Elemente der Mathematik

Euler, Goldbach, and "Fermat's Theorem"

Franz Lemmermeyer

Introduction

The correspondence between Leonhard Euler and Christian Goldbach is a rich source for studying the development of Euler's work in number theory. It was first published by P.H. Fuss [5] in 1843, and then again by A.P. Jushkevich and E. Winter [9] in 1965. The correspondence, both in the original mixture of Latin and German, as well as in an English translation, is scheduled to appear as vol. 4 of Series IV.A of Euler's Opera Omnia [3] at the end of 2011.

Many letters between Euler and Goldbach deal with various number theoretic problems first posed (and sometimes solved) by Pierre Fermat. Here we discuss his results on sums of two and four squares. As early as September 1636, Fermat stated the Polygonal-Number Theorem in a letter to Mersenne: Every positive integer is the sum of (at most) three triangular numbers, four squares, five pentagonal numbers etc.:

1. Every number is the sum of one, two or three triangular numbers,

one, 2, 3, 4	...	squares,
one, 2, 3, 4, 5	...	pentagonal numbers,
one, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	...	hexagonal numbers,
one, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	...	heptagonal numbers,

and so on until infinity.

It seems that Diophantus[1] assumed the second part of the theorem, and Bachet tried to verify it empirically, but did not attain a demonstration.

Fermat then continues

2. The eightfold multiple of an arbitrary number, diminished by 1, is composed of four squares – not only in integers – which perhaps others might have already seen – but also in fractions, as I promise to prove.

The point Fermat is trying to make is that primes of the form $8n - 1$ cannot be written as a sum of less than four *rational* squares.

---

[1] The passage in Diophantus which Fermat is referring to is problem 31 in Book IV; for Fermat's comments on this problem, see [4, I, p. 305]. In Heath's edition [7, p. 188], this is problem 29 in Book IV.

A brief summary of the most important letters concerning sums of squares is given in the following table:

date	written to	content
July 15, 1636	Mersenne	A number n is a sum of exactly three integral squares if and only if a^2n is.
Sept. 2, 1636	Mersenne	A number is a sum of three integral squares if and only if it is a sum of three rational squares.
Sept. 16, 1636	Roberval	If a and b are rational, and if $a^2 + b^2 = 2(a+b)x + x^2$, then x and x^2 are irrational.
Sept. 1636	Mersenne	F. asks for solutions of $x^4 + y^4 = z^4$ and $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$, and states the Polygonal-Number Theorem. He claims that every integer $8n - 1$ is the sum of four squares, but not of three; both in integers and fractions.
May 1640	Mersenne	Fermat repeats the problems he communicated in Sept. 1636.
Dec. 1640	Mersenne	Fermat states Two-Squares Theorem.
June 1658	Digby	Fermat claims proof of the Two-Squares Theorem.
Aug. 1659	Carcavi	Fermat claims proof of the Four-Squares Theorem.

In addition we remark that in a letter to Descartes dated March 22, 1638, Mersenne reports that Fermat is able to prove that no number of the form $4n - 1$ is a sum of two integral or rational squares.

1 The Four-Squares Theorem in the Euler-Goldbach correspondence

In this article we describe Euler's efforts at proving the Four-Squares Theorem. As we will see, using the lemma which Euler "almost" proved in his letter no. 141 it is an easy exercise to complete the proof. In order to see how natural Euler's approach is, we will first discuss a proof of the Two-Squares Theorem based on the same principles. The first published proof of the Four-Squares Theorem is due to Lagrange [10]; immediately afterwards, Euler [2] simplified Lagrange's version.

There are perhaps no better examples in Goldbach's correspondence with Euler for illuminating his role as a catalyst than the letters discussing various aspects of the Four-Squares Theorem.

In his letter [EG126; April 6, 1748] to Euler, Goldbach writes[2]

> *If you can prove, as you think you can, that all numbers $8m + 3$ can be brought to the form $2a^2 + b^2$ if they are prime you will also easily find that all prime numbers $4m + 3$ belong to the formula $2a^2 + b^2 + c^2$, since in my opinion this comprises all odd numbers; but if this were proved just for all prime numbers, it should be obvious that all positive numbers consist of four squares.*

---

[2]The excerpts from the correspondence Euler–Goldbach are all taken from [3]; the translation into English is due to Martin Mattmüller.

	Fermat's Theorems in the Euler-Goldbach correspondence I	
#	letter	content
2	Dec. 1, 1729	Goldbach asks whether Euler knows Fermat's claim that all numbers $2^{2^n} + 1$ are prime.
3	Jan. 8, 1730	Euler is unable to do anything with Fermat's problem.
4	May 22, 1730	Goldbach explains how to compute with remainders.
5	June 4, 1730	Euler observes that $2^n + 1$ is composite if n has an odd prime divisor. "Lately, reading Fermat's works, I came upon another rather elegant theorem stating that any number is the sum of four squares, or that for any number four square numbers can be found whose sum is equal to the given number."
6	June 26, 1730	Goldbach has not read Fermat's works.
7	June 25, 1730	Euler observes that $10^4 + 1$ is divisible by 37, and that $3^8 + 2^8$ is divisible by 17. Euler cannot prove that any number is the sum of four squares. He has found another result by Fermat, namely that 1 is the only triangular number that is a fourth power. (Several years earlier, Goldbach had sent an erroneous proof of this claim to D. Bernoulli.)
8	July 31, 1730	Goldbach proves that Fermat numbers are pairwise coprime. He claims that 1 is the only square among the triangular numbers.
9	Aug. 10, 1730	Euler mentions that Fermat and Wallis studied the equation $ap^2 + 1 = q^2$, and mentions a method for solving it which he credits to Pell.
10	Oct. 9, 1730	Goldbach studies sums of three and four squares.
11	Oct. 17, 1730	Euler mentions another theorem by Fermat: "Any number is the sum of three triangular numbers."
15	Nov. 25, 1730	By studying prime divisors of numbers $2^p - 1$, Euler discovered "Fermat's Little Theorem".

Goldbach thus thought that once Euler could prove that every prime $p = 8n + 3$ has the form $p = 2a^2 + b^2$, he should also be able to prove[3] the claim that every prime $4m + 3$ can be written in the form $2a^2 + b^2 + c^2$. The claim that every odd number $2m + 1$ is represented by the ternary quadratic form $2a^2 + b^2 + c^2$ is equivalent to

$$4m + 2 = 4a^2 + 2b^2 + 2c^2 = (2a)^2 + (b - c)^2 + (b + c)^2,$$

hence follows from a special case of the Three-Squares Theorem.

---

[3] In his reply, Euler remarks that he is unable to deduce the second claim from the first:

If the proposition that $8m + 3$ equals $2a^2 + b^2$ whenever $8m + 3$ is a prime number is true, I do not see that $4n + 3$ must always equal $2a^2 + b^2 + c^2$ whenever $4n + 3$ is a prime number.

Fermat's Theorems in the Euler-Goldbach correspondence II		
#	letter	content
40	Sept. 9, 1741	Euler studies prime divisors of x^2+y^2, x^2-2y^2, and x^2-3y^2.
47	March 6, 1742	Euler proves "a theorem of Fermat's" according to which primes $p = 4n+3$ cannot divide a sum of two squares a^2+b^2 except when both a and b are divisible by p.
52	June 30, 1742	Euler claims that prime numbers $4n + 1$ are represented uniquely as a sum of two squares. He also mentions that 641 divides $2^{32} + 1$, thereby disproving Fermat's claim that all numbers $2^{2^n} + 1$ are prime.
56	Oct. 27, 1742	Euler has written to Clairaut, asking him "whether Fermat's manuscripts might still be found".
72	Aug. 24, 1743	Euler sketches the idea of infinite descent.
73	Sept. 28, 1743	Goldbach, with considerable help by Euler, gives a new proof of Euler's result that primes $p = 4n+3$ do not divide numbers of the form $a^2 + 1$.
74	Oct. 15, 1743	Euler claims that if a number is a sum of two (three, four) rational squares, then it is a sum of two (three, four) integral squares.
87	Feb. 16, 1745	Euler shows that numbers represented in two different ways as a sum of two squares must be composite.
114	April 15, 1747	Goldbach is skeptical about some of Fermat's claims, i.e. that every number is a sum of three triangular numbers, or that every integer $8n + 3$ is the sum of three squares.
115	May 6, 1747	Euler proves the Two-Squares Theorem except for the following lemma: There exist integers a, b such that $a^n - b^n$ is not divisible by the prime $4n + 1$.
125	Feb. 13, 1748	Euler writes that the proof of the Three-Squares Theorem ought to resemble his proof for two squares. Euler mentions "Fermat's Last Theorem".

Goldbach also observes that if $2n + 1 = 2a^2 + b^2 + c^2$, then e.g.

$$3(2n + 1) = 6n + 3 = (a + b + c)^2 + (a + b - c)^2 + (2a - b)^2 + c^2$$

is a sum of four squares. In his reply [EG127; May 4, 1748], Euler shows that Goldbach's observations are special cases of the following product formula: if $m = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ and $n = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2$, then $mn = f^2 + g^2 + h^2 + k^2$ for[4]

$$
\begin{aligned}
f &= ax + by + cz + dv, & g &= bx - ay - dz + cv, \\
h &= cx + dy - az - bv, & k &= dx - cy + bz - av.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

---

[4]Euler's notation and choice of signs differ from the formulas given here.

Fermat's Theorems in the Euler-Goldbach correspondence III		
#	letter	content
126	April 6, 1748	Goldbach observes that if $2n + 1$ is a sum of three squares, then $2n+3$, $4n+3$, $4n+6$ and $6n+3$ are sums of four squares.
127	May 4, 1748	Euler states the product formula for sums of four squares. He also suggests proving theorems such as the Four-Squares Theorem using generating functions.
138	April 12, 1749	Euler closes the gap in his proof n° 115. He can prove the Four-Squares Theorem except for the lemma: If ab and b are sums of four squares, then so is a.
140	July 16, 1749	Goldbach knows how to prove the following special case of Euler's missing lemma: If $8m+4$ is a sum of four odd squares, then $2m + 1$ is a sum of four squares.
141	July 26, 1749	Euler observes that the Four-Squares Theorem follows if it can be shown to hold for all numbers of the form $n = 8n + 1$ (or, more generally, for all numbers of any of the forms $8n+a$ with $a = 1, 3, 5,$ or 7).
		Euler also proves special cases of the "missing link" in his proof of the Four-Squares Theorem: If pA is a sum of four squares and $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$, then so is A. He also formulates a general lemma that brings him within inches of a full proof.
144	June 9, 1750	Euler laments the fact that he can prove that every natural number is the sum of four rational squares, but that he cannot do it for integers.
147	Aug. 17, 1750	Euler returns to his idea of using generating functions for proving the Four-Squares Theorem.
169	Aug. 4, 1753	Euler mentions "another very beautiful theorem" in Fermat's work: "Fermat's Last Theorem". He remarks that he has found a proof for exponent 3.

Actually, Euler had known the formula at least since 1740, as his notebooks (see Pieper [12]) show.

A year later, on April 12, 1749, Euler returns to the problem of Four-Squares and remarks:

> *I can almost prove that any number is a sum of four or fewer squares; indeed, what I am lacking is just one proposition, which does not appear to present any difficulty at first sight.*

In fact, Euler [EG138] announces a plan for proving the theorem: he introduces the symbol $\boxed{4}$ for denoting sums of four (or fewer) squares, and then states:

1. If $a = \boxed{4}$ and $b = \boxed{4}$, then also $ab = \boxed{4}$.
2. If $ab = \boxed{4}$ and $a = \boxed{4}$, then also $b = \boxed{4}$.

3. Corollary: ... If $ab = \boxed{4}$ and $a \neq \boxed{4}$ ..., then also $b \neq \boxed{4}$.

4. If all prime numbers were of the form $\boxed{4}$, then every number at all should be contained in the form $\boxed{4}$.

5. An arbitrary prime number p being proposed, there always is some number of the form $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ which is divisible by p, while none of the numbers a, b, c, d themselves is divisible by p.

6. If $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ is divisible by p, then, however large the numbers a, b, c, d may be, it is always possible to exhibit a similar form $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2$ divisible by p in such a way that the single numbers x, y, z, v are no greater than half the number p.

7. If p is a prime number and therefore odd, the single numbers x, y, z, v will be smaller than $\frac{1}{2}p$, so $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2 < 4 \cdot \frac{1}{4}p^2 = p^2$.

8. If p is any prime number, it will certainly be the sum of four or fewer squares.

Euler remarks that 2. "is the theorem on which the whole matter depends, and which I cannot yet prove". The other claims are proved by him except for the fifth; here Euler writes "The proof of this is particularly remarkable, but somewhat cumbersome; if you like, it can make up the contents of an entire letter in the future". A modern proof (actually it goes back to Minding [11]) of a statement slightly weaker than 5 goes like this: The quadratic polynomials $-x^2$ and $1 + y^2$ each attain $\frac{p+1}{2}$ distinct values modulo p, hence there must exist x, y with $1 + y^2 \equiv -x^2 \bmod p$, and then $p \mid x^2 + y^2 + 1$.

The last claim is proved by descent: if there is a counterexample p, the previous propositions allow Euler to find a prime $q < p$ which cannot be written as a sum of four squares: contradiction!

In [EG140; June 16, 1749], Goldbach takes up a special case of Euler's missing lemma and writes:

> *On the other hand, I think the proof of this proposition is within my power: If any number is the sum of four odd squares, the same number is also the sum of four even squares, or: four odd squares equal to $8m + 4$ being given, there are also four squares for the number $2m + 1$.*

In his reply [EG141; July 26, 1749], Euler proves this remark as follows:

> *Let $8m + 4 = (2a+1)^2 + (2b+1)^2 + (2c+1)^2 + (2d+1)^2$; then, on dividing by 2, since $\frac{(2p+1)^2 + (2q+1)^2}{2} = (p+q+1)^2 + (p-q)^2$,*
>
> $$4m + 2 = (a+b+1)^2 + (a-b)^2 + (c+d+1)^2 + (c-d)^2,$$
>
> *so $4m + 2 = \boxed{4}$. Since, however, $4m + 2$ is an oddly even number, two of these four squares must be even and two odd[5]. So one will have*
>
> $$4m + 2 = (2p+1)^2 + (2q+1)^2 + 4r^2 + 4s^2,$$

---

[5]If an odd number of squares is odd, then the sum of squares is odd; thus there must be 0, 2 or 4 even squares. In the first and the third case, the sum is divisible by 4.

therefore

$$2m + 1 = (p + q + 1)^2 + (p - q)^2 + (r + s)^2 + (r - s)^2,$$

and consequently

$$8m + 4 = 4(p + q + 1)^2 + 4(p - q)^2 + 4(r + s)^2 + 4(r - s)^2,$$

QED.

In slightly modernized form, we can formulate the essence of Euler's result as follows:

Lemma 1 *If* $2n = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ *is a sum of four squares, then so is n.*

Proof. We can permute a, b, c, and d in such a way that $a - b$ and $c - d$ are even. But then

$$n = \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c+d}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c-d}{2}\right)^2,$$

and we are done.   □

Goldbach's remark and the simplicity of the proof lead Euler to the realization that he could go further; in the same letter, Euler treats the analogous

Lemma 2 *If* $3n = F^2 + G^2 + H^2 + K^2$ *is a sum of four squares, then so is n.*

Proof. We can write $F = f + 3r$, $G = g + 3s$, $H = h + 3t$, and $K = k + 3u$. Up to permutation and choices of signs, there are the following cases:

1. $f = g = h = k = 0$. Then $n = 3(r^2 + s^2 + t^2 + u^2)$, and the product formula yields the claim.
2. $f = g = h = 1, k = 0$. Then

$$n = 1 + 2a + 2b + 2c + 3a^2 + 3b^2 + 3c^2 + 3d^2$$
$$= (1 + a + b + c)^2 + (a - b + d)^2 + (a - c - d)^2 + (b - c + d)^2.$$

This completes the proof.   □

Euler treats the case $p = 5$ in a similar way, but gets stuck with $p = 7$ (he does not see how to write the expression

$$A = 2 + 2a + 4b + 6c + 7a^2 + 7b^2 + 7c^2 + 7d^2$$

resulting from $(f, g, h, k) = (0, 1, 2, 3)$ as a sum of four squares).

Euler returns to the case $p = 7$ in the postscript of his letter:

> *PS. The theorem for* $7A = \boxed{4}$, *which I did not fully execute, is completed by the following general theorem:*

Theorem 1 *Setting $m = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$, if $mA = \boxed{4}$, then also $A = \boxed{4}$.*

Proof. Let

$$mA = (f + mp)^2 + (g + mq)^2 + (h + mr)^2 + (k + ms)^2$$

and

$$f^2 + g^2 + h^2 + k^2 = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2); \qquad (2)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} f &= ax + by + cz + dv, & g &= bx - ay - dz + cv, \\ h &= cx + dy - az - bv, & k &= dx - cy + bz - av, \end{aligned}$$

and one gets

$$A = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2 + 2(fp + gq + hr + ks) + m(p^2 + q^2 + r^2 + s^2);$$

but from this one finds

$$\begin{aligned} A \;=\; & (ap + bq + cr + ds + x)^2 + (aq - bp + cs - dr - y)^2 + \\ & (ar - bs - cp + dq - z)^2 + (as + br - cq - dp - v)^2, \end{aligned}$$

so $A = \boxed{4}$ in whole numbers, QED. □

This looks exactly like the missing lemma in Euler's plan for proving the Four-Squares Theorem. On the other hand, Euler later repeatedly said that he did not have a proof of this lemma, and eventually congratulated Lagrange on his proof of the theorem. So something must be missing. In fact it is not clear where (2) comes from. For small m, this identity can be checked by hand, which is what Euler did for $m = 2, 3, 5,$ and 7. What Euler failed to see at this point is that a rather simple induction proof now completes the proof of the Four-Squares Theorem.

2 The proof of the Four-Squares Theorem à la Euler

In this section we will show that it is not difficult to complete the proof of the Four-Squares Theorem by induction using the formulas contained in Euler's letter n° 141. Instead of faithfully reproducing this proof here, we will use linear algebra to abbreviate calculations. To this end, we consider the matrices

$$\mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d] = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ -b & a & d & -c \\ -c & -d & a & b \\ -d & c & -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3 *The product formula can be written in the form*

$$\mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^* \mathcal{M}[f, g, h, k] = \mathcal{M}[r, s, t, u]$$

where A^* denotes the transpose of A, and where

$$r = af + bg + ch + dk, \qquad s = ag - bf + ck - dh,$$
$$t = ah - bk - cf + dg, \qquad t = ak + bh - cg - df.$$

In particular, $A^*A = m\mathcal{I}$ for $A = \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]$, where $m = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is the 4×4-identity matrix.

We would like to prove the following theorem by induction on m:

Theorem 2 *Every positive integer m is a sum of four squares.*
Moreover, if $mA = F^2 + G^2 + H^2 + K^2$ for integers F, G, H, K, then there exist integers a, b, c, d and x, y, z, v such that

$$\begin{cases} m = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2, \quad A = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2, \quad and \\ \mathcal{M}[F, G, H, K] = \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*\mathcal{M}[x, y, z, v]. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Proof. The theorem holds for $m = 1$ and $a = 1$, $b = c = d = 0$, $x = F, \ldots, v = K$.

We will now prove the following steps:

1. m is a sum of four squares.
2. (3) holds for all $A < m$: this follows from the induction assumption by switching the roles of m and A.
3. (3) holds for all $A \geq m$: this is Euler's part of the proof.

Ad 1. Assume that the theorem holds for all natural numbers $< m$. If m is not squarefree, say $m = m_1 n^2$ for $n > 1$, then m_1 is a sum of four squares by induction assumption, hence so is m.

If m is squarefree, we solve the congruence $f^2 + g^2 \equiv -1 \bmod p$ for every prime $p \mid m$ and use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to find integers A, F, G such that $mA = F^2 + G^2 + 1$. Reducing F and G modulo m in such a way that the squares of the remainders are minimal shows that we may assume that $A < m$. The induction assumption (we have to switch the roles of m and A) shows that (3) holds.

Ad 3. Write $mA = F^2 + G^2 + H^2 + K^2$, and define integers $-\frac{m}{2} < f, g, h, k \leq \frac{m}{2}$ using the Euclidean algorithm: $F = f + mr$, $G = g + ms$, $H = h + mt$, and $K = k + mu$. Then we have $\mathcal{M}[F, G, H, K] = \mathcal{M}[f, g, h, k] + m\mathcal{M}[r, s, t, u]$. Now $f^2 + g^2 + h^2 + k^2 \leq m^2$ is divisible by m, say $= mB$ for some number $B \leq m$. If $B = m$, then $f^2 = g^2 = h^2 = k^2$ and our claim holds; if $B < m$, then the induction assumption guarantees the existence of integers x, y, z, v with $\mathcal{M}[f, g, h, k] = \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*\mathcal{M}[x, y, z, v]$. Using $m\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*\mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]$ we now find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}[F, G, H, K] &= \mathcal{M}[f, g, h, k] + m\mathcal{M}[r, s, t, u] \\ &= \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*\mathcal{M}[x, y, z, v] + \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*\mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]\mathcal{M}[r, s, t, u] \\ &= \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*(\mathcal{M}[x, y, z, v] + \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]\mathcal{M}[r, s, t, u]) \\ &= \mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*\mathcal{M}[X, Y, Z, V] \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{M}[X, Y, Z, V] = \mathcal{M}[x, y, z, v] + \mathcal{M}[r, s, t, u]\mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]$, i.e.

$$X = x + ar + bs + ct + du, \qquad Y = y - as + br - cu + dt,$$
$$Z = z - at + bu + cr - ds, \qquad V = v - au - bt + cs + dr.$$

From

$$mA\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}[F, G, H, K]^*\mathcal{M}[F, G, H, K]$$
$$= \mathcal{M}[X, Y, Z, V]^*\mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]\mathcal{M}[a, b, c, d]^*\mathcal{M}[X, Y, Z, V]$$
$$= m(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + V^2)\mathcal{I}$$

we deduce that $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + V^2 = A$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

Remark. The matrices $\mathcal{M}[r, s, t, u]$ form a ring isomorphic to the Lipschitz quaternions. The proof of the Four-Squares Theorem due to Lagrange and Euler was first translated into the language of quaternions by Hurwitz [8].

Acknowledgement

References

[1] Euler, L.: Demonstratio theorematis Fermatiani omnem numerum sive integrum sive fractum esse summam quatuor paucioriumque quadratorum. *Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petrop.* 5 (1754/55), 13–58; E242.

[2] Euler, L.: *Novae demonstrationes circa resolutionem numerorum in quadrata*. N. Acta erudit. 1773, 193–211; Opera Omnia I.3, 218–239; E445.

[3] Euler, L.: *Opera Omnia* IV.A-4. *The Correspondence Euler – Goldbach*. Lemmermeyer, F.; Mattmüller, M. (eds.), Basel 2011.

[4] Fermat, P.: *Œuvres de Fermat*. Tannery, P.; Henry, Ch. (eds.), Paris 1891.

[5] Fuss, P.H.: *Correspondance mathématique et physique de quelques célèbres géomètres du XVIIIème siècle*. 1843.

[6] Gauß, C.F.: Theoria residuorum biquadraticorum. Commentatio secunda. *Comment. Soc. regiae sci. Göttingen* 7 (1832), 93–148; Werke II, 93–148.

[7] Heath, Th.L. (ed.): *Diophantus of Alexandria*. Dover 1964; reprint of the 2nd ed. 1910.

[8] Hurwitz, A.: *Vorlesungen über die Zahlentheorie der Quaternionen*. Springer-Verlag, 1919.

[9] Jushkevich, A.P.; Winter, E. (eds.): *Leonhard Euler und Christian Goldbach. Briefwechsel 1729–1764*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1965.

[10] Lagrange, J.: Démonstration d'un théorème d'arithmétique. *Nouv. Mémoires Acad. Roy. Sci. Belles-Lettres Berlin* (1770) 1772; Œuvres III, 189–204.

[11] Minding, F.: *Anfangsgründe der höheren Arithmetik*. Berlin 1832.

[12] Pieper, H.: On Euler's contributions to the Four-Squares Theorem. *Hist. Math.* 20 (1993), 12–18.

Franz Lemmermeyer

e-mail: hb3@ix.urz.uni-heidelberg.de