Operator norm localization property for equi-approximable families of projections

Bruno M. Braga, Ilijas Farah, and Alessandro Vignati

Abstract. The rigidity problem for uniform Roe algebras was recently positively solved. Before its solution was found, there were positive solutions under the assumption of certain technical geometric conditions. In this paper, we introduce weaker versions of the operator norm localization property (ONL) which turn out to characterize those technical geometric conditions. We use this to obtain new rigidity results for nonmetrizable coarse spaces. As an application, we provide a novel partial answer to a question of White and Willett about Cartan subalgebras of uniform Roe algebras. We also study embeddings between uniform Roe algebras.

1. Introduction

Given a metric space X, its uniform Roe algebra, denoted by $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$, is a C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ —the space of bounded linear operators on $\ell_2(X)$ —which captures several aspects of the coarse geometry of X (we defer its formal definition to Section [2\)](#page-5-0). The rigidity problem for uniform Roe algebras of uniformly locally finite metric spaces asked how "rigid" is the procedure of constructing $C_u^*(X)$ given the metric space X. This problem has been recently positively solved; precisely, it was shown in [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.2] that if X and Y are uniformly locally finite metric spaces with isomorphic uniform Roe algebras, then X and Y are coarsely equivalent. Before this solution was found, several partial answers were obtained under some geometric conditions on the spaces. Its first partial solution was proven in $[16,$ Theorem 4.1] under the assumption of the metric spaces having Yu's property A. This result was then strengthened in [\[4,](#page-22-1) Theorem 6.1] and proven to hold for metric spaces satisfying a technical condition on the ideal of ghost operators. The authors of [\[11\]](#page-23-1) then observed that this technical condition could be weakened further (see $[11, Corollary 3.9]$ $[11, Corollary 3.9]$ ^{[1](#page-0-0)}.

These notes concern characterizations of the technical properties on the ideal of ghost operators mentioned above. We start by recalling those properties: given a metric space

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 51F30 (primary); 47L10 (secondary).

Keywords: uniform Roe algebras, operator norm localization property, coarse geometry.

¹To the best of our knowledge, it is currently not known if the condition of [\[11,](#page-23-1) Corollary 3.9] is actually *strictly* weaker than the previously studied conditions. We provide a more detailed discussion of this question below.

 (X, d) , a subspace $X' \subseteq X$ is *sparse* if

$$
X'=\bigsqcup_n X_n,
$$

where each X_n is finite and $d(X_n, X_m) \to \infty$ as $n + m \to \infty$. A projection $p \in C^*_u(X)$ is called a *block projection* if there is a disjoint sequence $(X_n)_n$ of finite (non-empty) subsets of X such that

$$
p = \text{SOT-}\sum_{n} \chi_{X_n} p \chi_{X_n},
$$

where χ_{X_n} is the orthogonal projection onto $\ell_2(X_n)$. If, moreover, each $\chi_{X_n} p \chi_{X_n}$ has rank 1, then p is called a *block-rank-one projection*.

We can now formally state the aforementioned partial answers to the rigidity problem. Precisely, after the rigidity problem was solved for metric spaces with property A (see [\[16,](#page-23-0) Theorem 4.1]), positive solutions were obtained for metric spaces satisfying the following properties:

- (I) If $X' \subseteq X$ is sparse, all ghost projections in $C_u^*(X')$ are compact ([\[4,](#page-22-1) Theorem 6.1]).
- (II) There are no block-rank-one ghost projections in $C^*_u(X)$ ([\[11,](#page-23-1) Corollary 3.9])^{[2](#page-1-0)}.

It is immediate that (I) implies (II); however, the question whether these two properties are equivalent is closely related to a tantalizingly innocent-looking question about the structure of uniform Roe algebras (see Question [6.1\)](#page-22-2).

In these notes, we characterize each of the properties (I) and (II) in terms of natural weakenings of the operator norm localization property (ONL)—a property known to be equivalent to property A ($[15$, Theorem 4.1] and [\[7,](#page-23-3) Proposition 3.2]). We then use this characterization to obtain new rigidity results and new information about the Cartan masas of uniform Roe algebras; the latter provides a novel partial answer to a question of White and Willett (see Theorem [1.7\)](#page-4-0).

Let us describe our main results. We start by recalling the definition of the operator norm localization property. While our definition is nonstandard, it is not difficult to see that it is equivalent to the usual one (see Proposition [2.5\)](#page-7-0). The reason for our choice of a nonstandard definition will be clear in Definition [1.2](#page-2-0) below. If (X, d) is a metric space and $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$, the *propagation* of a is the quantity

$$
prop(a) = sup{d(x, y) | \langle a\delta_x, \delta_y \rangle \neq 0},
$$

 $(\delta_x)_{x \in X}$ being the canonical basis for $\ell_2(X)$.

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space.

(1) A family $S \subseteq C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ is *equi-approximable* if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $s > 0$ such that for all $a \in S$ there is $b \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ with prop $(b) \leq s$ and $||a - b|| \leq \varepsilon$.

²Notice that block-rank-one projections are non-compact by definition.

(2) We say that X has the *operator norm localization property* (*ONL*) if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all equi-approximable families $S \subseteq C^*_u(X)$, there is $s > 0$ such that for all $a \in S$ there is a unit vector $\xi \in \ell_2(X)$ such that

 $\text{diam}(\text{supp}(\xi)) \leq s$ and $||a\xi|| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)||a||$.

Restricting to families satisfying extra conditions, we obtain a family of natural weakenings of the ONL.

Definition 1.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let P be a property of operators. We say that X has the *operator norm localization for* $\mathcal P$ (*ONL for* $\mathcal P$) if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all equiapproximable families $S \subseteq C^*_u(X)$ of operators satisfying $\mathcal P$, there is $s > 0$ such that for all $a \in S$ some unit vector $\xi \in \ell_2(X)$ satisfies diam(supp (ξ)) $\leq s$ and $\|a\xi\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \|a\|$.

In the following two theorems, we characterize properties (I) and (II) stated above. The following are proven as Theorems [3.1](#page-9-0) and [3.2.](#page-9-1) They are natural analogs of the well-known fact (see [\[14,](#page-23-4) [15\]](#page-23-2)) that the classical version of ONL is equivalent to the non-existence of non-compact ghost projections.

Theorem 1.3. Let (X, d) be a uniformly locally finite metric space. The following are *equivalent:*

- (1) There are no non-compact block ghost projections in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$.
- (2) If $X' \subseteq X$ is sparse, then all ghost projections in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X')$ are compact.
- (3) X *has ONL for equi-approximable finite-rank projections.*

Theorem 1.4. Let (X, d) be a uniformly locally finite metric space. The following are *equivalent:*

- (1) There are no non-compact block-rank-one ghost projections in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$.
- (2) X *has ONL for equi-approximable rank-one projections.*

Theorems [1.3](#page-2-1) and [1.4](#page-2-2) show that weak requirements on the ideal of ghost operators are already strong enough to force the space to satisfy ONL in some sense. This may provide an approach to extending results previously known to hold only under ONL to more general spaces.

We point out that the equivalent conditions in Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) above are also equivalent to "X contains no sparse subspaces consisting of ghostly measured asymptotic expanders" (see [\[11,](#page-23-1) Corollary C]), and thus can be geometrically characterized. We do not know of a geometric characterization of the conditions in Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-1)

1.1. Application to rigidity

Our first application is to rigidity of uniform Roe algebras of coarse (not necessarily metrizable) spaces. Indeed, coarse spaces generalize the concept of metric spaces in the context of coarse geometry. We refer the reader to Section [2](#page-5-0) for precise definitions. For now, we simply say that a coarse space is a set X together with a family $\mathcal E$ of subsets of $X \times X$ which gives a notion of uniform boundedness of families of subsets of X. The uniform Roe algebra of (X, \mathcal{E}) is, as in the case when X is metric, denoted by $C_u^*(X)$. While [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.2] showed that if (X, d) and (Y, ∂) are uniformly locally finite metric spaces, then X and Y must be coarsely equivalent provided that $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ and $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$ are isomorphic, the same problem remains open if (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly locally finite *coarse* spaces.

The next result was only known to hold in case where one of the spaces of interest has property A (see [\[7,](#page-23-3) Theorem 1.3]).

Theorem 1.5. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces with

 C_u^* $u^*(X) \cong C_u^*$ $u^*(Y).$

If X is metrizable and $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ has no block-rank-one ghost projections, then (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are coarsely equivalent. In particular, (Y, \mathcal{F}) is metrizable.

Moreover, a coarse equivalence is given by some (any) $g: Y \to X$ *for which some* $\delta > 0$ *satisfies*

$$
\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y)\delta_{g(y)}\| > \delta \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.
$$

1.2. Applications to Cartan masas

The results of this section are concerned with metric spaces and the following notion.

Definition 1.6. Let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra, and let $B \subseteq A$ be a C^{*}-subalgebra. We say that B is a *Cartan masa* in A if

- (1) \overline{B} is a maximal abelian self-adjoint subalgebra (masa) of \overline{A} ,
- (2) *A* is generated as a C^* -algebra by the *normalizer* of *B* in *A*; i.e.,

$$
N_A(B) = \{a \in A \mid aBa^* \cup a^*Ba \subseteq B\},\
$$

- (3) there is a faithful conditional expectation $\Upsilon: A \to B$. That is, for all $a \in A$ it, satisfies the following conditions:
	- (a) $\Upsilon: A \rightarrow B$ is completely positive,
	- (b) $\Upsilon(b_1ab_2) = b_1\Upsilon(a)b_2$ for all b_1, b_2 in B,
	- (c) $\Upsilon(a^*a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$.

In the terminology of [\[17\]](#page-23-5), a Cartan masa $B \subseteq C^*_u(X)$ is *co-separable* if there is a countable $S \subseteq C_u^*(X)$ such that $C_u^*(X) = C^*(B, S)$.

Note that the maximality of B implies $1_A \in B$ (in the non-unital case, the definition of a Cartan masa involves an approximate unit). It is not difficult to see that for every uniformly locally finite space $X, \ell_{\infty}(X)$ is a Cartan masa in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$; the (unique) conditional expectation is defined by (see Section [2.1.2](#page-6-0) for the notation χ_Y , where $Y \subseteq X$)

$$
E(a) = \sum_{x \in X} \chi_x a \chi_x.
$$

Conversely, by the duality result established in [\[17,](#page-23-5) Theorem 4.17], if a unital C^* -subalgebra A of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ contains $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X))$ and has a Cartan masa B isomorphic to $\ell_{\infty}(X)$ then X carries a uniformly locally finite coarse structure such that A is naturally isomorphic to $C^*_{u}(X)$, via an isomorphism that sends B to $\ell_{\infty}(X)$. In [\[17,](#page-23-5) Remark 3.4], the authors ask whether every Cartan masa of $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$, where (X, d) is a metric space, is automatically co-separable. In other words, by [\[17,](#page-23-5) Theorem 4.17] this question asks whether $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ and $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$ can be isomorphic for uniformly locally finite spaces X and Y if exactly one of them is a metric space. Until now, the strongest result in this direc-tion was [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.12]. This result asserts that if $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ and $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$ are isomorphic, both spaces are uniformly locally finite, and X is metrizable, then Y is countable and it contains a coarse copy of X.

The next result was only known to hold under the additional assumption that X has property A (see $[7,$ Theorem 1.3]). Note that the assumption on the absence of ghost projections is strictly weaker than property A [\[4,](#page-22-1) page 1010].

Theorem 1.7. Let X be a uniformly locally finite metric space and assume that $C^*_{\mu}(X)$ has no block-rank-one ghost projections. If $B\subseteq C^*_u(X)$ is a Cartan masa isomorphic to $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$, then *B* is co-separable in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$.

Still in the topic of Cartan masas, the importance of the question whether a Cartan masa $B \subseteq C^*_u(X)$ isomorphic to $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ can contain non-compact ghosts to the rigidity problem for uniform Roe algebra has been known for a while (see the paragraph after [\[4,](#page-22-1) Theorem 6.2]). We show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. Let (X, d) be a uniformly locally finite metric space and $B \subseteq C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ be a *Cartan masa isomorphic to* $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$ *. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *All ghost operators in* B *are compact.*
- (2) *All ghost projections in* B *are compact.*
- (3) *B* is co-separable in $C^*_u(X)$.

By [\[2,](#page-22-3) Theorem 1.2], $L_{\infty}[0, 1]$ does not embed into $C_u^*(X)$ and therefore the assumption that B is isomorphic to $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$ can be weakened to B being a von Neumann algebra.

Using the duality result [\[17,](#page-23-5) Theorem 4.17] again, this puts [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.12] in proper context.

1.3. A word on embeddings

Finally, in Section [5,](#page-17-0) we deal with embeddings between uniform Roe algebras, a study of which was initiated in [\[5\]](#page-22-4). The existence of such embeddings often suffices to guarantee the existence of nontrivial maps $X \to Y$, which in turn imposes restrictions on the geom-etry of X given by the geometry of Y. Using techniques from [\[1\]](#page-22-0), we further develop the theory of embeddings between uniform Roe algebras (see Proposition [5.1](#page-17-1) and Theorem [5.3](#page-18-0) for details).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Basic definitions

2.1.1. Coarse spaces. We start by recalling the definition of a coarse space—we refer the reader to [\[13,](#page-23-6) Chapter 2] for a detailed treatment of the subject. Loosely speaking, coarse spaces are abstractions of metric spaces which still allow one to talk about large-scale geometry. Precisely, let X be a set and $\mathcal E$ be a family of subsets of $X \times X$. We say that $\mathcal E$ is a *coarse structure on* X if the following hold.

- (1) $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\}$ belongs to \mathcal{E} .
- (2) If $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $F \subset E$, then $F \in \mathcal{E}$.
- (3) If $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$, then $E \cup F \in \mathcal{E}$.
- (4) If $E \in \mathcal{E}$, then $E^{-1} = \{(y, x) \in X \times X \mid (x, y) \in E\}$ is in \mathcal{E} .
- (5) If $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$, then

$$
E \circ F = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \exists z \in X, (x, z) \in E \text{ and } (z, y) \in F\}
$$

belongs to E.

The elements of $\mathcal E$ are called *controlled sets* or *entourages*. The pair $(X,\mathcal E)$ is then called a *coarse space*. Metric spaces have a canonical coarse structure: if (X, d) is a metric space,

$$
\mathcal{E}_d = \left\{ E \subseteq X \times X \mid \sup_{(x,y)\in E} d(x,y) < \infty \right\}
$$

is a coarse structure. Throughout this paper, metric spaces are viewed as coarse spaces with the coarse structure described above. A coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *metrizable* when $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_d$ for some metric d on X.

Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be coarse spaces and $f: X \to Y$ be a map. We say that f is *coarse* if for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there is $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

 $(x, z) \in E$ implies $(f(x), f(z)) \in F$,

and we say that f is *expanding* if for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there is $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that

 $(x, z) \notin E$ implies $(f(x), f(z)) \notin F$.

If f is both coarse and expanding, then f is a *coarse embedding*. If f is a coarse embedding and there is $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for all $y \in Y$ there is $x \in X$ with $(f(x), y) \in F$, the map f is called a *coarse equivalence*.

A coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) is *uniformly locally finite* (abbreviated as *u.l.f.*) if for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$ we have that

$$
\sup_{x \in X} |\{z \in X \mid (x, z) \in E\}| < \infty.
$$

For a metric space (X, d) , this simply means that for each $r > 0$ there is $N > 0$ such that every r -ball in X has at most N elements.

2.1.2. Uniform Roe algebras. Given a Hilbert space H , $\mathcal{B}(H)$ denotes the space of bounded operators on H. Given a set X, $\ell_2(X)$ denotes the Hilbert space of squaresummable functions $X \to \mathbb{C}$ and we denote its canonical orthonormal basis by $(\delta_x)_{x \in X}$. Given $A \subseteq X$, χ_A denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\ell_2(A)$. If $x \in X$, we write χ_x for $\chi_{\{x\}}$.

Given an operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ and $x, z \in X$, we let $a_{x,z} = \langle a\delta_z, \delta_x \rangle$; we identify a with the $X \times X$ matrix $[a_{x,z}]_{x,z \in X}$. If (X, \mathcal{E}) is a coarse space, we say that an operator $a = [a_{x,z}] \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ has *controlled propagation* if

$$
supp(a) = \{(x, z) \in X \times X \mid a_{x,z} \neq 0\}
$$

belongs to E.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space. The norm closure of all operators $a \in$ $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ with controlled propagation is the *uniform Roe algebra of* (X,\mathcal{E}) , denoted by $C^*_{u}(X)$.

We identify $\ell_{\infty}(X)$ with the set of all operators in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ diagonalized by the canonical basis. These coincide with the operators $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ such that supp $(a) \subseteq \Delta_X$. Hence, every uniform Roe algebra contains $\ell_{\infty}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$. If (X,\mathcal{E}) is a *connected* coarse space, i.e., if $\{(x, z)\}\in \mathcal{E}$ for all $x, z \in X$, then $C_u^*(X)$ also contains the compact operators; this is always the case if X is metrizable.

The definitions of projections in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ being block projections or block-rankone projections for coarse spaces are identical to the ones for metric spaces given in the introduction. However, to make sense of sparseness of a subspace $X' \subseteq X$, one needs the coarse structure to be countably generated, which is essentially the same as metrizability of the coarse structure [\[13,](#page-23-6) Section 2.4]. Hence, we only talk about projections on sparse subspaces of X when X is metrizable.

2.2. Ghosts, equi-approximability, and ONL

In this subsection, we present some extra technical definitions about uniform Roe algebras. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a u.l.f. coarse space. An operator $a \in C^*_u(X)$ is a *ghost* if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite $A \subseteq X$ such that $|a_{x,z}| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x, z \notin A$. Clearly, compacts operators in $C^*_{u}(X)$ are always ghosts.

We generalize the definitions of equi-approximability and ONL from metric to coarse spaces (cf. Definitions [1.1](#page-1-1) and [1.2\)](#page-2-0).

Definition 2.2. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a u.l.f. coarse space.

- (1) Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $E \in \mathcal{E}$, and $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$. We say that a is ε -E-approximable if there is $b \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ with supp $(b) \subseteq E$ such that $\|a - b\| \le \varepsilon$.
- (2) A family $S \subseteq C^*_u(X)$ is *equi-approximable* if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that each $a \in S$ is ε -E-approximable.

The next lemma is fundamental for the results of the present paper. It will be used to guarantee that certain families of operators are equi-approximable. By SOT- $\sum_{n \in M} p_n$ we denote the SOT-limit of finite partial sums, and by using this notation, we indicate that this limit exists.

Lemma 2.3 ([\[4,](#page-22-1) Lemma 4.9]). Let (X, d) be a u.l.f. metric space and let $(p_n)_n$ be a sequence of orthogonal projections such that SOT- $\sum_{n \in M} p_n$ belongs to $C^*_{u}(X)$ for all $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Then, (SOT- $\sum_{n \in M} p_n)_{M \subseteq \mathbb{N}}$ is equi-approximable.

Definition 2.4. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space.

- (1) Given $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $E \in \mathcal{E}$, we say that a is (ε, E) *-normed* if there is $A \subseteq X$ with $A \times A \subseteq E$ such that $||a \chi_A|| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) ||a||$.
- (2) We say that X has the *operator norm localization property* (ONL) if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all equi-approximable $S \subseteq C^*_u(X)$, there is $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that every $a \in S$ is (ε, E) -normed.
- (3) Let P be a property of operators. We say that (X, \mathcal{E}) has the *operator norm localization property for* $\mathcal P$ (ONL for $\mathcal P$) if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all equi-approximable families $S \subseteq C^*_u(X)$ of operators satisfying \mathcal{P} , there is $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that every $a \in S$ is (ε, E) -normed.

The original definition of ONL, as introduced in [\[8,](#page-23-7) Definition 2.3], was not stated in these terms. A series of equivalent definitions were provided by Sako in [\[15\]](#page-23-2), even though these only refer to the metric setting. Below, we show that our definition of ONL coincides with the one stated in Clause 2 of [\[15,](#page-23-2) Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 2.5. *Let* (X, \mathcal{E}) *be a coarse space. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, \mathcal{E}) *has ONL*,
- (2) *for all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and all* $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ *, there is* $E \in \mathcal{E}$ *such that if* $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ *is such that* $\supp(a) \subseteq E_0$, then there is $A \subseteq X$ with $A \times A \subseteq E$ and $||a \chi_A|| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) ||a||$.

Proof. Since the set of all operators with a given propagation is equi-approximable, the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is immediate. Suppose now that (2) holds. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $S \subseteq C_u^*(X)$ be equi-approximable. Then, there is $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ such that any $a \in S$ can be ε - E_0 approximated. Then, given $a \in S$ with $||a|| = 1$, let $b \in C^*_{u}[X]$ be such that

$$
supp(b) \subseteq E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a - b\| \le \varepsilon.
$$

Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ be given by the hypothesis for ε and E_0 . Hence, there is $A \subseteq X$ with $A \times A \subseteq E$ such that $||b\chi_A|| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) ||b||$. So,

$$
||a\chi_A|| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)||b|| - ||a - b|| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)^2 - \varepsilon.
$$

 \blacksquare

Since ε and $a \in S$ were arbitrary, this shows that (X, \mathcal{E}) has ONL.

2.3. Candidates for coarse equivalences

The content of this subsection will be used for the applications of our main results in Section [4.](#page-14-0) Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be coarse spaces. Given an isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \to$ $C_u^*(Y)$, a natural candidate for a coarse equivalence (or embedding) is a map $f: X \to Y$ such that

$$
\inf_{x \in X} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_{f(x)}\| > 0. \tag{2.1}
$$

It is therefore necessary to understand when such maps exist. The following is one of the main results of [\[1\]](#page-22-0) and it can be extracted from the proof of [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.2].

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be u.l.f. coarse spaces and let $\Phi: C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X) \to C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$ *be an isomorphism. If* (X, \mathcal{E}) *is metrizable, then there is* $f: X \rightarrow Y$ *satisfying* [\(2.1\)](#page-8-0)*.*

Since this result has not been stated explicitly in [\[1\]](#page-22-0), for the reader's convenience, we include its brief proof below. First, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7 ([\[1,](#page-22-0) Corollary 3.3]). Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a metrizable u.l.f. coarse space and let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *be a sequence of projections in* $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ *such that*

(1) *the family*

$$
\left(\sum_{n\in A} p_n\right)_{A\subseteq\mathbb{N},|A|<\infty}
$$

is equi-approximable,

(2) SOT-
$$
\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} p_n = 1_{\ell_2(X)}
$$
.

Then,

$$
\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \| p_n \delta_x \| > 0.
$$

Proof of Corollary [2.6](#page-8-1)*.* As isomorphisms between uniform Roe algebras are strongly continuous ($[16, \text{Lemma } 3.1]$ $[16, \text{Lemma } 3.1]$), the projections

$$
(\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y))_{y\in Y}
$$

satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2.7 . As X is metrizable, this lemma applies and there is $\delta > 0$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\left\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)})\delta_x\right\| > \delta \quad \text{for all } x \in X.
$$

Therefore, for all $x \in X$,

$$
\|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_{f(x)}\| = \|\Phi(\chi_x)\chi_{f(x)}\| = \|\chi_x\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)})\| = \|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)})\delta_x\| > \delta.
$$

The next lemma highlights the reason why a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying [\(2.1\)](#page-8-0) is important for rigidity problems. Item (1) is $[5,$ Lemma 5.1], and items (2) and (3) can be extracted from the proof of [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.12].

A function is said to be *uniformly finite-to-one* if there is a uniform finite bound on the cardinalities of the preimages of points in its range.

Lemma 2.8. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be u.l.f. coarse spaces, and suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) is *metrizable. Let* $\Phi: C^*_{u}(X) \to C^*_{u}(Y)$ *be an embedding, and suppose that* $f: X \to Y$ *satisfies* [\(2.1\)](#page-8-0)*. The following holds:*

- (1) If (Y, \mathcal{F}) is metrizable, then f is coarse and uniformly finite-to-one^{[3](#page-9-2)}.
- (2) If $c_0(Y) \subseteq \Phi(C_u^*(X))$, then f is coarse and uniformly finite-to-one.
- (3) If Φ *is surjective, then* f *is a coarse embedding.*

3. ONL for equi-approximable projections

In this section, we prove Theorems [1.3](#page-2-1) and [1.4.](#page-2-2) Both of those results follow immediately from the next two more technical theorems.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a u.l.f. coarse space, and consider the following assertions.

- (1) All ghost block projections in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ are compact.
- (2) X *has ONL for finite-rank projections.*

Then, (1) \Rightarrow (2)*. Moreover, if* (X, \mathcal{E}) *is metrizable, then* (2) \Rightarrow (1) *and those conditions are also equivalent to the following:*

- (3) If $X' \subseteq X$ is sparse, then all ghost projections in $C^*_u(X')$ are compact.
- (4) If $X' \subseteq X$ is sparse, then all ghost block projections in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X')$ are compact.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a u.l.f. coarse space, and consider the following assertions.

- (1) *There are no ghost block-rank-one projections in* $C_u^*(X)$.
- (2) X *has ONL for rank-one projections.*

Then, (1) \Rightarrow (2)*. Moreover, if* (X, \mathcal{E}) *is metrizable, then* (2) \Rightarrow (1) *and those conditions are also equivalent to the following:*

(3) If $X' \subseteq X$ is sparse, then there are no non-compact ghost block-rank-one projec*tions in* $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X')$.

Before presenting the (very similar) proofs of Theorems [3.1](#page-9-0) and [3.2,](#page-9-1) we need some lemmas. The next technical lemma was proved in [\[1,](#page-22-0) Lemma 5.4] for metrizable u.l.f. coarse spaces. Its proof for an arbitrary coarse space is virtually identical, but we present the details here for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.3 ([\[1,](#page-22-0) Lemma 5.4]). Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a u.l.f. coarse space. Then, given $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, *there is* $\gamma > 0$ *so that for all* $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$ *there is* $W \in \mathcal{E}$ *for which the following holds: let* $p, q, a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$, where p is a projection and q is a rank 1 projection. If supp $(q) \subseteq E$, $||p - a|| < \gamma$, supp $(a) \subseteq F$, and $||pq|| \geq \delta$, then p is (ε, W) -normed.

 3 It is straightforward to check that any map satisfying [\(2.1\)](#page-8-0) is uniformly finite-to-one; see [\[5,](#page-22-4) Lemma 5.1] for details.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(\delta/2)^{1/k} > 1 - \varepsilon$. Pick a positive $\gamma < (\delta/2)^{1/k}$ $1 + \varepsilon$ so that $\|p - a\| \le \gamma$ implies $\|p - a^k\| \le \delta/2$ for any projection p and any operator $a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X)).$

Fix $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$. Without loss of generality, assume that both E and F are symmetric and contain Δ_X . Let $p, q, a \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ be as in the statement of the lemma. In particular, $||p - a^k|| \leq \delta/2$. This implies that $||a^k q|| \geq \delta/2$. Otherwise, if $||a^k q|| < \delta/2$, then

$$
||pq - a^k q|| \ge ||pq|| - ||a^k q|| > \delta/2,
$$

contradicting

$$
\|pq - a^k q\| \le \|p - a^k\| \le \delta/2.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\|a^{i+1}q\|}{\|a^iq\|} \ge \frac{\delta}{2}
$$

(notice that $a^i q \neq 0$ for all $i \leq k$). There must then be $j \in \{0, ..., k - 1\}$ with

$$
\|aa^{j}q\| \ge (\delta/2)^{\frac{1}{k}}\|a^{j}q\|.
$$

As q is a rank 1 projection, we can pick a unit vector $\zeta \in \ell_2(X)$ such that $q = \langle \cdot, \zeta \rangle \zeta$. As $\text{supp}(q) \subseteq E$, we must have $\text{supp}(\zeta) \times \text{supp}(\zeta) \subseteq E$. Define

$$
\xi := a^j \zeta / \|a^j \zeta\|.
$$

As supp $(a) \subseteq F$, it follows that $\text{supp}(a^j) \subseteq F^{(j)}$. So,

$$
supp(\xi) \times supp(\xi) \subseteq F^{(j)} \circ E \circ F^{(j)}.
$$

Finally, as $||a\xi|| \geq (\delta/2)^{1/k}$, it follows that

$$
||p\xi|| \ge (\delta/2)^{1/k} - \gamma.
$$

By our choice of γ , this shows that $\|\rho\xi\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. So, the conclusion follows by letting

$$
W = F^{(j)} \circ E \circ F^{(j)}.
$$

 \blacksquare

The previous lemma allows us to show that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, certain equi-approximable families can be (ε, W) -normed with respect to a single entourage $W \in \mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* X *be a u.l.f. coarse space and let* P *be an equi-approximable family of* finite-rank projections in $C^*_u(X)$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $\inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{x \in X} ||p \chi_x|| > 0.$
- (2) *For all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *, there is* $W \in \mathcal{E}$ *such that each* $p \in \mathcal{P}$ *is* (ε, W) *-normed (that is,* X *satisfies ONL for finite-rank projections).*
- (3) *There are* $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ *and* $W \in \mathcal{E}$ *such that each* $p \in \mathcal{P}$ *is* (ε, W) *-normed.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $\delta > 0$ and a family $(x_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ in X such that $||p \chi_{x_p}|| > \delta$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\gamma > 0$ be given by Lemma [3.3](#page-9-3) for ε and δ . As \mathcal{P} is equi-approximable, there is $F \in \mathcal{E}$ such that every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is γ -*F*-approximable. Let $W \in \mathcal{E}$ be given by Lemma [3.3](#page-9-3) for F and $E = \Delta_X$.

By our choice of F, for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, there is $a_p \in C^*_u(X)$ with $\text{supp}(a_p) \subseteq F$ such that $||p - a_p|| \le \gamma$. By Lemma [3.3](#page-9-3) applied with q as the projection to the span of δ_{x_p} , each p is (ε, W) -normed.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is obvious, so we are left with $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and W such that each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is (ε, W) -normed. Since X is u.l.f., there is k such that if $A \times A \subseteq W$ then $|A| \leq k$, for all $A \subseteq X$. For each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, pick A_p with $||p \chi_{A_p}|| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)$ and $A_p \times A_p \subseteq W$. Then, we can find $x \in A_p$ such that $||p \chi_x|| \ge \frac{1-\varepsilon}{k}$. As ε and k are fixed, this completes the proof.

A well-known example shows that it is not true in general that, given an arbitrary u.l.f. coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) , any equi-approximable family of finite-rank projections \mathcal{P} in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ must satisfy

$$
\inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{x \in X} \|p \chi_x\| > 0.
$$

Indeed, if the space (X, d) contains a subspace Y on which the metric is the graph metric given by a sequence (X_n) of expander graphs ([\[12\]](#page-23-8)), then, by identifying the expander graphs with their images as subspaces of X, there is a disjoint sequence $(X_n)_n$ of finite subsets of X such that for every n

$$
p_n = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{|X_n|} & \cdots & \frac{1}{|X_n|} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{|X_n|} & \cdots & \frac{1}{|X_n|} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X_n)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))
$$

defines a projection in $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X_n))$. The spectral gap property of the discrete Laplacian operator associated with the expanders implies that each $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$
\text{SOT-}\sum_{n\in M} p_n \in C_u^*(X),
$$

and moreover that this family is equi-approximable as M varies over all subsets of N (see [\[10,](#page-23-9) pages 348–349]). On the other hand,

$$
\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in X} \|p_n \chi_x\| = 0.
$$

This example indicates the need for a workable condition that implies that a family of equi-approximable projections $\mathcal P$ satisfies $\inf_{p\in\mathcal P} \sup_{x\in X} ||p\chi_x|| > 0$. This is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* X *be a u.l.f. coarse space and let* P *be an equi-approximable family of* nonzero finite-rank projections in $C^*_u(X)$.

(1) If all ghost block projections in $C^*_{u}(X)$ are compact, then

$$
\inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{x \in X} ||p \chi_x|| > 0.
$$

(2) If all ghost block-rank-one projections in $C^*_u(X)$ are compact and each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ *has rank one, then*

$$
\inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{x \in X} \|p \chi_x\| > 0.
$$

Proof. We will prove (1) and (2) simultaneously, indicating the only difference in the proofs in the appropriate moment. By contradiction, pick, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_n \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $||p_n\chi_x|| < 2^{-n}$ for all $x \in X$. Notice that, for each finite $A \subseteq X$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_n\chi_A = 0$. In fact, for each n ,

$$
||p_n\chi_A|| \leq \sum_{x\in A} ||p_n\chi_x|| \leq 2^{-n}|A|,
$$

and as A is fixed, the conclusion follows.

As each p_n is finite dimensional, we can go to a subsequence and find (modulo reindexing) a disjoint sequence $(X_n)_n$ of finite subsets of X such that

$$
||p_n - \chi_{X_n} p_n \chi_{X_n}|| < 2^{-n-1} \tag{3.1}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathcal P$ is equi-approximable and each χ_{X_n} has propagation zero, the sequence $(\chi_{X_n} p_n \chi_{X_n})_n$ is equi-approximable. Moreover, as $(X_n)_n$ are disjoint, this implies that

$$
p' = \text{SOT-}\sum_{n} \chi_{X_n} p_n \chi_{X_n} \in C_u^*(X).
$$

Notice that p' is a ghost. Each one of the operators $a_n = \chi_{X_n} p_n \chi_{X_n}$ is positive, and by [\(3.1\)](#page-12-0), these operators satisfy

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n - a_n^2\| = 0.
$$

By standard continuous functional calculus argument using [\(3.1\)](#page-12-0), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find a projection $q_n \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X_n))$ such that

$$
||q_n - \chi_{X_n} p_n \chi_{X_n}|| < 2^{-n+1}.
$$

In the situation of (2), p_n has rank one, and therefore, $\chi_{X_n} p_n \chi_{X_n}$ has rank one, and the same applies to q_n .

As p_n is nonzero, q_n is nonzero, and therefore,

$$
q = \text{SOT-} \sum_{n} q_n
$$

is a non-compact block projection. Moreover,

$$
p'-q=\sum_n(\chi_{X_n}p_n\chi_{X_n}-q_n)
$$

in norm, so, as each $\chi_{X_n} p_n \chi_{X_n} - q_n$ is compact, $p' - q$ is also compact. As p' is a ghost, so is q. This contradicts the fact that all ghost block projections in $C^*_u(X)$ are compact.

п

Proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-9-0). (1) \Rightarrow (2): If all ghost block projections in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ are compact, then Lemma [3.5](#page-11-0) implies that condition (1) in Lemma [3.4](#page-10-0) holds. Therefore, condition (2) in Lemma [3.4](#page-10-0) holds, that is, X has ONL for finite-rank projections.

Suppose now that (X, \mathcal{E}) is metrizable, say $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_d$ for some metric d on X.

Clearly, (1) \Rightarrow (4). Conversely, suppose (4) holds and (1) fails, and let $\sum_n p_n$ be a non-compact ghost block projection in X. Then, all p_n are nonzero, and we can find an infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n \in M} p_n$ belongs to $C^*_u(X')$ for some sparse $X' \subseteq X$, and it is therefore compact, a contradiction.

Since (3) \Rightarrow (4) is trivial, we are left to show that (2) \Rightarrow (1) and (4) \Rightarrow (3).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: Fix a non-compact block projection with respect to a disjoint sequence $(X_n)_n$ of finite subsets of X, say $p \in C^*_u(X)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_n = \chi_{X_n} p \chi_{X_n}$; so $p =$ SOT- $\sum_n p_n$.

Claim 3.6. We have that SOT- $\sum_{n \in M} p_n \in C^*_u(X)$ for all $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
X_{M} = \bigcup_{n \in M} X_{n}.
$$

Then,

$$
SOT-\sum_{n\in M}p_n=\chi_{X_M}p\chi_{X_M}.
$$

Since $p \in C^*$ (X), we have the thesis.

By Claim [3.6](#page-13-0) and Lemma [2.3,](#page-7-1) $(p_n)_n$ is equi-approximable. Hence, by our hypothesis, there is $r > 0$ such that every p_n is $(1/2, r)$ -normed. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n \subseteq X$ be a such that diam $(A_n) \le r$ and $||p_n \chi_{A_n}|| \ge 1/2$. As X is u.l.f., $k = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |A_n| < \infty$. Suppose for a contradiction that p is a ghost. Then, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|p_n \delta_x\| < 1/(2k)$ for all $x \in X$. So,

$$
||p_n\chi_{A_n}||\leq \sum_{x\in A_n}||p_n\delta_x||<1/2;
$$

contradiction.

(4) \Rightarrow (3): Let $X' = \bigsqcup_n X_n$ be a sparse subspace of X and let $p \in C^*_u(X')$ be a ghost projection. Since X' is sparse, if a is a finite propagation operator in $C_u^*(X')$ then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
a = \text{SOT-}\sum_{m \ge n_0} \chi_{X_m} a \chi_{X_m}
$$

is compact. This shows that passing to the Calkin algebra, we have

$$
C_u^*(X')/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X')) \subseteq \bigg(\prod_n \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n))\bigg)\bigg/\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X')).
$$

Therefore, as

$$
\left(\prod_n \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n))\right) \bigg/ \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X')) = \prod_n \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n)) \bigg/ \bigoplus \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n))
$$

and since all projections in

$$
\prod_n \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n)) \bigg/ \bigoplus \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n))
$$

lift to a projection in $\prod_n \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n))$ (see [\[9,](#page-23-10) Lemma 3.1.13]), we can pick a projection $p' \in \prod_n \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X_n))$ such that $p - p'$ is compact. As $\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X')) \subseteq C_u^*(X')$ and $p \in$ $C^*_u(X')$, we have that $p' \in C^*(X')$. As p is a ghost, so is p'. Therefore, p' is a ghost block projection in $C_u^*(X')$ and, by our hypothesis, p' must be compact. This gives us that p is compact. Since p was an arbitrary ghost projection in $C_u^*(X')$ for an arbitrary sparse subspace X' of X, we are done.

Proof of Theorem [3.2](#page-9-1)*.* The proof is analogous to that of Theorem [3.1,](#page-9-0) with "finite-rank projections" replaced with "rank-one projections" everywhere and (4) replaced with (3).

4. Applications I: Rigidity and Cartan masas

In this section, we use Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) to prove Theorems [1.5,](#page-3-0) [1.7,](#page-4-0) and [1.8.](#page-4-1) The conclusions of these theorems were previously known to hold only in the presence of ONL.

In order to prove Theorem [1.5,](#page-3-0) we will need some technical results already proven in the literature. For the reader's convenience, we state those results below. Given coarse spaces (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) , an isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \to C_u^*(Y)$, $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $\eta > 0$, we let

- $X_{y,\eta} := \{ z \in X \mid \|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y)\delta_z\| \geq \eta \},\$
- $Y_{x,\eta} \coloneqq \{z \in Y \mid \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_z\| \geq \eta\}.$

We isolate two results from [\[1,](#page-22-0)[7\]](#page-23-3).

Lemma 4.1 ([\[1,](#page-22-0) Corollary 5.3]). Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be u.l.f. coarse spaces and Φ : $C^*_u(X) \to C^*_u(Y)$ be an isomorphism. If X is metrizable, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\eta > 0$ *such that* $\|\Phi(\chi_x)(1_{\ell_2(Y)} - \chi_{Y_{x,n}})\| \leq \varepsilon$ *for all* $x \in X$ *.*

Lemma 4.2 ([\[7,](#page-23-3) Lemma 4.7]). Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be u.l.f. coarse spaces, $\Phi: C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X) \to$ $C_u^*(Y)$ be an isomorphism, and let $f: X \to Y$ be such that $\inf_{x \in X} ||\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_{f(x)}|| > 0$. *Then, the following holds:*

(1) *If for all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is* $\eta > 0$ *such that*

$$
\|\Phi(\chi_x)(1_{\ell_2(Y)}-\chi_{Y_{x,\eta}})\|\leq \varepsilon,
$$

for all $x \in X$ *, then f is expanding.*

(2) If for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\eta > 0$ such that

$$
\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)})(1_{\ell_2(X)} - \chi_{X_{f(x),\eta}})\| \leq \varepsilon,
$$

П

for all $x \in X$ *, then* f *is coarse.*

Proof of Theorem [1.5](#page-3-0). Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are u.l.f. coarse spaces and Φ : $C^*_u(X) \to C^*_u(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Also suppose X is metrizable and $C^*_u(X)$ has no block-rank-one ghost projections. We need to prove that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are coarsely equivalent.

Since X is metrizable and $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ has no block-rank-one ghost projections, replacing δ by a smaller positive number if necessary, Lemmas [3.5](#page-11-0) and [2.3](#page-7-1) together imply that there is $g: Y \to X$ such that $\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y)\delta_{g(y)}\| > \delta$ for all $y \in Y$ (see footnote^{[4](#page-15-0)}). Let us show g is a coarse embedding.

By Lemma [4.1,](#page-14-1) for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\eta > 0$ such that

$$
\|\Phi(\chi_x)(1_{\ell_2(Y)}-\chi_{Y_{x,\eta}})\|\leq \varepsilon.
$$

Therefore, by Lemma [4.2,](#page-14-2) g is coarse. We now show that g is also expanding.

Claim 4.3. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\eta > 0$ such that $\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y)\chi_{X_{y,\eta}}\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $y \in Y$.

Proof. As X is a metrizable space, it follows from Lemma [2.3](#page-7-1) that the indexed family $(\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y))_{y \in Y}$ is equi-approximable. Therefore, as $C^*_{u}(X)$ has no ghost block-rank-one projection, Theorem [3.2](#page-9-1) gives that ONL holds for $(\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y))_{y \in Y}$. The remaining part of the proof now closely follows the proof of [\[17,](#page-23-5) Lemma 6.7]. Alternatively, and using a terminology closer to that of the present paper, the proof can be completed by using [\[6,](#page-22-5) Lemma 7.4]. Indeed, although [\[6,](#page-22-5) Lemma 7.4] assumes the metric spaces have ONL, the only thing necessary for its argument to hold is that ONL holds for $(\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y))_{y \in Y}$. So, we are done.

As each $\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y)$ has rank 1, then

$$
\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{\mathcal{Y}})(1_{\ell_2(X)}-\chi_{X_{\mathcal{Y},\eta}})\|^2=\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{\mathcal{Y}})1_{\ell_2(X)}\|^2-\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{\mathcal{Y}})\chi_{X_{\mathcal{Y},\eta}}\|^2.
$$

By Claim [4.3,](#page-15-1) the second term on the right-hand side can be made greater than $1 - \varepsilon$ by choosing a small enough $\eta > 0$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, Lemma [4.2](#page-14-2) now implies that g is expanding.

The result now follows immediately from [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.2]. Indeed, as (Y,\mathcal{F}) coarsely embeds into (X, \mathcal{E}) and (X, \mathcal{E}) is metrizable, (Y, \mathcal{F}) is also metrizable. Therefore, [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.2], (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are actually coarsely equivalent.

It remains to prove that g is a coarse equivalence. By Corollary [2.6,](#page-8-1) there are $\delta > 0$ and $f: X \to Y$ such that $\|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_{f(x)}\| > \delta$ for all $x \in X$. By Lemma [2.8,](#page-9-4) f is a coarse embedding. We need to verify that $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are close to Id_X and Id_Y, respectively. Let us first show that $g \circ f$ is close to Id_X. As X is metrizable, Lemma [2.3](#page-7-1) gives $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that every $\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y)$ is δ^2 -*E*-approximable. Therefore, since

$$
\begin{aligned} \| \chi_{g(f(x))} \Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)}) \chi_x \| &\leq \| \Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)}) \chi_{g(f(x))} \| \| \Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)}) \chi_x \| \\ &= \| \Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)}) \chi_{g(f(x))} \| \| \Phi(\chi_x) \chi_{f(x)} \| < \delta^2 \end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$, we must have that $(x, g(f(x))) \in E$ for all $x \in X$.

⁴Alternatively, this follows from [\[11,](#page-23-1) Corollary 3.3].

We now show that $f \circ g$ is close to Idy. This follows from the following general fact in coarse geometry: as g is expanding and $g \circ f$ is close to Id_X, then $f \circ g$ is close to Idy. For completeness, we prove this simple fact. As $g \circ f$ is close to Id_X, fix $E \in$ $\mathcal E$ such that $(x, g(f(x))) \in E$ for all $x \in X$. As g is expanding, there is $F \in \mathcal F$ such that $(y, x) \notin F$ implies $(g(y), g(x)) \notin E$. In particular, if $(y, f(g(y))) \notin F$ for some $y \in Y$, then $(g(y), g(f(g(y)))) \notin E$. By our choice of E, this cannot happen. Therefore, $(y, f(g(y))) \in F$ for all $y \in F$.

Proof of Theorem [1.7](#page-4-0). Suppose that X is a u.l.f. metric space, $C_u^*(X)$ has no block-rankone ghost projections, and $B \subseteq C^*_{u}(X)$ is a Cartan masa (Definition [1.6\)](#page-3-1) isomorphic to $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$. We need to prove that B is co-separable in $C_u^*(X)$.

By [\[17,](#page-23-5) Theorem 4.17], there is a u.l.f. coarse space (Y, \mathcal{F}) and an isomorphism $\Phi: C^*_u(X) \to C^*_u(Y)$ such that $\Phi[B] = \ell_{\infty}(Y)$. By Theorem [1.5,](#page-3-0) Y is metrizable. Hence, by [\[17,](#page-23-5) Lemma 4.19], $\ell_{\infty}(Y)$ is co-separable in $C_u^*(Y)$. This in turns implies that B is co-separable in $C^*_u(X)$.

The next lemma is an easier version of part (1) of Lemma [3.5,](#page-11-0) and several small variations of it have already been obtained in the literature, probably starting with [\[4,](#page-22-1) Theorem 6.2]. For this reason, we omit its proof.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* (X, \mathcal{E}) *be a u.l.f. coarse space and let* $(p_n)_n$ *be a family of finite-rank* projections in $C^*_{u}(X)$ such that, for all infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, SOT- $\sum_{n \in M} p_n$ is a non-ghost *projection in* $C^*_u(X)$ *. Then*

$$
\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in X} \|p_n \chi_x\| > 0.
$$

п

Proof of Theorem [1.8](#page-4-1). Suppose (X, d) is a u.l.f. metric space and $B \subseteq C^*_u(X)$ is a Cartan masa isomorphic to $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}).$

(1) \Rightarrow (2): If all ghost operators in B are compact, then all ghost projections in B are compact.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: Suppose that all ghost projections in B are compact. A proof that B is coseparable in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ is analogous to that of Theorem [1.5.](#page-3-0) Precisely, by [\[17,](#page-23-5) Theorem 4.17], there are a u.l.f. coarse space (Y, \mathcal{F}) and an isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \to C_u^*(Y)$ such that $\Phi(B) = \ell_{\infty}(Y)$. Then, by Lemma [4.4,](#page-16-0) there are $\delta > 0$ and a map $g: Y \to X$ such that

$$
\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y)\delta_{g(y)}\| > \delta \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.
$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem [1.5,](#page-3-0) we conclude that g is a coarse embedding. In particular, (Y, \mathcal{F}) is metrizable. By [\[17,](#page-23-5) Lemma 4.19], this implies that $\ell_{\infty}(Y)$ is coseparable in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$. Hence, B is co-separable in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that B is co-separable in $C_u^*(X)$. By [\[17,](#page-23-5) Theorem B] there are a u.l.f. metric space (Y, ∂) and an isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \to C_u^*(Y)$ such that $\Phi(B) =$ $\ell_{\infty}(Y)$. By [\[1,](#page-22-0) Theorem 1.11], any isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras of metric spaces must send ghosts to ghosts. As all ghosts in $\ell_{\infty}(Y)$ are compact, the same must happen in B .

5. Applications II: Embeddings

The study of embeddings between uniform Roe algebras was initiated in [\[5\]](#page-22-4). It is known that the existence of an embedding $C_u^*(X) \hookrightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ does not necessarily imply that X coarsely embeds into Y. Indeed, an embedding $C^*_u(X) \hookrightarrow C^*_u(Y)$ exists if there is an injective coarse map $X \to Y$. Hence, letting $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$ be such that $f(0) = 0$, and $f(n) = 2n$ and $f(-n) = 2n - 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that $C_u^*(\mathbb{Z})$ embeds into $C_u^*(\mathbb{N})$ but $\mathbb Z$ clearly does not coarsely embed into $\mathbb N$ (cf. [\[5,](#page-22-4) Section 2.4]).

On the other hand, the existence of an embedding $C^*_{u}(X) \hookrightarrow C^*_{u}(Y)$ satisfying some extra conditions (e.g., rank preservation, compact preservation, hereditary range, etc.) is often enough to give us some information on how to map X into Y . In this section, we study how the new rigidity techniques introduced in [\[1\]](#page-22-0) apply to embeddings. More precisely, we investigate when the analog of Corollary [2.6](#page-8-1) holds for embeddings in place of isomorphisms.

We start with a simple, but hopefully somewhat enlightening, observation.

Proposition 5.1. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be u.l.f. coarse spaces. The following are equivalent for an embedding $\Phi: C^*_u(X) \to C^*_u(Y)$:

- (1) Φ *is unital, rank-preserving, and strongly continuous.*
- (2) Φ *is implemented by a unitary* $u: \ell_2(X) \to \ell_2(Y)$ *.*
- (3) $\Phi(\ell_{\infty}(X))$ is a masa of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(Y))$.
- (4) $\Phi(\ell_{\infty}(X))$ is a masa of $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$.

We do not know whether the conditions in Proposition [5.1](#page-17-1) suffice to guarantee that $\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_y\| > 0$. Its proof uses the following minor strengthening of [\[17,](#page-23-5) Lemma 2.3], where the same conclusion was obtained under the stronger assumption that A includes all compact operators.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that* A *is a concrete subalgebra of* $\mathcal{B}(H)$ *for some Hilbert space that includes a masa of* $\mathcal{B}(H)$ *isomorphic to* $\ell_{\infty}(Z)$ *for some set* Z. If B *is a masa in* A, *then every minimal projection in* B *has rank 1.*

Proof. Fix a masa B in A and a minimal projection p in B. The map $a \mapsto pap$ is SOTcontinuous, hence, $\mathcal{P} = \{pqp \mid q \in \ell_{\infty}(Z) \text{ has finite rank}\}\$ is a directed net of positive contractions included in A with p as its supremum. By SOT-continuity we can fix a rank 1 projection $q \in \ell_{\infty}(Z)$ such that $pqp \neq 0$. By the minimality of p, pqp is a scalar multiple of p and therefore p has rank 1. \blacksquare

Proof of Proposition [5.1](#page-17-1). (1) implies (2): this is similar to the proof of [\[4,](#page-22-1) Lemma 3.1]. Suppose that Φ is as in (1). Write X as a discrete sum of its connected components, $X = \bigsqcup_i X_i$. It suffices to prove that the restriction of Φ to each X_i is implemented by a unitary, so we may assume that X is connected. By assumption, Φ sends rank 1 projections to rank 1 projections; as moreover it is unital and SOT-continuous, its range contains a maximal orthogonal set of rank-one projections. As Φ is a $*$ -homomorphism, it preserves Murray–von Neumann equivalence of projections, and so, the range of Φ includes the ideal of compact operator on $\ell_2(Y)$. The conclusion follows as in [\[4,](#page-22-1) Lemma 3.1].

Clearly, (2) implies (3) and (3) implies (4).

Suppose that Φ satisfies (4). In particular, $\Phi(1_{\ell_2(X)}) = 1_{\ell_2(Y)}$, so Φ is unital. By Lemma [5.2](#page-17-2) applied to $A = C^*_{u}(Y)$, every minimal projection in $\Phi[\ell_{\infty}(X)]$ has rank 1. Therefore, each $\Phi(e_{xz})$ also has rank one and it follows that

$$
\Phi \upharpoonright \bigcup_{F \subseteq X, |F| < \infty} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(F))
$$

is rank-preserving. As each finite-rank operator can be norm approximated by operators in $\bigcup_{F \subseteq X, |F| < \infty} \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(F))$ with the same rank, it easily follows that Φ is rank-preserving.

It remains to show that Φ is strongly continuous. For that, notice that as $\Phi: \ell_{\infty}(X) \to$ $\Phi(\ell_{\infty}(X))$ is an isomorphism, as each $\Phi(\chi_X)$ has rank one, and as $\Phi(\ell_{\infty}(X))$ is a masa in $C^*_{u}(Y)$, we have that $(\Phi(\chi_X))_{X \in X}$ is a maximal family of rank-one projections. Therefore,

$$
SOT\text{-} \sum_{x \in X} \Phi(\chi_x) = 1_{\ell_2(Y)} = \Phi(1_{\ell_2(X)}).
$$

Since Φ is strongly continuous if and only if

$$
\Phi(1_{\ell_2(X)}) = \text{SOT-} \sum_{x \in X} \Phi(\chi_x)
$$

 \blacksquare

(see, for instance, [\[3,](#page-22-6) Corollary [5](#page-18-1).2]⁵), this shows that Φ satisfies (1).

We continue with a positive result.

Theorem 5.3. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be u.l.f. coarse spaces. If (X, \mathcal{E}) is metrizable, $\Phi: C^*_u(X) \to C^*_u(Y)$ is an embedding, and at least one of the following conditions applies:

- (1) (Y, \mathcal{F}) is metrizable and $\Phi(\ell_{\infty}(X))$ is a co-separable Cartan masa of $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$,
- (2) $\ell_{\infty}(Y) \subseteq \Phi(C_u^*(X)),$

then there is a coarse and uniformly finite-to-one $f: X \rightarrow Y$ *such that*

$$
\inf_{x\in X} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_{f(x)}\| > 0.
$$

Proof. Suppose that (Y, \mathcal{E}) is metrizable and that $\Phi(\ell_{\infty}(X))$ is a co-separable Cartan masa of $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$. By Theorem [1.8,](#page-4-1) all ghosts in $\Phi(\ell_\infty(X))$ are compact. Therefore, Lemma [4.4](#page-16-0) gives a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\inf_{x\in X} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_{f(x)}\| > 0.
$$

As (Y, \mathcal{F}) is metrizable, Lemma [2.8](#page-9-4) implies f is coarse and uniformly finite-to-one.

 5 [\[3,](#page-22-6) Corollary 5.2] is based on [\[5,](#page-22-4) Theorem 4.3]. Even though metrizability of X and Y is stated as a hypothesis, it is not used in the proof of [\[5,](#page-22-4) Theorem 4.3], which holds for u.l.f. coarse spaces in full generality. See also [\[2,](#page-22-3) Theorem 6.1].

Suppose now that $\ell_{\infty}(Y) \subseteq \Phi(C_u^*(X))$. Then, for each $M \subseteq Y$, we have

$$
\Phi^{-1}\left(\text{SOT-}\sum_{y\in M}\chi_y\right)=\text{SOT-}\sum_{y\in M}\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y).
$$

Indeed, fix $M \subseteq Y$, and let p be a finite-rank projection below the projection Φ^{-1} (SOT- $\sum_{y \in M} \chi_y$) and orthogonal to all $(\Phi^{-1}(\chi_y))_{y \in M}$. As X is metrizable, $C_u^*(X)$ contains the compacts; so, $p \in C^*_u(X)$. Therefore, $\Phi(p)$ is a projection below SOT- $\sum_{y \in M} \chi_y$ which is orthogonal to all $(\chi_y)_{y \in M}$, i.e., $\Phi(p) = 0$. As Φ is injective, $p = 0$.

As $\ell_{\infty}(Y) \subseteq \Phi(C^*_u(X))$, the previous paragraph implies that the projections (Φ^{-1}) $(\chi_y)_{y \in Y}$ satisfy the following:

- (1) SOT- $\sum_{y \in M} \Phi^{-1}(\chi_y) \in C_u^*(X)$ for all $M \subseteq Y$,
- (2) SOT- $\sum_{y \in Y} \Phi^{-1}(\chi_y) = 1_{\ell_2(X)}$.

Therefore, by Corollary [2.6,](#page-8-1) there are $\delta > 0$ and $f: X \to Y$ such that $\|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)})\delta_x\| > \delta$ for all $x \in X$. Hence,

$$
\|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_{f(x)}\| = \|\Phi^{-1}(\chi_{f(x)})\delta_x\| > \delta
$$

for all $x \in X$. Again, Lemma [2.8](#page-9-4) implies that f is coarse and uniformly finite-to-one.

Remark 5.4. Notice that the existence of a uniformly finite-to-one coarse map $X \rightarrow Y$ between u.l.f. coarse spaces is enough so that the geometry of X is highly controlled by the one of Y. For instance, if such map exists, (1) the asymptotic dimension of X is bounded by the one of Y , (2) if Y has property A, so does X, and (3) if Y has finite decomposition complexity, then so does X (see [\[5,](#page-22-4) Corollary 1.3]).

The reader familiar with the theory of embeddings of uniform Roe algebras may have noticed that the conditions in Theorem [5.3](#page-18-0) radically differ from the ones previously considered in this context. Precisely, the results in the literature (see [\[5,](#page-22-4) Theorems 1.4 and 5.4]) usually require the target space Y to satisfy some geometric condition and the embedding $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \to C_u^*(Y)$ to either (1) be rank-preserving or (2) have its image to be a hereditary subalgebra of $C^*_{u}(Y)$.

It is then natural to wonder if the techniques used to prove Corollary [2.6](#page-8-1) can be applied to obtain a version of this corollary for embeddings. The following shows that this is not possible.

Proposition 5.5. Let $X = \bigsqcup_n \{x_n\}$ be the coarse disjoint union of singletons^{[6](#page-19-0)}. Given a *u.l.f. metric space* Y *, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) There is a block-rank-one ghost projection in $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$.
- (2) There is an embedding $\Phi: C^*_{u}(X) \to C^*_{u}(Y)$ onto a hereditary subalgebra of $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$ such that $\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_y\| = 0.$

⁶For instance, the reader can have $X = \{n^2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$ with the metric inherited from N in mind.

(3) *There is a unital rank-preserving embedding* $\Phi: C^*_{u}(X) \to C^*_{u}(Y)$ *such that*

$$
\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_y\| = 0.
$$

Proof. Since X is the coarse disjoint union of singletons, finite propagation elements of $C^*_{\mu}(X)$ with zero diagonal are finite rank. Hence,

$$
C_u^*(X) = \ell_\infty(X) + \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X)).
$$

Therefore, in order to define a *-homomorphism $\Phi: C^*_u(X) \to C^*_u(Y)$, it is enough to define Φ on $\ell_{\infty}(X)$ and on each $e_{x_n x_m}$ and then extend it linearly and continuously to the whole $C^*_u(X)$, where e_{xz} is the rank 1 partial isometry mapping δ_x to δ_z for $x, z \in X$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $p \in C^*_{u}(Y)$ be a block-rank-one ghost projection and let $(Y_n)_n$ be a disjoint sequence of finite subsets of Y such that

$$
p = \text{SOT-}\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{Y_n} p \chi_{Y_n}.
$$

Replacing $(Y_n)_n$ by a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $Y' = \bigsqcup_n Y_n$ is sparse. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $p_n = \chi_{Y_n} p \chi_{Y_n}$ and pick a normalized $\xi_n \in \ell_2(X)$ such that $p_n =$ $\langle \cdot, \xi_n \rangle \xi_n.$

Define an embedding $\Phi: C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X) \to C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(Y)$ by

$$
\Phi\bigg(\sum_{n\in M}\chi_{x_n}\bigg)=\sum_{n\in M}p_n
$$

for all $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and $\Phi(e_{x_nx_m}) = \langle \cdot, \xi_m \rangle \xi_n$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\Phi(\chi_{x_n}) = p_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and as p is a ghost, then

$$
\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_y\| = 0.
$$

It remains to notice that $\Phi(C_u^*(X))$ is a hereditary subalgebra of $C_u^*(Y)$. As $C_u^*(Y')$ is a hereditary subalgebra of $C^*_{u}(Y)$ and as $p = \Phi(1_{\ell_2(X)})$, it is sufficient to show that $p C_u^*(Y') p \subseteq \Phi(C_u^*(X))$. For that, it is enough to notice that $p C_u^*[Y'] p \subseteq \Phi(C_u^*(X))$. Since Y' is sparse and each p_n has rank 1, this is straightforward and we leave the details to the reader.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Let $Y' = \bigsqcup_n Y_n$ and $p =$ SOT- $\sum_n p_n$ be as in (1). Note that as p is a ghost and 1 is not, $1-p$ has infinite rank. Let $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \to C_u^*(Y)$ be a $*$ -homomorphism constructed as in the proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2), so in particular, $\Phi(1) = p$. Fix a nonprincipal ultrafilter U on N and let $\Psi: C_u^*(X) \to C_u^*(Y)$ be a *-homomorphism determined by $\Psi(\mathcal{K}(\ell_2(X))) = \{0\}$ and

$$
\Psi(\chi_A) = \begin{cases} 1 - p & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{U}, \\ 0 & \text{if } A \notin \mathcal{U}. \end{cases}
$$

 \blacksquare

As $\Phi(a)\Psi(b) = \Psi(b)\Phi(a) = 0$ for all $a, b \in C_u^*(X)$, then the map

$$
\Theta = \Phi + \Psi
$$

is a *-homomorphism, hence, an embedding^{[7](#page-21-0)}. Moreover, it is clear that Θ is unital, rankpreserving, and that

$$
\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} \|\Theta(\chi_x)\delta_y\| = 0.
$$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ and $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: Suppose (1) fails, and let us show that both (2) and (3) must fail. For that, consider an embedding $\Phi: C^*_{u}(X) \to C^*_{u}(Y)$. If $\Phi(C^*_{u}(X))$ is a hereditary subalgebra of $C^*_{u}(Y)$, then Φ is strongly continuous and rank-preserving ([\[5,](#page-22-4) Lemma 6.1]). We will prove that if there is a rank-preserving embedding, then there is a strongly continuous rank-preserving embedding^{[8](#page-21-1)}. Suppose that Φ is rank-preserving, and let

$$
q = \text{SOT-}\lim_{n} \Phi(\chi_{\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}}),
$$

and notice that, by [\[5,](#page-22-4) Theorem 4.3], $q \in C^*_{u}(Y)$ and the map

$$
\Phi^q: C^*_u(X) \to C^*_u(Y)
$$

$$
a \mapsto q\Phi(a)q
$$

is a strongly continuous rank-preserving embedding. As

$$
q \ge \Phi(\chi_x), \quad \Phi^q(\chi_x) = \Phi(\chi_x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.
$$

Therefore, Φ^q is a strongly continuous rank-preserving embedding, and there is no loss of generality to assume that Φ is strongly continuous. Therefore, as Φ is rank-preserving, Lemmas [2.3](#page-7-1) and [3.5](#page-11-0) say that if there are no ghost block-rank-one projections in $C^*_{u}(Y)$, then

$$
\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} \|\Phi(\chi_x)\delta_y\| > 0.
$$

This shows that $\neg(1) \Rightarrow \neg(2)$ and $\neg(1) \Rightarrow \neg(3)$.

Remark 5.6. As shown in [\[11,](#page-23-1) Corollary C], Item (1) of Proposition [5.5](#page-19-1) is equivalent to Y containing no coarse disjoint union $Y' = \bigsqcup_n Y_n$ consisting of ghostly measured asymptotic expanders (see [\[11,](#page-23-1) Definition 1.3] for definitions).

6. Are (I) and (II) equivalent?

As mentioned earlier, the question whether the properties (I) and (II) of $C^*_{\mathfrak{u}}(X)$ discussed in the introduction are equivalent reduces to an innocent-looking question.

⁷Notice that Θ is not strongly continuous; see [\[2,](#page-22-3) Theorem 6.1] for the proper context.

⁸Again, see [\[2,](#page-22-3) Theorem 6.1] for the proper context.

Question 6.1. Let X be a u.l.f. metric space. Suppose that (p_n) is a sequence of projections in $C^*_u(X)$ such that SOT- $\sum_{n \in M} p_n$ is in $C^*_u(X)$ for every $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and each p_n is of rank strictly greater than 1.

- (1) Can we conclude that for every *n* there are projections $q_n \leq p_n$ of rank 1 such that SOT- $\sum_{n \in M} q_n$ is in $C^*_{\mathcal{U}}(X)$ for every $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$?
- (2) Can we at least conclude that there are an infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $q_n \leq p_n$ of rank 1 for all $n \in M$ such that SOT- $\sum_{n \in M_0} q_n$ is in $C_u^*(X)$ for every $M_0 \subseteq M$?

A positive answer to either part of Question [6.1](#page-22-2) would imply that (I) and (II) are equivalent. A partial result is given in [\[6,](#page-22-5) Lemma 4.3], where a positive answer to (2) is given in case the projections of interest satisfy our usual regularity condition, that is, if $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{x\in X} ||p_n\chi_x|| > 0.$

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Rufus Willett for his help proofreading this paper.

Funding. This paper was written under the auspices of the American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) SQuaREs program and as spinoff of the "Expanders, ghosts, and Roe algebras" SQuaRE project, joint with Florent Baudier, Anna Khukhro, and Rufus Willett. B.M.B. was partially supported by the US National Science Foundation under the grant DMS-2054860, by FAPERJ (Proc. no. E-26/200.167/2023), and by CNPq (Proc. no. 303571/2022-5). I.F. is partially supported by NSERC. A.V. is supported by an "Emergence en Recherche" IdeX grant from the Université Paris Cité and an ANR grant (ANR-17-CE40-0026).

References

- [1] F. P. Baudier, B. M. Braga, I. Farah, A. Khukhro, A. Vignati, and R. Willett, [Uniform Roe](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-022-01140-x) [algebras of uniformly locally finite metric spaces are rigid.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-022-01140-x) *Invent. Math.* 230 (2022), no. 3, 1071–1100 Zbl [1505.46045](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1505.46045) MR [4506772](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4506772)
- [2] F. P. Baudier, B. M. Braga, I. Farah, A. Vignati, and R. Willett, [Embeddings of von Neumann](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2023.110186) [algebras into uniform Roe algebras and quasi-local algebras.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2023.110186) *J. Funct. Anal.* 286 (2024), no. 1, article no. 110186, 37 pp. Zbl [07765647](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:07765647) MR [4653336](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4653336)
- [3] B. M. Braga, [Coarse quotients of metric spaces and embeddings of uniform Roe algebras.](https://doi.org/10.4171/jncg/463) *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 16 (2022), no. 4, 1337–1361 Zbl [1523.46016](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1523.46016) MR [4542387](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4542387)
- [4] B. M. Braga and I. Farah, [On the rigidity of uniform Roe algebras over uniformly locally finite](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8180) [coarse spaces.](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8180) *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374 (2021), no. 2, 1007–1040 Zbl [1458.51007](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1458.51007) MR [4196385](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4196385)
- [5] B. M. Braga, I. Farah, and A. Vignati, [Embeddings of uniform Roe algebras.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03539-9) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 377 (2020), no. 3, 1853–1882 Zbl [1454.46024](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1454.46024) MR [4121613](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4121613)
- [6] B. M. Braga, I. Farah, and A. Vignati, [Uniform Roe coronas.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2021.107886) *Adv. Math.* 389 (2021), article no. 107886, 35 pp. Zbl [1476.19005](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1476.19005) MR [4288216](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4288216)
- [7] B. M. Braga, I. Farah, and A. Vignati, [General uniform Roe algebra rigidity.](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.3461) *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 72 (2022), no. 1, 301–337 Zbl [1501.46045](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1501.46045) MR [4448597](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4448597)
- [8] X. Chen, R. Tessera, X. Wang, and G. Yu, [Metric sparsification and operator norm localization.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2008.03.016) *Adv. Math.* 218 (2008), no. 5, 1496–1511 Zbl [1148.46040](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1148.46040) MR [2419930](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2419930)
- [9] I. Farah, *[Combinatorial set theory of](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27093-3)* C *-algebras*. Springer Monogr. Math., Springer, Cham, 2019 Zbl [1450.46001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1450.46001) MR [3971570](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3971570)
- [10] N. Higson, V. Lafforgue, and G. Skandalis, [Counterexamples to the Baum–Connes conjecture.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-002-8249-5) *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 12 (2002), no. 2, 330–354 Zbl [1014.46043](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1014.46043) MR [1911663](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1911663)
- [11] K. Li, J. Špakula, and J. Zhang, [Measured asymptotic expanders and rigidity for Roe algebras.](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac242) *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2023 (2023), no. 17, 15102–15154 MR [4637459](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4637459)
- [12] A. Lubotzky, [Expander graphs in pure and applied mathematics.](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0273-0979-2011-01359-3) *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 49 (2012), no. 1, 113–162 Zbl [1232.05194](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1232.05194) MR [2869010](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2869010)
- [13] J. Roe, *[Lectures on coarse geometry](https://doi.org/10.1090/ulect/031)*. Univ. Lecture Ser. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003 Zbl [1042.53027](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1042.53027) MR [2007488](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2007488)
- [14] J. Roe and R. Willett, [Ghostbusting and property A.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2013.07.004) *J. Funct. Anal.* 266 (2014), no. 3, 1674– 1684 Zbl [1308.46077](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1308.46077) MR [3146831](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3146831)
- [15] H. Sako, [Property A and the operator norm localization property for discrete metric spaces.](https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0065) *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 690 (2014), 207–216 Zbl [1295.46017](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1295.46017) MR [3200343](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3200343)
- [16] J. Špakula and R. Willett, [On rigidity of Roe algebras.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2013.09.006) *Adv. Math.* 249 (2013), 289–310 Zbl [1295.46051](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1295.46051) MR [3116573](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3116573)
- [17] S. White and R. Willett, [Cartan subalgebras in uniform Roe algebras.](https://doi.org/10.4171/ggd/570) *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 14 (2020), no. 3, 949–989 Zbl [1478.46070](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1478.46070) MR [4167028](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4167028)

Received 6 November 2022; revised 22 May 2023.

Bruno M. Braga (corresponding author)

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; demendoncabraga@gmail.com

Ilijas Farah

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, 4700 Keele Street, North York, Ontario, M3J 1P3, Canada; Matematički Institut SANU, Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Beograd, Serbia; ifarah@yorku.ca

Alessandro Vignati

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu (IMJ-PRG), Université Paris Cité, Bâtiment Sophie Germain, 8 Place Aurélie Nemours, 75013 Paris, France; alessandro.vignati@imj-prg.fr