

## Cohen–Macaulay coordinate rings of blowup schemes

S. Dale Cutkosky and Jürgen Herzog\*

**Abstract.** Suppose that  $Y$  is a projective  $k$ -scheme with Cohen–Macaulay coordinate ring  $S$ . Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal of  $S$ .  $I$  can be blown up to produce a projective  $k$ -scheme  $X$  which birationally dominates  $Y$ . Let  $I_c$  be the degree  $c$  part of  $I$ . Then  $k[I_c]$  is a coordinate ring of a projective embedding of  $X$  for all  $c$  sufficiently large. This paper considers the question of when there exists a constant  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay for  $c \geq ef$ . A very general result is proved, giving a simple criterion for a linear bound of this type. As a consequence, local complete intersections have this property, as well as many other ideals.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (1991).** 14M05, 13H10.

**Keywords.** Cohen–Macaulay, coordinate ring.

### Introduction

Suppose that  $Y$  is a projective  $k$ -scheme with Cohen–Macaulay coordinate ring  $S$ . Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal of  $S$ . Then  $I$  can be blown up to produce a projective  $k$ -scheme  $X$  which birationally dominates  $Y$ . Let  $I_c$  be the degree  $c$  part of  $I$ . Then  $k[I_c]$  is a coordinate ring of a projective embedding of  $X$  for all  $c$  sufficiently large. In general,  $k[I_c]$  is not Cohen–Macaulay even when  $X$  is Cohen–Macaulay (a simple example is given in Section 1). Recently, [3], [5], [6], [13] have given criteria for  $k[I_c]$  to be Cohen–Macaulay in many important situations.

Powers  $I^e$  of  $I$  blow up to the same scheme  $X$ , and the rings  $k[(I^e)_c]$  for  $c \gg e > 0$  are coordinate rings of projective embeddings of  $X$ .

In Theorem 4.6 [3] an explicit necessary and sufficient linear bound in  $c$  and  $e$  is given for  $k[(I^e)_c]$  to be Cohen–Macaulay, when  $S$  is a polynomial ring of dimension  $n$  and  $I$  is a complete intersection in  $S$ . Suppose that the complete intersection ideal  $I$  is minimally generated by forms of degree  $d_1, \dots, d_r$ . Assume that  $c \geq ed + 1$ ,  $d = \max\{d_j | j = 1, \dots, r\}$ . Then  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is a Cohen–Macaulay ring if and only if  $c > \sum_{j=1}^r d_j + (e-1)d - n$ .

This leads to the question of when there exists a constant  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$

---

\* First author partially supported by NSF.

is Cohen–Macaulay for  $c \geq ef$ . In other words, when is there a linear bound on  $c$  and  $e$  ensuring that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay?

For instance, it is natural to expect that ideals  $I$  that are local complete intersections (that is,  $IS_p$  is a complete intersection if  $p$  is not the irrelevant ideal of  $S$ ) will have this property. The Kodaira Vanishing Theorem suggests that there should be a linear bound ensuring that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay, at least when a regular ideal is blown up in a nonsingular projective variety of characteristic zero.

In this paper, we prove a very general result (Theorem 4.1) giving a simple criterion for a linear bound of this type. As a consequence, we show (Corollary 4.2) that local complete intersections have this property, as well as many other ideals (Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4).

### 1. Coordinate rings of a blowup

Throughout this paper we will have the following assumptions. Let  $k$  be a field,  $S$  a noetherian graded  $k$ -algebra which is generated in degree 1 with graded maximal ideal  $M$ . Then  $S$  has a presentation  $S = k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]/K$ , where each  $x_i$  is homogeneous of degree 1. Let  $\beta = \dim(S)$ ,  $\bar{n} = \beta - 1$ ,  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal, and let  $\tilde{I}$  be the sheaf associated to  $I$  in  $Y = \text{Proj}(S)$ . Let  $X = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus \tilde{I}^n)$  be the blowup of  $\tilde{I}$ , with natural map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ .  $I_c$  will denote the  $c$ -graded part of  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Suppose that  $I$  is generated in degree  $\leq d$ . Then*

- (1)  $(I_c) \cdot \mathcal{O}_X = \tilde{I}(c) \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$  for  $c \geq d$ .
- (2)  $I_c$  is a very ample subspace of  $\Gamma(X, \tilde{I}(c) \cdot \mathcal{O}_X)$  for  $c \geq d + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be generated by  $G_1, \dots, G_m$  where the  $G_i$  are homogeneous of degree  $d_i \leq d$ . Let

$$R_{ij} = \left( k \left[ \frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right] / K_i \right) \left[ \frac{G_1 x_i^{d_j - d_1}}{G_j}, \dots, \frac{G_m x_i^{d_j - d_m}}{G_j} \right],$$

$U_{ij} = \text{Spec}(R_{ij})$ .  $\{U_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  is an affine cover of  $X$ .  $\Gamma(U_{ij}, \tilde{I}(c) \cdot \mathcal{O}_X) = G_j x_i^{c - d_j} R_{ij}$ .

Since  $I_c \subset \Gamma(X, \tilde{I}(c) \cdot \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $G_j x_i^{c - d_j} \in I_c$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  whenever  $c \geq d$ , we have (1).

To establish (2) we will use the criteria of Proposition II.7.2 [7]. Suppose that  $c \geq d + 1$ . By (1),  $I_c$  gives a morphism of  $X$ .  $I_c$  is generated over  $k$  by  $\{G_j x_0^{l_0} x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n} : d_j + l_0 + l_1 + \cdots + l_n = c\}$ . Suppose that  $s = G_j x_0^{l_0} x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n}$  is one of these generators. Then some  $l_i > 0$ , and  $X_s \subset U_{ij}$ .

$$s = G_j x_0^{l_0} x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n} = G_j x_i^{c - d_j} \left( \frac{x_0}{x_i} \right)^{l_0} \cdots \left( \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right)^{l_n},$$

so that  $X_s = \text{Spec}(A)$  where

$$A = R_{ij} \left[ \left( \frac{x_i}{x_0} \right)^{l_0} \cdots \left( \frac{x_i}{x_n} \right)^{l_n} \right].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_j x_i^{c-d_j}}{G_j x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_n^{l_n}} &= \left( \frac{x_i}{x_0} \right)^{l_0} \cdots \left( \frac{x_i}{x_n} \right)^{l_n} \\ \frac{G_j x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_t^{l_t+1} \cdots x_i^{l_i-1} \cdots x_n^{l_n}}{G_j x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_n^{l_n}} &= \frac{x_t}{x_i} \\ \frac{G_t x_i^{c-d_t}}{G_j x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_n^{l_n}} \cdot \left( \frac{G_j x_0^{l_0+1} \cdots x_i^{l_i-1} \cdots x_n^{l_n}}{G_j x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_n^{l_n}} \right)^{l_0} \cdots \left( \frac{G_j x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_i^{l_i-1} \cdots x_n^{l_n+1}}{G_j x_0^{l_0} \cdots x_n^{l_n}} \right)^{l_n} \\ &= \frac{G_t x_i^{d_j-d_t}}{G_j} \end{aligned}$$

generate  $A$  as a  $k$ -algebra. □

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \tilde{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ , so that  $(I^e)_c \cdot \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{L}^e \otimes \mathcal{M}^c$  for  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq de$ , and  $X \cong \text{Proj}(k[(I^e)_c])$  for  $c \geq de + 1$ . In Lemma 1.2 we state the usual exact sequences relating local cohomology and global cohomology (cf. A4.1 [4]).

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose that  $I$  is generated in degree  $\leq d$ ,  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq de + 1$ . Let  $A = k[(I^e)_c]$ , with graded maximal ideal  $m$ . There are exact sequences*

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^0(A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc}) \rightarrow H_m^1(A) \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms

$$H_m^{i+1}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{Z}} H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc})$$

for  $i \geq 1$ .

Let  $I^*$  denote the intersection of the primary components which are not  $M$ -primary of an irredundant primary decomposition of  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *There exists a positive integer  $f$  such that  $(I^a)_b = (I^a)_b^*$  for all  $a, b$  with  $b \geq fa$ .*

*Proof.* This is immediate from the Main Theorem of Swanson [14] (cf. also Theorem 1.5 [10]), which states that there exists an integer  $f$  such that  $I^a$  has an irredundant primary decomposition  $I^a = q_1 \cap \cdots \cap q_s$  with  $(\sqrt{q_i})^{af} \subset q_i$  for all  $i$ . □

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that no associated prime of  $S$  contains  $I$ ,  $\text{depth}_M(S) \geq 2$  and  $\pi_*(\tilde{I}^e \cdot \mathcal{O}_X) = \tilde{I}^e$  for all  $e \geq 0$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that, with the notation of Lemma 1.2,  $H_m^0(A) = 0$  and  $H_m^1(A) = 0$  whenever  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq ef$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $I$  is generated in degree  $\leq d$ . By Lemma 1.3 there exists an integer  $f'$  such that  $(I^t)_s = (I^t)_s^*$  for  $s \geq f't$ . Set  $f = \max(f', d + 1)$ .

By consideration of the natural inclusion  $A \subset S[It]$ , we have  $H_M^0(A) = 0$  since  $H_I^0(S) = 0$ .  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(s)) = H_M^1(S)_s = 0$  for all  $s < 0$  since  $\text{depth}_M(S) \geq 2$ . From the inclusions

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}^{sc}) = \Gamma(Y, (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(sc)) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(sc))$$

where the first equality is by the projection formula (cf. Exercise II.5.1 [7]), we get  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc}) = 0$  for  $s < 0$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be the  $(c, e)$  diagonal of  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  ([3]). For  $c \geq ed$ , we have  $k[(I^e)_c] = S[It]_\Delta$ , as in Lemma 1.2 of [3].

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc}) &= \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H^0(Y, \pi_*(\mathcal{L}^{se}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(sc)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{Z}} H^0(Y, \tilde{I}^{se}(sc)) \\ &= (\Gamma(\text{Spec}(S) - M, \tilde{I}))_\Delta \\ &= \left( \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} (I^t)^* \right)_\Delta = S[It]_\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 1.2 implies  $H_m^1(A) = 0$ . □

The condition of the existence of a Cohen–Macaulay coordinate ring is somewhat delicate, as shown by the following simple example of a Cohen–Macaulay scheme obtained by blowing up an ideal sheaf on a scheme with a Cohen–Macaulay coordinate ring, which does not have a Cohen–Macaulay coordinate ring. Let  $T$  be a nonsingular “irregular” projective surface ( $H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \neq 0$ ). Let  $\pi: T \rightarrow U$  be a birational projection onto a hypersurface in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ .  $\pi$  is the blowup of an ideal sheaf on  $U$ . The coordinate ring of  $U$  is Cohen–Macaulay, and certainly  $T$  is Cohen–Macaulay, but no coordinate ring of  $T$  can be Cohen–Macaulay since  $T$  is irregular.

However, we can give a simple proof of the existence of a linear bound ensuring that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay when  $k$  has characteristic zero,  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay,  $Y$  is nonsingular,  $I$  is equidimensional and  $\text{Proj}(S/I)$  is nonsingular. The proof has three ingredients:

- (1) The Kodaira Vanishing Theorem.

- (2) In this situation (everything nonsingular)  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$  (cf. Proposition 10.2 [11]).
- (3) Lemma 1.4.

If  $\mathcal{N}$  is an ample invertible sheaf on a smooth projective variety  $Z$  of characteristic zero and dimension  $t$ , with dualizing sheaf  $\omega_Z$ , then Kodaira Vanishing states that  $H^i(Z, \mathcal{N} \otimes \omega_Z) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . The Serre-dual form of Kodaira Vanishing is  $H^i(Z, \mathcal{N}^{-1}) = 0$  for  $i < t$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** *Suppose that  $k$  has characteristic zero,  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay,  $Y$  is nonsingular,  $I$  is equidimensional and  $\text{Proj}(S/I)$  is nonsingular. Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc}) = 0$$

for all  $s \in \mathbf{Z}$  if  $0 < i < \bar{n}$ ,  $c \geq ef$ ,  $e > 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I$  has height  $r$ , and is generated in degree  $< d$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $\mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b$  is very ample if  $b > ad$ . We immediately get  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc}) = 0$  if  $c > ed$ ,  $s < 0$  and  $i < \bar{n}$ , since  $\mathcal{L}^e \otimes \mathcal{M}^c$  is then ample.

$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  for  $0 < i$ , by the Leray spectral sequence, since  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$  for  $i > 0$ ,  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$  and  $H^j(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  for  $0 < j$  (since  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay).

Let  $\omega_Y$  be a dualizing sheaf on  $Y$ .  $\omega_Y^{-1}(g)$  is ample on  $Y$  for some  $g > 0$ .  $\omega_X = \mathcal{L}^{1-r} \otimes \omega_Y$  is a dualizing sheaf on  $X$ .

$$\mathcal{L}^e \otimes \mathcal{M}^c \cong \mathcal{L}^{e+r-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^c \otimes \omega_Y^{-1} \otimes \omega_X.$$

$\mathcal{L}^{e+r-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^c \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}$  is ample if  $c > g + (e+r-1)d$ , and then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{se} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{sc}) = 0$  for  $s > 0$  and  $i > 0$ . □

**Theorem 1.6.** *Suppose that  $k$  has characteristic zero,  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay,  $Y$  is nonsingular,  $I$  is equidimensional and  $\text{Proj}(S/I)$  is nonsingular. Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay whenever  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq ef$ .*

*Proof.* The assumptions of Lemma 1.4 are satisfied by Proposition 10.2 [11] (or Example 2.3) and Proposition III.8.5 [7]. By Lemmas 1.2, 1.4 and Proposition 1.5 there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  has depth  $\bar{n} + 1$  at  $m$  whenever  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq ef$ . □

Unfortunately, Kodaira Vanishing fails in positive characteristic or if anything is not (almost) nonsingular. However, we obtain a very general result which is sufficient for this global part of the argument in Section 3. The second ingredient is local. We give a very simple argument to generalize this part in Section 2.

## 2. Local conditions

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a local ring, essentially of finite type over a field  $k$  and  $J \subset R$  is an ideal. Let  $W = \text{Spec}(R)$ ,  $V = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} J^n)$ ,  $E = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} J^n/J^{n+1})$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \tilde{J} \cdot \mathcal{O}_V$ . Suppose that*

$$\Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E(m)) = J^m/J^{m+1} \quad \text{for } m \geq 0$$

and

$$H^i(E, \mathcal{O}_E(m)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } m \geq 0.$$

Then  $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}^m) = J^m$  if  $m \geq 0$  and  $H^q(V, \mathcal{L}^m) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  and  $m \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_V(1)$  on  $V$ .

We have exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(m+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(m) \rightarrow 0$$

for all integers  $m$ . Thus we have surjections

$$H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V(m+1)) \rightarrow H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V(m))$$

for  $i > 0$  and  $m \geq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_V(m)$  is ample, we have  $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V(m)) = 0$  for all  $m \gg 0$ , and  $i > 0$ , so we have all of the desired vanishing. We also have exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V(m+1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V(m)) \rightarrow J^m/J^{m+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

for  $m \geq 0$ . Since  $R$  is a localization of a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra,  $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V(m)) = J^m$  for  $m \gg 0$  (cf. Exercise II.5.9 of [7]). Thus it follows from (1) that  $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V(m)) = J^m$  for all  $m \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let notation be as in Lemma 2.1. Suppose that  $V$  is Cohen–Macaulay. Let  $\omega_V$  be a dualizing sheaf on  $V$  and  $\omega_E$  be a dualizing sheaf on  $E$ . Suppose that  $H^i(E, \omega_E(m)) = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $H^q(V, \omega_V \otimes \mathcal{L}^m) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  and  $m \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* The ideal sheaf of  $E$  is  $I \cdot \mathcal{O}_V \cong \mathcal{O}_V(1)$ . By “adjunction” (cf. Proposition 2.4 [1] or Theorem III 7.11 [7]) we have

$$\omega_E \cong \omega_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(-1).$$

Since  $\omega_V$  is Cohen–Macaulay, we deduce from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0$$

exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_V(m+1) \rightarrow \omega_V(m) \rightarrow \omega_E(m+1) \rightarrow 0$$

for all integers  $m$ .

Thus we have surjections

$$H^i(V, \omega_V(m+1)) \rightarrow H^i(V, \omega_V(m))$$

for  $i > 0$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_V(m)$  is ample, we have  $H^i(V, \omega_V(m)) = 0$  for all  $m \gg 0$  and  $i > 0$ , so we have all of the desired vanishing.  $\square$

**Example 2.3.** Suppose that  $R$  is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring, essentially of finite type over a field  $k$  and  $J \subset R$  is an ideal generated by a regular sequence. Then the conclusions of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 hold.

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  be a minimal set of generators of  $I$ .  $V$  is Cohen–Macaulay,  $E = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} J^n/J^{n+1}) \cong \mathbf{P}_{R/J}^{r-1}$ ,  $\omega_E \cong (\omega_W/J\omega_W) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_E(-r)$ . Now the assumptions of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 follow from the cohomology of projective space and the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_E(m)) &\cong R/J \otimes_k H^i(\mathbf{P}_k^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(m)), \\ H^i(E, (\omega_W/J\omega_W) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_E(m)) &\cong (\omega_W/J\omega_W) \otimes_k H^i(\mathbf{P}_k^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(m)) \end{aligned}$$

by the Künneth formula (cf. p. 77 of [12]).  $\square$

Let

$$T = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} J^n/J^{n+1}$$

be the associated graded ring of  $J$ , and let  $N$  be the ideal of positive degree elements of  $T$ . Suppose that  $T$  is Cohen–Macaulay. Then there is a canonical module  $W_T$  of  $T$  such that the sheaf associated to  $W_T$  is a dualizing sheaf  $\omega_E$  on  $E$ . The vanishing hypotheses of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 hold whenever

$$H_N^i(T)_c = 0$$

for  $i \geq 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  and

$$H_N^i(W_T)_c = 0$$

for  $i \geq 2$  and  $c \geq 2$ .

An ideal  $J$  in a ring  $R$  is called strongly Cohen–Macaulay if the Koszul homology modules of  $I$  with respect to a generating set are Cohen–Macaulay. Let  $\mu(J)$  denote the minimal number of generators of an ideal  $J$ .

**Example 2.4.** Suppose that  $R$  is a Gorenstein local ring, essentially of finite type over a field  $k$  and  $J \subset R$  is a strongly Cohen–Macaulay ideal, with  $\mu(J_P) \leq$

height( $P$ ) for all primes  $P$  containing  $J$ . Then the conclusions of Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 hold.

*Proof.* Suppose  $J$  is of height  $g$  generated by  $n$  elements. Let  $S = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a polynomial ring over  $R$ . Let  $H(J)$  denote the Koszul homology  $H(f_1, \dots, f_n, R)$  where  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are generators of  $J$ .  $W_{R/J} = \text{Ext}^g(R/J, R) \cong H_{n-g}(J)$  is the last non-vanishing  $H_i(J)$ . The approximation complex  $\mathcal{M}$  is

$$0 \rightarrow H_{n-g}(J) \otimes S(-n+g) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_1(J) \otimes S(-1) \rightarrow H_0(J) \otimes S \rightarrow 0.$$

In [8], it is shown that  $H^0(\mathcal{M}) = \oplus J^n/J^{n+1}$ . By Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 [8]  $\mathcal{M}$  is acyclic and  $\oplus J^n/J^{n+1}, \oplus J^n$  are Cohen–Macaulay.

Let  $\bar{S} = R/J[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , with canonical module

$$W_{\bar{S}} = W_{R/J} \otimes S(-n) = H_{n-g}(J) \otimes S(-n).$$

$H_N^i(T)$  is dual to  $\text{Ext}_{\bar{S}}^{n-i}(T, W_{\bar{S}})$  (cf. Theorem 3.6.19 [2]). We have  $\text{Ext}_{\bar{S}}^i(T, W_{\bar{S}}) = 0$  for  $i \neq n-g$  since  $T$  is a Cohen–Macaulay module of dimension  $\dim(R)$ , and  $\dim(\bar{S}) - \dim(T) = n-g$ . By (c) of Theorem 2.6 [8] we can realize  $W_T$  as the cokernel of

$$H_1(J) \otimes S(-g-1) \rightarrow H_0(J) \otimes S(-g)$$

so that  $W_T \cong T(-g)$ .

From this we see that  $W_T$  is supported in degree  $g$ , so that  $H_N^g(T)_j = 0$  for  $j > -g$  and  $H_N^g(W_T)_j = 0$  for  $j > 0$ .

By a Theorem of Huneke (Theorem 1.14 [9]), all ideals in the linkage class of a complete intersection in a Gorenstein local ring are strongly Cohen–Macaulay. For instance, codimension 2 perfect and Gorenstein codimension 3 ideals are strongly Cohen–Macaulay.

**Remark 2.5.** The conclusions of Example 2.4 are true when  $R$  is not Gorenstein but only Cohen–Macaulay.

In this case  $\mathcal{M}^*$  is acyclic with zeroth homology  $W_T$ , and we can use  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^*$  to compute the desired vanishing.

### 3. Global conditions

We return to the notation and hypotheses of Section 1.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that*

$$R^j \pi_* (\tilde{I}^a \cdot \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a \leq \bar{n} + 1, \quad j > 0.$$



Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) = 0 \quad \text{for } j > 0, a > 0 \text{ and } b \geq fa.$$

*Proof.* After possibly tensoring with an extension field of  $k$ , we may suppose that  $k$  is an infinite field. Suppose that  $I$  is generated in degree  $\leq d$ . Set  $d' = d + 1$ . Let  $D_1, \dots, D_{\bar{n}}$  be general members of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{d'})$ . Let

$$L_i = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_i$$

be the (scheme theoretic) intersection.  $L_i$  has dimension  $\bar{n} - i$ . Set  $L_0 = X$ .

We have short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i} &\rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^{a+1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{b+d'}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i} \\ &\rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^{a+1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{b+d'}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_{i+1}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for all integers  $a$  and  $b$  and  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{n} - 1$ .

$$R^j \pi_* (\mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) = R^j \pi_* (\tilde{I}^a \cdot \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(b) = 0$$

for  $j > 0$  and  $1 \leq a \leq \bar{n} + 1$ . From the Leray spectral sequence

$$H^i(Y, R^j \pi_* (\mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b)$$

we have

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) = H^j(Y, \pi_* (\tilde{I}^a \cdot \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(b)).$$

There thus exists an integer  $f \geq d'$  such that

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) = 0 \quad \text{for } j > 0, 1 \leq a \leq \bar{n} + 1 \text{ and } b \geq f \tag{3}$$

since  $\pi_* (\tilde{I}^a \cdot \mathcal{O}_X)$  is coherent and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  is ample on  $Y$ .

By (3) and induction applied to the long exact cohomology sequences associated to (2) we have

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i}) = 0 \text{ for } j > 0, i + 1 \leq a \leq \bar{n} + 1 \text{ and } b \geq f + id'. \tag{4}$$

The following inductive statement (5)  $\implies$  (6) can be established by induction using the exact sequences (2), (4) and the equality

$$(a, b) = (a - i - 1)(1, d') + (i + 1, b - (a - i - 1)d').$$

Note that if  $a \geq i + 1$  and  $b \geq f + (a - 1)d'$  then  $b - (a - i - 1)d' \geq f + id'$ .

Suppose that

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_{i+1}}) = 0 \text{ for } j > 0, i + 2 \leq a \text{ and } b \geq f + (a - 1)d'. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i}) = 0 \text{ for } j > 0, i + 1 \leq a \text{ and } b \geq f + (a - 1)d'. \quad (6)$$

$L_{\bar{n}}$  has dimension 0, so that (6) is immediate for  $i = \bar{n}$ . Thus the proposition follows from descending induction on  $i$  using the above statement (5)  $\implies$  (6).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme and*

$$R^j \pi_*(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^t) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq \bar{n} + 1, j > 0.$$

*Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that*

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < \bar{n}, a > 0 \text{ and } b \geq fa.$$

*Proof.* After possibly tensoring with an extension field of  $k$ , we may suppose that  $k$  is an infinite field. Suppose that  $I$  is generated in degree  $\leq d$ . Set  $d' = d + 1$ . Let  $D_1, \dots, D_{\bar{n}}$  be general members of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{d'})$ . Let

$$L_i = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_i$$

be the (scheme theoretic) intersection.  $L_i$  has dimension  $\bar{n} - i$ . Set  $L_0 = X$ .

We have short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^{-a-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b-d'}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i} &\rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i} \\ &\rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_{i+1}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all integers  $a$  and  $b$  and  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{n} - 1$ .

By Serre-duality,

$$\begin{aligned} H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b}) &= H^{\bar{n}-j}(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b). \\ R^j \pi_*(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) &= R^j \pi_*(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^a) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(b) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $j > 0$  and  $1 \leq a \leq \bar{n} + 1$ . From the Leray spectral sequence, we have

$$H^{\bar{n}-j}(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) = H^{\bar{n}-j}(Y, \pi_*(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^a) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(b)).$$

Hence there exists an integer  $f \geq d'$  such that

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < \bar{n}, 1 \leq a \leq \bar{n} + 1 \text{ and } b \geq f \quad (8)$$

since  $\pi_*(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^a)$  is coherent and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  is ample on  $Y$ .

By (8) and induction applied to the long exact cohomology sequences associated to (7) we have

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < \bar{n} - i, 1 \leq a \leq \bar{n} + 1 - i \text{ and } b \geq f. \quad (9)$$

The following inductive statement (10)  $\implies$  (11) can be established by induction using the exact sequences (7), (9) and the equality

$$(a, b) = (a - 1)(1, d') + (1, b - (a - 1)d').$$

Note that if  $a \geq 1$  and  $b \geq f + (a - 1)d'$  then  $b - (a - 1)d' \geq f$ .

Suppose that

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_{i+1}}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < \bar{n} - i - 1, 1 \leq a \text{ and } b \geq f + (a - 1)d'. \quad (10)$$

Then

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L_i}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j < \bar{n} - i, 1 \leq a \text{ and } b \geq f + (a - 1)d'. \quad (11)$$

(11) is immediate for  $i = \bar{n}$ . Thus the proposition follows from descending induction on  $i$  using the above statement (10)  $\implies$  (11).  $\square$

#### 4. Linear bounds for Cohen–Macaulay coordinate rings

Let  $k$  be a field,  $S$  a noetherian graded  $k$ -algebra which is generated in degree 1, with graded maximal ideal  $M$ . Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal, and let  $\tilde{I}$  be the sheaf associated to  $I$  in  $Y = \text{Proj}(S)$ . Let  $X = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus \tilde{I}^n)$  be the blowup of  $\tilde{I}$ , with natural map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = \tilde{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $\beta$  be the dimension of  $S$ ,  $\bar{n} = \beta - 1$  be the dimension of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $I$  is an ideal of height  $> 0$ ,  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay and  $X$  is a Cohen–Macaulay scheme. Let*

$$E = \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \tilde{I}^n / \tilde{I}^{n+1} \right)$$

with dualizing sheaf  $\omega_E$ . Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_E(m) &= \tilde{I}^m / \tilde{I}^{m+1} \quad \text{for } m \geq 0, \\ R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_E(m) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } m \geq 0 \text{ and} \\ R^i \pi_* \omega_E(m) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } m \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay whenever  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq ef$ .

*Proof.*  $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$  by Lemma 2.1 (and Proposition III.8.5 [7]).  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay so that  $H_M^i(S) = 0$  for  $i < \beta$  and  $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$  for  $0 < i < \bar{n}$ . Now by the Leray spectral sequence,  $H^i(Y, R^j \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , we get  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  for  $0 < i < \bar{n}$ .

By Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1 we have an  $f$  such that  $H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{M}^b) = 0$  for  $j > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $b \geq fa$ . By Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.2 there exists  $f$  such that  $H^j(X, \mathcal{L}^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-b}) = 0$  for  $j < \bar{n}$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $b \geq fa$ .

Now the Theorem follows from Lemmas 1.4 and 1.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Suppose that  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay,  $I$  is an ideal of height  $> 0$  and  $\tilde{I}$  is locally a complete intersection in  $Y = \text{Proj}(S)$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay whenever  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq ef$ .*

*Proof.* This is immediate from Example 2.3.  $\square$

The following Corollary is now immediate from the comments following Example 2.3. By a canonical module  $W_T$  we mean a canonical module whose associated sheaf is a dualizing sheaf of  $\text{Proj}(T)$ .  $I_{(P)}^n$  denoted the degree 0 elements of the localization  $I_P^n$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose that*

- (1)  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay.
- (2)  $I$  is an ideal of height  $> 0$ .
- (3)  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_{(P)}^n$  and  $T(P) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I^n / I^{n+1})_{(P)}$  are Cohen–Macaulay for all  $P \in \text{Proj}(S)$ .
- (4)  $H_P^i(T(P))_c = 0$  for  $i \geq 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  and  $H_P^i(W_{T(P)})_c = 0$  for  $i \geq 2$  and  $c \geq 2$  for all  $P \in \text{Proj}(S)$ , where  $W_{T(P)}$  is the canonical module of  $T(P)$ ,  $\bar{P}$  is the maximal ideal of  $S_{(P)}$ .

Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay whenever  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq ef$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** *Suppose that  $S$  is Cohen–Macaulay,  $I$  is an ideal of height  $> 0$  and  $I_{(P)}$  is strongly Cohen–Macaulay with  $\mu(I_{(P)}) \leq \text{height}(P)$  for all primes  $P \in Y$  containing  $I$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $f$  such that  $k[(I^e)_c]$  is Cohen–Macaulay whenever  $e > 0$  and  $c \geq ef$ .*

*Proof.* The assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied by Example 2.4 and Remark 2.5.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **146**, Springer Verlag, 1970.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Univ., 1993.
- [3] A. Conca, J. Herzog, N. V. Trung and G. Valla, Diagonal subalgebras of bigraded algebras and embeddings of blow-ups of projective spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **119** (1997), 859–901.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [5] A. Geramita, A. Gimigliano and B. Harbourne, Projectively normal but superabundant embeddings of rational surfaces in projective spaces, *J. Algebra* **169** (1994), 791–213.
- [6] A. Geramita, A. Gimigliano and Y. Pitteloud, Graded Betti numbers of some embedded rational  $n$ -folds, *Math. Annalen* **301** (1995), 363–380.
- [7] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, 1977.
- [8] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Approximation complexes of blowing-up rings, *J. Alg.* **74** (1982), 466–493.
- [9] C. Huneke, Linkage and the Koszul homology of ideals, *Amer. J. Math.* **104** (1982), 1043–1062.
- [10] D. Katz and S. McAdam, Two asymptotic functions, *Comm. in Alg.* **17** (1989), 1069–1091.
- [11] H. Matsumura, Geometric structure of the cohomology rings in abstract algebraic geometry, *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto (A)* **32** (1959), 33–84.
- [12] D. Mumford, *Annals of Math. Studies 59, Lectures on Curves on an algebraic surface*, Princeton U. Press, 1966.
- [13] A. Simis, N. V. Trung, and G. Valla, The diagonal subalgebra of a blow-up algebra. Preprint.
- [14] I. Swanson, Powers of ideals: Primary decompositions, Artin–Rees Lemma and Regularity. *Math. Annalen* **307** (1997), 299–313.

Jürgen Herzog  
 FB 6 Mathematik und Informatik  
 Universität-GHS-Essen  
 Postfach 103764  
 D-45117 Essen  
 Germany  
 e-mail: mat300@uni-essen.de

S. Dale Cutkosky  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Missouri  
 Columbia, MO 65211  
 USA  
 e-mail: dale@cutkosky.math.missouri.edu

(Received: January 5, 1997)