

Uniqueness of Products in Higher Algebraic K-Theory

Dedicated to Professor Hirosi Toda on his 60th birthday

By

Kazuhisa SHIMAKAWA*

Introduction

Let \mathcal{E} be a higher algebraic K-theory defined on rings, that is, a functor which assigns to each ring R a spectrum $\mathcal{E}R$ of algebraic K-theory of R . Fiedorowicz uniqueness theorem [2] says that if \mathcal{E} has an external tensor product, then there is a natural map of spectra

$$f: \mathcal{E}R \rightarrow \mathcal{G}WR$$

which induces an equivalence between (-1) -connected covers of $\mathcal{E}R$ and the Gersten-Wagoner spectrum $\mathcal{G}WR$ ([3] and [13]). May [6] has given a similar uniqueness theorem for higher algebraic K-theories (or, infinite loop space machines) defined on permutative (i. e., symmetric strict monoidal) categories: given an infinite loop space machine \mathcal{E} defined on permutative categories, there exists a natural equivalence of spectra between $\mathcal{E}U$ and the spectrum $SB\bar{U}$ constructed by Segal [9].

In the present article we study the multiplicativity of such natural transformations between higher algebraic K-theories defined on permutative categories, or exact categories, or rings. Here the term ‘multiplicativity’ is used in the following sense. Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' be functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ from permutative categories (or exact categories, or rings) to CW -spectra, and suppose that \mathcal{E} (resp. \mathcal{E}') functorially associates to each pairing $U \times V \rightarrow W$ in \mathcal{C} a pairing $\mathcal{E}U \wedge \mathcal{E}V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}W$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}'U \wedge \mathcal{E}'V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'W$) of CW -spectra. Then a natural transformation $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ is called multiplicative if the following square commutes

Received April 27, 1987.

* Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

in the homotopy category $H\mathcal{S}$;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EU \wedge EV & \longrightarrow & EW \\ \downarrow f \wedge f & & \downarrow f \\ E'U \wedge E'V & \longrightarrow & E'W. \end{array}$$

Notice that most of the constructions of products in higher algebraic K-theory, except for May's [7], provide only weak pairings, i. e., pairings in the sense of G. W. Whitehead. This notion of a weak pairing is inadequate for sophisticated spectrum level analysis. Hence we want to find a condition, as generous as possible, which ensures that a given machine functorially associates 'true' pairings. Thus we introduce a notion of a pairing of S_* -spectra which generalizes May's notion of a pairing of \mathcal{S}_* -prespectra [7].

We now state the results of the paper.

A CW -spectrum $E = \{E_n | n \geq 0\}$ is called an S_* -spectrum if each E_n has an action by the symmetric group S_n (E_n is an S_n - CW complex) which is compatible with the structure maps and restricts to a homotopically trivial A_n -action. (See Section 1.) There is a relevant notion of a pairing of S_* -spectra and we can show that pairings $(E, F) \rightarrow G$ of S_* -spectra functorially determine pairings $E \wedge F \rightarrow G$ in the stable category.

We use the term *higher algebraic K-theory defined on permutative categories* to denote a functor \mathcal{E} which assigns to every permutative category U a connective CW -spectrum $\mathcal{E}U = \{E_n U | n \geq 0\}$ together with a natural map $\lambda: BU \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 U$ such that the composite $BU \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \mathcal{E}_\infty U = \bigcup_n \Omega^n \mathcal{E}_n U$ is a group completion.

Definition. A higher algebraic K-theory \mathcal{E} defined on permutative categories is called *multiplicative* if (i) $\mathcal{E}U$ has a natural structure of an S_* -spectrum, and (ii) given a pairing $f: U \times V \rightarrow W$ of permutative categories, there exists a natural pairing $\mathcal{E}f = \{\mathcal{E}_{m,n} f\}: (\mathcal{E}U, \mathcal{E}V) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}W$ of S_* -spectra such that the following square commutes;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU \wedge BV & \xrightarrow{Bf} & BW \\ \downarrow \lambda \wedge \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda \\ \mathcal{E}_0 U \wedge \mathcal{E}_0 V & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{0,0} f} & \mathcal{E}_0 W. \end{array}$$

Thus a multiplicative higher algebraic K-theory \mathcal{E} functorially

associates a true pairing $Ef:EU \wedge EV \rightarrow EW$ of CW-spectra.

It will be shown that both May machine M [7] and Shimada-Shimakawa machine C [10] are multiplicative higher algebraic K-theories defined on permutative categories. (But Segal's machine [9] is not.)

Now our first theorem is

Theorem A. *Let E be a higher algebraic K-theory defined on permutative categories. Then there is a natural equivalence $\gamma:EU \rightarrow CU$ which is multiplicative when E is a multiplicative higher algebraic K-theory.*

Note. Because the passage from symmetric monoidal to permutative categories preserves pairings (cf. [7, §2]), every multiplicative higher algebraic K-theory defined on permutative categories (e.g. M) can be canonically regarded as a multiplicative higher algebraic K-theory defined on symmetric monoidal categories. (We omit the obvious definition of the latter notion.) Theorem A holds true for any E defined on symmetric monoidal categories.

Next let K denote the Waldhausen machine [14] which assigns to each exact category U a CW-spectrum $KU = \{BQ^n U^{[n]} \mid n \geq 0\}$ (cf. [11]). Then K associates to any biexact functor $f:U \times V \rightarrow W$ a pairing $Kf:(KU, KV) \rightarrow KW$ of S_* -spectra. (This is essentially the result of [11].) Let us denote by IsU the subcategory of all isomorphisms in a category U , and consider both IsU and QU as symmetric monoidal categories.

Theorem B. *There is a multiplicative natural transformation $\kappa:CIsU \rightarrow KU$ defined as the composite of a natural equivalence $\eta:\Omega CQU \cong KU$ with a natural map $\nu:CIsU \rightarrow \Omega CQU$ which deloops the familiar map $BIsU \rightarrow \Omega BQU$.*

Note that by the “ $+ = Q$ ” theorem [4], κ becomes an equivalence if every short exact sequence in U splits.

Finally we consider higher algebraic K-theories defined on rings. We do not know whether Loday's pairing $(GWR, GWR') \rightarrow GW(R \otimes R')$ induces a ‘true’ pairing $GWR \wedge GWR' \rightarrow GW(R \otimes R')$ or not. However we have

Theorem C. *There exists a functor A from rings to S_* -spectra which satisfies the followings:*

(1) *There is a natural pairing $\mu: (AR, AR') \rightarrow A(R \otimes R')$ of S_* -spectra.*

(2) *For each $n \geq 1$, there is a natural group completion $f_n: BIsP(S^n R) \rightarrow A_n R (\simeq K_0 S^n R \times BGLS^n R^+ = \mathbf{GW}_n R)$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 BIsP(S^m R) \wedge BIsP(S^n R') & \longrightarrow & BIsP(S^{m+n}(R \otimes R')) \\
 \downarrow f_m \wedge f_n & & \downarrow f_{m+n} \\
 A_m R \wedge A_n R' & \xrightarrow{\mu_{m,n}} & A_{m+n}(R \otimes R')
 \end{array}$$

commutes. (Here $P(R)$ denotes the category of finitely generated projective modules over R .)

(3) *The structure map $A_n R \wedge S^1 \rightarrow A_{n+1} R$ is given by the composite*

$$A_n R \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \iota} A_n R \wedge A_1 \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\mu_{n,1}} A_{n+1}(R \otimes \mathbf{Z}) = A_{n+1} R$$

where $\iota: S^1 \rightarrow A_1 \mathbf{Z}$ represents the standard generator of $K_1 S \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}$ (cf. [5, Chapitre II]).

(4) *There is a multiplicative natural transformation $\alpha: CIsP(R) \rightarrow AR$ such that the induced map $\Omega^\infty C_\infty IsP(R) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty A_\infty R$ is an equivalence.*

Note that the condition (3) is similar to the description of the structure map of \mathbf{GWR} given by Loday [5]. From (2) we see that $\mu_{m,n}$ is weakly homotopic to Loday's map $\mathbf{GW}_m R \wedge \mathbf{GW}_n R' \rightarrow \mathbf{GW}_{m,n}(R \otimes R')$.

As a consequence we have

Corollary. (Cf. Weibel [15].) *The product structures in higher algebraic K -theory of rings constructed by Waldhausen [14], May [7], Shimada-Shimakawa [10], and Loday [5] (modified as in Theorem C) all agree with each other.*

The proofs of the above theorems are given in the final section. In Section 1 we introduce a notion of a pairing of S_* -spectra and prove that pairings of S_* -spectra functorially determine pairings in the stable category. Section 2 illustrates how the machines of Waldhausen, Shimada-Shimakawa, and May associate pairings of

S_* -spectra, and Section 3 provides a key tool on which our proofs of the theorems are based, that is to say, a multiplicative version of the ‘up and across theorem’ [2, 8].

§ 1. Pairings of S_* -Spectra

Throughout the paper we regard S^1 as the one-point compactification of R ($\{\infty\}$ is the base-point), and denote by S^n the smash product of n copies of S^1 . Each S^n is an S_n -CW complex equipped with the standard S_n -action; $\sigma(s_1, \dots, s_n) = (s_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ for every permutation $\sigma \in S_n$.

Let $E = \{E_n | n \geq 0\}$ be a CW-spectrum. We say that E is an S_* -spectrum if each E_n is a based S_n -CW complex, and if the following two conditions hold: (i) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n \wedge S^k & \longrightarrow & E_{n+k} \\ \sigma \wedge \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma + \tau \\ E_n \wedge S^k & \longrightarrow & E_{n+k} \end{array}$$

commutes for all $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\tau \in S_k$; and (ii) for every even permutation $\sigma \in A_n$ the map $\sigma: E_n \rightarrow E_n$ is homotopic to the identity. Given S_* -spectra E and F , a function $f: E \rightarrow F$ is called a function of S_* -spectra if each $f_n: E_n \rightarrow F_n$ is S_n -equivariant. A map of S_* -spectra is a map $f: E \rightarrow F$ which is represented by a function $f': E' \rightarrow F$ of S_* -spectra for some cofinal subspectrum E' such that each E'_n is invariant under the S_n -action on E_n .

If we consider $S_* = \coprod_n S_n$ as the skeletal category of finite sets and their isomorphisms, then each S_* -spectrum E can be regarded as a functor from S_* to CW-complexes. Moreover the structure maps $E_m \wedge S^n \rightarrow E_{m+n}$ constitute a natural transformation $E \wedge S^0 \rightarrow E \circ \oplus$ where $S^0: \mathbf{n} \rightarrow S^n$ denotes the sphere-valued functor. It follows that an \mathcal{S}_* -prespectrum in the sense of May [7] restricts, via the canonical embedding $S_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$, to an S_* -spectrum in our sense. (Strictly speaking, \mathcal{S}_* -prespectra are not supposed to have a structure of a CW-spectrum. But this is not serious because the passage from \mathcal{S}_* -prespectra to the stable category is equivalent to the process of replacing spectra by CW-approximations.)

Note that in the definition of an S_* -spectrum the condition (ii) follows from (i) if the S_n -action on E_n extends to an $O(n)$ -action (e. g., E is an \mathcal{S}_* -prespectrum), or if E is an almost Ω -spectrum, that is, the maps $E_n \rightarrow \Omega E_{n+1}$ are homotopy equivalences for $n \geq 1$ (cf. [11, Lemma 4. 1]).

Now let E, F and G be S_* -spectra.

Definition 1. 1. A pairing of S_* -spectra $\mu: (E, F) \rightarrow G$ is a family of maps

$$\mu_{m,n}: E_m \wedge F_n \longrightarrow G_{m+n}; \quad m, n \geq 0$$

such that the following diagram commutes;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_m \wedge F_n \wedge S^k \wedge S^l & \xrightarrow{\mu \wedge 1} & G_{m+n} \wedge S^{k+l} \longrightarrow G_{m+n+k+l} \\ 1 \wedge \tau \wedge 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 + \tau + 1 \\ E_m \wedge S^k \wedge F_n \wedge S^l & \longrightarrow & E_{m+k} \wedge F_{n+l} \xrightarrow{\mu} G_{m+k+n+l} \end{array}$$

where $1 + \tau + 1$ denotes the permutation

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} m+1 & \dots & m+n & m+n+1 & \dots & m+n+k \\ m+k+1 & \dots & m+k+n & m+1 & \dots & m+k \end{array} \right).$$

Example 1. 2. Let E be an S_* -spectrum. Then the canonical pairing $\varepsilon: (E, S^0) \rightarrow E$ consisting of the maps $E_m \wedge S^n \rightarrow E_{m+n}$ is a pairing of S_* -spectra.

We now describe the passage from pairings of S_* -spectra to pairings in the stable category $H\mathcal{S}$.

Given an S_* -spectrum G we construct a sort of double telescope WG as follows. For every $n \geq 0$, WG_n is defined as an identification space of the union

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigvee_{i+j \leq n} ([i] \times [j])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^{n-i-j} \\ & \bigvee \bigvee_{i+j \leq n-1} ([i] \times [j, j+1])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^{n-i-j} \\ & \bigvee \bigvee_{i+j \leq n-1} ([i, i+1] \times [j])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^{n-i-j} \\ & \bigvee \bigvee_{i+j \leq n-2} G_{i+j} \wedge M(\tau) \wedge S^{n-i-j-2} \end{aligned}$$

where $M(\tau)$ denotes the Thom space of a certain $SO(2)$ -bundle τ over the 2-cell $[i, i+1] \times [j, j+1]$ and we identify

$$\begin{aligned} ((i, j), g, s) &\in ([i] \times [j, j+1])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^{n-i-j} \quad \text{or} \\ &\in ([i, i+1] \times [j])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^{n-i-j} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} ((i, j), g, s) &\in ([i] \times [j])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^{n-i-j}; \\ ((i, j+1), g, s, t) &\in ([i] \times [j, j+1])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^1 \wedge S^{n-i-j-1} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$((i, j+1), [g, s], t) \in ([i] \times [j+1])_+ \wedge G_{i+j+1} \wedge S^{n-i-j-1};$$

and

$$((i+1, j), g, s, t) \in ([i, +1] \times [j])_+ \wedge G_{i+j} \wedge S^1 \wedge S^{n-i-j-1}$$

with

$$((i+1, j), \sigma_{i,j}[g, (-1)^j s], t) \in ([i+1] \times [j])_+ \wedge G_{i+1+j} \wedge S^{n-i-1-j}$$

where

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} i+1 & i+2 \circ \circ \circ i+j+1 \\ i+2 & i+3 \circ \circ \circ i+1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_{i+j+1}.$$

(The identification of $G_{i+j} \wedge M(\tau) \wedge S^{n-i-j-2}$ with the part already constructed is quite similar to that described in [1, p.175].) The structure maps are obvious. (Compare with the definition of the smash product of spectra [1, §4].)

If $\mu: (E, F) \rightarrow G$ is a pairing of S_* -spectra, then we have

$$\mu_{i+1,j}([e, (-1)^j s], f) = \sigma_{i,j}[\mu_{i,j}(e, f), (-1)^j s]$$

for all $(e, f, s) \in E_i \wedge F_j \wedge S^1$. Hence there is a well-defined map

$$\bar{\mu}: E \wedge F \rightarrow WG.$$

Lemma 1.3. *Pairings $\mu: (E, F) \rightarrow G$ of S_* -spectra functorially determine pairings*

$$\bar{\mu}: E \wedge F \rightarrow G$$

in the stable category $H\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. There is a sequence of natural maps of spectra

$$E \wedge F \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} WG \xleftarrow{\bar{\epsilon}} G \wedge S^0 \xleftarrow{\simeq} TG \xrightarrow{\simeq} G$$

where TG denotes the telescope of G . To define $\bar{\mu}$ we have only to prove that $\bar{\epsilon}: G \wedge S^0 \rightarrow WG$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Take a partition $A=B \cup C$ of an ordered set $A \cong \mathbb{N}$, and define a spectrum $W_{BC}G$ as follows: for every $a \in A$ we put

$$W_{BC}G_{\alpha(a)} = G_{\beta(a)+\gamma(a)},$$

where $\alpha(a) = \#\{x \in A \mid x < a\}$ etc., and identify $(g, s) \in W_{BC}G_{\alpha(a)} \wedge S^1$ with

$$\begin{aligned} [g, s] &\in G_{\beta(a)+\gamma(a)+1} && \text{if } a \in C \\ \sigma_{\beta(a), \gamma(a)}[g, (-1)^{\gamma(a)}s] &\in G_{(\beta(a)+1)+\gamma(a)} && \text{if } a \in B. \end{aligned}$$

(Compare with the definition of naive smash product [1].) Now suppose that both B and C are infinite and that $\gamma(a)$ is even (and hence $\sigma_{\beta(a), \gamma(a)} \simeq \text{id}$) whenever $a \in B$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \wedge S^0 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}} & WG \\ \simeq \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ T(G \wedge_{BC} S^0) & \longrightarrow & TW_{BC}G \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ G \wedge_{BC} S^0 & \longrightarrow & W_{BC}G \end{array}$$

in which every vertical map is a homotopy equivalence. Since $W_{BC}G_n \wedge S^1 \rightarrow W_{BC}G_{n+1}$ are homotopic to the original structure maps $G_n \wedge S^1 \rightarrow G_{n+1}$, the bottom map becomes a weak homotopy equivalence. Thus we see that $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Notation. In what follows we use the same letter μ to denote the pairing $E \wedge F \rightarrow G$ induced from a pairing $\mu: (E, F) \rightarrow G$ of S_* -spectra.

§ 2. Multiplicative Higher Algebraic K-Theories

2.1. Waldhausen machine. For every exact category U we have a CW-spectrum $\mathbf{K}U = \{\mathbf{K}_n U \mid n \geq 0\}$ where

$$\mathbf{K}_n U = BQ^n U^{[n]} = BQ_1 \cdots Q_n U^{[n]}$$

denotes the classifying space of the n -fold category obtained by applying Q on every component of the n -fold exact category $U^{[n]}$ of commutative n -cubes in U (cf. [11]). Note that $\mathbf{K}_0 U$ is the set oU of all objects of U . (This differs from the definition given in [11] in which $\mathbf{K}_0 U$ is defined to be ΩBQU .)

We have shown in [11] that the evident S_n -action on $U^{[n]}$ induces

an S_n -action on $BQ^n U^{[n]}$ with respect to which KU becomes an S_* -spectrum. Moreover any biexact functor $f: U \times V \rightarrow W$ induces a natural map

$$K_{m,n}f: BQ^m U^{[m]} \wedge BQ^n V^{[n]} \rightarrow BQ^{m+n} W^{[m+n]}$$

for each pair of integers m and n . The diagram (4.2) in [11] shows that $K_{m,n}f$ define a pairing $Kf: (KU, KV) \rightarrow KW$ of S_* -spectra. Thus f functorially associates a pairing

$$Kf: KU \wedge KV \rightarrow KW$$

in the stable category.

2.2. Shimada-Shimakawa machine. In [10] we have associated to any symmetric monoidal category $U = \langle U, \oplus \rangle$ a spectrum $CU = \{B\mathcal{B}^n U \mid n \geq 0\}$ where \mathcal{B} is a functor which assigns to each symmetric monoidal (topological) category C a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{B}C$ together with a natural map $BC \wedge S^1 \rightarrow B\mathcal{B}C$. By extending the argument of [10, Lemma 2.6] we see that $C_n U = B\mathcal{B}^n U$ is identical with the geometric realization of a Γ^n -space $B\hat{\mathcal{B}}^n U$ defined as follows.

For each $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \Gamma^n$, denote by $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^n U(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ the symmetric monoidal category with objects

$$\langle a; \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n \rangle$$

where a is a function which assigns to each n -tuple (T_1, \dots, T_n) of subsets $T_i \subset r_i$ an object $a(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ of U , α^i is a family of isomorphisms

$$a(T_1, \dots, T_i \amalg T'_i, \dots, T_n) \xrightarrow{\cong} a(T_1, \dots, T_i, \dots, T_n) \oplus a(T_1, \dots, T'_i, \dots, T_n)$$

satisfying the conditions similar to those of [10, Definition 2.1 (i)], and for any T of the form $(T_1, \dots, T_i^0 \amalg T_i^1, \dots, T_j^0 \amalg T_j^1, \dots, T_n)$ the following diagram commutes;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a(T) \xrightarrow{(\alpha^i \oplus \alpha^j) \alpha^i} a(T_{00}) \oplus a(T_{01}) \oplus a(T_{10}) \oplus a(T_{11}) & & \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \cong \\ a(T) \xrightarrow{(\alpha^i \oplus \alpha^j) \alpha^j} a(T_{00}) \oplus a(T_{10}) \oplus a(T_{01}) \oplus a(T_{11}) & & \end{array}$$

in which $T_{\epsilon\delta} = (T_1, \dots, T_i^\epsilon, \dots, T_j^\delta, \dots, T_n)$ ($0 \leq \epsilon, \delta \leq 1$). Given objects $\langle a; \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n \rangle$ and $\langle b; \beta^1, \dots, \beta^n \rangle$ a morphism $f: \langle a; \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n \rangle \rightarrow \langle b; \beta^1, \dots, \beta^n \rangle$ is a family of morphisms $f(T_1, \dots, T_n): a(T_1, \dots, T_n) \rightarrow b(T_1, \dots, T_n)$.

$\dots, T_n)$ compatible with all α^i and β^i in the sense of [10, Definition 2.1]. (Note that $\hat{B}U = \hat{B}^1U$ coincides with \bar{U} of May [6].)

There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\hat{B}^{n+1}U(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{n+1}) \cong \hat{B}(\hat{B}^nU(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n))(\mathbf{r}_{n+1})$$

natural in both U and $(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{n+1})$. Hence, as in [10, §2], we can inductively prove that $C_nU = B\mathcal{B}^nU$ is isomorphic to the geometric realization of the Γ^n -space $B\hat{B}^nU$. Moreover the structure map $C_nU \wedge S^1 \rightarrow C_{n+1}U$ is described as the inclusion

$$|B\hat{B}^nU| \wedge S^1 \cong |B\hat{B}^{n+1}U(\dots, \mathbf{1})| \wedge S^1 \rightarrow |B\hat{B}^{n+1}U|.$$

We now define an S_n -action on C_nU . Given a Γ^n -category E and $\sigma \in S_n$, denote by E^σ the Γ^n -category such that $E^\sigma(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) = E(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$. Clearly we have $|BE^\sigma| = |BE|$ (cf. [11, 1.14]). Returning to our case, for every $\sigma \in S_n$ there is a natural isomorphism $\Theta(\sigma): \hat{B}^nU \rightarrow (\hat{B}^nU)^\sigma$ which assigns to each $\mathbf{a} = \langle a; \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n \rangle \in \hat{B}^nU(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n)$,

$$\Theta(\sigma)\mathbf{a} = \langle \tilde{a}; \tilde{\alpha}^1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}^n \rangle \in \hat{B}^nU(\mathbf{r}_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$$

where $\tilde{a}(T_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, T_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) = a(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^{\sigma^{-1}(i)} = \alpha^i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to see that the induced maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |B\hat{B}^nU| & \xrightarrow{|B\Theta(\sigma)|} & |B(\hat{B}^nU)^\sigma| = |B\hat{B}^nU| \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ [\mathbf{a}, s_1, \dots, s_n] & \longmapsto & [\Theta(\sigma)\mathbf{a}, s_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}] \end{array}$$

define an S_n -action on C_nU with respect to which C_nU becomes an S_n -spectrum.

Let $f: U \times V \rightarrow W$ be a pairing of symmetric monoidal categories. Then we define a map

$$f_{m,n}: \hat{B}^mU(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m) \times \hat{B}^nV(\mathbf{r}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{m+n}) \rightarrow \hat{B}^{m+n}W(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{m+n})$$

of Γ^{m+n} -categories by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m,n}(\langle a; \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^m \rangle, \langle b; \beta^1, \dots, \beta^n \rangle) = \\ \langle f(a \times b); \delta(\alpha^1 \times 1), \dots, \delta(\alpha^m \times 1), \delta'(1 \times \beta^1), \dots, \delta'(1 \times \beta^n) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta(\alpha^i \times 1)$ denotes the family of composite isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} f(a(T_1, \dots, T_i \amalg T'_i, \dots, T_m), b(T_{m+1}, \dots, T_{m+n})) \\ \cong f(a(T_1, \dots, T_i, \dots, T_m) \oplus a(T_1, \dots, T'_i, \dots, T_m), b(T_{m+1}, \dots, T_{m+n})) \\ \cong f(a(T_1, \dots, T_i, \dots, T_m), b(T_{m+1}, \dots, T_{m+n})) \\ \oplus f(a(T_1, \dots, T'_i, \dots, T_m), b(T_{m+1}, \dots, T_{m+n})) \end{aligned}$$

and $\delta'(1 \times \beta^i)$ the family of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & f(a(T_1, \dots, T_m), b(T_{m+1}, \dots, T_{m+i} \amalg T'_{m+i}, \dots, T_{m+n})) \\ & \cong f(a(T_1, \dots, T_m), b(T_{m+1}, \dots, T_{m+i}, \dots, T_{m+n})) \oplus \\ & \quad f(a(T_1, \dots, T_m), b(T_{m+1}, \dots, T'_{m+i}, \dots, T_{m+n})) \end{aligned}$$

similarly defined.

One easily checks that the induced maps

$$\mathcal{C}_{m,n}f: \mathcal{C}_m U \wedge \mathcal{C}_n V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{m+n} W$$

satisfy the condition of a pairing of S_* -spectra, and that $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}f$ coincides with $Bf: BU \wedge BV \rightarrow BW$. Thus we see that the functor $U \mapsto \mathcal{C}U$ is a multiplicative higher algebraic K-theory defined on symmetric monoidal (or permutative) categories.

2.3. May machine. May [7] has defined a functor from permutative categories to \mathcal{S}_* -prespectra by the composite

$$U \mapsto \tilde{U} \mapsto B\tilde{U} \mapsto TB\tilde{U}$$

where \tilde{U} denotes the functor $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ obtained by applying the Street's first construction [12] on a lax functor $\mathfrak{n} \mapsto U^n$, and T assigns to every \mathcal{F} -space X an \mathcal{S}_* -prespectrum $TX: V \mapsto B(\Sigma^V, \hat{C}_V, X)$. Let us denote by MU the associated S_* -spectrum $\{M_n U = TB\tilde{U}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid n \geq 0\}$.

The canonical inclusion $U \rightarrow \tilde{U}(1)$ induces a natural map $\lambda: BU \rightarrow B\tilde{U}(1) \rightarrow TB\tilde{U}(\{0\}) = M_0 U$ such that the composite $BU \rightarrow \Omega^\infty M_\infty U$ is a group completion.

By Theorems 2.1 and 6.2 of [7] we see that pairings $f: (U, V) \rightarrow W$ of permutative categories functorially determine pairings $Mf: (MU, MV) \rightarrow MW$ of S_* -spectra, and it is easy to see that the following square commutes;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU \wedge BV & \longrightarrow & BW \\ \lambda \wedge \lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \\ M_0 U \wedge M_0 V & \longrightarrow & M_0 W. \end{array}$$

Therefore the functor $U \mapsto MU$ also becomes a multiplicative higher algebraic K-theory defined on permutative categories.

Remark. Unfortunately, Segal machine applied to the Γ -space $B\hat{B}U = B\bar{U}$ does not provide an S_* -spectrum. In fact, Segal-Woolfson

approach to constructing pairings of spectra is much more complicated than that described here. (Compare [16] and [9].)

§ 3. S_* -Bispectra and Their Pairings

A CW -bispectrum is a family $X = \{X_{n,q} \mid n \geq 0, q \geq 0\}$ of CW -complexes $X_{n,q}$ equipped with cellular embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n,q} \wedge S^1 &\rightarrow X_{n+1,q}, & (x, s) &\mapsto [x, s] \\ X_{n,q} \wedge S^1 &\rightarrow X_{n,q+1}, & (x, s) &\mapsto [x, s]' \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$[[x, s], t]' = [[x, t]', s]$$

holds for all $(x, s, t) \in X_{n,q} \wedge S^1 \wedge S^1$. Every CW -bispectrum X determines (and is uniquely determined by) CW -spectra

$$X_{n*} = \{X_{n,q} \mid q \geq 0\}, \quad X_{*q} = \{X_{n,q} \mid n \geq 0\}$$

and functions of spectra

$$X_{n*} \wedge S^1 \longrightarrow X_{n+1*}, \quad X_{*q} \wedge S^1 \longrightarrow X_{*q+1}.$$

For any bispectrum X we define two diagonal spectra DX and $D'X$ as follows (cf. [8]):

$$D_n X = \Omega^n X_{n,n} = D'_n X;$$

and the structure maps $\delta: D_n X \wedge S^1 \rightarrow D_{n+1} X$ and $\delta': D'_n X \wedge S^1 \rightarrow D'_{n+1} X$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x, s)(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}) &= [[x(t_1, \dots, t_n), t_{n+1}], s]' \\ \delta'(x, s)(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}) &= [[x(t_1, \dots, t_n), t_{n+1}]', s] \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, s) \in \Omega^n X_{n,n} \wedge S^1$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}) \in S^{n+1}$. Then there are maps of spectra (natural in $H\mathcal{S}$) $e: X_{0*} \rightarrow DX$ given by

$$e_q x(t_1, \dots, t_q) = [x, t_1, \dots, t_q]$$

for every $x \in X_{0,q}$; $e': X_{*0} \rightarrow D'X$ given by

$$e'_n y(t_1, \dots, t_n) = [y, t_1, \dots, t_n]'$$

for every $y \in X_{n,0}$; and $c = d' \rho^{-1}: DX \xrightarrow{\simeq} D'X$ where ρ^{-1} is the homotopy inverse of the canonical map $\rho: TDX \rightarrow DX$ and $d': TDX \rightarrow D'X$ is induced from the preternatural weak map $d: DX \rightarrow D'X$;

$$d_n x(t_1, \dots, t_n) = x(-t_1, \dots, -t_n)$$

for every $x \in D_n X$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in S^n$. (Compare [8, Appendix A].) Explicitly we fix a homotopy $k_t = (f_t, g_t) : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ such that $k_0(u, v) = (u, v)$, $k_1(u, v) = (v, -u)$ and use the following homotopies $h_n : d_{n+1} \delta_n \simeq \delta'_n (d_n \wedge 1)$ to define d' ;

$$(h_n)_t(x, s)(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}) = d_{n+1} \delta_n(x, f_t(s, t_{n+1}))(t_1, \dots, t_n, g_t(s, t_{n+1}))$$

Definition 3.1. A *CW*-bisppectrum X is called an S_* -bisppectrum if every X_{m*} and X_{*p} have a structure of an S_* -spectrum, and if $X_{m*} \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X_{m+1*}$ and $X_{*p} \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X_{*p+1}$ are functions of S_* -spectra. (Thus each $X_{n,q}$ has an $S_n \times S_q$ -action such that

$$(\sigma + \sigma', \tau + \tau') [[x, s], t]' = [[(\sigma, \tau)x, \sigma's], \tau't]'$$

for every $\sigma \in S_n$, $\tau \in S_q$, $\sigma' \in S_k$, $\tau' \in S_r$ and $(x, s, t) \in X_{n,q} \wedge S^k \wedge S^r$.) Given S_* -bispetra X, Y and Z , a pairing $\mu : (X, Y) \rightarrow Z$ of S_* -bispetra is a family of maps

$$X_{m,q} \wedge Y_{n,q} \rightarrow Z_{m+n,p+q}$$

which restricts to pairings of S_* -spectra

$$(X_{m*}, Y_{n*}) \rightarrow Z_{m+n*} \text{ and } (X_{*p}, Y_{*q}) \rightarrow Z_{*p+q}.$$

For example, the *CW*-bispetrum $\Delta S = \{S^n \wedge S^q \mid n \geq 0, q \geq 0\}$ equipped with the structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} [(x, y), s] &= ((x, s), y), & [[(x, y), s]]' &= (x, (y, s)) \\ & & & \text{for } ((x, y), s) \in \Delta S_{n,q} \wedge S^1 \end{aligned}$$

canonically has a structure of an S_* -bisppectrum, and for every S_* -bisppectrum X we have a natural pairing $\tilde{\varepsilon} : (X, \Delta S) \rightarrow X$ of S_* -bispetra;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{m,p} \wedge (S^n \wedge S^q) & \longrightarrow & X_{m+n,p+q} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ (x, (s, t)) & \longmapsto & [[x, s], t]' \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that if X is an S_* -bisppectrum then both DX and $D'X$ are S_* -spectra with the S_n -action

$$(\sigma, S^n \xrightarrow{x} X_{n,n}) \longmapsto (S^n \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} S^n \xrightarrow{x} X_{n,n} \xrightarrow{(\sigma, \sigma)} X_{n,n})$$

on each $D_n X = \Omega^n X_{n,n} = D'_n X$, and also that $e : X_{0*} \rightarrow DX$ and $e' : X_{*0} \rightarrow D'X$ are functions of S_* -spectra. (However $c : DX \rightarrow D'X$ is not a function of S_* -spectra.) Now let $\mu : (X, Y) \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing of S_* -bispetra. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^m X_{m,m} \wedge \Omega^n Y_{n,n} \wedge S^k \wedge S^l & \xrightarrow{\Omega^{m+n} \mu \wedge 1} & \Omega^{m+n} Z_{m+n,m+n} \wedge S^{k+l} \\
\downarrow 1 \wedge T \wedge 1 & & \downarrow \delta \\
\Omega^m X_{m,m} \wedge S^k \wedge \Omega^n Y_{n,n} \wedge S^l & & \Omega^{m+n+k+l} Z_{m+n+k+l,m+n+k+l} \\
\downarrow \delta \wedge \delta & & \downarrow 1 + \tau + 1 \\
\Omega^{m+k} X_{m+k,m+k} \wedge \Omega^{n+l} Y_{n+l,n+l} & \xrightarrow{\Omega^{m+k+n+l} \mu} & \Omega^{m+k+n+l} Z_{m+k+n+l,m+k+n+l}
\end{array}$$

commutes. Hence the maps $\Omega^{m+n} \mu: \Omega^m X_{m,m} \wedge \Omega^n Y_{n,n} \rightarrow \Omega^{m+n} Z_{m+n,m+n}$ define a pairing $D\mu: (DX, DY) \rightarrow DZ$ of S_* -spectra, and similarly $D'\mu: (D'X, D'Y) \rightarrow D'Z$. The following proposition is a multiplicative version of the up and across theorem (cf. [2] and [8]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mu: (X, Y) \rightarrow Z$ be a pairing of S_* -bispectra. Then the following diagram commutes in the stable category;*

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
X_{0*} \wedge Y_{0*} & \xrightarrow{e \wedge e} & DX \wedge DY & \xrightarrow{c \wedge c} & D'X \wedge D'Y & \xleftarrow{e' \wedge e'} & X_{*0} \wedge Y_{*0} \\
\downarrow \mu & & \downarrow D\mu & & \downarrow D'\mu & & \downarrow \mu \\
Z_{0*} & \xrightarrow{e} & DZ & \xrightarrow{c} & D'Z & \xleftarrow{e'} & Z_{*0}
\end{array}$$

Proof. For every m and n , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_{0,m} \wedge Y_{0,n} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & Z_{0,m+n} & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & Z_{0,m} \wedge S^n \\
e_m \wedge e_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{m+n} & & \downarrow \varepsilon_m \wedge 1 \\
D_m X \wedge D_n Y & \xrightarrow{D\mu} & D_{m+n} Z & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & D_m Z \wedge S^n
\end{array}$$

Noting that e is a map of S_* -spectra (and hence induces $We: WZ_{0*} \rightarrow WDZ$), we can easily show that the left-hand square in (*) commutes.

Quite similarly we can prove the commutativity of the right-hand square in (*).

Finally, to prove the commutativity of the middle square, let us take a partition of $N = B \cup C$, and denote $n' = \beta(n)$, $n'' = \gamma(n)$ for every $n \in N$. Then there is a canonical inclusion $T(DX \wedge_{BC} DY) \rightarrow TDX \wedge_{BC} TDY$ which sends $[k]_+ \wedge (D_{k'} X \wedge D_{k''} Y) \wedge S^{n-k} \subset T_n(DX \wedge_{BC} DY)$ to $([k']_+ \wedge D_{k'} X \wedge S^{n'-k'}) \wedge ([k'']_+ \wedge D_{k''} Y \wedge S^{n''-k''}) \subset T_{n'} DX \wedge T_{n''} DY$, and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 DX \wedge_{BC} DY & \xrightarrow{\rho \wedge \rho} & TDX \wedge_{BC} TDY & \xrightarrow{d' \wedge d'} & D'X \wedge_{BC} D'Y \\
 \downarrow D\mu & \swarrow & \downarrow T(DX \wedge_{BC} DY) & \searrow & \downarrow D'\mu \\
 & & \cup & & \\
 W_{BC}DZ & \xleftarrow{\rho} & TW_{BC}DZ & \xrightarrow{W_{BC}d'} & W_{BC}D'Z
 \end{array}$$

in which $W_{BC}d'$ is defined by using the natural homotopies

$$d_{n+1}(W_{BC}\delta)_n \simeq (W_{BC}\delta')_n(d_n \wedge 1);$$

$$\tilde{h}_n = \begin{cases} h_n & \text{if } n \in C \\ \sigma_{n', n''} h_n(1 \wedge (-1)^{n''}) & \text{if } n \in B. \end{cases}$$

From this and the similar diagram with μ replaced by $\tilde{\varepsilon}: (Z, \Delta S) \rightarrow Z$, we see that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 DX \wedge DY & \xrightarrow{D\mu} & WDZ & \xrightarrow{D\tilde{\varepsilon}^{-1}} & DZ \wedge \Delta S \\
 c \wedge c \downarrow & & & & \downarrow c \wedge c \\
 D'X \wedge D'Y & \xrightarrow{D'\mu} & WD'Z & \xrightarrow{D'\tilde{\varepsilon}^{-1}} & D'Z \wedge D'\Delta S
 \end{array}$$

commutes in the stable category. Because the composite $TDZ \rightarrow DZ \wedge \Delta S \rightarrow WDZ$ (resp. $TD'Z \rightarrow D'Z \wedge D'\Delta S \rightarrow WD'Z$) coincides with $TDZ \rightarrow DZ \wedge S^0 \rightarrow WDZ$ (resp. $TD'Z \rightarrow D'Z \wedge S^0 \rightarrow WD'Z$), we conclude that the middle square in (*) commutes.

§ 4. Proofs of the Theorems

4.1. Proof of Theorem A. Let U be a permutative category. Then we have a bispectrum $XU = \{X_{n,q}U \mid n \geq 0, q \geq 0\}$ defined as follows:

$X_{n,q}U$ is the geometric realization of the Γ^q -space

$$E_n \hat{B}^q U: (r_1, \dots, r_q) \longmapsto E_n \hat{B}^q U(r_1, \dots, r_q);$$

the structure maps $X_{n,q}U \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X_{n+1,q}U$ and $X_{n,q}U \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X_{n,q+1}U$ are given by the evident maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E_n \hat{B}^q U| \wedge S^1 &= |E_n \hat{B}^q U \wedge S^1| \rightarrow |E_{n+1} \hat{B}^q U|, \\
 |E_n \hat{B}^q U| \wedge S^1 &= |E_n \hat{B}^{q+1} U(\dots, 1)| \wedge S^1 \rightarrow |E_n \hat{B}^{q+1} U|
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

By the definition we have $X_{*0}U = EU$ and there is a natural map $CU \rightarrow X_{0*}U$ given by

$$C_n U = |B\hat{B}^n U| \xrightarrow{|\lambda|} |E_0 \hat{B}^n U| = X_{0,n} U.$$

Because $|E_n \hat{B}^q U|$ is connected when $n \geq 1$, the canonical map $X_{n,q} U \rightarrow \Omega X_{n,q+1} U$ is a homotopy equivalence for all $n \geq 1$. Hence $e': EU \rightarrow D'XU$ becomes an equivalence. Moreover, because $B(\) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty E_\infty(\)$ and $B(\) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty C_\infty(\)$ are group completions, the composite $k: CU \rightarrow X_{0,*} U \xrightarrow{e} DXU$ also becomes an equivalence. Thus we have an equivalence

$$\gamma = k^{-1}c^{-1}e': EU \rightarrow CU$$

natural in U .

Now suppose that E is a multiplicative higher algebraic K -theory. Then XU becomes an S_* -bisppectrum with an $S_n \times S_q$ -action on $X_{n,q} U$ induced from the S_n -action on E_n and the S_q -action on \hat{B}^q .

Let $f: U \times V \rightarrow W$ be a pairing of permutative categories. Then we have a natural pairing $Xf: (XU, XV) \rightarrow XW$ of S_* -bisppectra consisting of the maps $X_{m,p} U \wedge X_{n,q} V \rightarrow X_{m+n,p+q} W$ induced by the map of Γ^{p+q} -spaces

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m,n} f_{p,q}: E_m \hat{B}^p U(r_1, \dots, r_p) \wedge E_n \hat{B}^q V(r_{p+1}, \dots, r_{p+q}) \\ \longrightarrow E_{m+n} \hat{B}^{p+q} W(r_1, \dots, r_p, r_{p+1}, \dots, r_{p+q}). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.2 and by the multiplicativity of $\lambda: B(\) \rightarrow E_0(\)$, we see that the following diagram commutes in $H\mathcal{S}$;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EU \wedge EV & \xrightarrow{E f} & EW \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{0,*} U \wedge X_0 V & \longrightarrow & X_{0,*} W \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ CU \wedge CV & \xrightarrow{C f} & CW. \end{array} \quad Q. E. D.$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem B. Let U be an exact category. We first define an equivalence $\eta: \Omega CQU \rightarrow KU$. By [10, Lemma 4.2] every $\hat{B}^q U(r_1, \dots, r_q)$ has a natural structure of an exact category. Hence we can define an S_* -bisppectrum XU by

$$X_{n,q} U = |K_n \hat{B}^q U| = |(r_1, \dots, r_q) \mapsto BQ^n(\hat{B}^q U(r_1, \dots, r_q))^{[n]}|.$$

Clearly $X_{*0} U = KU$, and by [10, Corollary 4.5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{1,*} U &= \{|BQ\hat{B}^n U| \mid n \geq 0\} \\ &= \{|B\hat{B}^n QU| \mid n \geq 0\} = CQU. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us endow $X'U = \{\Omega X_{1+n,q}U \mid n \geq 0, q \geq 0\}$ with the structure of an S_* -bispectrum such that the maps

$$X_{n,q}U \longrightarrow \Omega X_{1+n,q}U, \quad x \mapsto (s \mapsto \sigma_{0,n}[x, s])$$

give rise to a function $\phi: XU \rightarrow X'U$ of S_* -bispectra. Then $X'_{0*}U = \Omega X_{1*}U = \Omega CQU$ and it is easy to see that the maps $e: X'_{0*}U \rightarrow DX'U$, $e': X'_{*0}U \rightarrow D'X'U$ and $\phi: X'_{*0}U \rightarrow X'_{*0}U$ are homotopy equivalences. Thus we have a natural equivalence $\eta: \Omega CQU \rightarrow KU$ defined as the composite

$$X'_{*0}U \xrightarrow{e} DX'U \xrightarrow{c} D'X'U \xrightarrow{e'^{-1}} X'_{*0}U \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} X'_{*0}U.$$

Next consider the natural sequence of spectra

$$CIsU \longrightarrow CLU \longrightarrow CQU$$

associated with the sequence of symmetric monoidal categories $IsU \rightarrow LU \rightarrow QU$ (cf. [14, §9]). As in the proof of [11, Theorem 3.1], there are adjunctions

$$\hat{B}^n LU(r_1, \dots, r_n) \xrightleftharpoons[h]{t} \hat{B}^n JU(r_1, \dots, r_n) \xrightleftharpoons{} 0$$

natural in both U and (r_1, \dots, r_n) . Therefore we have a null homotopy on every $C_n LU = |B\hat{B}^n LU|$ which is compatible with the structure maps of CLU , and is natural in U . Since the composite $C_n IsU \rightarrow C_n QU$ is the constant map, we have a natural map $\nu: CIsU \rightarrow \Omega CQU$, and hence the composite

$$\kappa = \eta\nu: CIsU \longrightarrow KU.$$

We now prove that κ is multiplicative. Let $f: U \times V \rightarrow W$ be a biexact functor. Then, as in the proof of Theorem A, there is a natural pairing $Xf: (XU, XV) \rightarrow XW$ of S_* -bispectra such that $X_{m,p}U \wedge X_{n,q}V \rightarrow X_{m+n,p+q}W$ is induced from the $(m+n)$ -fold functors

$$\begin{aligned} Q^m(\hat{B}^p U(r_1, \dots, r_p))^{[m]} \Pi Q^n(\hat{B}^q V(r_{p+1}, \dots, r_{p+q}))^{[n]} \\ \longrightarrow Q^{m+n}(\hat{B}^{p+q} W(r_1, \dots, r_{p+q}))^{[m+n]} \end{aligned}$$

associated with the biexact functor $f_{p,q}: \hat{B}^p U(r_1, \dots, r_p) \times \hat{B}^q V(r_{p+1}, \dots, r_{p+q}) \rightarrow \hat{B}^{p+q} W(r_1, \dots, r_{p+q})$ (cf. [11, §4]). It is easy to see that the composite maps

$$\Omega X_{1+m,p}U \wedge \Omega X_{1+n,q}V \xrightarrow{\Omega^2 Xf} \Omega^2 X_{1+m+1+n,p+q}W \longrightarrow \Omega^2 X_{2+m+n,p+q}W$$

define a pairing of S_* -bispectra $X'f: (X'U, X'V) \rightarrow X''W$ where

$X^n W = \{\Omega^2 X_{2+n,q} W \mid n \geq 0, q \geq 0\}$ is equipped with the structure of an S_* -bispectrum evidently defined. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega CQU \wedge \Omega CV & \longrightarrow & \Omega^2 CQ^2 W^{[2]} \\ \eta \wedge \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\eta} \\ KU \wedge KV & \xrightarrow{Kf} & KW \end{array}$$

where $\Omega^2 CQ^2 W^{[2]}$ denotes $X''_{0*} W = \Omega^2 X_{2*} W$, and $\bar{\eta}$ the composite

$$X''_{0*} W \xrightarrow{\cong} X''_{*0} W \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{*0} W.$$

We now define a natural map $\bar{\nu}: CIsW \rightarrow \Omega^2 CQ^2 W^{[2]}$ such that the following diagram commutes in the stable category (cf. [14, 9.2]);

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} CIsU \wedge CIsV & \longrightarrow & CIsW \\ \nu \wedge \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\nu} \\ \Omega CQU \wedge \Omega CV & \longrightarrow & \Omega^2 CQ^2 W^{[2]} \end{array}$$

As stated in [11, §3], any biexact functor $g: C \times D \rightarrow E$ defines a 2-fold functor $C \amalg D \rightarrow E^{[2]}$ which induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} IsC \amalg IsD & \longrightarrow & LC \amalg IsD & \longrightarrow & QC \amalg IsD \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Is^2 E^{[2]} & \longrightarrow & LIsE^{[2]} & \longrightarrow & QIsE^{[2]} \end{array}$$

natural in g . (We denote $Is^2 = Is_1 Is_2$, $LIs = L_1 Is_2$ and $QIs = Q_1 Is_2$. Cf. [11].) Applying this construction to biexact functors

$$f_{p,q}: \hat{B}^p U(r_1, \dots, r_p) \times \hat{B}^q V(r_{p+1}, \dots, r_{p+q}) \rightarrow \hat{B}^{p+q} W(r_1, \dots, r_{p+q}),$$

and then realizing the associated Γ^{p+q} -spaces, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_p IsU \wedge C_q IsV & \longrightarrow & C_p LU \wedge C_q IsV & \longrightarrow & C_p QU \wedge C_q IsV \\ \rho_{p,q} \downarrow & & \rho''_{p,q} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho'_{p,q} \\ C_{p+q} Is^2 W^{[2]} & \longrightarrow & C_{p+q} LIs W^{[2]} & \longrightarrow & C_{p+q} QIs W^{[2]} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ |BIs^2(\hat{B}^{p+q} W)^{[2]}| & & |BLIs(\hat{B}^{p+q} W)^{[2]}| & & |BQIs(\hat{B}^{p+q} W)^{[2]}| \end{array}$$

for all $p, q \geq 0$. Since $\rho''_{p,q}$ is compatible with the null homotopies, the following diagram commutes;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_p \text{Is} U \wedge C_q \text{Is} V & \longrightarrow & \Omega C_p Q U \wedge_q \text{Is} V \\
 \rho_{p,q} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega \rho'_{p,q} \\
 C_{p+q} \text{Is}^2 W^{[2]} & \longrightarrow & \Omega C_{p+q} Q \text{Is} W^{[2]}.
 \end{array}$$

By the definition, $\{\rho_{p,q}\}$ and $\{\rho'_{p,q}\}$ are pairings of S_* -spectra, and hence we have a diagram

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 C \text{Is} U \wedge C \text{Is} V & \longrightarrow & C \text{Is}^2 W^{[2]} \\
 \nu \wedge 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu' \\
 \Omega C Q U \wedge C \text{Is} V & \longrightarrow & \Omega C Q \text{Is} W^{[2]}
 \end{array}$$

which commutes in the stable category.

Similarly we have a commutative diagram

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 C Q U \wedge C \text{Is} V & \longrightarrow & C Q \text{Is} W^{[2]} \\
 1 \wedge \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu'' \\
 C Q U \wedge \Omega C Q V & \longrightarrow & \Omega C Q^2 W^{[2]}
 \end{array}$$

associated with the natural sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Q U \amalg \text{Is} V & \longrightarrow & Q U \amalg L V & \longrightarrow & Q U \amalg Q V \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Q \text{Is} W^{[2]} & \longrightarrow & Q L W^{[2]} & \longrightarrow & Q^2 W^{[2]}.
 \end{array}$$

By [14, 9.2.3] we see that the following diagram commutes up to natural homotopy

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 C \text{Is} U \wedge C \text{Is} V & \longrightarrow & C \text{Is} W \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow u \\
 C \text{Is} U \wedge C \text{Is} V & \longrightarrow & C \text{Is}^2 W^{[2]}
 \end{array}$$

where $u_n: C_n \text{Is} W \xrightarrow{\cong} C_n \text{Is}^2 W^{[2]}$ denotes the canonical inclusion

$$|B \text{Is} \hat{B}^n W| = |B \text{Is}_{1,0_2}(\hat{B}^n W)^{[2]}| \rightarrow |B \text{Is}^2(\hat{B}^n W)^{[2]}|.$$

From (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we see that (4.1) commutes if we put $\vartheta = (\Omega \nu'') \nu' u$. Moreover, from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C \text{Is} W & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \Omega C Q W & \xlongequal{\quad} & X'_{0_*} W & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X'_{*0} W \\
 \downarrow u & & \downarrow \nu' & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 C \text{Is}^2 W^{[2]} & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & \Omega C Q \text{Is} W^{[2]} & \xrightarrow{\Omega \nu''} & X''_{0_*} W & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X''_{*0} W
 \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{c} \psi \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ X_{*0} W \end{array}$

in which u' consists of the canonical inclusions $u'_n: |BQ\phi(\hat{B}^n W)^{[2]}| \rightarrow |BQ\text{Is}(\hat{B}^n W)^{[2]}|$, we see that the composite $\eta\bar{\nu}: \mathcal{C}\text{Is}W \rightarrow \Omega^2\mathcal{C}Q^2W^{[2]} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}W$ coincides with $\kappa = \eta\nu$. Therefore the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}\text{Is}U \wedge \mathcal{C}\text{Is}V & \xrightarrow{cf} & \mathcal{C}\text{Is}W \\ \kappa \wedge \kappa \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa \\ \mathcal{K}U \wedge \mathcal{K}V & \xrightarrow{\kappa f} & \mathcal{K}W \end{array}$$

commutes in the stable category.

Q. E. D.

4.3. Proof of Theorem C. Given a ring R , we define A_nR as follows. For each $n \geq 0$,

$$A_nR = \Omega^n \mathcal{C}_n \text{Is}P(S^n R)$$

where $S^n R = R \otimes (\overset{n}{\otimes} SZ)$ (cf. [5]); and the structure map $A_nR \wedge S^1 \rightarrow A_{n+1}R$ is defined as the composite

$$\begin{aligned} A_nR \wedge S^1 &\xrightarrow{1 \wedge \iota} \Omega^n \mathcal{C}_n \text{Is}P(S^n R) \wedge \Omega \mathcal{C}_1 \text{Is}P(SZ) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Omega^{n+1} \mathcal{C}_{n,1} f} \Omega^{n+1} \mathcal{C}_{n+1} \text{Is}P(S^{n+1}R) = A_{n+1}R \end{aligned}$$

where f denotes the evident pairing

$$\text{Is}P(S^n R) \times \text{Is}P(SZ) \longrightarrow \text{Is}P(S^n R \otimes SZ) = \text{Is}P(S^{n+1}R),$$

and

$$\iota: S^1 \longrightarrow B\text{Is}P(SZ) \subset \Omega \mathcal{C}_1 \text{Is}P(SZ)$$

the cellular inclusion corresponding to the '1-cell'

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \in GL_1 SZ.$$

Note that ι represents a generator of $K_1 SZ = K_0 Z = Z$ (cf. [5]).

Using the standard S_n -action on $\overset{n}{\otimes} SZ$, we define an S_n -action on A_nR by

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, S^n \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{C}_n \text{Is}P(S^n R)) &\mapsto (S^n \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} S^n \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{C}_n \text{Is}P(R \otimes (\overset{n}{\otimes} SZ))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\tau \mathcal{C}_n \text{Is}P(1 \otimes \tau)} \mathcal{C}_n \text{Is}P(R \otimes (\overset{n}{\otimes} SZ)). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that AR becomes an S_* -spectrum with respect to this action.

Given rings R and R' we have a natural pairing

$$\text{IsP}(S^m R) \times \text{IsP}(S^n R') \rightarrow \text{IsP}(S^m R \otimes S^n R') \cong \text{IsP}(S^{m+n}(R \otimes R'))$$

of symmetric monoidal categories, and this in turn induces a map

$$\begin{aligned} A_m R \wedge A_n R' &= \Omega^m C_m \text{IsP}(S^m R) \wedge \Omega^n C_n \text{IsP}(S^n R') \\ &\longrightarrow \Omega^{m+n} C_{m+n} \text{IsP}(S^{m+n}(R \otimes R')) = A_{m+n}(R \otimes R'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a natural pairing

$$\mu: (AR, AR') \longrightarrow A(R \otimes R')$$

of S_* -spectra.

If $n \geq 1$, then the canonical inclusion $f_n: B\text{IsP}(S^n R) \rightarrow \Omega^n C_n \text{IsP}(S^n R)$ is a group completion, and hence there is a homotopy equivalence

$$A_n R \simeq K_0 S^n R \times BGL S^n R^+ = \mathbb{G}W_n R.$$

By the definition we see that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\text{IsP}(S^m R) \wedge B\text{IsP}(S^n R') & \longrightarrow & B\text{IsP}(S^{m+n}(R \otimes R')) \\ \downarrow f_m \wedge f_n & & \downarrow f_{m+n} \\ A_m R \wedge A_n R' & \xrightarrow{\mu_{m,n}} & A_{m+n}(R \otimes R') \end{array}$$

commutes, and that the structure map $A_n R \wedge S^1 \rightarrow A_{n+1} R$ coincides with the composite $\mu_{n,1}(1 \wedge \iota)$. Hence the conditions (2) and (3) hold.

We now define a CW -bispectrum $\mathbb{X}R$ as follows.

$$\mathbb{X}_{n,q} R = \Omega^q C_{n+q} \text{IsP}(S^q R);$$

and the structure maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}_{n,q} R \wedge S^1 &= \Omega^q C_{n+q} \text{IsP}(S^q R) \wedge S^1 \\ &\longrightarrow \Omega^q C_{n+q+1} \text{IsP}(S^q R) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Omega^q c_{n,q}} \Omega^q C_{n+1+q} \text{IsP}(S^q R) = \mathbb{X}_{n+1,q} R \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}_{n,q} R \wedge S^1 &\xrightarrow{1 \wedge \iota} \Omega^q C_{n+q} \text{IsP}(S^q R) \wedge \Omega C_1 \text{Is}(S\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\longrightarrow \Omega^{q+1} C_{n+q+1} \text{IsP}(S^{q+1} R) = \mathbb{X}_{n,q+1} R. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbb{X}R$ becomes an S_* -bispectrum if each $\mathbb{X}_{n,q} R$ is endowed with the $S_n \times S_q$ -action

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma, \tau), S^q \xrightarrow{x} C_{n+q} \text{IsP}(S^q R)) &\longmapsto (S^q \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} S^q \xrightarrow{x} C_{n+q} \text{IsP}(S^q R) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\sigma+\tau) C_{n+q} \text{IsP}(1 \otimes \tau)} C_{n+q} \text{IsP}(S^q R)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $e: X_{0*} = AR \rightarrow DXR$ is a homotopy equivalence, we have a natural map

$$\alpha: CISP(R) = X_{*0}R \longrightarrow AR$$

(natural in $H\mathcal{S}$).

Finally the maps $X_{m,p}R \wedge X_{n,q}R' \rightarrow X_{m+n,p+q}(R \otimes R')$ defined as the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^p C_{m,p} \text{IsP}(S^p R) \wedge \Omega^q C_{n,q} \text{IsP}(S^q R') \\ \longrightarrow \Omega^{p+q} C_{m+p+n,q} \text{IsP}(S^{p+q}(R \otimes R')) \\ \xrightarrow{\Omega^{p+q(1+\tau+1)}} \Omega^{p+q} C_{m+n+p+q} \text{IsP}(S^{p+q}(R \otimes R')) \end{aligned}$$

determine a natural pairing $(XR, XR') \rightarrow X(R \otimes R')$ of S_* -bispectra. Hence α becomes a multiplicative natural transformation.

Q. E. D.

References

- [1] Adams, J. F., *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*, The University of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [2] Fiedorowitz, Z., A note on the spectra of algebraic K-theory, *Topology*, **16** (1977), 417-421.
- [3] Gersten, S., On the spectrum of algebraic K-theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1972), 216-219.
- [4] Grayson, D., Higher algebraic K-theory II (after D. Quillen), in Algebraic K-theory: Evanston 1976, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **551**, Springer, 1977.
- [5] Loday, J.-L., K-théorie algébrique et représentations de groupes, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, **9** (1976), 309-377.
- [6] May, J. P., The spectra associated to permutative categories, *Topology*, **17** (1978), 225-228.
- [7] ———, Pairings of categories and spectra, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, **19** (1980), 299-346.
- [8] May, J. P. and Thomason, R., The uniqueness of infinite loop space machines, *Topology*, **17** (1978), 205-224.
- [9] Segal, G., Categories and cohomology theories, *Topology*, **13** (1974), 293-312.
- [10] Shimada, N. and Shimakawa, K., Delooping symmetric monoidal categories, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **9** (1979), 627-645.
- [11] Shimakawa, K., Multiple categories and algebraic K-theory, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, **41** (1986), 285-304.
- [12] Street, R., Two constructions on lax functors, *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle*, **13** (1972), 217-264.
- [13] Wagoner, J., Delooping classifying spaces in algebraic K-theory, *Topology*, **11** (1972), 349-370.
- [14] Waldhausen, F., Algebraic K-theory of generalized free products, *Ann. of Math.*, **108** (1978), 135-256.
- [15] Weibel, C., A Survey of products in algebraic K-theory, in Algebraic K-theory: Evanston 1980, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **854**, Springer, 1981.
- [16] Wolfson, R., Hyper Γ -spaces and hyperspectra, *Quart. J. Math.*, **30** (1979), 229-255.