DOI 10.4171/PM/1978

The Hardy inequality and the heat flow in curved wedges

David Krejčiřík

(Communicated by Pedro Freitas)

Abstract. We show that the polynomial decay rate of the heat semigroup of the Dirichlet Laplacian in curved planar wedges that are obtained as a compactly supported perturbation of straight wedges equals the sum of the usual dimensional decay rate and a multiple of the reciprocal value of the opening angle. To prove the result, we develop the method of self-similar variables for the associated heat equation and study the asymptotic behaviour of the transformed non-autonomous parabolic problem for large times. We also establish an improved Hardy inequality for the Dirichlet Laplacian in non-trivially curved wedges and state a conjecture about an improved decay rate in this case.

Mathematics Subject Classification (primary; secondary): 35K05, 47A30; 31A05, 35P15, 60J65, 81Q10

Keywords: Hardy inequality, heat equation, large-time behaviour, curved wedges, Dirichlet Laplacian, conical singularities, Brownian motion, subcriticality

1. Introduction

Relations between the geometry of a domain, spectral properties of an associated differential operator and time evolution of the generated heat flow are one of the vintage problems of mathematics. The interest lies in the involvement of different fields of mathematics (notably differential geometry, spectral theory and partial differential equations) and a wide scope of applications (from classical dissipative systems and stochastic analysis to quantum mechanics). We refer to the classical book of Davies [8] and the recent monograph of Grigor'yan [20] with many references.

In this paper, we are interested in a large time behaviour of the heat semigroup associated with the Dirichlet Laplacian in the geometric setting of two-dimensional unbounded domains which are obtained as a curved deformation of the *straight* wedge

$$
\Omega_0 := \{ (r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi) \mid r \in (0, \infty), \varphi \in (0, 2\pi a) \}
$$
 (1)

with $a \in (0, 1]$, see Figure 1. The Brownian motion in straight cones has been extensively studied; see in particular [11], [4] and the recent review [12] with many further references. However, the present curved feature of the boundary seems to be a new aspect. Our main result about the heat semigroup (Theorem 1) says that the polynomial decay rate is insensitive to our specific compactly supported perturbations of the straight wedges. Although the result is perhaps heuristically expectable, it is still non-trivial because there is no general theory of properties of the heat semigroup under compactly supported perturbations. Moreover, the result admits an interesting stochastic interpretation in terms of properties of the Brownian motion.

It is well known that the large time behaviour of the heat kernel is related to transient/recurrent properties of the Brownian motion and spectral-threshold characteristics of the generator; see, e.g., Pinsky's monograph [29] and a recent survey of Pinchover [28]. One way how to characterise the latter in our context is through the existence/non-existence of the Hardy inequality for the Dirichlet Laplacian. There is an extensive literature on Hardy inequalities in conical domains (see [14] and references therein), but curved wedges do not seem to be considered in the present context. Using a classical Hardy inequality for simply connected domains with a Hardy weight expressed by the distance to the boundary (see, e.g., [1], [9], [10], [25]), one can instantly obtain a number of Hardy inequalities in our curved wedges. Using curvilinear coordinates, it is also possible to get a Hardy inequality with a Hardy weight expressed by the distance to the conical singularity which becomes optimal for straight wedges. In this paper, we go beyond these immediate inequalities and establish an improved Hardy inequality which holds if, and only if, the wedge is curved (Theorem 2).

To state the main results of this paper, let us first introduce a general class of curved wedges. Given a function θ : $(0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a number $a \in (0, 1]$, let us consider the two-dimensional domain

$$
\Omega := \left\{ \left(r \cos[\varphi + \theta(r)], r \sin[\varphi + \theta(r)] \right) \middle| r \in (0, \infty), \varphi \in (0, 2\pi a) \right\}.
$$
 (2)

We call Ω a *curved wedge* of *opening angle* $2\pi a$. We are primarily interested in the large time behaviour of the heat semigroup

$$
e^{t\Delta_D^{\Omega}},\tag{3}
$$

where $-\Delta_D^{\Omega}$ denotes the (non-negative) Dirichlet Laplacian in $L^2(\Omega)$.

The geometry of a curved wedge can be quite complex, see Figure 1. In fact, any type of unbounded domain from Glazman's classification [19], Sec. 49 (see also [15], Thm. $X(6.1)$ can be realised: quasi-conical (*i.e.* containing arbitrarily large disks), quasi-cylindrical *(i.e.* not quasi-conical but containing a sequence of identical pairwise disjoint disks) and quasi-bounded (neither quasi-conical nor

Figure 1. Examples of curved wedges of opening angle $\pi/4$. Domains (a)–(d) are all quasiconical and satisfy hypotheses (4) and (8) of this paper (moreover, θ' is compactly supported for (a) and (c)). Wedges (e) and (f) are examples of unbounded quasi-cylindrical and quasi-bounded domains, respectively, that are not considered in this paper.

quasi-cylindrical). A characteristic assumption of this paper is that the derivative θ' vanishes at infinity, so that Ω is a local perturbation of the straight wedge (1). More specifically, we assume that $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ is such that

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \theta'(r) = 0. \tag{4}
$$

For a majority of the results in this paper, we actually require that θ' decays at infinity fast enough (cf. (8)) or even that $\theta'(r) = 0$ for all sufficiently large r. Then Ω is a compactly supported perturbation of the straight wedge Ω_0 . In particular, Ω shares the property of Ω_0 being quasi-conical, and consequently (see, e.g., [15], Thm. X.6.5)

$$
\sigma(-\Delta_D^{\Omega}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_D^{\Omega}) = [0, \infty). \tag{5}
$$

It follows from the spectral mapping theorem that $||e^{t\Delta_D^{\Omega}}||_{L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ for all $t>0$.

To reveal a decay of the heat semigroup in a more adapted topology, we introduce a weighted space

$$
L_w^2(\Omega) := L^2(\Omega, w(x) \, dx), \quad \text{where } w(x) := e^{|x|^2/4}, \tag{6}
$$

and reconsider (3) as an operator from $L^2_w(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$. As a measure of the decay of the heat semigroup, we then consider the polynomial *decay rate*

$$
\Gamma_{\theta,a} := \sup \{ \gamma \mid \exists C_{\gamma} > 0, \forall t \ge 0, \left\| e^{t\Delta_{B}^{\Omega}} \right\|_{L_{w}^{2}(\Omega) \to L^{2}(\Omega)} \le C_{\gamma} (1+t)^{-\gamma} \}. \tag{7}
$$

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that

$$
r \mapsto r\theta'(r) \in L^{\infty}((0,\infty))
$$
\n(8)

and supp θ' is compact in $\mathbb R$. Then

$$
\Gamma_{\theta,a} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4a}.\tag{9}
$$

We remark that (8) implies the asymptotic behaviour at infinity (4), while singularities of θ' at zero are allowed by this hypothesis.

The $1/2$ on the right hand side of (9) is the usual power in the polynomial decay rate of the heat semigroup in \mathbb{R}^2 (more generally, one has $d/4$ in \mathbb{R}^d), while the additional a-dependent term is an improvement due to the extra Dirichlet boundary conditions. The results agree with the decay rates for the straight wedges, where the heat kernel can be written down explicitly by a separation of variables (see [6], p. 379 or more generally [4], Lem. 1). Despite of the existence of an extensive literature on properties of the Brownian motion in straight cones (see in particular [11], [4] and the recent review [12] with many further references), the present Theorem 1 seems to be new because of the curved feature of the boundary of Ω .

We expect that the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid even if θ' is not compactly supported but it decays to zero sufficiently fast at infinity. On the other hand, it is possible that the decay of the heat semigroup is faster than polynomial provided that θ' decays to zero very slowly at infinity. This conjecture is supported by subexponential asymptotics of the heat kernel of Schrödinger operators with slowly decreasing potentials [32] and related properties of the Brownian motion in parabolic domains [3], [27], [26], [31].

The statement of Theorem 1 for solutions u of the heat equation

$$
\begin{cases}\n\partial_t u - \Delta_D^{\Omega} u = 0, \\
u(0) = u_0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(10)

can be reformulated as follows. If $u_0 \in L^2_w(\Omega)$, then, for every $\delta > 0$, there exists a positive constant C_{δ} (depending in addition to δ on a) such that

$$
||u(t)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\delta} (1+t)^{-\Gamma_{\theta,a}+\delta} ||u_{0}||_{L^{2}_{w}(\Omega)}
$$
\n(11)

for each time $t \geq 0$ and any initial datum $u_0 \in L^2_w(\Omega)$. The constant C_δ can in principle explodes as $\delta \to 0$, but we expect that it can be actually made independent of δ in the limit, relying on other situations where the method of proof that we use is known to give optimal decay rates (see below).

From (11) it is possible to deduce the following pointwise bound.

Corollary 1. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds and supp θ' is compact in R. For any positive number δ , there exists a constant \tilde{C}_δ such that the solution u of (10) with an arbitrary initial datum $u_0 \in L^2_w(\Omega)$ obeys

$$
\forall t \geq 1, \qquad \|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}_{\delta} t^{-\Gamma_{\theta,a} + \delta} \|u_0\|_{L^2_w(\Omega)}, \tag{12}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\theta,a}$ is given by (9).

Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on the method of self-similar variables that was originally developed for the heat equation in the whole Euclidean space by Escobedo and Kavian in [17] and subsequently applied to the heat equation with variable coefficients in numerous works; we refer to the recent paper $[7]$ for an extensive reference list. The method was also applied to the heat equation in non-trivial geometries, namely in twisted tubes in [23] and [24] and in curved manifolds in [21]. We shall see that the present problem exhibits certain similarities with the problem in twisted tubes when suitable curvilinear coordinates are applied, cf. Section 2.1.

Theorem 1 and its Corollary 1 are proved in the following Section 2. Theorem 1 follows as a consequence of lower (Theorem 3) and upper (Theorem 4) bounds to the decay rate. Corollary 1 is a special case of a more general result (Theorem 5).

To the end of the paper we append Section 3, where we raise a conjecture about an improved (possibly non-polynomial) decay rate in non-trivially curved wedges (*i.e.* $\theta' \neq 0$) with respect to the straight wedges. In fact, although the spectrum (5) is insensitive to variations of the boundary of Ω provided that (4) holds, there is an improved Hardy inequality in the former case:

Theorem 2. Let $\theta \in C^1([0,\infty))$ be such that supp θ' is compact in \mathbb{R} . If $\theta' \neq 0$, then there exists a positive constant c such that

$$
\forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega),
$$

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \ge c \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{1 + |x|^2 \log^2(|x|)} dx.
$$
 (13)

Inequality (13) holds in straight wedges (*i.e.* θ' vanishes identically) with $c = 0$ and it is optimal in this case (in the sense that it cannot be improved by adding a positive term on the right hand side). The message of Theorem 2 is that a better inequality holds whenever the wedge is non-trivially curved. Although there is an extensive literature on Hardy inequalities in conical domains (see [14] and references therein), Theorem 2 seems to be new.

2. The polynomial decay rate of the heat flow

This section is devoted to proofs of Theorem 1 and its Corollary 1.

2.1. Curvilinear coordinates. As usual, we understand $-\Delta_D^{\Omega}$ as the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ associated with the quadratic form Q_D^{Ω} defined by $Q_D^{\Omega}[u] :=$ $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ and $D(Q_D^{\Omega}) := H_0^1(\Omega)$. In this subsection we express the Dirichlet Laplacian and the associated heat equation (10) in natural curvilinear coordinates.

By definition (2), Ω coincides with the image of the mapping $\mathscr{L}: U \to \mathbb{R}^2$, where

$$
\mathcal{L}(r,\varphi) := (r\cos[\varphi + \theta(r)], r\sin[\varphi + \theta(r)]) \qquad (14)
$$

and $U := (0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi a)$. Let $\theta \in C^1((0, \infty))$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathscr L$ induces a C^1 -smooth diffeomorphism between U and Ω . The corresponding metric $G := \nabla \mathcal{L} \cdot (\nabla \mathcal{L})^T$ acquires the form

$$
G(r,\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + r^2 \theta'(r)^2 & r^2 \theta'(r) \\ r^2 \theta'(r) & r^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det(G(r,\varphi)) = r^2.
$$
 (15)

Introducing also $\mathcal{L}_0 : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by $\mathcal{L}_0(r, \varphi) := (r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi) \in \Omega_0$, we may understand (14) as an identification of Ω with the straight wedge Ω_0 introduced in (1) via the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}\nU \\
\downarrow^{\mathscr{L}} \\
\Omega_0 \longrightarrow^{\mathscr{L}} \\
\downarrow^{\mathscr{L}}\n\end{array} \tag{16}
$$

Using the unitary transform

$$
\mathcal{U}: L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(U, r \, dr \, d\varphi) =: \mathcal{H} \tag{17}
$$

defined by the change of coordinates $u \mapsto u \circ \mathcal{L}$, we consider the unitarily equivalent operator $H := \mathscr{U}(-\Delta_D^{\Omega})\mathscr{U}^{-1}$. The latter is just the operator in the new Hilbert space *H* associated with the transformed form $h[\psi] := Q_D^{\Omega}[\mathcal{U}^{-1}\psi],$ $D(h) := \mathcal{W}D(Q_D^{\Omega})$. For later purposes, we also introduce the unitary transform

$$
\mathscr{U}_0:L^2(\Omega_0)\to L^2(U,r\,dr\,d\varphi)
$$

by $u \mapsto u \circ \mathcal{L}_0$.

Proposition 1. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds. Then

$$
h[\psi] = \int_{U} \left[\left| \left(\partial_r - \theta'(r) \partial_{\varphi} \right) \psi \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial_{\varphi} \psi}{r} \right|^2 \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi, \tag{18}
$$

$$
\mathsf{D}(h) = \mathscr{H}_0^1 := \overline{C_0^1(U)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^1}},\tag{19}
$$

where

$$
\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{H}^1} := \sqrt{\int_U \left[|\partial_r \psi|^2 + \left|\frac{\partial_\varphi \psi}{r}\right|^2 + |\psi|^2\right] r \, dr \, d\varphi}.
$$

Proof. If $u \in C_0^1(\Omega)$, then $\psi := u \circ \mathcal{L} \in C_0^1(U)$ and (15) yields that h acts as in (18). It remains to show that the norm induced by h is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}^1}$. Note that the latter is just the norm of $H^1(\Omega_0)$ written in polar coordinates. Assumption (8) means that there exists a constant C such that $|\theta'(r)| \leq C/r$ for all $r \in (0, \infty)$. Elementary estimates yield

$$
h[\psi] \ge \int_{U} \left[\epsilon |\partial_{r} \psi|^{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} |\theta'(r) \partial_{\varphi} \psi|^{2} + \left| \frac{\partial_{\varphi} \psi}{r} \right|^{2} \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi
$$

$$
\ge \int_{U} \left[\epsilon |\partial_{r} \psi|^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{C^{2} \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \right) \left| \frac{\partial_{\varphi} \psi}{r} \right|^{2} \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi
$$
 (20)

and

$$
h[\psi] \le \int_{U} \left[2|\partial_{r}\psi|^{2} + (1 + 2C^{2}) \left| \frac{\partial_{\varphi}\psi}{r} \right|^{2} \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi \tag{21}
$$

for all $\psi \in C_0^1(U)$ and every $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. Hence, the equivalence of the norms follows by choosing ϵ sufficiently small.

In a distributional sense we may write

$$
H = -\frac{1}{r} \left(\partial_r - \theta'(r) \partial_\varphi \right) r \left(\partial_r - \theta'(r) \partial_\varphi \right) - \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\varphi^2.
$$
 (22)

We notice that H has a structure similar to the Dirichlet Laplacian in a twisted tube when expressed in suitable curvilinear coordinates, cf. [16].

By the unitary equivalence above, it is enough to establish the result (9) for the heat semigroup e^{-tH} in \mathcal{H} . Given $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, $\psi(t) := e^{-tH}\psi_0$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n\partial_t \psi + H\psi = 0, \\
\psi(0) = \psi_0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(23)

By the Hille-Yosida theorem [5], Thm. 7.7,

$$
\psi \in C^0([0,\infty); \mathcal{H}) \cap C^1((0,\infty); \mathcal{H}) \cap C^0((0,\infty); \mathsf{D}(H)).
$$
 (24)

By the Beurling-Deny criterion, e^{-tH} is positivity-preserving for all $t \ge 0$. Moreover, the real and imaginary parts of the solution ψ of (23) evolve separately. By writing $\psi = \Re \psi + i \Im \psi$ and solving (23) with initial data $\Re \psi_0$ and $\Im \psi_0$, we may therefore reduce the problem to the case of a real function ψ_0 , without restriction. Consequently, all the functional spaces are considered to be real in this section.

2.2. Self-similar variables. Now we adapt the method of self-similar variables. It was originally developed for the heat equation in the whole Euclidean space in [17]. We refer to [23] for an application to twisted tubes which exhibit technical similarities with the present geometric setting.

If $(r, \varphi, t) \in U \times (0, \infty)$ are the initial space-time variables for the heat equation (23), we introduce *self-similar variables* $(\rho, \varphi, s) \in U \times (0, \infty)$ by

$$
\rho := (t+1)^{-1/2}r, \qquad s := \log(t+1). \tag{25}
$$

The angular variable φ is not changed by this transformation. We naturally write $y := (\rho \cos(\varphi), \rho \sin \varphi) \in \Omega_0$, so that $|y|^2 = \rho^2$.

If ψ is a solution of (23), we then define a new function

$$
\tilde{\psi}(\rho,\varphi,s) := e^{s/2} \psi(e^{s/2}\rho,\varphi,e^s-1). \tag{26}
$$

The inverse transform is given by

$$
\psi(r, \varphi, t) = (t+1)^{-1/2} \tilde{\psi}((t+1)^{-1/2} r, \varphi, \log(t+1)).
$$
\n(27)

It is straightforward to check that $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies a weak formulation of the nonautonomous parabolic problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n\partial_s \tilde{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \rho \partial_\rho \tilde{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi} + H_s \tilde{\psi} = 0, \\
\tilde{\psi}(0) = \psi_0,\n\end{cases} (28)
$$

where

$$
H_s := -\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\partial_\rho - \theta_s'(\rho) \partial_\varphi \right) \rho \left(\partial_\rho - \theta_s'(\rho) \partial_\varphi \right) - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \partial_\varphi^2 \tag{29}
$$

with the rescaled function

$$
\theta_s'(\rho) := e^{s/2} \theta'(e^{s/2} \rho). \tag{30}
$$

The self-similarity transform $\psi \mapsto \tilde{\psi}$ acts as a unitary transform in $\mathcal{H} \equiv$ $L^2(U, \rho \, d\rho \, d\varphi)$; indeed, we have

$$
\|\psi(t)\|_{\mathscr{H}} = \|\tilde{\psi}(s)\|_{\mathscr{H}} \tag{31}
$$

for all $s, t \in (0, \infty)$. This means that we can analyse the asymptotic time behaviour of the former by studying the latter. However, the natural space to study the evolution (28) is not \mathcal{H} but rather the transformed analogue of (6)

$$
\mathcal{H}_w := L^2(U, w(y)\rho \, d\rho \, d\varphi). \tag{32}
$$

To avoid working in weighted Sobolev spaces, we proceed equivalently by introducing an additional transform

$$
\phi(\rho, \varphi, s) := w(y)^{1/2} \tilde{\psi}(\rho, \varphi, s).
$$
\n(33)

Then the Cauchy problem (28) is transformed to

$$
\begin{cases} \partial_s \phi + L_s \phi + M_s \phi = 0, \\ \phi(0) = \phi_0 := w^{1/2} \psi_0, \end{cases}
$$
 (34)

where

$$
L_s := -\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\partial_\rho - \theta'_s(\rho) \partial_\varphi \right) \rho \left(\partial_\rho - \theta'_s(\rho) \partial_\varphi \right) - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \partial_\varphi^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{16},
$$

$$
M_s := -\frac{1}{2} \rho \theta'_s(\rho) \partial_\varphi.
$$
 (35)

More precisely, L_s is defined as the (self-adjoint) operator in $\mathcal H$ associated with the quadratic form

$$
l_s[\phi] := \int_U \left[\left| \left(\partial_\rho - \theta'_s(\rho) \partial_\varphi \right) \phi \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial_\varphi \phi}{\rho} \right|^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{16} |\phi|^2 \right] \rho \, d\rho \, d\varphi
$$

$$
D(l_s) := \overline{C_0^1(U)}^{\|\cdot\|_{l_s}},
$$

where

$$
\|\phi\|_{l_s} := \sqrt{l_s[\phi] + \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}.
$$

The operator M_s can be handled as a small (non-self-adjoint) perturbation of L_s . Indeed, by the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
|(\phi, M_s \phi)_{\mathscr{H}}| \leq \frac{1}{2} C e^{-s/2} ||\rho \phi||_{\mathscr{H}} \left\| \frac{\partial_{\varphi} \phi}{\rho} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}}
$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^1(U)$, where $C := \sup_{r \in (0,\infty)} |r\theta'(r)|$ is finite due to (8). Consequently, M_s with the form domain D(l_s) is relatively form-bounded with respect to L_s with the relative bound $Ce^{-s/2}$. Moreover, by an integration by parts, we have

$$
\Re(\phi, M_s \phi)_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \tag{36}
$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^1(U)$, and this identity extends to the real part of the quadratic form associated with M_s for all $\phi \in D(l_s)$.

We remark that the form domain $D(l_s)$ as a set is independent of s. To see it, we compare *l* with the following *s*-independent form

$$
I[\phi] := \int_{U} \left[|\partial_{\rho} \phi|^{2} + \left| \frac{\partial_{\phi} \phi}{\rho} \right|^{2} + \frac{\rho^{2}}{16} |\phi|^{2} \right] \rho \, d\rho \, d\varphi,
$$

\n
$$
D(I) := \{ \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1} : |y| \phi \in \mathcal{H} \},
$$
\n(37)

where \mathcal{H}_0^1 is introduced in (19).

Proposition 2. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds. Then there is a positive constant C such that

$$
C^{-1}l[\phi] \le l_s[\phi] \le Cl[\phi] \tag{38}
$$

for every $\phi \in C_0^1(U)$.

Proof. The inequalities can be obtained in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 1. It is only important to point out here that the constant C can be chosen independent of s as a consequence of the scaling (25) and the assumption (8) .

Consequently, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L}$ are equivalent. In particular, $D(l_s)$ = $D(l)$ for all $s \geq 0$. We also remark that $D(l)$ is compactly embedded in \mathcal{H} , which implies that L_s is an operator with compact resolvent for all $s \geq 0$.

The fact that (34) is well posed in the scale of Hilbert spaces

$$
\mathsf{D}(l)\subset\mathscr{H}\subset\mathsf{D}(l)^*
$$

follows by an abstract theorem of J. L. Lions [5], Thm. 10.9 about weak solutions of parabolic problems with time-dependent coefficients. We refer to $[23]$ for more details in an analogous situation. Here it is important that (36) holds true, so that the form associated with the form sum $L_s \dotplus M_s$ is bounded and coercive on D(*l*).

2.3. Reduction to a spectral problem. Using (36), it follows from (34) that the identity

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} ||\phi(s)||_{\mathscr{H}}^2 = -l_s[\phi(s)] \tag{39}
$$

holds for every $s \geq 0$. Now, as usual for energy estimates, we replace the right hand side of (39) by the spectral bound

$$
I_s[\phi(s)] \ge \lambda_{\theta,a}(s) ||\phi(s)||_{\mathcal{H}}^2,
$$
\n(40)

where $\lambda_{\theta,a}(s)$ is the lowest eigenvalue of L_s . Then (39) together with (40) implies Gronwall's inequality

$$
\|\phi(s)\|_{\mathscr{H}} \le \|\phi_0\|_{\mathscr{H}} e^{-\int_0^s \lambda_{\theta,a}(\tau)d\tau} \tag{41}
$$

valid for every $s \geq 0$. From (41) with help of (33), (31) and the relationship (25), we obtain the crucial estimate

$$
\Gamma_{\theta,a} \ge \lambda_{\theta,a}(\infty) := \liminf_{s \to \infty} \lambda_{\theta,a}(s). \tag{42}
$$

We refer to [24], Sec. 4.5, [21], Sec. 7.10 or [7], Prop. 4.3 for more details in similar problems.

2.4. The asymptotic behaviour. It remains to study the asymptotic behaviour of $\lambda_{\theta,a}(s)$ as $s \to \infty$. If $\theta' \in L^1((0,\infty))$, then θ'_s converges in the sense of distributions on $(0, \infty)$ to zero as $s \to \infty$. Hence, it is expectable that L_s converges, in a suitable sense, to the operator

$$
L = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \rho \partial_{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \partial_{\phi}^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{16}
$$
 (43)

as $s \to \infty$. The latter should be understood as the operator associated with the quadratic form (37). The following result confirms this expectation.

Proposition 3. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds and supp θ' is compact in R. Then the operator L_s converges to L in the norm-resolvent sense as $s \to \infty$, i.e.,

$$
\lim_{s \to \infty} \|L_s^{-1} - L^{-1}\|_{\mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}} = 0.
$$
\n(44)

Proof. First of all, we note that 0 belongs to the resolvent set of L and L_s for all $s \geq 0$. In fact, by Proposition 2 (proved under hypothesis (8)), we have the Poincaré-type inequality

$$
l_{s}[\phi] \ge C^{-1}l[\phi] \ge C^{-1}\lambda_{1}||\phi||_{\mathscr{H}}^{2}
$$
\n(45)

for every $\phi \in D(l)$, where λ_1 is the lowest eigenvalue of L, which is easily seen to be positive due to the positivity of the form (37) and the fact that the spectrum of L is purely discrete (explicit value of λ_1 is given in Proposition 4 below).

To prove the uniform convergence (44), we shall use an abstract criterion from [7], App. according to which it is enough to show that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|L_{s_n}^{-1} f_n - L^{-1} f\|_{\mathscr{H}} = 0 \tag{46}
$$

for every sequence of numbers $\{s_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $s_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and every sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ weakly converging to $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and such that $||f_n||_{\mathscr{W}} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We set $\phi_n := L_{s_n}^{-1} f_n$, so that ϕ_n satisfies the weak formulation of the resolvent equation

$$
\forall v \in \mathsf{D}(l), \qquad l_{s_n}(v, \phi_n) = (v, f_n)_{\mathscr{H}}.\tag{47}
$$

Choosing $v := \phi_n$ for the test function in (47), we have

$$
I_{s_n}[\phi_n] = (\phi_n, f_n)_{\mathcal{H}} \le ||\phi_n||_{\mathcal{H}} ||f_n||_{\mathcal{H}} = ||\phi_n||_{\mathcal{H}}.
$$
 (48)

Recalling (45), we obtain from (48) the uniform bound

$$
\|\phi_n\|_{\mathscr{H}} \le \frac{C}{\lambda_1}.\tag{49}
$$

At the same time, employing the first inequality in (45), the bounds (48) and (49) yield

$$
\|\partial_{\rho}\phi_n\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2 \le \frac{C^2}{\lambda_1}, \quad \left\|\frac{\partial_{\varphi}\phi_n}{\rho}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2 \le \frac{C^2}{\lambda_1}, \quad \|\rho\phi_n\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2 \le \frac{16C^2}{\lambda_1}.\tag{50}
$$

It follows from (49) and (50) that $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in D(*l*) equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_l$. Therefore it is precompact in the weak topology of this space. Let ϕ_{∞} be a weak limit point, *i.e.*, for an increasing sequence ${n_j}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_j \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$, ${\{\phi_{n_j}\}}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to ϕ_{∞} in $D(l)$. Actually, we may assume that the sequence converges strongly in \mathcal{H} because $D(l)$ is compactly embedded in \mathcal{H} . Summing up,

$$
\phi_{n_j} \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} \phi_{\infty} \quad \text{in } D(l) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{n_j} \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} \phi_{\infty} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}.
$$
 (51)

Now we pass to the limit as $n \to \infty$ in (47). Taking any test function $v \in C_0^1(U)$ in (47), with *n* being replaced by n_i , and sending *j* to infinity, we obtain from (51) the identity

$$
l(v, \phi_{\infty}) = (v, f)_{\mathscr{H}}.\tag{52}
$$

In the limit, we have used (8) to get rid of the terms containing θ'_{s_n} . More specifically, we write

$$
|(\partial_{\rho}v, \theta'_{s_n}\partial_{\varphi}\phi_n)_{\mathscr{H}}| \leq ||\rho \theta'_{s_n}\partial_{\rho}v||_{\mathscr{H}} \left\|\frac{\partial_{\varphi}\phi_n}{\rho}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}},
$$

where the second term on the right hand side is bounded due to (50), while

$$
\|\rho \theta'_{s_n} \partial_\rho v\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2 = \int_U \rho^2 e^{s_n} \theta'(e^{s_n/2} \rho)^2 |\partial_\rho v(\rho, \varphi)|^2 \rho d\rho d\varphi \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0
$$

by the dominated convergence theorem using (8) (to get a dominating function) and $\theta'(r) = 0$ for all sufficiently large r (to get a pointwise convergence). A similar argument holds for the other terms containing θ'_{s_n} .

Since $C_0^1(U)$ is a core of *l*, then (52) holds true for all $v \in D(l)$. We conclude that $\phi_{\infty} = L^{-1}f$, for any weak limit point of $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. From the strong convergence of $\{\phi_{n_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, we eventually conclude with (46).

2.5. A lower bound to the decay rate. Since the operator L is naturally decoupled, its spectrum is easy to find.

Proposition 4. We have

$$
\sigma(L) = \left\{ n + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2a} \right) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}^*},
$$
\n(53)

where we use the convention $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote $\mathbb{N}^* := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$

Proof. We have the direct-sum decomposition $(cf. [30], Ex. X.1.4)$

$$
L = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} L_m, \qquad L_m := -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \rho \partial_{\rho} + \frac{v_m}{\rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{16},
$$

where, for each fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, L_m is an operator in $L^2((0, \infty), \rho \, d\rho)$ and

$$
\nu_m := \left(\frac{m}{2a}\right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\nu_m \varphi), \tag{54}
$$

with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, are respectively the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions of the operator $-\partial_{\varphi}^{2}$ in $L^{2}((0, 2\pi a))$, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions. Fixing $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions of the one-dimensional operator L_m are respectively given by (cf. [22], Prop. 3)

$$
n + \frac{1 + \sqrt{\nu_m}}{2}
$$
 and $\rho^{\nu_m} e^{-\rho^2/8} L_n^{\nu_m} (\rho^2/4),$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and L_n^{μ} are the generalised Laguerre polynomials (see, *e.g.*, [2], Sec. 6.2). Summing up, using the decoupled form of (43) , the spectrum of L is composed of the eigenvalue sum

$$
n + \frac{1 + \sqrt{\nu_m}}{2}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}^*,
$$
 (55)

associated with the eigenfunctions

$$
\rho^{\nu_m} e^{-\rho^2/8} L_n^{\nu_m} (\rho^2/4) \sin(\nu_m \varphi).
$$
 (56)

Formula (55) leads to the set sum (53). \Box

As a consequence of Proposition 3, the eigenvalues of L_s converge to the eigenvalues of L as $s \to \infty$. In particular, for the lowest eigenvalue we have

$$
\lambda_{\theta,a}(\infty) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \lambda_{\theta,a}(s) = \inf \sigma(L) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4a},\tag{57}
$$

where the second equality follows from Proposition 4. Recalling (42), we have thus established the following result.

Theorem 3. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds and supp θ' is compact in R. Then

$$
\Gamma_{\theta,a} \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4a}.\tag{58}
$$

2.6. An upper bound to the decay rate—proof of Theorem 1. In view of Theorem 3, it remains to show that the lower bound to $\Gamma_{\theta,a}$ is optimal.

Theorem 4. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds and supp θ' is compact in R. Then

$$
\Gamma_{\theta,a} \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4a}.\tag{59}
$$

Proof. By definition (7), it is enough to find an initial datum $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_w$ such that the solution of (23) satisfies the inequality $\|\psi(t)\|_{\mathscr{H}} \ge c(1+t)^{-\lambda_{\theta,a}(\infty)}$ for all $t \geq 0$ with some positive constant c that may depend on ψ_0 . We choose $\psi_0(r, \varphi) := w(x)^{-1/2} \phi_0(r, \varphi)$, where

$$
\phi_0(r,\varphi) := r^{\nu_1} e^{-|x|^2/8} \sin(\nu_1 \varphi)
$$

is the eigenfunction of L corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue (57) ($cf.$ (56), where we have used the identities $L_0^{\mu} = 1$ and $H_0 = 1$). Then the function ϕ defined by (33) and (26) solves (34), where ψ is the solution of (23). Let R be such that $\theta'(r) = 0$ for all $r \ge R$. By (25), $\theta'_{s}(\rho) = 0$ for all $\rho \ge e^{-s/2}R =: R_{s}$. Since the action of L_s coincides with the action of L wherever $\theta'_s = 0$, we have the explicit solution

$$
\phi(\rho,\varphi,s) = e^{-s\lambda_{\theta,a}(\infty)}\phi_0(\rho,\varphi).
$$

for every $s \geq 0$ and $(\rho, \varphi) \in [R_s, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi a)$. Recalling (31) and (33) together with the relationship (25), we get

$$
\|\psi(t)\|_{\mathscr{H}} = \|w^{-1/2}\phi(s)\|_{\mathscr{H}} \ge \|\chi_{R_s}w^{-1/2}\phi(s)\|_{\mathscr{H}}
$$

\n
$$
= e^{-s\lambda_{\theta,a}(\infty)}\|\chi_{R_s}\psi_0\|_{\mathscr{H}}
$$

\n
$$
\ge e^{-s\lambda_{\theta,a}(\infty)}\|\chi_R\psi_0\|_{\mathscr{H}}
$$

\n
$$
= (1+t)^{-\lambda_{\theta,a}(\infty)}\|\chi_R\psi_0\|_{\mathscr{H}}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where χ_r denotes the characteristic function of the set $(r, \infty) \times$ $(0, 2\pi a)$.

Theorem 1 follows as a consequence of Theorems 3 and 4.

2.7. From normwise to pointwise bounds—proof of Corollary 1. Corollary 1 follows as a consequence of this more general result.

Theorem 5. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds and supp θ' is compact in R. For any positive numbers ε and δ , there exists a constant $C_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ such that the solution u of (10) with an arbitrary initial datum $u_0 \in L^2_w(\Omega)$ obeys

$$
\forall t \ge \varepsilon, \qquad \|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C_{\delta,\varepsilon} (1+t-\varepsilon)^{-\Gamma_{\theta,a}+\delta} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{60}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\theta,a}$ is given by (9).

Proof. Using the semigroup property, the solution u of (10) satisfies

$$
u(t) = e^{t\Delta_D^{\Omega}}u_0 = e^{\varepsilon \Delta_D^{\Omega}} e^{(t-\varepsilon)\Delta_D^{\Omega}}u_0 = e^{\varepsilon \Delta_D^{\Omega}} u(t-\varepsilon)
$$

for every $t \ge \varepsilon > 0$. By [8], Thm. 2.1.6, the heat kernel $k(x, x', t)$ of $e^{t\Delta_D^{\Omega}}$ is bounded by the heat kernel in the whole Euclidean space, i.e.,

$$
0 \le k(x, x', t) \le (4\pi t)^{-1} e^{-|x - x'|^2/(4t)}
$$
\n(61)

for every $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $x, x' \in \Omega$. Using the Schwarz inequality and (61), we get

$$
|u(x,t)| = \Big| \int_{\Omega} k(x, x', \varepsilon) u(x', t - \varepsilon) dx' \Big|
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||u(t - \varepsilon)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} k(x, x', \varepsilon)^{2} dx'}
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||u(t - \varepsilon)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} (4\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-|x - x'|^{2}/(2\varepsilon)} dx'}
$$

\n
$$
= ||u(t - \varepsilon)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} (4\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} (2\pi\varepsilon)^{1/2}
$$

for every $t \ge \varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega$. Denoting $c_{\varepsilon} := (4\pi\varepsilon)^{-1}(2\pi\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ and using (11), we eventually obtain

$$
||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c_{\varepsilon} C_{\delta} (1+t-\varepsilon)^{-\Gamma_{\theta,a}+\delta} ||u_0||_{L^2_{w}(\Omega)}
$$

for every $t \ge \varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$.

3. The Hardy inequality

This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 2. We again use the curvilinear coordinates of Section 2.1.

3.1. An immediate Hardy inequality. Employing (18) , it is easy to establish (13) with $c = 0$, that is,

$$
\forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \qquad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \ge \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx. \tag{62}
$$

Indeed, it is enough to estimate the angular part of the gradient in (18) by the lowest eigenvalue of the operator $-\partial_{\varphi}^2$ in $L^2((0, 2\pi a))$, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions, *i.e.* to use the Poincaré-type inequality (*cf.* (54)),

$$
\forall u \in H_0^1((0, 2\pi a)), \qquad \int_0^{2\pi a} |f'(\varphi)|^2 d\varphi \ge \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_0^{2\pi a} |f(\varphi)|^2 d\varphi, \tag{63}
$$

and simply neglect the other term in (18).

By a test-function argument, it is also easy to see that the immediate Hardy inequality (62) is optimal for straight wedges (*i.e.* $\theta' = 0$), in the sense that the operator $-\Delta_D^{\Omega_0} - (4a^2|x|^2)^{-1} - V(x)$ (where the first sum should be understood in a form sense) possesses negative eigenvalues for any non-negative non-trivial potential $V \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_0)$. Alternatively, the claim can be found in [13], Ex. 11.1 (see also [14], Ex. 1.4), where the question of optimal Hardy weights for elliptic operators is treated in a great generality.

The content of our Theorem 2 is that a positive term can be added on the right hand side of (62) provided that θ' is not identically equal to zero. Our approach employs some ideas of [23].

3.2. An improved local Hardy inequality. First, we establish an improved Hardy inequality, for which the added term on the right hand side of (62) is not positive everywhere in Ω .

Given a positive number R, define $U_R := (0, R) \times (0, 2\pi a)$. Using (14), $\Omega_R :=$ $\mathscr{L}(U_R)$ is a bounded subset of Ω . We set

$$
\lambda(R,\theta') := \inf_{\begin{subarray}{l}\psi \in C_0^1(U) \\ \psi \neq 0\end{subarray}} \frac{\int_{U_R} \left[\left| (\partial_r - \theta'(r)\partial_\varphi)\psi \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial_\varphi \psi}{r} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4a^2} \left| \frac{\psi}{r} \right|^2 \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi}{\int_{U_R} |\psi|^2 r \, dr \, d\varphi}.
$$
 (64)

We emphasise that the test functions ψ are restrictions of functions from the whole U , so that the minimisers of (64) satisfy a Neumann boundary condition on $\{R\}\times(0, 2\pi a)$. The following result follows easily from Proposition 1 and definition (64).

Theorem 6. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds. We have

$$
\forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \qquad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx \ge \lambda(R, \theta') \int_{\Omega_R} |u(x)|^2 dx. \tag{65}
$$

Of course, (65) represents an improvement upon (62) only if the number $\lambda(R, \theta')$ is positive. In this case we call (65) a local Hardy inequality. It turns out that it can be always achieved provided that Ω is non-trivially curved.

Proposition 5. Let $\theta \in C^1((0,\infty))$ be such that (8) holds. If $\theta' \neq 0$, then there exists a positive number R_0 such that

$$
\lambda(R,\theta')>0
$$

for all $R \geq R_0$.

Proof. Let R_0 be any positive number for which θ' is not identically equal to zero on $(0, R_0)$. Then, of course, $\theta' \neq 0$ on $(0, R)$ for every $R \geq R_0$. Because of the boundedness of U_R , one can show that the infimum in (64) is achieved by a function $\psi \in L^2(U_R, r \, dr \, d\varphi)$ satisfying

$$
\int_{U_R} \left[\left| \left(\partial_r - \theta'(r) \partial_\varphi \right) \psi \right|^2 \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi < \infty,
$$
\n
$$
\int_{U_R} \left[\left| \frac{\partial_\varphi \psi}{r} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4a^2} \left| \frac{\psi}{r} \right|^2 \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi < \infty.
$$
\n
$$
(66)
$$

Moreover, by elliptic regularity, ψ is smooth in U_R . Now let us assume, by contradiction, that $\lambda(R, \theta') = 0$. Then the integrals in (66) are simultaneously

equal to zero due to (63). From the vanishing of the second integral, we obtain

$$
\psi(r,\varphi)=g(r)\sin(v_1\varphi),
$$

where v_1 is the first angular eigenvalue defined in (54) and g is a smooth function. Plugging this separated function ψ into the first integral in (66), putting it equal to zero and integrating by parts, we conclude with the two identities

$$
\int_0^R |g'(r)|^2 r \, dr = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^R \theta'(r)^2 |g(r)|^2 r \, dr = 0.
$$

It follows that $\theta' = 0$ on $(0, R)$, a contradiction.

3.3. An improved global Hardy inequality—proof of Theorem 2. In the next step, we produce from the local Hardy inequality (65) the desired inequality (13), with an everywhere positive Hardy weight. Here the main ingredient is the following classical one-dimensional Hardy inequality, which we present without proof (*cf.* [7], Lem. 3.1).

Lemma 1. Let $r_0 > 0$. We have

$$
\forall g \in C_0^1((r_0, \infty)), \qquad \int_{r_0}^{\infty} |g'(r)|^2 r dr \ge \frac{1}{4} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{|g(r)|^2}{r^2 \log^2(r/r_0)} r dr.
$$

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. By virtue of Proposition 1, it is enough to prove

$$
\tilde{h}[\psi] := h[\psi] - \frac{1}{4a^2} \left\| \frac{\psi}{r} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2 \ge c \int_U \frac{|\psi|^2}{1 + r^2 \log^2(r)} r \, dr \, d\varphi \tag{67}
$$

for every $\psi \in C_0^1(U)$. From now on, we fix some $R > 0$ such that the support of θ' lies inside the interval [0, R]. We note that, since θ is assumed to be C^1 -smooth up to the boundary point 0 and the support of θ' is compact in R, the condition (8) is satisfied and θ' is bounded.

First, we shall apply Lemma 1 with $r_0 := R/2$. Let $\xi : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ be a smooth function with support disjoint with the interval [0, r_0] and such that $\xi = 1$ on (R, ∞) . (We keep the same notation ξ for the function $\xi \otimes 1$ on $(0, \infty) \times$ $(0, 2\pi a)$.) Writing $\psi = \xi \psi + (1 - \xi) \psi$ and using Lemma 1 with help of Fubini's theorem, we get

$$
\int_{U} \frac{|\psi|^2}{1+r^2 \log^2(r/r_0)} r dr d\varphi
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2 \int_{U} \frac{|\xi\psi|^2}{r^2 \log^2(r/r_0)} r dr d\varphi + 2 \int_{U} |(1-\xi)\psi|^2 r dr d\varphi
$$
\n
$$
\leq 8 \int_{U} |\partial_r(\xi\psi)|^2 r dr d\varphi + 2 \int_{U_R} |\psi|^2 r dr d\varphi
$$
\n
$$
\leq 16 \int_{U} |\partial_r\psi|^2 r dr d\varphi + 16 \int_{U_R} |\partial_r\xi|^2 |\psi|^2 r dr d\varphi + 2 \int_{U_R} |\psi|^2 r dr d\varphi
$$
\n
$$
\leq 16 \int_{U} |\partial_r\psi|^2 r dr d\varphi + (16||\xi'||_{\infty}^2 + 2) \int_{U_R} |\psi|^2 r dr d\varphi.
$$
\n(68)

Here $\|\xi'\|_{\infty}$ is the supremum norm of the derivative of ξ as a function on $(0, \infty)$.

Second, to apply (68), we need to estimate $\tilde{h}[\psi]$ by the integral involving the radial derivative of ψ . It can be achieved by adapting (20) as follows

$$
\tilde{h}[\psi] \ge \int_{U} \left[\epsilon |\partial_{r} \psi|^{2} + \left| \frac{\partial_{\varphi} \psi}{r} \right|^{2} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} |r \theta'(r)|^{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4a^{2}} \left| \frac{\psi}{r} \right|^{2} \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi
$$
\n
$$
\ge \int_{U} \left[\epsilon |\partial_{r} \psi|^{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} |\theta'(r)|^{2} \frac{|\psi|^{2}}{4a^{2}} \right] r \, dr \, d\varphi
$$
\n
$$
\ge \epsilon \int_{U} |\partial_{r} \psi|^{2} r \, dr \, d\varphi - \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \frac{||\theta'||_{\infty}^{2}}{4a^{2}} \int_{U_{R}} |\psi|^{2} r \, dr \, d\varphi. \tag{69}
$$

Here the second inequality is due to (63) after choosing ϵ sufficiently small comparing to the supremum norm of the function $r \mapsto r\theta'(r)$.

Finally, by Theorem 6, we have

$$
\tilde{h}[\psi] \ge \lambda(R, \theta') \int_{U_R} |\psi|^2 r \, dr \, d\varphi. \tag{70}
$$

Combining (68) with (69) and interpolating the result with (70), we get

$$
\tilde{h}[\psi] \ge \delta \frac{\epsilon}{16} \int_U \frac{|\psi|^2}{1 + r^2 \log^2(r)} r \, dr \, d\varphi
$$

+
$$
\left[(1 - \delta) \lambda(R, \theta') - \delta \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \frac{\|\theta'\|_{\infty}^2}{4a^2} - \delta \epsilon \left(\|\xi'\|_{\infty}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \right) \right] \int_{U_R} |\psi|^2 r \, dr \, d\varphi
$$

with any $\delta > 0$. Since $\lambda(R, \theta')$ is positive due to Proposition 5 and our hypothesis about θ' , we can choose $\delta > 0$ in such a way that the square bracket vanishes and obtain (67) with

$$
c \ge \delta \frac{\epsilon}{16} \inf_{r \in (0,\infty)} \frac{1 + r^2 \log^2(r)}{1 + r^2 \log^2(r/r_0)} > 0
$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2. \Box

3.4. A conjecture about the heat flow. Let T_D^{Ω} denote the self-adjoint operator associated with the closure of the quadratic form

$$
\dot{t}_D^{\Omega}[u] := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4a^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2} dx, \qquad D(\dot{t}_D^{\Omega}) := C_0^1(\Omega). \tag{71}
$$

Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2 (namely, $\theta' \neq 0$), T_{D}^{Ω} satisfies a Hardy inequality (*cf.* (13)), while there is no Hardy inequality for $T_D^{\Omega_0}$ corresponding to a straight wedge. In the language of [28], T_D^{Ω} (with $\theta' \neq 0$) and $T_D^{\Omega_0}$ are subcritical and critical operators, respectively. In accordance with general conjectures stated in [23], Sec. 6 and [18], Conj. 1, we expect that the heat semigroup associated with T_D^{Ω} (with $\theta' \neq 0$) should decay faster comparing to the heat semigroup associated with $T_D^{\Omega_0}$.

More specifically, to deal with the fact that the operators T_D^{Ω} and $T_D^{\Omega_0}$ act in different Hilbert spaces, let us consider instead

$$
H_D^{\Omega} := \mathscr{U} T_D^{\Omega} \mathscr{U}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad H_D^{\Omega_0} := \mathscr{U}_0 T_D^{\Omega_0} \mathscr{U}_0^{-1},
$$

where the unitary transforms $\mathcal U$ and $\mathcal U_0$ are introduced in Section 2.1 (recall also (16)). The operators H_D^{Ω} and $H_D^{\Omega_0}$ act in the same Hilbert space $\mathcal H$ introduced in (17). Then the general conjecture from [23], Sec. 6 reads as follows:

Conjecture 1. Let $\theta \in C^1([0,\infty))$ be such that supp θ' is compact in $\mathbb R$ and $\theta' \neq 0$. Then there exists a positive function $w: U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\|e^{-tH_D^{\Omega}}\|_{\mathscr{H}_w\to\mathscr{H}}}{\|e^{-tH_D^{\Omega_0}}\|_{\mathscr{H}_w\to\mathscr{H}}}=0,
$$

where the weighted space \mathcal{H}_w is defined as in (32).

A similar conjecture can be stated for the heat kernels of H_D^{Ω} and $H_D^{\Omega_0}$, cf. [18], Conj. 1.

112 D. Krejčiřík

Acknowledgment. I thank Michiel van den Berg for questions that led me to start this work, fruitful discussions and useful remarks on a previous version of this manuscript. I am also indebted to Cristian Cazacu and Martin Kolb for valuable comments. The work was partially supported by the project RVO61389005 and the GACR grant No. 14-06818S. The award from the Neuron fund for support of science, Czech Republic, May 2014, is also acknowledged.

References

- [1] A. Ancona, On strong barriers and an inequality of Hardy for domains in \mathbb{R}^n , J. London Math. Soc. 34 (1986), 274–290.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, Special functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [3] R. Bañuelos, R. D. DeBlassie, and R. G. Smits, The first exit time of planar Brownian [motion from the](http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.6433) interior of a parabola, Ann. Probab. 29 (2001), 882–901.
- [4] R. Bañuelos and R. G. Smits, *Brownian motion in cones*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 108 (1997), 299–319.
- [5] H. Brezis, Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations, Springer, 2011.
- [6] H. Carslaw and J. Jaeger, Conduction of heat in solids, Oxford University Press, New York, 1959.
- [7] C. Cazacu and D. Krejčiřík, The Hardy inequality and the heat equation with magnetic field in any dimension, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, to appear; arXiv:1409.6433 [math.SP] (2014).
- [8] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [9] E. B. Davies, *The Hardy constant*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **46** (1995), 417–431.
- [10] E. B. Davies, A review of Hardy inequalities, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 110, Birkhaüser, Basel-Boston, 1999, (Conference i[n honour of V. G](http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.05205). Maz'ya, Rostock, 1998), pp. 55–67.
- [11] R. D. DeBlassie, *Exit times from cones in* \mathbb{R}^n of *Brownian motion*, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields 74 (1987), 1–29.
- [12] D. Denisov and V. Wachtel, Random walks in cones, Ann. Probab. (2015), 992–1044.
- [13] B. Devyver, M. Fraas, and Y. Pinchover, *Optimal Hardy weight for second-order* elliptic operator: an answer to a problem of Agmon, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), 4422–4489.
- [14] B. Devyver, Y. Pinchover, and G. Psaradakis, Optimal Hardy inequalities in cones, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, to appear; arXiv:1502.05205 [math.SP] (2015).
- [15] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, Spectral theory and differential operators, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [16] T. Ekholm, H. Kovařík, and D. Krejčiřík, A Hardy inequality in twisted waveguides, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 188 (2008), 245–264.

- [17] M. Escobedo and O. Kavian, Variational problems related to self-similar solutions of the heat equation, Nonlinear Anal.-Theor. 11 (1987), 1103–1133.
- [18] M. Fraas, D. Krejčiřík, and Y. Pinchover, On some strong ratio limit theorems for heat kernels, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems A 28 (2010), 495–509.
- $[19]$ I. M. Glazman, *Direct methods of qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential* operators, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, 1965.
- [20] A. Grigor'yan, Heat kernel and analysis on manifolds, AMS/IP studies in advanced mathematics, American Mathematical Soc., 2009.
- [21] M. Kolb and D. Krejčiřík, *The Brownian traveller on manifolds*, J. Spectr. Theory 4 (2014), 235–281.
- [22] D. Krejčiřík, The improved decay rate for the heat semigroup with local magnetic field in the plane, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 47 (2013), 207–226.
- [23] D. Krejčiřík and E. Zuazua, The Hardy inequality and the heat equation in twisted tubes, J. Math. Pures Appl. 94 (2010), 277–303.
- $[24]$ D. Krejčiřík and E. Zuazua, The asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation in a twisted Dirichlet-Neumann wavequide, J. Differential Equations 250 (2011), 2334–2346.
- [25] A. Laptev and A. Sobolev, *Hardy inequalities for simply connected planar domains*, Spectral theory of differential operators (Providence, RI), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008, pp. 133–140.
- [26] W. V. Li, The first exit time of a Brownian motion from an unbounded convex domain, Ann. Probab. 31 (2003), 1078–1096.
- [27] M. Lifshits and Z. Shi, The first exit time of Brownian motion from a parabolic domain, Bernoulli 8 (2002), 745–765.
- [28] Y. Pinchover, Some aspects of large time behavior of the heat kernel: an overview with perspectives, Mathematical Physics, Spectral Theory and Stochastic Analysis (Basel) (M. Demuth and W. Kirsch, eds.), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 232, Springer Verlag, 2013, pp. 299–339.
- [29] R. G. Pinsky, *Positive harmonic functions and diffusion*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [30] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics, II. Fourier analysis. Self-adjointness, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [31] M. van den Berg, Subexponential behaviour of the Dirichlet heat kernel, J. Funct. Anal. 198 (2003), 28–42.
- [32] D. R. Yafaev, *The low energy scattering for slowly decreasing potentials*, Commun. Math. Phys. 85 (1982), 177–196.

Received October 25, 2015; revised January 1, 2016

D. Krejčiřík, Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute ASCR, 25068 Rež, Czech Republic

E-mail: krejcirik@ujf.cas.cz