete manifolds, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **19** (1983) 1181-1201.
- [7] ———, Hodge spectral sequence on compact Kähler spaces, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **23** (1987), 265-274.
- [8] Ohsawa, T. and Takegoshi, K., Hodge spectral sequence on pseudoconvex domains, *to appear in Math Zeit.*
- [9] Wells, R. O., *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1973.

