A bound on the group velocity for Bloch wave packets

Grégoire Allaire, Mariapia Palombaro, and Jeffrey Rauch (Communicated by Hugo Beirão da Veiga and José Francisco Rodrigues)

Dedicated to our friend and colleague Joao Paulo de Carvalho Dias celebrating years of comradery

Abstract. We give a direct proof that the group velocities of Bloch wave packet solutions of periodic second order wave equations cannot exceed the maximal speed of propagation of the periodic wave equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B40, 35B27, 35L30

Keywords: Geometric optics, diffractive geometric optics, Bloch waves, diffraction, homogenization

1. Main result

This note complements our studies [2], [3] of Bloch wave packets. Bloch wave packets are short wavelength asymptotic solutions of periodic wave equations and their perturbations. They propagate with a group velocity that is given by the gradient of the Bloch dispersion relation. The dispersion in turn is defined by the Bloch eigenvalues of a periodic elliptic partial differential operator. Using the rigorously established asymptotic solutions one can conclude that these group velocities cannot exceed the maximal speeds of the periodic wave equation. In this note we give a direct proof that the group velocity is no larger than that maximal speed. This is reminiscent of the pair of papers of Lax [9] and Weinberger [11]. The first derives a result about matrix eigenvalues from properties of hyperbolic partial differential equations. The second gives a direct algebraic proof.

With the notations from [3], \mathbb{T}^N denotes the unit torus and for periodic symmetric matrix valued $A_0(y)$ and scalar valued $\rho_0(y)$ both in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ the central object is the wave equation

$$\rho_0(y)u_{tt} - \text{div}_v(A_0(y) \text{ grad}_v u) = 0.$$
 (1.1)

For any fixed $y \in \mathbb{T}^N$ the maximal speed of propagation for (1.1) at y is given by

$$c(y) := \max_{1 \le j \le N} \sqrt{\mu_j(y)}$$

where $\mu_i(y) > 0$ are the roots of the polynomial

$$p(y,\mu) := \det(A_0(y) - \mu \rho_0(y)I).$$

The maximal speed of propagation is

$$c_{\max} := \max_{y \in \mathbb{T}^N} c(y). \tag{1.2}$$

Denote the Bloch parameter $\theta \in [0, 1]^N$. The Bloch spectral cell problem for ψ_n periodic in y is

$$-(\operatorname{div}_{v}+2i\pi\theta)(A_{0}(y)(\operatorname{grad}_{v}+2i\pi\theta)\psi_{n})=\lambda_{n}(\theta)\rho_{0}(y)\psi_{n}\quad\text{ in }\mathbb{T}^{N}. \tag{1.3}$$

Assuming that $A_0(y)$ is symmetric and uniformly coercive and that $\rho_0(y)$ is uniformly bounded away from zero, it is well known that (1.3) admits a countable infinite family of positive real eigenvalues $\lambda_n(\theta)$ (repeated according to their multiplicity) and associated eigenfunctions $\psi_n(\theta, y)$ that, as functions of y, belong to $H^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ [5], [6], [10], [12]. The eigenvalues, labeled in increasing order, are uniformly Lipschitzian as functions of θ . They are not more regular because of possible crossings. Simple eigenvalues are analytic functions of θ [8]. Simple eigenvalues are generic [1]. Normalize the eigenfunctions by

$$\int \rho_0(y) |\psi_n(y,\theta)|^2 \, dy = 1. \tag{1.4}$$

Assumption. Fix $\theta_0 \in [0,1[^N, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and assume that } \lambda_n(\theta_0) \text{ is a simple eigenvalue.}$

Define the associated nonnegative frequency $\omega_n(\theta_0)$ as the solution of the dispersion relation

$$4\pi^2 \omega_n^2(\theta) = \lambda_n(\theta), \quad \omega_n \ge 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Corresponding plane wave solutions of (1.1) are

$$e^{2\pi i(\omega_n(\theta)t+y\cdot\theta)}\psi_n(y,\theta).$$

Superposition in θ yields wave packets with group velocity defined by (see [3])

$$\mathscr{V} := -\nabla_{\theta} \omega_n(\theta_0) = \frac{-\nabla_{\theta} \lambda_n(\theta_0)}{4\pi \sqrt{\lambda_n(\theta_0)}}.$$
 (1.6)

Theorem 1.1. The group velocity defined by (1.6) and the maximal speed (1.2) satisfy

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq c_{\text{max}}$$
.

Proof. Introduce the operator

$$\mathbb{A}(\theta)\psi := -(\operatorname{div}_{y} + 2i\pi\theta) (A_{0}(y)(\operatorname{grad}_{y} + 2i\pi\theta)\psi) - \lambda_{n}(\theta)\rho_{0}(y)\psi.$$

Equation (1.3) asserts that $\mathbb{A}(\theta)\psi_n = 0$. At the point θ_0 , differentiate (1.3) with respect to θ in the direction ξ to find

$$(\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{A}(\theta)) \psi_n(\theta) + \mathbb{A}(\theta) (\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \psi_n(\theta)) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{A}(\theta)\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta}\psi_{n} = 2i\pi\xi \cdot A_{0}(y)(\nabla_{y} + 2i\pi\theta)\psi_{n}
+ 2i\pi(\operatorname{div}_{y} + 2i\pi\theta)(A_{0}(y)\xi\psi_{n}) + \xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta}(\lambda_{n}\rho_{0}(y)\psi_{n}).$$
(1.7)

Multiply (1.7) by $\overline{\psi_n}$ and integrate dy. Equation (1.4) shows that

$$\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \int \overline{\psi_n}(y,\theta) \rho(y) \psi_n(y,\theta) \, dy = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Therefore

$$\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \lambda_{n}(\theta) = 2i\pi \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N}} \left(\psi_{n} A_{0}(y) \xi \cdot \overline{(\nabla_{y} + 2i\pi\theta)\psi_{n}} - \overline{\psi_{n}} \xi \cdot A_{0}(y) (\nabla_{y} + 2i\pi\theta)\psi_{n} \right) dy$$
$$= 4i\pi \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{N}} \left(\psi_{n} A_{0}(y) \xi \cdot \overline{(\nabla_{y} + 2i\pi\theta)\psi_{n}} \right) dy.$$

Write the integrand as the product of three terms,

$$(\rho_0^{1/2}\psi_n) (\rho_0^{-1/2} A_0(y)^{1/2} \xi) \cdot (A_0(y)^{1/2} \overline{(\nabla_y + 2i\pi\theta)\psi_n}).$$

Constrain to unit vectors ξ and estimate for each y using the Euclidean norm on vectors and the induced matrix norm,

$$\|\rho_0^{-1/2}A_0(y)^{1/2}\xi\| \le \|\rho_0^{-1/2}A_0(y)^{1/2}\| \|\xi\| = \|\left(\rho_0^{-1/2}A_0(y)^{1/2}\right)^2\|^{1/2} = c(y) \le c_{\max}.$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality estimates the integral expression for $\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \lambda_n$ by

$$|\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \lambda_n(\theta)| \le 4\pi c_{\max} \|\rho_0^{1/2} \psi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)} \|A_0^{1/2} (\nabla_y + 2i\pi\theta) \psi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)}.$$

Using the normalization (1.4) and then the eigenvalue equation yields

$$|\xi \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \lambda_n(\theta)| \le 4\pi c_{\max} ||A_0^{1/2} (\nabla_y + 2i\pi\theta) \psi_n||_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)}$$

$$= 4\pi c_{\max} \sqrt{\lambda_n(\theta)}. \tag{1.9}$$

Since ξ is an arbitrary unit vector, this estimate together with (1.6) implies the Theorem.

Remark 1.2. i. Estimate (1.9) yields a bound for the propagation speed as a function of direction by the corresponding fastest speeds of the original system (see [4] for an analogous result).

ii. The simplicity of λ_n is only used to yield smoothness of λ_n . The proof applies without modification away from eigenvalue crossings or for directional derivatives when crossing occurs.

References

- [1] J. H. Albert, Genericity of simple eigenvalues for elliptic pde's, Proc. A.M.S. 48 (1975) 413–418.
- [2] G. Allaire, M. Palombaro, J. Rauch, *Diffractive behavior of the wave equation in periodic media: weak convergence analysis*, Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata **188** (2009), 561–590.
- [3] G. Allaire, M. Palombaro, J. Rauch, *Diffractive geometric optics for Bloch wave packets*, Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. **202** (2011), 373–426.
- [4] G. Allaire, M. Palombaro, J. Rauch, *Diffraction of Bloch wave packets for Maxwell's equations*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **15** (2013), 1350040.
- [5] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, G. Papanicolaou, Asymptotic Analysis for Periodic Structures, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [6] F. Bloch, Uber die quantenmechanik der electronen in kristallgittern, Z. Phys. 52 (1928), 555–600.
- [7] L. Brillouin, Propagation of Waves in Periodic Structures, Dover, New York, 1953.
- [8] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [9] P. D. Lax, Differential equations, difference equations and matrix theory, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 11 (1958), 175–194.
- [10] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

- [11] H. F. Weinberger, *Remarks on the preceding paper of Lax*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 11 (1958), 195–196.
- [12] C. Wilcox, Theory of Bloch waves, J. Analyse Math. 33 (1978), 146–167.

Received April 19, 2014

G. Allaire, Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, and the DEFI project at INRIA Saclay Ile-de-France

E-mail: gregoire.allaire@polytechnique.fr

M. Palombaro, Department of Mathematics, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QH, UK

E-mail: M.Palombaro@sussex.ac.uk

J. Rauch, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

E-mail: rauch@umich.edu