

MATHEMATISCHES FORSCHUNGSINSTITUT OBERWOLFACH

Report No. 43/2017

DOI: 10.4171/OWR/2017/43

**Mini-Workshop: Positivity in Higher-dimensional  
Geometry: Higher-codimensional Cycles and  
Newton–Okounkov Bodies**

Organised by  
Mihai Fulger, Storrs  
Alex Küronya, Frankfurt  
Brian Lehmann, Chestnut Hill

17 September – 23 September 2017

ABSTRACT. There are several flavors of positivity in Algebraic Geometry. They range from conditions that determine vanishing of cohomology, to intersection theoretic properties, and to convex geometry. They offer excellent invariants that have been shown to govern the classification and the parameterization programs in Algebraic Geometry, and are finer than the classical topological ones. This mini-workshop aims to facilitate research collaboration in the area, strengthening the relationship between various positivity notions, beyond the now classical case of divisors/line bundles.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):* 14Cxx, 14Exx, 14Fxx.

**Introduction by the Organisers**

The mini-workshop *Positivity in Higher-dimensional Geometry* brought together algebraic geometers with various interests circling around the idea of positivity. The participants invested the majority of their time in group work on open questions related to positivity of higher-codimensional numerical cycle classes on projective varieties, or convex geometry in the form of Newton–Okounkov bodies. There were also seven research talks given by Jian Xiao (Evanston), Victor Lozovanu (Hannover), Chung Ching Lau (Chicago), John Christian Ottem (Oslo), Catriona Maclean (Grenoble), Stefano Urbinati (Padova), and Nguyen-Bac Dang (Paris) in this order. The extended abstracts of their presentations appear in the sequel.

The topic of positivity is an active research subject in Algebraic Geometry:

- The position of the canonical class  $K_X$  of a projective variety  $X$  relative to the ample cone  $\text{Amp}(X) \subset N^1(X)$  guides the Minimal Model Program.
- In Moduli Space theory, stability is behind boundedness for parameter spaces for varieties or for sheaves on them with prescribed numerical invariants.

Most of the techniques in contemporary research involve classical positivity properties of divisors/line bundles, or, by duality, curve classes. The primordial notion is ampleness. It can be characterized cohomologically, intersection theoretically (cf. [Kle66]), and geometrically. A geometric generalization is bigness. A divisor  $D$  is *big* if it is the sum  $D = A + E$  of an ample divisor  $A$  and an effective divisor  $E$ . Its natural cohomological characterization involves an asymptotical construction:

$$D \text{ is big} \Leftrightarrow \text{vol}(D) > 0,$$

where  $\text{vol}(D)$  measures the asymptotic growth of the dimension of the linear series  $|mD|$  as  $m$  grows. More precisely

$$\text{vol}(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\dim X)! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim H^0(X; \mathcal{O}_X(mD))}{m^{\dim X}}.$$

Recently, a convex geometric approach (cf. [LM09, KK12]) through the theory of Newton–Okounkov bodies has provided the ideal package for much of this information. To a complete flag of subvarieties

$$Y_\bullet : X = Y_0 \supsetneq Y_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq Y_{\dim X} = \{x\} \in X$$

centered a closed point  $x$  that is smooth for all  $Y_i$ , one associates a rank  $\dim X$  valuation-type function on the global sections on  $\mathcal{O}_X(mD)$  for all  $m$  and a convex body  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$ . It encodes many of the positivity properties of  $D$ :

- $\text{vol}(D)$  is the normalized Euclidean volume of the Newton–Okounkov body  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$ , cf. [LM09].
- The divisorial Zariski decomposition of  $D$  can be computed (cf. [Jow10, KL17, CHPW15]), from the knowledge of  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$  for all flags  $Y_\bullet$  on  $X$  as above.
- The restricted volumes  $\text{vol}_{X|V}(D)$  can be determined (cf. [LM09]) from the knowledge of  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$  for all flags  $Y_\bullet$  on  $X$  as above.
- The Seshadri constant  $\varepsilon(D; x)$  can be found (cf. [KL17]) by working with infinitesimal flags centered at  $x$ .

In higher (co)dimension, historically the outlook has been negative and significant progress in the way of general results (as opposed to pathological examples) is of a more recent nature. There are two directions here: [Ott12, Lau16] study positivity from a cohomological perspective. A smooth subvariety  $V \subset X$  of dimension  $d$  of a complex projective manifold is said to be ample if the relative  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\text{Bl}_V X$  satisfies certain cohomology vanishing conditions (cf. [Ott12]). For example [Ott12] recovers Lefschetz-hyperplane-type results, while [Lau16] proves versions of Fujita vanishing in this context.

A numerical intersection theoretic perspective is adopted by Fulger–Lehmann in [FL17a, FL17b]. The mantra is that the geometry of cycles should be reflected

in the geometry of convex cones inside the numerical groups  $N_d(X)$ . Classical examples of such cones are the *pseudo-effective* cone  $\overline{\text{Eff}}_d(X) \subset N_d(X)$ , the closure of the convex cone generated by  $d$ -dimensional subvarieties of  $X$ . Another example is its dual  $\text{Nef}^d(X) \subset N^d(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N_d(X)^\vee$  of classes  $\beta \in N^d(X)$  with  $\beta(\alpha) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \overline{\text{Eff}}_d(X)$ .

When  $d = 1$ , by [Kle66] the ample cone  $\text{Amp}(X)$  is the interior of the nef cone of divisors  $\text{Nef}^1(X) \subset N^1(X)$ . In particular  $\text{Nef}^1(X) \subseteq \overline{\text{Eff}}_{\dim X - 1}(X)$ . This inclusion may fail for arbitrary  $d$  (cf. [DELV11]). Thus we may not expect good geometry from an arbitrary nef class. However [FL17a] prove that  $\text{Nef}^d(X)$  is full-dimensional in  $N^d(X)$  and contains complete intersection classes of ample divisors in its strict interior. As a corollary they show that  $\overline{\text{Eff}}_d(X) \subset N_d(X)$  is a pointed cone.

The main goal of the workshop was to form diverse groups focused on open questions relating to cycles and/or convex geometry, facilitating future collaboration on the subject. The participants split into four groups, and their assignments are described below.

**Problem 1.**

- (1) Is the convex cone generated by classes of ample subvarieties open in  $N_d(X)$ ?

This is an attempt to understand the relation between cohomological and numerical positivity in higher codimension, paralleling known results for divisor classes.

- (2) Is there a convex geometric approach to higher cohomology functions similar to the Newton–Okounkov interpretation of  $\text{vol}(D)$ ? If  $D$  is a divisor on a projective variety, is there an object in convex geometry whose Euclidean volume is naturally equal to

$$\hat{h}^i(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\dim X)! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim H^i(X; \mathcal{O}_X(mD))}{m^{\dim X}}?$$

Can lim sup be replaced by lim? If this is true, then the following also holds

$$(D^{\dim X}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim X} (-1)^i \hat{h}^i(D).$$

- (3) Let  $X$  be a smooth toric variety and let  $T \subset X$  be the open torus. The *movable*  $d$ -dimensional subvarieties of  $X$  are those that admit deformations through points of  $T$ . Is the closed cone  $\overline{\text{Mov}}_d(X) \subset N_d(X)$  generated by numerical classes of such subvarieties rational polyhedral?

The cases of divisors and curves are known to be true, but their proofs rely on deep results that have no clear generalizations to arbitrary (co)dimension. The movable cone of divisors is rational polyhedral because toric varieties are Mori Dream Spaces (cf. [HK10]). The movable cone of curves is dual to the effective cone of divisors (cf. [BDPP13] in the general case. A more elementary proof in the toric case appears in [Pay06]), which is easily seen to

be rational polyhedral.

- (4) Work of Lehmann [Leh16] and Lehmann–Xiao [LX16] has produced a good theory of positivity for curve classes in  $N_1(X)$  by duality to the case of divisors. Can this be extended to a Newton–Okounkov-type convex body picture?

*Acknowledgement:* The MFO and the workshop organizers would like to thank the National Science Foundation for supporting the participation of junior researchers in the workshop by the grant DMS-1641185, “US Junior Oberwolfach Fellows”.

#### REFERENCES

- [BDPP13] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Păun, and Thomas Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. 22 (2013), no. 2, 201–248.
- [CHPW15] Sung Rak Choi, Yoonsuk Hyun, Jinhyung Park, and Joonyeong Won, *Asymptotic base loci via Okounkov bodies*, arXiv:1507.00817v1 (2015).
- [DELV11] Olivier Debarre, Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld, and Claire Voisin, *Pseudoeffective and nef classes on abelian varieties*, Compos. Math., 147(6) (2011), 1793–1818.
- [FL17a] Mihai Fulger and Brian Lehmann, *Positive cones of dual cycle classes*, Algebr. Geom. 4 (2017), no. 1, 1–28.
- [FL17b] ———, *Zariski decompositions of numerical cycle classes*, J. Algebraic Geom. 26 (2017), no. 1, 43–106.
- [HK10] Yi Hu and Sean Keel, *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday, Michigan Math. J. 48 (2000), 331–348.
- [Jow10] Shin-Yao Jow, *Okounkov bodies and restricted volumes along very general curves* Adv. Math. 223 (2010), no. 4, 1356–1371.
- [KK12] Kiumars Kaveh and Askold Khovanskii, *Newton–Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*, Annals of Mathematics **176** (2012), 925–978.
- [Kle66] Steven L. Kleiman, *Toward a numerical theory of ampleness*, Ann. of Math. (2) 84 (1966), 293–344.
- [KL17] Alex Küronya and Victor Lozovanu, *Infinitesimal Newton–Okounkov bodies and jet separation*, Duke Math. J. 166 (2017), no. 7, 1349–1376.
- [Lau16] Chung-Ching Lau, *Fujita vanishing theorems for  $q$ -ample divisors and applications on subvarieties with nef normal bundle*, arXiv:1609.07797 [math.AG] (2016).
- [Leh16] Brian Lehmann, *Volume-type functions for numerical cycle classes*, Duke Math. J. 165 (2016), no. 16, 3147–3187.
- [LM09] Robert Lazarsfeld and Mircea Mustață, *Convex bodies associated to linear series*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 42 (2009), no. 5, 783–835.
- [LX16] Brian Lehmann and Jian Xiao, *Positivity functions for curves on algebraic varieties*, arXiv:1607.05337 [math.AG] (2016).
- [Ott12] John C. Ottem, *Ample subvarieties and  $q$ -ample divisors*, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), no. 5, 2868–2887.
- [Pay06] Sam Payne, *Stable base loci, movable curves, and small modifications, for toric varieties. (English summary)*, Math. Z. 253 (2006), no. 2, 421–431.

**Mini-Workshop: Positivity in Higher-dimensional Geometry:  
Higher-codimensional Cycles and Newton–Okounkov Bodies****Table of Contents**

Nguyen-Bac Dang (joint with Jian Xiao)	
<i>A notion of positivity of valuations in convex geometry</i> . . . . .	2637
Chung Ching Lau	
<i>Fujita vanishing theorems for <math>q</math>-ample divisors and applications on     subvarieties with nef normal bundle</i> . . . . .	2639
Victor Lozovanu (joint with Alex Küronya)	
<i>Continuity and convexity in algebraic geometry</i> . . . . .	2642
Catriona Maclean	
<i>Approximable algebras and a question of Chen’s</i> . . . . .	2646
John Christian Ottem (joint with Brian Lehmann)	
<i>Positivity of the diagonal</i> . . . . .	2648
Stefano Urbinati (joint with Elisa Postinghel)	
<i>Newton–Okounkov bodies and Toric Degenerations of Mori dream spaces     via Tropical compactifications</i> . . . . .	2652
Jian Xiao (joint with Nicholas McCleerey)	
<i>Polar transform and local positivity for curves</i> . . . . .	2654



## Abstracts

### A notion of positivity of valuations in convex geometry

NGUYEN-BAC DANG

(joint work with Jian Xiao)

My talk focuses on some positivity aspects of translation invariant valuations in convex geometry as described in [DX17]. Let  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  be the set of convex bodies of an  $n$ -dimensional euclidian vector space  $E$ , endowed with the Gromov–Hausdorff metric. A valuation  $\phi : \mathcal{K}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, translation-invariant function which satisfies the following condition:

$$\phi(K \cup L) = \phi(K) + \phi(L) - \phi(K \cap L),$$

for all  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(E)$  such that  $K \cup L \in \mathcal{K}(E)$  (see [AF14]). A valuation  $\phi$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$  if  $\phi(\lambda K) = \lambda^k \phi(K)$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ . The most common examples of homogeneous valuations of degree  $n - k$  are given by the formula:

$$K \rightarrow V(L_1, \dots, L_k, K[n - k]),$$

with  $L_1, \dots, L_k, K \in \mathcal{K}(E)$  and where  $V(L_1, \dots, L_k, K[n - k])$  denotes the mixed volume of  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  with  $K$  repeated  $n - k$  times. We define the norm

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{K \subset B} |\phi(K)|,$$

where  $B$  is the unit ball in  $E$ . The set of valuations on  $E$ , denoted  $\text{Val}(E)$ , endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is a Banach space.

Recall that if  $\phi \in \text{Val}(E)$  is a valuation and  $g \in \text{GL}(E)$ , then the function  $g \cdot \phi = \phi \circ g^{-1}$  is also a valuation. A valuation  $\phi$  is called smooth if the map  $g \in \text{GL}(E) \rightarrow g \cdot \phi \in \text{Val}(E)$  is a smooth map from  $\text{GL}(E)$  into the Banach space  $\text{Val}(E)$ . For example, if  $L_1, \dots, L_k \in \mathcal{K}(E)$  are  $k$  convex bodies with smooth and strictly convex boundary, then the valuation given by  $K \rightarrow V(L_1, \dots, L_k, K[n - k])$  is smooth. It is a theorem due to Alesker ([Ale01]) that the set of smooth valuations is dense in  $\text{Val}(E)$ . Denote by  $\text{Val}_{n-k}^\infty(E)$  the subspace of smooth homogeneous valuations of degree  $n - k$ , Bernig–Fu have proved that the vector space  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^n \text{Val}_k^\infty(E)$  ([BF06], [Ale11]) has a structure of graded algebra where the multiplication is given by the convolution of smooth valuations. In the case of mixed volumes, the convolution reduces to the following construction (see [BF06, see Corollary 1.3]). Take  $k, l$  such that  $k + l \leq n$  and  $\phi = V(L_1, \dots, L_k, -[n - k]) \in \text{Val}_{n-k}^\infty(E)$ ,  $\psi = V(L'_1, \dots, L'_{n-k}, -[n - l]) \in \text{Val}_{n-l}^\infty(E)$  where  $L_1, \dots, L_k, L'_1, \dots, L'_{n-l}$  are convex bodies with smooth and strictly convex boundary, then the convolution  $\phi * \psi \in \text{Val}_{n-k-l}^\infty(E)$  is given by

$$\phi * \psi = V(L_1, \dots, L_k, L'_1, \dots, L'_l, -[n - k - l]).$$

A priori the convolution of arbitrary valuations in  $\text{Val}(E)$  is not well-defined (see [BF16, Theorem 2]). Our first aim is to exhibit a subspace of  $\text{Val}(E)$  containing

the mixed volumes of all convex bodies and for which the convolution is still well-defined. To that end, we first introduce the cone  $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}$  of all valuations

$$\phi_\mu(K) = \int_{\mathcal{K}(E)^k} V(L_1, \dots, L_k, K[n-k]) d\mu(L_1, \dots, L_k),$$

where  $K \in \mathcal{K}(E)$  and where  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure on  $\mathcal{K}(E)^k$  such that:

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}(E)^k} V(L_1, \dots, L_k, B[n-k]) d\mu(L_1, \dots, L_k) < +\infty.$$

Take a valuation  $\phi$  in the vector space generated by  $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}$ , then the norm  $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{P}}$  is given by the formula:

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall K_1, \dots, K_{n-k} \in \mathcal{K}(E), \\ |\phi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-k})| \leq \epsilon V(B[k], K_1, \dots, K_{n-k}) \end{array} \right\},$$

where

$$\phi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-k}) := \frac{\partial^{n-k}}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_{n-k}} \Big|_{t_1 = \dots = t_{n-k} = 0} \frac{\phi(t_1 K_1 + \dots + t_{n-k} K_{n-k})}{(n-k)!}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{V}_{n-k}$  be the completion of the vector space generated by  $\mathcal{P}_{n-k}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Observe that for  $k = n$ , we have that  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathbb{R}$  and for  $k = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathbb{R} \cdot \text{vol}$  where  $\text{vol}$  is the volume in  $E$ .

**Theorem 1.** *There exists a unique continuous bilinear map  $*$  :  $\mathcal{V}_{n-k} \times \mathcal{V}_{n-l} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n-k-l}$  such that for any convex bodies  $L_1, \dots, L_k, L'_1, \dots, L'_l, K$  one has that:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (K \rightarrow V(L_1, \dots, L_k, K[n-k])) * (K \rightarrow V(L'_1, \dots, L'_l, K[n-l])) \\ &= \left( K \rightarrow \frac{k!l!}{n!} \cdot V(L_1, \dots, L_k, L'_1, \dots, L'_l, K[n-k-l]) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the space  $\oplus_{k=0}^n \mathcal{V}_k$  has a structure of graded algebra with unit and that the convolution maps  $\mathcal{P}_{n-k} \times \mathcal{P}_{n-l}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{n-k-l}$ . We now fix a linear map  $g \in \text{GL}(E)$  and explore the spectral properties of the natural action of  $g$  on the space of valuations, and more specifically on  $\mathcal{V}_{n-k}$  where one can exploit the convolution of valuations.

**Theorem 2.** *Fix an integer  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and denote by  $\phi = V(\Delta[k], -[n-k]) \in \mathcal{P}_{n-k}$  and  $\psi = V(\Delta[n-k], -[k]) \in \mathcal{P}_k$  where  $\Delta$  is the standard  $n$ -dimensional simplex in  $E$ . For any linear map  $g \in \text{GL}(E)$ , the dynamical degree*

$$\lambda_k(g) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} ((g^p \cdot \phi) * \psi)^{1/p}$$

*is well defined and is equal to*

$$\lambda_k(g) = \|g_k\| = \frac{1}{|\det(g)|} \rho_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \rho_k,$$

*where  $\|g_k\|$  denotes the norm of operator on  $(\mathcal{V}_{n-k}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}})$  and where  $\rho_1 \geq \dots \geq \rho_k$  are the absolute values of the eigenvalues of  $g$  put in decreasing order.*



Our proof gives an alternative approach to [Lin12, Theorem 6.2] and to [FW12, Corollary B]. Indeed, when  $g \in \mathrm{SL}(E)$  has integer coefficients, then  $\lambda_k(g)$  can be interpreted as the dynamical degree of the monomial map on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  whose matrix is given by  $g$ . The following theorem is a first step in the understanding of the spectrum of the linear operators  $g_k$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Consider  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(E)$ .*

- (1) *For any  $k$ , there exists a non-zero invariant valuation  $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_{n-k}$  such that  $g_k(\phi) = \lambda_k(g)\phi$ .*
- (2) *Suppose that  $\lambda_k(g)^2 > \lambda_{k+1}(g)\lambda_{k-1}(g)$ , then for any  $\lambda_k(g)$  invariant valuation  $\phi, \psi \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{n-k} \subset \mathcal{V}_{n-k}$ , we have that  $\phi * \psi = 0$ .*
- (3) *Suppose that  $\lambda_1(g)^2 > \lambda_2(g)$ , then there exists a unique (up to scaling) valuation  $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{n-k} \subset \mathcal{V}_{n-1}$  which is  $\lambda_1(g)$  invariant and the valuation  $\phi$  lies in an extremal ray of  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{V}_{n-1}$ .*

Observe that in case (2) of Theorem 3, we also expect the eigenvalue  $\lambda_k(g)$  to be simple and the operator  $g_k$  to exhibit a spectral gap.

#### REFERENCES

- [AF14] Semyon Alesker and Joseph H. G. Fu, *Integral geometry and valuations*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2014.
- [Ale01] Semyon Alesker, *Description of translation invariant valuations on convex sets with solution of P. McMullen's conjecture*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), no. 2, 244–272.
- [Ale11] ———, *A Fourier-type transform on translation-invariant valuations on convex sets*, *Israel J. Math.* **181** (2011), 189–294.
- [BF06] Andreas Bernig and Joseph H. G. Fu, *Convolution of convex valuations*, *Geom. Dedicata* **123** (2006), 153–169.
- [BF16] Andreas Bernig and Dmitry Faifman, *Generalized translation invariant valuations and the polytope algebra*, *Adv. Math.* **290** (2016), 36–72.
- [DX17] Nguyen-Bac Dang and Jian Xiao, *Positivity of valuations on convex bodies and invariant valuations by linear actions*, arXiv:1709.08304 (2017).
- [FW12] Charles Favre and Elizabeth Wulcan, *Degree growth of monomial maps and McMullen's polytope algebra*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **61** (2012), no. 2, 493–524.
- [Lin12] Jan-Li Lin, *Algebraic stability and degree growth of monomial maps*, *Math. Z.* **271** (2012), no. 1-2, 293–311.

### Fujita vanishing theorems for $q$ -ample divisors and applications on subvarieties with nef normal bundle

CHUNG CHING LAU

All schemes in this talk are over a field of characteristic 0. It is natural to ask how the positivity of a subvariety affects the positivity of the underlying cycle. For example, Hartshorne's conjecture A states that if  $Y$  is a smooth subvariety with ample normal bundle of a smooth projective variety  $X$ , then  $n[Y]$  moves in a large algebraic family for  $n$  large. This was disproved by Fulton and Lazarsfeld [FL82]. On the other hand, Fulton and Lazarsfeld [FL83] showed that if  $Y$  is a subvariety with nef normal bundle in a smooth projective variety  $X$  and if  $Z$  is an arbitrary

subvariety of  $X$  with  $\dim Y + \dim Z \geq \dim X$ , then  $\deg_H(Y \cdot Z) \geq 0$ . Here  $H$  is an ample divisor.

Weakening the Serre vanishing condition, a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined to be  $q$ -ample if given any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is an  $m_0$  such that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = 0$$

for  $i > q$  and  $m > m_0$ . We define  $\mathcal{L}$  to be  $q$ -almost ample if for any given ample line bundle  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is  $M$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  is  $q$ -ample for  $m \geq M$ . After the work of [AG62, Som78, DPS96] on  $q$ -ample divisors, Totaro established the basic, yet not elementary properties of  $q$ -ample divisors [Tot13]. Another approach to partial ampleness is outlined in [dFKL07, Kür06]. Perhaps the most important property of  $q$ -ampleness divisor is the following

**Theorem A** ([DPS96, Tot13]). *Let  $X$  be a projective variety of dimension  $n$ . Then a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $(n-1)$ -ample if and only if  $\mathcal{L}^\vee$  is not pseudo-effective.*

After the extensive work of Hartshorne [Har70], where he studied positivity properties of higher codimension subvarieties, Ottem discovered what is probably the right notion of an ample subscheme [Ott12]. He defined a subscheme of  $Y$  of codimension  $r$  of a projective scheme to be *ample* if the exceptional divisor in the blowup of  $X$  along  $Y$  is  $(r-1)$ -ample. It is a natural definition that generalizes many properties of ample divisors [Ott12, Corollary 5.6], which were predicted in Hartshorne's work, while at the same time includes the zero locus of a global section of an ample vector bundle [Ott12, Proposition 4.5].

We now move on to study a weaker positivity condition of a subscheme. Given an lci subvariety  $Y \subset X$  with nef normal bundle, we would like to understand its positivity properties in terms of intersection theory.

Let  $Y \subset X$  be an arbitrary subscheme of codimension  $r$  and let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor in  $\text{Bl}_Y X$ . We say that  $Y$  is *nef* if  $E$  is  $(r-1)$ -almost ample. This definition is inspired by Ottem's definition of an ample subscheme [Ott12]. If  $Y$  is lci in  $X$ , then  $Y$  is nef if and only if  $Y$  has nef normal bundle.

We discuss two generalized versions of Fujita vanishing theorem from [Lau16] for  $q$ -ample divisors, improving [Kür13, Theorem C].

**Theorem B.** *Let  $X$  be a projective scheme of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  be  $q_1$ - and  $q_2$ -ample line bundles on  $X$  respectively and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then given any lower bound  $M_2$  on the exponent of  $\mathcal{L}_2$ , there is a lower bound on exponent of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ ,  $M_1$ , such that*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes m_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\otimes m_2} \otimes \mathcal{P}) = 0$$

for  $i > q_1 + q_2$ ,  $m_1 \geq M_1$ ,  $m_2 \geq M_2$  and any nef line bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $X$ .

Our second version of Fujita vanishing theorem focuses on the vanishing of the top cohomology.

**Theorem C.** *With the same assumption as above, except that we assume  $\mathcal{L}_2$  to be only  $q_2$ -almost ample and that  $q_1 + q_2 < n = \dim X$ . Then*

$$H^n(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes m_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\otimes m_2} \otimes \mathcal{P}) = 0$$

for  $m_1 \geq M_1$ ,  $m_2 \geq M_2$  and any nef line bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $X$ .

Using this theorem, we show in [Lau16] that

**Theorem D.** *Let  $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$  be a nef subvariety of codimension  $r$  of a projective variety  $X$ . Then the natural map  $\iota^* : N^1(X)_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow N^1(Y)_{\mathbf{R}}$  induces  $\iota^* : \overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Eff}}^1(Y)$  and  $\iota^* : \text{Big}(X) \rightarrow \text{Big}(Y)$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* By Theorem A, it is equivalent to prove that if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_Y$  is  $(n - r - 1)$ -ample, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $(n - 1)$ -ample. Let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor on the blowup of  $X$  along  $Y$ ,  $\text{Bl}_Y X$ . By considering the following short exact sequence on  $\text{Bl}_Y X$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-l)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Bl}_Y X}((k-1)E) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-l)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Bl}_Y X}(kE) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-l)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(kE) \rightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to prove that there is an  $M$  such that  $H^{n-1}(E, \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-l)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(kE)) = 0$  for  $m \geq M$  and  $k \geq 1$ . But by replacing  $\mathcal{L}$  by a sufficiently large multiple, we may assume  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(-E)$  is  $(n - r - 1)$ -ample. Now we may rewrite the sheaf  $\pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-l)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(kE) = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(-l) \otimes (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(-E))^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E((k+m)E)$  and apply Theorem A to obtain the desired vanishing of cohomology groups.  $\square$

When  $Y$  is a curve with nef normal sheaf, this is a result of Demailly–Peternell–Schneider [DPS96, Theorem 4.1]. We also show that nefness and ampleness are transitive properties without any assumptions on smoothness, thus generalizes Ottem’s result [Ott12, Proposition 6.4].

**Theorem E.** *Let  $X$  be a projective scheme of dimension  $n$ . If  $Y$  is an ample (resp. nef) subscheme of  $X$  and  $Z$  is an ample (resp. nef) subscheme of  $Y$ , then  $Z$  is ample (resp. nef) in  $X$ .*

We then study the cycle classes of nef subvarieties. We use this new notion of nef subvarieties to introduce the notion of the weakly movable cone,  $\overline{\text{WMov}}_d(X)$ . We define it as the closure of the convex cone that is generated by pushforward of cycle classes of nef subvarieties of dimension  $d$  via proper surjective morphisms. We show that the weakly movable cone shares similar properties to that of the movable cone of  $d$ -cycles,  $\overline{\text{Mov}}_d(X)$ .

**Theorem F.** *Let  $X$  be a projective variety of dimension  $n$ . For  $1 \leq d \leq n - 1$ ,*

- (1)  $\overline{\text{Mov}}_d(X) \subseteq \overline{\text{WMov}}_d(X)$  and  $\overline{\text{Mov}}_1(X) = \overline{\text{WMov}}_1(X)$ .
- (2)  $\overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X) \cdot \overline{\text{WMov}}_d(X) \subseteq \overline{\text{Eff}}_{d-1}(X)$ .
- (3) Let  $H$  be a big Cartier divisor,  $\alpha \in \overline{\text{WMov}}_d(X)$ . If  $H \cdot \alpha = 0$ , then  $\alpha = 0$ .
- (4)  $\text{Nef}^1(X) \cdot \overline{\text{WMov}}_d(X) \subseteq \overline{\text{WMov}}_{d-1}(X)$ .

Analogous statements of 2, 3 and 4 hold for the movable cone [FL17, Lemma 3.10]. One can ask whether in general the two cones  $\overline{\text{Mov}}_d(X)$  and  $\overline{\text{WMov}}_d(X)$  are the same. This is true if and only if the cycle class of any nef subvariety lies in the movable cone. This question is closely related to the Hartshorne’s conjecture A.

## REFERENCES

- [AG62] Aldo Andreotti and Hans Grauert, *Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 193–259.
- [dFKL07] Tommaso de Fernex, Alex Küronya, and Robert Lazarsfeld, *Higher cohomology of divisors on a projective variety*, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), no. 2, 443–455.
- [DPS96] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Thomas Peternell, and Michael Schneider, *Holomorphic line bundles with partially vanishing cohomology*, Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry, Ramat Gan, 1993, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **9** (1996), Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 165–198.
- [FL17] Mihai Fulger and Brian Lehmann, *Zariski decompositions of numerical cycle classes*, J. Algebraic Geom. **26** (2017), no. 1, 43–106.
- [FL82] William Fulton and Robert Lazarsfeld, *Positivity and excess intersection*, Enumerative geometry and classical algebraic geometry (Nice, 1981), Progr. Math. **24**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1982, 97–105.
- [FL83] ———, *Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **118** (1983), no. 1, 35–60.
- [Har70] Robin Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **156**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [Kür06] Alex Küronya, *Asymptotic cohomological functions on projective varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **128**, no. 6, (2006), 1475–1519.
- [Kür13] ———, *Positivity on subvarieties and vanishing of higher cohomology*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **63**, no. 5, (2013), 1717–1737.
- [Lau16] Chung-Ching Lau, *Fujita vanishing theorems for  $q$ -ample divisors and applications on subvarieties with nef normal bundle*, arXiv:1609.07797 [math.AG] (2016).
- [Ott12] John Christian Ottem, *Ample subvarieties and  $q$ -ample divisors*, Adv. Math. **229**, no. 5, (2012), 2868–2887.
- [Som78] Andrew John Sommese, *Submanifolds of Abelian varieties*, Math. Ann. **233**, no. 3, (1978), 229–256.
- [Tot13] Burt Totaro, *Line bundles with partially vanishing cohomology*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **15**, no. 3, (2013), 731–754.

## Continuity and convexity in algebraic geometry

VICTOR LOZOVANU

(joint work with Alex Küronya)

I will denote by  $X$  a smooth complex projective variety of dimension  $n$ , by  $V \subseteq X$  a subvariety of dimension  $d$ , and by  $D$  a big  $\mathbb{Q}$  (or  $\mathbb{R}$ )-divisor on  $X$ . How do we determine the geometry of the class of  $D$  relative to the subvariety  $V$ ?

1.1. **Intersection numbers.** The classical approach is through intersections

$$N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \ni D \rightsquigarrow (D^d \cdot V) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is a continuous, homogeneous and log-concave function of degree  $d$ . Furthermore, for ample  $D$ , we have asymptotic descriptions:

$$(D^d \cdot V) \approx \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(mD)))}{m^d/d!} \approx \frac{\sharp(D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_d \cap V)}{m^d/d!} \text{ for all } m \gg 0,$$

where each  $D_i \in |mD|$  is general. The first equality is asymptotic Riemann–Roch. This approach is not as successful for non-ample divisors  $D$ , where  $(D^d \cdot V)$  may be negative. For a big divisor  $D$ , the *stable base locus*

$$\mathbf{B}(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Bs}(|mD|)$$

for  $m \gg 0$  may not be a numerical invariant. To correct this, Nakamaye introduced two other invariants that are invariants of the numerical class of  $D$ . First is the *augmented base locus*

$$\mathbf{B}_+(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{B}(D - \epsilon A),$$

where  $A$  is any ample divisor and  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ . It is also called the non-ample locus, since for  $D$  big,  $D$  is ample if and only if  $\mathbf{B}_+(D) = \emptyset$ . Second is the *restricted base locus* (or non-nef locus)

$$\mathbf{B}_-(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{B}(D + \frac{1}{m}A).$$

They sit in a chain  $\mathbf{B}_-(D) \subseteq \mathbf{B}(D) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(D)$ .

**1.2. Volumes of divisors.** In [ELMNP09], the authors provide a more refined approach. They introduce the *restricted volume* of  $D$  with respect to  $V$ :

$$\text{vol}_{X|V}(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim \left( \text{Im} \left( H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(mD)) \right) \right)}{m^d/d!}.$$

This is also a numerical invariant, homogeneous and log concave function of degree  $d$ . When  $D$  is ample,  $\text{vol}_{X|V}(D) = (D^d \cdot V)$ . Furthermore, in the big case we have geometric interpretations of the restricted volume similar to the ample case. If  $V \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(D)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_{X|V}(D) &= \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left( H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(mD) \otimes \mathcal{I}(X; |mD||)|_V) \right)}{m^d/d!} \\ &= \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp \left( D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_d \cap V \setminus \mathbf{B}(D) \right)}{m^d/d!}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{I}(X, |mD|)$  is the asymptotic multiplier ideal of  $mD$ , encoding the "bad" singularities of this class. The geometric description of the volume is quite powerful. The first equality allows us to compute the volume by knowing the shape and the "scheme structure" of  $\mathbf{B}_+(mD) \cap V$  for all  $m > 0$ . The second does so by knowing information only generically, i.e. on  $V \setminus \mathbf{B}_+(D)$ .

**Theorem 1** (Continuity from [ELMNP09]). *Suppose that either  $V \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(D)$  or  $V$  is an irreducible component of  $\mathbf{B}_+(D)$ , then for any sequence of big divisors  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow D$ , we have that  $\text{vol}_{X|V}(D_n) \rightarrow \text{vol}_{X|V}(D)$ .*

It is worth pointing out that there are examples where the restricted volume is not continuous exactly when both conditions are not satisfied. The proof of this theorem is very technical. Generalizing it is a central problem in Kähler geometry,

or in characteristic  $p$ , or over number fields. One simple reason for its appeal is that it has many applications. For example, a corollary is a one line proof of a theorem of Nakamaye, which states that

$$\mathbf{B}_+(D) = \bigcup_{(D^d \cdot V)=0} V \text{ whenever } D \text{ is big and nef.}$$

This statement is a very nice example of the interesting bridge that seem to exists between how sections vanish and intersection numbers.

**1.3. Newton–Okounkov bodies (NObodies).** Based on an idea pioneered by Okounkov, [LM09, KK12] associate to a divisor a convex set. More precisely, suppose we are given an admissible flag  $Y_\bullet$ , where

$$Y_\bullet : X = Y_0 \supseteq Y_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq Y_n = \{x\}$$

is a full flag of (irreducible) subvarieties  $Y_i \subseteq X$  with  $\text{codim}_X Y_i = i$  and the property that  $Y_i$  is smooth at the point  $x$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Now for any  $D' \geq 0$  effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor we can associate an integral vector

$$\nu_{Y_\bullet}(D') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n .$$

This vector is defined inductively. First,  $\nu_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_{Y_1}(D')$  and then the divisor  $D'$  naturally defines a non-trivial divisor  $D'_1 = (D' - \nu_1 Y_1)|_{Y_1}$  on  $Y_1$  and we proceed by induction from here. The *Newton–Okounkov body* of a big divisor  $D$  with respect to  $Y_\bullet$  is defined to be

$$\mathbb{R}^n \supseteq \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{closed convex hull } \{\nu_{Y_\bullet}(D') \mid D' \equiv D \text{ effective } \mathbb{Q} - \text{divisor}\}.$$

Denote by  $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$  the blow-up of a smooth point  $x \in X$ , with  $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  the exceptional divisor. Then one can consider an *infinitesimal flag*  $\bar{Y}_\bullet$  on  $X'$  where  $\bar{Y}_0 = X'$  and  $\bar{Y}_i$  is a linear subspace of codimension  $i - 1$  in  $E$ . To it we associate what is now called *the infinitesimal NObody*  $\Delta_{\bar{Y}_\bullet}(\pi^* D)$ .

By [LM09], intersection numbers/restricted volumes appear naturally as euclidean volumes of slices of NObodies.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $V \subseteq X$  a subvariety as in Theorem 1 and denote by  $\mathbf{0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  the origin. Then*

$$\text{vol}_{X|V}(D) = d! \cdot \text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \cap \mathbf{0} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d})$$

for any admissible flag  $Y_\bullet$  with  $Y_d = V$ .

The importance of this theorem is two-fold. First, it gives a natural explanation of the continuity and convexity properties of intersection numbers/restricted volumes. Second, it gives practical meaning to these invariants. One asks: Can we study algebraic varieties by making use of the convex geometry of NObodies?

NObodies are numerical invariants. Thus, in [KL14, KL15a, KL17b] and separately [CHPW15] one gives very interesting interpretations of positivity properties

of ampleness/nefness for a divisor in terms of NObodies. But before we proceed, define *the inverted standard simplex* of size  $\xi > 0$  to be

$$\Delta_\xi^{-1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{convex hull of } \{\mathbf{0}, \xi\mathbf{e}_1, \xi(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2), \dots, \xi(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_n)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  is the standard basis vectors for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Also,  $\Delta_\xi$  will stand for the standard simplex of length  $\xi$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $D$  a big  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent*

- (1)  $D$  is ample.
- (2) For every point  $x \in X$  there exists an infinitesimal flag  $Y_\bullet$  over  $x$  and  $\xi > 0$  for which  $\Delta_\xi^{-1} \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_{Y_\bullet}(D)$ .
- (3) For every point  $x \in X$  there exists a flag  $Y_\bullet$  at  $x$  and  $\xi > 0$  for which  $\Delta_\xi \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_{Y_\bullet}(D)$ .

In the ample case, one can also recover the Seshadri constant  $\varepsilon(D; x)$  from these convex sets.

**Theorem 4.** ([KL17b][Theorem C]) *Let  $D$  be an ample divisor and  $x \in X$  a point. Then*

$$\varepsilon(D; x) = \max\{\xi \mid \Delta_\xi^{-1} \subseteq \Delta_{\bar{Y}_\bullet}(\pi^*D)\}$$

for any infinitesimal flag  $\bar{Y}_\bullet$  at  $x$ .

In another direction, NObodies encode asymptotically how sections vanish along a flag. One is led to believe that they might play a role in finding singular divisor with certain singularities. It is worth to point out that many important results in algebraic geometry lie on this ability to find certain singular divisors. And the hope is that NObodies offer a visual understanding of the problem, facilitating the use of geometry of convex sets to understand positivity properties of intersections numbers. Some parts of this philosophy have first appeared in [KL15b]. For an exposition of this circle of ideas, the interested reader can consult [KL17a].

#### REFERENCES

- [CHPW15] Sung Rak Choi, Yoonsuk Hyun, Jinhyung Park, and Joonyeong Won, *Asymptotic base loci via Okounkov bodies*, arXiv:1507.00817v1 (2015).
- [ELMNP09] Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld, Mircea Mustaa, Michael Nakamaye, and Mihnea Popa, *Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 3, 607–651.
- [KK12] Kiumars Kaveh and Askold Khovanskii, *Newton–Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*, Annals of Mathematics **176** (2012), 925–978.
- [KL14] Alex Küronya and Victor Lozovanu, *Local positivity of linear series on surfaces.*, arXiv:1411.6205 [math.AG] (2014).
- [KL15a] ———, *Positivity of line bundles and Newton–Okounkov bodies*, arXiv:1506.06525 (2015), to appear in Documenta Math.
- [KL15b] ———, *A Reider-type theorem for higher syzygies on abelian surfaces*, arXiv:1509.08621v3 [math.AG] (2015).
- [KL17a] ———, *Geometric aspects of Newton–Okounkov bodies*, arxiv:1703.09980 [math.AG] (2017).

- [KL17b] ———, *Infinitesimal Newton–Okounkov bodies and jet separation*, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (2017), no. 7, 1349–1376.
- [LM09] Robert Lazarsfeld and Mircea Mustață, *Convex bodies associated to linear series*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **42** (2009), no. 5, 783–835.

### Approximable algebras and a question of Chen’s

CATRIONA MACLEAN

The Fujita approximation theorem [Fuj94] is an important result in algebraic geometry. It states that whilst the section ring associated to a big line bundle  $L$  on an algebraic variety  $X$

$$R(L) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_m H^0(mL, X)$$

is typically not a finitely generated algebra, it can be approximated arbitrarily well by finitely generated algebras. More precisely, we have that

**Theorem 1** (Fujita). *Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety and let  $L$  be a big line bundle on  $X$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a birational modification*

$$\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$$

and a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Q}$  divisors,  $\pi^*(L) = A + E$  such that

- $A$  is ample and  $E$  is effective,
- $\text{vol}(A) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\text{vol}(L)$ .

In [LM09], Lazarsfeld and Mustață used the Newton–Okounkov body associated to  $A$  to give a simple proof of Fujita approximation. The Newton–Okounkov body (NObody), constructed in [KK12] and [LM09], building on previous work of Okounkov [Oko03], is a convex body  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L, X)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  associated to the data of

- a  $d$ -dimensional variety  $X$
- an admissible flag  $Y_\bullet$  on  $X$
- a big line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ .

This convex body encodes information on the asymptotic behaviour of the spaces of global sections  $H^0(nL)$  for large values of  $L$ . Lazarsfeld and Mustață’s simple proof of Fujita approximation is based on the equality of volumes of NObodies

$$(2) \quad \text{vol}(L) = d! \text{vol}(\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(L, X))$$

where we recall that the volume of a big line bundle on a  $d$ -dimensional variety is defined by

$$\text{vol}(L) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d! h^0(nL)}{n^d}.$$

One advantage of their approach to the Fujita theorem is that NObodies are not only defined for section algebras  $R(L)$ , but also for any graded sub-algebra of section algebras. Lazarsfeld and Mustață give combinatorial conditions (conditions 2.3–2.5 of [LM09]) under which equation 2 holds for a graded sub-algebra



$\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m \subset R(L)$  and show that these conditions hold if the graded subalgebra  $\mathbf{B}$  contains an ample series.<sup>1</sup>

Di Biagio and Pacenzia in [dBP16] subsequently used NObodies associated to restricted algebras to prove a Fujita approximation theorem for restricted linear series, ie. subalgebras of  $\bigoplus_m H^0(mL|_V, V)$  obtained as the restriction of the complete algebra  $\bigoplus_m H^0(mL, X)$ , where  $V \subset X$  is a subvariety.

In [Che10], Huayi Chen uses Lazarsfeld and Mustață's work on Fujita approximation to prove a Fujita-type approximation theorem in the arithmetic setting. In the course of this work he defines the notion of approximable graded algebras, which are exactly those algebras for which a Fujita-type approximation theorem hold.

**Definition 2.** An integral graded algebra  $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m$  with  $B_0 = k$  a field is approximable if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) all the graded pieces  $B_m$  are finite dimensional over  $k$ .
- (2) for all sufficiently large  $m$  the space  $B_m$  is non-empty
- (3) for any  $\epsilon$  there exists an  $p_0$  such that for all  $p \geq p_0$  we have that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim(\text{Im}(S^n B_p \rightarrow B_{np}))}{\dim(B_{np})} > (1 - \epsilon).$$

In his paper [Che10], Chen asks whether any graded approximable algebra is in fact a subalgebra of the algebra of sections of a big line bundle. A counter-example was given to this is [Mac17], where a counter example is constructed in which the graded approximable algebra is equal to the section ring of an *infinite* divisor<sup>2</sup>. This begs the question : is any approximable algebra a subalgebra of the section ring of an infinite divisor?

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m$  be a graded approximable algebra whose first graded piece  $B_0$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. There is then a projective variety  $X(\mathbf{B})$  and an infinite divisor  $D(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i D_i$  such that  $a_i \rightarrow 0$  and there is a natural inclusion of graded algebras

$$\mathbf{B} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_m H^0(X(\mathbf{B}), mD(\mathbf{B})).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [Bou12] Boucksom, S. *Corps d'Okounkov (d'après Okounkov, Lazarsfeld–Mustață et Kaveh–Khovanskii)*. Séminaire Bourbaki no. 1059, Octobre 2012.
- [Che10] Chen, H. *Arithmetic Fujita Approximation*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **43**, fasc. 4 (2010) 555–578.
- [dBP16] di Biagio, L., Pacienza, G., *Restricted volumes of effective divisors*, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. **144**, No. 2, 299–337 (2016).
- [Fuj94] Fujita, T.: *Approximating Zariski decomposition of big line bundles*. Kodai Math. J. **17**(1), 1–3 (1994)
- [KK12] Kaveh, K.; Khovanskii, A.; *Newton–Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*. Ann. of Math. (2) **176** (2012), no. 2, 925–978.

<sup>1</sup>I.e., if there exists an ample divisor  $A \leq L$  such that  $\bigoplus_m H^0([mA]) \subset B$

<sup>2</sup>Infinite in this context meaning an infinite sum of Weil divisors with real coefficients  $\sum_i a_i D_i$ .

- [LM09] Lazarsfeld, R., Mustață, M.; *Convex bodies associated to linear series*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **42** (2009) 783–835.
- [Mac17] Maclean, C. *Approximable algebras and a question of Chen's*. Arxiv preprint 1703.01801, 2017.
- [Oko03] Okounkov, A.; *Why would multiplicities be log-concave?* in: The Orbit Method in Geometry and Physics, in: Progr. Math., vol. 213, 2003, pp. 329–347.

### Positivity of the diagonal

JOHN CHRISTIAN OTTEM

(joint work with Brian Lehmann)

In algebraic geometry, projective varieties are studied and classified in terms of the positivity of their tangent bundle. The paper [LO17] proposes a parallel viewpoint to this, by studying a projective variety  $X$  in terms of the positivity of the diagonal  $\Delta$  (as a higher codimension cycle) on the self-product  $X \times X$ . This is motivated by the fact that the normal bundle of  $\Delta$  is the tangent bundle  $T_X$  of  $X$ , and one expects there to be interplay between the ampleness properties of  $T_X$  (as a vector bundle) and the cycle-type positivity of  $\Delta$ . This perspective is quite vivid already for curves:

**Example 1** (Curves). Let  $C$  be a curve and let  $\Delta \subset C \times C$  be the diagonal. We have the following table:

$g$	type	$K_X$	$\Delta$
0	$\mathbb{P}^1$	$K_X < 0$	$\Delta$ is ample (it is a (1,1)-divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .)
1	elliptic	$K_X = 0$	$\Delta$ nef but not big (any effective divisor is nef on an abelian surface, and $\Delta^2 = 0$ )
$\geq 2$	general type	$K_X > 0$	$\Delta$ negative, can be contracted by the subtraction map $C \times C \rightarrow C - C \subset J(C)$ .

In general, given a variety  $X$ , we have a canonical cycle class  $[\Delta] \in N_n(X \times X)$ , and there are several ways in which this can be ‘positive’ (nef, big, movable, ample,...). Our motivating question is:

*How do the positivity properties of the cycle  $[\Delta]$  reflect the geometric properties of  $X$ ?*

Here geometric properties will refer to algebro-geometric properties (e.g., rational curves) as well as topological invariants (e.g.,  $\pi_1(X)$ ,  $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,...). Intuitively, one can expect varieties with  $\Delta$  positive to be more similar to projective spaces.

**1.1. Varieties with big diagonal.** Most of the notions of positivity for divisors have analogues for cycles of higher codimension. We define the effective cone  $\text{Eff}_k(X)$  as the cone spanned by effective  $k$ -cycles, and let  $\text{Nef}^k(X)$  be its dual cone, the nef cone. For most of the varieties in this note, numerical and cohomological equivalence coincide, so we will for simplicity think of these cones in  $H_{2k}(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{R})$  respectively. We say that a class is *big* if it lies in the interior of  $\text{Eff}_k(X)$ , and *nef* if it lies in  $\text{Nef}^k(X)$ . So the question becomes: For which varieties have is  $[\Delta]$  big or nef cycle on  $X \times X$ ? Here is a sample theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *The only surfaces with nef and big diagonal are the projective planes, and the fake projective planes.*

It is interesting to compare this result to Mori's theorem, which states that the only smooth variety with ample tangent bundle is  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . In light of this, the above statement is somewhat surprising, given that these varieties really fall on the opposite sides of the spectrum in the classification; fake projective planes have *anti-ample* tangent bundles and are consequently of general type. So by switching to the perspective of numerical positivity of  $\Delta$ , we also include varieties with the same cohomological behavior as projective space.

**Example 3.** Let us verify that  $\Delta_X$  is nef and big for a (fake) projective space. By the Künneth formula we have  $H^{2k}(X \times X) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathbb{R}(\pi_1^* h^p \cdot \pi_2^* h^q)$  where  $h$  is an ample divisor on  $X$ . Then the diagonal can be written as a sum

$$\Delta = \sum_{p+q=n} c_{pq} (\pi_1^* h^p \cdot \pi_2^* h^q)$$

with  $c_{pq} > 0$ . This class is obviously nef and big.

Some examples of fake projective planes are: (i) Odd dimensional quadrics; (ii) the 100 fake projective planes of [CS10]; (iii) the Del Pezzo quintic threefolds  $V_5$ ; and (iv) the Fano threefolds  $V_{22}$ . Our results imply that these are in fact all the examples in dimension at most 3: that is, every variety of dimension  $\leq 3$  with nef and big diagonal is either  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^3$  or one of the varieties (i)–(iv).

So having big diagonal should be a quite restrictive condition. How would one prove that a given variety does not have big diagonal? This is equivalent to finding a nef class  $\beta$  having intersection product 0 with  $\Delta$ ; finding explicit classes like this can be non-trivial, especially given the examples of Debarre–Ein–Lazarsfeld–Voisin [DELV11]. One way of constructing  $\beta$  is via products of divisors. The following lemma is elementary, but turns out to be remarkably effective:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional smooth projective variety admitting a nef class  $D \in N^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $D^n = 0$ . Then  $\Delta$  is not big.*

The proof is straightforward: If  $D$  is the above divisor, a suitable product of the form  $\beta = \pi_1^* D^k \cdot \pi_2^* D \cdot H^{n-k-1}$  (where  $k > 0$  and  $H$  is ample), is a nef class which dots  $\Delta$  to zero, and so  $\Delta$  is not big. (Using a similar argument, one can show that the same statement holds also when  $D \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is a (possibly non-algebraic) nef cohomology class.)

This lemma already puts strong restrictions on the possible varieties with big diagonal. For instance, varieties with big diagonal admit no maps to lower dimensional varieties. This implies in particular that the only smooth uniruled surface with big diagonal is  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The main geometric implication of the diagonal being big is the following:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety with big diagonal. Then  $h^{k,0}(X) = 0$  for all  $k > 0$ .*

The proof is inspired by a proof of Voisin using the Hodge–Riemann relations to bound the effective cone. To give some details, we fix a Kahler form  $\omega$  on  $X \times X$  and assume that we have a non-zero closed  $(k, 0)$ -form  $\alpha$  on  $X$ . Now, the class

$$\beta = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} i^k (\pi_1^* \alpha - \pi_2^* \alpha) \cup (\pi_1^* \bar{\alpha} - \pi_2^* \bar{\alpha}) \cup \omega^{n-k}$$

is represented by a non-zero  $(n, n)$ -form on  $X \times X$ , which by construction restricts to 0 on the diagonal. Moreover, since  $(k, 0)$ -classes are automatically primitive, the Hodge–Riemann relations imply that the class of  $\beta$  is nef, which contradicts the bigness of  $\Delta$ .

**1.2. Nefness of  $\Delta$ .** Also nefness of  $\Delta$  imposes strong restrictions on the geometry of  $X$ . The primary examples here are the varieties with nef tangent bundle (which automatically have nef diagonals). Such varieties are expected to have very special properties (e.g., Campana–Peternell conjecture that they should be homogeneous space-fibrations over abelian varieties). As for bigness, to prove that  $\Delta$  is not nef, one has to produce subvarieties of  $X \times X$  that intersect  $\Delta$  non-transversely. Some ways of producing such subvarieties include: (i) products of divisors; (ii) subvarieties linked to the diagonal via such products; (iii) graphs of (birational) automorphisms; and (iv)  $\Delta$  itself. The latter condition implies in particular that a variety with nef diagonal must have non-negative Euler characteristic. One particularly useful criterion is the following:

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth variety. If  $\Delta_X$  is nef, then every pseudoeffective class on  $X$  is nef.*

For instance, if  $S$  is a smooth surface with  $\Delta$  then  $S$  is minimal (since  $(-1)$ -curves are not nef). By combining this result with Theorem 5, we obtain:

**Corollary 7.** *The only smooth projective surfaces with big and nef diagonal are the projective plane and fake projective planes.*

Indeed, if  $\Delta$  is big and nef, then  $h^{1,0}(X) = h^{2,0}(X) = 0$ , and  $\rho = h^{1,1}(X)$ . If  $\rho > 1$ ,  $X$  either has a non-nef pseudoeffective divisor (which contradicts Proposition 6), or there is a nef divisor with self-intersection 0 (contradicting Lemma 4). Hence  $\rho = 1$ , and  $X$  is a (fake) projective plane.

A similar, but more involved analysis shows that also a threefold with nef and big diagonal has to be a fake projective space. Here one needs the following additional ingredients: (i)  $\chi(X) \geq 0$ ; (ii)  $\chi(\emptyset_X) = \frac{1}{24} c_1 c_2 = 1$ ; (iii)  $X$  is minimal; (iv) the Miyaoka inequality; and (v) The classification of Fano 3-folds. This indicates that a classification of varieties with nef and big diagonal in higher dimension will be more difficult; we pose the question whether a fourfold with nef and big diagonal must be a fake projective space.

**1.3. Examples.** The paper [LO17] studies how varieties with big or nef diagonal fit into the classification of varieties of low dimension. We conclude with a few examples illustrating these results:

**Example 8.**

- (1) Quadrics have big diagonal if and only if the dimension is even.
- (2) A Grassmannian has a big diagonal if and only if it is a projective space.
- (3) Let  $X$  be a toric variety. Then  $\Delta_X$  is nef if and only if  $X$  has nef tangent bundle if and only if  $X$  is a product of projective spaces. There are also toric threefolds other than  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with big diagonal.

**Example 9 (Surfaces).** Let us say a few words about how varieties with nef diagonal fit into the classification of surfaces. First of all, if  $S$  is a surface with nef diagonal, it must be minimal (otherwise there is a  $(-1)$ -curve, contradicting Lemma 6). We next consider the surfaces according to their Kodaira dimension  $\kappa$ . If  $\kappa = -\infty$ ,  $S$  must either be  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , or the ruled surface over an elliptic curve defined by a semistable rank 2 bundle. Each of these have nef tangent bundles and thus nef diagonal.

For  $\kappa = 0$ , abelian surfaces and hyperelliptic surfaces both have nef tangent bundles, and thus nef diagonal. Any Enriques surface admits an involution  $i : S \rightarrow S$  exchanging the two sheets of a double cover  $S \rightarrow T$ . Intersecting  $\Delta$  with the graph of the involution gives a negative number, so  $\Delta$  is not nef. For K3 surfaces, we prove, using results of Bayer–Macrì [BM14], that the diagonal is not nef. In fact, we show that the diagonal is negative in a very strong sense: any effective cycle on  $S \times S$  with class proportional to  $\Delta$ , must itself be a multiple of  $\Delta$ .

For  $\kappa = 1$ , we can consider the canonical map  $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ . By intersecting the diagonal with a cycle in from  $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_C)$ , one sees right away that the base  $C$  of the canonical map must have genus either 0 or 1. In the latter case,  $\Delta$  has negative intersection with  $\sigma \times \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is a section of  $\pi$ . Furthermore, in [LO17] we give an example showing that it is in fact possible for  $\Delta$  to be nef if  $\pi$  admits no sections.

**Example 10 (Hypersurfaces).** Let  $X$  be a smooth hypersurface of degree  $\geq 3$  and dimension  $\geq 2$ . An Euler characteristic computation shows that the diagonal of  $X$  is not nef. Bigness of the diagonal turns out to be more subtle. We show:

**Theorem 11.** *For a smooth Fano hypersurface of degree  $\geq 3$  and dimension  $\leq 5$ , the diagonal is not big.*

The strategy here is to use the rational map  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \dashrightarrow Gr(2, n+2)$ , which is resolved by blowing up  $\Delta$ . Using this map, we can pull back Schubert cycles from the Grassmannian, and intersect  $\Delta$  with these to argue that the diagonal is not big.

## REFERENCES

- [BM14] Arend Bayer and Emanuele Macrì. MMP for moduli of sheaves on K3s via wall-crossing: nef and movable cones, Lagrangian fibrations. *Invent. Math.*, 198(3):505–590, 2014.
- [CS10] Donald I. Cartwright and Tim Steger. Enumeration of the 50 fake projective planes. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 348(1-2):11–13, 2010.

- [DELV11] Olivier Debarre, Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld, and Claire Voisin. Pseudoeffective and nef classes on abelian varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 147(6):1793–1818, 2011.
- [LO17] Brian Lehmann and John Christian Ottem. Positivity of the diagonal. *arXiv:1707.08659*, 2017.

## Newton–Okounkov bodies and Toric Degenerations of Mori dream spaces via Tropical compactifications

STEFANO URBINATI

(joint work with Elisa Postinghel)

In this work we make a connection between the theory of Newton–Okounkov bodies (NObodies) and tropical geometry, sharing the aim of the recent preprints [KU16, KM16]. The results of the present paper yield a different point of view on how tropical geometry can be extremely helpful in birational geometry. Our principal aim is to study Mori dream spaces (MDS) via tropicalization. Via this connection we can describe a simple and computable way of reconstructing the movable cone of such varieties. The results obtained complete the picture introduced in [LS14, PSU15], where Minkowski bases for NObodies were given respectively for surfaces and for toric varieties, with respect to certain admissible flags. Let us first recall the main definitions. ([HK00, KSZ91])

**1.1. NObodies and toric degenerations.** Let  $X$  be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension  $n$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  and let  $D$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$ . Okounkov’s construction associates to  $D$  a convex body

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which we call the *NObody*. It depends on the choice of an *admissible flag*  $Y_\bullet$ .

$$Y_0 = X \supset Y_1 \supset \dots \supset Y_{n-1} \supset Y_n,$$

where  $Y_i$  is a subvariety of codimension  $i$  in  $X$  which is smooth at the point  $Y_n$ . Using the flag, one defines a rank  $n$  valuation  $\nu = \nu_{Y_\bullet}$  which, in turn, defines a *graded semigroup*  $\Gamma_{Y_\bullet} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$ . The convex body  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)$  is the intersection of  $\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with the closure of the convex hull of  $\Gamma_{Y_\bullet}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

One can also define the notion of *global NObody* of  $X$  which is the closed convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$  whose fibers over any big divisor  $D$  on  $X$  coincides with the NObody  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)$  of such divisor. We refer to [KK12, LM09] for details on this construction. NObodies are quite difficult to compute in general. They often are not polyhedral and, when polyhedral, they may be not rational. Even when the body is polyhedral, the semigroup  $\Gamma_{Y_\bullet}$  need not be finitely generated.

For toric varieties NObodies turn out to be nice. In fact [LM09] prove that if  $X$  is a smooth *toric variety*,  $D$  is a  $T$ -invariant ample divisor on  $X$  and the  $Y_i$ ’s are  $T$ -invariant subvarieties, then  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)$  is the lattice polytope associated with  $D$  in the usual toric construction. Moreover the global NObodies are rational polyhedral.

It is natural to investigate whether this construction behaves well for special classes of varieties. A consequence of the work contained in [BCHM10, HK00] is that divisors on MDS often have toric-like behavior, so it makes sense to pose the following question.

**Question 1** ([LM09, Problem 7.1]). Let  $X$  be a smooth MDS. Does there exist an admissible flag with respect to which the global NObody of  $X$  is rational polyhedral?

In [And13], Anderson extended the connection between NObodies and toric varieties, by introducing a geometric criterion for  $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(A)$  to be a lattice polytope for  $A$  ample and, in this situation, by constructing an embedded *toric degeneration* of  $(X, A)$ .

**Theorem 2** ([And13, Theorem 5.8]). *Let  $A$  to be an ample divisor on  $X$  and assume the value semigroup associated to  $A$  with respect to the valuation induced by a complete flag is finitely generated. Then  $X$  admits a flat degeneration to a toric variety whose normalization is  $X_{\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(A)}$ .*

**Question 3.** For which varieties is it possible to find a flag such that the value semigroup of an ample divisor is finitely generated?

Notice that the latter is a very strong condition, and it is much stronger than the finite generation of the divisorial ring. In this work we give an affirmative answer to both Questions 1 and 3 for Mori dream spaces.

**1.2. Mori Dream Spaces and tropicalization.** MDS are special projective varieties for which the Minimal Model Program (MMP) is particularly simple, since they only admit a finite number of *small  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial modifications* (SQM's). The key property of these varieties is that they always admit a particularly nice embedding into toric varieties, see [HK00]. Given a MDS  $X$  and such an embedding  $X \subseteq Z$  into a toric variety, let  $T \subseteq Z$  be the maximal torus of the given toric variety. The main result of this paper can be summarized as follows:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X \subset Z$  be a MDS with the embedding of [HK00] into a toric variety  $Z$ . Then the tropicalization of the variety restricted to  $T$ ,  $\text{Trop}(X|_T)$ , induces a model  $h: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ , embedded in a toric variety  $j: \bar{X} \subset \bar{Z}$ , that dominates all the SQM's induced by the MMP. Moreover the fan of  $\bar{Z}$  is supported on  $\text{Trop}(X|_T)$ .*

The main ideas are inspired by the work of Tevelev [Tev07]. Note that in this way we recover a subscheme of the *Chow quotient* defined in [KSZ91]. Via this construction we are able to prove several consequences. First of all, the map  $h$  is given as an embedded map into toric varieties and this allows us to obtain a Minkowski basis for the NObodies on  $X$ . In particular we can reconstruct the movable cone of  $X$ . The main result is the following.

**Theorem 5.** *In the notation of Theorem 4, certain admissible flags on  $\bar{Z}$  induce admissible flags on  $X$ , such that if  $\{D_i^Z\}_{i \in I}$  is a set of generators of the nef cone of  $\bar{Z}$ , then  $\{D_i := h_* j^* D_i^Z\}_{i \in I}$  is a Minkowski basis for  $X$  with respect to induced flag.*

Another important result of this paper is that the NObodies of the Minkowski basis elements are rational and polyhedral. Even more, the value semigroup is finitely generated. This implies the following result:

**Theorem 6.** *If  $X$  is a smooth MDS, the global NObody of  $X$  with respect to the flags obtained in Theorem 5 is a rational polyhedron.*

*Moreover if  $A$  is an ample line bundle on  $X$ ,  $(X, A)$  admits a flat embedded degeneration to a not necessarily normal toric variety whose normalisation is the toric variety defined the NObody of  $A$ .*

The first statement of Theorem 6 answers affirmatively a question posed in [LM09]. The second statement is based on work of [And13].

#### REFERENCES

- [And13] D. Anderson, *Okounkov bodies and toric degenerations*. Math. Ann. (2013), vol. 356, 1183–1202.
- [BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 23 (2010), no. 2, 405–468.
- [HK00] Y. Hu and S. Keel, *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, Michigan Mathematical Journal, vol. 48 (2000), 331–348.
- [KSZ91] M. M. Kapranov, B. Sturmfels A. V. and Zelevinsky, *Quotients of toric varieties*, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 290 (1991), n. 4, 643–655.
- [KU16] E. Katz, S. Urbinati, *Newton–Okounkov Bodies over Discrete Valuation Rings and Linear Systems on Graphs*, arXiv:1609.06036, 2016.
- [KK12] K. Kaveh, A. Khovanskii, *Newton–Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*, Annals of Mathematics, 176 (2): 925–978, 2012
- [KM16] K. Kaveh, C. Manon, *Khovanskii bases, Newton–Okounkov bodies and tropical geometry of projective varieties*, arXiv:1610.00298, (2016).
- [LM09] Lazarsfeld, R., Mustață, M., *Convex bodies associated to linear series*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 42 (2009), no. 5, 783–835.
- [LS14] Łuszcz-Świdecka, P., Schmitz, D., *Minkowski decomposition of Okounkov bodies on surfaces*, Journal of Algebra, Vol. 414, (2014), Pages 159–174.
- [PSU15] P. Pokora, D. Schmitz and S. Urbinati, *Minkowski decomposition and generators of the moving cone for toric varieties*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, vol. 66 (2015), no. 3, 925–939.
- [Tev07] J. Tevelev, *Compactifications of subvarieties of tori*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 129 (2007), n. 4, 1087–1104.

### Polar transform and local positivity for curves

JIAN XIAO

(joint work with Nicholas McCleerey)

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$ . Let  $L$  be a nef line bundle on  $X$ . One of the most important invariants measuring the local positivity of  $L$  at  $x$  is the local Seshadri constant,  $s_x(L)$ , introduced by [Dem92]. Another important local positivity invariant for divisors is the local Nakayama constant,  $n_x(L)$ , introduced by (see [Leh13, Definition 5.1], and also [Nak04] for related



objects). It is clear that these invariants can extend to  $(1, 1)$  classes. By the general theory developed in [LX16], given a proper closed convex cone  $\mathcal{C} \subset V$  of full dimension in a real vector space  $V$ , let  $\text{HConc}_1(\mathcal{C})$  be the space of real valued functions defined over  $\mathcal{C}$  that are upper semicontinuous, homogeneous of degree one, strictly positive in the interior of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and 1-concave. Then one can study the polar transform  $\mathcal{H} : \text{HConc}_1(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{HConc}_1(\mathcal{C}^*)$ :

$$\mathcal{H}f : \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, w^* \mapsto \inf_{v \in \mathcal{C}^\circ} \frac{w^* \cdot v}{f(v)},$$

where  $f \in \text{HConc}_1(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $\mathcal{C}^* \subset V^*$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is a Legendre–Fenchel type transform with a “coupling” function given by the logarithm. It is clear that  $s_x(\cdot) \in \text{HConc}_1(\text{Nef}^1(X))$  and  $n_x(\cdot) \in \text{HConc}_1(\overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X))$ .

We apply the polar transform to the following two geometric cases:

- (1)  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Nef}^1(X)$ ,  $f = s_x$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\text{Eff}}^1(X)$ ,  $f = n_x$ .

Then we get two functions on the dual cones:  $N_x(\cdot) \in \text{HConc}_1(\overline{\text{Eff}}_1(X))$  and  $S_x(\cdot) \in \text{HConc}_1(\overline{\text{Mov}}_1(X))$ . We show that these functions measure the local positivity for  $(n-1, n-1)$  classes. In fact, we show that the polar of  $s_x$  behaves analogously to the function  $n_x$ , and similarly for the polar of  $n_x$ . Furthermore, this enables us to obtain a Seshadri type ampleness criterion for movable curves, that is,  $\alpha \in \overline{\text{Mov}}_1(X)^\circ$  if and only if there is a uniform constant  $c > 0$  such that  $S_x(\alpha) \geq c$  for every  $x \in X$ . This also enables us to give a characterization of the divisorial components of the non-Kähler locus of a big  $(1, 1)$  class. More precisely, assume that  $\alpha$  is on the boundary of  $\overline{\text{Mov}}_1(X)$  and  $S_p(\alpha) > 0$  at some point  $p$ , then  $S_x(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $x$  lies on the the divisorial components of the non-Kähler locus of a big  $(1, 1)$  class determined by  $\alpha$ . In particular, the vanishing locus of the function  $x \mapsto S_x(\alpha)$  is a subvariety.

Independently, starting with a more geometric viewpoint which is similar to the original definition of  $s_x(\cdot)$ , M. Fulger [Ful17] has also studied Seshadri constants for movable curve classes. It turns out that this geometric definition for Seshadri constants is equivalent to the above  $S_x(\cdot)$  given by polar transforms.

#### REFERENCES

- [Dem92] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles*, Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1507, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 87–104.
- [Ful17] Mihai Fulger, *Seshadri constants for curve classes*, arXiv:1707.07347 (2017).
- [Leh13] Brian Lehmann, *Comparing numerical dimensions*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 5, 1065–1100.
- [LX16] Brian Lehmann and Jian Xiao, *Convexity and Zariski decomposition structure*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 4, 1135–1189.
- [Nak04] Noboru Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 14, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004.

Reporter: Aurel-Mihai Fulger

## Participants

**Prof. Dr. Ana-Maria Castravet**

Department of Mathematics  
Northeastern University  
360 Huntington Avenue  
Boston MA 02115  
UNITED STATES

**Prof. Dr. Nguyen-Bac Dang**

Centre de Mathématiques Laurent  
Schwartz  
École Polytechnique  
91128 Palaiseau Cedex  
FRANCE

**Dr. A. Mihai Fulger**

Department of Mathematics  
University of Connecticut  
341 Mansfield Road  
Storrs, CT 06269  
UNITED STATES

**Dr. Frank Gounelas**

Institut für Mathematik  
Humboldt-Universität Berlin  
Unter den Linden 6  
10117 Berlin  
GERMANY

**Prof. Dr. Alex Küronya**

Institut für Mathematik  
Goethe-Universität Frankfurt  
Robert-Mayer-Strasse 6-8  
60325 Frankfurt am Main  
GERMANY

**Dr. Robert Laterveer**

Institut de Recherche Mathématique  
Avancee  
Université de Strasbourg et CNRS  
7, rue Rene Descartes  
67084 Strasbourg Cedex  
FRANCE

**Dr. Chung-Ching Lau**

Department of Mathematics  
University of Utah  
155 South 1400 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0090  
UNITED STATES

**Prof. Dr. Brian Lehmann**

Department of Mathematics  
Boston College  
Chestnut Hill, MA 02467-3806  
UNITED STATES

**Prof. Dr. Angelo Felice Lopez**

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Universita degli Studi Roma Tre  
Largo S. L. Murialdo, 1  
00146 Roma  
ITALY

**Dr. Victor Lozovanu**

Institut für Mathematik  
Universität Hannover  
Postfach 6009  
30060 Hannover  
GERMANY

**Prof. Dr. Diane Maclagan**

Mathematics Institute  
University of Warwick  
Gibbet Hill Road  
Coventry CV4 7AL  
UNITED KINGDOM

**Dr. Catriona Maclean**

Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
Université de Grenoble I  
Institut Fourier  
B.P. 74  
38402 Saint-Martin-d'Hères Cedex  
FRANCE

**Matthias Nickel**

Fachbereich Mathematik/Informatik  
J.W.Goethe-Universität  
60325 Frankfurt am Main  
GERMANY

**Dr. John Christian Ottem**

Department of Mathematics  
University of Oslo  
P. O. Box 1053 - Blindern  
0316 Oslo  
NORWAY

**Dr. Stefano Urbinati**

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Politecnico di Milano  
Via Bonardi 9  
20133 Milano  
ITALY

**Prof. Dr. Jian Xiao**

Department of Mathematics  
Northwestern University  
Lunt Hall  
2033 Sheridan Road  
Evanston, IL 60208-2730  
UNITED STATES

