MATHEMATISCHES FORSCHUNGSINSTITUT OBERWOLFACH

Report No. 22/2021

DOI: 10.4171/OWR/2021/22

Foundations of Bayesian Inference for Complex Statistical Models (hybrid meeting)

Organized by Richard Nickl, Cambridge UK Judith Rousseau, Oxford Aad van der Vaart, Leiden

2 May - 8 May 2021

ABSTRACT. In this virtual workshop a variety of recent developments in Bayesian high-dimensional and nonparametric statistics were discussed in depth. There were 12 in depth talks delivered via zoom in the afternoons (to allow for US attendance), and several informal evening time meetings on wonder.me, where follow up discussions of the most important mathematical developments took place.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 62F15, 62G20.

Introduction by the Organizers

All 12 talks were of the highest quality, summarising and highlighting fundamental recent developments in the field, triggering lively discussions even despite the virtual format on zoom. The material presented in the talks covered a wide range of aspects of Bayesian nonparametric statistics and its mathematical foundations. Loosely speaking there were 3 main clusters of topics, on *i*) Asymptotic behaviour of Bayes methods, *ii*) Bayesian inverse problems, and *iii*) Sampling methods for complex posterior distributions. We now summarise the contributions in somewhat more detail.

i) Asymptotic behaviour of Bayes methods

There were two talks on the recently emerged area of Bayesian multiple testing, and Ismael Castillo and Elisabeth Gassiat presented an overview of recent progress on the asymptotic behaviour of such methods in a variety of settings, including Hidden Markov models. Chao Gao presented his work on a unified convergence rate analysis of variational Bayes methods, which are often relevant in complex settings where standard computation of posteriors is impossible. Aretha Teckentrup reviewed recent work of hers on convergence properties of Gaussian process regression in a 'noise-less' regression setting. Johannes Schmidt-Hieber talked about recent results establishing contraction rates for posterior distribution arising from 'deep' Gaussian processes (where multiple layers are generated by conditioning steps). Botond Szabo reviewed recent work of himself and collaborators on Gaussian process regression in 'distributed' settings with computational 'communication constraints'.

ii) Bayesian inverse problems

Another cluster of the workshop was concerned with recent progress in the understanding of Bayesian methodology applied in inverse problems (arising with PDEs or otherwise). Martin Burger presented recent work on the variational interpretation and computation of MAP estimators (posterior modes) arising from log-concave priors. Nik Kovachki presented his work with Andrew Stuart and Bamdad Hosseini on learning operators in Bayesian inverse problems. Hanne Kekkonen presented her consistency results for nonparametric Bayesian inference in a nonlinear inverse problem arising with a parabolic Schrödinger equation. Tapio Helin discussed results about the use of the Laplace approximation to approximately compute posterior distributions by 'Gaussian surrogates'.

ii) Sampling methods for complex posterior distributions

Eric Moulines discussed new MCMC/importance sampling type algorithms based on non-equilibrium sampling ideas, and described recent progress on the theoretical understanding of such methods. Sven Wang presented results on poly-nomial time computational guarantees for Langevin MCMC samplers for high-dimensional posterior measures arising in non-log-concave PDE settings with a Schrödinger equation.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank the MFO for hosting us and Stefan Franssen, Matteo Giordano and Deborah Sulem for helping with the organisation of the online workshop and the preparation of this report.

Workshop (hybrid meeting): Foundations of Bayesian Inference for Complex Statistical Models

Table of Contents

Ismaël Castillo (joint with Kweku Abraham & Étienne Roquain) Bayesian multiple testing: overview and sharp boundary for
sparse sequences
Martin Burger (joint with Felix Lucka, Tapio Helin, Martin Benning) Distance measures for Learning and Bayesian Inversion1196
Chao Gao (joint with Fengshuo Zhang) Convergence Rates of Variational and Empirical Bayes: A Unified Analysis
Eric Moulines (joint with Achille Thin, Yazid Janati, Sylvain Le Corff, Charles Ollion, Arnaud Doucet, Alain Durmus, Christian Robert) Non-Equilibrium Sampling
Aretha Teckentrup (joint with Matt Dunlop, Mark Girolami, Andrew Stuart) Convergence, Robustness and Flexibility of Gaussian Process Regression 1197
Nikola Kovachki Learning Operators for Forward and Inverse Problems
Johannes Schmidt-Hieber (joint with Gianluca Finocchio) Posterior contraction for deep Gaussian process priors
Sven Wang (joint with Richard Nickl) On polynomial-time computation of high-dimensional posterior measures by Langevin-type algorithms
Hanne Kekkonen Consistency of Bayesian inference with Gaussian process priors for a parabolic inverse problem
Tapio Helin (joint with Remo Kretschmann)Laplace approximation in Bayesian inverse problems1200
Elisabeth Gassiat Bayesian multiple testing for dependent data and hidden Markov models 1201
Botond Szabo (joint with Amine Hadji, Aad van der Vaart, Harry van Zanten)
Product vs mixture of experts: On distributed Gaussian Process regression 1202

Abstracts

Bayesian multiple testing: overview and sharp boundary for sparse sequences

ISMAËL CASTILLO (joint work with Kweku Abraham & Étienne Roquain)

Bayesian posterior distributions that allow for variable selection are often used in practice to address multiple testing questions. Besides their empirical success, they have been advocated among others by Bradley Efron for use in combination with empirical Bayes estimators of unknown prior parameters.

We consider three popular multiple testing procedures based on spike and slab priors. The first simply selects coordinates based on low posterior probabilities of coming from the null distribution, so-called ℓ -values (also known as 'local FDR' values). The second procedure is based on cumulative ℓ -values and the third on thresholding of so-called q-values (Storey 2003). While simple decision-theoretic arguments show that these procedures have optimality properties in the Bayesian setting assuming the prior is correct, it is natural to wonder whether their excellent behaviour in practice can be backed-up by theoretical guarantees if the true parameter is a fixed sparse (but otherwise arbitrary) vector.

In a sparse normal means setting, we demonstrate that the procedures behave optimally in a number of ways, if the spike-and-slab weight is calibrated using marginal maximum likelihood in an Empirical Bayes fashion. On the one hand, we prove that the frequentist FDR (False Discovery Rate) of these procedures is uniformly controlled: it goes to zero slowly for the ℓ -value procedure, and stays close to a user-specified nominal level for the q-value procedure. On the other hand, we study the power through the FNR (False Negative Rate). We investigate multiple testing minimax rates and prove that sharp adaptive minimaxity for the multiple testing risk is achieved by Empirical Bayes-calibrated ℓ -value procedures.

- Ismaël Castillo, Étienne Roquain, On spike and slab empirical Bayes multiple testing, The Annals of Statistics, 2020.
- [2] Kweku Abraham, Ismaël Castillo, Étienne Roquain, Empirical Bayes cumulative l-value multiple testing procedure for sparse sequences, arXiv:2102.00929.
- [3] Kweku Abraham, Ismaël Castillo, Étienne Roquain, Sharp multiple testing boundary for sparse sequences, manuscript in preparation.

Distance measures for Learning and Bayesian Inversion MARTIN BURGER

(joint work with Felix Lucka, Tapio Helin, Martin Benning)

Common distance measures in statistics are based on variances or covariances, which corresponds to Hilbert space norms. In recent high-dimensional applications such as Bayesian inverse problems or machine learning one often chooses to work with priors very far from Gaussians, which implies that the geometric structure is very far from a Hilbertian one. Thus, quantification in terms of a Hilbert space norm can be far from optimal.

In the case of log-concave priors we investigate distance measures such as Bregman distances and (scaled) Jensen distances, which turn out to be efficient for analyzing the variational problems related to maximum a-posteriori error estimates. Our results show that for appropriate choice of the distances, the maximum a-posteriori probability estimate or the conditional mean estimate can be obtained as minimizers. This directly yields contraction estimates in a corresponding transport distances. The latter can be generalized to estimates between posterior distributions in the transport distances. In the infinite-dimensional setting a similar analysis can be applied, but the distances have to modified if the negative logarithm of the prior is not one-homogeneous.

References

- [1] Martin Burger, Felix Lucka, Maximum a posteriori estimates in linear inverse problems with log-concave priors are proper Bayes estimators, Inverse Problems, 30 (2014), 114004.
- [2] Tapio Helin, Martin Burger, Maximum a posteriori probability estimates in infinitedimensional Bayesian inverse problems, Inverse Problems, 31 (2015), 085009.

Convergence Rates of Variational and Empirical Bayes: A Unified Analysis

Снао Gao

(joint work with Fengshuo Zhang)

We study the convergence rates of empirical Bayes posterior distributions for nonparametric and high-dimensional inference. We show that as long as the hyperparameter set is discrete, the empirical Bayes posterior distribution induced by the maximum marginal likelihood estimator can be regarded as a variational approximation to a hierarchical Bayes posterior distribution. This connection between empirical Bayes and variational Bayes allows us to leverage the recent results in the variational Bayes literature, and directly obtains the convergence rates of empirical Bayes posterior distributions from a variational perspective. For a more general hyperparameter set that is not necessarily discrete, we introduce a new technique called "prior decomposition" to deal with prior distributions that can be written as convex combinations of probability measures whose supports are lowdimensional subspaces. This leads to generalized versions of the classical "prior mass and testing" conditions for the convergence rates of empirical Bayes. Our theory is applied to a number of statistical estimation problems including nonparametric density estimation and sparse linear regression.

References

 Fengshuo Zhang, Chao Gao, Convergence Rates of Empirical Bayes Posterior Distributions: A Variational Perspective, arXiv:2009.03969.

Non-Equilibrium Sampling

Eric Moulines

(joint work with Achille Thin, Yazid Janati, Sylvain Le Corff, Charles Ollion, Arnaud Doucet, Alain Durmus, Christian Robert)

Sampling from a complex distribution π and approximating its intractable normalizing constant Z are challenging problems. In this paper, a novel family of importance samplers (IS) and Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) samplers is derived. Given an invertible map T, these schemes combine (with weights) elements from the forward and backward Orbits through points sampled from a proposal distribution ρ . The map T does not leave the target π invariant, hence the name NEO, standing for Non-Equilibrium Orbits.cNEO-IS provides unbiased estimators of the normalizing constant and self-normalized IS estimators of expectations under π while NEO-MCMC combines multiple NEO-IS estimates of the normalizing constant and an iterated sampling-importance resampling mechanism to sample from π . For T chosen as a discrete-time integrator of a conformal Hamiltonian system, NEO-IS achieves state-of-the art performance on difficult benchmarks and NEO-MCMC is able to explore highly multimodal targets. Additionally, we provide detailed theoretical results for both methods. In particular, we show that NEO-MCMC is uniformly geometrically ergodic and establish explicit mixing time estimates under mild conditions.

Convergence, Robustness and Flexibility of Gaussian Process Regression

ARETHA TECKENTRUP (joint work with Matt Dunlop, Mark Girolami, Andrew Stuart)

We are interested in the task of estimating an unknown, deterministic function from a set of point evaluations. In this context, Gaussian process regression is often used as a Bayesian inference procedure. However, hyper-parameters appearing in the mean and covariance structure of the Gaussian process prior, such as smoothness of the function and typical length scales, are often unknown and learnt from the data, along with the posterior mean and covariance.

In the first part of the talk, we study the robustness of Gaussian process regression with respect to mis-specification of the hyper-parameters. We work in the framework of empirical Bayes', where a point estimate of the hyper-parameters is computed, using the data, and then used within the standard Gaussian process prior to posterior update. Using results from scattered data approximation, we provide a convergence analysis of the method applied to a fixed, unknown function of interest. We show convergence of the mean of the Gaussian process posterior to the true function f, and the convergence of the variance of the Gaussian process posterior to zero.

In the second part of the talk, we discuss deep Gaussian processes as a class of flexible non-stationary prior distributions. We provide a general framework in which deep Gaussian processes can be constructed and analysed, and we demonstrate the power of deep Gaussian processes in a regression problem where we wish to recover a multi-scale function from noisy point evaluations.

References

- A.L. Teckentrup. Convergence of Gaussian process regression with estimated hyperparameters and applications in Bayesian inverse problems. SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification, 8(4), p. 1310–1337, 2020.
- [2] M.M. Dunlop, M.A. Girolami, A.M. Stuart, A.L. Teckentrup. How deep are deep Gaussian processes? Journal of Machine Learning Research, 19(54), 1–46, 2018.

Learning Operators for Forward and Inverse Problems NIKOLA KOVACHKI

A general framework for data-driven approximation of input-output maps between infinite-dimensional spaces is developed. Motivated by the recent successes of neural networks, the proposed approach uses a combination of ideas from deep learning and model reduction. This combination results in a neural network approximation which, in principle, is defined on infinite-dimensional spaces and, in practice, is robust to the dimension of the finite-dimensional approximations of these spaces required for computation. For large classes of input-output maps, and suitably chosen probability measures on the inputs, convergence of the proposed approximation methodology is proved. Numerically, the effectiveness of the method is demonstrated on classes of parametric PDE problems with applications in reservoir modeling, the deformation of plastic materials, and the turbulent flow of fluids. Convergence and robustness of the approximation scheme with respect to the size of the discretization is established. The method is shown to be faster and more accurate than many existing algorithms in the literature.

- Kaushik Bhattacharya, Bamdad Hosseini, Nikola B. Kovachki, Andrew M. Stuart, Model Reduction and Neural Networks for Parametric PDEs, arXiv:2005.03180
- [2] Zongyi Li, Nikola Kovachki, Kamyar Azizzadenesheli, Burigede Liu, Kaushik Bhattacharya, Andrew Stuart, Anima Anandkumar, Fourier Neural Operator for Parametric Partial Differential Equations, arXiv:2010.08895
- [3] Burigede Liu, Nikola Kovachki, Zongyi Li, Kamyar Azizzadenesheli, Anima Anandkumar, Andrew Stuart, Kaushik Bhattacharya, A learning-based multiscale method and its application to inelastic impact problems, arXiv:2102.07256

Posterior contraction for deep Gaussian process priors

JOHANNES SCHMIDT-HIEBER (joint work with Gianluca Finocchio)

Deep neural networks are now state of the art for many complex learning tasks and, in particular, outperform shallow neural networks. While the role of depth in neural networks is not yet completely understood, an interesting follow-up question is whether other methods can be enhanced my making them deep. Nonparametric Bayes with Gaussian process priors is a popular method in machine learning and closely related to Bayesian neural networks. By composing Gaussian processes, we easily can create deep processes. Little is, however, known regarding the theoretical properties of deep Gaussian processes. We fill this gap by studying deep Gaussian process priors from the frequentist Bayes perspective, assuming that the data are generated from the nonparametric regression model and that the underlying regression function itself has a composition structure. Conditions are derived that guarantee a posterior contraction rate close to the minimax estimation rate. These conditions can be verified if the deep Gaussian processes prior construction is based on standard families of Gaussian processes.

References

[1] Gianluca Finocchio, Johannes Schmidt-Hieber, Posterior contraction for deep Gaussian process priors, arXiv:2105.07410.

On polynomial-time computation of high-dimensional posterior measures by Langevin-type algorithms

SVEN WANG (joint work with Richard Nickl)

The problem of generating random samples of high-dimensional posterior distributions is considered. The main results consist of non-asymptotic computational guarantees for Langevin-type MCMC algorithms which scale polynomially in key quantities such as the dimension of the model, the desired precision level, and the number of available statistical measurements. As a direct consequence, it is shown that posterior mean vectors as well as optimisation based maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimates are computable in polynomial time, with high probability under the distribution of the data. These results are complemented by statistical guarantees for recovery of the ground truth parameter generating the data.

The results are derived in a general high-dimensional non-linear regression setting (with Gaussian process priors) where posterior measures are not necessarily log-concave, employing a set of local 'geometric' assumptions on the parameter space, and assuming that a good initialiser of the algorithm is available. The theory is applied to a representative non-linear example from PDEs involving a steady-state Schrödinger equation.

References

 Richard Nickl, Sven Wang, On polynomial-time computation of high-dimensional posterior measures by Langevin-type algorithms, arXiv:2009.05298.

Consistency of Bayesian inference with Gaussian process priors for a parabolic inverse problem

HANNE KEKKONEN

We consider the statistical nonlinear inverse problem of recovering the absorption term f > 0 in the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_x u + f u = 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{O} \times (0, \mathbf{T}) \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{O} \times (0, \mathbf{T}) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{on } \mathcal{O}, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain, $\mathbf{T} < \infty$ is a fixed time, and g, u_0 are given sufficiently smooth functions describing boundary and initial values respectively. The data is assumed to consist of N discrete noisy point evaluations of the solution u_f on $\mathcal{O} \times (0, \mathbf{T})$. We study the statistical performance of Bayesian nonparametric procedures based on a large class of Gaussian process priors. We show that, as the number of measurements increases, the resulting posterior distributions concentrate around the true parameter generating the data, and derive a convergence rate for the reconstruction error of the associated posterior means. We also consider the optimality of the contraction rates and prove a lower bound for the minimax convergence rate for inferring f from the data, and show that optimal rates can be achieved with truncated Gaussian priors.

References

 Hanne Kekkonen Consistency of Bayesian inference with Gaussian process priors for a parabolic inverse problem, arXiv:2103.13213.

Laplace approximation in Bayesian inverse problems TAPIO HELIN (joint work with Remo Kretschmann)

The Laplace approximation is a popular approximative numerical scheme in largescale non-linear Bayesian inverse problems. In addition to the large-scale problems, it is frequently applied in Bayesian optimal experimental design and has recently been considered as a reference measure for numerical quadrature and importance sampling. We quantify approximative properties of the Laplace method applied to the posterior distribution arising in non-linear Bayesian inverse problems. Our work is motivated by Schillings et al. (2020), where it is shown that in such a setting the Laplace approximation error in Hellinger distance converges to zero in the order of the noise level. Our main results introduce novel error estimates for a given noise level that additionally quantify the effect due to the non-linearity of the forward mapping and the dimension of the problem. In particular, we are interested in inverse problems, where a linear forward mapping is perturbed by a small non-linear mapping. Our results provide insight into Bayesian inference in non-linear inverse problems, where linearization of the forward mapping has suitable approximation properties.

References

 Tapio Helin, Remo Kretschmann, Non-asymptotic error estimates for the Laplace approximation in Bayesian inverse problems, arXiv:2012.06603.

Bayesian multiple testing for dependent data and hidden Markov models

ELISABETH GASSIAT

Given a nonparametric Hidden Markov Model (HMM) with two states, the question of constructing efficient multiple testing procedures is considered, treating one of the states as an unknown null hypothesis. A procedure is introduced, based on nonparametric empirical Bayes ideas, that controls the False Discovery Rate (FDR) at a user–specified level. Guarantees on power are also provided, in the form of a control of the true positive rate. One of the key steps in the construction requires supremum–norm convergence of preliminary estimators of the emission densities of the HMM. We provide the existence of such estimators, with convergence at the optimal minimax rate, for the case of a HMM with $J \geq 2$ states, which is of independent interest. Then, we are interested in the procedures for strutured sequences of hypothesis. The behaviour of the HMM prior is investigated when it approaches the limit case of estimation of the parameters is shown to depend on quantities related to the distance to the limit cases.

- Kweku Abraham, Ismael Castillo, Elisabeth Gassiat, Multiple Testing in Nonparametric Hidden Markov Models: An Empirical Bayes Approach, arXiv:2101.03838.
- [2] Kweku Abraham, Elisabeth Gassiat, Zacharie Naulet, Fundamental limits in the learning of Hidden Markov Models, manuscript, 2021.

Product vs mixture of experts: On distributed Gaussian Process regression

BOTOND SZABO

(joint work with Amine Hadji, Aad van der Vaart, Harry van Zanten)

Gaussian Process (GP) are arguably one of the most popular choices of priors in Bayesian nonparametrics, with applications including predicting the spread of malaria, medical imaging, artificial intelligence, and modeling the rate of cell growth. However, the computation of the posteriors coming from GP priors typically scale poorly with the data size n even in the standard nonparametric regression model, i.e. the computational complexity of training and predicting are $O(n^3)$ and $O(n^2)$, respectively. This considerably limits their practical applicability for big data sets.

In practice various approximation methods were proposed to speed up the computations, including distributed Bayes, variational Bayes, coreset methods, banded or reduced rank approximation of the posterior covariance matrix, just to mention a few. In the presentation we focus on distributed Bayesian techniques, where the data is divided over local machines/cores and the posteriors are computed locally in parallel to each other based on the partial data sets. Then in the final step the local posteriors are aggregated into a global approximation of the original posterior distribution. The distributed architecture, beside speeding up the computations, also helps in reducing the memory requirement and in protecting privacy. We investigate the statistical properties of distributed Bayesian methods, i.e. whether the resulting approximate posteriors contract around the true functional parameter of interest with the optimal minimax rate and if the corresponding approximate credible sets are asymptotically valid confidence sets.

Depending on how the data are divided over the local machines we distinguish two main classes of approaches, the product and mixture of GP experts. In the product of experts the data are divided randomly, each local machine receiving observations over the whole domain of the regression function. In the mixture of experts the data are divided spatially, each local machine receiving all the observations corresponding to a given small region or bin. Various techniques were proposed in the literature for both cases, typically with very limited, if any, theoretical underpinning. In our work we compare these methods and provide theoretical guarantees and limitations for them.

We start our analysis with the product of experts methods, including naive averaging, Consensus Monte Carlo [7], WASP [6], (generalized) Gaussian Product of Experts [1], Bayesian Committee Machine [10],... etc. First we derive contraction rates and frequentist coverage results in a non-adaptive framework where the regularity of the functional parameter is assumed to be known. We show that although several of the proposed approaches provide bad estimation and unreliable uncertainty quantification, appropriately tunned methods can achieve the minimax contraction rate and provide asymptotically valid confidence sets, see [8, 2]. However, typically the regularity of the regression function is not available in practice. To deal with these problem several approaches were proposed in the distributed setting, including maximizing the sum of local marginal likelihood functions or providing cross validated estimator for the regularity based on local data sets. However, these standard approaches do not achieve adaptation due to the insufficient information content available locally.

In the second part of the talk we consider the mixture of expert methods where the local posteriors are computed over small regions and then "glued" together. We derive optimal minimax contraction rates both in the non-adaptive and adaptive setting in the nonparametric regression model for rescaled integrated Brownian motion priors, [3]. However, the resulting approximation has discontinuities at the boundary of the local regions. To deal with this problem various methods were proposed for optimally combining the local posteriors, including the patched GP method [4] or a two step mixture approach [9, 5]. In our numerical analysis we take the weighted average of the local posteriors (extended to the whole domain), with weights proportional to the inverse of the posterior variance times the exponentiated and rescaled distance to the local bins/regions. We show empirically that our approach deals with the discontinuities and provides good estimations and reliable uncertainty quantification.

- Marc Deisenroth and Jun Wei Ng. Distributed Gaussian processes. In Francis Bach and David Blei, editors, Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 37 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pages 1481–1490, Lille, France, 07–09 Jul 2015. PMLR.
- [2] Amine Hadji and Botond Szabo Optimal recovery and uncertainty quantification using distributed Gaussian process regression. Working paper.
- [3] Amine Hadji, Botond Szabo and Aad van der Vaart Adaptation with mixture of Gaussian Process experts. Working paper.
- [4] Chiwoo Park and Daniel Apley Patchwork Kriging for Large-scale Gaussian Process Regression. Journal of Machine Learning Research 19 (2018) 1-43.
- [5] Rasmussen, Carl Edward, and Zoubin Ghahramani. Infinite mixtures of Gaussian process experts. Advances in neural information processing systems 2 (2002): 881-888.
- [6] Sanvesh Srivastava, Cheng Li and David B. Dunson Scalable Bayes via Barycenter in Wasserstein Space. Journal of Machine Learning Research 19 (2018) 1-35
- [7] Steven L. Scott, Alexander W. Blocker, Fernando V. Bonassi, Hugh A. Chipman, Edward I. George, and Robert E. McCulloch *Bayes and Big Data: The Consensus Monte Carlo Algorithm.* International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management, vol. 11 (2016), pp. 78-88
- [8] Botond Szabo and Harry van Zanten An asymptotic analysis of distributed nonparametric methods., Journal of Machine Learning Research 20 (2019) 1-30.
- [9] Volker Tresp Mixtures of Gaussian Processes. Advances in neural information processing systems (2001): 654-660.
- [10] Volker. Tresp A Bayesian committee machine. Neural computation 12.11 (2000): 2719-2741.
- [11] Yanshuai Cao, and David J. Fleet. Generalized product of experts for automatic and principled fusion of Gaussian process predictions. arXiv preprint (2014).

Participants

Dr. Kweku Abraham

INRIA Saclay - Ile de France Parc Club Orsay Université 2-4, rue Jacques Monod 91893 Orsay Cedex FRANCE

Prof. Dr. Sergios Agapiou

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Cyprus 1 University Avenue 2109 Nicosia CYPRUS

Dr. Randolf Altmeyer

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics University of Cambridge Wilberforce Road CB3 0WB Cambridge UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Sergio Bacallado

Centre for Mathematical Sciences University of Cambridge Wilberforce Road Cambridge CB3 0WB UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Natalia Bochkina

School of Mathematics University of Edinburgh James Clerk Maxwell Building King's Buildings Peter Guthrie Tait Road Edinburgh EH9 3FD UNITED KINGDOM

Jan Bohr

Statistical Laboratory Centre for Mathematical Sciences Wilberforce Road Cambridge CB3 0WA UNITED KINGDOM

Prof. Dr. Martin Burger

Department Mathematik Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Cauerstrasse 11 91058 Erlangen GERMANY

Prof. Dr. Ismael Castillo

Laboratoire de Probabilites, Statistique et Modélisation, Sorbonne Université 4, place Jussieu 75005 Paris Cedex FRANCE

Prof. Dr. Arnak Dalalyan

ENSAE / CREST École Nationale de la Statistique et de l'Administration Économique 5, Avenue Henry Le Châtelier 91120 Palaiseau Cedex FRANCE

Dr. Masoumeh Dashti

Department of Mathematics University of Sussex Falmer Brighton BN1 9QH UNITED KINGDOM

Neil Deo

Statistical Laboratory Centre for Mathematical Sciences Wilberforce Road Cambridge CB3 0WA UNITED KINGDOM

Prof. Dr. Charlotte Dion

Sorbonne Université LPSM CNRS,UMR 8001 4, Place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05 FRANCE

Prof. Dr. David Dunson

Duke University Department of Statistical Science P.O. Box 90251 Durham, NC 27708-0251 UNITED STATES

Bart Eggen

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Niels Bohrweg 1 2333 CA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Prof. Dr. Stefano Favaro

University of Torino and Collegio Carlo Alberto Corso Unione Sovietica 218/bis 10124 Torino ITALY

Stefan Franssen

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Postbus 9512 2300 RA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Chao Gao

Department of Statistics The University of Chicago 5747 S. Ellis Avenue Chicago, IL 60637-1514 UNITED STATES

Prof. Dr. Elisabeth Gassiat

Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay Université Paris Saclay Batiment 307 91405 Orsay Cedex FRANCE

Prof. Dr. Edward I. George

Department of Statistics The Wharton School University of Pennsylvania 3730 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104-6340 UNITED STATES

Prof. Dr. Subhashis Ghoshal

Department of Statistics North Carolina State University 2311 Stinson Dr 27695-8203 Raleigh, NC 27695-8203 UNITED STATES

Matteo Giordano

Centre for Mathematical Sciences University of Cambridge Wilberforce Road Cambridge CB3 0WB UNITED KINGDOM

Amine Hadji

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Postbus 9512 2300 RA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Dr. Adrien Hairault

Department of Statistics University of Oxford 24-29 St Giles' Oxford OX1 3LB UNITED KINGDOM

Prof. Dr. Tapio Helin

Department of Computational Engineering LUT University 53851 Lappeenranta FINLAND

Prof. Dr. Marc Hoffmann

CEREMADE Université Paris-Dauphine, PSL Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny 75775 Paris Cedex 16 FRANCE

Prof. Dr. Chris Holmes

Department of Statistics University of Oxford 1 South Parks Road Oxford OX1 3TG UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Hanne Kekkonen

Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics (DIAM), TU Delft Mourik Broekmanweg 6 Delft 2628 XE NETHERLANDS

Dr. Alisa Kirichenko

Department of Statistics University of Oxford 24-29 St Giles' Oxford OX1 3LB UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Geerten Koers

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Niels Bohrweg 1 2333 CA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Nikola B. Kovachki

California Institute of Technology MC 305-16 1200 E. California Boulevard Pasadena CA 91125 UNITED STATES

Prof. Dr. Antonio Lijoi

Department of Decision Sciences Bocconi University Via Röntgen 1 20136 Milano ITALY

Prof. Dr. Enno Mammen

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik Universität Heidelberg Im Neuenheimer Feld 205 69120 Heidelberg GERMANY

Prof. Dr. Francois Monard

UC Santa Cruz Department of Mathematics 1156 High St. Santa Cruz, CA 95064 UNITED STATES

Daniel Moss

Department of Statistics University of Oxford 24-29 St Giles' Oxford OX1 3LB UNITED KINGDOM

Prof. Dr. Eric Moulines

Ecole Polytechnique, e, Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, Route de Saclay P.O. Box 91120 91120 Palaiseau FRANCE

Prof. Dr. Axel Munk

Institut für Mathematische Stochastik Georg-August-Universität Göttingen Goldschmidtstrasse 7 37077 Göttingen GERMANY

Zacharie Naulet

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences of Orsay Université Paris-Saclay 91405 Orsay FRANCE

Dr. Jeanne Nguyen

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Niels Bohrweg 1 2333 CA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Prof. Dr. Richard Nickl

Centre for Mathematical Sciences Wilberforce Road Cambridge CB3 0WA UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Bo Ning

LPSM UMR 8001 Université Pierre et Marie Curie 4, Place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05 FRANCE

Dr. Gabriel Paternain

Department of Mathematics University of Cambridge Cambridge CB3 OWB UNITED KINGDOM

Prof. Dr. Sonia Petrone

Department of Decision Sciences Bocconi University Via Röntgen 1 20136 Milano ITALY

Prof. Dr. Igor Pruenster

Department of Decision Sciences Bocconi University Via Röntgen 1 20136 Milano ITALY

Dr. Kolyan M. Ray

Imperial College London Department of Mathematics Huxley Building 180 Queen's Gate London SW7 2AZ UNITED KINGDOM

Prof. Dr. Markus Reiß

Institut für Mathematik Humboldt-Universität Berlin Unter den Linden 6 10117 Berlin GERMANY

Prof. Dr. Veronika Rockova

Chicago Booth 369 Charles M. Harper Center 5807 South Woodlawn Avenue Chicago, IL 60637 UNITED STATES

Prof. Dr. Judith Rousseau

Department of Statistics University of Oxford 24-29 St Giles' Oxford OX1 3LB UNITED KINGDOM

Prof. Dr. Claudia Schillings

Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik Universität Mannheim 68131 Mannheim GERMANY

Prof. Dr. Johannes Schmidt-Hieber

Department of Applied Mathematics University of Twente P.O.Box 217 7500 AE Enschede NETHERLANDS

Prof. Dr. Catia Scricciolo

Department of Economics University of Verona Via Cantarane, 24 37129 Verona ITALY

Prof. Dr. Vladimir G. Spokoiny

Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics (WIAS) and Humboldt University Mohrenstrasse 39 10117 Berlin GERMANY

Deborah Sulem

Department of Statistics Oxford University 24-29 St. Giles Oxford OX1 3LB UNITED KINGDOM

Botond Szabo

Mathematical Institute University of Leiden Niels Bohrweg 1 2300 RA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Dr. Aretha Teckentrup

School of Mathematics University of Edinburgh James Clerk Maxwell Building Edinburgh EH9 3FD UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Stéphanie van der Pas

Amsterdam UMC, location VUmc Free University Amsterdam De Boelelaan 1117 1081 HV Amsterdam NETHERLANDS

Prof. Dr. Aad W. van der Vaart

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Niels Bohrweg 1 2333 CA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Prof. Dr. Harry van Zanten

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Vrije Universiteit Amsterdan De Boelelaan 1111 1081 HV Amsterdam NETHERLANDS

Lasse Vuursteen

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden Niels Bohrweg 1 2333 CA Leiden NETHERLANDS

Prof. Dr. Sara Wade

School of Mathematics University of Edinburgh James Clerk Maxwell Bldg. King's Buildings, Mayfield Road Edinburgh EH9 3JZ UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Sven Wang

Institute for Data, Systems and Society Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139 UNITED STATES