DOI 10.4171/PM/1880

# Global existence for two regularized MHD models in three space-dimension

Davide Catania and Paolo Secchi

(Communicated by João Paulo Dias)

Abstract. The global existence of solutions for the 3D incompressible Euler equations is a major open problem. For the 3D *inviscid* MHD system, the global existence is an open problem as well. Our main concern in this paper is to understand which kind of regularization, of the form of  $\alpha$ -regularization or partial viscous regularization, is capable to provide the global in time solvability for the 3D inviscid MHD system of equations. We consider two different regularized magnetohydrodynamic models for an incompressible fluid. In both cases, we provide a global existence result for the solution of the system.

# Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 35Q35; Secondary 76D03.

Keywords. Magnetohydrodynamics, MHD-a model, Bardina model, regularizing MHD, turbulence models, incompressible fluid.

# 1. Introduction

The basic system of equations that one can consider in magnetohydrodynamics is obtained combining Maxwell's equations, which rule the magnetic field, with the Navier–Stokes equation, which governs the fluid motion; this system has form:

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_t + (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{v} - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{B} + \nabla \bigg(\boldsymbol{p} + \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{B}|^2\bigg) = \nu \Delta \boldsymbol{v}, \qquad (1a)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_t + (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{B} - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{v} = \mu \Delta \boldsymbol{B},\tag{1b}
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0,\tag{1c}
$$

$$
(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{B})|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{B}_0), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n = 2,3,
$$
 (1d)

where the fluid velocity field  $v(x, t)$ , the magnetic field  $B(x, t)$  and the pressure  $p(x, t)$  are the unknowns, while  $v \ge 0$  is the constant kinematic viscosity and  $\mu \geq 0$  is the constant magnetic diffusivity. In this case, a homogeneous incompressible fluid is considered.

This problem has been deeply studied. If  $v > 0$  and  $u > 0$ , then there exists a unique global solution in time when  $n = 2$ , while for  $n = 3$  the problem is still open, as discussed in [13].

When  $n = 2$ ,  $v = 0$  and  $u = 1$ , local existence and small data global existence results have been established by Kozono [10] for bounded domains and by Casella–Secchi–Trebeschi [3] for unbounded domains.

When  $n = 2$ ,  $\nu = 1$  and  $\mu = 0$ , there is a regularity criterion for the solution in terms of **B** provided by Jiu–Niu [9], but the problem in its generality is still open.

As pointed out in [12] (see also the suggested bibliography), at the moment, there is no possibility to compute the turbulent behavior of fluids neither analytically nor via direct numerical simulation (this task is prohibitively expensive and disputable as well due to sensitivity of perturbation errors in the initial data). Hence, one can try to focus only on certain statistical features of the physical phenomenon through the employment of suitable models. This is sufficient in many practical applications.

Because of the success of Navier–Stokes-a models in producing solutions in excellent agreement with empirical data for a wide range of large Reynolds numbers and flow in infinite channels or pipes, it is natural to consider such a kind of regularization also for magnetohydrodynamic models.

In  $\alpha$  models, a function (or several functions) is substituted in one or more of its occurrences with a regularized function; more precisely, the function  $v$  is substituted with  $u$ , where

$$
v=(1-\alpha^2\Delta)u,\qquad \alpha>0.
$$

This substitution is performed in nonlinear terms to make the nonlinearity milder, so that the solution becomes smoother.

Linshiz–Titi [12] have suggested several models. For instance, filtering only the velocity field, one can consider the following model:

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_t + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \nabla u_j - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} + \nabla \bigg( p + \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{B}|^2 \bigg) = v \Delta \boldsymbol{v}, \qquad (2a)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_t + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{B} - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = \mu \Delta \boldsymbol{B},
$$
\n(2b)

$$
v = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)u, \qquad \alpha > 0,
$$
 (2c)

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \tag{2d}
$$

$$
(\bm{v},\bm{B})|_{t=0} = (\bm{v}_0,\bm{B}_0). \tag{2e}
$$

In this case, Linshiz–Titi [12] have shown a global existence result in a threedimensional periodic box when  $v > 0$  and  $\mu > 0$ , while Fan–Ozawa [8] have achieved the same result in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for both  $(v=1, \mu = 0)$  and  $(v = 0, \mu = 1).$ 

Another model is the so-called simplified Bardina model, which is studied by Cao–Lunasin–Titi in [2].

In [4], the following magnetohydrodynamic-a model, derived from Bardina model for incompressible fluids, is considered:

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_t + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{B} + \nabla p = v\Delta \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2,
$$
 (3a)

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_t + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{B} - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = \mu \Delta \boldsymbol{B} \qquad \text{in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2,
$$
 (3b)

$$
v = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)u, \qquad \alpha > 0 \qquad \text{in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{3c}
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \qquad \text{in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2,
$$
 (3d)

$$
(\bm{v},\bm{B})|_{t=0} = (\bm{v}_0,\bm{B}_0) \qquad \qquad \bm{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{3e}
$$

Once again, a global existence result is obtained in case  $(v = 1, \mu = 0)$  and  $f \equiv 0$ .

In [6], a double viscous version ( $\mu$ ,  $\nu > 0$ ) of the previous model is considered. The case of a periodic box in space-dimension three is handled and global existence of solutions is achieved. Moreover, this result is complemented by the proof of the existence of a global attractor, whose fractal dimension is estimated from above. In [5], other MHD $\alpha$  models are considered.

It is well known that the global existence of solutions for the 3D incompressible Euler equations is a major open problem (see [1], [7]). For the 3D inviscid MHD system (i.e. (1) with  $v = \mu = 0$ ), the global existence is an open problem as well (obviously, because the Euler equations correspond to the particular case  $B\equiv 0$ ).

Our main concern in this paper is to understand which kind of regularization, of the form of a-regularization or partial viscous regularization, is capable to provide the global in time solvability for the 3D *inviscid* MHD system of equations.

We will consider two different models.

First model. We begin by considering the case without viscosity nor diffusivity, but with regularizations both in the velocity  $v$  and the magnetic field  $\bm{B}$ :

$$
v_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u - (b \cdot \nabla)b + \nabla p = 0 \qquad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \qquad (4a)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_t + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{b} - (\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0} \qquad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \qquad (4b)
$$

$$
\mathbf{v} = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{B} = (1 - \beta^2 \Delta)\mathbf{b}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0 \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \tag{4c}
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0 \qquad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \qquad (4d)
$$

$$
(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{B})|_{t=0}=(\mathbf{v}_0,\mathbf{B}_0) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x}\in\Omega. \tag{4e}
$$

Here,  $\Omega = [0, L]^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and we assume periodic conditions on the initial data so that the corresponding solutions are space-periodic (this implies, in particular, that integrating by parts border terms disappear). Moreover, we assume that the initial data have zero mean, so that also the solutions have zero spatial mean (this simplifies some computations). Under these conditions, we have the following global existence results.

**Theorem 1.1** (Weak global existence for the first model). Let us set

$$
\mathbf{u}_0 = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{-1} \mathbf{v}_0, \quad \mathbf{b}_0 = (1 - \beta^2 \Delta)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_0,
$$

and assume that  $u_0, b_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$ .

Then, problem (4) has a unique global solution  $(u, b)$  such that

$$
u, b \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; H^{1}(\Omega)).
$$

The couple  $(u, b)$  is a weak solution of (4) in the sense of (8) (see Section 2).

**Theorem 1.2** (Strong global existence for the first model). Let us assume that the initial data satisfy  $v_0, B_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla \cdot v_0 = \nabla \cdot B_0 = 0$ .

Then, problem (4) has a unique global solution  $(v, B)$  such that, for each time  $T > 0$ , one has

$$
v, B \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{2}(\Omega)).
$$

These results are shown in Section 2. Let us note that this model is particularly interesting since it preserves three physical quantities, that is to say the energy  $E^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (v(x) \cdot u(x) + B(x) \cdot b(x)) dx$ , the cross helicity  $H_C^{\alpha,\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u(x) \cdot b(x)) dx$  $\mathcal{L} = 2 \int_{\Omega} (v(x) - u(x)) dx$ , which is absolutely rea-<br>+  $\alpha^2 \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla b(x) dx$  (here we are assuming  $\beta = \alpha$ , which is absolutely reasonable) and the magnetic helicity  $H_M^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{2}$ .<br>ا  $\Omega$  $\begin{pmatrix} a(x) \cdot b(x) + \beta^2 \nabla a(x) \cdot \nabla b(x) \end{pmatrix} dx$ where *a* is a vector potential, so that  $\mathbf{b} = \nabla \times \mathbf{a}$ . Moreover, as  $\alpha, \beta \to 0$ , these quantities reduce to the corresponding conserved ideal quadratic invariants of the MHD equations.

Second model. Then, we consider the following model, with magnetic diffusivity  $\mu > 0$  but no kinematic viscosity, and regularization only in the velocity v, while **B** is the magnetic field:

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_t + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{B} + \nabla p = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega,
$$
 (5a)

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_t + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{B} - (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = \mu \Delta \boldsymbol{B} \qquad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega,
$$
 (5b)

 $v = (1 - \alpha^2)$ in  $[0, T] \times \Omega$ , (5c)

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  in  $[0, T] \times \Omega$ , (5d)

 $(v, B)|_{t=0} = (v_0, B_0)$   $x \in \Omega.$  (5e)

The ideal version of system (5) conserves the energy and the magnetic helicity, but at the moment we are unable to find an invariant quantity corresponding to cross helicity.

Once again, we take  $\Omega = [0, L]^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and assume periodic and zero mean initial data, so that the corresponding solutions are space-periodic and of zero spatial mean. Under the aforementioned conditions, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.3** (Strong global existence for the second model). As to the initial data, we assume that they satisfy  $v_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $B_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\nabla \cdot v_0 = \nabla \cdot B_0 = 0$ .

Then, problem (5) has a unique global solution  $(v, B)$  such that, for each time  $T > 0$ , one has

$$
\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)), \qquad \boldsymbol{B} \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}(0,T;\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)) \cap \mathrm{L}^2(0,T;\mathrm{H}^2(\Omega)).
$$

This result is shown in Section 3.

## 2. First model

Here and in the next section, we will make use of the following identities, which hold provided  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} = 0$ :

$$
\int (f \cdot \nabla) g \cdot h \, dx = - \int (f \cdot \nabla) h \cdot g \, dx \tag{6}
$$

$$
\int (f \cdot \nabla) g \cdot g \, dx = 0. \tag{7}
$$

Moreover, to simplify notations, we set  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ .

As to the local existence and uniqueness of a weak solution, let us note that we can restate system (4) in the form

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\binom{u}{b}=F(u,b)\doteq\binom{(1-\alpha^2\Delta)^{-1}[\mathscr{B}(b,b)-\mathscr{B}(u,u)]}{(1-\beta^2\Delta)^{-1}[\mathscr{B}(b,u)-\mathscr{B}(u,b)]},\tag{8}
$$

where  $\mathscr{B}(f,g) = P[f \cdot \nabla g]$ , P denoting the Helmholtz–Leray projection over the divergence free functions of  $L^2$ . Then  $(u, b)$  will be a weak solution of (4) provided that it is a solution of (8).

We want to prove that the operator F is locally Lipschitz in  $H^1$  equipped with the scalar product

$$
\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left( (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{1/2} \mathbf{u}, (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{1/2} \mathbf{w} \right)_{L^2} + \left( (1 - \beta^2 \Delta)^{1/2} \mathbf{b}, (1 - \beta^2 \Delta)^{1/2} \mathbf{d} \right)_{L^2}.
$$

We have

$$
F_1 \doteq ||\mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_1) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_2, \boldsymbol{b}_2) + \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2)||_{H^{-1}} = ||\mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_1 - \boldsymbol{b}_2) + \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_1 - \boldsymbol{b}_2, \boldsymbol{b}_2) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1 - \boldsymbol{u}_2) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_1 - \boldsymbol{u}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2)||_{H^{-1}}
$$

and also

$$
\|\mathscr{B}(f,g)\|_{H^{-1}} \leq \sup_{\|\nabla h\|=1} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{T}} (f \cdot \nabla g) \cdot h \Big| = \sup_{\|\nabla h\|=1} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{T}} (f \cdot \nabla h) \cdot g \Big|
$$
  

$$
\leq \|\nabla h\| \|f\|_{L^6} \|g\|_{L^3} \leq C \|\nabla f\| \|g\|^{1/2} \|\nabla g\|^{1/2}
$$
  

$$
\leq C \|\nabla f\| \|\nabla g\|,
$$

having used the Hölder inequality,

$$
||f||_{L^6} \leq C||\nabla f||, \qquad ||g||_{L^3} \leq C||g||^{1/2}||\nabla g||^{1/2}
$$

and the Poincaré inequality. Thus we easily get

$$
F_1 \leq C(||\nabla u_1|| + ||\nabla u_2|| + ||\nabla b_1|| + ||\nabla b_2||)(||\nabla (u_1 - u_2)|| + ||\nabla (b_1 - b_2)||).
$$

Similarly,

$$
F_2 \doteq ||\mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_1) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2) + \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_2, \boldsymbol{b}_2)||_{\mathrm{H}^{-1}} = ||\mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1 - \boldsymbol{u}_2) + \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{b}_1 - \boldsymbol{b}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_1 - \boldsymbol{b}_2) - \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{u}_1 - \boldsymbol{u}_2, \boldsymbol{b}_2)||_{\mathrm{H}^{-1}} \leq C(||\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_1|| + ||\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_2|| + ||\nabla \boldsymbol{b}_1|| + ||\nabla \boldsymbol{b}_2||)(||\nabla (\boldsymbol{u}_1 - \boldsymbol{u}_2)|| + ||\nabla (\boldsymbol{b}_1 - \boldsymbol{b}_2)||).
$$

Hence F is locally Lipschitz, using that  $(1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{-1}$  is an isomorphism from H<sup>-1</sup> onto  $H<sup>1</sup>$ , and consequently we get the local existence and uniqueness of a weak solution through the Cauchy–Lipschitz theorem.

Second, in order to get an energy identity, we take the scalar product in  $H<sup>1</sup>$ (previously defined) of (8) with  $(u, b)$ . Using (7), (6) and integrating by parts when needed, we deduce the energy equality

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|^2 + \beta^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|^2) = 0,
$$

or

$$
\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|^2 + \beta^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|^2 = C_1,
$$
\n(9)

where

$$
C_1 \doteq ||\mathbf{u}_0||^2 + \alpha^2 ||\nabla \mathbf{u}_0||^2 + ||\mathbf{b}_0||^2 + \beta^2 ||\nabla \mathbf{b}_0||^2.
$$

Now, using the bound for the  $H^1$  norm of the solution provided by the energy identity (9), we deduce that such a solution can be extended for all positive time (indeed, the time interval of local existence has a lower bound depending only on the initial data).

Hence, we have the global existence of a unique weak solution

$$
u, b \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; H^{1}(\Omega)).
$$
\n(10)

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 for strong solutions, we can proceed similarly. We only need an upper bound for higher derivatives. With this aim, we take the scalar product with v and  $\bm{B}$ , and integrate over  $\Omega$ , getting

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|v\|^2 + \int (\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} - \int (\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} = 0, \tag{11}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||\boldsymbol{B}||^2 + \int (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} - \int (\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0.
$$
 (12)

Using Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \|\Delta u\|^{3/4} \|u\|^{1/4} + C \|u\| \tag{13}
$$

and Poincaré inequality  $||u|| \le C||\Delta u||$ , we have

$$
||u||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C||\Delta u||^{3/4}||u||^{1/4},
$$

and therefore

$$
\left| \int (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot v \right| \leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla u\| \|v\|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \|\Delta u\|^{3/4} \|u\|^{1/4} \|\nabla u\| \|v\|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \|v\|^{7/4} \|u\|^{1/4} \|\nabla u\|. \tag{14}
$$

Proceeding similarly for the other terms in (11) and (12), we deduce

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v\|^2 + \|B\|^2) \leq C(\|u\|^{1/4} \|\nabla u\| \|v\|^{7/4} + \|b\|^{1/4} \|\nabla b\| \|B\|^{3/4} \|v\| \n+ \|u\|^{1/4} \|\nabla b\| \|v\|^{3/4} \|B\| + \|b\|^{1/4} \|\nabla u\| \|B\|^{7/4}) \n\leq C(\|v\|^{7/4} + \|B\|^{3/4} \|v\| + \|v\|^{3/4} \|B\| + \|B\|^{7/4}),
$$

having used (10). Applying Young's inequality with exponents  $7/3$  and  $7/4$  to the middle terms, we get

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(1 + ||v||^2 + ||B||^2) \le C(||v||^{7/4} + ||B||^{7/4}) \le C(||v||^2 + ||B||^2)^{7/8}
$$
  
\n
$$
\le C(1 + ||v||^2 + ||B||^2);
$$

the differential form of Gronwall lemma implies

$$
1 + ||\mathbf{v}(t)||^2 + ||\mathbf{B}(t)||^2 \le (1 + ||\mathbf{v}_0||^2 + ||\mathbf{B}_0||^2)\mathrm{e}^{Ct} \quad \text{ for all } t > 0,
$$

and finally

$$
v, B \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{2}(\Omega)) \quad \text{for all } T > 0,
$$

or

$$
u, b \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \quad \text{for all } T > 0.
$$

**Remark 2.1.** Let us note that the same estimates hold also in the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$ , with no need of periodicity hypotheses. The proof is indeed slightly simplified since Gagliardo–Nirenberg estimate (13) is straightforwardly

$$
||u||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C||\Delta u||^{3/4}||u||^{1/4}.
$$

Nevertheless, in this case one needs a different approach to prove local existence.

### 3. Second model

First, let us note that local existence can be obtained by a contraction argument proceeding similarly as in [4] (indeed, in this case the technique can be simplified by considering the space  $X_{m+2} = L^{\infty}(0, T_0; H^{m+2}(\Omega))$  instead of  $\Theta_{m+2}$ ); therefore, global existence is implied by the global in time a priori estimates provided below. This approach (to get local and global existence) works for the whole spacedomain  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$  as well.

We take the scalar product of equation (5a) with  $\boldsymbol{u}$  and of equation (5b) with  $\boldsymbol{B}$ , integrate both equations over  $\Omega$  and sum them up. Using (7), (6) and integrating by parts when needed, we deduce the energy equality

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{B}\|^2) + \mu \|\nabla \boldsymbol{B}\|^2 = 0,
$$

or

$$
\|\boldsymbol{u}(t)\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(t)\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{B}(t)\|^2 + 2\mu \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{B}(s)\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s = C_2,\tag{15}
$$

where

$$
C_2 \doteq ||u_0||^2 + \alpha^2 ||\nabla u_0||^2 + ||B_0||^2.
$$

Hence, provided  $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $B_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $u_0 = (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{-1} v_0$ , we have

$$
\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}\big(0,\infty;\mathcal{H}^{1}(\Omega)\big), \qquad \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}\big(0,\infty;\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega)\big). \tag{16}
$$

Similarly, taking the scalar product of  $(5a)$  with v and integrating in space, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||v||^2 + \int (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} - \int (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0 \tag{17}
$$

while, testing (5b) by  $(1 - \alpha^2 \Delta)B$ , we deduce

$$
\int \boldsymbol{B}_t \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B} + \int (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{B} \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B}
$$

$$
- \int (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B} - \mu \int \Delta \boldsymbol{B} \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B} = 0. \qquad (18)
$$

Integrating by parts, one easily computes

$$
\int \boldsymbol{B}_t \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\boldsymbol{B}\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{B}\|^2)
$$

and

$$
-\mu \int \Delta \boldsymbol{B} \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B} = \mu ||\nabla \boldsymbol{B}||^2 + \alpha^2 \mu ||\Delta \boldsymbol{B}||^2.
$$

As to the second term, we assume sum from 1 to 3 for repeated indices and get

$$
\left| \int (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \mathbf{B} \right| = \alpha^2 \left| \int (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} \cdot (-\Delta \mathbf{B}) \right|
$$
  
=  $\alpha^2 \left| \int (\partial_h \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_h \mathbf{B} + \int (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \partial_h \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_h \mathbf{B} \right|$   
 $\leq \alpha^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbf{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|,$ 

having used twice identity (7).

For the third integral, we use the identity

$$
-\int (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B} = -\int (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) [(\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}] \cdot \boldsymbol{B}
$$
  

$$
= -\int (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} + \alpha^2 \int B_j \partial_j \partial_i^2 u_k B_k
$$
  

$$
+ \alpha^2 \int \partial_i^2 B_j \partial_j u_k B_k + 2\alpha^2 \int \partial_i B_j \partial_j^2 u_k B_k
$$
  

$$
= -\int (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} - \alpha^2 \int \partial_i B_j \partial_j u_k \partial_i B_k - \alpha^2 \int \partial_i B_j \partial_i u_k \partial_j B_k
$$

to deduce the following estimate:

$$
\int (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (1 - \alpha^2 \Delta) \boldsymbol{B} \le \int (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} + 2 \alpha^2 ||\nabla \boldsymbol{u}||_{L^4} ||\nabla \boldsymbol{B}||_{L^4} ||\nabla \boldsymbol{B}||.
$$

Substituting these results in (18) and summing up with (17) yields

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (\Vert v \Vert^{2} + \Vert B \Vert^{2} + \alpha^{2} \Vert \nabla B \Vert^{2}) + \mu \Vert \nabla B \Vert^{2} + \alpha^{2} \mu \Vert \Delta B \Vert^{2} \leq \int |(u \cdot \nabla)u \cdot v| + 3\alpha^{2} \Vert \nabla u \Vert_{\mathrm{L}^{4}} \Vert \nabla B \Vert_{\mathrm{L}^{4}} \Vert \nabla B \Vert.
$$

Using Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4}} \leq C\|\Delta u\|^{3/4}\|\nabla u\|^{1/4} + C\|u\| \leq C\|\Delta u\|^{3/4}\|\nabla u\|^{1/4}
$$

(thanks to Poincaré inequality) and the elliptic estimate  $\|\Delta u\| \le C \|v\|$ , we obtain

$$
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^{4}} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbf{L}^{4}} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\| \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|^{3/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{1/4} \|\Delta \mathbf{B}\|^{3/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|^{5/4} \leq \varepsilon (\|\Delta \mathbf{B}\|^{3/4})^{8/3} + C (\|\mathbf{v}\|^{3/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{1/4} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|^{5/4})^{8/5} = \varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{B}\|^{2} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^{2/5} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|^{6/5} \|\mathbf{v}\|^{6/5} \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|^{4/5},
$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small parameter. Combining with estimate (14) and exploiting

$$
||u|| \le ||v|| + \alpha^2 ||\Delta u|| \le C||v||,
$$

we deduce, for  $\varepsilon = \alpha^2 \mu/2$ ,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (1 + ||v||^2 + ||B||^2 + \alpha^2 ||\nabla B||^2) + \mu ||\nabla B||^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 \mu}{2} ||\Delta B||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C(||\nabla u|| + ||\nabla u||^{2/5} ||\nabla B||^{6/5}) (1 + ||v||^2 + ||B||^2 + \alpha^2 ||\nabla B||^2). \tag{19}
$$

Global existence for two regularized MHD models in three space-dimension 51

Setting  $y(t) = 1 + ||v(t)||^2 + ||B(t)||^2 + \alpha^2 ||\nabla B(t)||^2$ , from Gronwall lemma we get

$$
y(t) \leq y(0) \exp C \int_0^t (||\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)|| + ||\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)||^{2/5} ||\nabla \mathbf{B}(\tau)||^{6/5}) d\tau.
$$

Now, recalling that  $\|\nabla u\| \in L_t^{\infty}([0, \infty])$  and

$$
(\|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|^{6/5})^{5/3} = \|\nabla \mathbf{B}\|^2 \in \mathcal{L}^1_t([0,\infty[),
$$

as follows immediately from the energy identity (15), we have

$$
\int_0^t (||\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)|| + ||\nabla \mathbf{u}(\tau)||^{2/5} ||\nabla \mathbf{B}(\tau)||^{6/5}) d\tau
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq Ct + C \Big( \int_0^t d\tau \Big)^{2/5} \Big( \int_0^t ||\nabla \mathbf{B}||^2 d\tau \Big)^{3/5}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C(t+1).
$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$
\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\big(0,T;\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)\big), \qquad \boldsymbol{B} \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\big(0,T;\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)\big) \qquad \forall T > 0.
$$

Let us note in particular that

$$
\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}\big(0, T; \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega)\big) \quad \forall T > 0.
$$

Moreover, integrating (19) in time shows that

$$
\mathbf{B} \in \mathrm{L}^2(0,T;\mathrm{H}^2(\Omega)) \quad \forall T > 0.
$$

This conclud[es the proof of](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1139.76010) [Theorem 1.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2355417)3.

After completing this work, the authors were informed of the paper by Larios– Titi [11] containing some results related to the first model.

#### **References**

- [1] K. Bardos and  $\dot{E}$ . S. Titi, Euler equations for an ideal incompressible fluid. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 62 (2007), 5–46; English transl. Russian Math. Surv. 62 (2007), No. 3, 409–451. Zbl 1139.76010 MR 2355417
- [2] Y. Cao, E. M. Lunasin, and E. S. Titi, Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous and inviscid simplified Bardina turbulence models. Commun. Math. Sci. 4 (2006), 823–848. Zbl 1127.35034 MR 2264822

#### 52 D. Catania and P. Secchi

- [3] E. Casella, P. Secchi, and [P. Trebeschi, G](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1132.76009)[lobal classica](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2338368)l solutions for MHD system. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 5 (2003), 70–91. Zbl 1037.76068 MR 1966645
- [4] D. Catania, Global existence for a regularized magnetohydrodynamic- $\alpha$  model. Ann. [Univ. Ferrara S](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1195.76428)[ez. VII Sci. M](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2645154)at. 56 (2010), 1–20. MR 2646521
- [5] D. Catania, Finite dimensional global attractor for 3D MHD- $\alpha$  models: a comparison. J. Math. Fluid Mech., DOI 10.1007/s00021-010-0041-y
- [6] [D. Catania and](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1188.35148) [P. Secchi, Glo](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2265204)bal existence and finite dimensional global attractor for a 3D double viscous MHD-a model. Commun. Math. Sci. 8 (2010), 1021–1040.
- [7] P. Constantin, On the Euler equations of incompressible fluids. [Bull. Amer. M](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0683.76103)ath. Soc. ([N.S.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1007099)) 44 (2007), 603–621. Zbl 1132.76009 MR 2338368
- [8] J. Fan and T. Ozawa, Global Cauchy problem for the 2-D magnetohydrodynamic-a models with partial viscous terms. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 12 (2010), 306–319. Zbl 1195.76428 MR 2645154
- [9] Q. Jiu and D. Niu, Mathematical results related to a two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic equations. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 26 [\(2006\),](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?1144.81378) [744–756.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2337020) Zbl 1188.35148 MR 2265204
- [10] H. Kozono, Weak and classical solutions of the two-di[mensional magn](http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0524.76099)[etohydrody](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=716200)namic equations. Tohoku Math. J. (2) 41 (1989), 471–488. Zbl 0683.76103 MR 1007099
- [11] A. Larios and E. S. Titi, On the higher-order global regularity of the inviscid Voigtregularization of three-dimensional hydrodynamic models. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 14 (2010), 603–627. Zbl 1202.35172 MR 2660874
- [12] J. S. Linshiz and E. S. Titi, Analytical study of certain magnetohydrodynamic-a models. J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), 065504 (28 pages). Zbl 1144.81378 MR 2337020
- [13] M. Sermange and R. Temam, Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), 635–664. Zbl 0524.76099 MR 716200

Received October 17, 2009; revised July 28, 2010

D. Catania, Dipartimento di Matematica, Facolta` di Ingegneria, Universita` di Brescia, Via Valotti 9, 25133, Brescia, Italy

E-mail: davide.catania@ing.unibs.it

P. Secchi, Dipartimento di Matematica, Facolta` di Ingegneria, Universita` di Brescia, Via Valotti 9, 25133, Brescia, Italy E-mail: paolo.secchi@ing.unibs.it