Bridges between three remarkable inequalities

Martin Lukarevski and Dan Stefan Marinescu

Martin Lukarevski obtained his Ph.D. from Leibniz University Hannover. He is Professor of Mathematics at the University Goce Delcev, North Macedonia. His interests include geometric inequalities in Euclidean spaces, analysis and history of mathematics. In his spare time, he enjoys hiking and climbing.

Dan Stefan Marinescu has studied in Cluj-Napoca. He has a Ph.D. in mathematics, obtained from the University Babes-Bolyai of Cluj-Napoca. Until his retirement, he was a teacher at the National College Iancu de Hunedoara, Hunedoara, Romania.

1 Introduction

Let ABC and A'B'C' be two triangles with areas Δ and Δ' , respectively. Let their sides be a, b, c and a', b', c' , respectively, and let R be the circumradius of triangle ABC. The aim of this paper is to establish links between the following three remarkable inequalities for triangles.

Bottema–Kooi–Schoenberg (BKS) inequality [\[4,](#page-6-0) [15\]](#page-6-1): for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds

$$
(x+y+z)^2R^2 \ge yza^2 + zxb^2 + xyc^2.
$$
 (BKS)

Oppenheim (O) inequality: for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x + y > 0$, $y + z > 0$, $z + x > 0$ and $xy + yz + zx > 0$, it holds

$$
xa^2 + yb^2 + zc^2 \ge 4\Delta\sqrt{xy + yz + zx}.
$$
 (0)

• *Neuberg–Pedoe* (NP) inequality: for two triangles ABC and $A'B'C'$, it holds

$$
a^{2}(-a'^{2} + b'^{2} + c'^{2}) + b^{2}(a'^{2} - b'^{2} + c'^{2}) + c^{2}(a'^{2} + b'^{2} - c'^{2}) \ge 16\Delta\Delta'.
$$
 (NP)

In der Dreiecksgeometrie sind die Ungleichungen von Bottema-Kooi-Schoenberg und Oppenheim und die Ungleichung von Neuberg-Pedoe für zwei Dreiecke wohlbekannt. Weniger bekannt ist, dass sie alle zueinander äquivalent sind. In der vorliegenden Arbeit werden die Brücken zwischen den drei Ungleichungen gebaut. Anschliessend beleuchten die Autoren den Zusammenhang mit der Ungleichung von Kooi sowie mit weiteren Ungleichungen.

Remark 1. I.J. Schoenberg (1903–1990), the inventor of splines, proved in [\[15\]](#page-6-1) for *n* points A_1, \ldots, A_n that lie on a sphere of radius R in \mathbb{R}^n and $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ the general inequality

$$
2R^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right)^2 \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^n t_i t_j |A_i A_j|^2.
$$

We will emphasize the algebraic character of the inequalities. The next section is needed for what we set out to do.

2 The polar moment of inertia inequality

We use the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (see [\[1,](#page-5-0) Corollary 2.4] and [\[13\]](#page-6-2) for the general case). Let $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}, n \geq 2$. Then we have

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i\right|^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i\right) \sum_{i=1}^n t_i |z_i|^2 - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} t_i t_j |z_i - z_j|^2.
$$

Remark 2. The case $n = 2$ with $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ is the parallelogram law of Pappus [\[14\]](#page-6-3),

$$
|z_1 + z_2|^2 + |z_1 - z_2|^2 = 2|z_1|^2 + 2|z_2|^2.
$$

An obvious corollary is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \ge 2$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i\right) \sum_{i=1}^n t_i |z_i|^2 \geqslant \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} t_i t_j |z_i - z_j|^2,
$$

with equality if, and only if, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i z_i = 0$.

A consequence of this result is the polar moment of inertia inequality of Klamkin.

Theorem 3 (see Klamkin [\[3\]](#page-6-4)). *Let* A_1, \ldots, A_n *be points in the plane and let* $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ *. Then, for any point* M *in the plane, we have*

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i |MA_i|^2 \ge \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} t_i t_j |A_i A_j|^2,\tag{1}
$$

with equality if, and only if, M *coincides with the centroid of the set of weighted points* $\{A_i, t_i\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i = 0$.

Proof. Let m, a_1, \ldots, a_n be complex numbers corresponding to the points M, A_1, \ldots, A_n . We put $z_i = a_i - m$ and obtain Klamkin's inequality from Theorem [2.](#page-1-0)

3 The main results

We start by proving the Bottema–Kooi–Schoenberg inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0).

Theorem 4 (Bottema–Kooi–Schoenberg inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0)). *For any triangle* ABC *and any* $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ *, it holds*

$$
R2(x + y + z)2 \ge yza2 + zxb2 + xyc2.
$$

Proof. We put $M = O$ in Klamkin's inequality [\(1\)](#page-1-1), where O is the circumcenter of the triangle, $A_1 = A$, $A_2 = B$, $A_3 = C$ and $t_1 = x$, $t_2 = y$, $t_3 = z$. Hence inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0) follows.

Theorem 5. For any triangle ABC and any $x, y, z, x', y', z' \in \mathbb{R}$, inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0),

$$
R^2(x + y + z)^2 \geq yz a^2 + zxb^2 + xyc^2,
$$

is equivalent to the inequality

$$
(x'a2 + y'b2 + z'c2)2 \ge 16\Delta2(x'y' + y'z' + z'x').
$$
 (O)

Proof. (\overline{O}) \Rightarrow [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0): In inequality (\overline{O}), we put $x' := x/a^2$, $y' := y/b^2$, $z' := z/c^2$, and we obtain

$$
a^2b^2c^2(x+y+z)^2 \ge 16\Delta^2(yza^2+zxb^2+xyc^2).
$$

By $abc = 4\Delta R$, we get inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0).

[\(BKS\)](#page-0-0) \Rightarrow [\(O](#page-2-0)): Now (BKS) turns to (O) by setting $x := x'a^2$, $y := y'b^2$, $z := z'c^2$ in [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0). We obtain

$$
R^{2}(x'a^{2} + y'b^{2} + z'c^{2})^{2} \ge a^{2}b^{2}c^{2}(x'y' + y'z' + z'x').
$$

Again with $abc = 4\Delta R$, the conclusion follows.

As a consequence of inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x + y > 0$ and $xy + yz + zx \ge 0$. Then

$$
xa^2 + yb^2 + zc^2 \ge 0.
$$

Proof. From $x + y > 0$ and $xy + yz + zx \ge 0$, it follows that $z \ge -\frac{xy}{x+y}$. Hence

$$
xa^{2} + yb^{2} + zc^{2} \ge xa^{2} + yb^{2} - \frac{xyc^{2}}{x+y} = \frac{x(x+y)a^{2} + y(x+y)b^{2} - xyc^{2}}{x+y} \ge 0.
$$

The last inequality $x(x + y)a^2 + y(x + y)b^2 - xyc^2 \ge 0$ is obtained when we put $x := y$, $y := x, z := -x - y$ in inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0).

A straightforward consequence of the last result is the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *Bottema–Kooi–Schoenberg inequality* [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0) *and Oppenheim inequality* [\(O\)](#page-0-1) *are equivalent for* $x + y > 0$, $y + z > 0$, $z + x > 0$ *and* $xy + yz + zx > 0$.

Proof. [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0) \Rightarrow [\(O\)](#page-0-1): By Theorem [5,](#page-2-1) from (BKS), inequality [\(O](#page-2-0)) follows. If $x + y > 0$, $y + z > 0$, $z + x > 0$ and $xy + yz + zx > 0$, then it is clear that the Oppenheim inequality (O) follows from (O) (O) .

 $(O) \Rightarrow (BKS)$ $(O) \Rightarrow (BKS)$ $(O) \Rightarrow (BKS)$: This is obvious.

It remains to show the equivalence of Oppenheim inequality [\(O\)](#page-0-1) and Neuberg–Pedoe inequality [\(NP\)](#page-0-2).

Theorem 8. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $xy + yz + zx \ge 0$ and let $x + y > 0$. Then

$$
xa^2 + yb^2 + zc^2 \ge 4\Delta\sqrt{xy + yz + zx}.
$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem [4,](#page-2-2) Theorem [5](#page-2-1) and Theorem [6.](#page-2-3)

Lemma 1. Let x, y, z $\in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $x + y > 0$, $y + z > 0$, $z + x > 0$. Then $\sqrt{x + y}$, $\sqrt{y+z}$, $\sqrt{z+x}$ are sides of a triangle if, and only if, $xy + yz + zx > 0$.

Proof. Let $a = \sqrt{y + z}$, $b = \sqrt{z + x}$, $c = \sqrt{x + y}$ be the sides of a triangle. Then, by the equivalent form of Heron's formula,

$$
16\Delta^{2} = 2\sum a^{2}b^{2} - \sum a^{4}
$$

= 2\sum (y + z)(z + x) - \sum (y + z)^{2}
= 4(xy + yz + zx).

Hence $xy + yz + zx > 0$.

ice $xy + yz + zx > 0$.
Now let $xy + yz + zx > 0$. We put $u = \sqrt{x + y}$, $v = \sqrt{y + z}$, $w = \sqrt{z + x}$. Then

$$
4(xy + yz + zx) = \sum (y + z)(z + x) - \sum (y + z)^2
$$

= $2 \sum u^2v^2 - \sum u^4$
= $(u + v + w)(-u + v + w)(u - v + w)(u + v - w) > 0.$

Let $U = -u + v + w$, $V = u - v + w$, $W = u + v - w$. The product

$$
(-u+v+w)(u-v+w)(u+v-w)
$$

is positive when either all three factors U, V, W are positive, in which case u, v, w are sides of a triangle, or two factors are negative and one is positive. The last case is impossible because if, for example, $U < 0$, $V < 0$, then $2w = U + V < 0$, and that is a contradiction.

Remark 3. From the proof above, we see that a triangle with sides $\sqrt{x + y}$, \sqrt{y} **Remark 3.** From the proof above, we see that a triangle with sides $\sqrt{x+y}$, $\sqrt{y+z}$, $\overline{z + x}$ has area $\Delta = \sqrt{xy + yz + zx}/2$.

Theorem 9. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $xy + yz + zx > 0$. Then

$$
xa^2 + yb^2 + zc^2 \ge 4\Delta\sqrt{xy + yz + zx}
$$

for any triangle ABC if, and only if, $x + y > 0$, $y + z > 0$, $z + x > 0$.

Proof. If $x + y > 0$, $y + z > 0$, $z + x > 0$, then the inequality is the Oppenheim inequal-ity [\(O\)](#page-0-1). Let the inequality hold for all triangles ABC and let $b = c = 1, a = 2\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then the inequality becomes

$$
x \cdot 4\varepsilon^2 + y + z \ge 4\varepsilon\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}\sqrt{xy + yz + zx}.
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we see that $y + z \ge 0$. Similarly, $z + x \ge 0$, $x + y \ge 0$. The case of equality is excluded since if, for example, $x + y = 0$, then $xy + yz + zx = -x^2 \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $x + y > 0$, $y + z > 0$, $z + x > 0$.

Theorem 10. *Oppenheim inequality* [\(O\)](#page-0-1) *and Neuberg–Pedoe inequality* [\(NP\)](#page-0-2) *are equivalent.*

Proof. [\(O\)](#page-0-1) \Rightarrow [\(NP\)](#page-0-2): In the Oppenheim inequality (O), we put

$$
x = -a^2 + b^2 + c^2
$$
, $y = a^2 - b^2 + c^2$, $z = a^2 + b^2 - c^2$

and use the equivalent form of Heron's formula.

use the equivalent form of Heron's formula.
[\(NP\)](#page-0-2) \Rightarrow [\(O\)](#page-0-1): We use Lemma [1](#page-3-0) and put $a' = \sqrt{y + z}$, $b' = \sqrt{z + x}$, $c' = \sqrt{x + y}$ for the sides of the triangle. Then, from [\(NP\)](#page-0-2) and Remark [3,](#page-3-1)

$$
2(xa^2 + yb^2 + zc^2) \ge 16\Delta\sqrt{xy + yz + zx}/2
$$

follows, which is the Oppenheim inequality [\(O\)](#page-0-1).

4 Relation to Kooi's and other inequalities

The interested readers may wonder if the familiar Kooi inequality (see [\[9,](#page-6-5) [11\]](#page-6-6))

$$
s^2 \le \frac{R(4R+r)^2}{2(2R-r)},
$$
\n(2)

with s the semiperimeter, r the inradius of the triangle, and inequality [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0) are related. Indeed, they are, and we can derive Kooi's inequality from [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0). We put $x = a(s - a)$, $y = b(s - b), z = c(s - c)$ in [\(BKS\)](#page-0-0) and use the identities $\sum a(s - a) = 2r(4R + r)$ and $\sum a(s-b)(s-c) = 2rs(2R - r)$ which follow from the well-known relations

$$
a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 2(s^2 - 4Rr - r^2)
$$
 and $ab + bc + ca = s^2 + 4Rr + r^2$.

Kooi's inequality [\(2\)](#page-4-0) has many applications in triangle geometry. It implies the important Gerretsen inequality (see [\[6\]](#page-6-7))

$$
s^2 \le 4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2,\tag{3}
$$

which, for example, can be used for a short proof of the inequality $OH \geq O I$, where O, H and I are the circumcenter, orthocenter and the incenter of the triangle. That inequality is crucial in the proof of a conjectured inequality for the altitudes of the excentral triangle; see [\[16\]](#page-6-8).

Remark 4. The referee brought to our attention the famous article by Euler [\[2\]](#page-5-1), where the master computed the distances between the four classical triangle centers. The Gerretsen inequality is then a simple corollary of

$$
HI^2 = 4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2 - s^2.
$$

In addition, the referee gave the equality

$$
OH^2 - OI^2 - 2HI^2 = 2Rr - 4r^2 \ge 0,
$$

which follows from the equation $OH^2 = 9R^2 + 8Rr + 2r^2 - 2s^2$ and Euler's formula $OI² = R² - 2Rr$. As a consequence, we have $OH \geq OI$.

Gerretsen's inequality [\(3\)](#page-4-1) implies the celebrated Finsler–Hadwiger inequality (see [\[12,](#page-6-9) [17\]](#page-6-10))

$$
a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} \ge 4\Delta\sqrt{3} + (a - b)^{2} + (b - c)^{2} + (c - a)^{2}.
$$
 (4)

Kooi's inequality [\(2\)](#page-4-0) is actually equivalent to the sharper version of the Finsler–Hadwiger inequality by Euler's $R \ge 2r$ (see [\[11\]](#page-6-6)),

$$
a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2} \ge 4\Delta \sqrt{3 + \frac{R - 2r}{R}} + (a - b)^{2} + (b - c)^{2} + (c - a)^{2}.
$$

Even stronger inequalities than Kooi's and Gerretsen's can be derived from the fundamental triangle inequality (see [\[10\]](#page-6-11))

$$
2R^{2} + 10Rr - r^{2} - 2(R - 2r)\sqrt{R(R - 2r)}
$$

\$\leq s^{2} \leq 2R^{2} + 10Rr - r^{2} + 2(R - 2r)\sqrt{R(R - 2r)}.

For the end, we note the curiosity that the seemingly weaker Weitzenböck inequality (see [\[18\]](#page-6-12))

$$
a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \ge 4\sqrt{3}\Delta,
$$

which follows from the Oppenheim inequality [\(O\)](#page-0-1) for $x = y = z = 1$, is actually equivalent to the Finsler–Hadwiger inequality (4) [\[7,](#page-6-13)[8\]](#page-6-14). For the excentral and circummidarc triangles used in the derivations, see [\[5\]](#page-6-15).

To conclude, the Bottema–Kooi–Schoenberg inequality and its two avatars – the Oppenheim and Neuberg–Pedoe inequalities – put their stamp on everything.

Acknowledgments. This paper greatly benefited from the comments and suggestions by the anonymous referee. The authors are grateful to him for making the paper more readable.

References

- [1] N. Borbacut, O identitate cu numere complexe si consecintele sale geometrice. *Recreatii Mat.* 1 (2011), 11–16
- [2] L. Euler, *Solutio facilis problematum quorundam geometricorum difficillimorum* [E325]. Novi Commentarii Academiae Scientiarum Petropolitanae 11, 1765 (1767) 103–123; reprinted in *Opera Omnia*, series prima, vol. 26, 139–157, Orell Füssli, Zürich 1953 [p. 91, 214]
- [3] M. S. Klamkin, [Geometric inequalities via the polar moment of inertia.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2689294) *Math. Mag.* 48 (1975), 44–46 Zbl [0297.52008](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0297.52008) MR [355832](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=355832)
- [4] O. Kooi, Inequalities for the triangle. *Simon Stevin* 32 (1958), 97–101 Zbl [0086.35707](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0086.35707) MR [104179](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=104179)
- [5] G. Leversha, *The Geometry of the Triangle*. UKMT (2013)
- [6] M. Lukarevski, [An alternate proof of Gerretsen's inequalities.](https://doi.org/10.4171/EM/317) *Elem. Math.* 72 (2017), no. 1, 2–8 Zbl [1364.51009](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1364.51009) MR [3597136](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3597136)
- [7] M. Lukarevski, [The excentral triangle and a curious application to inequalities.](https://doi.org/10.1017/mag.2018.134) *Math. Gaz.* 102 (2018), no. 555, 531–533 MR [3865088](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3865088)
- [8] M. Lukarevski, [104.21 The circummidarc triangle and the Finsler-Hadwiger inequality.](https://doi.org/10.1017/mag.2020.63) *Math. Gaz.* 104 (2020), no. 560, 335–338 MR [4120227](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4120227)
- [9] M. Lukarevski, [A simple proof of Kooi's inequality.](https://doi.org/10.1080/0025570X.2020.1736875) *Math. Mag.* 93 (2020), no. 3, 225 Zbl [1459.51009](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1459.51009) MR [4100747](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4100747)
- [10] M. Lukarevski, [A new look at the fundamental triangle inequality.](https://doi.org/10.1080/0025570X.2023.2176106) *Math. Mag.* 96 (2023), no. 2, 141–149 MR [4570210](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4570210)
- [11] M. Lukarevski and D. S. Marinescu, [A refinement of the Kooi's inequality, Mittenpunkt and applications.](https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-2019-13-57) *J. Math. Inequal.* 13 (2019), no. 3, 827–832 Zbl [1429.51006](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1429.51006) MR [4016551](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4016551)
- [12] M. Lukarevski and G. Wanner, [Mixtilinear radii and Finsler–Hadwiger inequality.](https://doi.org/10.4171/em/412) *Elem. Math.* 75 (2020), no. 3, 121–124 Zbl [1464.51018](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1464.51018) MR [4122472](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4122472)
- [13] D. S. Marinescu, M. Monea, M. Opincariu, and M. Stroe, [Some equivalent characterizations of inner prod](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1507587M)[uct spaces and their consequences.](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1507587M) *Filomat* 29 (2015), no. 7, 1587–1599 Zbl [1459.46030](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1459.46030) MR [3373160](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3373160)
- [14] A. Ostermann and G. Wanner, *[Geometry by its history](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-29163-0)*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Readings in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012 Zbl [1288.51001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1288.51001) MR [2918594](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2918594)
- [15] I. J. Schoenberg, [Remarks to Maurice Fréchet's article "Sur la définition axiomatique d'une classe d'espace](https://doi.org/10.2307/1968654) [distanciés vectoriellement applicable sur l'espace de Hilbert" \[MR1503246\].](https://doi.org/10.2307/1968654) *Ann. of Math. (2)* 36 (1935), no. 3, 724–732 Zbl [61.0435.04](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:61.0435.04) MR [1503248](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1503248)
- [16] N. X. Tho, [106.15 A proof of Lukarevski's conjecture.](https://doi.org/10.1017/mag.2022.30) *Math. Gaz.* 106 (2022), no. 565, 143–147 MR [4385328](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4385328)
- [17] P. Von Finsler and H. Hadwiger, [Einige Relationen im Dreieck.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01214300) *Comment. Math. Helv.* 10 (1937), no. 1, 316–326 Zbl [64.0631.07](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:64.0631.07) MR [1509584](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1509584)
- [18] R. Weitzenböck, [Über eine Ungleichung in der Dreieckgsgeometrie.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01203160) *Math. Z.* 5 (1919), no. 1-2, 137–146 Zbl [47.0562.01](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:47.0562.01) MR [1544379](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1544379)

Martin Lukarevski Department of Mathematics and Statistics University "Goce Delcev" Stip, North Macedonia martin.lukarevski@ugd.edu.mk

Dan Stefan Marinescu Colegiul National "Iancu de Hunedoara" Hunedoara, Romania marinescuds@gmail.com