Portugal. Math. (N.S.) Vol. 67, Fasc. 4, 2010, 511–524 DOI 10.4171/PM/1875 **Portugaliae Mathematica** © European Mathematical Society

# Urcohomologies and cohomologies of N-complexes

Naoya Hiramatsu and Goro C. Kato

Dedicated to the late Professor Leopoldo Nachbin

(Communicated by Rui Loja Fernandes)

**Abstract.** For a general sequence of objects and morphisms, we construct two N-complexes. Then we can define cohomologies (i, k)-type of the N-complexes not only on a diagonal region but also in the triangular region. We obtain an invariant defined on a general sequence of objects and morphisms. For a short exact sequence of N-complexes, we get the associated long exact sequence generalizing the classical long exact sequence. Lastly, several properties of the vanishing cohomologies of N-complexes are given.

### Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 13D25, 18G35, 20G10.

Keywords. N-complex, N-exactness, complexifying functor, urcohomology.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of an *N*-complex was introduced in [9], where N = 2 is the usual complex. The cohomologies of *N*-complexes have been investigated in [9], [4], [11], [17], [1], [3], [5]. In addition to the cohomologies located on the diagonal as studied previously, we will study the cohomologies in the triangular region (see Fig. 3).

Our motivation to study cohomologies defined for *N*-complexes and also for general sequences (the most general) comes partially from comments made by Kapranov in [9], "It is natural to ask why d<sup>2</sup> and not, say, d<sup>3</sup>. Following this mood, we give the natural definition (of an *N*-complex)" for introducing the notion of an *N*-complex. Furthermore, we can ask why d<sup>N</sup> and not d<sup> $\infty$ </sup>. Namely, it may be natural to ask whether there exists a stronger invariant defined for any sequence of objects and morphisms which coincides with the classical cohomology for n = 2 and also the cohomology for general n = N. This ultimate case is the notion of urcohomology. We introduce in this paper two functors from the urca-

tegory consisting of objects and morphisms (without any additional conditions) to the category of N-complexes for N = 2, 3, ...

In order to define a cohomology-like invariant on an arbitrary sequence of objects and morphisms, denoted as  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet})$  where  $(f)^N = 0$  need not hold for any  $N \ge 2$ , a right exact functor  $I^{-N}$  and a left exact functor  $K^N$  are introduced. Those functors are said to be *N*-complexifying functors since  $I^{-N}(A^{\bullet})$  and  $K^N(A^{\bullet})$  become *N*-complexes for an arbitrary sequence  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet})$ . The cohomologies of such complexified objects  $I^{-N}(A^{\bullet})$  and  $K^N(A^{\bullet})$  are said to be the urcohomologies of  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet})$ . We have the self-duality

$$\mathrm{H}^{j}(\mathrm{K}^{N}(A^{\bullet})) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{H}^{j}(\mathrm{I}^{-N}(A^{\bullet})).$$

Urcohomologies for the case N = 2 are treated in [14], [13] in which such invariants are called precohomologies instead of urcohomologies.

### 2. Cohomology of (i, k)-type and urcohomology

Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be an abelian category. A sequence  $(A^{\bullet}, d^{\bullet})$  of objects and morphisms of  $\mathscr{A}$  is said to be an *N*-complex if

$$(\mathbf{d})^N = \mathbf{d}^{j+N-1} \circ \mathbf{d}^{j+N-2} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{d}^j = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $d^j : A^j \to A^{j+1}$ . Let  $Ur(\mathscr{A})$  be the category of general sequences  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet})$  of objects  $A^{\bullet}$  and morphisms  $f^{\bullet}$  of  $\mathscr{A}$  where a morphism  $\phi^{\bullet}$  between objects  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet})$  and  $(B^{\bullet}, g^{\bullet})$  of  $Ur(\mathscr{A})$  is a morphism  $\phi^j : A^j \to B^j$  of  $\mathscr{A}$  satisfying the commutativity  $g^j \circ \phi^j = \phi^{j+1} \circ f^j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  in the diagram:

The abelian category  $\operatorname{Co}^{N}(\mathscr{A})$  of N-complexes is a full subcategory of  $\operatorname{Ur}(\mathscr{A})$ .

Based on the following two principles (1) and (2) for an N-complex  $(A^{\bullet}, d^{\bullet})$ , we can define more cohomological objects in addition to

$$\operatorname{Ker}(d)^{k}/\operatorname{Im}(d)^{i}$$

on the diagonal i + k = N. Those principles are

$$(d)^{N} = (d)^{k+i} = (d)^{k+k'+i+i'} = 0, \quad \text{for } k', i' \ge 0$$
 (1)

and

$$\begin{cases} A^{j} = \operatorname{Ker}(d)^{N} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(d)^{N-1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(d) \\ A^{j} = \operatorname{Im}(d)^{0} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(d) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(d)^{2} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(d)^{N-1}. \end{cases}$$
(2)

That is, we get cohomologies located in the region  $i + k \ge N$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(C^{\bullet}, d^{\bullet})$  be an *N*-complex. For any integers *j* and (i, k) satisfying  $i + k \ge N$  and 0 < i, k < N, the subquotient object of  $C^j$ 

$$_{i}\mathrm{H}_{k}^{j}(C^{\bullet}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{d})^{k}/\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d})^{i}$$

is said to be the *j*-th cohomology of (i, k)-type of  $(C^{\bullet}, d^{\bullet})$ .

**Remark 2.2.** For an *N*-complex  $(C^{\bullet}, d^{\bullet})$ , we have  $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  cohomologies in the following triangular region:



The cohomologies in the triangular region in (3) are obtained from the following diagram:



where  $\tilde{1}$  and  $\tilde{i}$  in (4) are induced by an identity morphism and a monomorphism respectively. For Im(d)<sup>*i*</sup> in (4), there are (i + 1) morphisms into Ker(d)<sup>*k*</sup> where  $k = N - 1, N - (i - 1), \dots, N - 1, N$ . Namely, we get the cohomologies of  $(i, N - i), \dots, (i, N)$ -types, which appear horizontally at the *i*-th level of (3). For Ker(d)<sup>*k*</sup>, we get the cohomologies appearing vertically in (3) at the *k*-th level. Cohomologies treated in [9], [4], [11], [17], [3], [5] are located only on the diagonal i + k = N in (3).

We define a useful functor which makes an N-complex into a 2-complex.

**Definition 2.3.** For an *N*-complex  $(C^{\bullet}, d^{\bullet}) \in Ob(Co^{N}(\mathscr{A}))$ , we define  $\delta_{(k)}^{j} : C^{j} \to C^{j+k}$  as

$$\delta_{(k)}^j = (\mathbf{d})^k : C^j \to C^{j+k}.$$

And we consider a sequence

 $\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_{(i')}^{j-i-i'}} C^{j-i} \xrightarrow{\delta_{(i)}^{j-i}} C^j \xrightarrow{\delta_{(k)}^{j}} C^{j+k} \xrightarrow{\delta_{(k')}^{j+k}} \cdots$ 

where  $i + i' \ge N, i + k \ge N, k + k' \ge N, \ldots$  Then the sequence above is a complex  $(C^{\bullet}, \delta^{\bullet}_{(*)}) \in Co(\mathscr{A})$ . We call this assignment from  $Co^{N}(\mathscr{A})$  to  $Co(\mathscr{A})$  the second bundling functor  $b : Co^{N}(\mathscr{A}) \dashrightarrow Co(\mathscr{A})$ . Moreover in the case that  $i + i' = N, i + k = N, k + k' = N, \ldots$ , such a functor is called the standard bundling functor.

At the later half of this section, we will define the first bundling functor which makes not only an *N*-complex, but an arbitrary sequence into a 2-complex (see Definition 2.13).

#### Proposition 2.4. Let

$$0 \to A^{\bullet} \to B^{\bullet} \to C^{\bullet} \to 0 \tag{5}$$

be a short exact sequence of N-complexes. Then for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and any (i,k) satisfying  $i + k \ge N$ , there is the induced long exact sequence;

$$\cdots \longrightarrow_{i'} \mathrm{H}_{i}^{j-i}(A^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow_{i'} \mathrm{H}_{i}^{j-i}(B^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow_{i'} \mathrm{H}_{i}^{j-i}(C^{\bullet})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial^{j-i}} {}_{i} \mathrm{H}_{k}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow_{i} \mathrm{H}_{k}^{j}(B^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow_{i} \mathrm{H}_{k}^{j}(C^{\bullet})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial^{j}} {}_{k} \mathrm{H}_{k'}^{j+k}(A^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow_{k} \mathrm{H}_{k'}^{j+k}(B^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow_{k} \mathrm{H}_{k'}^{j+k}(C^{\bullet})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial^{j+k}} \cdots$$

where  $i + i' \ge N, i + k \ge N, k + k' \ge N, ...$ 

*Proof.* By the second bundling functor in Definition 2.3, the above assertion becomes the usual long exact sequence of cohomologies induced by the short exact sequence of 2-complexes. That is, by the second bundling functor b, the short exact sequence (5) of N-complexes becomes the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathsf{b} A^\bullet \to \mathsf{b} B^\bullet \to \mathsf{b} C^\bullet \to 0$$

of 2-complexes. Since **b** is an exact functor, we get the long exact sequence corresponding to  $i = i' = k = k' = \cdots = 1$ .

A similar result to Proposition 2.4 can be found in [17].

**Remark 2.5.** The notion of homotopy for *N*-complexes appears in [11], [17]. That is, morphisms  $\phi^{\bullet}$  and  $\psi^{\bullet} : A^{\bullet} \to B^{\bullet}$  of *N*-complexes are said to be homotopic when there exists a morphism  $s^{\bullet} : A^{\bullet} \to B^{\bullet}[-(N-1)]$  satisfying

$$\phi - \psi = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (\mathbf{d}_B)^{N-1-i} \circ s \circ (\mathbf{d}_A)^i$$

for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As proved in loc. cit., two homotopic morphisms induce the same map on cohomologies in the diagonal region. However, we remark that this is not the case in the whole triangular region.

Next we define functors which construct an *N*-complex from an arbitrary sequence of objects and morphisms.

**Definition 2.6.** We define functors  $I^{-N}$  and  $K^N$  from the category  $Ur(\mathscr{A})$  of sequences of objects and morphisms of an abelian category  $\mathscr{A}$  to the category  $Co^N(\mathscr{A})$  of *N*-complexes as follows. For  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet}) \in Ob(Ur(\mathscr{A}))$ ,

$$\mathbf{I}^{-N}(A^{\bullet}) = A^{\bullet}/\mathrm{Im}(f)^{N} = \left(A^{j}/\mathrm{Im}(f)^{N}\right)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}^{N}(A^{\bullet}) = \mathbf{Ker}(f)^{N} = (\mathbf{Ker}(f)^{N})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

Then we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.7.** For  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet}) \in Ob(Ur(\mathscr{A}))$ ,  $I^{-N}(A^{\bullet})$  and  $K^{N}(A^{\bullet})$  are N-complexes.

**Lemma 2.8.** Functors  $I^{-N}$  and  $K^N$  are right exact and left exact functors from  $Ur(\mathscr{A})$  to  $Co^N(\mathscr{A})$ , respectively.

Proofs for these lemmas are trivial.

**Remark 2.9.** Let  $F : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$  be a functor of abelian categories  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}$ . Then the following functors are induced:

$$\operatorname{Ur}(F):\operatorname{Ur}(\mathscr{A})\leadsto\operatorname{Ur}(\mathscr{B})$$

and

$$\operatorname{Co}^{N}(F) : \operatorname{Co}^{N}(\mathscr{A}) \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{Co}^{N}(\mathscr{B}).$$

**Example 2.10.** The following is typical of the case N = 2. Let  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet})$  be the sequence of free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules:

 $A^{\bullet}:\cdots \xrightarrow{4} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{4} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{4} \cdots$ 

Then we have

$$\mathrm{I}^{-2}(A^{\bullet}):\cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{4}} \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\overline{2}} \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\overline{4}} \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\overline{2}} \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\overline{4}} \cdots.$$

And clearly  $K^2(A^{\bullet}) = 0$ , since  $Ker(f)^2 = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $I^{-2}(A^{\bullet})$  is also trivial. See Remark 2.12 (2) below. See also [6], §1.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet})$  be an object of  $Ur(\mathcal{A})$ . Then for the N-complexes  $I^{-N}(A^{\bullet})$  and  $K^{N}(A^{\bullet})$ , there exists an isomorphism of cohomologies

$$_{i}\mathbf{H}_{k}^{j}(\mathbf{K}^{N}(A^{\bullet})) \xrightarrow{\approx} _{i}\mathbf{H}_{k}^{j}(\mathbf{I}^{-N}(A^{\bullet})).$$

Such an isomorphic object in  $\mathscr{A}$  is said to be the *j*-th urcohomology of (i, k)-type evaluated at  $A^{\bullet}$  denoted as  $_{i}h_{k}^{j}(A^{\bullet})$ .

#### Remark 2.12.

- (1) In [13] and [14], the terminology precohomology is used instead of urcohomology for N = 2. We consider that "ur-" meaning "primitive" is better suited for this invariant. This is partly because, as provided by Deligne, an urcohomology fails to be half-exact (see Remark 2.15).
- (2) It is well known that the urcohomology is trivial for the fake complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi} S^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\psi} S^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\varphi} S^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\psi} \cdots$$

of periodic 2 in the matrix factorization of a regular local ring S in [6], [19].

(3) A summarizing commutative diagram can be given as



To prove Theorem 2.11, we define the following complexifying functors.

**Definition 2.13.** For a sequence  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet}) \in Ob(Ur(\mathscr{A}))$ , we define morphisms in the same way as Definition 2.3:

$$\delta_{(k)}^{j} = (f)^{k} : A^{j} \to A^{j+k},$$
  
$$\delta_{(N-k)}^{j+k} = (f)^{N-k} : A^{j+k} \to A^{j+N},$$

We have  $\delta_{(N-k)}^{j+k} \circ \delta_{(k)}^{j} = (f)^{N-k} \circ (f)^{k} = (f)^{N} : A^{j} \to A^{j+N}$ . Then the *N*-complex  $\mathbf{K}^{N}(A^{\bullet}) = \operatorname{Ker}(f)^{N}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{I}^{-N}(A^{\bullet}) = A^{\bullet}/\operatorname{Im}(f)^{N}$ ) becomes the usual complex (i.e., 2-complex)  $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta)^{2}$  (resp.  $A^{\bullet}/\operatorname{Im}(\delta)^{2}$ ). We denote the assignments from  $\operatorname{Ur}(\mathscr{A})$  to  $\operatorname{Co}^{N}(\mathscr{A})$  by

$${}^{\prime}\mathrm{K}^{2}(A) : (A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet}) \rightsquigarrow (\mathrm{Ker}(\delta)^{2}),$$
$${}^{\prime}\mathrm{I}^{-2}(A) : (A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet}) \rightsquigarrow (A^{\bullet}/\mathrm{Im}(\delta)^{2}),$$

respectively. The complexifying functors  ${}^{\prime}K^{2}$  and  ${}^{\prime}I^{-2}$  are said to be the first bundling functors from  $Ur(\mathscr{A})$  to  $Co^{2}(\mathscr{A}) = Co(\mathscr{A})$ .

Proof of Theorem 2.11. For  $(A^{\bullet}, f^{\bullet}) \in Ob(Ur(\mathscr{A}))$ , we can regard  ${}_{i}H_{k}^{j}(K^{N}(A^{\bullet}))$ and  ${}_{i}H_{k}^{j}(I^{-N}(A^{\bullet}))$  as  ${}_{1}H_{1}^{j}('K^{2}(A^{\bullet})) = H^{j}('K^{2}(A^{\bullet}))$  and  ${}_{1}H_{1}^{j}('I^{-2}(A^{\bullet})) = H^{j}('I^{-2}(A^{\bullet}))$  by the first bundling functors in Definition 2.13. Then the assertion becomes the self-duality theorem in [13], p. 67.

**Remark 2.14.** Theorem 2.11 can be proved without the bundling functors as follows. We will give the proof using the exact embedding theorem of an abelian category  $\mathscr{A}$  whose objects form a set (i.e.,  $\mathscr{A}$  is small) into the category of abelian groups (see [16] for details). We also use  $\bar{x}$  and [x] in what will follow for the element in a subquotient object (like a cohomological object) and in a quotient object respectively. For the morphism of the composition

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ker}(f)^N \xrightarrow{\iota} A^j \xrightarrow{\pi} A^j / \operatorname{Im}(f)^N \to 0,$$

the cohomologies taken in  $Co^N(\mathscr{A})$  induce the morphism

$$_{i}\mathrm{H}_{k}^{j}(\mathrm{K}^{N}(A^{\bullet})) \xrightarrow{\overline{\pi \circ i}} {_{i}\mathrm{H}_{k}^{j}(\mathrm{I}^{-N}(A^{\bullet}))}$$

in  $\mathscr{A}$ . We will prove that  $\overline{\pi \circ \iota}$  is an isomorphism.

Suppose that  $\overline{\pi \circ i}(\overline{x}) = \overline{0}$  in  ${}_{i}H_{k}^{j}(I^{-N}(A^{\bullet}))$ , where  $\overline{x} \in {}_{i}H_{k}^{j}(K^{N}(A^{\bullet}))$ . That is, for  $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(f|_{K})^{k}$ , we have in  $A^{j}/\operatorname{Im}(f)^{N}$ 

$$\pi(\iota(x)) = [x] \in \operatorname{Im}([f])^{i}, \quad [x] \in A^{j}/\operatorname{Im}(f)^{N},$$

where  $([f])^i : A^{j-i}/\operatorname{Im}(f)^N \to A^j/\operatorname{Im}(f)^N$  in  $\operatorname{Co}^N(\mathscr{A})$ . Therefore, there exists  $[y] \in A^{j-i}/\operatorname{Im}(f)^N$  satisfying  $([f])^i([y]) = [x]$ , and we have the equality  $[(f)^i(y)] = [x]$  in  $A^j/\operatorname{Im}(f)^N$ .



Then there are y and y' in  $A^{j-i}$  satisfying  $x = (f)^{i}(y) + (f)^{i}(y')$  since

$$x - (f)^{i}(y) \in \operatorname{Im}(f)^{N} \subset \operatorname{Im}(f)^{i}.$$

We need to show that  $y + y' \in \text{Ker}(f)^N \subset A^{j-i}$ . For i + k = N, compute  $([f]^k \circ [f]^i)(\pi(y + y'))$  as

$$[(f)]^{k+i}([y+y']) = [(f)^{k+i}(y+y')] = \pi((f)^{k+i}(y+y')) = [0]$$

in  $A^{i+k}/\text{Im}(f)^N$  in (6). Namely we have

$$(f)^{N}(y+y') = (f)^{k+i}(y+y') \in \operatorname{Ker}(f)^{N} = \operatorname{Im} \iota = \operatorname{Ker} \pi.$$

**Remark 2.15.** The half-exactness of urcohomologies as claimed in [13] does not hold. The following counter-example is given by P. Deligne. For the exact sequence in  $Ur(\mathscr{A})$ :



the induced urcohomology sequence becomes  $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ .

**Remark 2.16.** The counter-example given in [13] indicating the fact that a urcohomology sequence is not an exact connected sequence and the counter-example in Remark 2.15 are due to the only left exactness and right exactness of  $K^N$  and  $I^{-N}$ , respectively.

### 3. The vanishing of (i, k)-type cohomologies

In Section 2, we introduced the new cohomological objects for N-complexes, which are located in the triangular region. In this section, we investigate the rela-

tion between the vanishing of (i, k)-type cohomologies in the triangular region and an *N*-exactness of *N*-complex. The definition of an *N*-exactness is introduced by Kapranov [9].

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A^{\bullet}$  be an *N*-complex. Then we say that  $A^{\bullet}$  is *N*-exact at *j* if all the cohomologies in the diagonal region at *j* vanish. That is,  ${}_{i}H_{k}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$  for any (i,k) satisfying i + k = N and 0 < i, k < N. And we also say that  $A^{\bullet}$  is *N*-exact if it is *N*-exact for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** If an *N*-complex  $A^{\bullet}$  satisfies  $_{N-i}H_{i+1}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$  for any  $1 \le i \le N-2$ , then  $A^{\bullet}$  is (2-)exact at *j*, that is, Im(d) = Ker(d).

*Proof.* From the diagram (3), we get that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \stackrel{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} & _{N-i}\mathrm{H}_{i+1}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) & \stackrel{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} & \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \\ & &$$

for any  $1 \le i \le N-2$ . By the hypothesis, we have  $_{N-i}H_{i+1}^j(A^{\bullet}) = 0$ . Combined with the diagram above, this implies that

$${}_{N-i}\mathrm{H}_{i}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = {}_{N-i}\mathrm{H}_{i+1}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = {}_{N-i-1}\mathrm{H}_{i+1}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0.$$

Then, we have the following equality for any  $1 \le i \le N - 2$ :

$$\operatorname{Ker}(d)^{i} = \operatorname{Im}(d)^{N-i} = \operatorname{Ker}(d)^{i+1} = \operatorname{Im}(d)^{N-i-1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}(d) = \operatorname{Ker}(d)^2 = \dots = \operatorname{Ker}(d)^{(N-2)+1} = \operatorname{Im}(d)^{N-(N-2)-1} = \operatorname{Im}(d),$$

 $\square$ 

 $\square$ 

completing the proof.

As a corollary, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.3.** If an N-complex  $A^{\bullet}$  satisfies  ${}_{i}H_{k}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and (i,k) satisfying  $i + k \ge N$  and 0 < i, k < N, then  $A^{\bullet}$  becomes a (2-)exact complex.

Proof. The assertion holds by Lemma 3.2.

In the proof of Lemma 3.2, we show that the vanishing condition of  $_{N-i}H_{i+1}^{j}(A^{\bullet})$  induces  $_{N-i}H_{i}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$  and  $_{N-i-1}H_{i+1}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$ . Using this argument, we have the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** An N-complex  $A^{\bullet}$  is a (2-)exact complex at j if  $_{N-1}H_{N-1}^{j}(A^{\bullet})$  vanishes.

From Proposition 3.4, we expect that some vanishing condition of a (i, k)-type cohomology induces the *M*-exactness for some M < N. Namely, we have the following theorem generalizing Proposition 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $A^{\bullet}$  be an N-complex and let h be an integer satisfying  $0 < h \le N-2$ . If one of the (i,k)-type cohomologies  ${}_{i}H^{j}_{k}(A^{\bullet})$  where i + k = N + h vanishes for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $A^{\bullet}$  is an (N - h)-exact complex.

*Proof.* Let *h* be an integer satisfying  $0 < h \le N - 2$ . Suppose that  $A^{\bullet}$  is an *N*-complex satisfying  ${}_{i}H_{k}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$  for any *j* and i + k = N + h. From the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$_{i'}\mathrm{H}^{j}_{k'}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$$

for any  $i' \leq i$  and  $k' \leq k$  satisfying  $N \leq i' + k' \leq N + h$ . Then, we have the equality

$$\operatorname{Im}(d)^{N-k} = \operatorname{Ker}(d)^{N-i}$$
(7)

for any j. We note that (N - k) + (N - i) = N - h. We will show that for an N-complex  $A^{\bullet}$  satisfying (7) for any j,  $A^{\bullet}$  is an (N - h)-complex. To show this, we need the following trivial lemma.

**Lemma.** If an N-complex  $A^{\bullet}$  satisfies  $\text{Im}(d)^i \subseteq \text{Ker}(d)^k$  at any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where i + k = M < N, then  $A^{\bullet}$  is an M-complex.

(*Proof of Lemma*). Let (i',k') be integers satisfying i' + k' = M, and  $(i',k') \neq (i,k)$ . First, we assume that i' < i and set that l = i - i'. For any  $x \in \text{Im}(d)^{i'}$ , there exists  $y \in A^{j-i'}$  to satisfy  $(d)^{i'}(y) = x$ . Then,

$$(\mathbf{d})^{k'}(x) = (\mathbf{d})^{k'+i'}(y) = (\mathbf{d})^{k'-l} \circ (\mathbf{d})^{i'+l}(y) = (\mathbf{d})^k \circ (\mathbf{d})^i(y) = 0.$$

The same argument is valid in the case i' > i.

To complete the proof, it is enough to show the (N - h)-exactness of  $A^{\bullet}$ . However, since  $_{N-k}H^{j}_{N-i}(A^{\bullet}) = 0$  for all j and by [9], Proposition 1.5, the proof follows.

In general, the converse of Lemma 3.2, Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 do not hold. However, if we assume the *N*-exactness of an *N*-complex, the converse is also true. That is, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $A^{\bullet}$  be an N-complex. We assume that  $A^{\bullet}$  is N-exact at j. If  $A^{\bullet}$  is (N - h)-exact at j, then all of the (i,k)-type cohomologies  $_{i}H_{k}^{j}(A^{\bullet})$  at j where i + k = N + h vanish.

*Proof.* Let (i,k) be positive integers satisfying i + k = N + h, and set k' = N - iand i' = N - k. Then we have i' + k' = (N - k) + (N - i') = 2N - (N + h) = N - h. Since  $A^{\bullet}$  is N-exact and (N - h)-exact at j, we have

$$_{i}\mathrm{H}_{k'}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = _{i'}\mathrm{H}_{k}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = _{i'}\mathrm{H}_{k'}^{j}(A^{\bullet}) = 0.$$

Then, we have the following equality

$$\operatorname{Im}(d)^{i} = \operatorname{Ker}(d)^{k'} = \operatorname{Im}(d)^{i'} = \operatorname{Ker}(d)^{k}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof.

**Example 3.7.** We consider the following fake complex of free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules of periodic 3:

$$A^{ullet}:\cdots \xrightarrow{c} A^{j-1} \xrightarrow{a} A^{j} \xrightarrow{b} A^{j+1} \xrightarrow{c} A^{j+2} \xrightarrow{a} \cdots$$

where a, b, c are non zero elements in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $A^j = \mathbb{Z}$  for all j. Then we have a 3-complex

$$\mathbf{I}^{-3}(A^{\bullet}): \cdots \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} \bar{A}^{j-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} \bar{A}^{j} \xrightarrow{b} \bar{A}^{j+1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} \bar{A}^{j+2} \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} \cdots,$$

where  $\bar{A}^{j} = \mathbb{Z}/(abc)$ . We note that  $I^{-3}(A^{\bullet})$  is exact as a 3-complex. Then we have the (2, 2)-type cohomologies as

$${}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{2}^{j}(\mathrm{I}^{-3}(A^{\bullet})) = \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{bc}: \overline{A}^{j} \to \overline{A}^{j+2}) / \mathrm{Im}(\overline{ac}: \overline{A}^{j-2} \to \overline{A}^{j}).$$

It is easy to see that  ${}_{2}H_{2}^{j}(I^{-3}(A^{\bullet})) = 0$  if and only if  $c \equiv 1 \mod bc$ . Therefore,  $I^{-3}(A^{\bullet})$  is (2–)exact at *j* if and only if  $c \equiv 1 \mod bc$  by Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6.

Acknowledgments. G. K. acknowledges that a letter dated 23 February 2007 containing the counter-example in Remark 2.15 was received from Prof. P. Deligne. He is thankful for the knowledge and owes an apology to the reader of [13]. He also expresses his gratitude to Prof. F. van Oystaeyen for giving an opportunity to scheme the theory of *N*-complexes during a visit at University of Antwerp and also to Prof. S. Silvestrov for an invitation to Lund University to give a talk on *N*-complexes and urcohomologies in May, 2007. We also thank Prof. H. Nakamura (Okayama University) and referees for important and useful comments.

Dr. Daniel Larsson assisted with the typesetting for this paper and helped the authors in preparing the references. Dr. Larsson also informed G. K. of the definition of an *N*-complex.

#### References

- R. Berger, M. Dubois-Violette, and M. Wambst, Homogeneous algebras. J. Algebra 261 (2003), 172–185. Zbl 1061.16034 MR 1967160
- [2] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1956. Zbl 0075.24305 MR 0077480
- [3] C. Cibils, A. Solotar, and R. Wisbauer, N-complexes as functors, amplitude cohomology and fusion rules. Comm. Math. Phys. 272 (2007), 837–849. Zbl 1124.18008 MR 2304477
- [4] M. Dubois-Violette,  $d^N = 0$ : generalized homology. *K-Theory* **14** (1998), 371–404. Zbl 0918.18008 MR 1641559
- [5] M. Dubois-Violette, Tensor product of N-complexes and generalization of graded differential algebras. Preprint 2009. arXiv:0910.3817
- [6] D. Eisenbud, Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 260 (1980), 35–64. Zbl 0444.13006 MR 570778
- [7] S. I. Gelfand and Y. I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1996. Zbl 0855.18001 MR 1438306
- [8] B. Iversen, Cohomology of sheaves. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986. MR 0842190
- [9] M. Kapranov, On the q-analog of homological algebra. Preprint 1996. arXiv:q-alg/9611005
- [10] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 332, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2006. Zbl 1118.18001 MR 2182076
- [11] C. Kassel and M. Wambst, Algèbre homologique des N-complexes et homologie de Hochschild aux racines de l'unité. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 34 (1998), 91–114. Zbl 0992.18010 MR 1617062
- [12] G. Kato, Kohomolojii No Kokoro. Iwanami-Shoten, Tokyo 2003.
- [13] G. Kato, *The heart of cohomology*. Springer, Dordrecht 2006. Zbl 1101.18001 MR 2268372
- [14] G. Kato, On the notion of precohomology. *Portugal. Math.* 43 (1985/86), 307–316.
   Zbl 0597.18010 MR 886457
- [15] G. Kato and D. C. Struppa, *Fundamentals of algebraic microlocal analysis*. Monographs Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. 217, Marcel Dekker, New York 1999. Zbl 0924.35001 MR 1703357
- [16] S. Lubkin, Cohomology of completions. North-Holland Math. Stud. 42, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980. Zbl 0454.18019 MR 0589714

- [17] A. Tikaradze, Homological constructions on N-complexes. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 176 (2002), 213–222. Zbl 1019.18009 MR 1933716
- [18] C. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1994. Zbl 0797.18001 MR 1269324
- [19] Y. Yoshino, Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990. Zbl 0745.13003 MR 1079937

Received December 12, 2009; revised April 8, 2010

N. Hiramatsu, Department of Mathematics, Okayama University, 700-8530, Okayama, Japan

E-mail: naoya\_h@math.okayama-u.ac.jp

G. C. Kato, Mathematics Department, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407, U.S.A.

E-mail: gkato@calpoly.edu