Short note A geometric property of the Möbius transformation

Alexander M. Kodess

Abstract. We show that, for any triple (z_0, a, a^*) of distinct points in \mathbb{C} , there exists a Möbius circle *C* such that $z_0 \in C$, and *a* and a^* are conjugate with respect to *C*. We use this fact to avoid tedious calculations when constructing a Möbius transformation $f: D \to G$, where *D* and *G* are Möbius disks, and *f* satisfies the conditions

 $f(z_0) = w_0, \quad f(a) = b, \quad z_0 \in \partial D, \quad w_0 \in \partial G, \quad a \in D, \quad b \in G.$

1 Introduction

By a *Möbius disk* in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , we mean any open set that is a half-plane, or the interior or exterior of a circle of positive radius. The border of a Möbius disk is called a *Möbius circle* (it is therefore either a line or a circle.) This terminology is motivated by the fact that any Möbius disk corresponds to a spherical cap on the Riemann sphere \mathbb{C} under the stereographic projection. For all terms not explicitly defined in this note, see Ahlfors [1].

We recall that a Möbius transformation is a function $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$.

Problem 1. Let $D, G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be Möbius disks, and let $a \in D, b \in G, z_0 \in \partial D, w_0 \in \partial G$ be arbitrary points. Find a Möbius transformation $f: D \to G$ such that

$$f(z_0) = w_0, \quad f(a) = b.$$
 (1)

Recall that points $z, z^* \in \mathbb{C}$ are called *conjugate* with respect to a line *L* if *L* is the perpendicular bisector of the segment $[z, z^*]$; *z* and z^* are called *conjugate* with respect to a circle *C* of radius R > 0 centered at *c* if *z* and z^* lie on the same ray proceeding from *c* and $|z - c||z^* - c| = R^2$.

We will use the following properties of Möbius transformations throughout this note (see [1, Section 3.3]).

• Conformal property. Any Möbius transformation f(z) extended to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ via the formulas

if
$$c \neq 0$$
, then $f\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) \coloneqq \infty$, $f(\infty) \coloneqq \frac{a}{c}$, if $c = 0$, then $f(\infty) \coloneqq \infty$

is conformal on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, f is a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$.

- Circular-preserving property. The image of a Möbius circle under a Möbius transformation is a Möbius circle.
- Conjugate property. If C is a Möbius circle in \mathbb{C} and $a, a^* \in \mathbb{C}$ are conjugate with respect to C, then f(a) and $f(a^*)$ are conjugate with respect to f(C).
- *Property of three points.* Any Möbius transformation is determined uniquely by its values at any three distinct points of the extended plane. In other words, given any three distinct points $z_i \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and any three distinct points $w_i \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, i = 1, 2, 3, there exists a unique Möbius transformation f with the property $f(z_i) = w_i$.

We note that if a solution f of Problem 1 exists, then, by the conformal property,

$$f(\partial D) = \partial G. \tag{2}$$

Conversely, suppose f is any Möbius transformation satisfying (1) and (2). Then, by the conjugate property, $f(a^*) = b^*$, where a^* and b^* are points conjugate to a and b with respect to ∂D and ∂G respectively. Now, however, we know the value of f at three distinct points z_0 , a, and a^* , and by the property of three points, w = f(z) is determined uniquely by its values at these points:

$$\frac{w-b}{w-b^{\star}} \cdot \frac{w_0 - b^{\star}}{w_0 - b} = \frac{z-a}{z-a^{\star}} \cdot \frac{z_0 - a^{\star}}{z_0 - a}.$$
(3)

In practice, it suffices to find function w = f(z) from (3) and verify (2). As (3) implies (1), it will follow from (2) and the conformal property that f(D) = G, and so f is the unique solution of Problem 1. Verifying (2) directly, however, leads to very tedious calculations. In this note, we show in Theorem 2 that this is not necessary since the unique function w = f(z) satisfying (3) also satisfies (2) and is therefore a unique solution of Problem 1. In order to prove our main result (Theorem 2), we first prove a property of conjugate points (Theorem 1). We conclude the note with a numerical example.

2 A property of conjugate points

Proposition 1. Let C be a circle of radius R > 0 centered at $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $a, a^* \in \mathbb{C} \setminus C$ be points conjugate with respect to C. Then the line L which is the orthogonal bisector of the segment $[a, a^*]$ does not intersect C.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that |a - c| < R. We introduce the Cartesian coordinate system xy such that L is the y-axis, and c and the segment $[a, a^*]$ are on the x-axis (Figure 1). Then $c > a > 0 > a^*$, $a^* = -a$, and

$$c^{2} - a^{2} = (c - a)(c + a) = |a - c||a^{\star} - c| = R^{2},$$
(4)

from which it follows that c > R. Hence $L \cap C = \emptyset$.

We now prove a property of conjugate points which is used in our solution of Problem 1.



Theorem 1. Let z_0 , a, and a^* be distinct arbitrary complex numbers. Then there exists a unique Möbius circle in the complex plane containing z_0 , with respect to which a and a^* are conjugate.

Proof. Let *L* be the bisecting perpendicular of the segment $[a, a^*]$. If $z_0 \in L$, then *L* is the only line satisfying the conditions of the theorem. It follows from Proposition 1 that there does not exist a circle satisfying the conditions of the theorem.

Suppose now that $z_0 \notin L$. Then neither L nor any other line satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Suppose further that z_0 and a belong to the same half-plane determined by L, and introduce a coordinate system as in the proof of Proposition 1 (Figure 1). If there exists a circle C of radius R > 0 satisfying the conditions of the theorem, then its center c is a real number. Thus $a, a^*, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$a > 0 > a^{\star}, \quad a^{\star} = -a, \quad \operatorname{Re} z_0 > 0.$$
 (5)

Using (4), we find the center c and radius R of C from equations

$$R^2 = c^2 - a^2 = |z_0 - c|^2.$$

After elementary algebra, we find

$$c = \frac{|z_0|^2 + a^2}{2\operatorname{Re} z_0}, \quad R = \sqrt{c^2 - a^2}.$$
 (6)

Note that (5) and (6) together imply that 0 < R < c, and so the circle *C* of radius *R* centered at the point (c, 0) is a unique circle satisfying the conditions of the theorem.

3 Solution of Problem 1

Below, we use the same notation as in the statement of Problem 1. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let a^* (respectively b^*) be the point conjugate to a (respectively to b) with respect to ∂D (respectively ∂G), and let f be the Möbius transformation determined by (3). Then f is the unique solution of Problem 1.

Proof. As noted above, f is a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, and so it suffices to show (2).

By the circular-preserving property, $f(\partial D)$ is a Möbius circle and $w_0 = f(z_0) = \partial G \cap f(\partial D)$. By the conjugate property, b = f(a) and $b^* = f(a^*)$ are conjugate with respect to $f(\partial D)$. The points b and b^* , however, are also conjugate with respect to ∂G . By Theorem 1, there exists a unique Möbius circle containing w_0 and having the property that b and b^* are conjugate with respect to it. It follows that $f(\partial D) = \partial G$.

4 Numerical example

We illustrate our main result with a numerical example.

Example 1. Find a Möbius transformation f mapping the exterior D of the circle

$$\partial D := \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } (x - 3)^2 + (y - 4)^2 = 25\}$$

onto the half-plane

$$G := \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } 5x + 2y + 10 > 0\}$$

such that f maps the point $a = \frac{1}{2} - i$ of D to the point b = 0 of G and the point $z_0 = 0$ of ∂D to the point $w_0 = -2$ of ∂G .

We find the points $a^* = 1$ and $b^* = -\frac{100}{29} - \frac{40}{29}i$ conjugate to a and b with respect to ∂D and ∂G respectively. Using (3), we find that

$$f(z) = \frac{(200 + 80i)z + (-180 + 160i)}{(-119 + 22i)z + (90 - 80i)}.$$

By Theorem 2, f is the unique solution satisfying the given conditions. Interestingly, the image of the point $\frac{52}{101} + \frac{86}{101}i \in \partial D$ under f is $\infty \in \partial G$. We note that, parametrizing ∂D as $z = 3 + 4i + 5e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, it can be veri-

We note that, parametrizing ∂D as $z = 3 + 4i + 5e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, it can be verified using a symbolic algebra system (we used SageMath) that indeed $f(\partial D) = \partial G$. As mentioned above, doing this by hand is arduously tedious.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to L. Maergoiz for bringing this problem to his attention, and to anonymous referees for their remarks.

Funding. The work of the author was supported by Farmingdale State College.

References

[1] L. Ahlfors, Complex analysis. 3rd edn., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979

Alexander M. Kodess Department of Mathematics Farmingdale State College Farmingdale, NY, U.S.A. kodessa@farmingdale.edu