A note on the real support of Silva–Hasumi–Morimoto ultrahyperfunctions

Magno B. Alves and Daniel H. T. Franco

Abstract. Recently, we have studied the relation between the singular spectrum of a class of tempered ultrahyperfunctions corresponding to proper convex cones and their expressions as boundary values of holomorphic functions. In this note, we added some more results on the singular spectrum of the tempered ultrahyperfunctions. More specifically, the "microlocalization" of a Silva–Hasumi–Morimoto ultrahyperfunction corresponding to an open proper convex cone is addressed. Following Nishiwada's approach to the analytic wave front set, we introduce the real singular spectrum of such an ultrahyperfunction and prove that it canonically projects onto Silva's real support.

1. Introduction

In 1958, Sebastião e Silva [19,20] introduced the class of generalized functions called by him tempered ultradistributions, which are the Fourier image of L. Schwartz distributions of exponential type. Later, Hasumi [10] considered the global theory of tempered ultrahyperfunctions in the higher dimensional space, and Morimoto [12–14] (who coined the name tempered ultrahyperfunctions) localized the theory of tempered ultrahyperfunctions in the imaginary direction.

The interest in ultrahyperfunctions arose simultaneously with the growing interest in various classes of analytic functionals and various attempts to develop a theory of such functionals that would be analogous to the Schwartz theory of distributions. In the first decade of this century, a renewed interest in ultrahyperfunctions appeared in the Brüning–Nagamachi papers [1–3, 15] on quantum field theory with a fundamental length. In connection with this theme, an important topic in the spectral analysis of a quantum field theory with a fundamental length concerns the singularities of the ultrahyperfunctions.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46F20; Secondary 46F12, 46F15, 81T05, 81T10.

Keywords. Singular spectrum, tempered ultrahyperfunctions.

Using the cohomological approach, Morimoto gave a clear description of the cotangential components of singularities of ultrahyperfunctions in [11] (the ultrahyperfunctions were called in [11] cohomological ultradistributions), not necessarily assumed to be tempered. Following Nishiwada [16, 17], in [9] (where many other references on ultrahyperfunctions can be found) the authors have shown an alternative way of describing the singularities of a class of tempered ultrahyperfunctions corresponding to proper convex cones in terms of generalized boundary values of holomorphic functions; namely, the singular spectrum of a tempered ultrahyperfunction u is characterized by the directions from which the boundary values can be taken in an analytic representation of u. In this article, without using cohomology, we added some more results on the singular spectrum of the tempered ultrahyperfunctions. More specifically, using the notion of real support introduced by Sebastião e Silva [19], we show that the canonical projection of the singular support of a tempered ultrahyperfunction coincides with its real support.

2. Tempered ultrahyperfunctions in very few words

To begin with, we shall recall very briefly the basic definition of tempered ultrahyperfunctions. Firstly, we shall consider the function

$$h_K(\xi) = \sup_{x \in K} |\langle \xi, x \rangle|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

the indicator of *K*, where *K* is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . We have $h_K(\xi) < \infty$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ since *K* is bounded. For sets $K = [-k, k]^n$, $0 < k < \infty$, the indicator function $h_K(\xi)$ can be easily determined,

$$h_K(\xi) = \sup_{x \in K} |\langle \xi, x \rangle| = k |\xi|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |\xi| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|.$$

Let *K* be a convex compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $H_b(\mathbb{R}^n; K)$ (*b* stands for bounded) defines the space of all functions $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $e^{h_K(\xi)}D^{\alpha}\varphi(\xi)$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n for any multi-index α . One defines in $H_b(\mathbb{R}^n; K)$ seminorms

$$\|\varphi\|_{K,N} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \alpha \le N} \left\{ e^{h_K(\xi)} |D^{\alpha}\varphi(\xi)| \right\} < \infty, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.1)

The space $H_b(\mathbb{R}^n; K)$ equipped with the topology given by the seminorms (2.1) is a Fréchet space [10, 13]. If $K_1 \subset K_2$ are two compact convex sets, then $h_{K_1}(\xi) \leq h_{K_2}(\xi)$, and thus the canonical injection $H_b(\mathbb{R}^n; K_2) \hookrightarrow H_b(\mathbb{R}^n; K_1)$ is continuous. Let O be a convex open set of \mathbb{R}^n . To define the topology of $H(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ it suffices to let K range over an increasing sequence of convex compact subsets K_1, K_2, \ldots contained in *O* such that for each $i = 1, 2, ..., K_i \subset K_{i+1}^{\circ}$ (K_{i+1}° denotes the interior of K_{i+1}) and $O = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$. Then the space $H(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ is the projective limit of the spaces $H_b(\mathbb{R}^n; K)$ according to restriction mappings above, i.e.,

$$H(\mathbb{R}^{n}; O) = \limsup_{K \subset O} H_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; K), \qquad (2.2)$$

where K runs through the convex compact sets contained in O. By $H'(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ we denote the dual space of $H(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$.

Proposition 2.1 (Hasumi [10, Proposition 3], Morimoto [13, Theorem 5]). A distribution $V \in H'(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ may be expressed as a finite order derivative of a continuous function of exponential growth

$$V = D_{\xi}^{\gamma} [e^{h_K(\xi)} g(\xi)],$$

where $g(\xi)$ is a bounded continuous function.

In the space \mathbb{C}^n of *n* complex variables $z_{\kappa} = x_{\kappa} + iy_{\kappa}$, $1 \leq \kappa \leq n$, we denote by $T(\Omega) = \mathbb{R}^n + i\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ the tubular set of all points *z*, such that $y_i = \text{Im } z_i$ belongs to the domain Ω , i.e., Ω is a connected open set in \mathbb{R}^n called the basis of the tube $T(\Omega)$. Let *K* be a convex compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $\mathfrak{H}_b(T(K))$ defines the space of all continuous functions φ on T(K) which are holomorphic in the interior $T(K^\circ)$ of T(K) such that the estimate

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq \mathsf{M}_{K,N}(\varphi)(1+|z|)^{-N} \tag{2.3}$$

is valid. The best possible constants in (2.3) are given by a family of seminorms in $\mathfrak{S}_b(T(K))$

$$\|\varphi\|_{K,N} = \inf\{\mathsf{M}_{K,N}(\varphi) \mid \sup_{z \in T(K)} (1+|z|)^N |\varphi(z)| < \infty, N = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}.$$
 (2.4)

If $K_1 \subset K_2$ are two convex compact sets, we have that the canonical injection

$$\mathfrak{S}_b(T(K_2)) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_b(T(K_1))$$
 (2.5)

is continuous.

Given that the spaces $\mathfrak{S}_b(T(K_i))$ are Fréchet spaces, with topology defined by the seminorms (2.4), the space $\mathfrak{S}(T(O))$ is characterized as a projective limit of Fréchet spaces

$$\mathfrak{S}(T(O)) = \limsup_{K \subset O} \mathfrak{S}_b(T(K)), \tag{2.6}$$

where K runs through the convex compact sets contained in O and the projective limit is taken following the restriction mappings above.

Let *K* be a convex compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the space $\mathfrak{H}(T(K))$ is characterized as an inductive limit

$$\mathfrak{S}(T(K)) = \liminf_{K_1 \supset K} \mathfrak{S}_b(T(K_1)), \tag{2.7}$$

where K_1 runs through the convex compact sets such that K is contained in the interior of K_1 and the inductive limit is taken following the restriction mappings (2.5).

The Fourier transformation is well defined on the space $H(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$. Further, if $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$, the Fourier transform of φ belongs to the space $\mathfrak{H}(T(O))$, for any open convex nonempty set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By the dual Fourier transform, $H'(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ is topologically isomorphic to the space $\mathfrak{H}'(T(-O))$ [13].

Remark 1. We will put $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathfrak{H}(T(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and, as usual, we shall denote the dual space of \mathfrak{H} by \mathfrak{H}' .

Definition 2.2. A tempered ultrahyperfunction is by definition a continuous linear functional on \mathfrak{S} .

In this note, we are interested in the class of tempered ultrahyperfunctions corresponding to proper convex cones. Therefore, we start by recalling some terminology and simple facts concerning cones. An open set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a cone if C (unless specified otherwise, all cones will have their vertices at zero) is invariant under positive homoteties, i.e., if for all $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda C \subset C$. A cone C is an open connected cone if C is an open connected set. Moreover, C is called convex if $C + C \subset C$ and *proper* if it does not contain any straight line (observe that if C is a proper cone, it follows that if $y \in C$ and $y \neq 0$ then $-y \notin C$). A cone C' is called compact in C – we write $C' \Subset C$ – if the projection

$$\operatorname{pr} \bar{C}' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{C}' \cap S^{n-1} \subset \operatorname{pr} C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C \cap S^{n-1},$$

where S^{n-1} is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . Being given a cone *C* in the *y*-space, we associate with *C* a closed convex cone *C*^{*} in the ξ -space which is the set

$$C^* = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \xi, y \rangle \ge 0, \forall y \in C \}.$$

The cone C^* is called the *dual cone* of C. As in Carmicheal [4, 5], we define the following.

Definition 2.3. Let *C* be a proper open convex cone with vertex at the origin, and let $C' \subseteq C$. Let B[0; r] denote a *closed* ball with center at the origin in \mathbb{R}^n of radius *r*, where *r* is an arbitrary positive real number. We define the tube domain by

$$T^{(C'\setminus (C'\cap B[0;r]))} = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in (C' \setminus (C' \cap B[0;r]))\}.$$

We are going to introduce a space of holomorphic functions which satisfy certain estimates according to Carmichael [4, 5]. We want to consider the space consisting of holomorphic functions f such that

$$|f(z)| \leq \mathsf{M}(C')(1+|z|)^N e^{\sigma|y|}, \quad z = x + iy \in T^{(C' \setminus (C' \cap B[0;r]))},$$
 (2.8)

for all $\sigma > 0$, where M(C') is a constant depending on an arbitrary compact cone $C' \in C$ and N is a non-negative real number. The set of all functions f which for every cone $C' \in C$ are holomorphic in $T^{(C' \setminus (C' \cap B[0;r]))}$ and satisfy the estimate (2.8) will be denoted by \mathscr{H}_{c}^{o} .

Remark 2. The space of functions \mathscr{H}_c^o constitutes a generalization of the space \mathfrak{A}_ω^i of Sebastião e Silva [19] and the space \mathfrak{A}_ω of Hasumi [10] to arbitrary tubular radial domains in \mathbb{C}^n (a tube domain is said to be radial if its base is a connected cone in \mathbb{R}^n).

We now shall introduce another space of holomorphic functions whose elements are analytic in a domain that is larger than $T^{(C'\setminus (C'\cap B[0;r]))}$ and has boundary values in \mathbb{R}^n . Let B(0; r) denote an *open* ball with center at the origin in \mathbb{R}^n of radius r, where r is an arbitrary positive real number. Let $T^{(C'\setminus (C'\cap B(0;r)))}$ denote the subset of \mathbb{C}^n defined by

$$T^{(C'\setminus (C'\cap B(0;r)))} = \left\{ x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \left(C' \setminus (C' \cap B(0;r))\right) \right\}.$$
(2.9)

Definition 2.4. Let *C* be a proper open convex cone with vertex at the origin, and let $C' \subseteq C$. Denote by T(C') the tube domain

$$\{x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in C'\}.$$

We say that the function f = f(z) is in the space \mathscr{H}_{c}^{*o} if it is holomorphic in T(C') and satisfies the estimate

$$|f(z)| \leq \mathsf{M}(C')(1+|z|)^N e^{\sigma|y|}, \quad z = x + iy \in T^{(C' \setminus (C' \cap B(0;r)))}.$$
 (2.10)

Note that $\mathscr{H}_c^{*o} \subset \mathscr{H}_c^o$ for any open convex cone *C*. Following Hasumi [10], we define the *kernel* of the mapping $f : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ by Π , where Π is the set of all pseudopolynomials in one of the variables z_1, \ldots, z_n . We recall that a pseudo-polynomial is a function of the form

$$\sum_{\alpha} z_j^{\alpha} G_{\alpha}(z_1,\ldots,z_{j-1},z_{j+1},\ldots,z_n)$$

where $G_{\alpha}(z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n)$ are functions in \mathscr{H}_c^{*o} with respect to $(z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n)$. Then, the function $f \in \mathscr{H}_c^{*o}$ belongs to the kernel Π if and only if $\langle f(z), \psi(x) \rangle = 0$, with $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $x = \operatorname{Re} z$. Put $\mathscr{U}_C = \mathscr{H}_c^{*o} / \Pi$, that is, \mathscr{U}_C is the quotient space of \mathscr{H}_c^{*o} by the set of pseudo-polynomials Π .

Definition 2.5. The set \mathscr{U}_C is the subspace of the tempered ultrahyperfunctions generated by \mathscr{H}_c^{*o} corresponding to a proper open convex cone with vertex at the origin $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.6. We denote by $H'_{C^*}(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ the subspace of $H'(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ of distributions of exponential growth with support in the cone C^* ,

$$H'_{C^*}(\mathbb{R}^n; O) = \{ V \in H'(\mathbb{R}^n; O) \mid \text{supp}(V) \subseteq C^* \}.$$
 (2.11)

3. Analytic representations

In this section, the boundary values of holomorphic functions are always considered in the distribution sense defined below. We say that $f \in \mathscr{H}_c^{*o}$ has a boundary value U = BV(f) in \mathfrak{H}' as $y \to 0$, $y \in C' \Subset C$, if for all $\psi \in \mathfrak{H}$ the limit

$$\langle U, \psi \rangle = \lim_{\substack{y \to 0\\ y \in C'}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x + iy) \psi(x) \, dx$$

exists.

For distributions $V \in H'_{C^*}(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$ we define the Fourier–Laplace transform by

$$f(z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \langle V, e^{i(\cdot, z)} \rangle.$$
(3.1)

Concerning the Fourier–Laplace (3.1) we have the following:

Lemma 3.1. To each compact subcone C' of C corresponds a positive real number r such that the function f(z) in (3.1) is analytic in the truncated tube domain

$$T^{(C'\setminus (C'\cap B[0;r]))}$$

where B[0; r] is the closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n , centered at the origin, and with radius r.

Proof. See Carmichael [4, Theorem 2].

Lemma 3.2. The function f(z) in (3.1) is analytic in the tube domain

$$T^C = \mathbb{R}^n + iC.$$

Proof. Just take $\Gamma = C$ and $K = \{0\}$ in [21, Proposition 6.6].

Example 1. If $u(x) = \frac{1}{x+i0^+}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) is the distributional boundary value, then it corresponds to the proper cone $C = (0, +\infty)$ and we have

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \quad (z \neq 0),$$

which is analytic on $T^C = \mathbb{R} + iC = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}.$

Remark 3. In Lemma 3.2, we cannot assure the analyticity of f(z) in the tube domain T^C if the cone *C* is not proper. For instance, take $u = \delta$ (Dirac's delta "function"). We have that $u = \delta$ corresponds to the cone $C = \mathbb{R}$, since, up to a multiplicative constant, we have $\hat{\delta} = 1$, whose support is $C = \mathbb{R}$. Notice, in this case, that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \quad (z \neq 0),$$

is not analytic in the tube domain $T^C = \mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{C}!$

Lemma 3.3. Let C be a proper open convex cone with vertex at the origin. Let $V \in H'_{C^*}(\mathbb{R}^n; O)$. Then there exists a function $f(z) \in \mathscr{H}^{*o}_c$ such that $f(z) \to \mathscr{F}[V] \in \mathfrak{S}'$ in the weak topology of \mathfrak{S}' as $y = \operatorname{Im} z \to 0$, $y \in C' \Subset C$.

Proof. See Carmichael [4, Theorem 2].

4. The real singular spectrum

In the previous section, we have examined the tempered ultrahyperfunctions as the boundary values of holomorphic functions. Thus, in particular, one may want to know the set in which a given tempered ultrahyperfunction corresponding to an open proper convex cone with vertex at the origin fails to be analytic; such a set, which can be easily defined, is the so called *singular spectrum* of a tempered ultrahyperfunction, denoted by S.S.(u). Following Nishiwada [17, Theorem 1.2], we shall introduce the singular spectrum of a tempered ultrahyperfunction corresponding to an open proper convex cone with vertex at the origin $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, using its analytical representation, f(z), induced by the Fourier–Laplace transform (3.1). Precisely, we have the following.

Definition 4.1. Let $u \in \mathscr{U}_C$ be a tempered ultrahyperfunction generated by $f \in \mathscr{H}_c^{*o}$ corresponding to an open proper convex cone – with vertex at the origin. Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^* \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ be arbitrarily fixed, where $T^* \mathbb{R}^n$ stands for the cotangent bundle. Then, $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin S.S.(u) \subset T^* \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if there exists an open complex neighborhood \tilde{U} of x_0 in \mathbb{R}^n and a cone $C' \Subset C$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that the analytic representation f of u fulfills the following:

- (a) f is continuous over \tilde{U} ;
- (b) f is holomorphic in the tube-symmetric domain

 $T^{(-C'\setminus (-C'\cap B(0;r)))} \sqcup T^{(C'\setminus (C'\cap B(0;r)))}$

where $T^{(C' \setminus (C' \cap B(0;r)))}$ is defined by (2.9).

The following result is immediate from the above definition:

Proposition 4.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_C$ be a tempered ultrahyperfunction corresponding to an open proper convex cone with vertex at the origin $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, the following properties are valid:

- (a) S.S.(u) is a closed subset of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$;
- (b) S.S.(u) is a conic subset of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ in the sense that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in S.S.(u) \Longrightarrow (x_0, \lambda\xi_0) \in S.S.(u), \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Proof. Item (a). Let (x_0, ξ_0) be an arbitrary point in the complement of

$$(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \setminus S.S.(u).$$

Let C and \tilde{U} as in Definition 4.1. Assume that

$$x'_0 \in (\tilde{U} \cap \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{x_0\}$$
 and $\xi'_0 \in (C^* \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \{\xi_0\}.$

By [6, Lemma 1.2.2] there exists a positive α (depending on y) such that $\langle \xi'_0, y \rangle \ge \alpha |\xi'_0| |y|$ for all $\xi'_0 \in C^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $y \in C'$. This implies that $\xi'_0 \in C^* \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies Definition 4.1. Now, for \tilde{U} as in Definition 4.1, it is clear that the analytic representation f of the tempered ultrahyperfunction u satisfies the items (a) and (b) of the same definition. Thus, according to Definition 4.1, we have that $(x'_0, \xi'_0) \notin S.S.(u)$. Hence, $(\tilde{U} \cap \mathbb{R}^n) \times C'$ is an open neighborhood of $(x_0, \xi_0) \in (T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \setminus S.S.(u)$. As (x_0, ξ_0) is arbitrary, it follows that $(T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \setminus S.S.(u)$ is open, that is, it follows that S.S.(u) is closed on $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. This proves item (a).

Item (*b*). Our reasoning is based on reduction to absurd. Suppose that for some $(x_0, \xi_0) \in S.S.(u)$ there corresponds some $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(x_0, \lambda \xi_0) \notin S.S.(u).$$

In this case, from the definition of S.S.(u), we would be able to conclude the absurd that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) = \left(x_0, \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot (\lambda \xi_0)\right) \notin S.S.(u),$$

because, due to the hypothesis $(x_0, \lambda \xi_0) \notin S.S.(u)$, we would conclude that $\lambda \xi_0$ is contained in a conical neighborhood C^* such that $\{x_0\} \times C^*$ does not intersect S.S.(u) and from this we would conclude that

$$\left(x_0, \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot (\lambda \xi_0)\right) \notin S.S.(u), \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Item (b) follows.

We also recall the notion of *real support* of a tempered ultrahyperfunction u, introduced by Sebastião e Silva [19] (see also Section 4.5 of the Master dissertation by Debrouwere [7], and [8] which contains a detailed study of generalizations of the Silva's real support that are applicable to more general ultrahyperfunctions).

Definition 4.3. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_C$ be a tempered ultrahyperfunction corresponding to an open proper convex cone with vertex at the origin $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, its real support $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u)$ is the complement in \mathbb{R}^n of the largest open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for which the analytic representation $\mathcal{H}_c^{*o} \ni f(z)$ of u is analytic in the tube domain $\Omega + i \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

Remark 4. Unlike distributions or hyperfunctions, tempered ultrahyperfunctions do not have a sound notion of singular support. As matter of fact, the notion carrier of a tempered ultrahyperfunction has a major drawback as far as uniqueness is concerned, since in some examples the least carrier of an analytical functional is not available. With this in mind, we must understand the reason for the real support in the previous definition to be confined to the real part of \mathbb{C}^n (Figure 1 can help visualizing this situation for $x \in \text{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u)$, in the case n = 1).

Theorem 4.4. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_C$ be a tempered ultrahyperfunction corresponding to an open proper convex cone with vertex at the origin $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\pi : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the canonical projection. Then, the following identity holds:



$$\pi(S.S.(u)) = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u).$$

Figure 1. The real support supp_{\mathbb{R}}(*u*) of a tempered ultrahyperfunction *u* in the case *n* = 1.

Proof. Firstly, let $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin S.S.(u)$ and \tilde{U} and C' be as in Definition 4.1. By the continuous version of the Edge-of-the-Wedge theorem [18], we conclude that the analytic representation $f \in \mathscr{H}_c^{*o}$ of u is holomorphic in an open complex neighborhood W of x_0 which, without loss of generality, may be supposed to be contained in \tilde{U} . Thus, f is holomorphic in the open set

$$W \cup T^{(-C' \setminus (-C' \cap B(0;r)))} \cup T^{(C' \setminus (C' \cap B(0;r)))} \subset \mathbb{C}^n.$$

from which we are able to select an open tube domain $\Omega + i \mathbb{R}^n$ ($x_0 \in \Omega \subset W$) where f is holomorphic. In particular, we conclude that $x_0 \notin \operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u)$. Thus, we have proved that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \notin S.S.(u) \Longrightarrow (x_0, \xi_0) \notin \operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u),$$

from which we conclude that

$$\operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u) \subset \pi(S.S.(u)).$$

Conversely, Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in S.S.(u)$. By absurd, suppose that for all $x_0 \notin \operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u)$, then by the definition of real support, the analytic representation f of u is holomorphic in a tube domain of the type $\Omega + i\mathbb{R}^n$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and contains x_0 . In this case, taking $\tilde{U} = \Omega + i\mathbb{R}^n$ and $C' \in C$ as in Definition 4.1 which implies the absurd conclusion that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin S.S.(u)$. Thus, if $(x_0, \xi_0) \in S.S.(u)$, then $x_0 \in$ $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u)$, that is, we must have

$$\pi(S.S.(u)) \subset \operatorname{supp}_{\mathbb{R}}(u).$$

The proof is complete.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee whose careful reading and valuable remarks on the manuscript undoubtedly led to a better presentation of the paper.

References

- E. Brüning and S. Nagamachi, Relativistic quantum field theory with a fundamental length. J. Math. Phys. 45 (2004), no. 6, 2199–2231 Zbl 1071.81078 MR 2059688
- [2] E. Brüning and S. Nagamachi, Solution of a linearized model of Heisenberg's fundamental equation. II. J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008), no. 5, 052304 Zbl 1152.81354 MR 2421903
- [3] E. Brüning and S. Nagamachi, Solution of a linearized model of Heisenberg's fundamental equation. In *Advances in Quantum Field Theory*, edited by Sergey Ketov, pp. 185–214, IntechOpen, London, 2012

- [4] R. D. Carmichael, Distributions of exponential growth and their Fourier transforms. *Duke Math. J.* 40 (1973), 765–783 Zbl 0276.46019 MR 341074
- [5] R. D. Carmichael, The tempered ultra-distributions of J. Sebastião e Silva. *Port. Math.* 36 (1977), no. 2, 119–137 (1980) Zbl 0445.46029 MR 577417
- [6] R. D. Carmichael, A. Kamiński, and S. Pilipović, *Boundary values and convolution in ultradistribution spaces*. Ser. Anal. Appl. Comput. 1, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007 Zbl 1155.46002 MR 2347838
- [7] A. Debrouwere, Analytic representations of distributions and ultradistributions. Master dissertation, Ghent University, Faculty of Sciences, 2014
- [8] A. Debrouwere and J. Vindas, On the non-triviality of certain spaces of analytic functions. Hyperfunctions and ultrahyperfunctions of fast growth. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **112** (2018), no. 2, 473–508 Zbl 1388.30086 MR 3775283
- [9] D. H. T. Franco and M. B. Alves, On the singular spectrum of tempered ultrahyperfunctions corresponding to proper convex cones. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* 141 (2019), 121–142 Zbl 1435.46031 MR 3962823
- [10] M. Hasumi, Note on the *n*-dimensional tempered ultra-distributions. *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2) 13 (1961), 94–104 Zbl 0103.09201 MR 131759
- M. Morimoto, La décomposition de singularités d'ultradistributions cohomologiques. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 48 (1972), 161–165 Zbl 0253.46099 MR 315440
- M. Morimoto, Convolutors for ultrahyperfunctions. In *Int. Symp. math. Probl. theor. Phys., Kyoto, 1975*), pp. 49–54, Lect. Notes Phys. 39, Springer, Berlin, 1975 Zbl 0323.46036 MR 0631540
- M. Morimoto, Theory of tempered ultrahyperfunctions. I. Proc. Japan Acad. 51 (1975), 87–91 Zbl 0348.46029 MR 380396
- M. Morimoto, Theory of tempered ultrahyperfunctions. II. Proc. Japan Acad. 51 (1975), no. 4, 213–218 Zbl 0348.46030 MR 380396
- S. Nagamachi and E. Brüning, Frame independence of the fundamental length in relativistic quantum field theory. *J. Math. Phys.* 51 (2010), no. 2, article no. 022305
 Zbl 1309.81126 MR 2605034
- [16] K. Nishiwada, On the local surjectivity of analytic partial differential operators in the space of distributions with given wave front sets. *Surikaiseki-kenkyusho kokyuroku, RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 239 (1975), 19–30
- [17] K. Nishiwada, On local characterization of wave front sets in terms of boundary values of holomorphic functions. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 14 (1978), no. 2, 309–320
 Zbl 0388.46030 MR 509190
- [18] W. Rudin, *Lectures on the edge-of-the-wedge theorem*. Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., No. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1971 Zbl 0214.09001 MR 0310288
- [19] J. Sebastião e Silva, Les fonctions analytiques comme ultra-distributions dans le calcul opérationnel. *Math. Ann.* 136 (1958), 58–96 Zbl 0195.41302 MR 105615
- [20] J. Sebastião e Silva, Les séries de multipôles des physiciens et la théorie des ultradistributions. *Math. Ann.* 174 (1967), 109–142 Zbl 0152.13102 MR 217597

[21] M. Suwa, Distributions of exponential growth with support in a proper convex cone. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 40 (2004), no. 2, 565–603 Zbl 1069.46023 MR 2049647

Received 28 October 2022.

Magno B. Alves

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Campus Universitário, Bairro Martelos, Juiz de Fora, MG, 36036-900, Brazil; magno_branco@yahoo.com.br

Daniel H. T. Franco

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Av. Peter Henry Rolfs s/n, Campus Universitário, Viçosa, MG, 36570-900, Brazil; daniel.franco@ufv.br