

**EULER CONSTANTS FOR THE RING OF S -INTEGERS
OF A FUNCTION FIELD**

MIREILLE CAR

Recommended by A. Garcia

Abstract: The Euler constant γ may be defined as the limit for n tending to $+\infty$, of the difference $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} - \log n$. Alternatively, it may be defined as the limit at 1 of the difference $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^s} - \frac{1}{s-1}$, s being a complex number in the half-plane $\Re(s) > 1$. Mertens theorem states that for x real number tending to $+\infty$, $\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - \frac{1}{p}) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x}$, the product being over prime numbers $\leq x$. We prove analog results for the ring of S -integers of a function field. However, in the function field case, the three approaches lead to different constants.

1 – Introduction and main results

Let q be a power of a prime number p and let K be a function field with genus g and field of constants k a finite field with q elements. Let V denote the set of places of K and let h be the number of divisor classes of K . For S a finite and non-empty set of s places of K , let $O = O_S$ denote the ring of S -integers of K , that is to say the set of elements $a \in K$ such that $v(a) \geq 0$ for any place v out of S . Let \mathcal{I} , resp. \mathcal{P} , denote the set of non-zero ideals of O , resp. the set of prime ideals of the ring O . The set V is the union of the set S and the set of the P -adic places v_P for $P \in \mathcal{P}$. If $v \in V$, let f_v denote the residual degree of v . In order to reduce notations, we set $f_{v_P} = f_P$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$. If $H \in \mathcal{I}$, let $|H|$ denote the

Received: March 13, 2006.

AMS Subject Classification: 11T55, 11R58.

Keywords: function fields; Euler-constant.

norm of the ideal H . We note that $|H|$ is a power of q . We set $|H| = q^{f_H}$ and we note that this notation agrees with the notation f_P used for prime ideals P . The number f_H will be called the degree of the ideal H .

The zeta-function ζ_K of the field K may be defined on the complex half-plane $\Re(s) > 1$ by:

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta_K(s) = \prod_{v \in V} (1 - q^{-f_v s})^{-1} .$$

The following facts are well-known, [6]:

$$(1.2) \quad \zeta_K(s) = \frac{P_K(q^{-s})}{(1 - q^{-s})(1 - q^{1-s})} ,$$

where $P_K(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$ is a polynomial of degree $2g$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad P_K(1) = h .$$

If $g > 0$, there exist algebraic numbers ρ_1, \dots, ρ_g such that

$$(1.4) \quad P_K(u) = \prod_{i=1}^g (1 - \rho_i u) (1 - \bar{\rho}_i u) ,$$

with

$$(1.5) \quad |\rho_i| = q^{1/2} .$$

Moreover, P_K verifies the functional equation

$$(1.6) \quad P_K(u) = q^g u^{2g} P_K\left(\frac{1}{qu}\right) .$$

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorems. The first one deals with the finite sum

$$\sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{I} \\ f_H < N}} \frac{1}{|H|}$$

and leads to the definition of our first Euler constant $\gamma_{K,S}$. The second one deals with the Laurent expansion at $s = 1$ of the sum

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{|H|^s}$$

which is an analog of the classical Dedekind zeta-function for number fields and leads to the definition of a second Euler constant $\delta_{K,S}$. Although they are different, these two first Euler constants are of the same kind. They are related to the Euler–Kronecker constant of a function field defined by Ihara, [4]. The third theorem deals with the product

$$\prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|}\right).$$

It provides an analog to the classical Mertens theorem and leads to the definition of a third Euler constant $\Gamma_{K,S}$ different from the two first ones. This theorem generalizes a theorem of Conrad proved in [2] for the ring $k[T]$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let*

$$(1.7) \quad A_{K,S} = \frac{h q^{1-g}}{q-1} \prod_{v \in S} (1 - q^{-f_v})$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \gamma_{K,S} = \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\frac{1}{\rho_i - 1} + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}_i - 1} \right) + \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v}{q^{f_v} - 1} - \frac{1}{q-1}.$$

Then,

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{I} \\ f_H < N}} \frac{1}{|H|} = A_{K,S}(N + \gamma_{K,S}) + O(Nq^{-N}),$$

the constants involved in the O depending only on K and S .

Theorem 1.2. *Let*

$$(1.10) \quad \delta_{K,S} = \log q \left(\sum_{i=1}^g \left(\frac{1}{\rho_i - 1} + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}_i - 1} \right) + \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v}{q^{f_v} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q-1} \right).$$

For z complex number such that $\Re(z) > 1$, let

$$\zeta_{K,S}(z) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{|H|^z}.$$

Then,

$$(1.11) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \left(\zeta_{K,S}(z) - \frac{A_{K,S}/\log q}{z-1} \right) = A_{K,S} \delta_{K,S}.$$

Theorem 1.3. For N tending to $+\infty$,

$$(1.12) \quad \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|}\right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma} A_{K,S}}{N} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right),$$

γ being the classical Euler constant, the constants involved in the O depending only on K and S . In other words, if

$$(1.13) \quad \Gamma_{K,S} = \gamma - \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \sum_{v \in S} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{f_v}}\right) + \log(hq^{-g}),$$

$$(1.14) \quad \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|}\right) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_{K,S}}}{N} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right),$$

the constants involved in the O depending only on K and S .

If γ_K denotes the Euler–Kronecker constant of the function field K defined in [4], then

$$\frac{\gamma_K}{\log q} = (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{1}{(1-\rho_i)(1-\bar{\rho}_i)} - (g-1) - \frac{q+1}{2(q-1)}.$$

We note that constants $\gamma_{K,S}$, $\delta_{K,S}$ and γ_K are connected by the relations

$$(1.15) \quad \gamma_{K,S} = \frac{\gamma_K}{\log q} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v}{q^{f_v} - 1},$$

$$(1.16) \quad \gamma_{K,S} = \frac{\delta_{K,S}}{\log q} - \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(1.17) \quad \frac{\delta_{K,S}}{\log q} = \frac{\gamma_K}{\log q} + \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v}{q^{f_v} - 1}.$$

We also note that Euler constants $\gamma_{K,S}$, $\delta_{K,S}$, $\Gamma_{K,S}$ are pairwise distinct. In [2] the authors mentioned without proof a Mertens formula for prime divisors of a function field due to Conrad. Our Euler–Mertens constant $\Gamma_{K,S}$ occurring in the Mertens formula for prime ideals of the ring O_S is related to Conrad constant as the Euler constant $\gamma_{K,S}$ is related to Ihara constant γ_K . By (1.13) and the Hermite–Lindeman theorem, [5], when $\Gamma_{K,S} \neq \gamma$, the difference $\Gamma_{K,S} - \gamma$ is transcendental. Hence it is an open question to decide if or if not the Euler–Mertens constant $\Gamma_{K,S}$ is an algebraic number. On the other hand, since $P_K(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$, the Euler constant $\gamma_{K,S}$ is a rational number.

2 – Proofs of theorems 1.1 and 1.2

We begin this section by an obvious remark concerning the polynomial case. Every non-zero ideal of $k[T]$ being generated by a monic polynomial, a sum over non-zero ideals may be replaced by a sum over monic polynomials. Let \mathcal{M} denote the set of monic polynomials.

Remark 2.1.

(i) *Let N be a positive integer. Then,*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{M} \\ \deg H < N}} \frac{1}{|H|} = N ,$$

where $|H| = q^{\deg H}$.

(ii) *For s a complex number such that $\Re(s) > 1$, let*

$$\zeta_{k[T]}(s) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{|H|^s} .$$

Then,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(\zeta_{k[T]}(z) - \frac{1}{(z-1) \log q} \right) = \frac{1}{2} .$$

Proof: There are exactly q^n monic polynomials of degree n in $k[T]$, whence (i). For the same reasons, for $\Re(s) > 1$,

$$\zeta_{k[T]}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{1-s}} .$$

The Laurent expansion of $\zeta_{k[T]}$ at $s = 1$ gives (ii). ■

This remark proves that theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are true in the case where $K = k(T)$ and S reduced to $\{\infty\}$, where ∞ is the $\frac{1}{T}$ -adic place, with $\gamma_{k(T),\{\infty\}} = 0$ and $\delta_{k(T),\{\infty\}} = \log q/2$. Even in the rational field case, these constants are different. (In the rational field case Ihara's constant is $\gamma_{k(T)} = \frac{q-3}{2(q-1)}$.)

Nevertheless, it is possible to obtain the same Euler constant. It suffices to modify the definition of the zeta function of the ring $k[T]$. Indeed, the above definition of $\zeta_{k[T]}(s)$ follows the analogy with the Riemann zeta function.

The change of variable $u = q^{-s}$ allows us to define the zeta function of the ring $k[T]$ as the powers series

$$Z_{k[T]}(u) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{M}} u^{\deg H},$$

absolutely convergent in the open disk $D_{1/q} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < \frac{1}{q}\}$. In this setting

$$Z_{k[T]}(u) = \frac{1}{1 - qu}$$

and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 1/q} \left(Z_{k[T]}(u) - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{q} - u} \right) = 0 = \gamma_{k(T), \infty}.$$

We return to the general case. For N a non-negative integer, let

$$(2.2) \quad S_N = \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{I} \\ f_H < N}} \frac{1}{|H|}$$

and let i_N denote the number of ideals of degree N in the ring O_S .

Proposition 2.2. *Let*

$$(2.3) \quad A_{K,S} = \frac{h q^{1-g}}{q-1} \prod_{v \in S} (1 - q^{-f_v})$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad B_{K,S} = A_{K,S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^g \left(\frac{1}{\rho_i - 1} + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}_i - 1} \right) + \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v}{q^{f_v} - 1} - \frac{1}{q-1} \right).$$

Then, for any integer $N \geq 0$,

$$(2.5) \quad |S_N - A_{K,S} N - B_{K,S}| \leq M_{K,S} q^{-N},$$

with $M_{K,S}$ a constant depending only on K and S .

Proof: We want to estimate the sum

$$S_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} i_n q^{-n}. \quad (1)$$

For r a positive real number, let D_r denote the open disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < r\}$. The series

$$G_{K,S}(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} i_n \left(\frac{u}{q}\right)^n = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{u}{q}\right)^{f_Y} \tag{2}$$

is absolutely convergent in the disk D_1 and for $u \in D_1$,

$$G_{K,S}(u) = \prod_{\substack{v \in V \\ v \notin S}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{u}{q}\right)^{f_v}\right)^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

In view of (1.1) and (1.2), as for the proof of Proposition 3.5 in [1], we get that

$$G_{K,S}(u) = \frac{H(u)}{1-u}, \tag{4}$$

with

$$H(u) = \frac{P_K\left(\frac{u}{q}\right)}{1 - \frac{u}{q}} \prod_{v \in S} \left(1 - \left(\frac{u}{q}\right)^{f_v}\right). \tag{5}$$

We note that H is holomorphic in the disk D_q . Let

$$H(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} h_n u^n \tag{6}$$

be its power series expansion at the origin and let coefficients b_k be defined by

$$P_K(u) \prod_{v \in S} (1 - u^{f_v}) = \sum_{i=0}^d b_i u^i. \tag{7}$$

Let

$$m_{K,S} = \max\left(|b_0|, |b_0 + b_1|, \dots, |b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_d|\right). \tag{8}$$

Then, in view of (5), (6), (7) and (8), for any $n \geq 0$,

$$|h_n| \leq m_{K,S} q^{-n}. \tag{9}$$

By (1), (2) and (4),

$$S_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^n h_i = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (N-i) h_i.$$

Since H is holomorphic in the disk D_q ,

$$S_N = NH(1) - H'(1) + \sum_{i=N}^{+\infty} (i - N) h_i .$$

By (9),

$$|S_N - (NH(1) - H'(1))| \leq m_{K,S} \frac{q}{(q-1)^2} q^{-N} . \quad (10)$$

It remains to compute $H(1)$ and $H'(1)$ to get the announced result. By (5), then (1.3) and (1.6),

$$H(1) = \frac{h q^{1-g}}{q-1} \prod_{v \in S} (1 - q^{-f_v}) .$$

By (5), then (1.4),

$$\frac{H'(1)}{H(1)} = - \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\frac{\rho_i}{q - \rho_i} + \frac{\bar{\rho}_i}{q - \bar{\rho}_i} \right) - \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v}{q^{f_v} - 1} + \frac{1}{q-1} \psi$$

We conclude noting that in view of (1.5) $\rho_i \bar{\rho}_i = q$. ■

This proposition gives theorem 1.1. We note that if $K = k(T)$ and $S = \{\infty\}$, then $h = 1$, $g = 0$ and $f_\infty = 1$. Thus, $A_{k(T), \{\infty\}} = 1$ and $B_{k(T), \{\infty\}} = 0$, as it has been remarked at the beginning of the section.

Proposition 2.3. *We have*

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 1 \\ \Re(z) > 1}} \left(\zeta_{K,S}(z) - \frac{A_{K,S}}{\log q(z-1)} \right) = \frac{A_{K,S}}{2} - B_{K,S} .$$

Proof: In the half-plane $\Re(z) > 1$, the zeta-function of the ring O_S may be expanded as an eulerian product absolutely convergent

$$\zeta_{K,S}(z) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{|H|^s} = \prod_{\substack{v \in V \\ v \notin S}} (1 - q^{-f_v z})^{-1} .$$

Using notations of the above proposition we get that

$$\zeta_{K,S}(z) = G_{K,S}(q^{1-z}) . \quad (1)$$

The Laurent series expansion at $z = 1$ of $\zeta_{K,S}(z)$ is obtained from the expansion of $G_{K,S}$ in series of powers. ■

As in the polynomial case, using the change of variable $u = q^{-s}$, we may define the zeta-function of the ring O_S as the powers series.

$$Z_{K,S}(u) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{I}} u^{f_Y} .$$

In this setting,

$$Z_{K,S}(u) = G_{K,S}(qu) .$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \left(Z_{K,S}(u) - \frac{H(1)}{1-qu} \right) = H'(1)$$

with H defined as in the proof of proposition 1.1. With this definition of the zeta function, the Euler constant occurring in the Laurent expansion at $1/q$ of the zeta function of the ring O_S is the same that the Euler constant occurring in the finite sum over ideals.

3 – Proof of the theorem 1.3

The proof follows that of theorem 429 in [3]. Firstly, we need some results about the distribution of prime ideals. For n a positive integer, let p_n denote the number of prime ideals of degree n in the ring O_S . Let

$$(3.1) \quad a_n = \sum_{j|n} j p_j .$$

In [1] it has been proved that

$$(3.2) \quad a_n = q^n + 1 - \sum_{\substack{v \in S \\ f_v | n}} f_v - \sum_{i=1}^g (\rho_i^n + \bar{\rho}_i^n) .$$

Proposition 3.1. *If n is a positive integer, then*

$$(3.3) \quad n p_n \leq (q + 2gq^{1/2} + 1) q^{n-1} .$$

Proof: For n a positive integer, let $\epsilon(n)$ be defined by

$$n p_n = q^n + 1 - \sum_{\substack{v \in S \\ f_v | n}} f_v + \epsilon(n) . \tag{1}$$

In [1] it has been proved that

$$\epsilon(n) \leq 2gq^{n/2} . \quad (2)$$

This gives (3.3). ■

The following proposition will be crucial for proving theorem 3.

Proposition 3.2. *Let*

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma_{K,S} = \gamma - \log(1 - q^{-1}) + \sum_{v \in S} \log(1 - q^{-f_v}) + \log(hq^{-g}) ,$$

where γ is the classical Euler constant and for N a positive integer, let

$$(3.5) \quad X_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{nq^n} .$$

Then,

$$(3.6) \quad X_N = \log(N) + \Gamma_{K,S} + Y_N ,$$

with

$$(3.7) \quad |Y_N| \leq \left(1 + \frac{g}{\sqrt{q}(\sqrt{q}-1)} + \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v q^{f_v-1}}{q^{f_v}-1} \right) \frac{1}{N} .$$

Proof: By (3.5) and (3.2),

$$X_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \left(1 + q^{-n} \left(1 - \sum_{\substack{v \in S \\ f_v | n}} f_v - \sum_{i=1}^g (\rho_i^n + \bar{\rho}_i^n) \right) \right) . \quad (1)$$

The Euler constant verifies

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} = \log(N) + \gamma + \lambda(N) , \quad (2)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{N(N+1)} \leq \lambda(N) \leq \frac{1}{N} . \quad (3)$$

A proof of this may be found in [3], theorem 422. For $\theta \in D_q$, let

$$\Phi_N(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\theta}{q}\right)^n \quad (4)$$

and

$$\Phi(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\theta}{q}\right)^n, \quad (5)$$

this last series being absolutely convergent. Then,

$$\Phi_N(\theta) = \Phi(\theta) - \varphi_N(\theta), \quad (6)$$

with

$$|\varphi_N(\theta)| \leq \varphi_N(|\theta|) \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{|\theta|}{q}\right)^n \leq \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{|\theta|}{q}\right)^n.$$

Hence,

$$|\varphi_N(\theta)| \leq \varphi_N(|\theta|) \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)} \times \frac{|\theta|}{q-|\theta|} \left(\frac{|\theta|}{q}\right)^N. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand,

$$\Phi(\theta) = -\log\left(1 - \frac{\theta}{q}\right).$$

Thus, by (1),

$$X_N = \log(N) + \gamma - \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\log\left(1 - \frac{\rho_i}{q}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_i}{q}\right) \right) - \Omega_N + x_N,$$

with

$$\Omega_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{nq^n} \sum_{\substack{v \in S \\ f_v | n}} f_v \quad (8)$$

and

$$x_N = \lambda(N) - \varphi_N(1) + \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\varphi_N\left(\frac{\rho_i}{q}\right) + \varphi_N\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}_i}{q}\right) \right). \quad (9)$$

Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^g \left(\log\left(1 - \frac{\rho_i}{q}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_i}{q}\right) \right) = \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^g \left(1 - \frac{\rho_i}{q}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_i}{q}\right) \right)$$

and by (1.3) and (1.6),

$$\sum_{i=1}^g \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{\rho_i}{q} \right) + \log \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_i}{q} \right) \right) = \log(hq^{-g}) .$$

Then,

$$X_N = \log(N) + \gamma - \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \log(hq^{-g}) - \Omega_N + x_N . \quad (10)$$

By (8),

$$\Omega_N = \sum_{v \in S} f_v \sum_{j=1}^{[N/f_v]} \frac{1}{j f_v q^{j f_v}} = \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{j=1}^{[N/f_v]} \frac{1}{j q^{j f_v}} .$$

Hence, as above,

$$\Omega_N = - \sum_{v \in S} \log(1 - q^{-f_v}) - \omega_N , \quad (11)$$

with

$$0 \leq \omega(N) \leq \frac{1}{N q^N} \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v q^{f_v}}{q^{f_v} - 1} , \quad (12)$$

and

$$X_N = \log(N) + \Gamma_{K,S} + Y_N ,$$

with

$$Y_N = \lambda(N) - \varphi_N(1) + \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\varphi_N \left(\frac{\rho_i}{q} \right) + \varphi_N \left(\frac{\bar{\rho}_i}{q} \right) \right) + \omega_N .$$

This gives the announced result, the bound (3.7) being given by (3), (7) and (12). ■

Proposition 3.3. *Let*

$$(3.8) \quad \theta(K, S) = 1 + \frac{g}{\sqrt{q}(\sqrt{q}-1)} + \sum_{v \in S} \frac{f_v q^{f_v}}{q^{f_v} - 1} + \frac{2(q + 2g\sqrt{q} + 1)}{q^{1/2}(q-1)^2} .$$

Then, for any positive integer N ,

$$(3.9) \quad \exp \left(- \frac{\theta(K, S)}{N} \right) \leq \exp(\Gamma_{K,S}) N \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|} \right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{\theta(K, S)}{N} \right) .$$

Proof: For N a positive integer, let T_N , U_N and V_N be defined by:

$$T_N = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N}} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|} \right), \quad (1)$$

$$U_N = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N}} \frac{1}{|P|}, \quad (2)$$

$$U_N + T_N = -V_N. \quad (3)$$

Then,

$$U_N = \sum_{n=1}^N p_n q^{-n}.$$

By (3.1) and (3.5),

$$U_N = X_N - R_N, \quad (4)$$

with

$$R_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{nq^n} \sum_{\substack{j|n \\ j \neq n}} j p_j. \quad (5)$$

Interchanging the order of summation in (5), we get that

$$R_N = \sum_{j=1}^{[N/2]} j p_j \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ j|n \\ j \neq n}} \frac{1}{nq^n} = \sum_{j=1}^{[N/2]} p_j \sum_{m=2}^{[N/j]} \frac{1}{mq^{jm}} = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N/2}} \sum_{m=2}^{[N/f_P]} \frac{1}{m|P|^m}.$$

Whence, in view of (3),

$$R_N = V_N - W'_N - W''_N, \quad (6)$$

with

$$W'_N = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N/2}} \sum_{m=1+[N/f_P]}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m|P|^m} \quad (7)$$

and

$$W''_N = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ N/2 < f_P \leq N}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m|P|^m}. \quad (8)$$

We bound W'_N and W''_N . By (7),

$$W'_N \leq \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N/2}} \frac{1}{1 + [N/f_P]} \sum_{m=1+[N/f_P]}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|P|^m} \leq q^{-N} \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N/2}} \frac{|P|}{(1 + [N/f_P]) (|P| - 1)} .$$

Hence,

$$Nq^N W'_N \leq \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N/2}} \frac{f_P |P|}{|P| - 1} .$$

By (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} Nq^N W'_N &\leq (q + 2gq^{1/2} + 1) \sum_{j=1}^{[N/2]} \frac{q^{2j-1}}{q^j - 1} \leq \frac{q + 2gq^{1/2} + 1}{q - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{[N/2]} q^j , \\ Nq^N W''_N &\leq \frac{q + 2gq^{1/2} + 1}{(q - 1)^2} q^{[N/2]+1} . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By (8),

$$W''_N \leq \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P > N/2}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|P|^m} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P > N/2}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |P|^{-m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P > N/2}} \frac{1}{|P| (|P| - 1)} .$$

Hence,

$$W''_N \leq \frac{q}{2(q-1)} \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P > N/2}} |P|^{-2}$$

and by (3.3)

$$W''_N \leq \frac{q + 2gq^{1/2} + 1}{2(q-1)} \sum_{j=1+[N+2]}^{\infty} \frac{1}{jq^j} \leq \frac{q + 2gq^{1/2} + 1}{N(q-1)} \sum_{j=1+[N+2]}^{\infty} q^{-j} .$$

Hence,

$$NW''_N \leq \frac{q(q + 2gq^{1/2} + 1)}{(q-1)^2} q^{-N/2} . \quad (10)$$

By (3), (4) and (6),

$$T_N = -X_N - W'_N - W''_N$$

and, in view of (3.6)

$$T_N = -\log(N) - \Gamma_{K,S} - Y_N - W'_N - W''_N . \quad (11)$$

By (9), (10) and (3.7),

$$|W_N + Y_N| \leq \frac{\theta(K, S)}{N}, \tag{12}$$

with $\theta(K, S)$ defined by (3.8). By (11),

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\theta(K, S)}{N}\right) \leq \exp(T_N + \log(N) + \Gamma_{K,S}) \leq \exp\left(\frac{\theta(K, S)}{N}\right).$$

This is (3.9). ■

Corollary 3.4. *We have*

$$(3.10) \quad N e^{\Gamma_{K,S}} \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ f_P \leq N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|}\right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right),$$

the constants involved in the O depending only on K and S . ■

This last corollary gives theorem 1.3.

If we restrict theorem 1.3 to the polynomial ring $k[T]$, we obtain the following theorem already proved in [2].

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathcal{I} denote the set of monic irreducible polynomials of the ring $k[T]$. Then, for N tending to $+\infty$,*

$$(3.11) \quad \prod_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{I} \\ \deg(P) \leq N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|}\right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{N} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right),$$

with $|P| = q^{\deg P}$, the constants involved in the O depending only on q . ■

REFERENCES

- [1] CAR, M. – Classes modulo les puissances dans l’anneau des S -entiers d’un corps de fonctions, *Acta Arith.*, 118(2) (2005), 149–185.
- [2] GOVE W. EFFINGER; KENNETH H. HICKS and GARY L. MULLEN – *Twin irreducible polynomials over finite fields*, in “Finite Fields with Applications to Coding Theory, Cryptography and Related Areas” (Oaxaca 2001), pp.94–111, Springer, 2002.

- [3] HARDY, G.H. and WRIGHT, E.M. – *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th Ed., Oxford University Press.
- [4] IHARA, Y. – *On the Euler–Kronecker constants of global fields and primes with small norms*, to appear in *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser.
- [5] WALDSCHMIDT, M. – *Nombre transcendants*, *Lecture notes in Math.*, 402, Springer, 1974.
- [6] WEIL, A. – *Basic Number Theory*, 3rd Ed., *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, 144, Springer, 1974.

Mireille Car,
Université Paul Cézanne Aix-Marseille III,
LATP, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Case cour A,
Avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen, F-13397 Marseille Cedex 20 – FRANCE