Uniform regularity for the flow of a chemically reacting gaseous mixture

Jianzhu Sun and Tong Tang

Abstract. Uniform regularity plays an important role in the global existence of strong solutions and large time behavior of global solutions. In this work, we prove the uniform regularity of smooth solutions to the compressible flow of a chemically reacting gaseous mixture in \mathbb{T}^3 .

1. Introduction

The flow of chemically reacting gaseous mixture is associated with a variety of phenomena and processes: pollutant formation, biotechnology, fuel droplets in combustion, sprays, and astrophysical plasma. Due to its numerous applications, the chemically reacting gaseous mixture have been the subject of many theoretical research and engineering. However, it is formidable and complex to describe and analyze this model mathematically due to the complicate radiating and thermonuclear processes, as well as a number of physical hypothesis. Therefore, simplification should be introduced. It is well known that there are three classical different ways for simplification: simplifying the reactive process, simplifying the fluid dynamics and simplifying the coupling relationship. More precisely, a wide variety of nuclear reactions take place inside the star and produce the burning of the constitutive elements, giving rise to a self-consistent production of energy. As pointed out by Bebernes et al. [\[2\]](#page-9-0), Ducomet [\[10\]](#page-9-1), Feireisl et al. [\[15\]](#page-10-0), people introduce a simple reacting process with first-order kinetics and it is coupled with a compressible Navier–Stokes–Possion equations system. Inspired by $[10, 15]$ $[10, 15]$ $[10, 15]$, we consider the following system of simple reacting compressible flows in astrophysics:

$$
\partial_t \rho + \text{div}(\rho u) = 0, \qquad (1.1)
$$

$$
\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u = -\rho \nabla \phi, \qquad (1.2)
$$

$$
\partial_t(\rho Y) + \operatorname{div}(\rho uY) - \varepsilon \Delta Y + k\rho Y = 0, \tag{1.3}
$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35Q30; Secondary 35L15, 35L53. *Keywords.* Compressible, uniform regularity, reacting gaseous mixture.

$$
-\Delta \phi + e^{\phi} = \rho \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, \infty), \tag{1.4}
$$

$$
(\rho, u, Y)(\cdot, 0) = (\rho_0, u_0, Y_0)(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3. \tag{1.5}
$$

Here, ρ denotes the density, u the velocity field, Y the reactant fraction, and ϕ is a potential function, λ and μ are two viscosity constants satisfying

$$
\mu > 0
$$
 and $\lambda + \frac{2}{3}\mu \ge 0$,

 ε and k are positive constants; and $p := a\rho^{\gamma}$ is the pressure with the constants $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$. Compared with [\[10,](#page-9-1)[15\]](#page-10-0), we neglect the temperature of the mixture and consider a simple diffusion flux with a more complex and general Poisson equation [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0). This simplification leads to a possibility of considering the above system as isentropic compressible models from the mathematical viewpoint.

Generally speaking, the highly complex nonlinearity and coupling shows wellposedness is not an easy issue. In recent years, the corresponding study have paid a lot of attentions. Let us recall some known results. Feireisl and his coauthors [\[16\]](#page-10-1) studied a multi-dimensional model for the dynamic combustion of a viscous, compressible, radiative and reactive gas with higher order kinetics. They obtained the global existence of a weak solution, which relies on the concept of a variational solution. Based on the seminal work of Feireisl, Donatelli and Trivisa [\[8\]](#page-9-2) established the global existence of weak solutions with large initial data for a multi-dimensional combustion model. And they [\[7\]](#page-9-3) extended the result to a more general situation, where the heat conductivity and viscosity depend on the temperature, pressure depends on the density, temperature and reactant. We refer readers to $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ $[3,9,10,17,19,20,22-25]$ for more details and other results.

For the one-dimensional case, Ducomet [\[11\]](#page-10-6) established the global existence and exponential decay in $H¹$ of solutions to the one-dimensional model for $q > 4$. Later on, Ducomet and Zlotnik [\[12](#page-10-7)[–14\]](#page-10-8) established the existence of global solutions to the one-dimensional model under rather general assumptions on q . Moreover, they obtained the exponential stabilization for solutions by constructing new Lyapunov functionals. Chen, Hoff and Trivisa [\[4–](#page-9-6)[6\]](#page-9-7) studied the discontinuous solutions with large discontinuous initial data for the one-dimensional model.

Before stating our main results, we recall the local existence of smooth solutions to the problem (1.1) – (1.5) . Since the system (1.1) – (1.5) is a parabolic-hyperbolic one, the results in [\[27\]](#page-11-1) imply the following.

Proposition 1.1 ([\[27\]](#page-11-1)). Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$ be an integer and assume that the initial data satisfy

$$
\rho_0, u_0, Y_0 \in H^s \quad and \quad \frac{1}{C_0} \le \rho_0
$$
\n(1.6)

for a positive constant C_0 *. Then the problem* (1.1) – (1.5) *has a unique smooth solution* (ρ, u, Y) *satisfying*

$$
\rho \in C^{\ell}([0, T); H^{s-\ell}), \quad u, Y \in C^{\ell}([0, T); H^{s-2\ell}), \quad \ell = 0, 1; \quad \frac{1}{C} \le \rho \quad (1.7)
$$

for some $0 < T \leq \infty$ *.*

The aim of this paper is to prove uniform regularity estimates in $(\lambda, \mu, \varepsilon)$. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $0 < \mu < 1$, $0 < \lambda + \mu < 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $0 < \frac{1}{C_0} \le \rho_0$, $0 \le Y_0$, $\rho_0, u_0, Y_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{T}^3)$. Let (ρ, u, Y, ϕ) be the unique local smooth solutions to the *problem* [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0)*–*[\(1.5\)](#page-1-1)*. Then*

$$
\|(\rho, u, Y)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^3} \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^5} \le C \quad \text{in } [0, T] \tag{1.8}
$$

hold true for some positive constants C *and* T_0 ($\leq T$) *independent of* λ *,* μ *and* ε *.*

We define

$$
M(t) := 1 + \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \left\{ \| (\rho, u, Y, p)(\cdot, \tau) \|_{H^3} + \| \partial_t u(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2} \right. \\ \left. + \| \partial_t Y(\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^2} + \| \frac{1}{\rho} (\cdot, \tau) \|_{L^\infty} \right\}.
$$
 (1.9)

We can prove:

Theorem 1.2. *For any* $t \in [0, T_0)$ *, we have that*

$$
M(t) \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)) \tag{1.10}
$$

for some nondecreasing continuous functions $C_0(\cdot)$ *and* $C(\cdot)$ *.*

It follows from (1.10) , see $[1, 21]$ $[1, 21]$ $[1, 21]$, that

$$
M(t) \le C. \tag{1.11}
$$

If we can prove Theorem [1.2,](#page-2-1) then Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2) follows immediately. Therefore, we only need to show Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-1)

In the following proofs, we will use the bilinear commutator and product estimates due to Kato–Ponce [\[18\]](#page-10-10),

$$
||D^{s}(fg) - fD^{s}g||_{L^{p}} \le C(||\nabla f||_{L^{p_{1}}}||D^{s-1}g||_{L^{q_{1}}} + ||g||_{L^{p_{2}}}||D^{s}f||_{L^{q_{2}}}), \quad (1.12)
$$

$$
||D^{s}(fg)||_{L^{p}} \le C(||f||_{L^{p_{1}}}||D^{s}g||_{L^{q_{1}}} + ||D^{s}f||_{L^{p_{2}}}||g||_{L^{q_{2}}}), \quad (1.13)
$$

with $D = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $s > 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}$.

2. Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-1)

First, testing [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) by ρ^{q-1} , we see that

$$
\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\int \rho^q dx = \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)\int \rho^q \operatorname{div} u dx \le ||\operatorname{div} u||_{L^\infty} \int \rho^q dx,
$$

and it is clear that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\rho\|_{L^q} \leq \|\mathrm{div}\, u\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^q}.
$$

A routine computation gives rise to

$$
\|\rho\|_{L^{q}} \le \|\rho_0\|_{L^{q}} \exp\Big(\int_0^t \|\text{div}\, u\|_{L^{\infty}} \text{d}\tau\Big). \tag{2.1}
$$

Taking $q \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$
\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|\rho_0\|_{L^{\infty}} \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.2}
$$

Due to the mass equation (1.1) , it follows that

$$
\partial_t \frac{1}{\rho} + u \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} u = 0. \tag{2.3}
$$

Proceeding as [\(2.1\)](#page-3-0), we multiply [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) by $(\frac{1}{\rho})^{q-1}$ and get the following:

$$
\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\int \Big(\frac{1}{\rho}\Big)^q dx = \left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)\int \Big(\frac{1}{\rho}\Big)^q \operatorname{div} u \mathrm{d}x \le \left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) \Big\|\frac{1}{\rho}\Big\|_{L^q}^q \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty}.
$$

It is obvious to obtain that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^q} \leq \left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)\left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^q}\|\mathrm{div}\,u\|_{L^\infty},
$$

which gives

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^q} \le \left\|\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right\|_{L^q} \exp\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) \int_0^t \|\mathrm{div}\, u\|_{L^\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau\right).
$$

Sending $q \rightarrow +\infty$ leads that

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \left\|\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.4}
$$

Thus, combining (2.2) and (2.4) , we have

$$
\|p\|_{L^{\infty}} + \left\|\frac{1}{p}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)).
$$
 (2.5)

It is easy to verify that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int |u|^2\mathrm{d}x=2\int u\partial_t u\mathrm{d}x\leq 2\|u\|_{L^2}\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}\leq C(M),
$$

which implies

$$
||u||_{L^2} \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)).
$$
\n(2.6)

Testing [\(1.5\)](#page-1-1) by $-\Delta\phi$ yields the following estimate:

$$
\|\Delta \phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \int e^{\phi} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx = -\int \rho \Delta \phi dx
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \rho^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |\Delta \phi|^2 dx,
$$

which gives

$$
\|\Delta \phi\|_{L^2} \le C \|\rho\|_{L^2}.
$$
\n(2.7)

We obtain the following equation by integrating [\(1.5\)](#page-1-1) over \mathbb{T}^3 :

$$
\int e^{\phi} dx = \int \rho dx = \int \rho_0 dx.
$$
 (2.8)

Recalling the following well-known Poincaré inequality

$$
\left\|\phi - \ln \int e^{\phi} dx\right\|_{L^2} \le C \left\|\nabla \phi\right\|_{L^2} \quad (\left|\mathbb{T}^3\right| = \left|[0,1]^3\right| = 1) \tag{2.9}
$$

and

$$
\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|\phi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \phi\|_{L^2},\tag{2.10}
$$

we can prove that

$$
\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C \|\phi\|_{H^2} = C \|\phi\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla^2 \phi\|_{L^2}
$$

\n
$$
\le C \|\phi\|_{L^2} + C \|\Delta \phi\|_{L^2}
$$

\n
$$
\le C + C \|\rho\|_{L^2}.
$$
\n(2.11)

It is evident that

$$
Y \ge 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, \infty). \tag{2.12}
$$

Multiplying (1.3) by Y and using (1.1) , we observe that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int \rho Y^2 \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int |\nabla Y|^2 \mathrm{d}x + k \int \rho Y^2 = 0,
$$

whence

$$
\int Y^2 dx + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int |\nabla Y|^2 dx dt \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.13}
$$

We now turn to obtain the higher regularity. First, we establish the higher estimate for density. It is straightforward to show that

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma p} \partial_t p + \frac{1}{\gamma p} u \cdot \nabla p + \text{div} \, u = 0. \tag{2.14}
$$

With the help of the proceeding inequalities [\(1.12\)](#page-2-3) and [\(1.13\)](#page-2-4), applying D^3 to [\(2.14\)](#page-5-0) and testing by $D^3 p$ give rise to

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{1}{\gamma p} (D^3 p)^2 dx + \int D^3 p D^3 \text{ div } u dx \n= \frac{1}{2} \int (D^3 p)^2 \Big[\text{ div } \Big(\frac{u}{\gamma p}\Big) - \frac{1}{\gamma p^2} \partial_t p \Big] dx \n- \int (D^3 \Big(\frac{1}{\gamma p} \partial_t p\Big) - \frac{1}{\gamma p} D^3 \partial_t p \Big) D^3 p dx \n- \int D^3 \Big(\frac{u}{\gamma p} \cdot \nabla p - \frac{u}{\gamma p} \cdot \nabla D^3 p \Big) D^3 p dx \n\leq C ||D^3 p||_{L^2}^2 \Big| \text{ div } \Big(\frac{u}{\gamma p}\Big) - \frac{1}{\gamma p^2} \partial_t p \Big|_{L^{\infty}} \n+ C ||\partial_t p||_{L^{\infty}} ||D^3 \Big(\frac{1}{\gamma p}\Big) ||_{L^2} ||D^3 p||_{L^2} \n+ C ||\nabla \frac{1}{\gamma p} ||_{L^{\infty}} ||D^2 \partial_t p||_{L^2} ||D^3 p||_{L^2} \n+ C ||\nabla p||_{L^{\infty}} ||D^3 \Big(\frac{u}{\gamma p}\Big) ||_{L^2} ||D^3 p||_{L^2} + ||\nabla \frac{u}{\gamma p}||_{L^{\infty}} ||D^3 p||_{L^2}^2 \n\leq C(M) + C(M) ||\partial_t p||_{L^{\infty}} + C(M) ||D^2 \partial_t p||_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) + C(M) ||u \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \text{ div } u||_{L^{\infty}} \n+ C(M) ||D^2 (u \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \text{ div } u)||_{L^2} \n\leq C(M). \qquad (2.15)
$$

Here we have used the following estimate [\[26\]](#page-11-2):

$$
\left\| D^3 \frac{1}{p} \right\|_{L^2} \le C(M) \| D^3 p \|_{L^2} \le C(M). \tag{2.16}
$$

Next, it is clear that

$$
\int_0^t \int |\partial_t u|^2 dx d\tau \le t \sup \int |\partial_t u|^2 dx \le t C(M). \tag{2.17}
$$

Operating D^2 to [\(1.2\)](#page-0-2) and testing by $D^2\partial_t u$, one gets by some direct calculations that

$$
\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |D^3 u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (D^2 \text{div } u)^2 dx + \int \rho |D^2 \partial_t u|^2 dx \n= - \int D^2 \nabla p \cdot D^2 \partial_t u dx - \int D^2 (\rho u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot D^2 \partial_t u dx \n- \int [D^2 (\rho \partial_t u) - \rho D^2 \partial_t u] D^2 \partial_t u dx - \int D^2 (\rho \nabla \phi) D^2 \partial_t u dx \n\leq C \|D^3 p\|_{L^2} \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} + C \| \rho \|_{H^2} \|u\|_{H^3}^2 \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \n+ C (\| \nabla \rho \|_{L^\infty} \|D \partial_t u\|_{L^2} + \| \partial_t u \|_{L^\infty} \|D^2 \rho \|_{L^2}) \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \n+ \|D^2 (\rho \nabla \phi) \|_{L^2} \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} + C(M) (\| D \partial_t u \|_{L^2} + \| \partial_t u \|_{L^\infty}) \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) \|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2} + C(M) \n\cdot (\| \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2} + \| \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}) \|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) \|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2} + C(M) (\|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}) \|D^2 \partial_t u \|_{L^2} \n\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \rho |D^2 \partial_t u|^2 dx + C(M),
$$

where we have used (1.12) and (1.13) . Integrating the above inequality gives that

$$
\int_0^t \int |D^2 \partial_t u|^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\tau \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.18}
$$

Then, performing D^3 to [\(1.2\)](#page-0-2), multiplying by D^3u , it follows from [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0), [\(1.12\)](#page-2-3) and [\(1.13\)](#page-2-4) that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |D^3 u|^2 dx + \mu \int |D^4 u|^2 dx + (\lambda + \mu) \int (D^3 \operatorname{div} u)^2 dx \n+ \int D^3 \nabla p \cdot D^3 u dx \n= - \int (D^3 (\rho \partial_t u) - \rho D^3 \partial_t u) D^3 u dx \n- \int (D^3 (\rho u \cdot \nabla u) - \rho u \cdot \nabla D^3 u) D^3 u dx - \int D^3 (\rho \nabla \phi) D^3 u dx \n\leq C (\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \rho\|_{L^2}) \|D^3 u\|_{L^2} \n+ C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 (\rho u)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla (\rho u)\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 u\|_{L^2}) \|D^3 u\|_{L^2} \n+ C (\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^4 \phi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \rho\|_{L^2}) \|D^3 u\|_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) + C(M) (\|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty})
$$
\n(2.19)

Now, it turns to the reaction fraction Y . The argument is analogous to that in [\(2.18\)](#page-6-0), we apply D^2 to [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) and test by $D^2 \partial_t Y$, then we derive

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (D^3 Y)^2 dx + \int \rho (D^2 \partial_t Y)^2 dx \n= - \int D^2 (k \rho Y) \cdot D^2 \partial_t Y dx - \int D^2 (\rho u \cdot \nabla Y) \cdot D^2 \partial_t Y dx \n- \int (D^2 (\rho \partial_t Y) - \rho D^2 \partial_t Y) D^2 \partial_t Y dx \n\leq C ||D^2 (\rho Y)||_{L^2} ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} \n+ C (||\rho u||_{L^{\infty}} ||D^3 Y||_{L^2} + ||\nabla Y||_{L^{\infty}} ||D^2 (\rho u)||_{L^2}) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} \n+ C (||\nabla \rho||_{L^{\infty}} ||D \partial_t Y||_{L^2} + ||\partial_t Y||_{L^{\infty}} ||D^2 \rho||_{L^2}) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} + C(M) (||D \partial_t Y||_{L^2} + ||\partial_t Y||_{L^{\infty}}) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} + C(M) (||\partial_t Y||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + ||\partial_t Y||_{L^2} \n+ ||\partial_t Y||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} + C(M) (||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}) ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2} \n\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \rho |D^2 \partial_t Y|^2 dx + C(M),
$$

which gives

$$
\int_0^t \int |D^2 \partial_t Y|^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\tau \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.20}
$$

Similar to [\(2.19\)](#page-6-1), performing D^3 to [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) and multiplying by D^3u yield that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |D^3 Y|^2 dx + \varepsilon \int |D^4 Y|^2 dx \n= - \int (D^3 (\rho \partial_t Y) - \rho D^3 \partial_t Y) D^3 Y dx \n- \int (D^3 (\rho u \cdot \nabla Y) - \rho u \cdot \nabla D^3 Y) D^3 Y dx \n- k \int D^3 (\rho Y) \cdot D^3 Y dx \n\leq C (\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2 \partial_t Y\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t Y\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \rho\|_{L^2}) \|D^3 Y\|_{L^2} \n+ C (\|\nabla (\rho u)\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 Y\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla Y\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 (\rho u)\|_{L^2}) \|D^3 Y\|_{L^2} \n+ C \|D^3 (\rho Y)\|_{L^2} \|D^3 Y\|_{L^2} \n\leq C(M) + C(M) (\|D^2 \partial_t Y\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t Y\|_{L^\infty}) \n\leq C(M) + \|D^2 \partial_t Y\|_{L^2}^2.
$$
\n(2.21)

Summing up (2.15) , (2.19) and (2.21) , we arrive at

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p} (D^3 p)^2 + \rho |D^3 u|^2 + \rho |D^3 Y|^2 \right) dx + \mu \int |D^4 u|^2 dx
$$

+ $(\lambda + \mu) \int (D^3 \text{ div } u)^2 dx + \varepsilon \int (D^4 Y)^2 dx$
+ $\int (D^3 p D^3 \text{ div } u + D^3 \nabla p D^3 u) dx$
 $\leq C(M) + ||D^2 \partial_t u||_{L^2}^2 + ||D^2 \partial_t Y||_{L^2}^2.$ (2.22)

Noting that the last term of the left-hand side of (2.22) is zero, using (2.18) and [\(2.20\)](#page-7-1), we have

$$
||D^{3}(p, u, Y)(\cdot, t)||_{L^{2}} \leq C_{0}(M_{0}) \exp(t C(M)). \tag{2.23}
$$

On the other hand, from (1.2) , it can be easily be shown that

$$
\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2} = \left\|\frac{1}{\rho} \left(-\rho \nabla \phi + \mu \Delta u + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u - \nabla p - \rho u \cdot \nabla u\right)\right\|_{L^2}
$$

$$
\leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.24}
$$

According to the estimate in [\[26\]](#page-11-2),

$$
||D^3 \rho||_{L^2} \le C(1 + ||p||_{L^{\infty}})^3 ||f||_{W^{3,\infty}(I)} ||D^3 p||_{L^2}
$$
 (2.25)

with $\rho = f(p) := \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ $\frac{p}{a}$ $\frac{1}{\gamma}$, and

$$
I \subset \Big(\frac{1}{C_0(M_0)}\exp(-tC(M)), C_0(M_0)\exp(tC(M))\Big),
$$

it follows that

$$
||D^3 \rho||_{L^2} \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.26}
$$

Using a similar argument, we have

$$
\|\partial_t Y\|_{L^2} \le C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \tag{2.27}
$$

 \blacksquare

Combining [\(2.4\)](#page-3-3), [\(2.5\)](#page-3-4), [\(2.6\)](#page-4-0), [\(2.23\)](#page-8-1), [\(2.24\)](#page-8-2), [\(2.26\)](#page-8-3) and [\(2.27\)](#page-8-4), we conclude that [\(1.10\)](#page-2-0) holds true.

This completes the proof.

3. Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the referees and the editors whose comments and suggestions greatly improved the presentation of this paper.

Funding. Tong Tang is partially supported by NSF of Jiangsu Province Grant No. BK20221369.

References

- [1] T. Alazard, Low Mach number limit of the full Navier–Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 180 (2006), no. 1, 1–73 Zbl [1108.76061](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1108.76061&format=complete) MR [2211706](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2211706)
- [2] J. Bebernes and D. Eberly, *Mathematical problems from combustion theory*. Appl. Math. Sci. 83, Springer, New York, 1989 Zbl [0692.35001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0692.35001&format=complete) MR [1012946](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1012946)
- [3] J. D. Buckmaster (ed.), *The mathematics of combustion*. Front. Appl. Math. 2, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1985 Zbl [0624.76082](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0624.76082&format=complete) MR [806548](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=806548)
- [4] G.-Q. Chen, D. Hoff, and K. Trivisa, On the Navier-Stokes equations for exothermically reacting compressible fluids. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* 18 (2002), no. 1, 15–36 Zbl [1032.76056](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1032.76056&format=complete) MR [2010892](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2010892)
- [5] G.-O. Chen, D. Hoff, and K. Trivisa, Global solutions to a model for exothermically reacting, compressible flows with large discontinuous initial data. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 166 (2003), no. 4, 321–358 Zbl [1022.76056](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1022.76056&format=complete) MR [1961444](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1961444)
- [6] G.-Q. Chen and K. Trivisa, Analysis on models for exothermically reacting, compressible flows with large discontinous initial data. In *Nonlinear partial differential equations and related analysis*, pp. 73–91, Contemp. Math. 371, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005 Zbl [1075.35036](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1075.35036&format=complete) MR [2143860](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2143860)
- [7] D. Donatelli and K. Trivisa, On the motion of a viscous compressible radiative-reacting gas. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 265 (2006), no. 2, 463–491 Zbl [1131.35062](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1131.35062&format=complete) MR [2231679](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2231679)
- [8] D. Donatelli and K. Trivisa, A multidimensional model for the combustion of compressible fluids. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 185 (2007), no. 3, 379–408 Zbl [1117.76071](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1117.76071&format=complete) MR [2322816](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2322816)
- [9] D. Donatelli and K. Trivisa, From the dynamics of gaseous stars to the incompressible Euler equations. *J. Differ. Equations* 245 (2008), no. 5, 1356–1385 Zbl [1157.35064](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1157.35064&format=complete) MR [2436833](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2436833)
- [10] B. Ducomet, Hydrodynamical models of gaseous stars. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 8 (1996), no. 7, 957–1000 Zbl [0949.76071](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0949.76071&format=complete) MR [1415382](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1415382)
- [11] B. Ducomet, A model of thermal dissipation for a one-dimensional viscous reactive and radiative gas. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 22 (1999), no. 15, 1323–1349 Zbl [1027.85005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1027.85005&format=complete) MR [1710987](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1710987)
- [12] B. Ducomet and A. Zlotnik, Stabilization for viscous compressible heat-conducting media equations with nonmonotone state functions. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 334 (2002), no. 2, 119–124 Zbl [1078.35524](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1078.35524&format=complete) MR [1885092](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1885092)
- [13] B. Ducomet and A. Zlotnik, Lyapunov functional method for 1D radiative and reactive viscous gas dynamics. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 177 (2005), no. 2, 185–229 Zbl [1070.76044](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1070.76044&format=complete) MR [2188048](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2188048)
- [14] B. Ducomet and A. Zlotnik, On the large-time behavior of 1D radiative and reactive viscous flows for higher-order kinetics. *Nonlinear Anal.* 63 (2005), no. 8, 1011–1033 Zbl [1083.35109](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1083.35109&format=complete) MR [2211579](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2211579)
- [15] E. Feireisl and A. Novotný, On a simple model of reacting compressible flows arising in astrophysics. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 135 (2005), no. 6, 1169–1194 Zbl [1130.35108](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1130.35108&format=complete) MR [2191894](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2191894)
- [16] E. Feireisl, H. Petzeltová, and K. Trivisa, Multicomponent reactive flows: global-in-time existence for large data. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 7 (2008), no. 5, 1017–1047 Zbl [1323.76091](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1323.76091&format=complete) MR [2410865](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2410865)
- [17] B. Jin, Y.-S. Kwon, Š. Nečasová, and A. Novotný, Existence and stability of dissipative turbulent solutions to a simple bi-fluid model of compressible fluids. *J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ.* 7 (2021), no. 2, 537–570 Zbl [1479.35670](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1479.35670&format=complete) MR [4342638](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4342638)
- [18] T. Kato and G. Ponce, Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (1988), no. 7, 891–907 Zbl [0671.35066](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0671.35066&format=complete) MR [951744](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=951744)
- [19] S. Kračmar, Y.-S. Kwon, Š. Nečasová, and A. Novotný, Weak solutions for a bifluid model for a mixture of two compressible noninteracting fluids with general boundary data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 54 (2022), no. 1, 818–871 Zbl [07475770](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:07475770&format=complete) MR [4376297](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4376297)
- [20] Y.-S. Kwon, Convergence of the flow of a chemically reacting gaseous mixture to incompressible Euler equations in a unbounded domain. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 68 (2017), no. 6, Paper No. 131 Zbl [1378.35233](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1378.35233&format=complete) MR [3717831](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3717831)
- [21] G. Métivier and S. Schochet, The incompressible limit of the non-isentropic Euler equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 158 (2001), no. 1, 61–90 Zbl [0974.76072](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0974.76072&format=complete) MR [1834114](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1834114)
- [22] P. B. Mucha, M. Pokorný, and E. Zatorska, Chemically reacting mixtures in terms of degenerated parabolic setting. *J. Math. Phys.* 54 (2013), no. 7, 071501 Zbl [1302.76207](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1302.76207&format=complete) MR [3114200](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3114200)
- [23] P. B. Mucha, M. Pokorný, and E. Zatorska, Approximate solutions to a model of twocomponent reactive flow. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* 7 (2014), no. 5, 1079–1099 Zbl [1304.35501](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1304.35501&format=complete) MR [3252894](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3252894)
- [24] P. B. Mucha, M. Pokorný, and E. Zatorska, Heat-conducting, compressible mixtures with multicomponent diffusion: construction of a weak solution. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 47 (2015), no. 5, 3747–3797 Zbl [1322.76052](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1322.76052&format=complete) MR [3403138](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3403138)
- [25] T. Piasecki and M. Pokorný, Weak and variational entropy solutions to the system describing steady flow of a compressible reactive mixture. *Nonlinear Anal.* 159 (2017), 365–392 Zbl [1365.76277](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1365.76277&format=complete) MR [3659836](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3659836)
- [26] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*. Monogr. Math. 78, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983 Zbl [0546.46027](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0546.46027&format=complete) MR [781540](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=781540)
- [27] A. I. Vol'pert and S. I. Hudjaev, The Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations. (in Russian) *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 87(129) (1972), 504–528 Zbl [0239.35017](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0239.35017&format=complete) MR [0390528](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0390528)

Received 31 March 2022; revised 10 August 2022.

Jianzhu Sun

Department of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, P. R. China; jzsun@njfu.edu.cn

Tong Tang (corresponding author)

School of Mathematical Science, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, P. R. China; tt0507010156@126.com