# Homological norms on nonpositively curved manifolds

Chris Connell and Shi Wang

**Abstract.** We relate the Gromov norm on homology classes to the harmonic norm on the dual cohomology and obtain double sided bounds in terms of the volume and other geometric quantities of the underlying manifold. Along the way, we provide comparisons to other related norms and quantities as well.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 53C23; 53C20, 58J35.

Keywords. Bounded cohomology, simplicial volume, Gromov norm, harmonic norm.

# 1. Introduction and results

The vector space  $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$  of singular *p*-chains of a topological space *X* comes equipped with a natural choice of basis consisting of the set of all continuous maps from the *p*-dimensional Euclidean simplex into *X*. The  $\ell^1$ -norm on  $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$  associated to this basis descends to a semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on the singular homology  $H_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ by taking the infimum of the norm within each equivalence class, or more precisely, we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** The Gromov norm of a *p*-homology class  $\alpha$  is

$$\|\alpha\|_1 := \inf \left\{ \sum_i |a_i| : \left[ \sum_i a_i \sigma_i \right] = \alpha \in H_p(X, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

This norm was introduced by Thurston [30, Chapter 6], and later generalized by Gromov [21], and an important special case is the *simplicial volume*, written ||M||, of a closed oriented connected *n*-manifold *M* which is defined to be  $||[M]||_1$ , where [M] is the fundamental class in  $H_n(M, \mathbb{R})$  – the image of a preferred generator in  $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$  under the change of coefficient map. More concretely,

$$\|M\| = \inf\left\{\sum_{i} |a_i| : \left[\sum_{i} a_i \sigma_i\right] = [M] \in H_n(M, \mathbb{R})\right\},\$$

where the infimum is taken over all singular cycles with real coefficients representing the fundamental class in the top homology group of M. This invariant is multiplicative under finite covers, so the definition can be extended to closed connected

non-orientable manifolds as well. In what follows, we will always assume that our manifolds are connected.

For a cohomology class  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  we denote the *harmonic norm* by  $\|\beta\|_H$  and define it to be the  $L^2$ -norm of the unique harmonic form representing the class  $\beta$  in the de Rham cohomology. Since the harmonic representative minimizes the  $L^2$ -norm among all forms, we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.2.** The harmonic norm of a cohomology class  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  is

$$\|\beta\|_H := \inf_{[\eta]=\beta} \|\eta\|_2 := \inf_{[\eta]=\beta} \left( \int_M \eta \wedge *\eta \right)^{1/2}$$

where the infimum is taken over all closed differential forms  $\eta$  representing  $\beta$ . The infimum is achieved by the harmonic representative  $\omega$  satisfying  $\Delta \omega = 0$ , i.e.  $\|\omega\|_2 = \|\beta\|_H$ .

For M a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold, Bergeron, Şegün and Venkatesh established the following result.

**Theorem 1.3** ([2, Proposition 4.2]). For any closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M_0$  there are constants  $C_1, C_2$  depending only on  $M_0$  such that for any finite cover M of  $M_0$  and all  $\beta \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  with Poincaré dual  $\beta^* \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\frac{C_1}{\operatorname{vol}(M)} \|\beta^*\|_1 \le \|\beta\|_H \le C_2 \|\beta^*\|_1.$$

In the same setting, Brock and Dunfield significantly tightened the relationship between these two norms.

**Theorem 1.4** ([5, Theorem 1.2]). For all closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds M and all  $\beta \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  with Poincaré dual  $\beta^* \in H_2(M, \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\text{vol}(M)}} \|\beta^*\|_1 \le \|\beta\|_H \le \frac{5\pi}{\sqrt{\text{Inj}(M)}} \|\beta^*\|_1.$$

**Remark 1.** The above theorems were originally stated in terms of the Thurston norm  $\|\beta\|_{Th}$ , which by a result of Gabai [18, Corollary 6.18] is just half the Gromov norm  $\|\beta^*\|_1$ .

In the case of Theorem 1.4, the same authors showed through examples that their bounds are optimal up to a multiplicative constant on the lower side and optimal up to a sublinear function of Inj(M) on the upper side. In particular, they provide an example of a sequence of hyperbolic 3-manifolds  $M_i$  with uniformly bounded volumes and  $\text{Inj}(M_i) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\beta_i \in H^1(M_i, \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\frac{\|\beta_i\|_H}{\|\beta_i^*\|_1} \ge C\sqrt{-\log\operatorname{Inj}(M_i)}$$

for some constant C > 0.

The main purpose of our paper is to extend these results to all dimensions and to well-known classes of nonpositively curved manifolds. In fact, the lower bound does not even require nonpositive curvature, and it is a consequence of the duality principle and Gromov's comass inequality.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let M be a closed oriented manifold of dimension n normalized so that  $\text{Ric} \ge -(n-1)$ . If  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  is any cohomology class, then the Gromov norm of its Poincaré dual has an upper bound

$$\|\beta^*\|_1 \le (n-p)!(n-1)^{n-p}\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(M)}\,\|\beta\|_H.$$

**Remark 2.** Distinct from the Poincaré dual, we may define a *pairing dual*  $\hat{\beta} \in H_p(M, \mathbb{R})$  of a class  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  to be any element such that  $(\beta, \hat{\beta}) = 1$  and

$$\|\widehat{\beta}\|_1 = \inf\{\|\gamma\|_1 : \gamma \in H_p(M, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with } (\beta, \gamma) = 1\}.$$

Similarly for  $\alpha \in H_p(M, \mathbb{R})$ , a pairing dual  $\hat{\alpha}$  is defined by  $(\hat{\alpha}, \alpha) = 1$  and

$$\|\widehat{\alpha}\|_{\infty} = \inf\{\|\beta\|_{\infty} : \beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with } (\beta, \alpha) = 1\}.$$

By the Hahn–Banach theorem and properties of the norms (see [1, Proposition F.2.2]), pairing duals exist (though not necessarily unique). Moreover,

$$\|\beta\|_{\infty} \cdot \|\beta\|_{1} = 1 = \|\widehat{\alpha}\|_{\infty} \cdot \|\alpha\|_{1}$$

for all  $\alpha \in H_p(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$ . This duality principle is essential to the proof of the above theorem; see Section 4.

On the other hand, having an upper bound like Theorem 1.4 will provide positivity of the Gromov norm on corresponding non-trivial classes. In nonpositively curved manifolds, such results are only known in certain degrees and in certain cases such as the negatively curved manifolds, higher rank locally symmetric ones, and rank one manifolds with  $\text{Ric}_k < 0$ . We show in all these cases:

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $n \ge 3$ . There is a constant C(n) > 0, with the following property. Let M be a closed oriented nonpositively curved manifold of dimension n, and for  $0 \le p \le n-2$ , let  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  be any cohomology class. Denote by  $\beta^* \in H_{n-p}(M, \mathbb{R})$  the Poincaré dual of  $\beta$ .

(a) If M has maximum sectional curvature  $-a^2$  with a > 0, then

$$\|\beta\|_{H} \le C(n) b_{p}^{(n-1)/2} a^{p-n} \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{(a \operatorname{Inj}(M))^{1/2}}\right\} \|\beta^{*}\|_{1},$$

where  $b_p > 0$  is given by

$$-b_p^2 = \begin{cases} (n-1)^{-1} (\text{lower bound of Ricci curvature}) & p \le 1, \\ \text{lower bound of the curvature operator} & p \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

(b) If M is locally symmetric of real rank r ≥ 2 normalized to have sectional curvatures -1 ≤ K ≤ 0, whose universal cover has no direct factor of ℝ, ℍ<sup>2</sup>, SL(3, ℝ)/SO(3), Sp(2, ℝ)/U(2), G<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>/SO(4), or SL(4, ℝ)/SO(4), and p ≤ n - 2 - srk(M̃), then

$$\|\beta\|_{H} \le C(n) \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{(\operatorname{Inj}(M))^{r/2}}\right\} \|\beta^{*}\|_{1}$$

(c) If M is geometric rank one satisfying  $\operatorname{Ric}_{k+1} < 0$  for some  $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ , then there exists a constant  $C(\tilde{M})$  that depends only on  $\tilde{M}$  such that when  $p \leq n - 4k$ ,

$$\|\beta\|_{H} \leq C(\widetilde{M}) \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\operatorname{Inj}(M)^{k/2}}\right\} \|\beta^*\|_1$$

In the statement of the above theorem, the definition of the *splitting rank*, srk, and k-Ricci curvature, Ric<sub>k</sub>, are as follows.

**Definition 1.7.** Let *X* be any symmetric space without compact factors, the splitting rank of *X* is

 $\operatorname{srk}(X) = \max{\dim(Y \times \mathbb{R}) \mid \text{where } Y \times \mathbb{R} \subset X \text{ is totally geodesic}}.$ 

This number is explicitly computed in [31, Table 1] for all irreducible symmetric spaces. For example, if  $X = SL(m, \mathbb{R})/SO(m)$ , then srk(X) = dim(X) - rank(X), and if  $X = SL(m, \mathbb{C})/SO(m)$ , then srk(X) = dim(X) - 2 rank(X).

**Definition 1.8.** For  $u, v \in T_x M$ , the k-Ricci tensor is defined to be

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{k}(u, v) = \sup_{\substack{V \subset T_{x}M \\ \dim V = k}} \operatorname{Tr} R(u, \cdot, v, \cdot)|_{V},$$

where  $R(u, \cdot, v, \cdot)|_V$  is the restriction of the curvature tensor to  $V \times V$ , thus the trace is with respect to any orthonormal basis of V. We set  $\operatorname{Ric}_k = \sup_{v \in T^1 M} \operatorname{Ric}_k(v, v)$ . **Remark 3.** The range of p in Theorem 1.6 (a) is sharp as the Gromov norm of any 1-class is zero. It is almost sharp in case (b) due to a recent construction of the second author [32], which shows that there is a non-trivial homology class with zero Gromov norm at degree  $\operatorname{srk}(\widetilde{M})$ .

We also note that for a Riemannian manifold with sectional curvatures between  $-b^2 \le K \le 0$ , then by Proposition 3.8 of [4], we have  $b_p \le (3 + \frac{2n}{3})b$ . So up to constants depending only on dimension, we may replace  $b_p$  by b everywhere.

In the case when the injectivity radius is large we obtain a better bound, in most cases exponentially decaying in the injectivity radius, but for a restricted range of *p*.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let  $n \ge 3$ . There is a constant C(n) > 0, with the following property. Let M be a closed oriented nonpositively curved manifold of dimension n, and for  $0 \le p \le n-2$ , let  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  be any cohomology class. Denote by  $\beta^* \in H_{n-p}(M, \mathbb{R})$  the Poincaré dual of  $\beta$ . Vol. 97 (2022)

(a) If *M* has sectional curvatures in  $[-b^2, -1]$  and p < (n-1)/2b, and  $\text{Inj}(M) > 1 + \ln(2)/((n-1)-2pb)$ , then

$$\|\beta\|_{H} \leq \frac{C(n)\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{n-1-2pb}} e^{-((n-1)/2-pb)\operatorname{Inj}(M)} \|\beta^{*}\|_{1}$$

Moreover, if  $b \neq 1$  and p is a non-negative integer such that p = (n-1)/2band Inj(M) > 2, then

$$\|\beta\|_{H} \leq C(n)(\operatorname{Inj}(M))^{-1/2} \|\beta^{*}\|_{1}.$$

(b) If *M* is locally symmetric of real rank  $r \ge 2$  whose universal cover has no direct factor of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^2$ ,  $SL(3, \mathbb{R})/SO(3)$ ,  $Sp(2, \mathbb{R})/U(2)$ ,  $G_2^2/SO(4)$ , or  $SL(4, \mathbb{R})/SO(4)$ , normalized to have  $-1 \le K \le 0$  with Ricci curvature bound Ric  $\le -\delta^2 g$  and  $p < \delta/2$ , then

$$\|\beta\|_{H} \leq \frac{C(n)}{\sqrt{\delta - 2p}} e^{-(\delta/2 - p) \operatorname{Inj}(M)} \|\beta^{*}\|_{1}.$$

(c) If *M* is geometric rank one satisfying  $-b^2 \leq K$ , Ric  $\langle -\delta^2 b^2$ , Ric<sub>k+1</sub>  $\langle 0$  for some  $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ ,  $0 and <math>\operatorname{Inj}(M) > 1 + p \log(2)/(\delta - 2p)$ , then there exists a constant  $C(\tilde{M})$  that depends only on  $\tilde{M}$  such that

$$\|\beta\|_H \leq C(\widetilde{M})e^{-(\delta/2-p)b \operatorname{Inj}(M)}\|\beta^*\|_1.$$

**Remarks 4.** In the case when n = 3, p = 1 and M is hyperbolic, part (a) of Theorems 1.6 and 1.9 recover the estimate in Theorem 1.4 up to uniform multiplicative constants. However, their numerical constants are sharper on both sides.

The irreducible symmetric spaces arising in part (b) of Theorem 1.9 are Einstein with explicit Ricci constant  $-\delta^2 = -\operatorname{tr} (\operatorname{ad}_v)^2$  for any self-adjoint v in the Lie-algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with unit norm, with respect to the curvature normalized metric; see e.g. [17, Section 2.14] for details.

In the same setting, as explained in [5, Section 5], an equivalent form of Theorem 2 of [24] states that for any closed oriented irreducible 3-manifold M and any  $\beta \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$2\pi \|\beta^*\|_1 = \inf_g \|s(g)\|_{H_g} \|\beta\|_{H_g},$$

where the inf is over all Riemannian metrics g on M with scalar curvature s(g) and harmonic norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_g}$ . Theorems 1.5, 1.6 and 1.9 thus provide bounds of how close to and how far from the above infimum general negatively curved metrics on M can become.

In [21], Gromov showed that if Ric  $\geq -(n-1)$  then for all  $\alpha \in H_p(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$ , we have

 $\|\alpha\|_1 \le p!(n-1)^p \max(\alpha), \quad \operatorname{comass} \beta \le p!(n-1)^p \|\beta\|_{\infty},$ 

and if the sectional curvatures of M satisfy  $K \leq -a^2$  with a > 0, then

$$\max(\alpha) \le \frac{\pi a^{-p}}{(p-1)!} \|\alpha\|_1, \quad \|\beta\|_{\infty} \le \frac{\pi a^{-p}}{(p-1)!} \operatorname{comass}(\beta).$$

It follows immediately from the above remarks that we obtain equivalences for the other norms in this context. Specifically, we may replace  $\|\beta^*\|_1$  by the quantities  $\|\hat{\beta}^*\|_{\infty}^{-1}$ , comass $(\hat{\beta}^*)^{-1}$  or mass $(\beta^*)$  in Theorems 1.5, 1.6 and 1.9. However, the constants change by a multiplicative constant in *n*,  $b_p$  and *a*; see the definition of comass in Section 2.

When passing to a finite cover, neither volume nor injectivity radius of a manifold decreases. Thus our theorems immediately generalize the result of Bergeron, Şegün and Venkatesh.

**Corollary 1.10.** For any closed oriented manifold  $M_0$  of dimension  $n \ge 3$  and integer p satisfying the hypotheses of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6, there exists constants  $C_1$ and  $C_2$  depending only on  $M_0$  such that for any finite cover M of  $M_0$  and all  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  with Poincaré dual  $\beta^* \in H_{n-p}(M, \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\frac{C_1}{\sqrt{\text{vol}(M)}} \|\beta^*\|_1 \le \|\beta\|_H \le C_2 \|\beta^*\|_1.$$

We structure our paper as follows. In Section 2, we establish an upper bound on the comass of the harmonic form by its harmonic norm in terms of the injectivity radius. This uses the Margulis lemma together with a Moser type inequality for a certain elliptic equation. In Section 3, we use the straightening method to relate the harmonic norm and the comass with the Gromov norm of the Poincaré dual. As a result, we prove our Theorems 1.6 and 1.9. The latter theorem also employs the more refined elliptic estimates from [15]. In Section 4, we use the duality principle to prove Theorem 1.5. And lastly in Section 5, we give an alternative approach to Section 2, relating the comass and the harmonic norm with other geometric quantities such as the Sobolev constants, isoperimetric type constants and others.

**Dictionary of notations.** Throughout this paper, all manifolds are oriented. We denote by  $\Delta = \delta d + d\delta$  the Hodge Laplacian. We present here a short summary of our notations. Apart from the self-evident ones, and those already defined, these definitions will mostly be explained in Section 2 and 5.

$\ \cdot\ _{H}$	harmonic norm on $H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$
$\ \cdot\ _{\infty}$	$\ell^{\infty}$ -norm on $H^{p}(M,\mathbb{R})$
$\ \cdot\ _1$	Gromov norm on $H_p(M, \mathbb{R})$
comass	supremum of $ \cdot _{\infty}$
$ \cdot _2$	pointwise $\ell^2$ -norm
$ \cdot _{\infty}$	pointwise $\ell^{\infty}$ -norm
$\beta^*$	Poincaré dual of $\beta$
α, γ	homology classes
$\beta, \varphi$	cohomology classes
$\eta, \phi$	differential forms
ω	an harmonic form
$C_0$	$L^1$ -Sobolev constant
$C_1$	isoperimetric type constant
$\lambda_1$	smallest positive eigenvalue for Laplacian
h	Cheeger constant
$C_s$	$L^2$ -Sobolev constant
$d_M$	diameter of M
Inj	injectivity radius
Ric <sub>k</sub>	k-Ricci
$b_p, K_p$	curvature operator (lower) bounds
a, b	sectional curvature bounds
srk	splitting rank

Table 1. Dictionary of notations.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Zhichao Wang for pointing out that the pointwise  $\ell^2$ -norm might not be smooth at the points where it is zero. We especially thank one of the anonymous referees for noting a mistake in the first version in the case of large injectivity radius, and for suggesting the idea of using the sharp estimates of Di Cerbo and Stern. The second author also thanks the Department of Mathematics at Indiana University and Max Plank Institute for Mathematics for their hospitality while this work was completed.

# 2. Comparing harmonic norm with comass

**2.1.** Pointwise  $\ell^2$ -norm and  $\ell^{\infty}$ -norm. For manifolds, the singular cohomology is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology. Denote  $\Omega^p(M)$  the space of differential *p*-forms on *M*. For any point  $x \in M$ , choose linearly independent local sections

 $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of TM over a neighborhood of x that form an orthonormal basis of  $T_xM$ , and let  $\{e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^*\}$  be the canonical dual sections on  $T^*M$  over the same neighborhood. For any  $\phi \in \Omega^p(M)$ ,  $\phi$  can be locally expressed as

$$\phi = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} e^*_{i_1} \wedge e^*_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_{i_p}.$$

We define the *pointwise*  $\ell^2$ -norm at x by

$$|\phi|_2(x) = \left(\sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_p}^2(x)\right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that the definition is independent of the choice of local sections, provided they are orthonormal at x, and it is easy to check that

$$|\phi|_2^2(x) = \frac{\phi \wedge *\phi}{d \operatorname{vol}}(x).$$

Thus, if  $\omega$  is a harmonic form representing  $\alpha$ , then

$$\|\alpha\|_{H} = \left(\int_{M} |\omega|_{2}^{2} d \operatorname{vol}\right)^{1/2} = L^{2}\operatorname{-norm} \operatorname{of} |\omega|_{2}.$$

Similarly, we define the *pointwise*  $\ell^{\infty}$ *-norm* 

 $|\phi|_{\infty}(x) = \sup\{\phi_x(e_1,\ldots,e_p): \{e_1,\ldots,e_p\}\$ is an orthonormal frame of  $T_xM\}$ ,

and for  $\phi \in \Omega^p(M)$ , we define

$$\operatorname{comass} \phi = \sup_{x \in M} \left( |\phi|_{\infty}(x) \right).$$

For a class  $\alpha \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$ , define

$$comass(\alpha) = inf\{comass(\phi) : \phi \in \Omega^p(M), \ [\phi] = \alpha\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that the pointwise  $\ell^2, \ell^\infty$ -norm are equivalent up to a constant.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\phi \in \Omega^p(M)$  is a differential *p*-form on an *n*-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold *M*, then

$$|\phi|_{\infty}(x) \le |\phi|_{2}(x) \le {\binom{n}{p}}^{1/2} |\phi|_{\infty}(x).$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{comass}(\phi) \leq \sup |\phi|_2 \leq {\binom{n}{p}}^{1/2} \operatorname{comass}(\phi).$$

Vol. 97 (2022)

*Proof.* Suppose at x,  $\phi$  evaluates to its supremum on the orthonormal p-frame  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_p\}$ , we extend the set to an orthonormal n-frame  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_p, \ldots, e_n\}$ , and write  $\phi$  (at the point x) as

$$\phi_x = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_p} e^*_{i_1} \wedge e^*_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_{i_p}.$$

By assumption,  $a_{12\dots p} = |\phi|_{\infty}(x)$  and  $|a_{i_1i_2\dots i_p}| \le |\phi|_{\infty}(x)$  for all  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$ , so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi|_{\infty}(x) &= a_{12\dots p} \leq \left(\sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_p}^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= |\phi|_2(x) \leq \binom{n}{p}^{1/2} |\phi|_{\infty}(x). \end{aligned}$$

**2.2. Relating harmonic norm with comass.** Given a closed Riemannian manifold M, we define  $K_p$  for any integer  $p \ge 0$  to be

$$K_p = \begin{cases} 0 & p = 0, \\ (n-1)^{-1} (\text{lower bound of Ricci curvature}) & p = 1, \\ \text{lower bound of the curvature operator} & p \ge 2, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where the curvature operator is viewed as the linear extension of the curvature tensor to all of  $\Lambda^2(T_x M)$  as opposed to the subset  $\bigcup_{v,w\in T_xM}v \wedge w$ . We recall from Theorem 1.6 the definition of  $b_p$ . In particular, if M is nonpositively curved, then  $-b_p^2 \leq K_p \leq 0$ .

We will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** (cf. [27, Lemma 8]). Let *M* be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension *n*, and  $\omega$  be a harmonic *p*-form. If the function *f* is the square pointwise  $\ell^2$ -norm  $|\omega|_2^2(x)$ , then

$$\Delta f \leq \lambda f,$$

where  $\lambda = -2p(n-p)K_p \ge 0$ .

Proof. By Bochner's formula,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta(|\omega|_2^2) = \langle \Delta\omega, \omega \rangle - |\nabla\omega|^2 - F(\omega),$$
(2)

where  $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$  is the Hodge Laplacian and  $F(\omega) \ge p(n-p)K_p|\omega|_2^2$  by [19, p. 264]. Since  $\omega$  is harmonic, we obtain from equation (2) that

$$\Delta f \le 2 \left( -|\nabla \omega|^2 - p(n-p)K_p f \right) \le \lambda f, \tag{3}$$

where  $\lambda = -2p(n-p)K_p$ .

**Remark 5.** Note that the pointwise  $\ell^2$ -norm  $|\omega|_2(x)$  is *not* necessarily differentiable at points where it is zero, but its square is always a smooth function.

The Margulis lemma states that for any complete simply connected *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold X, with sectional curvatures  $-b^2 \leq K_X \leq 0$ , there are constants  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , depending only on b and n, such that for any discrete group of isometries  $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X)$ , and any  $x \in X$ , the group  $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$  generated by

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma : d(x, \gamma x) < \varepsilon\}$$

has a finite index nilpotent subgroup of index at most N. The largest value of  $\varepsilon$  for a given b and n is called the *Margulis constant*  $\mu = \mu(b, n)$ . Moreover, if  $M = X/\Gamma$  is a compact manifold then by [20],  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  has a normal crystallographic subgroup  $\Sigma_{\mu}$  of index at most N which preserves and acts cocompactly on a totally geodesic flat submanifold  $A \cong \mathbb{R}^k \subset X$ . If X is negatively curved then  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  is infinite cyclic and A is a geodesic.

Define the *thin/thick decomposition* of  $M = M_{\text{thin}} \cup M_{\text{thick}}$  to be that given by the disjoint subsets,

 $M_{\text{thin}} = \{x \in M : \text{Inj}(x) < \mu\}$  and  $M_{\text{thick}} = \{x \in M : \text{Inj}(x) \ge \mu\}.$ 

If M is compact and negatively curved then  $M_{\text{thin}}$  is diffeomorphic to a finite disjoint union of copies of the product of an (n - 1)-disk with a closed geodesic corresponding to A (possibly together with some twisted product components if M is non-orientable) and N(n, b) = 1 for b > 0. Even for non-flat closed nonpositively curved M, we may have  $M_{\text{thin}} = M$ , as is the case for some graph manifolds.

The following lemma indicates a useful scale to apply to subsequent results.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose X is an n-dimensional Hadamard manifold with n > 1 and Margulis constant  $\mu$  and  $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X)$  is a cocompact discrete group of isometries. Set  $M = X/\Gamma$  and let  $\varepsilon = \min\{\text{Inj}(M), \mu/2\}$ , and  $B = B(x, \mu/2) \subset X$  be a metric ball of radius  $\mu/2$  centered at some point  $x \in X$ . If

$$D = \{ y \in X : d(x, y) \le d(x, \gamma y) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \}$$

is the Dirichlet fundamental domain of  $\Gamma$ , centered at x, then in each case we have

(a) If X is negatively curved then  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma D \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \le \mu/\varepsilon$ ;

(b) If X has  $\operatorname{Ric}_k < 0$ , then  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma D \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \le C(\mu/\varepsilon)^{k-1}$ ;

(c) If X is a rank k > 1 symmetric space then  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma D \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \le C(\mu/\varepsilon)^k$ ;

(d) If X is any Hadamard space, then  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma D \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \leq C(\mu/\varepsilon)^n$ ,

where in each case C is a constant only depending on n.

*Proof.* We may assume  $\varepsilon = \text{Inj}(M) < \mu/2$ , otherwise  $B \subset D$  and the left-hand side in each case is 1 while the right-hand side in each case is at least 2. Similarly,

we may assume  $\text{Inj}(x) \leq \mu/2$ , otherwise again  $B \subset D$ . Hence,  $B \subset \tilde{M}_{\text{thin}}$ , where  $\tilde{M}_{\text{thin}} \subset X$  is preimage of  $M_{\text{thin}}$  under the covering map.

The elements  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  which translate  $x \in B$  a distance less than the diameter  $\mu$  of *B* lie in  $\Gamma_{\mu}$ . Hence, we can estimate the number of translates of *D* that intersect *B* as follows:

where  $D_{\Gamma_{\mu}}$  and  $D_{\Sigma_{\mu}}$  denote the Dirichlet fundamental domains centered at x associated with the groups  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  and  $\Sigma_{\mu}$ , respectively. (Recall  $\Sigma_{\mu} < \Gamma_{\mu}$  of index at most N.) In particular, it can at most be N times the number of translates of a  $\Sigma_{\mu}$ -fundamental domain that intersect B.

Moreover, recall that for any flat  $A \subset X$  on which  $\Sigma_{\mu}$  acts cocompactly and for any  $y \in A$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $d(y, \gamma y) \leq d(x, \gamma x)$  holds for all  $\gamma \in \Sigma_{\mu}$  by nonpositive curvature and since  $\Sigma_{\mu}$  stabilizes the flat A. Hence, the number of points in the orbit of  $x \in B$  lying in B is no more than the number of points in the orbit of  $y \in A$ in  $B(y, \mu/2) \cap A$ . Since the translation length of each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is at least  $2\varepsilon$ , there can be at most  $C(n)(\mu/\varepsilon)^k \Sigma_{\mu}$ -orbit points in B, where k is the rank of  $\Sigma_{\mu}$  which is the dimension of A. Here C(n) a priori depends on the crystallographic group  $\Sigma_{\mu}$ , but this lattice density constant (for any shortest translation length  $\varepsilon$ ) is universally bounded from above for each n.

In each of the cases of the lemma we observe that the dimension of A is at most 1, k - 1, k, and n, respectively. The constant C in the lemma is C(n)N, where N is the index of  $\Sigma_{\mu}$ . Moreover, when  $K_X < 0$ , both N = 1 and C(n) = 1 since  $\Gamma_{\mu} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Lastly, we will need the following Moser type inequality which represents one of the two directions needed for the Harnack inequality for solutions to general parabolic operators.

**Theorem 2.4** ([29, Theorem 5.1]). Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n. If for any  $x \in M$  and r > 0, the Ricci curvatures restricted to the ball B(x, 2r) satisfy Ric  $\geq -(n-1)K$ , then for any  $u \in C^{\infty}(B(x, r))$  satisfying  $\Delta u \leq \lambda u$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{B(x,r/2)} u \le C_1 (1+\lambda r^2)^{1+n/2} \frac{e^{C_2 \sqrt{(n-1)Kr}}}{\operatorname{vol}(B(x,r))} \|u\|_{B(x,r)}\|_1,$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants only depending on the dimension n.

*Proof.* This is a special case of [29, Theorem 5.1] of Saloff-Coste applied to the restricted setting of the elliptic operator  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - \lambda I$  for  $\lambda \ge 0$ , instead of the full parabolic setting. In the notation of [29], we are specifically setting m = 1,  $\mathcal{A} = I$ ,  $\mathcal{X} = 0 = \mathcal{Y}$ ,  $b = -\lambda$ ,  $\beta = \lambda$ ,  $Q = (0, r^2) \times B(x, r)$ , p = 1, and  $\delta = 1/2$ .

It follows that  $\alpha = \mu = 1$ . Finally, we have integrated out the time factor  $(0, r^2)$  in  $||f|_{|_{O}}||_{2}$ .

Now we are ready to relate the comass of a harmonic form with its harmonic norm. (Recall the definition of  $b_p$  from Theorem 1.6 (a), and note Remark 3.)

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $n \ge 2$ . There exists a constant C(n) such that if M is any ndimensional closed nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold and  $\omega$  is any harmonic p-form, then

$$\operatorname{comass}(\omega) \le C(n) \max\left\{ b_p^{n/2}, \frac{b_p^{(n-\ell)/2}}{\operatorname{Inj}(M)^{\ell/2}} \right\} \|\omega\|_2,$$

where

(a) if M is negatively curved, then  $\ell = 1$ ,

(b) if M has  $\operatorname{Ric}_k < 0$ , then  $\ell = k - 1$ ,

- (c) if M is locally a rank k > 1 symmetric space, then  $\ell = k$ , and
- (d) in all other cases  $\ell = n$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\overline{f}(x) = |\omega|_2(x)$  and let  $f: \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the lift of  $\overline{f}$  to  $\widetilde{M}$ . Choose  $x_0 \in \widetilde{M}$  to be a point where

$$f(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \widetilde{M}} f(x) = \operatorname{comass}(\omega).$$

We set  $u = f^2$ , a smooth function on  $\tilde{M}$ .

By Lemma 2.2, we have that u is a subsolution of the operator  $\Delta - \lambda I$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian of  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\lambda = -2p(n-p)K_p \ge 0$ . Since  $\operatorname{Ric}_M \ge -(n-1)b_p^2$ , by Theorem 2.4 applied to  $u = f^2$ , and taking the square root on both sides, for any r > 0, we have

$$\sup_{B(x_0,r/2)} f \le C_1 (1+\lambda r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{4}} \frac{e^{C_2 \sqrt{(n-1)b_p r}}}{\operatorname{vol}(B(x_0,r))^{1/2}} \|f\|_{B(x_0,r)}\|_2$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  only depend on n.

We note that the ratio of the right-hand side with  $\|\omega\|_2$  grows exponentially in *r* for large *r*, since in that case

$$\frac{\|f\|_{B(x_0,r)}\|_2}{\operatorname{vol}(B(x_0,r))^{1/2}} \approx \|\omega\|_2.$$

On the other hand, at least when  $\sup f \gg \|\overline{f}\|_2$ , the estimate is likewise poor for small r since then

$$\frac{\|f\|_{B(x_0,r)}\|_2}{\operatorname{vol}(B(x_0,r))^{1/2}} \approx f(x_0).$$

However, for  $r = \mu/2$ , where  $\mu$  is the Margulis constant, the term

$$C(r, b_p, n) = C_1 (1 + \lambda r^2)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}} \frac{e^{C_2 \sqrt{(n-1)} b_p r}}{\operatorname{vol}(B(x_0, r))^{1/2}}$$

depends only on  $b_p$  and *n* since  $\mu$  does. Moreover, varying  $b_p$  by scaling the metric implies that  $2r = \mu = C_3/b_p$ , where  $C_3$  depends only on *n* and *p*. Recalling that

$$\lambda r^2 = -2p(n-p)K_p \frac{C_3}{4b_p^2},$$

we obtain  $C(r, b_p, n) = C_4 b_p^{n/2}$  for some  $C_4$  depending only on n and p.

Lemma 2.3 states that  $B(x, \mu/2)$  is contained in a union of at most  $C_5(\mu/\varepsilon)^{\ell}$ , for each case of  $\ell$ , fundamental domains  $D \subset \tilde{M}$  of M, where  $\varepsilon = \min\{\text{Inj}(M), \mu/2\}$  and  $C_5$  depends only on n. Hence,

$$\cos(\omega) \le C_4 b_p^{n/2} \|f\|_{B(x,\mu/2)} \|_2 \le C_4 b_p^{n/2} \sqrt{C_5(\mu/\varepsilon)^{\ell}} \|f\|_D \|_2$$
$$= C_4 b_p^{n/2} \sqrt{C_5(\mu/\varepsilon)^{\ell/2}} \|\omega\|_2.$$

The statement of the theorem follows from

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\ell/2} \le \max\left\{2^{\ell/2}, \left(\frac{C_3}{b_p \operatorname{Inj}(M)}\right)^{\ell/2}\right\}$$

and that  $p \leq n$ .

#### 3. Producing lower bounds on the Gromov norm by simplex straightening

The idea of simplex straightening was first developed by Gromov [21] and Thurston [30] in negative curvatures as a tool for obtaining lower bounds on the Gromov norm (also see [22]). This method has been extended by many authors in different contexts including higher rank symmetric spaces [23, 25, 26, 31], certain nonpositively curved manifolds [12, 13] and others [28]. Below we give a brief overview on some of these results.

We begin with the definition of a straightening.

**Definition 3.1** (cf. [25]). Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the universal cover of a connected *n*-dimensional manifold M. We denote by  $\Gamma$  the fundamental group of M, and by  $C_*(\tilde{M})$  the real singular chain complex of  $\tilde{M}$ . Equivalently,  $C_k(\tilde{M})$  is the free  $\mathbb{R}$ -module generated by  $C^0(\Delta^k, \tilde{M})$ , the set of singular *k*-simplices in  $\tilde{M}$ , where  $\Delta^k$  is equipped with some fixed Riemannian metric. We say a collection of maps

$$st_k: C^0(\Delta^k, \tilde{M}) \to C^0(\Delta^k, \tilde{M})$$

is a straightening if it satisfies the following conditions:

813

- (a) the maps  $st_k$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant,
- (b) the maps  $st_*$  induce a chain map  $st_*: C_*(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R}) \to C_*(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R})$  that is  $\Gamma$ -equivariantly chain homotopic to the identity,
- (c) the image of  $st_n$  lies in  $C^1(\Delta^n, \tilde{M})$ , that is, the top dimensional straightened simplices are  $C^1$ . (Hence, all the straightened k-simplices are  $C^1$ .)

In addition, if all the straightened *k*-simplices have uniformly bounded volume, we say the straightening is *k*-bounded.

When *M* is negatively curved, Gromov and Thurston used the "geodesic straightening" and showed it is *k*-bounded for  $k \ge 2$ . More specifically, given any *k*-simplex with ordered vertices  $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ , we connect  $v_k$  with  $st_{k-1}(\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\})$ by geodesics with the obvious parametrization, where  $st_{k-1}(\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\})$  is the inductively defined straightened (k-1)-simplex. This geodesic coning procedure defines a straightening that has explicit volume control on each straightened simplex.

When M is higher rank locally symmetric, Lafont and Schmidt [25] defined the "barycentric straightening." Using this procedure and a previous estimate of Connell and Farb [10, 11], they showed that apart from a few exceptional cases, all top dimensional straightened simplices have uniformly bounded volume. This has been extended to all k-simplices when  $k \ge \operatorname{srk}(X) + 2$  in [26,31], where *the splitting rank*, denoted by  $\operatorname{srk}(X)$ , is defined to be the maximal dimension among all totally geodesic submanifolds in X that split off a direct  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor; see explicitly [31, Table 1]. The idea of barycentric straightening is based on the barycenter method originally developed by Besson, Courtois, and Gallot [3].

When M is a nonpositively curved rank one manifold, the barycentric straightening is also well defined. If the manifold satisfies additional curvature conditions, then the straightening is also k-bounded when k is large enough. We summarize the above discussion into the following proposition.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let *M* be a closed nonpositively curved manifold of dimension *n*.

(a) ([21]) If M has sectional curvatures  $K \le -a^2$  with a > 0, then the geodesic straightening is k-bounded for  $k \ge 2$ . Moreover, the volume of the straightened simplices satisfy

$$\operatorname{vol}(st_k(f)) \le \frac{\pi a^{-k}}{(k-1)!} \quad \forall f \in C^0(\Delta^k, \widetilde{M}), \ k \ge 2.$$

- (b) ([26, 31]) If M is higher rank locally symmetric whose universal cover M has no direct factor of ℝ, ℍ<sup>2</sup>, SL(3, ℝ)/SO(3), Sp(2, ℝ)/U(2), G<sup>2</sup><sub>2</sub>/SO(4), and SL(4, ℝ)/SO(4), then the barycentric straightening is k-bounded for k ≥ srk(M) + 2.
- (c) ([12]) If M is geometric rank one with  $\operatorname{Ric}_{l+1} < 0$  for some  $l \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ , then the barycentric straightening is k-bounded for  $k \geq 4l$ .

Now we illustrate how the straightening gives a lower bound on the Gromov norm.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let M be a closed nonpositively curved manifold of dimension n, and  $\omega$  be the harmonic representative of  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $p \leq n-2$ . Denote  $\beta^* \in H_{n-p}(M, \mathbb{R})$  the Poincaré dual of  $\beta$ .

(a) If M is negatively curved and has sectional curvatures  $K \leq -a^2$  with a > 0, then

$$\|\omega\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{\pi a^{p-n}}{(n-p-1)!} \operatorname{comass}(\omega) \|\beta^{*}\|_{1}.$$

(b) If M is higher rank locally symmetric whose universal cover has no direct factor of ℝ, ℍ<sup>2</sup>, SL(3, ℝ)/SO(3), Sp(2, ℝ)/U(2), G<sup>2</sup><sub>2</sub>/SO(4), and SL(4, ℝ)/SO(4), and p ≤ n − 2 − srk(M), then there exists a constant C(M) that depends only on M such that

$$\|\omega\|_2^2 \le C(M) \operatorname{comass}(\omega) \|\beta^*\|_1.$$

(c) If M is geometric rank one satisfying  $\operatorname{Ric}_{k+1} < 0$  for some  $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ , and  $p \leq n - 4k$ , then there exists a constant  $C(\tilde{M})$  that depends only on  $\tilde{M}$  such that

$$\|\omega\|_2^2 \le C(\tilde{M}) \operatorname{comass}(\omega) \|\beta^*\|_1.$$

*Proof.* (a) By definition, the square of the harmonic norm is given by

$$\|\omega\|_2^2 = \int_M \omega \wedge *\omega = \int_{\beta^*} *\omega$$

where  $\beta^* \in H_{n-p}(M, \mathbb{R})$  denotes the Poincaré dual of  $\beta$ . Suppose  $\sum_i a_i \sigma_i$  is a chain that represents  $\beta^*$ , since the straightening is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant it descents to M, and by using (b) of Definition 3.1 we can replace each  $\sigma_i$  by geodesically straightened simplices  $st_{n-p}(\sigma_i)$  so that

$$\int_{\beta^*} *\omega = \int_{[\sum_i a_i \sigma_i]} *\omega = \int_{[\sum_i a_i st(\sigma_i)]} *\omega.$$

By lifting to the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$ , we have

$$\int_{\left[\sum_{i}a_{i}st(\sigma_{i})\right]}\ast\omega\leq\sum_{i}|a_{i}|\left|\int_{st(\sigma_{i})}\ast\omega\right|\leq\sum_{i}|a_{i}|\operatorname{comass}(\ast\omega)\operatorname{vol}(st(\widetilde{\sigma_{i}})).$$

By (a) of Theorem 3.2 together with the fact  $comass(*\omega) = comass(\omega)$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i} |a_{i}| \operatorname{comass}(*\omega) \operatorname{vol}(st(\widetilde{\sigma}_{i})) \leq \frac{\pi a^{p-n}}{(n-p-1)!} \operatorname{comass}(\omega) \left(\sum_{i} |a_{i}|\right).$$

Finally, by taking the infimum among all chains representing  $\beta^*$ , and combining the above inequalities, we conclude

$$\|\omega\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{\pi a^{p-n}}{(n-p-1)!} \operatorname{comass}(\omega) \|\beta^{*}\|_{1}.$$

(b) and (c) follows similarly by simply replacing geodesic straightening with barycentric straightening, and (a) of Theorem 3.2 with (b) and (c).  $\Box$ 

Combining Theorem 2.5 with Theorem 3.3 directly yields Theorem 1.6.

Next we consider the small injectivity radius case. For this, we employ the sharp estimates of Di Cerbo and Stern ([15]). We summarize their results into the form we will use below.

**Theorem 3.4** ([15, Theorems 39, 87 and 96]). Let  $n \ge 3$ . There is a constant C(n) > 0, with the following property. Let M be a closed oriented nonpositively curved manifold of dimension n, and for  $0 \le p \le n-2$ , let  $\omega$  be any harmonic differential p-form on M.

(a) If *M* has curvatures in  $[-b^2, -1]$  with  $b \ge 1$ , p < (n-1)/2b, and  $\text{Inj}(M) > 1 + (\ln(2)/((n-1)-2pb))$ , then for any  $x \in M$ ,

$$\int_{B_1(x)} |\omega|_2^2 d \operatorname{vol} \le \frac{C(n)b}{n-1-2pb} e^{-((n-1)-2pb)\operatorname{Inj}(M)} \|\omega\|_{L^2(M,g)}^2.$$

Moreover, if  $b \neq 1$  and p is a non-negative integer such that p = (n - 1)/2band Inj(M) > 2, then

$$\int_{B_1(x)} |\omega|_2^2 d \text{ vol} \le C(n)e^{2b}(\text{Inj}(M))^{-1} \|\omega\|_{L^2(M,g)}^2$$

(b) If n > 5, M has curvatures  $-1 \le K \le 0$ ,  $-\operatorname{Ric} \ge \delta^2 g$ ,  $p < \delta/2$  and  $\operatorname{Inj}(M) > 1 + (p \log(2)/(\delta - 2p))$ , then for any  $x \in M$ ,

$$\int_{B_1(x)} |\omega|_2^2 d \text{ vol} \le \frac{C(n)}{\delta - 2p} e^{-(\delta - 2p) \operatorname{Inj}(M)} \|\omega\|_{L^2(M,g)}^2$$

*Proof.* The two cases in part (a) correspond to Theorems 87 and 96 of [15], respectively, with the choices k = p,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\tau = \text{Inj}(M)$ ,  $\varepsilon = b - 1$ . (Note that under the hypotheses  $e^{-(\tau - \sigma)(n - 1 - 2pb)} \le 1/2$ .) This is a similar statement to Theorem 23 of [16].

For part (b) we employ Theorem 39 of [15] with  $\kappa = 0$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\tau = \text{Inj}(M)$ , and see the last line of the proof of Theorem 39 regarding why we can choose their  $r_0$  to be  $\infty$  in the  $\kappa = 0$  case. We also renormalize for arbitrary curvature bounds, simplify the expressions with dependent constants by adding in the appropriate multiple of the integral over  $B_1(x)$  to both sides of the inequalities.

Before we prove Theorem 1.9 we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** For any of the symmetric spaces  $\tilde{M}$  in case (b) of Theorem 1.9, scaled to have sectional curvatures  $-1 \leq K \leq 0$ , if the Ricci curvature is bounded by  $-\text{Ric} \geq \delta^2 g$ , then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\delta}{2} \right\rfloor \le n - 2 - \operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M}).$$

Vol. 97 (2022) Homological norms on nonpositively curved manifolds

*Proof.* According to the definition of  $\widetilde{M}$ , there exists a unit tangent vector  $v \in T_o^1 \widetilde{M}$  at some point  $o \in \widetilde{M}$  and a totally geodesic submanifold  $Y \times \mathbb{R} \subset \widetilde{M}$  where v is tangent to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -direction such that dim $(Y \times \mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{srk}(\widetilde{M})$ . We compute the Ricci curvature in direction v with respect to a specific orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k, e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$  such that  $e_1 = v, e_2, \ldots, e_k \in T_o Y$  and  $e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n \in (T_o Y)^{\perp}$ , where  $k = \operatorname{srk}(\widetilde{M})$ :

$$\operatorname{Ric}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle R(v, e_i)e_i, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle R(v, e_i)e_i, v \rangle + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \langle R(v, e_i)e_i, v \rangle$$
$$\geq 0 + (n-k) \cdot (-1)$$
$$= -(n - \operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M})),$$

where the inequality uses the sectional curvature bound together with the fact that  $Y \times \mathbb{R}$  is a totally geodesic Riemannian product. Thus, we have  $\delta^2 \leq -\operatorname{Ric}(v) \leq n - \operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M})$ , and it follows that

$$\delta \le \sqrt{n - \operatorname{srk}(\widetilde{M})}.$$
(1)

Note that the following inequality holds

$$\frac{\sqrt{n-\mathrm{srk}(\widetilde{M})}}{2} \leq n-2-\mathrm{srk}(\widetilde{M}),$$

provided  $\operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M}) \leq n-3$ , hence the lemma follows in this case. If  $\operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M}) = n-2$ , then inequality (1) implies that  $\delta \leq \sqrt{2}$ , so the inequality also holds. In the remaining cases,  $\operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M}) = n$  would imply that  $\tilde{M}$  has an  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor, and  $\operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M}) = n-1$  would imply  $\tilde{M}$  has an  $\mathbb{H}^2$ -factor, which are excluded by the assumption.

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* Set  $f(x) = |\omega|_2(x)$  and set  $u = f^2$ . Choose  $x_0 \in \tilde{M}$  to be a point where  $f(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \tilde{M}} f(x) = \text{comass}(\omega)$ .

By Lemma 2.2 we have that u is a subsolution of the operator  $\Delta - \lambda I$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian of  $\widetilde{M}$ . Since in all cases  $\operatorname{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)b_p^2$ , we may first apply Theorem 2.4 to  $u = f^2$  with r = 1 to obtain

$$comass(\omega)^2 = \sup_{x \in M} |\omega|_2^2(x) \le C(b_p, n, p) \int_{B_1(x_0)} |\omega|_2^2(x) \, d \text{ vol}$$

For case (a) we rescale the metric to have an upper curvature bound of -1 instead of  $-a^2$ . Under this curvature assumption and the stated Ricci curvature bounds, we may apply Theorem 3.4 in each case to obtain the bound on comass( $\omega$ ) analogous to Theorem 2.5 in each case.

For case (b) we apply Lemma 3.5 to obtain the stated bounds on p also imply  $p \le n - 2 - \operatorname{srk}(\tilde{M})$ . We also observe that in case (b) all of the symmetric spaces have dimension at least six. Finally, applying Theorem 3.3 completes the result.  $\Box$ 

## 4. Producing upper bounds on the Gromov norm

Consider the set of all singular *p*-simplices  $\Sigma^p = \{\sigma : \Delta^p \to M\}$ , a cochain  $c \in C^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  has a natural  $\ell^{\infty}$ -norm,

$$||c||_{\infty} := \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma^p} |c(\sigma)|.$$

Thus for cohomology class  $\alpha \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$ , the  $\ell^{\infty}$ -norm is defined to be

$$\|\alpha\|_{\infty} := \inf \{ \|c\|_{\infty} : c \in C^{p}(M, \mathbb{R}), \ \delta c = 0, \ [c] = \alpha \}.$$

Note that by definition  $\|\alpha\|_{\infty}$  can take infinite values, and we say  $\alpha$  *is bounded* if  $\|\alpha\|_{\infty}$  is finite, or equivalently,  $\alpha$  has a bounded representative.

In the theory of bounded cohomology, the  $\ell^{\infty}$ -norm on the cohomology is considered dual to the  $\ell^1$ -norm on the homology. In particular, surjectivity of the comparison map on top dimension is equivalent to the non-vanishing of the simplicial volume. More generally, we have duality principle

**Proposition 4.1** ([21], [1, Proposition F.2.2]). For any  $\alpha \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ , the Gromov norm satisfies

$$\|\alpha\|_1 = \frac{1}{\inf\{\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \mid (\varphi, \alpha) = 1, \ \varphi \in H^k(M, \mathbb{R})\}}.$$

Therefore, in order to produce an upper bound on the Gromov norm, it is equivalent to obtain a lower bound on the  $\ell^{\infty}$ -norm of certain cohomology classes. It is shown that whenever the manifold has Ricci curvatures bounded from below, the  $\ell^{\infty}$ -norm always bounds the comass.

**Lemma 4.2** ([21, Corollary, p. 244]). Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n with Ric  $\geq -(n-1)$ , then for any  $\varphi \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\operatorname{comass}(\varphi) \le p!(n-1)^p \|\varphi\|_{\infty}.$$

**Remark 6.** In [21], Gromov used a different normalization, namely Ric  $\ge -1/(n-1)$ , but since comass( $\varphi$ ) scales by  $(n-1)^p$  when the metric is scaled down by n-1, and  $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}$  stays the same, the resulting inequality has an additional factor of  $(n-1)^p$ . In a recent preprint of Campagnolo and the second author [7], the above inequality is further sharpened by an additional factor of  $1/p^{p/2}$ , hence the upper bound of Theorem 1.5 can be improved accordingly by a factor of  $1/(n-p)^{(n-p)/2}$ .

Thus combining the above two results, we prove Theorem 1.5.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let M be a closed manifold of dimension n with  $\text{Ric} \ge -(n-1)$ , and  $\omega$  be the harmonic representative of  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$ , then the Gromov norm of the Poincaré dual of  $\beta$  has an upper bound

$$\|\beta^*\|_1 \le (n-p)!(n-1)^{n-p} \|\omega\|_2 \sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(M)}.$$

Vol. 97 (2022) Homological norms on nonpositively curved manifolds

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in H^{n-p}(M, \mathbb{R})$  be any class such that  $(\varphi, \beta^*) = 1$  and  $\eta$  be any (n-p)-form representing  $\varphi$ , then we have

$$1 = (\beta^*, \varphi) = \int_{\beta^*} \varphi = \int_M \omega \wedge \eta \le \operatorname{comass}(\eta) \int_M |\omega|_{\infty}(x) \, d \operatorname{vol}(x).$$

By taking the infimum of comass on all  $\eta$  representing  $\varphi$ , we get

$$1 \leq \operatorname{comass}(\varphi) \int_{M} |\omega|_{\infty}(x) \, d \operatorname{vol}(x).$$

Apply Lemma 2.1 and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, to further obtain

$$1 \le \operatorname{comass}(\varphi) \int_{M} |\omega|_{2}(x) \, d \operatorname{vol}(x) \le \operatorname{comass}(\varphi) \|\omega\|_{2} \sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(M)}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2, we have

$$1 \le (n-p)!(n-1)^{n-p} \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \|\omega\|_2 \sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(M)}.$$

Finally, we take the infimum of  $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}$  over all  $\varphi$  satisfying  $(\varphi, \beta^*) = 1$ , and apply Proposition 4.1 to get

$$\|\beta^*\|_1 \le (n-p)!(n-1)^{n-p}\|\omega\|_2 \sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(M)}.$$

## 5. Alternative approach

In Section 2, we compared the comass of a harmonic *p*-form with its  $L^2$ -norm in terms of the injectivity radius of the manifold. We can also relate them by other geometric invariants such as the Sobolev constant. Such relation follows from a general theorem of Li.

**5.1.** Li's theorem. Let  $K_p$  be the constant defined in equation (1). In our case,  $K_p$  is always nonpositive but may be less than the lower Ricci bound. We let V be the volume of M and let  $C_S$  denote the Sobolev constant which will be defined in the next subsection. We will also relate it to other geometric quantities such as diameter, Cheeger's isoperimetric constant, and the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian.

**Theorem 5.1** ([27, Theorem 7]). There exists a constant C(n) depending only on n such that if  $\phi$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenform for the Hodge Laplacian on a closed manifold M of dimension  $n \ge 3$  and  $\lambda \ne p(n-p)K_p$ , then

$$C(n)\left(\frac{\lambda-p(n-p)K_p}{C_S}\right)^{n/2}\exp\left[\frac{C(n)C_S}{V^{2/n}(\lambda-p(n-p)K_p)}\right]\|\phi\|_2^2 \ge \left(\sup|\phi|_2\right)^2.$$

Moreover, if  $\lambda = p(n-p)K_p$ , then  $\lambda = 0 = K_p$  and  $|\phi|_2$  is pointwise constant. In particular,  $\|\phi\|_2^2 = V(\sup |\phi|_2)^2$ .

For our purpose, we only need to apply Li's theorem in the case of harmonic *p*-forms, that is,  $\lambda = 0$ .

**Corollary 5.2.** If *M* is a closed manifold of dimension  $n \ge 3$ , then there exists a constant C(n) depending only on *n* such that for any harmonic *p*-form  $\omega$  with  $1 \le p \le n-1$ ,

$$\operatorname{comass}(\omega) \leq \sqrt{Q} \|\omega\|_2,$$

where Q is given by

$$Q = C(n) \left(\frac{-p(n-p)K_p}{C_S}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left[\frac{C(n)C_S}{-p(n-p)K_pV^{2/n}}\right] \quad if K_p \neq 0, or$$
$$Q = V \qquad \qquad if K_p = 0.$$

1-

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 2.1 with Theorem 5.1.

**Remark 7.** In Theorem 7 of [27], the appendix shows that  $C(n) = D(n)^{\beta/(\beta-1)}$  where  $\beta = n/(n-2)$  and D(n) is the constant from Lemma 2 of that paper. That constant is  $D(n) = 2^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha = 0$  if n = 3 and  $\alpha = (n-4)/(n-2)$  for  $n \ge 4$ . Therefore, in both the above Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2,  $C(n) \le 1$  if n = 3 and otherwise  $C(n) \le 2^{(n^2-4n)/2}$ .

5.2. Sobolev constant, Cheeger's constant and bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian. Let  $C_0$  be the classical  $L^1$ -Sobolev constant for the Sobolev space  $W^{1,1}$  of functions on an *n*-dimensional closed manifold M with weak  $L^1$  first derivatives, that is,

$$C_0 = \inf_{f \in W^{1,1}} \frac{\|\nabla f\|_1^n}{\inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \|f - a\|_{n/(n-1)}^n}$$

This is related to a scale invariant isoperimetric type constant  $C_1$ :

$$C_1 = \inf_{N \subset M} \frac{(\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(N))^n}{\min\{\operatorname{vol} M_1, \operatorname{vol} M_2\}^{n-1}},$$

where the infimum is over all codimension one closed submanifolds  $N \subset M$  whose complement in M consists of two components  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . The relation is

$$C_1 \le C_0 \le 2C_1. \tag{1}$$

Another well-known isoperimetric constant is the Cheeger's constant h, it is defined in a similar way as

$$h = \inf_{N \subset M} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(N)}{\min\{\operatorname{vol} M_1, \operatorname{vol} M_2\}}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$C_1 \le \frac{h^n \operatorname{vol}(M)}{2}.$$
(2)

 $\square$ 

Vol. 97 (2022)

Moreover, we define  $C_S$  by

$$C_{S} = \left(\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^{2/n} C_{0}^{2/n}.$$

Lemma 1 of [27] shows

$$C_S \leq \inf_{f \in W^{1,2}} \frac{\|\nabla f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2},$$

where the right-hand side is the  $L^2$  Sobolev constant.

If we denote  $\lambda_1$  the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian on M, then it is bounded in terms of the Cheeger's constant h.

**Theorem 5.3** ([6,8]). *We have* 

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{h^2}{4}$$
 (Cheeger's inequality).

If  $M^n$  has Ricci lower bound -(n-1), then

$$\lambda_1 \le 2(n-1)h + 10h^2$$
 (Buser's inequality).

We attempt to give an upper bound on the constant Q in Corollary 5.2, in terms of these geometric quantities. First we observe that the exponential part of Q is bounded for non-flat nonpositively curved manifolds.

**Lemma 5.4.** If *M* is a closed nonpositively curved manifold which is not flat, C(n) is a constant that depends only on *n*, and  $1 \le p \le n - 1$ , then

$$\exp\left[\frac{C(n)C_S}{-p(n-p)K_pV^{2/n}}\right] \le C(n,K_p),$$

where  $C(n, K_p)$  is a constant that only depends on n and  $K_p$ .

*Proof.* Since C(n) and p only depend on n, and  $K_p < 0$  under the hypotheses, it suffices to bound  $C_S/V^{2/n}$  from above. In what follows, let const<sub>n</sub> represent a mutable constant depending only on n. According to the definition  $C_S$  and inequality (1),

$$C_S/V^{2/n} \leq \operatorname{const}_n \left(\frac{C_0}{V}\right)^{2/n} \leq \operatorname{const}_n \left(\frac{C_1}{V}\right)^{2/n}.$$

By inequality (2) and Cheeger's inequality (5.3),

$$\left(\frac{C_1}{V}\right)^{2/n} \le \operatorname{const}_n h^2 \le \operatorname{const}_n \lambda_1.$$

By Cheng's comparison theorem [9, Corollary 2.3],

$$\lambda_1 \le \frac{(n-1)^2 K}{4} + \frac{\operatorname{const}_n}{d_M^2},$$

where -(n-1)K is the Ricci lower bound of M (hence,  $K \leq -K_p$ ).

Consider a closed Dirichlet fundamental domain  $D \subset \tilde{M}$  for  $\pi_1(M)$  centered at some  $x \in \tilde{M}$  as defined in Lemma 2.3. Since M is closed and not flat,  $\pi_1(M)$  is not infra-nilpotent by [20]. Hence, in the generating set  $S = \{\gamma \in \pi_1(M) : \gamma D \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$ there is at least one element  $\gamma \in S$  with translation length greater than the Margulis constant  $\mu$ . Therefore, the diameter of M satisfies  $d_M \ge \mu$ , and  $\mu$  only depends on nand  $b_p = \sqrt{-K_p}$ . Therefore, we obtain an upper bound on  $\lambda_1$  depending only on nand  $K_p$ . Hence, the same holds for the upper bound of  $C_S/V^{2/n}$ , which completes the proof.

Now contracting all constants in Corollary 5.2 that depend only on n and  $K_p$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.5.** If M is a closed nonpositively curved manifold of dimension  $n \ge 3$  which is not flat, then there exists a constant  $C(n, K_p)$  depending only on n and  $K_p$  such that for any harmonic p-form  $\omega$  representing  $\beta \in H^p(M, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \le p \le n-1$ , we have

$$\operatorname{comass}(\omega) \leq \frac{C(n, K_p)}{C_S^{n/4}} \|\omega\|_2.$$

*Thus, in addition, if M and p satisfy any of the following conditions:* 

- (a) If M is negatively curved, and  $p \le n 2$ , or
- (b) If M is rank r ≥ 2 locally symmetric whose universal cover has no direct factor of H<sup>2</sup>, SL(3, ℝ)/SO(3), Sp(2, ℝ)/U(2), G<sup>2</sup><sub>2</sub>/SO(4) and SL(4, ℝ)/SO(4), and p ≤ n − 2 − srk(M), or
- (c) If M is geometric rank one satisfying  $\operatorname{Ric}_{k+1} < 0$  for some  $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ , and  $p \leq n-4k$ ,

then there exists a constant  $C(\tilde{M})$  that depends only on  $\tilde{M}$  such that

$$\|\omega\|_2 \leq \frac{C(\widetilde{M})}{C_S^{n/4}} \|\beta^*\|_1$$

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 5.4, Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 3.3.

**Remark 8.** Note that since  $C_S \simeq C_0^{2/n} \simeq C_1^{2/n}$  up to universal constants, the inequality in the above corollary can be replaced by

$$\operatorname{comass}(\omega) \le \frac{C(n, K_p)}{\sqrt{C_0}} \|\omega\|_2 \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{comass}(\omega) \le \frac{C(n, K_p)}{\sqrt{C_1}} \|\omega\|_2.$$

and also

$$\|\omega\|_{2} \leq \frac{C(\tilde{M})}{\sqrt{C_{0}}} \|\beta^{*}\|_{1} \text{ or } \|\omega\|_{2} \leq \frac{C(\tilde{M})}{\sqrt{C_{1}}} \|\beta^{*}\|_{1}.$$

We end the section by a result of Croke which provides a lower bound on  $C_1$  (so equivalently on  $C_0$  and  $C_S$ ) in terms of the lower bound of Ricci curvature (n-1)K, upper bound of diameter  $d_M$ , lower bound of vol(M) and the dimension n.

**Theorem 5.6** ([14, Theorem 13]). For any closed Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded below by (n-1)K, there is a constant C(n) depending only on n such that

$$C_1 \ge C(n) \left( \frac{\operatorname{vol}(M)}{\int_0^{d_M} (\sqrt{-1/K} \sinh \sqrt{-K}r)^{n-1} \, dr} \right)^{n+1}$$

where we use the convention that  $(\sqrt{-1/K} \sinh \sqrt{-K}r)$  is interpreted as r if K = 0 and as  $\sqrt{1/K} \sin(Kr)$  if K > 0.

This provides an alternative way of relating the Gromov norm with the harmonic norm in terms of n,  $K_p$ , a lower bound on volume and an upper bound on diameter.

#### References

- R. Benedetti and C. Petronio, *Lectures on hyperbolic geometry*. Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 1992 Zbl 0768.51018 MR 1219310
- [2] N. Bergeron, M. H. Şengün, and A. Venkatesh, Torsion homology growth and cycle complexity of arithmetic manifolds. *Duke Math. J.* 165 (2016), no. 9, 1629–1693 Zbl 1351.11031 MR 3513571
- [3] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot, Minimal entropy and Mostow's rigidity theorems. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 16 (1996), no. 4, 623–649 Zbl 0887.58030 MR 1406425
- [4] J.-P. Bourguignon and H. Karcher, Curvature operators: pinching estimates and geometric examples. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 11 (1978), no. 1, 71–92 Zbl 0386.53031 MR 493867
- [5] J. F. Brock and N. M. Dunfield, Norms on the cohomology of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Invent. Math.* 210 (2017), no. 2, 531–558 Zbl 1379.57023 MR 3714511
- [6] P. Buser, A note on the isoperimetric constant. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), no. 2, 213–230 Zbl 0501.53030 MR 683635
- [7] C. Campagnolo and S. Wang, An ℓ<sub>1</sub>-norm-mass inequality for complete manifolds. 2022, arXiv:2203.04131
- [8] J. Cheeger, A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian. In *Problems in analysis (Papers dedicated to Salomon Bochner, 1969)*, pp. 195–199, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970 Zbl 0212.44903 MR 0402831
- [9] S. Y. Cheng, Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications. *Math. Z.* 143 (1975), no. 3, 289–297 Zbl 0329.53035 MR 378001
- [10] C. Connell and B. Farb, The degree theorem in higher rank. J. Differential Geom. 65 (2003), no. 1, 19–59 Zbl 1067.53032 MR 2057530
- [11] C. Connell and B. Farb, Erratum for "The degree theorem in higher rank". J. Differential Geom. 105 (2017), no. 1, 21–32 Zbl 1472.53052 MR 3592693

- [12] C. Connell and S. Wang, Positivity of simplicial volume for nonpositively curved manifolds with a Ricci-type curvature condition. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 13 (2019), no. 3, 1007–1034 Zbl 1426.53057 MR 4016618
- [13] C. Connell and S. Wang, Some remarks on the simplicial volume of nonpositively curved manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **377** (2020), no. 3-4, 969–987 Zbl 1450.53050 MR 4126886
- [14] C. B. Croke, Some isoperimetric inequalities and eigenvalue estimates. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 13 (1980), no. 4, 419–435 Zbl 0465.53032 MR 608287
- [15] L. Di Cerbo and M. Stern, Price inequalities and Betti number growth on manifolds without conjugate points. 2017, arXiv:1704.06354
- [16] L. Di Cerbo and M. Stern, Harmonic forms, Price inequalities, and Benjamini–Schramm convergence. 2019, arXiv:1909.05634
- [17] P. B. Eberlein, Geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1996 Zbl 0883.53003 MR 1441541
- [18] D. Gabai, Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983), no. 3, 445–503 Zbl 0533.57013 MR 723813
- [19] S. Gallot and D. Meyer, Opérateur de courbure et laplacien des formes différentielles d'une variété riemannienne. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 54 (1975), no. 3, 259–284 Zbl 0316.53036 MR 454884
- [20] D. Gromoll and J. A. Wolf, Some relations between the metric structure and the algebraic structure of the fundamental group in manifolds of nonpositive curvature. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1971), 545–552 Zbl 0237.53037 MR 281122
- [21] M. Gromov, Volume and bounded cohomology. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1982), no. 56, 5–99 Zbl 0516.53046 MR 686042
- [22] H. Inoue and K. Yano, The Gromov invariant of negatively curved manifolds. *Topology* 21 (1982), no. 1, 83–89 Zbl 0469.53038 MR 630882
- [23] S. Kim and I. Kim, Simplicial volume, barycenter method, and bounded cohomology. *Math. Ann.* 377 (2020), no. 1–2, 555–616 Zbl 1462.53036 MR 4099620
- [24] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, Scalar curvature and the Thurston norm. *Math. Res. Lett.* 4 (1997), no. 6, 931–937 Zbl 0892.57011 MR 1492131
- [25] J.-F. Lafont and B. Schmidt, Simplicial volume of closed locally symmetric spaces of non-compact type. Acta Math. 197 (2006), no. 1, 129–143 Zbl 1111.57020 MR 2285319
- [26] J.-F. Lafont and S. Wang, Barycentric straightening and bounded cohomology. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 21 (2019), no. 2, 381–403 Zbl 1419.57051 MR 3896205
- [27] P. Li, On the Sobolev constant and the *p*-spectrum of a compact Riemannian manifold. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 13 (1980), no. 4, 451–468 Zbl 0466.53023 MR 608289
- [28] I. Mineyev, Straightening and bounded cohomology of hyperbolic groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), no. 4, 807–839 Zbl 1013.20034 MR 1866802
- [29] L. Saloff-Coste, Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds. J. Differential Geom. 36 (1992), no. 2, 417–450 Zbl 0735.58032 MR 1180389
- [30] W. P. Thurston, *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1.* Princeton Math. Ser. 35, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997 Zbl 0873.57001 MR 1435975

- [31] S. Wang, On splitting rank of non-compact-type symmetric spaces and bounded cohomology. J. Topol. Anal. 12 (2020), no. 2, 465–489 Zbl 1444.22011 MR 4119112
- [32] S. Wang, Geometric cycles and bounded cohomology for a cocompact lattice in  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . *Math. Z.* **301** (2022), no. 3, 3109–3125 Zbl 07540316 MR 4437350

Received 28 December 2021

C. G. Connell, Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA E-mail: connell@indiana.edu

S. Wang, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 200031, China E-mail: shiwang.math@gmail.com