

## $\tau(p; q)$ -summing mappings and the domination theorem

Ximena Mujica

(Communicated by Rui Loja Fernandes)

**Abstract.** We extend the concept of  $\tau$ -summing operators presented by Pietsch in his monograph on Operator Ideals to multilinear mappings and polynomials. We also present a domination theorem for  $\tau(p)$ -summing mappings and polynomials, showing their relation with  $p$ -semi-integral mappings and polynomials.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** 46G25; 46E40.

**Keywords.** Summing, domination.

### 1. Introduction

In the 1950s Alexandre Grothendieck developed a substantial body of work on  $p$ -summing operators. Later on, in 1967, Albrecht Pietsch isolated this class of operators and established many of their fundamental properties. In his book *Operator Ideals* several of those operators are studied, among which are  $\tau$ -summing operators.

In Section 2 we establish our notation and recall results needed later. In Section 3 we extend to multilinear mappings the concept of  $\tau$ -summing operators and establish a domination theorem for the multilinear case. In Section 4 we extend to polynomials the results of the previous section. In the last section we give a few examples of such mappings.

This article is based on part of my PhD thesis at UNICAMP, supervised by Professor M. Matos. I am indebted to the referee for many useful suggestions, which have helped improving the presentation.

### 2. Background

We denote by  $n$  a positive integer,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .  $\mathbb{K}$  is a scalar field ( $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), and  $D, D_i, E, E_i, F, F_i, G, G_i$  stand for Banach spaces over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

$B_E$  is the closed unit ball in  $E$ . For  $p \geq 1$  we denote by  $p'$  its conjugate, i.e.,  $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'}$ . Recall that  $(\ell_p)^\prime = \ell_{p'}$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $K$  be a compact set. Then  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  stands for all continuous scalar-valued functions on  $K$ .  $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  denotes the continuous  $n$ -linear mappings from  $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n$  into  $F$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $F$  be a Banach space,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $(b_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p^w(F')$ . Then*

$$\sup_{\beta \in B_{F^n}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\beta(b_j)| \right)^{1/p} = \sup_{y \in B_F} \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty |b_j(y)| \right)^{1/p}.$$

A proof can be found in [4], p. 1. A proof of the following lemma can be found in [5], p. 40.

**Lemma 2.2** (Ky Fan). *Let  $K$  be a compact convex subset of a linear topological Hausdorff space, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a concave collection of lower semi-continuous convex real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that for each  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists  $x \in K$  with  $\Phi(x) \leq \varrho$ . Then we can find  $x_0 \in K$  such that  $\Phi(x_0) \leq \varrho$  for all  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$  simultaneously.*

### 3. $\tau(p; q)$ -summing $n$ -linear mappings

Let  $\mathcal{L}_n$  be the class of all  $n$ -linear mappings between arbitrary Banach spaces, and for a subset  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{L}_n$  we write

$$\mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F) := \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F).$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a subclass of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  with an  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -valued function  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$  such that the following conditions are satisfied for some  $0 < r \leq 1$ :

- (0)  $I_n \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\|I_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$  for  $I_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$ .
- (1) If  $S_1, S_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|S_k\|_{\mathcal{M}}^r < \infty$ , then  $S = \sum_{k=1}^\infty S_k \in \mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{M}}^r \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|S_k\|_{\mathcal{M}}^r$ .
- (2) If  $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(D_i; E_i)$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{M}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F; G)$ , then  $RS(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{M}(D_1, \dots, D_n; G)$  and  $\|RST\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|R\| \|S\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|T_1\| \dots \|T_n\|$ .

We say that  $[\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}]$  is an  $r$ -normed  $\mathcal{L}_n$ -module of  $n$ -linear mappings; if  $r = 1$  then  $[\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}]$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{L}_n$ -module of  $n$ -linear mappings.

Some authors have called such class of mappings ‘‘ideals of  $n$ -linear mappings’’, but in order to keep an analogy with algebra we chose this new terminology.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and  $1 \leq q \leq p$ . We say that  $S$  is  $\tau(p; q)$ -summing if there exists a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  such that, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{ij} \in E_i$ ,  $b_j \in F'$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) b_j(y)|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

where  $a_i \in E'_i$ ,  $y \in F$  and  $\beta \in F''$ . We denote this class of mappings by  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; q)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and on it we define the norm  $\|S\|_{\tau(p; q)} := \inf \sigma$  for the constant that appears in the expression above.

$\mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; q)}$  with the norm  $\|S\|_{\tau(p; q)}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{L}_n$ -module of  $n$ -linear mappings. When  $p = q$ , we write  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau(p)}$  and  $\|S\|_{\tau(p)}$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; p)}$  and  $\|S\|_{\tau(p; p)}$ , respectively. We say that  $S$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing. If  $p = q = 1$ , we simply write  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau}$  and  $\|S\|_{\tau}$  and say that  $S$  is  $\tau$ -summing. Notice that if  $1 \leq s \leq r \leq q \leq p$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{\tau(q; r)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; s)}$ , because if  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(q; r)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) b_j(y)|^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) b_j(y)|^s \right)^{1/s}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the above inequalities it follows that

$$\|S\|_{\tau(p; r)} \leq \|S\|_{\tau(q; r)} \quad \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(q; r)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; r)}$$

and

$$\|S\|_{\tau(q; s)} \leq \|S\|_{\tau(q; r)} \quad \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(q; r)} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\tau(q; s)}.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Recall that if  $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n}$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is absolutely  $(p; q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -summing if there exists a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  such that for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{ij} \in E_i$ , and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|T(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj})\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \sigma \prod_{i=1}^n \sup_{\|a_i\| \leq 1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_i(x_{ij})|^{q_i} \right)^{1/q_i};$$

and we write  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{as}(p; q_1, \dots, q_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ . On the other hand, if  $\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n}$ , then by Hölder's generalized inequality

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m (\|x_{1j}\| \dots \|x_{nj}\|)^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{1j}\|^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} \dots \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{nj}\|^{q_n} \right)^{1/q_n}.$$

Given  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; q)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , we define  $S_F \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n, F'; \mathbb{K})$  by  $S_F(x_1, \dots, x_n, b) := bS(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . It follows that if  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n} + \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |S_F(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}, b_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) b_j(y)|^q \right)^{1/q} \quad (\text{since } S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; q)}) \\ &\leq \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j})|^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} \dots \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_n(x_{nj})|^{q_n} \right)^{1/q_n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(y)|^{q_{n+1}} \right)^{1/q_{n+1}} \\ &\quad (\text{by Hölder}) \\ &= \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|\beta\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j})|^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1} \dots \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_n(x_{nj})|^{q_n} \right)^{1/q_n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta(b_j)|^{q_{n+1}} \right)^{1/q_{n+1}} \\ &\quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}). \end{aligned}$$

So  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p; q)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  implies  $S_F \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{as}(p; q_1, \dots, q_n, q_{n+1})}(E_1, \dots, E_n, F'; \mathbb{K})$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Given  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_n}$ , an  $n$ -linear mapping  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ -dominated if  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{as}(p; q_1, \dots, q_n)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ ; and it is  $p$ -dominated if  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{as}(p/n; p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ . It follows that if  $S$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing, then  $S_F$  is  $p$ -dominated.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A mapping  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing if and only if there exist a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\mu \in W(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''})$ , the set of Borel probability measures in  $B_{E_1} \times \dots \times B_{E_n} \times B_{F''}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |b(S(x_1, \dots, x_n))| \\ &\leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in E_i$  and all  $b \in F'$ . In this case,  $\|S\|_{\tau(p)} = \inf \sigma$ .

*Proof.* Here we exploit ideas already used by Matos and Alencar for absolutely  $p$ -summing mappings in [1], 4.3, p. 11.

If inequality (1) holds, then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left[ \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \quad \text{(by (1))} \\ & = \sigma \left\{ \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \leq \sigma \left\{ \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|\beta\| \leq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right\}^{1/p} \\ & = \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) b_j(y)|^p \right\}^{1/p} \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1).} \end{aligned}$$

So  $S$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing and  $\|S\|_{\tau(p)} \leq \inf \sigma$ .

On the other hand, if  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  let  $\sigma = \|S\|_{\tau(p)}$ . Take  $\mathcal{C}(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''})'$  equipped with the weak- $\mathcal{C}(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''})$  topology. Then  $W(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''})$  is a compact convex subset. For any finite family of elements  $x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im} \in E_i$  and functionals  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in F'$  the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mu) & := \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \|S\|_{\tau(p)}^p \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

defines a real continuous convex function  $\phi$  on  $W(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''})$ . Choose  $a_{10} \in B_{E'_1}, \dots, a_{n0} \in B_{E'_n}$  and  $\beta_0 \in B_{F''}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) \beta(b_j)|^p : \|a_i\|, \|\beta\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{10}(x_{1j}) \dots a_{n0}(x_{nj}) \beta_0(b_j)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\delta(a_{10}, \dots, a_{n0}, \beta_0)$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $(a_{10}, \dots, a_{n0}, \beta_0)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(\delta(a_{10}, \dots, a_{n0}, \beta_0)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^p - \|S\|_{\tau(p)}^p |a_{10}(x_{1j}) \dots a_{n0}(x_{nj}) \beta_0(b_j)|^p \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of all functions obtained this way is concave, by the Ky Fan Lemma 2.2 there exists a measure  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{W}(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''})$  such that  $\phi(\mu_0) \leq 0$ , for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$  simultaneously. In particular, if  $\phi$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $b$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mu) := & \left\{ |b(S(x_1, \dots, x_n))|^p - \|S\|_{\tau(p)}^p \right. \\ & \left. \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |b(S(x_1, \dots, x_n))| \\ & \leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\mu_0(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|S\|_{\tau(p)} = \inf \sigma$ . □

We also have the following result.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A mapping  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing if and only if there exist a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$ ,  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{W}(B_{E'_i})$ ,  $\mu_{n+1} \in \mathcal{W}(B_{F''})$ , the sets of Borel probability measures in  $B_{E'_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $B_{F''}$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & |b(S(x_1, \dots, x_n))| \\ & \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} \int_{B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\mu_{n+1}(\beta) d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p} \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in E_i$  and  $b \in F'$ . In this case,  $\|S\|_{\tau(p)} = \inf \sigma$ .

*Proof.* Here we repeat the proof of the previous theorem with minor changes.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Using (2) one easily shows that  $S$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing and  $\|S\|_{\tau(p)} \leq \inf \sigma$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , and put  $\sigma = \|S\|_{\tau(p)}$ . Take each  $\mathcal{C}(B_{E'_1})', \dots, \mathcal{C}(B_{E'_n})', \mathcal{C}(B_{F''})'$  equipped with the weak- $\mathcal{C}(B_{E'_1})', \dots$ , weak- $\mathcal{C}(B_{E'_n})',$  weak- $\mathcal{C}(B_{F''})'$  topology, respectively. Then  $W(B_{E'_1}), \dots, W(B_{E'_n}), W(B_{F''})$  as well as  $W(B_{E'_1}) \times \dots \times W(B_{E'_n}) \times W(B_{F''})$  are compact convex

subsets. For any finite family of elements  $x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im} \in E_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  and functionals  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in F'$  the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \\ &:= \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sigma^p \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} \int_{B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\mu_{n+1}(\beta) d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

defines a real continuous convex function  $\phi$  on  $W(B_{E'_1}) \times \dots \times W(B_{E'_n}) \times W(B_{F''})$ . Choose  $a_{10} \in B_{E'_1}, \dots, a_{n0} \in B_{E'_n}$  and  $\beta_0 \in B_{F''}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) \dots a_n(x_{nj}) \beta(b_j)|^p : \|a_i\|, \|\beta\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{10}(x_{1j}) \dots a_{n0}(x_{nj}) \beta_0(b_j)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\delta_1(a_{10}), \dots, \delta_n(a_{n0}), \delta_{n+1}(\beta_0)$  denote the Dirac measures at  $a_{10}, \dots, a_{n0}, \beta_0$  respectively, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(\delta_1(a_{10}) \times \dots \times \delta_n(a_{n0}) \times \delta_{n+1}(\beta_0)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(S(x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}))|^p - \sigma^p |a_{10}(x_{1j}) \dots a_{n0}(x_{nj}) \beta_0(b_j)|^p \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of all functions obtained this way is concave, by the Ky Fan Lemma 2.2 there exists a measure  $\bar{\mu}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{\mu}_n \times \bar{\mu}_{n+1} \in W(B_{E'_1}) \times \dots \times W(B_{E'_n}) \times W(B_{F''})$  such that  $\phi(\bar{\mu}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{\mu}_n \times \bar{\mu}_{n+1}) \leq 0$ , for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$  simultaneously. In particular, if  $\phi$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $b$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(\bar{\mu}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{\mu}_n \times \bar{\mu}_{n+1}) \\ &= \left\{ |b(S(x_1, \dots, x_n))|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sigma^p \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} \int_{B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\bar{\mu}_{n+1}(\beta) d\bar{\mu}_n(a_n) \dots d\bar{\mu}_1(a_1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|b(S(x_1, \dots, x_n))| \\ &\leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} \int_{B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\bar{\mu}_{n+1}(\beta) d\bar{\mu}_n(a_n) \dots d\bar{\mu}_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. $n$ -homogeneous polynomials

Let  $\mathcal{L}({}^n E; F) := \mathcal{L}(E, \overset{n \text{ times}}{\cdot}, E; F)$  and  $\Sigma_n$  the set of all permutations over  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . We say  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n E; F)$  is a *symmetric  $n$ -linear mapping* if

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = T(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in \Sigma_n, x_1, \dots, x_n \in E.$$

We write  $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n E; F)$  for the class of all symmetric  $n$ -linear mappings. The set  $[\mathcal{L}_s({}^n E; F), \|\cdot\|]$  is closed in  $[\mathcal{L}({}^n E; F), \|\cdot\|]$  (see [2], Proposition 1, p. 2). The *symmetrization  $n$ -linear mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} s : \mathcal{L}({}^n E; F) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s({}^n E; F), \\ T &\mapsto T_s, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} T(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

is a continuous projection (see [2], Proposition 2, p. 3).

We write  $x^n := (x, \overset{n \text{ times}}{\cdot}, x)$ . A *continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial*  $P : E \rightarrow F$  is a mapping for which there is some  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n E; F)$  such that  $P(x) = T(x^n)$  for every  $x \in E$ . To denote that  $T$  corresponds to  $P$ , we will write  $\hat{T} = P$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E; F)$  the Banach space of continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$  with respect to pointwise operations and the norm defined by

$$\|P\| := \sup\{\|P(x)\| : x \in B_E\}.$$

Note that  $\|P(x)\| \leq \|P\| \|x\|^n$ . The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathcal{L}_s({}^n E; F) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}({}^n E; F), \\ T &\mapsto \hat{T}, \end{aligned}$$

is a vector space isomorphism and a homeomorphism of the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}_s({}^n E; F)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E; F)$  (see [2], Proposition 3, p. 4). A *continuous polynomial*  $P$  from  $E$  into  $F$  is a mapping  $P : E \rightarrow F$  for which there are  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $P_k \in \mathcal{P}({}^k E; F)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $P = P_0 + P_1 + \dots + P_n$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}(E; F)$  the Banach space of continuous polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ , and by  $\mathcal{P}$  the class of all continuous polynomials between arbitrary Banach spaces. If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{P}$  we write

$$\mathcal{I}({}^n E; F) := \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{P}({}^n E; F).$$



All definitions and results valid for  $n$ -linear mapping  $\mathcal{L}_n$ -modules can be transferred to polynomials via the  $\varphi$  mapping just described.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a subclass of  $\mathcal{P}$  with a  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -valued function  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  such that the following conditions are satisfied for some  $0 < r \leq 1$ :

- (0)  $I_n \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\|I_n\|_{\mathcal{I}} = 1$  for  $I_n(\lambda) := \lambda^n$ .
- (1) If  $P_1, P_2, \dots \in \mathcal{I}({}^n E; F)$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|P_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^r < \infty$ , then  $P = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k \in \mathcal{I}({}^n E; F)$  and  $\|P\|_{\mathcal{I}}^r \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|P_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}^r$ .
- (2) If  $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^1 D; E)$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{I}({}^n E; F)$  and  $O \in \mathcal{P}({}^1 F; G)$ , then  $OPQ \in \mathcal{I}({}^n D; G)$  and  $\|OPQ\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|O\| \|P\|_{\mathcal{I}} \|Q\|$ .

We say that  $[\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}]$  is an  $r$ -normed ideal of  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials, and if  $r = 1$ , then  $[\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}]$  is a Banach ideal of  $n$ -homogeneous continuous polynomials.

**Definition 4.2.** Given a  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^n E; F)$ , we shall say that it is  $\tau(p; q)$ -summing if there exists a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  such that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_j \in E$ ,  $b_j \in F'$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j(P(x_j))|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a(x_j)^n b_j(y)|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

By defining the norm  $\|P\|_{\tau(p; q)} := \inf \sigma$  for the constant that appears in the expression above, it can be shown that this class of polynomials is an ideal, which we shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau(p; q)}({}^n E; F)$ . When  $p = q$  we write  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau(p)}({}^n E; F)$  and  $\|P\|_{\tau(p)}$  respectively and say that it is the ideal of  $n$ -homogeneous  $\tau(p)$ -summing polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ . When  $p = q = 1$  we simply write  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau}({}^n E; F)$ ,  $\|P\|_{\tau}$  and speak of the ideal of  $n$ -homogeneous  $\tau$ -summing polynomials from  $E$  into  $F$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** A  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^n E; F)$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing if and only if there exist a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\mu \in W(B_{E'} \times B_{F^n})$ , the Borel probability measures set in  $B_{E'} \times B_{F^n}$ , such that

$$|b(P(x))| \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F^n}} |a(x)^n \beta(b)|^p d\mu(a, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } x \in E, b \in F'.$$

In this case,  $\|P\|_{\tau(p)} = \inf \sigma$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ): If the inequality holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j P(x_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left[ \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F''}} |a(x_j)^n \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ (\text{finite sum } \Rightarrow) &= \sigma \left\{ \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F''}} \sum_{j=1}^m |a(x_j)^n \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a, \beta) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sigma \left\{ \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F''}} \sup_{\substack{\|a\| \leq 1 \\ \|\beta\| \leq 1}} \sum_{j=1}^m |a(x_j)^n \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a, \beta) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= \sigma \sup_{\substack{\|a\| \leq 1 \\ \|\beta\| \leq 1}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |a(x_j)^n \beta(b_j)|^p \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Conversely, let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau(p)}({}^n E; F)$  and  $\sigma = \|P\|_{\tau(p)}$ . Consider  $\mathcal{C}(B_{E'} \times B_{F''})'$  with the weak- $\mathcal{C}(B_{E'} \times B_{F''})$  topology. Then  $W(B_{E'} \times B_{F''})$  is a convex compact subset. For any finite family of elements  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in E$  and functionals  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in F'$  the equation

$$\phi(\mu) := \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ |b_j P(x_j)|^p - \sigma^p \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F''}} |a(x_j)^n \beta(b_j)|^p d\mu(a, \beta) \right\}$$

defines a real convex continuous function  $\phi$  over  $W(B_{E'} \times B_{F''})$ . Choose  $a_0 \in B_{E'}$  and  $\beta_0 \in B_{F''}$  such that

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m |a(x_j)^n \beta(b_j)|^p : \|a\|, \|\beta\| \leq 1 \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^m |a_0(x_j)^n \beta_0(b_j)|^p.$$

If  $\delta(a_0, \beta_0)$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $(a_0, \beta_0)$ , then

$$\phi(\delta(a_0, \beta_0)) = \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j P(x_j)|^p - \sigma^p |a_0(x_j)^n \beta_0(b_j)|^p \leq 0.$$

Since the collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions thus obtained is concave, by the Ky Fan Lemma 2.2, there is a measure  $\mu_0 \in W(B_{E'} \times B_{F''})$  such that  $\phi(\mu_0) \leq 0$ , for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$  simultaneously. In particular, if  $\phi$  is generated by  $x$  and  $b$ , it follows that

$$|b(P(x))|^p - \sigma^p \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F''}} |a(x)^n \beta(b)|^p d\mu_0(a, \beta) \leq 0$$

and so

$$|b(P(x))| \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F^n}} |a(x)^n \beta(b)|^p d\mu_0(a, \beta) \right)^{1/p}.$$

From the above implications we obtain  $\|P\|_{\tau(p)} = \inf \sigma$ . □

With a similar proof we also have the following:

An  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing if and only if there exist a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\mu \in W(B_{E'})$ ,  $\nu \in W(B_{F^p})$  sets of Borel probability measures in  $B_{E'}$  and  $B_{E'p}$  respectively, such that

$$|b(P(x))| \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'}} \int_{B_{F^p}} |a(x)^n \beta(b)|^p d\nu(\beta) d\mu(a) \right)^{1/p}$$

for all  $x \in E_i$  and  $b \in F'$ . In this case,  $\|P\|_{\tau(p)} = \inf \sigma$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Recall the following definition (see [1], p. 10): A  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n E; F)$  is said to be  $p$ -semi-integral; we write  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{si}(p)}(^n E; F)$  if there exist a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  and a regular probability measure  $\mu \in W(B_{E'})$  such that

$$\|P(x)\| \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'}} |a(x)^n|^p d\mu(a) \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

Let  $\nu$  be a regular probability measure given by  $\nu(C) = \mu(C \times B_{F^n})$  for each Borel subset  $C$  of  $B_{E'}$ . If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau(p)}(^n E; F)$ , then, for every  $x \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |bP(x)| &= |b(P(x))| \\ &\leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'} \times B_{F^n}} |a(x)^n \beta(b)|^p d\mu(a, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \leq \|b\| \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'}} |a(x)^n|^p d\nu(a) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(x)\| &= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} |bP(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|b\| \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'}} |a(x)^n|^p d\nu(a) \right)^{1/p} \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'}} |a(x)^n|^p d\nu(a) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,  $P$  is  $p$ -semi-integral, ie  $\mathcal{P}_{\tau(p)}(^n E; F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\text{si}(p)}(^n E; F)$ .

### 5. Examples

**Example 5.1.** Consider  $E_1, E_2, F$  Banach spaces and fix  $\bar{a}_1^k \in E_1, \bar{a}_2^k \in E_2, \bar{y}^k \in F, \bar{a}_1^k, \bar{a}_2^k, \bar{y}^k \neq 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, M$ . Then a finite type mapping  $S \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, E_2; F)$ ,

$$S : E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow F,$$

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^M \bar{a}_1^k(x_1) \bar{a}_2^k(x_2) \bar{y}^k,$$

is a  $\tau(p, q)$ -summing 2-linear mapping for all  $1 \leq q \leq p$  with  $\|S\|_{\tau(p, q)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^M \|\bar{a}_1^k\| \|\bar{a}_2^k\| \|\bar{y}^k\|$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j S(x_{1j}, x_{2j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \sum_{k=1}^M \bar{a}_1^k(x_{1j}) \bar{a}_2^k(x_{2j}) b_j(\bar{y}^k) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^M \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\bar{a}_1^k(x_{1j}) \bar{a}_2^k(x_{2j}) b_j(\bar{y}^k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^M \left( \|\bar{a}_1^k\| \|\bar{a}_2^k\| \|\bar{y}^k\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \frac{\bar{a}_1^k}{\|\bar{a}_1^k\|}(x_{1j}) \frac{\bar{a}_2^k}{\|\bar{a}_2^k\|}(x_{2j}) b_j \left( \frac{\bar{y}^k}{\|\bar{y}^k\|} \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^M \left( \|\bar{a}_1^k\| \|\bar{a}_2^k\| \|\bar{y}^k\| \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) a_2(x_{2j}) b_j(y)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^M \|\bar{a}_1^k\| \|\bar{a}_2^k\| \|\bar{y}^k\| \right) \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) a_2(x_{2j}) b_j(y)|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ & \quad (q \leq p \Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_p \leq \|\cdot\|_q). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.2.** A mapping  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2; F)$  is said to be nuclear if it admits a representation  $S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{1k} \otimes a_{2k} \otimes y_k$  with  $\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_{1k}\| \|a_{2k}\| \|y_k\| < \infty$  and norm  $\|S\|_N = \inf \sigma$ , with the infimum taken over all possible representations. Suppose  $a_{1k}, a_{2k}, y_k \neq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j S(x_{1j}, x_{2j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{1k}(x_{1j}) a_{2k}(x_{2j}) b_j(y_k) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_{1k}\| \frac{a_{1k}}{\|a_{1k}\|}(x_{1j}) \|a_{2k}\| \frac{a_{2k}}{\|a_{2k}\|}(x_{2j}) \|y_k\| b_j \left( \frac{y_k}{\|y_k\|} \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| a_{1k} \frac{a_{1k}}{\|a_{1k}\|} (x_{1j}) \|a_{2k} \frac{a_{2k}}{\|a_{2k}\|} (x_{2j}) \|y_k \|b_j \left( \frac{y_k}{\|y_k\|} \right) \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \|a_{1k}\| \|a_{2k}\| \|y_k\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left\| \frac{a_{1k}}{\|a_{1k}\|} (x_{1j}) \frac{a_{2k}}{\|a_{2k}\|} (x_{2j}) b_j \left( \frac{y_k}{\|y_k\|} \right) \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_{1k}\| \|a_{2k}\| \|y_k\| \right) \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) a_2(x_{2j}) b_j(y)|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing and  $\|S\|_{\tau(p)} \leq \|S\|_N$ .

**Example 5.3.** Recall that an  $n$ -linear mapping  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  is  $p$ -semi-integral (see [1], p. 10) if there exist a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  and a regular probability measure  $\mu \in W(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n})$  such that

$$\|S(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right)^{1/p}$$

for all  $x_i \in E_i$ . We write  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{si}(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$  and its norm is given by  $\|S\|_{\text{si}(p)} = \inf \sigma$ , where the infimum is taken on the above inequality. Let  $\nu$  be a regular probability measure over  $B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n}$  such that  $\nu(C) = \mu(C \times B_{F''})$  for each  $C$  Borel subset of  $B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , then by 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} &|bS(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \\ &\leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p \|\beta\|^p \|b\|^p d\mu(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|b\| \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in E_i$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| &= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} |bS(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \\ &\leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in E_i$ , i.e.,  $S$  is  $p$ -semi-integral and  $\|S\|_{\text{si}(p)} \leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)}$ . Observe that if  $F = \mathbb{K}$ , we also have that  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{si}(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$ : If  $\delta(1) \in W(B_{\mathbb{K}})$  stands for the Dirac measure at  $1 \in B_{\mathbb{K}''}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |bS(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \\
& \leq \|b\| \|S\|_{\text{si}(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p dv(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{B_{\mathbb{K}^n}} |\beta(b)|^p d\delta(1)(\beta) \right)^{1/p} \|S\|_{\text{si}(p)} \\
& \quad \cdot \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p dv(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \|S\|_{\text{si}(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{\mathbb{K}^n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p |\beta(b)|^p d(v \times \delta(1))(a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta) \right)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(v \times \delta(1)) \in W(B_{E'_1} \times \dots \times B_{E'_n} \times B_{\mathbb{K}^n})$  it follows that  $S$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing and  $\|S\|_{\text{si}(p)} = \|S\|_{\tau(p)}$ .

**Example 5.4.** A  $n$ -linear mapping  $S$  is  $p$ -dominated if and only if there exist a constant  $\sigma \geq 0$  and probability measures  $\mu_i \in W(B_{E'_i})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$\|S(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sigma \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p}$$

for all  $x_1 \in E_1, \dots, x_n \in E_n$ , in which case  $\|S\|_{d(p)} = \inf \sigma$  (see 3.2 in [3], p. 12). Let  $\mu_i \in W(B_{E'_i})$  and  $\mu_{n+1} \in W(B_{F''})$  be regular probability measures over  $B_{E'_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $B_{F''}$ , respectively. If  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; F)$ , then by 2

$$\begin{aligned}
& |bS(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \\
& \leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} \int_{B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\mu_{n+1}(\beta) d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} \int_{B_{F''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)| |\beta(b)|^p d\mu_{n+1}(\beta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \|b\| \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in E_i$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| &= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} |bS(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \\
&\leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_i \in E_i$ , i.e.,  $S$  is  $p$ -dominated with  $\|S\|_{d(p)} \leq \|S\|_{\tau(p)}$ . Observe that if  $F = \mathbb{K}$ , we also have that  $\mathcal{L}_{d(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\tau(p)}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$ : If  $\delta(1) \in W(B_{\mathbb{K}''})$  stands for the Dirac measure at  $1 \in B_{\mathbb{K}''}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & |bS(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \\ & \leq \|b\| \|S\|_{d(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{B_{\mathbb{K}''}} |\beta(b)|^p d\delta(1)(\beta) \right)^{1/p} \|S\|_{d(p)} \\ & \quad \cdot \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n)|^p d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \|S\|_{d(p)} \left( \int_{B_{E'_1}} \dots \int_{B_{E'_n}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{K}''}} |a_1(x_1) \dots a_n(x_n) \beta(b)|^p d\delta(1)(\beta) d\mu_n(a_n) \dots d\mu_1(a_1) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By 3.6,  $S$  is  $\tau(p)$ -summing and  $\|S\|_{d(p)} = \|S\|_{\tau(p)}$ . In other words,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, E_n; \mathbb{K})$  is  $p$ -dominated if and only if it is  $\tau(p)$ -summing.

**Example 5.5.** Recall that a mapping  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, E_2; F)$  is said to be approximable if there exists a sequence  $S_k \in \mathcal{L}_f(E_1, E_2; F)$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $K_\varepsilon$  such that  $\|S - S_k\| \leq \varepsilon$  whenever  $k \geq K_\varepsilon$ . For  $1 \leq q \leq p$ , by 5.1, for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  there exist constants  $\sigma_k$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j S_k(x_{1j}, x_{2j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \sigma_k \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) a_2(x_{2j}) b_j(y)|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

(for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) holds for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{ij} \in E_i$ ,  $b_j \in F'$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Given  $\varepsilon$ , choose  $k \geq K_\varepsilon$  to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j S(x_{1j}, x_{2j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j (S - S_k)(x_{1j}, x_{2j})|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j S_k(x_{1j}, x_{2j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^m (\|b_j\| \|S - S_k\| \|x_{1j}\| \|x_{2j}\|)^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j S_k(x_{1j}, x_{2j})|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \varepsilon \left( \sum_{j=1}^m (\|b_j\| \|x_{1j}\| \|x_{2j}\|)^p \right)^{1/p} + \sigma_k \sup_{\substack{\|a_i\| \leq 1 \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |a_1(x_{1j}) a_2(x_{2j}) b_j(y)|^q \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

If the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k$  exists and is finite, it follows that  $S$  is  $\tau(p; q)$ -summing, and  $\|S\|_{\tau(p; q)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k$ .

Analogous examples can be given for polynomials.

## References

- [1] R. L. Alencar and M. C. Matos, Some classes of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces. *Publicaciones del Departamento de Análisis Matemático de la U.C.M., Sec. 1, No. 12, Madrid 1989.*
- [2] C. P. Gupta, Convolution operators and holomorphic mappings on a Banach Space. *Seminaire d'Analyse Moderne 2, Université de Sherbrooke, Département de Mathématiques, Sherbrooke 1969.* [Zbl 0243.47016](#)
- [3] M. C. Matos, On multilinear mappings of nuclear type. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* **6** (1993), 61–81. [Zbl 0807.46022](#) [MR 1245025](#)
- [4] X. Mujica, Aplicações  $\tau(p; q)$ -somantes e  $\sigma(p)$ -nucleares. Tese de Doutorado, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Instituto de Matematica, Campinas 2006. <http://libdigi.unicamp.br/document/?code=vtls000378266>
- [5] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*. North-Holland Math. Library 20, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam 1980. [Zbl 0434.47030](#) [MR 0582655](#)

Received December 27, 2006; revised January 29, 2007

X. Mujica, Departamento de Matemática, Centro Politécnico, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Caixa Postal 19081, CEP 81531-990, Curitiba, PR, Brasil  
E-mail: [xmujica@gmail.com](mailto:xmujica@gmail.com)