

A note on the Gauss–Manin connection for abelian schemes

TIAGO J. FONSECA (*) – NILS MATTHES (**)

ABSTRACT – We study differential forms on the universal vector extension A^\natural of an abelian scheme A in characteristic zero, and derive a new construction of the D -group scheme structure on A^\natural . This gives, in particular, a rather simple description of the Gauss–Manin connection on the de Rham cohomology of A in terms of global algebraic differential forms on A^\natural . The key ingredient is the computation of the coherent cohomology of A^\natural , due to Coleman and Laumon.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION 2020 – 14F40 (primary); 14K05, 32M25 (secondary).

KEYWORDS – universal vector extension, abelian scheme, algebraic de Rham cohomology, Gauss–Manin connection, D -group scheme.

1. Introduction

Let k be a field. It is well known that the de Rham cohomology sheaves $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S)$ of a smooth morphism $f: X \rightarrow S$ of smooth k -schemes are equipped with an integrable k -connection, the *Gauss–Manin connection* [7, 9]. For an abelian scheme $f: A \rightarrow S$, Grothendieck explained, in a famous letter to Tate, that the Gauss–Manin connection is related to the “crystalline nature” of the universal vector extension A^\natural of A via the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}_S A^\natural \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(A/S)^\vee$ (see [11]). When $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, this “crystalline nature” amounts to a D -group scheme structure on A^\natural/S , an algebraic

(*) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Departamento de Matemática, IMECC-Unicamp, Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651 Cidade Universitária, 13083-859 Campinas-SP, Brazil; tfonseca@unicamp.br

(**) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark; nils.oliver.matthes@gmail.com

analogue of the differential-geometric notion of an integrable Ehresmann connection (see [5] and [2, Section 6]).

In the case where $k = \mathbb{C}$, we may describe this D -group scheme structure on A^{\natural}/S in terms of the uniformization $\exp: V^{\text{an}} \rightarrow A^{\natural, \text{an}}$ of the analytification of A^{\natural} , where V is the vector group $\mathbb{V}((\text{Lie}_S A^{\natural})^{\vee}) \cong \mathbb{V}(H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/S))$. Namely, the Gauss–Manin connection on $H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/S)$ equips V with the natural structure of a “linear” D -group scheme, which descends to an *analytic* D -group scheme structure on $A^{\natural, \text{an}}$ via \exp . One can then show that the latter arises as the analytification of an *algebraic* D -group scheme structure on A^{\natural} . From this point of view, the algebraicity of the D -group scheme structure is rather surprising, given that GAGA fails for A^{\natural} (cf. [2, Section 2.3.1]).

In this note, we give a direct construction of the D -group scheme structure on A^{\natural}/S in characteristic zero which, to the best of our knowledge, has not appeared in the literature so far. On the one hand, this uses the computation of the coherent cohomology of the structure map $g: A^{\natural} \rightarrow S$, independently obtained by Coleman [6, Corollary 2.7] and Laumon [10, Théorème 2.4.1]. On the other hand, it also requires a detailed study of differential forms, both “relative” and “absolute”, on the universal vector extension, which is carried out in Section 2.4, and which may be of independent interest. Using these two ingredients, we then construct a D -group scheme structure on A^{\natural} (Theorem 3.4) by means of the canonical retraction $\rho: g_*\Omega_{A^{\natural}/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1$ given by pullback along the zero section $e \in A^{\natural}(S)$ (Theorem 3.2). This leads to a particularly simple description of the Gauss–Manin connection on $H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/S)$ (Proposition 3.5). Finally, we show that the D -group scheme structure on A^{\natural} described above agrees with the one coming from its “crystalline nature” (Theorem 3.9).

We should also remark that work of Simpson [12] establishes a far-reaching generalization of the fact that the universal vector extension of an abelian scheme A is crystalline. Simpson considers an arbitrary smooth projective S -scheme X and studies the moduli space of rank N vector bundles on X equipped with an integrable S -connection; one of his main results is that these moduli spaces are crystalline [12, §8]. When $N = 1$ and X is the abelian scheme A^{\vee} dual to A , the corresponding moduli space is precisely the universal vector extension A^{\natural} .

2. Universal vector extensions and de Rham cohomology of abelian schemes

2.1 – Review of de Rham cohomology

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. The q th de Rham cohomology sheaf of f is the \mathcal{O}_S -module $H_{\text{dR}}^q(X/S) := R^q f_*(\Omega_{X/S}^{\bullet})$, where $Rf_*: D^+(f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow D^+(\mathcal{O}_S)$

is the right derived functor of f_* . If f is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, then $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S)$ is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module [13, Lemma 0FLX].

Now let T denote an arbitrary scheme, and let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism of finite presentation of smooth T -schemes. Then $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S)$ is equipped with an integrable T -connection

$$\nabla^q: H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S) \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S),$$

the *Gauss–Manin connection* [7, 9], which is constructed as follows. Consider the filtered complex $(\Omega_{X/T}^\bullet, \{F^p\}_{p \geq 0})$, where

$$F^p := \mathrm{im}(f^* \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes \Omega_{X/T}^\bullet[-p] \xrightarrow{\wedge} \Omega_{X/T}^\bullet).$$

By smoothness, its graded pieces are $F^p/F^{p+1} \cong f^* \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet[-p]$. Hence, the first page

$$E_1^{p,q} = R^{p+q} f_*(F^p/F^{p+1}) \cong \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S)$$

of the spectral sequence associated to the above filtration gives rise to a morphism

$$d_1^{0,q}: E_1^{0,q} \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S) \longrightarrow E_1^{1,q} \cong \Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes H_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S),$$

which can be shown to be an integrable T -connection. By definition, $\nabla^q := d_1^{0,q}$ is the Gauss–Manin connection.

2.2 – The universal vector extension of an abelian scheme

Given a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module \mathcal{E} , its corresponding *vector group* is $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{Spec}_S(\mathrm{Sym} \mathcal{E})$, seen as an S -group scheme. When \mathcal{E} is locally free of rank r , $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ is locally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{G}_{a,S})^r$.

Let $f: A \rightarrow S$ be an *abelian scheme*, i.e., f is a proper smooth S -group scheme (necessarily commutative), with geometrically connected fibres. Its *universal vector extension* [11] is a commutative S -group scheme $g: A^\natural \rightarrow S$ which fits into a short exact sequence (of fppf abelian sheaves)

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_A) \longrightarrow A^\natural \xrightarrow{\pi} A \longrightarrow 0,$$

satisfying the following universal property: given a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite rank \mathcal{E} , the morphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{E}, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{fppf}}}^1(A, \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})),$$

$$\varphi \longmapsto \text{“the class of the pushout of (2.1) along } \mathbb{V}(\varphi): \mathbb{V}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})\text{”}$$

is an isomorphism [11, Proposition I.1.10] (cf. [10, Théorème 2.2.1]).

It follows from (2.1) that $\pi: A^{\natural} \rightarrow A$ is an fppf-torsor under the vector group $\mathbb{V}(f^* R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_A)$, hence an affine bundle, since $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_A$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite rank. In particular, g is smooth, separated, of finite presentation, and has geometrically connected fibres.¹ Moreover, the formation of the universal vector extension commutes with base change in the following sense: given a morphism of schemes $S' \rightarrow S$, there is a canonical isomorphism of S' -group schemes $A^{\natural} \times_S S' \xrightarrow{\sim} (A \times_S S')^{\natural}$. This follows from the interpretation of $g: A^{\natural} \rightarrow S$ as a moduli scheme of line bundles with integrable connection [11, Sections I.2.6, I.3.2, I.4.2].

Now assume that S is locally of finite type over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}$. Then the analytification $A^{\natural, \mathrm{an}}$ of A^{\natural} is uniformized by the vector group $V := \mathbb{V}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(A/S))$. More precisely, there is a short exact sequence of commutative complex Lie groups over S^{an} ,

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow V^{\mathrm{an}} \xrightarrow{\exp} A^{\natural, \mathrm{an}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where L is the espace étalé associated to the first homology local system $(R^1 f_*^{\mathrm{an}} \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$, and the map $L \rightarrow V^{\mathrm{an}}$ is induced by the morphism $(R^1 f_*^{\mathrm{an}} \mathbb{Z})^{\vee} \rightarrow (H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(A/S)^{\mathrm{an}})^{\vee}$ sending a locally constant family of topological 1-cycles γ to the integration functional $\alpha \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \alpha$ (cf. [11, Section I.4.4]).

2.3 – Coherent cohomology of the universal vector extension

When S is of characteristic zero (i.e., S is a scheme over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Q}$), the coherent cohomology of g is particularly simple.

THEOREM 2.1 (Coleman, Laumon). *If S is a scheme of characteristic zero, then the adjunction $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow Rg_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{\natural}}$ is an isomorphism in $D_{\mathrm{Qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$. In particular, $Rg_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{\natural}}$ is a perfect object of $D_{\mathrm{Qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$, and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change.*

PROOF. In the case where S is locally Noetherian, the first assertion is precisely [10, Théorème 2.4.1]; the general case may be deduced from the locally Noetherian case by a standard approximation argument. Alternatively, see [6, Corollary 2.7].

The second assertion is an immediate consequence of the first, using that the formation of the universal vector extension commutes with base change. ■

REMARK 2.2. In Theorem 2.1, the assertion that the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow g_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{\natural}}$ is an isomorphism holds more generally when S is flat over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ [6, Corollary 2.4].

(¹) We warn the reader that g is neither proper nor affine!

REMARK 2.3. When $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, where k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$, it follows from [4, Remark 2.4] that A^\natural/k is not *anti-affine*, i.e., $\Gamma(A^\natural, \mathcal{O}_{A^\natural}) \neq k$. In particular, Theorem 2.1 is false in positive characteristic.

2.4 – Differential forms on the universal vector extension

We now apply Theorem 2.1 to the study of sheaves of differential forms on the universal vector extension of an abelian scheme.

We begin with relative differential forms. Denote by $e \in A^\natural(S)$ the zero section of A^\natural . For every $q \geq 0$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad g^* e^* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$$

given by extending sections of $e^* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ to invariant differential forms in $\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ via the group law [1, Section 4.2, Proposition 2]. Applying the functor Rg_* , we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$Rg_*(g^* e^* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rg_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$$

in $D_{\operatorname{Qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *If S is of characteristic zero, then the adjunction*

$$(2.4) \quad e^* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q \longrightarrow Rg_*(g^* e^* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q) \cong Rg_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$$

is an isomorphism in $D_{\operatorname{Qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$. In particular, we have the following:

- (i) *The \mathcal{O}_{A^\natural} -module $\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ is g_* -acyclic.*
- (ii) *The \mathcal{O}_S -module $g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ is locally free of finite rank, and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change.*
- (iii) *The natural map $g^* g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q \rightarrow \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_{A^\natural} -modules.*
- (iv) *The natural map $\bigwedge^q g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \rightarrow g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_S -modules.*
- (v) *Every section of $g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ is a closed differential form. In other words, the relative differential $d_{A^\natural/S}$ vanishes identically on $g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$.*

PROOF. That (2.4) is an isomorphism in $D_{\operatorname{Qcoh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$ follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 using the projection formula. Assertion (i) is contained in (2.4). The remaining assertions are derived from the isomorphism $g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q \cong e^* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ as follows. In the first claim of assertion (ii), we use that $\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ is locally free of finite rank; in the second, we use that the universal vector extension commutes with base change.

Assertion (iii) is a restatement of (2.3). Similarly, assertion (iv) follows from the fact that e^* commutes with the wedge product. Finally, assertion (v) is a general property of invariant differential forms on commutative smooth group schemes (cf. [3, Ch. 3, §3.14, Proposition 51] or [6, Lemma 2.1]). ■

We next study “absolute” differential forms on A^\natural . For this, let T be an arbitrary scheme of characteristic zero, and assume that S is a smooth T -scheme. Then there is a short exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow g^* \Omega_{S/T}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

of locally free \mathcal{O}_{A^\natural} -modules of finite rank.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *With notation and conventions as above, the following are true:*

(i) *The pushforward of (2.5) along g gives a short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules*

$$(2.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1 \longrightarrow g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \longrightarrow g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

(ii) *The \mathcal{O}_S -module $g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1$ is locally free of finite rank, and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change.*

(iii) *The natural map $g^* g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1$ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_{A^\natural} -modules.*

(iv) *For every $q \geq 0$, the natural map $\bigwedge^q g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \rightarrow g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^q$ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_S -modules.*

PROOF. Assertion (i) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 using the projection formula and the long exact sequence in cohomology. Assertion (ii) follows directly from (i) together with Proposition 2.4 (ii). In order to prove assertion (iii), consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & g^* \Omega_{S/T}^1 & \longrightarrow & g^* g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 & \longrightarrow & g^* g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & g^* \Omega_{S/T}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where exactness of the top row follows from (i) and from exactness of g^* . Both the left-hand and the right-hand vertical arrows are isomorphisms (the latter by Proposition 2.4 (iii)), hence so is the middle one, by the five lemma. Finally, assertion (iv) is local on S , hence, by local freeness, we may assume that the exact sequence (2.5) splits:

$$\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \cong g^* \Omega_{S/T}^1 \oplus \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1.$$

Thus we get isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge^q g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 &\cong \bigwedge^q (\Omega_{S/T}^1 \oplus g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1) && \text{(projection formula)} \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{i+j=q} \bigwedge^i \Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \bigwedge^j g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{i+j=q} \Omega_{S/T}^i \otimes g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^j && \text{(Proposition 2.4 (iv))} \\
&\cong g_* \left(\bigoplus_{i+j=q} g^* \Omega_{S/T}^i \otimes \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^j \right) && \text{(projection formula)} \\
&\cong g_* \left(\bigwedge^q (g^* \Omega_{S/T}^1 \oplus \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1) \right) \\
&\cong g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^q,
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

Now, recall from Section 2.1 the definition of the filtration $\{F^p\}_{p \geq 0}$ on $\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet$. It gives rise to a filtration by subcomplexes $\{g_* F^p\}_{p \geq 0}$ on $g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *The following assertions hold for all $p \geq 0$:*

- (i) *The canonical map $g_* F^p / g_* F^{p+1} \rightarrow g_*(F^p / F^{p+1})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, we have*

$$g_* F^p / g_* F^{p+1} \cong \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^\bullet[-p].$$

- (ii) *We have an equality $g_* F^p = \text{im}(\Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet[-p] \rightarrow g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet)$ of subcomplexes of $g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet$.*

PROOF. As the statement is local on S , we may assume that $S \rightarrow T$ is of finite presentation, which implies in particular that $F^p = 0$ for $p \gg 0$. To prove assertion (i), consider the short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow F^{p+1} \longrightarrow F^p \longrightarrow F^p / F^{p+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 2.4 (i) and the projection formula imply that each term of $F^p / F^{p+1} \cong g^* \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^\bullet[-p]$ is g_* -acyclic. Thus, by descending induction on p (which is possible as the filtration $\{F^p\}_{p \geq 0}$ is finite) and by the long exact sequence in cohomology, pushforward along g yields a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow g_* F^{p+1} \longrightarrow g_* F^p \longrightarrow g_*(F^p / F^{p+1}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which assertion (i) follows immediately.

Now, for assertion (ii), consider the natural map given by the adjunction

$$(2.7) \quad \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet[-p] \longrightarrow g_*(g^* \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet[-p]) \longrightarrow g_* F^p,$$

and set $G^p = \text{im}(\Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet[-p] \rightarrow g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^\bullet)$. Since $g_* F^p$ is a subcomplex of $g_* F^0 = G^0$, the universal property of images implies that (2.7) factors through a map $G^p \rightarrow g_* F^p$. We thus obtain a commutative diagram

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & G^{p+1} & \longrightarrow & G^p & \longrightarrow & G^p/G^{p+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & g_* F^{p+1} & \longrightarrow & g_* F^p & \longrightarrow & g_* F^p/g_* F^{p+1} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 2.4 (iv), Proposition 2.5 (iv), and the fact that (2.6) is locally split that $G^p/G^{p+1} \cong \Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^\bullet[-p]$. Hence, the right-hand vertical arrow in (2.8) is an isomorphism, and by descending induction on p together with the five lemma we conclude that $G^p \rightarrow g_* F^p$ is an isomorphism. This proves assertion (ii). \blacksquare

2.5 – Universal vector extensions and de Rham cohomology

The de Rham cohomology of A/S can be described in terms of global differentials on A^\natural/S as follows.

PROPOSITION 2.7 (Cf. [6, Theorem 2.2]). *Let $q \geq 0$. If S is of characteristic zero, then there are canonical isomorphisms of \mathcal{O}_S -modules*

$$g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^q(A^\natural/S) \xleftarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^q(A/S),$$

where the right-hand arrow is induced by $\pi: A^\natural \rightarrow A$ (2.1).

PROOF. Since S is of characteristic zero and π is an affine bundle, it follows from the Künneth formula that the right-hand arrow is an isomorphism. For the left-hand arrow, we note that $\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ is g_* -acyclic for every $q \geq 0$ by Proposition 2.4 (i). Thus, we obtain canonical isomorphisms $H^q(g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^\bullet) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^q(A^\natural/S)$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.4 (v), we have $H^q(g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^\bullet) = g_* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$, ending the proof. \blacksquare

REMARK 2.8. Without any assumption on the characteristic of S , one can show that $H_{\text{dR}}^q(A/S) \cong e^* \Omega_{A^\natural/S}^q$ for all $q \geq 0$ [11, Proposition 4.1.7].

3. D -group scheme structure on the universal vector extension

Throughout this section, let T be an arbitrary scheme of characteristic zero.

3.1 – Review of D -group schemes

Let S be a smooth T -scheme. Recall that a D -scheme over S (cf. [2, Section 6.1]) is a pair (X, \mathcal{F}) consisting of a smooth S -scheme $g: X \rightarrow S$, and an *integrable* \mathcal{O}_X -submodule $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X/T}$ (i.e., \mathcal{F} is closed under the Lie bracket of vector fields) which splits the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X/S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X/T} \xrightarrow{Dg} g^* \mathcal{T}_{S/T} \longrightarrow 0.$$

A *morphism of D -schemes* $(X_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (X_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is a morphism of S -schemes $\phi: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ whose “absolute” differential $D\phi: \mathcal{T}_{X_1/T} \rightarrow \phi^* \mathcal{T}_{X_2/T}$ maps \mathcal{F}_1 into $\phi^* \mathcal{F}_2$. The category of D -schemes admits finite products [2, Section 6.1], and a D -group scheme is defined as a group object in the category of D -schemes.

In this paper, the dual point of view is more convenient. To give \mathcal{F} as above is equivalent to giving an *integrable* \mathcal{O}_X -submodule $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X/T}^1$ (i.e., $d\mathcal{G} \subset \text{im}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \Omega_{X/T}^1 \xrightarrow{\wedge} \Omega_{X/T}^2)$) which splits the dual exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow g^* \Omega_{S/T}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/T}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The equivalence is given explicitly by setting $\mathcal{F} = (\Omega_{X/T}^1 / \mathcal{G})^\vee$ (cf. [8, Ch. II, §2.4]). Then a morphism of S -schemes $\phi: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a morphism of D -schemes if the pullback map $\phi^* \Omega_{X_2/T}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X_1/T}^1$ sends $\phi^* \mathcal{G}_2$ to \mathcal{G}_1 .

EXAMPLE 3.1 (Linear D -group schemes). For later reference, let us recall how an integrable T -connection $\nabla: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}$ on a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite rank \mathcal{E} defines a D -group scheme structure on the vector group $p: \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$. By adjunction, the inclusion $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \text{Sym } \mathcal{E} \cong p_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})}$ yields a morphism $p^* \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})}$. Thus, we can regard the pullback of ∇ as a map

$$p^* \nabla: p^* \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/T}^1 \otimes p^* \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/T}^1.$$

On the other hand, we can also consider the exterior derivative $d = d_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/T}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/T}^1$ and restrict it to $p^* \mathcal{E}$. The difference of these two maps is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})}$ -linear morphism

$$\sigma_\nabla = d - p^* \nabla: p^* \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/T}^1$$

which splits the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p^* \Omega_{S/T}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/T}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

under the canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/S}^1 \cong p^*\mathcal{E}$. To make this more explicit, given a local section of f of \mathcal{E} , regarded as a local regular function on $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ via $p^*\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})}$, we denote $d_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/S} f = \overline{d}f$. Then, if (x_1, \dots, x_r) is a local frame of \mathcal{E} and $\nabla x_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{ij} \otimes x_i$, the tuple $(\overline{d}x_1, \dots, \overline{d}x_r)$ is a local frame of $\Omega_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})/S}^1$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_{\nabla}(\overline{d}x_j) = dx_j - \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \alpha_{ij}.$$

One easily checks that $(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}), \text{im}(\sigma_{\nabla}))$ is a D -group scheme over S . For instance, the integrability of $\text{im}(\sigma_{\nabla})$ comes from the integrability of ∇ : if $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$, then $d\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha = 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_{\nabla}(\overline{d}x_j) &= - \sum_{i=1}^r (dx_i \wedge \alpha_{ij} + x_i d\alpha_{ij}) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^r \left(dx_k - \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \alpha_{ik} \right) \wedge \alpha_{kj} = - \sum_{k=1}^r \sigma_{\nabla}(\overline{d}x_k) \wedge \alpha_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

The compatibility with the group scheme structure of $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ follows immediately from the observation that (3.2) is linear in x_1, \dots, x_r .

3.2 – The case of the universal vector extension

Let $g: A^{\natural} \rightarrow S$ be the universal vector extension of an abelian scheme $f: A \rightarrow S$, and denote by $e \in A^{\natural}(S)$ the zero section.

THEOREM 3.2. *With the above notation we have the following:*

- (i) *Let $e^*: \Omega_{A^{\natural}/T}^1 \rightarrow e_*\Omega_{S/T}^1$ be the morphism given by pullback along the zero section. The map $\rho := g_*(e^*): g_*\Omega_{A^{\natural}/T}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1$ is a retraction of (2.6).*
- (ii) *Let $\mathcal{N} := \ker(\rho) \hookrightarrow g_*\Omega_{A^{\natural}/T}^1$. We have*

$$d\mathcal{N} \subset \text{im}(\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\wedge} g_*\Omega_{A^{\natural}/T}^2),$$

where $d = d_{A^{\natural}/T}$.

PROOF. Assertion (i) follows immediately from the fact that e is a section of g . For assertion (ii), consider the decomposition

$$g_*\Omega_{A^{\natural}/T}^2 \cong \Omega_{S/T}^2 \oplus (\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{N}) \oplus \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{N},$$

whose existence follows from Proposition 2.5 (iv) and assertion (i). Proposition 2.4 (v) then implies that the composite $d\mathcal{N} \rightarrow g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^2 \rightarrow g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^2$ is zero. Therefore, using Proposition 2.6 (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{N} \subset \ker(g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^2 \longrightarrow g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^2) &= \operatorname{im}(\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \xrightarrow{\wedge} g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^2) \\ &\cong \Omega_{S/T}^2 \oplus (\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{N}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since pullbacks commute with the exterior derivative, given any section ω of \mathcal{N} , we have $e^*d\omega = 0$. This shows that $d\omega$ is a section of $(\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{N}) \oplus \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{N}$, under the above decomposition. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{N} \subset (\Omega_{S/T}^2 \oplus (\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{N})) \cap \left((\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{N}) \oplus \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{N} \right) \\ \cong \operatorname{im}(\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\wedge} g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^2). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK 3.3. Theorem 3.2 (i) generalizes to the case where A^\natural is replaced by a finite (possibly empty) product $(A^\natural)^n := A^\natural \times_S \cdots \times_S A^\natural$. Namely, denoting (by abuse) the structure morphism of $(A^\natural)^n$ by g and its zero section by e , the morphism $\rho := g_*(e^*): g_*\Omega_{(A^\natural)^n/T}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1$ is a retraction of the natural map $\Omega_{S/T}^1 \hookrightarrow g_*\Omega_{(A^\natural)^n/T}^1$. The key point is that the analogue of Theorem 2.1 holds for $(A^\natural)^n$ by the Künneth formula [13, Lemma 0FLT].

Using Theorem 3.2, we can now prove the main result of this note.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{I} := g^*\mathcal{N}$. The pair $(A^\natural, \mathcal{I})$ is a D -group scheme over S .*

PROOF. By Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.2, the submodule $\mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow g^*g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 = \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1$ is a splitting of (3.1). To prove integrability, note that Theorem 3.2 (ii) implies in particular that $d\mathcal{N} \subset \operatorname{im}(\mathcal{N} \otimes g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \xrightarrow{\wedge} g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^2)$. Therefore, using the fact that the canonical map

$$g^*\operatorname{im}(\mathcal{N} \otimes g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \xrightarrow{\wedge} g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{im}(\mathcal{I} \otimes \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \xrightarrow{\wedge} \Omega_{A^\natural/T}^2)$$

is an isomorphism (which is clear by exactness of g^* and Proposition 2.5), we see that \mathcal{I} is integrable.

Now let $m: A^\natural \times_S A^\natural \rightarrow A^\natural$ be the multiplication, and $h: A^\natural \times_S A^\natural \rightarrow S$ be the structure morphism. Pullback along m induces a morphism $g_*(m^*): g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/T}^1 \rightarrow h_*\Omega_{(A^\natural \times_S A^\natural)/T}^1$, which sends $\ker(g_*(e^*))$ into $\ker(h_*((e \times e)^*))$ (since $e: S \rightarrow A^\natural$ is a morphism of S -group schemes). It follows that m is a morphism of D -schemes. That e and the inversion map $i: A^\natural \rightarrow A^\natural$ are also morphisms of D -schemes is proved similarly. \blacksquare

We end this subsection by giving a rather simple formula for the Gauss–Manin connection on the de Rham cohomology of A/S . Let $\sigma: g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^1 \rightarrow g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^1$ be the splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1 \longrightarrow g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^1 \xleftarrow{\sigma} g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

corresponding to the retraction $\rho: g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1$. Concretely, if ω is a section of $g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^1$ (a relative differential form), then $\sigma\omega$ is the unique lift of ω to a section of $g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^1$ (an absolute differential form) which vanishes along the zero section of A^\flat .

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Under the identification $H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/S) \cong g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^1$ of Proposition 2.7, the Gauss–Manin connection $\nabla: H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/S) \rightarrow \Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/S)$ is given by*

$$\nabla\omega = d\sigma\omega \bmod \Omega_{S/T}^2,$$

where $d = d_{A^\flat/T}$ and $\Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^1 \cong g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^2 / \Omega_{S/T}^2$ via Proposition 2.6.

PROOF. Consider the filtration $F^p = \text{im}(g_*\Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes \Omega_{A^\flat/T}^\bullet[-p] \xrightarrow{\wedge} \Omega_{A^\flat/T}^\bullet)$ of $\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^\bullet$ (cf. §2.1). By Proposition 2.4 (i), $F^p/F^{p+1} \cong g_*\Omega_{S/T}^p \otimes \Omega_{A^\flat/S}^\bullet[-p]$ is a complex of g_* -acyclic modules, hence the differential $d_1^{0,1}: E_1^{0,1} \rightarrow E_1^{1,1}$ in the first page of the spectral sequence corresponding to $\{F^p\}_{p \geq 0}$ is given by the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence associated to

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & g_*(F^1/F^2) & \longrightarrow & g_*(F^0/F^2) & \longrightarrow & g_*(F^0/F^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{S/T}^1 \otimes g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^\bullet[-1] & \longrightarrow & g_*(F^0/F^2) & \longrightarrow & g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^\bullet \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The desired assertion is now immediate. ■

REMARK 3.6. Using that $H_{\text{dR}}^q(A/S) \cong \bigwedge^q H_{\text{dR}}^1(A/S)$, Proposition 3.5 also yields a similar formula for the Gauss–Manin connection on $H_{\text{dR}}^q(A/S)$.

REMARK 3.7. Locally on S , we may choose a trivialization $\{\omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ of $g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/S}^1$, and we may write $\nabla = d_{S/T} + M$, where $M = (\mu_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq r} \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(\Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/T}^1))$. Then Theorem 3.2 (ii) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad d\sigma\omega_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_{i,j} \wedge \sigma\omega_i,$$

in $g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^2$, for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. In other words, the lifts $\sigma\omega_j$ satisfy the Gauss–Manin equation on the nose, and not just modulo the submodule $\Omega_{S/T}^2 \subset g_*\Omega_{A^\flat/T}^2$.

3.3 – Comparison with the canonical analytic D -group scheme structure

Now assume that $T = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$, and consider the vector group $p: V := \mathbb{V}(g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1) \rightarrow S$ with the linear D -group scheme structure $\mathcal{I}_\nabla = \operatorname{im}(\sigma_\nabla)$ induced by the Gauss–Manin connection $\nabla: g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/\mathbb{C}}^1 \otimes g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1$ (under the identification $g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \cong H_{\operatorname{dR}}^1(A/S)$), as explained in Example 3.1. By analytification, the pair $(V^{\operatorname{an}}, \mathcal{I}_\nabla^{\operatorname{an}})$ is then an analytic D -group scheme over S^{an} (cf. [2, Section 6.2]).

The following proposition implies that $\mathcal{I}_\nabla^{\operatorname{an}}$ descends to $A^{\natural, \operatorname{an}}$ along the uniformization map $\exp: V^{\operatorname{an}} \rightarrow A^{\natural, \operatorname{an}}$; see (2.2).

PROPOSITION 3.8. *The $\mathcal{O}_{V^{\operatorname{an}}}$ -submodule $\mathcal{I}_\nabla^{\operatorname{an}} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{V^{\operatorname{an}}}^1$ is L -invariant.*

PROOF. The assertion is local on S^{an} , so we may assume that $g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \cong H_{\operatorname{dR}}^1(A/S)$ admits a trivialization $\{\omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$. As in Remark 3.7, we let $M = (\mu_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times r}(\Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/\mathbb{C}}^1))$ be the connection matrix of ∇ . Moreover, we keep the notation of Example 3.1 for the absolute and relative exterior derivative on \mathcal{O}_V . Let $z_1, \dots, z_r: V^{\operatorname{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the holomorphic coordinates dual to $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$, so that $p^*\omega_j = \overline{dz}_j$ under the canonical isomorphism $p^*g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \cong \Omega_{V/S}^1$ (cf. Example 3.1). Then $\mathcal{I}_\nabla^{\operatorname{an}}$ is generated by the 1-forms

$$\sigma_\nabla(\overline{dz}_j) = dz_j - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \mu_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

The image of L inside of V^{an} is the additive S^{an} -subgroup spanned by the integration functionals \int_γ , for γ a section of $(R^1 f_*^{\operatorname{an}} \mathbb{Z})^\vee$. The assertion now follows from

$$d\left(\int_\gamma \omega_j\right) - \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\int_\gamma \omega_i\right) \mu_{ij} = 0,$$

which characterizes the Gauss–Manin connection. ■

Let $\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{A^{\natural, \operatorname{an}}}^1$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\natural, \operatorname{an}}}$ -submodule obtained from $\mathcal{I}_\nabla^{\operatorname{an}}$ via its quotient by L . As remarked in [2, Section 6.4], a result of Grothendieck and Mazur–Messing [11] implies that the analytic vector bundle \mathcal{J} is the analytification of an algebraic vector bundle. The next theorem gives in particular a new proof of this result.

THEOREM 3.9. *We have an equality $\mathcal{I}^{\operatorname{an}} = \mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\natural, \operatorname{an}}}$ -submodules of $\Omega_{A^{\natural, \operatorname{an}}}^1$.*

PROOF. We keep the notation of the proof of Proposition 3.8. The assertion is local on S , so we may assume that $g_*\Omega_{A^\natural/S}^1 \cong H_{\operatorname{dR}}^1(A/S)$ admits a trivialization

$\{\omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$. Then \mathcal{J} is trivialized by the L -invariant sections $\{\sigma_{\nabla}(\overline{dz}_j)\}_{1 \leq j \leq r}$, whereas \mathcal{I} is trivialized by $\{\sigma\omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that

$$\sigma\omega_j = \sigma_{\nabla}(\overline{dz}_j) = dz_j - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \mu_{ij},$$

as sections of $\Omega_{A^{\text{h},\text{an}}}^1$, for all $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Since $\sigma\omega_j$ and $\sigma_{\nabla}(\overline{dz}_j)$ both project to ω_j in $\Omega_{A^{\text{h},\text{an}}/S^{\text{an}}}^1$, there exists $\alpha_j \in \Gamma(A^{\text{h},\text{an}}, (g^{\text{an}})^*\Omega_{S^{\text{an}}}^1)$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma(\omega_j) = dz_j - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \mu_{ij} + \alpha_j.$$

Plugging this equation into (3.3) and using integrability of the Gauss–Manin connection, namely $dM + M \wedge M = 0$, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad d\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_{ij} \wedge \alpha_i.$$

Now, by working locally on S^{an} , we may assume that $\Omega_{S^{\text{an}}}^1$ is trivialized by ds_1, \dots, ds_m , for analytic coordinates $s_1, \dots, s_m: S^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Writing $\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{ij} ds_i$, equation (3.5) yields that $\partial\varphi_{ij}/\partial z_k = 0$, for all $1 \leq k \leq r$. This implies that α_j only depends on the variables s_i , hence is the pullback to $A^{\text{h},\text{an}}$ of a one-form on S^{an} . In particular, we have $\rho(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j$, where ρ is the retraction given by Theorem 3.2 (i). On the other hand, by applying ρ to both sides of (3.4) and using that the coordinates z_i all vanish upon restriction to the zero section, we see that $\alpha_j = 0$, as we wanted. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS – We are grateful to Netan Dogra for pointing out reference [5] and to the anonymous referees for several improvements on the exposition.

FUNDING – This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 724638). The first author is currently supported by the grant #2020/15804-1, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), and the second author was funded by a Walter Benjamin Fellowship of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. BOSCH – W. LÜTKEBOHMER – M. RAYNAUD, *Néron models*. *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3) 21, Springer, Berlin, 1990. Zbl [0705.14001](#) MR [1045822](#).

- [2] J.-B. BOST, [Algebraization, transcendence, and \$D\$ -group schemes](#). *Notre Dame J. Form. Log.* **54** (2013), no. 3-4, 377–434. Zbl [1355.11074](#) MR [3091663](#)
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVII. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre II: Algèbres de Lie libres. Chapitre III: Groupes de Lie*. Actualités Sci. Indust. 1349, Hermann, Paris, 1972. Zbl [0244.22007](#) MR [0573068](#)
- [4] M. BRION, [Anti-affine algebraic groups](#). *J. Algebra* **321** (2009), no. 3, 934–952. Zbl [1166.14029](#) MR [2488561](#)
- [5] A. BUIUM, [Differential algebraic groups of finite dimension](#). Lecture Notes in Math. 1506, Springer, Berlin, 1992. Zbl [0756.14028](#) MR [1176753](#)
- [6] R. F. COLEMAN, [Duality for the de Rham cohomology of an abelian scheme](#). *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **48** (1998), no. 5, 1379–1393. Zbl [0926.14008](#) MR [1662247](#)
- [7] A. GROTHENDIECK, [On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* **29** (1966), 95–103. Zbl [0145.17602](#) MR [0199194](#)
- [8] G. HECTOR – U. HIRSCH, [Introduction to the geometry of foliations. Part A. Foliations on compact surfaces, fundamentals for arbitrary codimension, and holonomy](#). Aspects Math. 1. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1981. Zbl [0486.57002](#) MR [0639738](#)
- [9] N. M. KATZ – T. ODA, [On the differentiation of de Rham cohomology classes with respect to parameters](#). *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **8** (1968), 199–213. Zbl [0165.54802](#) MR [0237510](#)
- [10] G. LAUMON, Transformation de Fourier généralisée. 1996, arXiv:[alg-geom/9603004](#).
- [11] B. MAZUR – W. MESSING, [Universal extensions and one dimensional crystalline cohomology](#). Lecture Notes in Math. 370, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1974. Zbl [0301.14016](#) MR [0374150](#)
- [12] C. T. SIMPSON, [Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II](#). *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* **80** (1995), 5–79. Zbl [0891.14006](#) MR [1320603](#)
- [13] THE STACKS PROJECT, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu> visited on 19 February 2024.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'11 marzo 2022.