

***SE*-Supplemented Subgroups of Finite Groups**

WENBIN GUO (*) - ALEXANDER N. SKIBA (**) - NANYING YANG (*)

ABSTRACT - Let G be a finite group, H a subgroup of G and H_{seG} be the subgroup generated by all subgroups of H which are S -quasinormally embedded in G . Then we say that H is *SE*-supplemented in G if G has a subgroup T such that $HT = G$ and $H \cap T \leq H_{seG}$. We investigate the influence of *SE*-quasinormally embedded of some subgroups on the structure of finite groups. Our results improve and extend a series of recent results.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010). 20D10, 20D20, 20D25.

KEYWORDS. S -quasinormal subgroup, S -quasinormally embedded subgroup, *FE*-supplemented subgroup, formation.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and G denotes a finite group.

An interesting question in theory of finite groups is to determine the influence of the embedding properties of members of some distinguished families of subgroups of a group on the structure of the group.

Recall that a subgroup H of G is said to be *S-quasinormal*, *S-permutable*, or $\pi(G)$ -*permutable* in G (Kegel [22]) if $HP = PH$ for all Sylow subgroups P of G . A subgroup H of G is said to be *S-quasinormally embedded* or *S-permutably embedded* in G (Ballester-Bolinches and Pedraza-Aguilera [6]) if each Sylow subgroup of H is also a Sylow subgroup of some

(*) Indirizzo degli A.: School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P. R. China

E-mail: wbguo@ustc.edu.cn yangny@ustc.edu.cn

(**) Indirizzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Gomel 246019, Belarus

E-mail: alexander.skiba49@gmail.com

S -quasinormal subgroup of G . A subgroup A of G is said to be c -normal (Wang [32]) (c -supplemented (Ballester-Bolinches, Wang and Guo [9])) in G if G has a normal subgroup (a subgroup, respectively) T such that $AT = G$ and $A \cap T \leq A_G$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of groups. If $1 \in \mathcal{F}$, then we write $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ to denote the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G with $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$. The class \mathcal{F} is said to be a *formation* if either $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ or $1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and every homomorphic image of $G/G^{\mathcal{F}}$ belongs to \mathcal{F} for every group G . A formation \mathcal{F} is said to be *saturated* or *local* if \mathcal{F} contains every group G with $G^{\mathcal{F}} \leq \Phi(G)$. A class \mathcal{F} of groups is said to be *solubly saturated* or *Baer-local* (see [11, Chapter IV, Definition 4.9]) if \mathcal{F} contains every group G with $G^{\mathcal{F}} \leq \Phi(N)$ for some soluble normal subgroup N of G .

Researches of many authors are connected with analysis of the following general question: *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Under what conditions on E then, does G belong to \mathcal{F} ?*

We recall some recent results in this direction. If \mathcal{F} is a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G has a normal subgroup E such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$, then the following results are true:

(1) If the cyclic subgroups of E of prime order or order 4 are or S -quasinormal (Ballester-Bolinches and Pedraza-Aguilera [7], Asaad and Csörgö [2]), or c -normal (Ballester-Bolinches and Wang [8]), or c -supplemented (Ballester-Bolinches, Wang and Guo [9], Wang and Li [35]) in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

(2) If the cyclic subgroups of $F^*(E)$ of prime order or order 4 are or S -quasinormal (Li and Wang [24]), or c -normal (Wei, Wang and Li [36]), or S -quasinormally embedded (Li and Wang [25]), or c -supplemented (Wang, Wei and Li [34], Wei, Wang and Li [37]) in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

(3) If the maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of E are or S -quasinormal (Asaad [1]), or c -normal (Wei [38]), or c -supplemented (Ballester-Bolinches and Guo [5]) in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

(4) If the maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of $F^*(E)$ are or S -quasinormal (Li and Wang [26]), or c -normal (Wei, Wang and Li [37]), or c -supplemented (Wei, Wang and Li [34]), or S -quasinormally embedded (Li and Wang [25]) in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

(5) If E is soluble and the maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of $F(E)$ are S -quasinormally embedded in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ (Asaad and Heliel [3]).

In these results, $F^*(E)$ is the generalized Fitting subgroup of E , that is, the product of all normal quasinilpotent subgroups of E [21, Chapter X].

Bearing in mind the above-mentioned results, it is natural to ask:

(I) *Is it true that all the above-mentioned results can be strengthened by considering the more general case, where \mathcal{F} is a Baer-local formation?*

(II) *Is it true that all the above-mentioned results can be improved by using some weaker condition?*

In this paper, we give positive answers to both these questions.

Let H_{seG} be the subgroup generated by all the subgroups of H which are S -quasinormally embedded in G . We call H_{seG} the *SE-core* of H in G .

DEFINITION 1.1. *Let H be a subgroup of G . We say that H is SE-supplemented in G if there exists some subgroup T of G such that $HT = G$ and $H \cap T \leq H_{seG}$.*

The key to solving Questions (I) and (II) are the following two results.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let E be a normal subgroup of G . If the cyclic subgroups of E of prime order or order 4 are SE-supplemented in G , then each chief factor of G below E is cyclic.*

THEOREM 1.3. *Let E be a normal subgroup of G . If the maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of E are SE-supplemented in G , then each chief factor of G below E is cyclic.*

A chief factor H/K of G is called \mathcal{F} -central in G provided $(H/K) \times (G/C_G(H/K)) \in \mathcal{F}$.

In [31], the following result was proved.

THEOREM 1.4 [31, Theorem 3.1]. *Let \mathcal{F} be any formation and E a normal subgroup of G . If each chief factor of G below $F^*(E)$ is \mathcal{F} -central in G , then each chief factor of G below E is \mathcal{F} -central in G as well.*

Base on these theorems, we may directly obtained the following results.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let E be a normal subgroup of G . If the cyclic subgroups of $F^*(E)$ of prime order or order 4 are SE-supplemented in G , then each chief factor of G below E is cyclic.*

COROLLARY 1.6. *Let E be a normal subgroup of G . If the maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of $F^*(E)$ are SE-supplemented in G , then each chief factor of G below E is cyclic.*

It is clear that if \mathcal{F} is a Baer-local formation containing all supersoluble groups and G has a cyclic normal subgroup E such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ (see Lemma 2.17 below). Hence from Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, we also directly get the following

THEOREM 1.7. *Let \mathcal{F} be a Baer-local formation containing all supersoluble groups and G has normal subgroups $X \leq E$ such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that the cyclic subgroups of X of prime order or order 4 are SE -supplemented in G . If either $X = E$ or $X = F^*(E)$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and each chief factor of G below E is cyclic.*

THEOREM 1.8. *Let \mathcal{F} be a Baer-local formation containing all supersoluble groups and G has normal subgroups $X \leq E$ such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that the maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of X are SE -supplemented in G . If either $X = E$ or $X = F^*(E)$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and each chief factor of G below E is cyclic.*

It is easy to see that all S -quasinormal subgroups, S -quasinormally embedded subgroups, c -normal subgroups, and c -supplemented subgroups are all SE -supplemented in G . But the converse is not true (see the example in Section 5). Hence Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 give affirmative answers to Questions (I) and (II) and consequently, a large number of known results (for example, the above results in (1)-(5)) are generalized.

All unexplained notations and terminologies are standard. The reader is referred to [11], [4] and [16] if necessary.

2. Preliminaries

LEMMA 2.1 [22]. *Let G be a group and $H \leq K \leq G$.*

- (1) *If H is S -quasinormal in G , then H is S -quasinormal in K .*
- (2) *Suppose that H is normal in G . Then K/H is S -quasinormal in G if and only if K is S -quasinormal in G .*
- (3) *If H is S -quasinormal in G , then H is subnormal in G .*

From Lemma 2.1 (3) we get

LEMMA 2.2. *If H is an S -quasinormal subgroup of G and H is a p -group for some prime p , then $O^p(G) \leq N_G(H)$.*

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that A, B are subgroups of G .*

- (1) *If A is S -quasinormal in G , then $A \cap B$ is S -quasinormal in B [10].*
- (2) *If A and B are S -quasinormal in G , then $A \cap B$ is S -quasinormal in G [22].*
- (3) *If A is S -quasinormal in G , then A/A_G is nilpotent [10].*

LEMMA 2.4 [6]. *Let G be a group and $H \leq K \leq G$.*

- (1) *If H is S -quasinormally embedded in G , then H is S -quasinormally embedded in K .*
- (2) *If H is normal in G and E is an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G , then EH is S -quasinormally embedded in G and EH/H is S -quasinormally embedded in G/H .*

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that H is an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G . If $H \leq O_p(G)$ for some prime p , then H is S -quasinormal in G .*

PROOF. Suppose that H is a Sylow p -subgroup of some S -quasinormal subgroup E of G . Then $H = O_p(G) \cap E$. Hence by Lemma 2.3(2), H is S -quasinormal in G .

LEMMA 2.6. *Suppose that N is a normal subgroup of G and $H \leq K \leq G$. Then:*

- (1) $H_{seG} \trianglelefteq H$.
- (2) $H_{seG} \leq H_{seK}$.
- (3) $H_{seG}N/N \leq (HN/N)_{se(G/N)}$.
- (4) *If $(|N|, |H|) = 1$, then $H_{seG}N/N = (HN/N)_{se(G/N)}$.*
- (5) $(H_{seG})^x = (H^x)_{seG}$, for any $x \in G$.

PROOF. Let L be an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G contained in H , q be a prime dividing $|L|$, Q a Sylow q -subgroup of L and E an S -quasinormal subgroup of G such that $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(E)$.

(1) Let $x \in H$. Then $L^x \leq H$. If R is a Sylow q -subgroup of L^x , then $R = Q_1^x$ for some Sylow q -subgroup Q_1 of L . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $Q_1 = Q$. Obviously, $Q^x \in \text{Syl}_q(E^x)$ and E^x is an S -quasinormal subgroup of G . Hence L^x is an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G . This implies that (1) holds.

(2) By Lemma 2.3(1), $E \cap K$ is an S -quasinormal subgroup of K . Since $Q \leq E \cap K$, Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of $E \cap K$. Hence $L \leq H_{seK}$ and so $H_{seG} \leq H_{seK}$.

(3) Clearly $LN/N \leq HN/N$ and LN/N is an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G/N by Lemma 2.4(2). Hence $H_{seG}N/N \leq (HN/N)_{se(G/N)}$.

(4) In view of (3), we only need to prove that $(HN/N)_{se(G/N)} \leq H_{seG}N/N$. Let V/N be an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G/N such that $V/N \leq HN/N$. Then $V = V \cap HN = N(V \cap H)$. We now show that $U = V \cap H$ is S -quasinormally embedded in G . Let p be an arbitrary prime dividing $|U|$ and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(U)$. Then $P \in \text{Syl}_p(V)$ since $(|N|, |H|) = 1$. Hence $PN/N \in \text{Syl}_p(V/N)$. Let W/N be an S -quasinormal subgroup of G/N such that $PN/N \in \text{Syl}_p(W/N)$. Then $PN/N = W_pN/N$ for some Sylow p -subgroup W_p of W . Hence $PN = W_pN$. Since P and W_p are all Sylow p -subgroups of PN , $P = (W_p)^n$ for some $n \in N$. By Lemma 2.1(2), W is S -quasinormal in G . Then, clearly, W^n is S -quasinormal in G . Now since $P = (W_p)^n \in \text{Syl}_p(W^n)$, we see that U is S -quasinormally embedded in G . Therefore $U \leq H_{seG}$. It follows that $V/N = UN/N \leq H_{seG}N/N$ and consequently $(HN/N)_{se(G/N)} \leq H_{seG}N/N$.

(5) This is evident.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let H be an SE -supplemented subgroup of G and N a normal subgroup of G .*

- (1) *If $H \leq K \leq G$, then H is SE -supplemented in K .*
- (2) *If $N \leq H$, then H/N is SE -supplemented in G/N .*
- (3) *If $(|N|, |H|) = 1$, then HN/N is SE -supplemented in G/N .*
- (4) *H^x is SE -supplemented in G , for all $x \in G$.*

PROOF. Let T be a subgroup of G such that $HT = G$ and $H \cap T \leq H_{seG}$.

(1) Since $K = H(T \cap K)$ and $(T \cap K) \cap H = T \cap H \leq H_{seG} \leq H_{seK}$ by Lemma 2.6(2), (1) holds.

(2) Since $(H/N)(NT/N) = G/H$ and $(H/N) \cap (NT/N) = N(H \cap T)/N \leq H_{seG}N/N \leq (H/N)_{se(G/N)}$ by Lemma 2.6(3), H/N is SE -supplemented in G/N .

(3) Since $(|N|, |H|) = 1$, $N \leq T$. Hence $(T/N) \cap (HN/N) = N(T \cap H)/N \leq NH_{seG}/N \leq (NH/N)_{se(G/N)}$. This shows that HN/N is SE -supplemented in G/N .

(4) Since $HT = G$, we have $H^x T^x = G$. On the other hand, since $H \cap T \leq H_{seG}$, $H^x \cap T^x = (H \cap T)^x \leq (H_{seG})^x = (H^x)_{seG}$ by Lemma 2.6(5). Hence H^x is SE -supplemented in G .

Recall that for a class \mathcal{F} of groups, a group G is said to be a minimal non- \mathcal{F} -group if $G \notin \mathcal{F}$ but every proper subgroup of G belongs to \mathcal{F} .

The following result about minimal non- \mathcal{F} -groups is useful in our proofs.

LEMMA 2.8 [28, VI, Theorem 25.4]. *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation and G a minimal non- \mathcal{F} -group such that $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ is soluble. Then:*

(a) $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a p -group for some prime p and $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ is of exponent p or exponent 4 (if P is a non-abelian 2-group).

(b) $G^{\mathcal{F}}/\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})$ is a chief factor of G , and $G^{\mathcal{F}}/\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})$ is a non- \mathcal{F} -central in G .

LEMMA 2.9 [12, Theorem 2.4]. *Let P be a group and α a p' -automorphism of P .*

(1) *If $[\alpha, \Omega_2(P)] = 1$, then $\alpha = 1$.*

(2) *If $[\alpha, \Omega_1(P)] = 1$ and either p is odd or P is abelian, then $\alpha = 1$.*

We use $\mathcal{A}(p - 1)$ to denote the formation of all abelian groups of exponent dividing $p - 1$. The symbol $Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ denotes the largest normal subgroup of G such that every chief factor of G below $Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ is cyclic ($Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G) = 1$ if G has no such non-identity normal subgroups).

LEMMA 2.10 [31, Lemma 2.2]. *Let E be a normal p -subgroup of G . If $E \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$, then*

$$(G/C_G(E))^{\mathcal{A}(p-1)} \leq O_p(G/C_G(E)).$$

We use $\Omega(P)$ to denote the subgroup $\Omega_1(P)$ when P is not a non-abelian 2-group; otherwise, let $\Omega(P) = \Omega_2(P)$.

The following lemma may be proved based on some results in [23] on f -hypercentral action (see [28, Chapter II] or [11, Chapter IV, Section 6]). For reader's convenience, we give a direct proof.

LEMMA 2.11. *Let P be a normal p -subgroup of G . If either $P/\Phi(P) \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G/\Phi(P))$ or $\Omega \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$, then $P \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$.*

PROOF. Let $C = C_G(P)$ and H/K be any chief factor of G below P . Then $O_p(G/C_G(H/K)) = 1$ by [40, Appendix C, Corollary 6.4].

Suppose that $P/\Phi(P) \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G/\Phi(P))$. Then by Lemma 2.10, $(G/C_G(P/\Phi(P)))^{\mathcal{A}(p-1)}$ is a p -group. Hence $(G/C)^{\mathcal{A}(p-1)}$ is a p -group by Theorem 1.4 in [13, Chapter 5]. This implies that $G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathcal{A}(p - 1)$ and so $|H/K| = p$ by [40, Chapter 1, Theorem 1.4]. Therefore $P \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$.

Now assume that $\Omega \leq Z_U(G)$. Then $(G/C_G(\Omega))^{A(p-1)}$ is a p -group by Lemma 2.10. Hence $(G/C)^{A(p-1)}$ is a p -group by Lemma 2.9. Thus we also have $P \leq Z_U(G)$.

LEMMA 2.12 [2, Lemma 4]. *Let P be a p -subgroup of G , where $p > 2$. Suppose that all subgroups of P of order p are S -quasinormal in G . If a is a p' -element of $N_G(P) \setminus C_G(P)$, then a induces in P a fixed-point-free automorphism.*

LEMMA 2.13 [17, Theorem 3.1]. *Let A, B, E be normal subgroups of G . Suppose that $G = AB$. If $E \leq Z_U(A) \cap Z_U(B)$ and $(|G : A|, |G : B|) = 1$, then $E \leq Z_U(G)$.*

LEMMA 2.14 [39]. *Let G be a group and $A \leq G$.*

- (1) *If A is subnormal in G and A is a π -subgroup of G , then $A \leq O_\pi(G)$.*
- (2) *If A is subnormal in G and A is nilpotent, then $A \leq F(G)$.*

The following lemma is well known.

LEMMA 2.15. *Let $A, B \leq G$ and $G = AB$.*

- (1) *$G_p = A_p B_p$ for some Sylow p -subgroups G_p, A_p and B_p of G, A and B , respectively.*
- (2) *$G = AB^x$ for all $x \in G$.*

LEMMA 2.16 [28, Chapter I, Lemma 4.1]. *Let Q be an irreducible automorphism group of an elementary abelian p -group P of order p^n . Then Q is cyclic with $|Q| \mid p^n - 1$ and n is the smallest positive integer such that $|Q|$ divides $p^n - 1$.*

Following Doerk and Hawkes [11], we use $C^p(G)$ to denote the intersection of the centralizers of all abelian p -chief factors of G ($C^p(G) = G$ if G has no such chief factors).

For every function f of the form

$$(*) \quad f : \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \{\text{group formations}\},$$

we put, following [30], $CLF(f) = \{G \text{ is a group} \mid G/G_S \in f(0) \text{ and } G/C^p(G) \in f(p) \text{ for any prime } p \in \pi(\text{Com}(G))\}$. Here G_S denotes the S -radical of G (that is, the largest normal soluble subgroup of G); $\text{Com}(G)$ denotes the class of all abelian groups A such that $A \simeq H/K$ for some composition factor H/K of G .

It is well known that a formation \mathcal{F} is a Bear-local formation if and only if there exists a function f of the form (*) such that $\mathcal{F} = CLF(f)$ (see, for example, [30, Theorem 1]).

LEMMA 2.17. *Let \mathcal{F} be a Baer-local formation containing all supersoluble groups and E a subgroup of G such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. If E is cyclic, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that E is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Then $|E| = p$ for some prime p . By [30, Theorem 1], $\mathcal{F} = CLF(f)$ for some function of the form (*). It is clear that the group $H = E \rtimes (G/C_G(E))$ is supersoluble. Hence $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and thereby $G/C_G(E) \in f(p)$. Let $C^p/E = C^p(G/E)$. Then $C^p(G) = C^p \cap C_G(E)$ by [11, Chapter A, Theorem 3.2]. But since $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$, $G/C^p \simeq (G/E)/C^p(G/E) \in f(p)$ and consequently $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Theorem 1.2 is a special case of the following theorem when $\pi_i = \pi(E)$, the set of all prime divisors of $|E|$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let E be a normal subgroup of G , $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$ the set of all prime divisors of $|E|$ and $\pi_i = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i\}$. Suppose that for each $p \in \pi_i$ and for any Sylow p -subgroup P of E , the cyclic subgroups of P of prime order or order 4 are SE-supplemented in G . Then E has a normal Hall π'_i -subgroup $E_{\pi'_i}$ and each chief factor of G between E and $E_{\pi'_i}$ is cyclic.*

PROOF. Suppose that this theorem is false and consider a counter-example (G, E) for which $|G| + |E|$ is minimal. Let $p = p_1$ be the smallest prime dividing $|E|$ and P a Sylow p -subgroup of E . Let $Z = Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ and $C = C_G(P)$. We proceed via the following steps.

- (1) E is p -nilpotent.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i = 1$.

If $E \neq G$, then the hypothesis is true for (E, E) by Lemma 2.7(1). Hence E is p -nilpotent by the choice of (G, E) . Now assume that $E = G$ and G is not p -nilpotent. Then G has a p -closed Schmidt subgroup $H = H_p \rtimes H_q$ [20, Chapter IV, Theorem 5.4]. We may assume that $H_p \leq P$. By Lemma 2.8, $H_p/\Phi(H_p)$ is a non-central chief factor of H and H_p is a group of exponent p

or exponent 4 (if $p = 2$ and H_p is non-abelian). Hence $|H_p/\Phi(H_p)| > p$ since p is the smallest prime dividing $|H|$.

Let $\Phi = \Phi(H_p)$, X/Φ be a minimal subgroup of H_p/Φ , $x \in X \setminus \Phi$ and $L = \langle x \rangle$. Then $|L| = p$ or $|L| = 4$. Hence L is SE -supplemented in G . Suppose that $L_{seG} \neq L$. Then for some proper subgroup T of G we have $LT = G$. Hence $H = L(H \cap T)$ and $H \cap T \neq H$. Because $\Phi \leq \Phi(H)$, we have $(H \cap T)\Phi < H$. Since the maximal subgroup of L is contained in Φ , $|H : (H \cap T)\Phi| = p$. Hence $|H_p/\Phi| = |H : (H \cap T)\Phi| = p$. This contradiction shows that $L_{seG} = L$ is S -quasinormally embedded in G since L is cyclic. Hence L is S -quasinormally embedded in H by Lemma 2.4(1). Then by Lemma 2.5, L is S -quasinormal in H . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $L\Phi/\Phi = X/\Phi$ is S -quasinormal in H/Φ . This shows that every minimal subgroup of H_p/Φ is S -quasinormal in H/Φ and hence $|H_p/\Phi| = p$ by Lemma 2.11 in [29], a contradiction. Hence E is p -nilpotent.

(2) Let $E_{p'}$ be a Hall p' -subgroup of E . Then $E_{p'}$ is normal in G and the hypothesis holds for $(G, E_{p'})$ and for $(G/E_{p'}, E/E_{p'})$.

By (1), $E_{p'}$ is characteristic in E . Hence $E_{p'}$ is normal in G . Clearly, the hypothesis holds for $(G, E_{p'})$. By Lemma 2.7(3), the hypothesis also holds for $(G/E_{p'}, E/E_{p'})$.

(3) $E = P$ is not a minimal normal subgroup of G .

Suppose that $E \neq P$. Then $E_{p'} \neq 1$. Hence every chief factor of $G/E_{p'}$ below $E/E_{p'}$ is cyclic by the choice of (G, E) . On the other hand, the minimality of (G, E) implies that $E_{p'}$ has a normal Hall π'_i -subgroup V and each chief factor of G between $E_{p'}$ and V is cyclic. Hence V is a normal Hall π'_i -subgroup of E and each chief factor of G between E and V is cyclic, which contradicts the choice of (G, E) . Hence $E = P$. Suppose that P is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Then every minimal subgroup L of P is S -quasinormal in G . Indeed, since L is SE -supplemented in G , there exists some subgroup T of G such that $LT = G$ and $L \cap T \leq L_{seG}$. Suppose that $L \cap T = 1$. Then $T \cap P$ is normal in G and $|P : (T \cap P)| = p$. It follows that $T \cap P = 1$ and so $|E| = |P| = p$. Consequently, $E \leq Z$. This contradiction shows that $L \leq T$. Hence $L = L_{seG}$ is S -quasinormally embedded in G . Then by Lemma 2.5, we see that every minimal subgroup of P is S -quasinormal in G . Therefore $|P| = p$ by Lemma 2.11 in [29], a contradiction. Hence (3) holds.

(4) G has a non-identity normal subgroup $R \leq P$ such that P/R is a non-cyclic chief factor of G , $R \leq Z$ and $V \leq R$ for any normal subgroup $V \neq P$ of G contained in P .

Let P/R be a chief factor of G . Then $R \neq 1$ by (3) and the hypothesis holds for (G, R) . Therefore $R \leq Z$ and so P/R is not cyclic by the choice of $(G, P) = (G, E)$. Now let $V \neq P$ be any normal subgroup of G contained in P . Then $V \leq Z$. If $V \not\leq R$, then from the G -isomorphism $P/R = VR/R \simeq V/V \cap R$ we have $P \leq Z$, which contradicts the choice of $(G, E) = (G, P)$. Hence $V \leq R$.

(5) $P \leq O^p(G)$.

Suppose that $P \not\leq O^p(G)$. Then from the G -isomorphism $O^p(G)P/O^p(G) \simeq P/O^p(G) \cap P$, we see that G has a cyclic chief factor of the form P/V , where $O^p(G) \cap P \leq V$, which contradicts (4).

(6) $\Omega(P) = P$.

If $\Omega(P) < P$, then by (4), $\Omega \leq Z$. Hence $P \leq Z$ by Lemma 2.11, which contradicts the choice of (G, P) .

(7) *There is a prime $q \neq p$ such that q divides $|G : C|$.*

Let G_p be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Suppose that $|G : C| = p^n$. Then any chief factor of G_p below P is a chief factor of G , which implies that $P \leq Z$.

The final contradiction.

By (6), $\Omega(P) = P$. Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t be the set of all cyclic subgroups of P of order p and order 4 (if P is a non-abelian 2-group). We may assume that $P/R = (V_1R/R)(V_2R/R) \cdots (V_tR/R)$ and V_iR/R is a group of order p for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Suppose that for some i we have $V_iT = G$, where $T \neq G$. Then $P = V_i(T \cap P)$, where $T \cap P \neq P$. Let $N = N_G(T \cap P)$. It is clear that $|P : T \cap P|$ is either p or 4. Hence either $N = G$ or $|G : N| = 2$ and $NP = G$. In the former case, G has a cyclic chief factor $P/T \cap P = V_i(T \cap P)/T \cap P \simeq V_i/V_i \cap T \cap P$. In the second case, G has a cyclic chief factor $P/P \cap N$. But in view of (4), both these cases are impossible. Hence by Lemma 2.5, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t are S -quasinormal subgroups of G . This shows that if Q is a every Sylow subgroup Q of G , then $V_iQ = QV_i$ for every $i \leq t$ and so V_i is subnormal in V_iQ by Lemma 2.1(3). Consequently, V_i is normal in V_iQ . Suppose that $p = 2$. Then V_iQ is nilpotent and so $Q \leq C_G(V_i)$. Therefore $O^p(G) \leq C_G(P/R)$. This implies $C_G(P/R) = G$, which contradicts (4). Hence $p > 2$. We claim that $O^p(G) \neq G$. Indeed, if $O^p(G) = G$, then V_1R/R is normal in G/R by Lemma 2.2 and so $P/R = V_1R/R$ is cyclic, a contradiction. Next we show that $O^q(G) \neq G$ for some prime $q \neq p$. Assume that $O^q(G) = G$ for all primes $q \neq p$. Then for every chief factor H/K of G of order p we have $C_G(H/K) = G$. In par-

ticular, $L \leq Z(G)$ for every minimal normal subgroup L of G contained in R . This implies that $C_P(a) \neq 1$ for every $a \in G$. Hence by (4) and Lemma 2.12, G/C is a p -group, which contradicts (4). Thus $O^q(G) \neq G$ for some prime $q \neq p$. By the choice of G , we have $P \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(O^p(G))$ and $P \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(O^q(G))$. Thus, by (5) and Lemma 2.13, we obtain that $P \leq Z$. The final contradiction completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Theorem 1.3 is a special case of the following theorem when $\pi_i = \pi(E)$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let E be a normal subgroup of G , $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$ the set of all prime divisors of $|E|$ and $\pi_i = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i\}$. Suppose that for each $p \in \pi_i$, the maximal subgroups of any Sylow p -subgroup of E are SE -supplemented in G . Then E has a normal Hall π_i -subgroup E_{π_i} and each chief factor of G between E and E_{π_i} is cyclic.*

PROOF. Assume that this theorem is false and let (G, E) be a counterexample for which $|G| + |E|$ is minimal. Let $p = p_1$ be the smallest prime dividing $|E|$ and P a Sylow p -subgroup of E . Let $Z = Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$. We proceed the proof via the following steps.

(1) E is p -nilpotent.

We may consider, without loss of generality, that $i = 1$. Assume that E is not p -nilpotent. Then:

(a) $E = G$.

Indeed, if $E < G$, then $|E| + |E| < |G| + |E|$. Hence the hypothesis is true for (E, E) by Lemma 2.7(1). The choice of (G, E) implies that E is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(b) $O_{p'}(G) = 1$.

Let $D = O_{p'}(G)$. By Lemma 2.7(3), the hypothesis is true for $(G/D, ED/D)$. Hence, if $D \neq 1$, then G/D is p -nilpotent by the choice of (G, E) . Therefore G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(c) If $P \leq V < G$, then V is p -nilpotent.

In fact, by Lemma 2.7(1), the hypothesis holds for V . Hence V is p -nilpotent by the choice of G .

(d) $O_{p'}(L) = 1$ for all S -quasinormal subgroups L of G .

By Lemma 2.1(3), L is subnormal in G . It follows that $O_{p'}(L)$ is subnormal in G . Hence $O_{p'}(L) \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$ by Lemma 2.14(1).

(e) If N is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of G , then G/N is p -nilpotent.

In view of (b), N is a p -group and so $N \leq P$. Thus the hypothesis is true for $(G/N, E/N)$ by Lemma 2.7(2). The choice of (G, E) implies that G/N is p -nilpotent.

(f) G is p -soluble.

In view of (e), we need only to show that G has an abelian minimal normal subgroup. Suppose that this is false. Then $p = 2$ by the Feit-Thompson odd theorem. By Lemmas 2.1(3) and 2.14(1), we see that every non-identity subgroup of P is not S -quasinormal in G . Hence for every non-identity S -quasinormally embedded in G subgroup $L \leq V$, where V is a maximal subgroup of P , and for any S -quasinormal subgroup W of G such that $L \in \text{Syl}_2(W)$ we have $L \neq W$. Moreover, $W_G \neq 1$. Indeed, if $W_G = 1$, then W is nilpotent by Lemma 2.3(3). Hence $O_2(W) \neq 1$, which contradicts (d). Note also that for any minimal normal subgroup N of G we have $NP = G$ (otherwise, N is 2-nilpotent by (c), a contradiction). It follows that N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Therefore $N \leq W$ (since $W_G \neq 1$) and consequently $N \cap P = N \cap L$.

Now we show that $V_{seG} \neq 1$ for any maximal subgroup V of P . In fact, suppose that $V_{seG} = 1$ and let T be a subgroup of G such that $VT = G$ and $V \cap T \leq V_{seG} = 1$. Then T is a complement of V in G . This induces that T is 2-nilpotent since the order of a Sylow 2-subgroup of T is equal to 2. We may, therefore, assume that $T = N_G(H_1)$ for some Hall 2'-subgroup H_1 of G . It is clear that $H_1 \leq N$. By [15], any two Hall 2'-subgroups of N are conjugate in N . By Frattini Argument, $G = NT$. Then $P = (P \cap N)(P \cap T^x)$ for some $x \in G$ by Lemma 2.15(1). Let $T_1 = T^x = N_G(H_1^x)$. It is clear that $P \cap T_1 \neq P$. Hence we can choose a maximal subgroup V_1 in P containing $P \cap T_1$. By the hypothesis, there exists a subgroup T_2 such that $G = V_1T_2$, where $V_1 \cap T_2 \leq (V_1)_{seG}$. If $(V_1)_{seG} = 1$, then as above, we have that T_2 is 2-nilpotent and we may assume that $T_2 = N_G(H_2)$ for some Hall 2'-subgroup H_2 of G . By [15] again, we have $((H_1^x)^y) = H_2$ for some $y \in G$. Therefore, $G = VT = VT_1 = V_1T_2 = V_1T_1^y = V_1T_1$ by Lemma 2.15(2) and $P = V_1(P \cap T_1) = V_1$. This contradiction shows that $(V_1)_{seG} \neq 1$. Let L be any non-identity S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G contained in V_1 and W be an S -

quasinormal subgroup of G such that $L \in \text{Syl}_2(W)$. Then $L \cap N = P \cap N$, which implies $P = (P \cap N)(P \cap T_1) = (L \cap N)(P \cap T_1) \leq V_1$, a contradiction.

Therefore for every maximal subgroup V of P we have $(V_1)_{seG} \neq 1$. But then from above, we know that $N \cap P \leq V$. Hence $N \cap P \leq \Phi(P)$ and so N is 2-nilpotent by [20, Chapter IV, Theorem 4.7], a contradiction. Hence, (f) holds.

The final contradiction for (1).

Let N be any minimal normal subgroup of G . Then in view of (b) and (f), N is a p -group and so G/N is p -nilpotent by (e). This implies that N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. Hence G is a primitive group and thereby $N = C_G(N) = F(G)$ by [11, Chapter A, Theorem 17.2]. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that $G = N \rtimes M$. Let $M_p \in \text{Syl}_p(M)$ and V be a maximal subgroup of P such that $M_p \leq V$. Then $VM \neq G$ and so $VM^x \neq G$ for all $x \in G$ by Lemma 2.15(2). Since V is SE -supplemented in G , there is a subgroup T of G such that $VT = G$ and $V \cap T \leq V_{seG}$. Suppose that $V_{seG} = 1$. Then T is a complement of V in G . It follows that $|T_p| = p$, where $T_p \in \text{Syl}_p(T)$. Then T is p -nilpotent since p is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. Hence $T_{p'} \trianglelefteq T$, where $T_{p'}$ is a Hall p' -subgroup of T . Since G is p -soluble, any two Hall p' -subgroups of G are conjugate. Therefore there is an element $x \in G$ such that $T_{p'} \leq M^x$. If $T_p \leq M^x$, then $T \leq M^x$ and $G = VT = VM^x$, a contradiction. Hence $T_p \not\leq M^x$. But $G/N \simeq M^x \leq N_G(T_{p'})$ (since G/N is p -nilpotent) and $T_p \leq N_G(T_{p'})$. Therefore $G = \langle M^x, T_p \rangle = N_G(T_{p'})$, which contradicts (b). Hence $V_{seG} \neq 1$. Let $L \neq 1$ be an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G such that $L \leq V$ and W an S -quasinormal subgroup of G such that $L \in \text{Syl}_p(W)$. Suppose that $L = W$. Then by Lemma 2.2, $N \leq L^G = L^{PT_{p'}} = L^P \leq V$, a contradiction. Hence $L \neq W$. Then in view of (b) and Lemma 2.1(3), we have $W_G \neq 1$. This implies that $N \leq L \leq V$ and so $V = VN = P$. This final contradiction shows that (1) holds.

(2) $E = P$.

See (3) in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

(3) *If N is a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in P , then $P/N \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G/N)$, N is the only minimal normal subgroup of G contained in P and $|N| > p$.*

Indeed, by Lemma 2.7(2), the hypothesis holds on G/N for any minimal normal subgroup N of G contained in P . Hence $P/N \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G/N)$ by the

choice of $(G, E) = (G, P)$. If $|N| = p$, $P \leq Z_U(G)$, a contradiction. If G has two minimal normal subgroups R and N contained in P , then $NR/R \leq P/R$ and from the G -isomorphism $RN/N \simeq N$ we have $|N| = p$, a contradiction. Hence, (3) holds.

(4) $\Phi(P) \neq 1$.

Suppose that $\Phi(P) = 1$. Then P is an elementary abelian p -group. Let N_1 be any maximal subgroup of N . We show that N_1 is S -quasinormal in G . Let B be a complement of N in P and $V = N_1B$. Then V is a maximal subgroup of P . Hence V is SE -supplemented in G . Let T be a subgroup of G such that $G = TV$ and $T \cap V \leq V_{seG}$. If $T = G$, then $V = V_{seG}$ is S -quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.5. Hence $V \cap N = V_{seG} \cap N = N_1B \cap N = N_1(B \cap N) = N_1$ is S -quasinormal in G by Lemma 2.3(2). Now assume that $T \neq G$. Then $1 \neq T \cap P < P$. Since $G = VT = PT$ and P is abelian, $T \cap P$ is normal in G . Hence $N \leq T \cap P \leq T$ and consequently $N_1 \leq N \cap T \cap V \leq N \cap V_{seG} \leq N$. Clearly, $N \not\leq V$. Hence $N_1 = N \cap V_{seG}$. By Lemma 2.5 and since the subgroup generated by all S -quasinormal in G subgroup of V is also S -quasinormal in G (cf. [29, Lemma 2.8(1)]), we see that V_{seG} is S -quasinormal in G . Thus by Lemma 2.3(2), N_1 is S -quasinormal in G . This shows that every maximal subgroup of N is S -quasinormal in G . Hence some maximal subgroup of N is normal in G by Lemma 2.11 in [29]. This contradiction shows that $\Phi(P) \neq 1$.

The final contradiction.

By (4), $\Phi(P) \neq 1$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in $\Phi(P)$. Then the hypothesis is still true for G/N . Hence $P/N \leq Z_U(G/N)$ by the choice of (G, E) . This means that $P/\Phi(P) \leq Z_U(G/\Phi(P))$. Then by Lemma 2.11, we obtain that $P \leq Z$. This final contradiction completes the proof.

5. Final remarks

In Section 1, we have seen that a large number of known results follow from our results. Now we consider some further applications.

1. A group G is said to be *quasisupersoluble* [18] if for every its non-cyclic chief factor H/K and every $x \in G$, x induces an inner automorphism on H/K . It is clear that every supersoluble group is quasisupersoluble. Moreover, in [18] it is proved that the class of all quasisupersoluble groups

is a Baer-local formation. Hence from Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 we also obtain the following results.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let G be a group with normal subgroups $X \leq E$ such that G/E is quasisupersoluble. Suppose that the cyclic subgroups of X of prime order or order 4 are SE -supplemented in G . If either $X = E$ or $X = F^*(E)$, then G is quasisupersoluble.*

THEOREM 5.2. *Let G be a group with normal subgroups $X \leq E$ such that G/E is quasisupersoluble. Suppose that the maximal subgroups of every Sylow subgroup of X are SE -supplemented in G . If either $X = E$ or $X = F^*(E)$, then G is quasisupersoluble.*

2. Recall that a subgroup H of G is said to be *weakly S -permutable* (S -supplemented) in G [29] if there are a subnormal subgroup (a subgroup, respectively) $T \triangleleft G$ and an S -quasinormal subgroup H_{sG} of G contained in H such that $HT = G$ and $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$.

The following example shows that in general the set of SE -supplemented subgroups of a group is wider than the set of all its S -supplemented subgroups and the set of all its S -quasinormally embedded subgroups. Consequently, the set of SE -supplemented subgroups of a group is also wider than the set of all S -quasinormal subgroups, the set of weakly S -permutable subgroups, the set of all c -normal subgroups and the set of all c -supplemented subgroups since these subgroups are either S -supplemented or S -quasinormally embedded in G .

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let Ly be the Lyons simple group. Then $|Ly| = 2^8 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 67$. Hence in view of [14] there is a group D with minimal normal subgroup N such that $C_D(N) = N \leq O_{67}(D)$, $D/N \simeq Ly$ and $N \leq \Phi(D)$. Let Q be a group of order 17. Let $G = D \wr Q = K \rtimes Q$, where K is the base group of the regular wreath product G . Then $P = \Phi(K) = N^Q$ (we use here the terminology in [11, Chapter A]). Moreover, in view of [11, Chapter A, Proposition 18.5], P is the only minimal normal subgroup of G . It is clear also that $|P| > 67^2$.

Since P is an elementary abelian 67-group, then in view of Maschke's theorem, $P = P_1 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_t$, where P_i is a minimal normal subgroup of PQ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Suppose that $Q \leq C_G(P_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Then $Q \leq C_G(P)$. Hence $PQ = P \times Q = C_G(P)$ is normal in G and so Q is normal in G . This contradiction shows that for some i we have $C_Q(P_i) = 1$.

Hence $S := P_i \text{rtimes} Q = Q^S$. Since Q is a Sylow 17-subgroup of G , it is S -quasinormally embedded in G . Hence $S = S_{seG}$ and consequently S is SE -supplemented in G . Suppose that P_i is an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G and let V be an S -quasinormal subgroup of G such that $P_i \in \text{Syl}_p(V)$. Since 17 divides $67 + 1$ and does not divide $67 - 1$, $|P_i| = 67^2$ by Lemma 2.16. By Lemma 2.1(3), V is subnormal in G and V/V_G is nilpotent by Lemma 2.3(2). Suppose that $V_G = 1$. Then V is a subnormal nilpotent subgroup of G . It follows from Lemma 2.14(2) that $V \leq P$. Thus $V = P_i$ is S -quasinormal in G . It is clear that $O^{67}(G) = G$. By Lemma 2.2, we see that P_i is normal in G . This induces that $P = P_i$ and so $|P| = 67^2$, a contradiction. Therefore $V_G \neq 1$ and so $P \leq V_G$. But then $P \leq P_i$, a contradiction again. Thus P_i is not an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G . Similarly one can prove that any maximal subgroup of P_i is not an S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G . Hence S is not S -quasinormally embedded in G and if L is any non-identity S -quasinormally embedded subgroup of G contained in S , then $L = Q^x$ for some $x \in S$. Moreover, obviously, S has no non-identity S -quasinormal in G subgroups, that is, $S_{sG} = 1$. Now we show that S is not S -complemented in G . Indeed, if S is S -complemented in G , then S has a complement T in G since $S_{sG} = 1$. Clearly, $T \leq K$. Hence $K = K \cap TS = T(K \cap S) = TP_i$. But since $P_i \leq P = \Phi(K)$, we obtain $T = K$, which implies $T \cap S \neq 1$. This contradiction shows that S is not S -supplemented in G .

Base on the above, we also see that the results in [29] in the case where the subgroup D in [29, Theorems] is of prime order or 4 can be obtained by our results in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ASAAD, *On maximal subgroups of finite group*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), pp. 3647–3652.
- [2] M. ASAAD - P. CSÖRGÖ, *Influence of minimal subgroups on the structure of finite group*, Arch. Math. **72** (1999), pp. 401–404.
- [3] M. ASAAD - A. A. HELIEL, *On S-quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **165** (2001), pp. 129–135.
- [4] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES - L. M. EZQUERRO, *Classes of Finite Groups*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [5] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES - X. Y. GUO, *On complemented subgroups of finite groups*, Arch. Math. **72** (1999), pp. 161–166.
- [6] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES - M. C. PEDRAZA-AGUILERA, *Sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **127** (1998), pp. 113–118.

- [7] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES - M. C. PEDRAZA-AGUILERA, *On minimal subgroups of finite groups*, Acta Math. Hungar. **73** (1996), pp. 335–342.
- [8] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES - Y. WANG, *Finite groups with some C -normal minimal subgroups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **153** (2000), pp. 121–127.
- [9] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES - Y. WANG - X.Y. GUO, *c -supplemented subgroups of finite groups*, Glasgow Math. J. **42** (2000), pp. 383–389.
- [10] W. E. DESKINS, *On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups*, Math. Z. **82** (1963), pp. 125–132.
- [11] K. DOERK - T. HAWKES, *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin–New York, 1992.
- [12] T. M. GAGEN, *Topics in Finite Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1976.
- [13] D. GORENSTEIN, *Finite Groups*, Harper & Row Publishers, New York–Evanston–London, 1968.
- [14] R. GRIESS - P. SCHMID, *The Frattini module*, Arch. Math. **30** (1978), pp. 256–266.
- [15] F. GROSS, *Conjugacy of odd Hall subgroups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **19** (1987), pp. 311–319.
- [16] W. GUO, *The Theory of Classes of Groups*, Science Press-Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing–New York–Dordrecht–Boston–London, 2000.
- [17] W. GUO - A. N. SKIBA, *On factorizations of finite groups with \mathcal{F} -hypercentral intersections of the factors*, J. Group Theory, **14**(5) (2011), pp. 695–708.
- [18] W. GUO - A. N. SKIBA, *On some classes of finite quasi- \mathcal{F} -groups*, J. Group Theory, **12** (2009), pp. 407–417.
- [19] X. Y. GUO, *On p -Nilpotency of Finite Groups with Some Subgroups c -Supplemented*, Algebra Colloquium, **10** (3) (2003), pp. 259–256.
- [20] B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New-York, 1967.
- [21] B. HUPPERT - N. BLACKBURN, *Finite Groups III*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New-York, 1982.
- [22] O. KEGEL, *Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen*, Math. Z. **78** (1962), pp. 205–221.
- [23] R. LAUE, *Dualization for saturation for locally defined formations*, J. Algebra, **52** (1978), pp. 347–353.
- [24] Y. LI - Y. WANG, *The influence of minimal subgroups on the structure of a finite group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **131** (2002), pp. 337–341.
- [25] Y. LI - Y. WANG, *On π -quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups*, J. Algebra, **281** (8) (2004), pp. 109–123.
- [26] Y. LI - Y. WANG, *The influence of π -quasinormality of some subgroups of a finite group*, Arch. Math. **81** (2003), pp. 245–252.
- [27] M. RADAMAN - M. AZZAT MOHAMED - A. A. HELIEL, *On c -normality of certain subgroups of prime power order of finite groups*, Arch. Math. **85** (2005), pp. 203–210.
- [28] L. A. SHEMETKOV, *Formations of finite groups*, Moscow, Nauka, Main Editorial Board for Physical and Mathematical Literature, 1978.
- [29] A. N. SKIBA, *On weakly s -permutable subgroups of finite groups*, J. Algebra, **315** (2007), pp. 192–209.
- [30] A. N. SKIBA - L. A. SHEMETKOV, *Multiply Ω -Composition Formations of Finite Groups*, Ukrainsk. Math. Zh. **52**(6) (2000), pp. 783–797.
- [31] A. N. SKIBA, *A characterization of the hypercyclically embedded subgroups of finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **215** (2011), pp. 257–261.

- [32] Y. WANG, *c-normality of groups and its properties*, J. Algebra, **180** (1996), pp. 954–965.
- [33] Y. WANG, *Finite groups with some subgroups of Sylow subgroups c-supplemented*, J. Algebra, **224** (2000), pp. 467–478.
- [34] Y. WANG - H. WEI - Y. LI, *A generalization of Kramer's theorem and its applications*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. **65** (2002), pp. 467–475.
- [35] Y. WANG - Y. LI - J. WANG, *Finite groups with c-supplemented minimal subgroups*, Algebra Colloquium, **10** (3) (2003), pp. 413–425.
- [36] H. WEI - Y. WANG - Y. LI, *On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, II*. Comm. Algebra, **31** (2003), pp. 4807–4816.
- [37] H. WEI - Y. WANG - Y. LI, *On c-supplemented maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (8) (2004), pp. 2197–2204.
- [38] H. WEI, *On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups*, Comm. Algebra, **29** (2001), pp. 2193–2200.
- [39] H. WIELANDT, *Subnormal subgroups and permutation groups*, Lectures given at the Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 1971.
- [40] M. WEINSTEIN, *Between Nilpotent and Solvable*, Polygonal Publishing House, 1982.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione il 26 Gennaio 2012.

