<span id="page-0-0"></span>Comment. Math. Helv. 86 (2011), 659–674 DOI 10.4171/CMH/237

**Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici** © Swiss Mathematical Society

# **Complete constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous spaces**

José M. Espinar\* and Harold Rosenberg

**Abstract.** In this paper we classify complete surfaces of constant mean curvature whose Gaussian curvature does not change sign in a simply connected homogeneous manifold with a 4 dimensional isometry group.

**Mathematic[s Sub](#page-15-0)ject Classification (2010).** 53A10, 53C21.

**Keywords.** Constant mean curvature, homogeneous spaces.

# **[1.](#page-15-0) Introduction**

In 1966, T. Klotz and R. Ossermann showed the following:

**The[orem](#page-14-0)** ([\[KO\]\)](#page-14-0). *[A](#page-14-0) [complete](#page-14-0)* H*[-sur](#page-14-0)f[ace](#page-14-0) [in](#page-14-0)* R[3](#page-14-0) *whose Gaussian curvature* K *does not change sign is either a sphere, a minimal surface, or a right circular cylinder.*

The above result was extended to  $\mathbb{S}^3$  by D. Hoffman [H], and to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  by R. Tribuzy [T] with an extra hypothesis if  $K$  is non-positive. The additional hypothesis says that, when  $K \le 0$ , one has  $H^2 - K - 1 > 0$ .<br>In recent years, the study of H surface

In recent years, the study of  $H$ -surfaces in product spaces and, more generally, in a homogeneous three-manifold with a 4-dimensional isometry group is quite active (see [AR], [AR2], [CoR], [ER], [FM], [FM2], [DH] and references therein).

The aim of this paper is to extend the above theorem to homogeneous spaces with a 4-dimensional isometry group. These homogeneous spaces are denoted by  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa,\tau)$ , where  $\kappa$  and  $\tau$  are constant and  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 \neq 0$ . They can be classified as  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ <br>if  $\tau = 0$ , with  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) = \mathbb{S}^2(\kappa)$  if  $\kappa > 0$  ( $\mathbb{S}^2(\kappa)$ ) the sphere of curvature  $\kappa$  $(x) \times \mathbb{R}$ if  $\tau = 0$ , with  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) = \mathbb{S}^2(\kappa)$  if  $\kappa > 0$  ( $\mathbb{S}^2(\kappa)$  the sphere of curvature  $\kappa$ ), and  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) = \mathbb{H}^2(\kappa)$  if  $\kappa > 0$  ( $\mathbb{H}^2(\kappa)$  the hyperbolic plane of curvature  $\kappa$ ). If  $\tau$  is not  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) = \mathbb{H}^2(\kappa)$  if  $\kappa < 0$  ( $\mathbb{H}^2(\kappa)$  the hyperbolic plane of curvature  $\kappa$ ). If  $\tau$  is not equal to zero.  $\mathbb{F}(\kappa, \tau)$  is a Berger sphere if  $\kappa > 0$ , a Heisenberg space if  $\kappa = 0$  (of equal to zero,  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa,\tau)$  is a Berger sphere if  $\kappa > 0$ , a Heisenberg space if  $\kappa = 0$  (of

<sup>-</sup>The author is partially supported by Spanish MEC-FEDER Grant MTM2007-65249, and Regional J. Andalucia Grants P06-FQM-01642 and FQM325.

bundle curvature  $\tau$ ), and the universal cover of PSL $(2, \mathbb{R})$  if  $\kappa < 0$ . Henceforth we will suppose  $\kappa$  is plus or minus one or zero.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the definitions and necessary equ[ati](#page-6-0)ons for an  $H$ -surface. We also state here two classification results for H-surfaces. We prove them in Section 5 and Section 6 for the sake of completeness.

Section  $3$  is devoted to the classification of  $H$ -surfaces with non-negative Gaussian curvature,

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  be a complete H-surface with  $K \geq 0$ . Then,  $\Sigma$  is either a rotational sphere (in particular  $AH^2 + \kappa > 0$ ) or a complete vertical *is either a rotational sphere (in particular,*  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ ), or a complete vertical cylinder over a complete curve of geodesic curvature  $2H$  on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *cylinder over a complete curve of geodesic curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *.* 

In Section 4 we continue with the classification of  $H$ -surfaces with non-positive Gaussian curvature.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  be a complete H-surface with  $K \leq 0$  and  $H^2 + \tau^2 - |\kappa - 4\tau^2| > 0$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is a complete vertical cylinder over a complete curve  $\tau^2 - |\kappa - 4\tau^2| > 0$ . Then,  $\Sigma$  is a complete vertical cylinder over a complete curve<br>of geodesic curvature 2H on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *of geodesic curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *.* 

The above theorem is not true without the inequality; for example, any complete minimal surface in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  that is not a vertical cylinder.

In the Appendix, we give a result, which we think is of independent interest, concerning differential operators on a Riemannian surface  $\Sigma$  of the form  $\Delta + g$ , acting on  $C^2(\Sigma)$ -functions, where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian with respect to the Riemannian metric on  $\Sigma$  and  $g \in C^0(\Sigma)$ .

#### **2. The geometry of surfaces in homogeneous spaces**

Henceforth  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa,\tau)$  denotes a complete simply connected homogeneous three-manifold with 4-dimensional isometry group. Such a three-manifold can be classified in terms of a pair of real numbers  $(\kappa, \tau)$  satisfying  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 \neq 0$ . In fact, these<br>manifolds are Riemannian submersions over a complete simply-connected surface manifolds are Riemannian submersions over a complete simply-connected surface  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$  of constant curvature  $\kappa$ ,  $\pi : \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \to \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ , and translations along the<br>fibers are isometries, therefore they generate a Killing field  $\xi$ , called the vertical field fibers are isometries, therefore they generate a Killing field  $\xi$ , called the *vertical field*. Moreover,  $\tau$  is the real number such that  $\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = \tau X \wedge \xi$  for all vector fields X on the manifold. Here,  $\overline{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of the manifold and  $\wedge$  is the cross product.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a complete H-surface immersed in  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa,\tau)$ . By passing to a 2-sheeted covering space of  $\Sigma$ , we can assume  $\Sigma$  is orientable. Let N be a unit normal to  $\Sigma$ . In terms of a conformal parameter z of  $\Sigma$ , the first,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and second, *II*, fundamental

<span id="page-2-0"></span>[form](#page-14-0)s are given by

$$
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \lambda |dz|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
II = p dz^2 + \lambda H |dz|^2 + \bar{p} d\bar{z}^2,
$$
\n(2.1)

where  $p dz^2 = \langle -\nabla_{\partial_z} N, \partial_z \rangle dz^2$  is the Hopf differential of  $\Sigma$ .<br>Set  $y = \langle N \rangle$  is and  $T = \xi = yN$  i.e.,  $y$  is the normal comparation

Set  $\nu = \langle N, \xi \rangle$  and  $T = \xi - \nu N$ , i.e.,  $\nu$  is the normal component of the vertical field  $\xi$ , called the *angle function*, and T is the tangent component of the vertical field.

First we state the following necessary equations on  $\Sigma$  which were obtained in [FM].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Given an immersed surface*  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ *, the following equations are satisfied*: *satisfied:*

$$
K = K_e + \tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2) \nu^2,
$$
 (2.2)

$$
p_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( H_z + (\kappa - 4\tau^2) v A \right),
$$
 (2.3)

$$
A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( H + i\tau \right) \nu, \tag{2.4}
$$

$$
\nu_z = -(H - i\,\tau) \, A - \frac{2}{\lambda} \, p \, \bar{A},\tag{2.5}
$$

$$
|A|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\lambda (1 - \nu^2),\tag{2.6}
$$

$$
A_z = \frac{\lambda_z}{\lambda} A + p v,\tag{2.7}
$$

*where*  $A = \langle \xi, \partial_z \rangle$ ,  $K_e$  *the extrinsic curvature and* K *the Gauss curvature of*  $\Sigma$ *.* 

For an imm[erse](#page-14-0)d H[-su](#page-14-0)rface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  there is a globally defined quadratic<br>exercise condinates is differential, called the *Abresch–Rosenberg differential*, which in these coordinates is given by (see [AR2]):

$$
Q dz2 = (2(H + i\tau) p - (\kappa - 4\tau2)A2) dz2,
$$

following the notation above.

It is not hard to verify this quadratic differential is holomorphic on an  $H$ -surface using  $(2.3)$  and  $(2.4)$ ,

**Theorem 2.1** ([AR], [AR2]).  $Q dz^2$  *is a holomorphic quadratic differential on any H*-surface in  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ .

Associated to the Abresch–Rosenberg differential we define the smooth function  $q: \Sigma \to [0, +\infty)$  given by

$$
q = \frac{4|Q|^2}{\lambda^2}.
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>By means of Theorem 2.1, q either has isolated zeroes or vanishes identically. Note that  $q$  does not depend on the conformal parameter  $z$ , hence  $q$  is globally defined on  $\Sigma$ .

We continue this section establishing some formulae relating the angle function, q and the Gaussian curvature.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an H-surface immersed in  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ . Then the following equa*tions are satisfied:*

$$
\|\nabla v\|^2 = \frac{4H^2 + \kappa - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)v^2}{4(\kappa - 4\tau^2)}(4(H^2 - K_e) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - v^2)) - \frac{q}{\kappa - 4\tau^2},
$$
\n(2.8)

$$
\Delta v = -\left(4H^2 + 2\tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - v^2) - 2K_e\right)v.
$$
 (2.9)

*Moreover, away from the isolated zeroes of* q*, we have*

$$
\Delta \ln q = 4K. \tag{2.10}
$$

*Proof.* From (2.5)

$$
|v_z|^2 = \frac{4|p|^2|A|^2}{\lambda^2} + (H^2 + \tau^2)|A|^2 + \frac{2(H + i\tau)}{\lambda}p\overline{A}^2 + \frac{2(H - i\tau)}{\lambda}\overline{p}A^2,
$$

and taking into account that

$$
|Q|^2 = 4(H^2 + \tau^2)|p|^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2|A|^4 - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(2(H + i\tau)p\overline{A}^2) + 2(H - i\tau)\overline{p}A^2),
$$

we obtain, using also  $(2.6)$ , that

$$
|v_z|^2 = (H^2 + \tau^2)|A|^2 + (H^2 - K_e)|A|^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\frac{|A|^4}{\lambda}
$$

$$
+ 4\left(\frac{H^2 + \tau^2}{\kappa - 4\tau^2}\right)\frac{|p|^2}{\lambda} - \frac{|Q|^2}{(\kappa - 4\tau^2)\lambda}
$$

where we have used that  $4|p|^2 = \lambda^2(H^2 - K_e)$  and  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 \neq 0$ . Thus

$$
\|\nabla v\|^2 = \frac{4}{\lambda} |v_z|^2 = (2H^2 - K_e + \tau^2)(1 - v^2) + \frac{\kappa - 4\tau^2}{4}(1 - v^2)^2
$$

$$
+ 4\left(\frac{H^2 + \tau^2}{\kappa - 4\tau^2}\right)(H^2 - K_e) - \frac{q}{\kappa - 4\tau^2},
$$

and finally, re-ordering in terms of  $H^2 - K_e$ , we obtain the first expression.

Next, by differentiating (2.5) with respect to  $\bar{z}$  and using (2.7), (2.4) and (2.3), one gets

$$
\nu_{z\bar{z}} = -(\kappa - 4\tau^2) \nu |A|^2 - \frac{2}{\lambda} |p|^2 \nu - \frac{H^2 + \tau^2}{2} \lambda \nu.
$$

Then, from  $(2.6)$ ,

$$
\nu_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{\lambda \nu}{4} \Big( (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2) + \frac{8 |p|^2}{\lambda^2} + 2 (H^2 + \tau^2) \Big),
$$

thus

$$
\Delta \nu = \frac{4}{\lambda} \nu_{z\bar{z}} = -\left( (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2) + 2(H^2 - K_e) + 2(H^2 + \tau^2) \right) \nu.
$$

Finally,

$$
\Delta \ln q = \Delta \ln \frac{4|Q|^2}{\lambda^2} = -2\Delta \ln \lambda = 4K,
$$

where we have used that  $Q dz^2$  is holomorphic and the expression of the Gaussian curvature in terms of a conformal parameter.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.1.** Note that  $(2.9)$  is nothing but the Jacobi equation for the Jacobi field  $\nu$ .

Next, we rec[al](#page-5-0)l a [defi](#page-6-0)nition in these homogeneous [sp](#page-8-0)aces.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  is a vertical cylinder over  $\alpha$  if  $\Sigma = \pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a curve on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ where  $\alpha$  is a curve on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ .

It is not hard to verify that if  $\alpha$  is a complete curve of geodesic curvature 2H on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ , then  $\Sigma = \pi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is complete and has constant mean curvature H. Moreover, these cylinders are characterized by  $y = 0$ these cylinders are characterized by  $v \equiv 0$ .

We now state two results about the classification of  $H$ -surfaces. They will be used in Sections 3 and 4, but we prove them in Section 5 and Section 6 for the sake of clarity. The first one concerns  $H$ -surfaces for which the angle function is constant. However, we need to introduce a family of surfaces that appear in the classification.

**Definition 2.2.** Denote by  $S_{\kappa,\tau}$  a family of complete H-surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa,\tau)$ ,  $\kappa < 0$ , satisfying for any  $\Sigma \in S_{\kappa, \tau}$ :

- $4H^2 + \kappa < 0$ .
- q vanishes identically on  $\Sigma \in S_{\kappa,\tau}$ , i.e.,  $\Sigma$  is invariant by a one parameter family of isometries of isometries.
- $0 < v^2 < 1$  is constant along  $\Sigma$ .
- $K_e = -\tau^2$  and  $K = (\kappa 4\tau^2)v^2 < 0$  are constants along  $\Sigma$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>An anonymous referee indicated to us the preprint "Hypersurfaces with a parallel higher fundamental form" by S. Verpoort who observed that we mistakenly omitted the surfaces  $S_{\kappa,\tau}$  in a first draft of this paper.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  be a complete H-surface with constant angle function. Then  $\Sigma$  is either a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of curvature  $2H$  on *tion. Then*  $\Sigma$  *is either a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ , a slice in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , or  $\Sigma \in S_{\kappa,\tau}$  with  $\kappa < 0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Theorem 2.2 improves Lemma 2.3 in [ER] for surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Of special interest for us are those  $H$ -surfaces for which the Abresch–Rosenberg differential is constant.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let*  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  *be a complete H*-surface with q constant.

• If  $q = 0$ , then  $\Sigma$  is invariant by a one-parameter group of isometries of  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ , *and if*  $H = 0 = \tau$ , then  $\Sigma$  *is a slice in*  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  *or*  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ *.* 

*Moreover, the Gauss curvature of these examples is as follows.*

- $-If4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ , then  $K = 0$ , and they are rotationally invariant spheres.
- $\mathcal{L} = If 4H^2 + \kappa = 0$  and  $\nu \equiv 0$ , then  $K \equiv 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is either a vertical plane  $\ddot{H} = 0 = \tau$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a slice in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ .<br> *in* Nil3*, or a vertical cylinder over a horocycle in*  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .<br> *if*  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ , then  $K = 0$ , and they are r
- **–** *There exists a point with negative Gauss curvature in the remaining cases.*
- If  $q \neq 0$  *on*  $\Sigma$ , then  $\Sigma$  *is a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ .

# **3.** Complete *H*-surfaces  $\Sigma$  with  $K \geq 0$

Here we prove

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  be a complete H-surface with  $K \geq 0$ . Then,  $\Sigma$  is either a rotational sphere (in particular  $AH^2 + \kappa > 0$ ) or a complete vertical *is either a rotational sphere (in particular,*  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ ), or a complete vertical cylinder over a complete curve of geodesic curvature  $2H$  on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *cylinder over a complete curve of geodesic curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *.* 

*Proof.* The proof goes as follows: First, we prove that  $\Sigma$  is a topological sphere or a complete non-compact parabolic surface. We show that when the surface is a topological sphere then it is a rotational sphere. If  $\Sigma$  is a complete non-compact parabolic surface, we prove that it is a vertical cylinder by means of Theorem 2.3.

Since  $K \ge 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is complete, Lemma 5 in [KO] implies that  $\Sigma$  is either a sphere or non-compact and parabolic.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>If  $\Sigma$  is a sphere, then it is a rotational example (see [AR2] or [AR]). Thus, we can assume that  $\Sigma$  is non-compact and parabolic.

We can assume that q does not vanish identically in  $\Sigma$ . If q does vanish, then  $\Sigma$ is either a vertical cylinder over a straight line in Nil<sub>3</sub> or a vertical cylinder over a horocycle in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\widetilde{PLS(2,\mathbb{C})}$ . Note that we have used here that  $K \geq 0$  and Theorem 2.3.

On the one hand, from the Gauss equation (2.2)

$$
0 \leq K = K_e + \tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\nu^2 \leq K_e + \tau^2 + |\kappa - 4\tau^2|,
$$

hence

$$
H^2 - K_e \le H^2 + \tau^2 + |\kappa - 4\tau^2|.
$$
 (3.1)

On the other hand, using the very definition of  $Q dz^2$ , (3.1) and the inequality  $|\xi_1 + \xi_2|^2$  $\leq 2(|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi|^2)$  for  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we obtain

$$
\frac{q}{2} = \frac{2|Q|^2}{\lambda^2} \le 4(H^2 + \tau^2) \frac{4|p|^2}{\lambda^2} + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2 \frac{4|A|^4}{\lambda^2}
$$
  
= 4(H^2 + \tau^2)(H^2 - K\_e) +  $\frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2}{4}(1 - \nu^2)^2$   
 $\le 4(H^2 + \tau^2)(H^2 - K_e) + \frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2}{4}$   
 $\le 4(H^2 + \tau^2)(H^2 + \tau^2 + |\kappa - 4\tau^2|) + \frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2}{4}.$ 

So, from (2.10),  $\Delta \ln q = 4K > 0$  and  $\ln q$  is a bounded subharmonic function on a non-compact parabolic surface  $\Sigma$  and since the value  $-\infty$  is allowed at isolated points (see [AS]), q is a positive constant (recall that we are assuming that q does not vanish identically). Therefore, Theorem 2.3 gives the result.  $\Box$ 

### **4.** Complete *H* -surfaces  $\Sigma$  with  $K \leq 0$

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  be a complete H-surface with  $K \leq 0$  and  $H^2 + \tau^2 - |\kappa - 4\tau^2| > 0$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is a complete vertical cylinder over a complete curve  $\tau^2 - |\kappa - 4\tau^2| > 0$ . Then,  $\Sigma$  is a complete vertical cylinder over a complete curve<br>of geodesic curvature 2H on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *of geodesic curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ *.* 

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two cases,  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 < 0$  and  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 > 0$ .

*Case*  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 < 0$ : On the one hand, since  $K \le 0$ , we have

$$
H^2 - K_e \ge H^2 + \tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\nu^2 \ge H^2 + \kappa - 3\tau^2,
$$

from the Gauss equation (2.2). Therefore, from (2.8) and  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 < 0$ , we obtain:

$$
q \ge 4(H^2 + \tau^2)(H^2 - K_e) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
\cdot (H^2 + \tau^2 + H^2 - K_e + \frac{\kappa - 4\tau^2}{4}(1 - \nu^2))
$$
  
\n
$$
= (H^2 - K_e)(4H^2 + 4\tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2))
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (H^2 + \tau^2)(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2) + \frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2}{4}(1 - \nu^2)^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge (H^2 + \tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\nu^2)(4H^2 + 4\tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2))
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (H^2 + \tau^2)(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2) + \frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2}{4}(1 - \nu^2)^2;
$$

note that the last inequality holds since  $4H^2 + 4\tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2) \ge 4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ .<br>  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$  follows from  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$  follows from

$$
0 < 4(H^2 + \tau^2) - |\kappa - 4\tau^2| = 4H^2 + \kappa.
$$

Set  $a := H^2 + \tau^2$  and  $b := \kappa - 4\tau^2$ . Define the real smooth function  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow$ R as

$$
f(x) = (a + bx2)(4a + b(1 - x2)) + ab(1 - x2) + \frac{b2}{4}(1 - x2)2.
$$
 (4.1)

Note that  $q > f(v)$  on  $\Sigma$ ,  $f(v)$  is just the last part in the above inequality involving q. It is easy to verify that the only critical point of f in  $(-1, 1)$  is  $x = 0$ . Moreover,

$$
f(0) = (4a+b)^2/4 > 0
$$
 and  $f(\pm 1) = 4a(a+b) > 0$ .

Actually,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  has two others critical points,  $x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{4a+b}{3|b|}}$ , but here we have used that

$$
\frac{4a+b}{3|b|} > 1,
$$

since  $0 < 4(H^2 + \kappa - 3\tau^2) = (4H^2 + \kappa) - 3|\kappa - 4\tau^2| = (4a + b) - 3|b|.$ <br>So set  $c = \min{f(f(0), f(+1))} > 0$  then So, set  $c = \min \{ f(0), f(\pm 1) \} > 0$ , then

$$
q \ge f(\nu) \ge c > 0.
$$

Now, from (2.10) and  $q \ge c > 0$  on  $\Sigma$ , it follows that  $ds^2 = \sqrt{q}I$  is a complete flat metric on  $\Sigma$  and

$$
\Delta^{ds^2} \ln q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \Delta \ln q = \frac{4K}{\sqrt{q}} \le 0.
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Since q is bounded below by a positive constant and  $(\Sigma, ds^2)$  is parabolic, then  $\ln q$  is constant which implies that q is a positive constant. Thus, the result follows from Theorem 2.3. The case  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 < 0$  is proved.

*Case*  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 > 0$ : Set  $w_1 := 2(H + i\tau)\frac{p}{\lambda}$  and  $w_2 := (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\frac{A^2}{\lambda}$ , i.e.,  $q = 4|w_1 - w_2|^2$ . Then  $4|w_1 - w_2|^2$ . Then

$$
|w_1|^2 = (H^2 + \tau^2)(H^2 - K_e) \ge (H^2 + \tau^2)^2,
$$
  

$$
|w_2|^2 = \frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2}{16}(1 - \nu^2)^2 \le \left(\frac{\kappa - 4\tau^2}{4}\right)^2,
$$

where we have used that  $H^2 - K_e \ge H^2 + \tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\nu^2 \ge H^2 + \tau^2$ , since  $K < 0$  and  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 > 0$ .  $K \leq 0$  and  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 > 0$ .<br>We recall a well-know

We recall a well-known inequality for complex numb[ers.](#page-5-0) Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $|\xi_1 + \xi_2|^2 \geq | |\xi_1| - |\xi_2| |$  $2$ . Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{4}q \ge ||w_1| - |w_2||^2 \ge \left| (H^2 + \tau^2) - \frac{|\kappa - 4\tau^2|}{4} \right|^2
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{16} \left| 4(H^2 + \tau^2) - |\kappa - 4\tau^2||^2 > 0.
$$

So, as  $q$  is bounded below by a positive constant, then, arguing as in the previous case, q is a constant. Thus, the result follows from Theorem 2.3. The case  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 > 0$ is proved.  $\square$  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.1.** Note that in the above theorem, in the case  $\kappa - 4\tau^2 > 0$ , we only need to assume that  $4(H^2 + \tau^2) - |\kappa - 4\tau^2| > 0$ .

#### **5. Complete** H **-surfaces with constant angle function**

We classify here the complete H-surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa,\tau)$  with constant angle function. The purpose is to take advantage of this classification result in the next section.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  be a complete H-surface with constant angle function. Then  $\Sigma$  is either a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of curvature  $2H$  on *tion. Then*  $\Sigma$  *is either a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ , a slice in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , or  $\Sigma \in S_{\kappa,\tau}$  with  $\kappa < 0$  (see Definition 2.2).

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\nu \leq 0$ . We will divide the proof into three cases:

 $\bullet$   $v = 0$ : In this case,  $\Sigma$  must be a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of geodesic curvature  $2H$  on  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ .

 $v = -1$ : From (2.4),  $\tau = 0$  and  $H = 0$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a slice in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ .

•  $-1 < v < 0$ [: W](#page-3-0)e prove here that  $\Sigma \in S_{\kappa, \tau}$  with  $\kappa < 0$ . From (2.5) we have

$$
(H - i\tau)A = -\frac{2p}{\lambda}\bar{A},\tag{5.1}
$$

then

$$
H^2 + \tau^2 = \frac{4|p|^2}{\lambda^2} = H^2 - K_e
$$

since  $|A|^2 \neq 0$  from (2.6), so  $K_e = -\tau^2$  on  $\Sigma$ .

Thus, from  $(2.9)$ , we have

$$
4H2 + 4\tau2 + (\kappa - 4\tau2)(1 - \nu2) = 0.
$$
 (5.2)

Now, using the definition of q, (5.1), (5.2) and  $K_e = -\tau^2$ , we have

$$
q = \frac{4|Q|^2}{\lambda^2} = 4(H^2 + \tau^2) \frac{4|p|^2}{\lambda^2} + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2 \frac{4|A|^4}{\lambda^2}
$$
  

$$
-4\frac{\kappa - 4\tau^2}{\lambda^2} (2(H + i\tau)p\bar{A}^2 + 2(H - i\tau)\bar{p}A^2)
$$
  

$$
= 4(H^2 + \tau^2)(H^2 - K_e) + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)^2 \frac{(1 - \nu^2)^2}{4}
$$
  

$$
+ 2(\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2)(H^2 + \tau^2)
$$
  

$$
= \frac{1}{4} (4H^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)(1 - \nu^2) + 4\tau^2)^2 = 0,
$$

that is, q vanishes identically on  $\Sigma$ . Moreover, from (5.2), we can see that  $4H^2 + \kappa < 0$ , that is,  $\kappa < 0$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma \in S_{\kappa, \tau}, \kappa < 0$ .

#### **6. Complete** H **-surfaces with** q **constant**

Here, we prove the classification result for complete H-surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa,\tau)$  employed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let*  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  *be a complete H-surface with q constant.* 

• *If*  $q = 0$  *on*  $\Sigma$ *, then*  $\Sigma$  *is either a slice in*  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  *or*  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  *if*  $H = 0 = \tau$ *, or*  $\Sigma$  *is invariant by a one-parameter group of isometries of*  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ *.* 

*Moreover, the Gauss curvature of these examples is as follows.*

 $\sim$  *If*  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ , then  $K > 0$  they are the rotationally invariant spheres.

- <span id="page-10-0"></span> $\mathcal{L} = If 4H^2 + \kappa = 0$  and  $\nu \equiv 0$ , then  $K \equiv 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is either a vertical plane *i*) Complete constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous spaces 669<br>*If*  $4H^2 + \kappa = 0$  *and*  $\nu \equiv 0$ *, then*  $K \equiv 0$  *and*  $\Sigma$  *is either a vertical plane*<br>*in* Nil<sub>3</sub>, *or a vertical cylinder over a horocycle in*
- **–** *There exists a point with negative Gauss curvature in the remaining cases.*
- *If*  $q \neq 0$  *on*  $\Sigma$ *, then*  $\Sigma$  *is a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of curvature*  $2H$  *on*  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$ .

The case  $q = 0$  has been treated extensively when the target manifold is a product space, but is has not been established explicitly when  $\tau \neq 0$ . So, we assemble the results in [AR], [AR2] for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let*  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  *be a complete H*-surface whose Abresch–Rosenberg differential vanishes. Then  $\Sigma$  is either a slice in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  if  $H = 0 = \tau$ . *differential vanishes. Then*  $\Sigma$  *is either a slice in*  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  *or*  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  *if*  $H = 0 = \tau$ , *or*  $\Sigma$  *is inv[arian](#page-14-0)t by a one-parameter group of isometries of*  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ *.* ential vanishes. Then  $\Sigma$  is either a slice in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  if  $H =$ <br>is invariant by a one-parameter group of isometries of  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ .<br>If  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ , then  $K > 0$  they are the rotationa

*Moreover, the Gauss curvature of these examples is as follows.*

- If  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$ , then  $K > 0$  they are the rotationally invariant spheres.
- If  $4H^2 + \kappa = 0$  and  $\nu \equiv 0$ , then  $K \equiv 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is either a vertical plane in
- *There exists a point with negative Gauss curvature in the remaining cases.*

*Proof.* The idea of the proof for product spaces that we use below can be found in [dCF] and [FM].

If  $H = 0 = \tau$ , from the definition of the Abresch–Rosenberg differential, we have

$$
0=-(\kappa-4\tau)A^2,
$$

that is,  $v^2 = \pm 1$  usin[g](#page-2-0) [\(2](#page-2-0).6). Thus,  $\Sigma$  is a slice in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ .<br>If  $H \neq 0$  or  $\tau \neq 0$  we have

If  $H \neq 0$  or  $\tau \neq 0$ , we have

$$
2(H + i\tau)p = (\kappa - 4\tau^2)A^2,
$$
\n(6.1)

from where we obtain, taking modulus,

$$
H^{2} - K_{e} = \frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^{2})^{2}(1 - \nu^{2})^{2}}{16(H^{2} + \tau^{2})}.
$$
 (6.2)

Inserting  $(6.1)$  in  $(2.5)$ ,

$$
(H + i\tau)\nu_z = -\frac{1}{4}(4H^2 + \kappa - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\nu^2)A,
$$

and taking modulus,

$$
|v_z|^2 = g(v)^2 |A|^2, \quad g(v) = \frac{4H^2 + \kappa - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)v^2}{4\sqrt{H^2 + \tau^2}}.
$$
 (6.3)

Assume that v is not constant. Let  $p \in \Sigma$  be a point where  $v_z(p) \neq 0$  and let U be a neighborhood of that point p where  $v_z \neq 0$  (we can assume  $v^2 \neq 1$  at p). In particular,  $g(v) \neq 0$  in U from (6.3). Now, inserting (6.3) in (2.6), we obtain

$$
\lambda = \frac{4|v_z|^2}{(1 - v^2)g(v)^2}.
$$
\n(6.4)

[Thus,](#page-10-0) putting  $(6.2)$  and  $(6.4)$  in the Jacobi equation  $(2.9)$ 

$$
\nu_{z\bar{z}} = -2\frac{\nu|\nu_z|^2}{1-\nu^2}.
$$
\n(6.5)

So, define the real f[unctio](#page-14-0)n  $s := \operatorname{arctgh}(v)$  on U. Such a function is harmonic<br>means of (6.5), thus we can consider a new conformal parameter w for the first by means of  $(6.5)$ , thus we can consider a new conformal parameter w for the first fundamental form so that  $s = Re(w)$ ,  $w = s + it$ .

Since  $\nu = \text{tgh}(s)$  by the definition of s, we have that  $\nu \equiv \nu(s)$ , i.e., it only depends on one parameter. Thus, we have  $\lambda = \lambda(s)$  and  $T = T(s)$  from (6.4) and (6.3) respectively, and  $p \equiv p(s)$  by the definition of the Abresch–Rosenberg differential. That is, all the fundamental data of  $\Sigma$  depend only on s.

Now, let U be a simply connected domain on  $\Sigma$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a simply connected domain of a surface S so that  $\psi_0: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$ . We parametrize V by the parameters  $(s, t)$  obtained above. Then the fundamental data (see [FM]. Theorem 2.3) parameters  $(s, t)$  obtained above. Then, the fundamental data (see [FM], Theorem 2.3)  $\{\lambda_0, p_0, T_0, \nu_0\}$  of  $\psi_0$  are given by

$$
\begin{cases}\n\lambda_0(s,t) = \lambda(s), \\
p_0(s,t) = p(s), \\
T_0(s,t) = a(s)\partial_s, \\
\nu_0(s,t) = \nu(s),\n\end{cases}
$$

where  $a(s)$  is a smooth function.

Let  $\vec{t} \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathbf{i}_{\vec{t}}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be the diffeomorphism given by

$$
\mathbf{i}_{\bar{t}}(s,t) := (s,t+\bar{t}),
$$

and define  $\psi_{\bar{t}} := \psi_0 \circ \mathbf{i}_{\bar{t}}$ . Then, the fundamental data  $\{\lambda_{\bar{t}}, p_{\bar{t}}, T_{\bar{t}}, v_{\bar{t}}\}$  of  $\psi_{\bar{t}}$  are given by by

$$
\begin{cases}\n\lambda_{\bar{t}}(s,t) = \lambda(s), \\
p_{\bar{t}}(s,t) = p(s), \\
T_{\bar{t}}(s,t) = a(s)\partial_s, \\
v_{\bar{t}}(s,t) = v(s),\n\end{cases}
$$

that is, both fundamental data match at any point  $(s, t) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Therefore, using [D],<br>Theorem 4.3, there exists an ambient isometry  $\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathbb{F}(\kappa, \tau) \to \mathbb{F}(\kappa, \tau)$  so that Theorem 4.3, there exists an ambient isometry  $\mathcal{I}_{\bar{t}}: \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau) \to \mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  so that

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\bar{t}} \circ \psi_0 = \psi_0 \circ \mathbf{i}_{\bar{t}} \quad \text{for all } \bar{t} \in \mathbb{R},
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

thus the surface is invariant by a one parameter group of isometries.

Let us prove the claim about the Gauss curvature. Using the Gauss equation (2.2) in  $(6.2)$ , one gets

$$
H^{2} + \tau^{2} + (\kappa - 4\tau^{2})\nu^{2} - K = \frac{(\kappa - 4\tau^{2})^{2}(1 - \nu^{2})^{2}}{16(H^{2} + \tau^{2})}.
$$

Set  $a := 4(H^2 + \tau^2)$  and  $b := \kappa - 4\tau^2$ , then one can check easily that the above<br>ality can be expressed as equality can be expressed as

$$
4aK = a2 - b2 + (2a + b)2 - (2a + b(1 - v2))2.
$$
 (6.6)

So, if  $4H^2 + \kappa > 0$  then  $a > |b|$  and  $K > 0$ , that is,  $\Sigma$  is a topological sphere<br>se it is complete. If  $4H^2 + \kappa = 0$ ,  $a = -b$  and the equation reads as since it is complete. If  $4H^2 + \kappa = 0$ ,  $a = -b$  and the equation reads as

$$
4aK = a^2(1 - (1 + \nu^2)^2),
$$

that is,  $\Sigma$  has a point with negative Gauss curvature unless  $\nu \equiv 0$ .

If  $4H^2 + \kappa < 0$ , one can check that  $a^2 - b^2 = (a - b)(a + b) < 0$  since  $a + b > 0$ <br> $a - b < 0$ . So, if  $\inf_{x \in \Omega} a^2 = 0$  then, from (6.6).  $\Sigma$  has a point with negative and  $a - b < 0$ . So, if  $\inf_{\Sigma} \{v^2\} = 0$  then, from  $(6.6)$ ,  $\Sigma$  has a point with negative curvature. Therefore, to finish this lemma, we shall prove the following

*Claim.* There are no complete constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}(\kappa, \tau)$  with  $4H^2 + \kappa < 0, q \equiv 0, K \ge 0$ , and  $\inf \{v^2\} = c > 0$ .

*Proof of the Claim.* Assume such a surface  $\Sigma$  exists. Since we are assuming that  $K > 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is complete, then  $\Sigma$  is parabolic and noncompact. If  $\Sigma$  were compact we would have a contradiction with the fact that  $\inf_{\Sigma} \{v^2\} = c > 0$  and  $4H^2 + \kappa < 0$ .<br>Since a vanishes identically on  $\Sigma$ , arctanb(v) is a bounded harmonic function on

Since q vanishes identically on  $\Sigma$ , arctanh(v) is a bounded harmonic function on  $\Sigma$  and so  $\nu$  is constant. So, the projection  $\pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{M}^2(\kappa)$  is a global diffeomorphism<br>and a quasi-isometry. This is impossible since  $\Sigma$  is parabolic and  $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R} \times 0$  is and a quasi-isometry. This is impossible since  $\Sigma$  is parabolic and  $\mathbb{M}^2(k)$ ,  $\kappa < 0$ , is hyperbolic. Theref[ore, t](#page-2-0)he Claim is proved and so the lemma is proved.

*Proof of Theorem* 2.3. We focus on the case  $q \neq 0$  because Lemma 6.1 gives the classification when  $q = 0$ .

Suppose v is not constant in  $\Sigma$ . Since  $q = c^2 > 0$ , we can consider a conformal parameter z so that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = |dz|^2$  and  $Q dz^2 = c dz^2$  on  $\Sigma$ . Thus,

$$
Q = c = 2(H + i\tau)p - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)A^2.
$$

First, note that we can assume that  $H \neq 0$  or  $\tau \neq 0$ , otherwise v would be constant. So, from  $(2.5)$ , we have

$$
(H + i\tau)\nu_z = -\left(H^2 + \tau^2 + \frac{\kappa - 4\tau^2}{4}(1 - \nu^2)\right)A - c\bar{A},
$$

where we have used  $2(H + i\tau)p = c + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)A^2$ . That is,

$$
16(H2 + \tau2) \|\nabla v\|2 = (g(v) + 4c)2 (1 - v2),
$$
 (6.7)

where

$$
g(v) := 4H^2 + \kappa - (\kappa - 4\tau^2)v^2.
$$
 (6.8)

From (2.10),  $\Sigma$  is flat and  $H^2 - K_e = H^2 + \tau^2 + (\kappa - 4\tau^2)\nu^2$  by (2.2), joining<br>last equation to (2.8) we obtain using the definition of  $g(\nu)$  given in (6.8) this last equation to (2.8) we obtain using the definition of  $g(v)$  given in (6.8)

$$
\|\nabla v\|^2 = \frac{g(v)^2}{4(\kappa - 4\tau^2)} + v^2 g(v) - \frac{c^2}{\kappa - 4\tau^2}.
$$
 (6.9)

Putting together (6.7) and (6.9) we obtain a polynomial expression in  $v^2$  with coefficients depending on  $a := 4(H^2 + \tau^2), b := \kappa - 4\tau^2$  and c:

$$
P(v^2) := C(a, b, c)v^6 + \text{ lower terms} = 0,
$$

but one can easily check that the coefficient of  $v^6$  is  $C(a, b, c) = -a^{-1}b^2 \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\nu$  is constant, and so, by means of Theorem 2.2,  $\Sigma$  is a vertical cylinder over a complete curve of curvature  $2H$ .

#### **7. Appendix**

Let  $\Sigma$  be a connected Riemannian surface. We establish in this Appendix a result which we think is of independent interest, concerning differential operators of the form  $\Delta + g$ , acting on  $C^2(\Sigma)$ -functions, where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian with respect to the Riemannian metric on  $\Sigma$  and  $g \in C^0(\Sigma)$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** Let  $g \in C^0(\Sigma)$ ,  $v \in C^2(\Sigma)$  such that  $\|\nabla v\|^2 \le hv^2$  on  $\Sigma$ , h is a<br>non-negative continuous function on  $\Sigma$ , and  $\Delta v + \sigma v = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ . Then either y never *non-negative continuous function on*  $\Sigma$ *, and*  $\Delta v + gv = 0$  *in*  $\Sigma$ *. Then either v never vanishes or v vanishes identically on*  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\Omega = \{p \in \Sigma : v(p) = 0\}$ . We will show that either  $\Omega = \emptyset$  or  $\Omega = \Sigma$ .<br>So let us assume that  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . If we prove that  $\Omega$  is an open set then, since  $\Omega$ .

So, let us assume that  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . If we prove that  $\Omega$  is an open set then, since  $\Omega$  is and  $\Sigma$  is connected  $\Omega = \Sigma$ . Let  $n \in \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{R}(R) \subset \Sigma$  be the geodesic ball closed and  $\Sigma$  is connected,  $\Omega = \Sigma$ . Let  $p \in \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{B}(R) \subset \Sigma$  be the geodesic ball<br>centered at n of radius R. Such a geodesic ball is relatively compact in  $\Sigma$ centered at p of radius R. Such a geodesic ball is relatively compact in  $\Sigma$ .

Set  $\phi = v^2/2 \ge 0$ . Then

$$
\Delta \phi = v \Delta v + ||\nabla v||^2 = -gv^2 + ||\nabla v||^2 \le -2(g - h)\phi,
$$

that is,

$$
-\Delta\phi - 2(g - h)\phi \ge 0. \tag{7.1}
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Define  $\beta := \min \{ \inf_{\Omega} \{ 2(g - h) \}, 0 \} \le 0$ . Then,  $\psi = -\phi$  satisfies

$$
\Delta \psi + \beta \psi = -\Delta \phi - \beta \phi \ge -\Delta \phi - 2(g - h)\phi \ge 0,
$$

where we have used  $(7.1)$ .

Since we are assuming that v [has a zero at](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0196.33801) [an interior po](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0114911)[int o](#page-6-0)f  $\mathcal{B}(R)$ ,  $\beta \le 0$  and so a non-negative maximum at n, the Maximum Principle IGTL Theorem 3.5  $\psi$  has a non-negative maximum at p, the Maximum Principle [GT], Theorem 3.5, implies that  $\psi$  is constant and so v is constant as well, [i.e,](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1078.53053)  $v \equiv 0$  in  $\mathcal{B}(R)$ [. Then](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2134864)  $\mathcal{B}(R) \subset \Omega$  and  $\Omega$  is an open set. Thus  $\Omega = \Sigma$ .  $\mathcal{B}(R) \subset \Omega$ [, and](#page-2-0)  $\Omega$  [is an](#page-10-0) open set. Thus  $\Omega$  $\Omega = \Sigma.$ 

#### **References**

- [AS] L.Ahlfors and L. Sario, *Riemann surfaces*. Princeton Math. Ser. 26, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960. Zbl 0196.33801 MR [0114911 665](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1209.53010)
- [AR] U. Abresch and H. Rosenberg, A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ . *Acta Math.* **193** [\(2004\), 1](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1123.53029)[41–174.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2296059) **Zbl** 1[078.5](#page-11-0)3053 MR 2134864 659, 661, 665, 669
- [AR2] U. Abresch, H. Rosenberg, Generalized Hopf differentials. *Mat. Contemp.* **28** (2005), 1–28. [Zbl 1118.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1163.53036)[53036 MR 21](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2481955)[9518](#page-0-0)7 659, 661, 665, 669
- [Ch] S. Y. Cheng, Eigenfunctions and nodal sets. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **51** (1976), 43–55. Zbl 0334.35022 MR 0397805
- [CoR] P. Collin and H. Rosenberg, Construction of harmonic diffeomorphisms and minimal graphs. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **172** (2010), 1879–1906. Zbl 1209.53010 MR 2726102 659
- [D] [B. Daniel, Isome](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1180.53062)[tric immersion](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2534989)[s int](#page-0-0)[o 3-di](#page-5-0)mensional homogenouos manifolds.*Comment. Math. Helv.* **82** (2007), 87–131. Zbl 1123.53029 MR 2296059 670
- [DH] B. Daniel and L. Hauswirth, Half-space theorem, embedded minimal annuli and min[imal graphs in t](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1125.53007)[he Heisenberg](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2330457) [grou](#page-0-0)[p.](#page-2-0) *P[roc. L](#page-10-0)[ond.](#page-11-0) Math. Soc.* (3) **98** (2009), 445–470. Zbl 1163.53036 MR 2481955 659
- [dCF] M. do Carmo and I. Fernández, A Hopf theorem for open surfaces in p[roduct spaces.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?05626126) *[Forum Math.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2529912)* **[21](#page-0-0)** (2009), 951–963. Zbl 1188.53072 MR 2574142 669
- [ER] J. M. Espinar and H. Rosenberg, Complete constant mean curvature surfaces and Bernstein type theorems in  $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ . *J. Di[fferential Geom.](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0562.35001)* **82** [\(2009\),](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0737190) 611–628. Zbl 1180.53062 MR 2534989 659, 664
- [FM] I. Fernández and P. Mira, A characterization of constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous three-manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **25** (2007), 281–289. Zbl 1125.53007 MR 2330457 659, 661, 669, 670
- [FM2] I. Fernández and P. Mira, Holomorphic quadratic differentials and the Bernstein problem in Heisenberg space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 5737–5752. Zbl 05626126 MR 2529912 659
- [GT] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. Zbl 0562.35001 MR 0737190 673

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Received March 14, 2009

José M. Espinar, Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada, Avda Fuentenueva s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain

E-mail: jespinar@ugr.es

Harold Rosenberg, Instituto de Matematica Pura y Aplicada, 110 Estrada Dona Castorina, Rio de Janeiro 22460-320, Brazil E-mail: rosen@impa.br