

Radon–Nikodým theorems in non-separable Banach spaces

Sokol Bush Kaliaj

Abstract. In this paper, we present two Radon–Nikodým theorems in non-separable Banach spaces using Pettis and variational McShane integrals. The first one works for a dominated additive interval multifunction $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow ck(X)$, and the second one works for a dominated strong multimeasure $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow cwk(X)$, where \mathcal{I} is the family of all closed non-degenerate subintervals of the interval $W = [0, 1]^m \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, \mathcal{L} is the family of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of W , and $cwk(X)$ ($ck(X)$) is the family of all convex weakly compact (convex compact) non-empty subsets of X .

1. Introduction and preliminaries

One of the major problems in the theory of multimeasures is that of the existence of set valued Radon–Nikodým derivatives. This issue was first considered by Debreu–Schmeidler [9] and Artstein [1], Costé [7], Costé and Pallu de la Barriere [8] and Hiai [14]. In paper [4], B. Cascales, V. Kadets, and J. Rodriguez have proved a Radon–Nikodým theorem for a dominated strong multimeasure taking convex compact values in a non-separable locally convex topological vector space (see [4, Theorem 3.1]). In paper [10], L. Di Piazza and G. Porcello have obtained a Radon–Nikodým theorem for a dominated finitely additive multimeasure or a dominated additive interval multifunction $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow ck(X)$ using Pettis integral and [4, Theorem 3.1], where \mathcal{I} is the family of all closed non-degenerate subintervals of $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ (see [10, Theorem 4.2]).

In this paper, we present two Radon–Nikodým theorems in a non-separable Banach space X using Pettis and variational McShane integrals. The first one works for a dominated additive interval multifunction $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow ck(X)$ (see Theorem 2.6) and the second one works for a dominated strong multimeasure $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ (see Theorem 2.7). Theorem 2.6 improves [10, Theorem 4.2] and Theorem 2.7 improves the Banach version of [4, Theorem 3.1] for strong multimeasures defined on \mathcal{L} . The techniques of the proof of Theorem 2.7 can be used to the more general cases. The fact that a convex weakly compact subset of X has the Radon–Nikodým property is essential in the proof of Theorem 2.7 (cf. [2, Theorem 3.6.1]).

Throughout, X is an arbitrary Banach space with its dual X^* . The closed unit ball of X^* is denoted by B_{X^*} . We denote by 2^X the family of all non-empty subsets of X and by $bcc(X)$ ($ck(X)$, $cwk(X)$) the subfamily of 2^X of all bounded, convex, and closed (convex compact, convex weakly compact) subsets of X . We consider on $cwk(X)$ the Minkowski addition ($A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$) and the standard multiplication by scalars. By \mathcal{H} we denote the subfamily of 2^X of all bounded closed subsets of X . The family \mathcal{H} is a complete metric space with the Hausdorff distance, given by

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(A, B) = \max\{e(A, B), e(B, A)\},$$

where

$$e(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \text{dist}(a, B), \quad \text{dist}(a, B) = \inf\{\|a - b\| : b \in B\}.$$

For every $C \in \mathcal{H}$ the *support function* of C is denoted by $\sigma(\cdot, C)$ and defined as follows:

$$\sigma(\cdot, C) : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma(x^*, C) = \sup\{\langle x^*, x \rangle : x \in C\}.$$

Let $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ with $-\infty < a_j < b_j < +\infty$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. The set $[\alpha, \beta] = \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]$ is called a *closed non-degenerate interval* in \mathbb{R}^m . If $b_1 - a_1 = \dots = b_m - a_m$, then $I = [\alpha, \beta]$ is called a *cube* and we set $l_I = b_1 - a_1$. We denote by \mathcal{I} the family of all closed non-degenerate subintervals of $W = [0, 1]^m$. The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m is equipped with the maximum norm. We may also find it convenient to use the symbols $B_m(t, r)$ for the open ball in \mathbb{R}^m with center t and radius $r > 0$, ∂B and B° for *boundary* and *interior* of a subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, respectively. We denote by \mathcal{L} the family of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of W and by \mathcal{B} the family of all Borel subsets of W . The Lebesgue measure of a set $E \in \mathcal{L}$ is denoted by $|E|$. Thus, if I is a cube, then

$$|I| = (l_I)^m.$$

The word ‘‘at almost all’’ always refers to the Lebesgue measure λ on W .

A map $\Gamma : W \rightarrow 2^X$ is called a *multifunction* and a map $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be an *interval multifunction*. A function $f : W \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *selection* of a multifunction $\Gamma : W \rightarrow 2^X$ if $f(t) \in \Gamma(t)$ for all $t \in W$. We denote by \mathcal{S}_Γ the family of all selections of Γ . We say that an interval multifunction $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 2^X$ is an *additive interval multifunction*, if for each two non-overlapping intervals $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I \cup J \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\Phi(I \cup J) = \Phi(I) + \Phi(J)$. Two intervals I and J are said to be *non-overlapping* if $I^\circ \cap J^\circ = \emptyset$. An additive interval function $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *selection* of an additive interval multifunction $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 2^X$ if $\varphi(I) \in \Phi(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. We denote by \mathcal{S}_Φ the family of all additive interval selections of Φ .

The following embedding result will be useful to us (see [6, Theorems II.18 and II.19]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$ be the Banach space of all bounded real valued functions defined on B_{X^*} endowed with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Then, the map*

$$i : cwk(X) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{X^*}), \quad i(C) = \sigma(\cdot, C)$$

satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $i(A + B) = i(A) + i(B)$ for every $A, B \in cwk(X)$,
- (ii) $i(\alpha A) = \alpha \cdot i(A)$ for every $\alpha \geq 0$ and every $A \in cwk(X)$,
- (iii) $d_{\mathcal{H}}(A, B) = \|i(A) - i(B)\|_{\infty}$ for every $A, B \in cwk(X)$,
- (iv) $i(cwk(X))$ is closed in $\ell_{\infty}(B_{X^*})$.

Definition 1.2. We say that an additive interval multifunction $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ is *strongly absolutely continuous (sAC)* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for every finite collection π of pairwise non-overlapping subintervals in \mathcal{I} , we have

$$\sum_{I \in \pi} |I| < \eta_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \sum_{I \in \pi} d_{\mathcal{H}}(\Phi(I), \{\theta\}) < \varepsilon,$$

where θ is the zero vector in X .

Replacing the last inequality with $d_{\mathcal{H}}(\sum_{I \in \pi} \Phi(I), \{\theta\}) < \varepsilon$, we obtain the notion AC for Φ .

Definition 1.3. Given a point $t \in W$, we set

$$\mathcal{I}(t) = \{I \in \mathcal{I} : t \in I, I \text{ is a cube}\}.$$

We say that an additive interval function $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ has the *cubic derivative* at the point t , if there exists a vector $\varphi'_c(t) \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}(t) \\ |I| \rightarrow 0}} \|\Delta\varphi(t, I) - \varphi'_c(t)\| = 0, \quad \left(\Delta\varphi(t, I) = \frac{\varphi(I)}{|I|}\right),$$

where $\varphi'_c(t)$ is said to be the *cubic derivative* of φ at t .

Given a sequence (B_n) of subsets of X , we write $\sum_n B_n$ to denote the set of all elements of X which can be written as the sum of an unconditionally convergent series $\sum_n x_n$, where $x_n \in B_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.4. A mapping $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be a strong multimeasure if the following hold:

- (i) $M(\emptyset) = \{\theta\}$,
- (ii) for each sequence (E_n) of pairwise disjoint members of \mathcal{L} , we have

$$M\left(\bigcup_n E_n\right) = \sum_n M(E_n).$$

A strong multimeasure $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be λ -*continuous*, if $M(Z) = \{\theta\}$ whenever $Z \subset W$ satisfies $|Z| = 0$. A countable additive vector measure $m : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *selection* of M if $m(E) \in M(E)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{L}$. We denote by S_M the family of all

countable additive selections of M . The strong multimeasure M is said to be of *bounded variation* if $|M|(W) < +\infty$, where $|M|(W) = \sup \sum_i \|M(E_i)\|$ and supremum is taken over all finite partitions (E_i) of W in \mathcal{L} and

$$\|C\| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in C\}, \quad (C \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Since $i(cwk(X))$ ($ick(X)$) is a closed cone of $\ell_\infty(B_{X^*})$, we obtain by embedding theorem (Theorem 1.1) that a mapping $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ ($ck(X)$) is a strong multimeasure if and only if $M^\infty = i \circ M$ is a countable additive vector measure. In this case,

$$i\left(\sum_n M(E_n)\right) = \sum_n M^\infty(E_n),$$

whenever (E_n) is a sequence of pairwise disjoint members of \mathcal{L} (see [5, Lemma 2.3]). For the concept of multimeasure, we refer to [13, Chapter 7] and references therein.

Definition 1.5. A multifunction $\Gamma : W \rightarrow bcc(X)$ is called *Pettis integrable* in $cwk(X)$ ($ck(X)$) if the following hold:

- (i) $\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(\cdot))$ is Lebesgue integrable for every $x^* \in X^*$,
- (ii) for each $E \in \mathcal{L}$ there is $C_E \in cwk(X)$ ($C_E \in ck(X)$) such that

$$\sigma(x^*, C_E) = \int_E \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t))d\lambda \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*.$$

We call C_E the *Pettis integral* of Γ over E and set $(P) \int_E \Gamma(t)d\lambda = C_E$. It is well known that the mapping $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ ($ck(X)$) defined by $M(E) = (P) \int_E \Gamma(t)d\lambda$ is a λ -continuous strong multimeasure.

The Pettis integral for multifunctions was first considered by Castaing and Valadier [6, Chapter V] and has been widely studied in papers [3, 12, 18, 19]. The notion of Pettis integrable function $f : W \rightarrow X$ as can be found in the literature (see [11, 17, 22, 23]) corresponds to Definition 1.5 for $\Gamma(t) = \{f(t)\}$ when the integral $(P) \int_E \Gamma(t)d\lambda$ is a singleton. For definition and properties of Bochner integral, we refer to [11].

A pair (I, t) of an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and a point $t \in W$ is called an \mathcal{M} -tagged interval in W . A finite collection $\pi = \{(I_i, t_i) : i = 1, \dots, p\}$ of \mathcal{M} -tagged intervals in W is called an \mathcal{M} -partition of W if $\{I_i : i = 1, \dots, p\}$ is a collection of pairwise non-overlapping intervals in \mathcal{I} and $\bigcup_{(I,t) \in \pi} I = W$. A positive function $\delta : W \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is called a *gauge* on W . We say that an \mathcal{M} -partition π of W is δ -fine if for each $(I, t) \in \pi$ we have $I \subset B_m(t, \delta(t))$.

We now recall the definitions of McShane integrability and variational McShane integrability (or strong McShane integrability) of functions defined on W and taking values in X , cf. [22, Definitions 3.2.1 and 3.6.2].

Definition 1.6. A function $f : W \rightarrow X$ is said to be *McShane integrable* if there exists $I_f \in X$ with the following property: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on W such that

for every δ -fine \mathcal{M} -partition π of W we have

$$\left\| I_f - \sum_{(I,t) \in \pi} f(t)|I| \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

We write then $I_f = (M) \int_W f(t)d\lambda$. The function f is said to be McShane integrable over $E \in \mathcal{L}$, if the function $f \mathbb{1}_E$ is McShane integrable, where $\mathbb{1}_E$ is the characteristic function of E . In this case, we write

$$(M) \int_E f(t)d\lambda = (M) \int_W f(t)\mathbb{1}_E(t)d\lambda.$$

Definition 1.7. A function $f : W \rightarrow X$ is said to be variationally McShane integrable (or strongly McShane integrable), if there is an additive interval function $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ with the following property: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on W such that for every δ -fine \mathcal{M} -partition π of W we have

$$\sum_{(I,t) \in \pi} \|f(t)|I| - \varphi(I)\| < \varepsilon.$$

In this case, the additive interval multifunction φ is called the variational McShane primitive of f .

The function $f : W \rightarrow X$ is variationally McShane integrable with the primitive φ , if and only if f is Bochner integrable (cf. [22, Theorem 5.1.4]). In this case,

$$\varphi(I) = (M) \int_I f(t)d\lambda = (B) \int_I f(t)d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Definition 1.8. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function and let $t \in W^o$. We set $\mathcal{I}^o(t) = \{I \in \mathcal{I}(t) : t \in I^o\}$. If $I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$, then we write

$$\mathcal{I}^o(t, I) = \{J \in \mathcal{I}^o(t) : J \subset I\}$$

and define a partial ordering \preceq_t on $\mathcal{I}^o(t)$ by saying that $I' \preceq_t I''$ if and only if $I' \supset I''$. Then, $(\mathcal{I}^o(t), \preceq_t)$ is a directed set. For the concepts of nets and subnets we refer to [16]. We now define

$$L_\varphi(t) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \overline{L_\varphi(t, I)}, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$L_\varphi(t, I) = \{\Delta\varphi(t, J) \in X : J \in \mathcal{I}^o(t, I)\}$$

and $\overline{L_\varphi(t, I)}$ is the closure of $L_\varphi(t, I)$. By [16, Theorem 7, page 72] it follows that $L_\varphi(t)$ is the set of all limit points of the net $(\Delta\varphi(t, I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$.

Definition 1.9. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ be an additive interval multifunction and let $t \in W^o$. For each $I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ we write

$$\Delta\Phi(t, I) = \frac{\Phi(I)}{|I|}, \quad A_\Phi(t, I) = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{I}^o(t, I)} \Delta\Phi(t, J)$$

and define

$$L_{\Phi}(t) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \overline{L_{\Phi}(t, I)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $L_{\Phi}(t, I)$ is the convex hull of the set $A_{\Phi}(t, I)$, i.e.,

$$L_{\Phi}(t, I) = \text{co}(A_{\Phi}(t, I)),$$

and $\overline{L_{\Phi}(t, I)}$ is the closure of the convex set $L_{\Phi}(t, I)$ in X .

Assume that $x_t \in X$ is a $\sigma(X, X^*)$ -limit point of a net $(x_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$ with $x_I \in \Delta\Phi(t, I)$, where $\sigma(X, X^*)$ is the weak topology in X . Then

$$x_t \in \overline{L_{\Phi}(t, I)}^{\sigma(X, X^*)} \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t),$$

and since by [20, Proposition 8, page 34] or [21, Corollary 2, page 65] we have

$$\overline{L_{\Phi}(t, I)} = \overline{L_{\Phi}(t, I)}^{\sigma(X, X^*)},$$

it follows that $x_t \in L_{\Phi}(t)$.

2. The main results

The main results are Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7. Let us start with a few auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function and let $C \in \mathcal{I}(t)$. Assume that*

- φ is sAC ,
- $C \subset W^o$.

Then, given $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ with $C_{\varepsilon} \supset C$ such that

$$\|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - \Delta\varphi(t, C_{\varepsilon})\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let us consider the case when t is a boundary point of C , since if $C \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$, then $C_{\varepsilon} = C$. Since $C \subset W^o$ is a cube there exist $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in W^o$ and $r > 0$ such that $C = \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i - r, a_i + r]$. Hence, for each $s > 1$ we have $C(s) = \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i - r.s, a_i + r.s] \supset C$ and t is the interior point of $C(s)$. Since φ is sAC , the following hold.

- There exists $\eta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for each finite collection π of pairwise non-overlapping subintervals in \mathcal{I} , we have

$$\sum_{J \in \pi} |J| < \eta_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \sum_{J \in \pi} \|\varphi(J)\| < \frac{\varepsilon|C|}{2}. \tag{2.1}$$

- By [15, Lemma 2.3] that there exists $c > |W|$ such that $\|\varphi(I)\| \leq c$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Since $\frac{\varepsilon}{2c}|C| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < 1$ we can choose a cube $C(s) \subset W$ such that

$$0 < |C(s)| - |C| < \gamma_\varepsilon = \min\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2c}|C(s)| \cdot |C|, \eta_\varepsilon\right).$$

We are going to prove that $C_\varepsilon = C(s)$ is the required cube.

We have $C_\varepsilon \supset C$, $C_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ and there exists a finite collection π_ε of pairwise non-overlapping subintervals in \mathcal{I} such that

$$C \cup J_{\pi_\varepsilon} = C_\varepsilon, \quad \left(J_{\pi_\varepsilon} = \sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} J\right)$$

and $C^o \cap J^o = \emptyset$ for all $J \in \pi_\varepsilon$. Thus, $|J_{\pi_\varepsilon}| = \sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} |J|$ and $|C_\varepsilon| = |C| + |J_{\pi_\varepsilon}|$, and since $|J_{\pi_\varepsilon}| < \eta_\varepsilon$ we obtain by (2.1) that

$$\sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \|\varphi(J)\| < \frac{\varepsilon|C|}{2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - \Delta\varphi(t, C_\varepsilon)\| &= \left\| \frac{\varphi(C)}{|C|} - \frac{\varphi(C) + \sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \varphi(J)}{|C_\varepsilon|} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\varphi(C)}{|C|} - \frac{\varphi(C) + \sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \varphi(J)}{|C| + |J_{\pi_\varepsilon}|} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\varphi(C)(|C| + |J_{\pi_\varepsilon}|) - \varphi(C) + \sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \varphi(J)|C|}{|C| \cdot (|C| + |J_{\pi_\varepsilon}|)} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\varphi(C)|J_{\pi_\varepsilon}| - |C| \sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \varphi(J)}{|C| \cdot |C_\varepsilon|} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{|J_{\pi_\varepsilon}| \cdot \|\varphi(C)\|}{|C| \cdot |C_\varepsilon|} + \frac{\sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \|\varphi(J)\|}{|C_\varepsilon|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - \Delta\varphi(t, C_\varepsilon)\| \leq \frac{|J_{\pi_\varepsilon}| \cdot \|\varphi(C)\|}{|C| \cdot |C_\varepsilon|} + \frac{\sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \|\varphi(J)\|}{|C_\varepsilon|} = A + B. \quad (2.3)$$

Since $|J_{\pi_\varepsilon}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2c}|C_\varepsilon| \cdot |C|$ and $\|\varphi(C)\| \leq c$ we obtain

$$A = \frac{|J_{\pi_\varepsilon}| \cdot \|\varphi(C)\|}{|C| \cdot |C_\varepsilon|} < \frac{\|\varphi(C)\|}{|C| \cdot |C_\varepsilon|} \frac{\varepsilon}{2c} |C_\varepsilon| \cdot |C| = \|\varphi(C)\| \frac{\varepsilon}{2c} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.2) we have also

$$B = \frac{\sum_{J \in \pi_\varepsilon} \|\varphi(J)\|}{|C_\varepsilon|} < \frac{\varepsilon|C|}{2|C_\varepsilon|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

The last result together with (2.3) and (2.4) yields

$$\|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - \Delta\varphi(t, C_\varepsilon)\| = A + B < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

and this ends the proof. ■

The next lemma characterizes the cubic derivative in terms of a convergent net.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function and let $t \in W^o$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ has the cubic derivative $\varphi'_c(t) = z$,
- (ii) the net $(\Delta\varphi(t, I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$ converges to z .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that (i) holds and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $\eta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $I \in \mathcal{I}(t)$ we have

$$|I| < \eta_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \|\Delta\varphi(t, I) - z\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $t \in W^o$ there exists $I_{\eta_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ such that $|I_{\eta_\varepsilon}| < \eta_\varepsilon$. Hence, for each $I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t) \subset \mathcal{I}(t)$ we have

$$I \subset I_{\eta_\varepsilon} \Rightarrow |I| \leq |I_{\eta_\varepsilon}| < \eta_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \|\Delta\varphi(t, I) - z\| < \varepsilon.$$

This means that the net $(\Delta\varphi(t, I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$ converges to z .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that (ii) holds, and let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then, there exists $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ such that for each $I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$, we have

$$I_0 \preceq_t I \Rightarrow \|\Delta\varphi(t, I) - z\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ is the interior point of I_0 , there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_m(t, r) = \prod_{i=1}^m (t_i - r, t_i + r) \subset I_0$. Choose $0 < \eta_\varepsilon < r^m$ and fix an arbitrary cube $C \in \mathcal{I}(t)$ with $|C| < \eta_\varepsilon$. Since $l_C < r$, it follows that $C \subset B_m(t, r)$.

If $C \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$, then $I_0 \preceq_t C$ and, consequently, we obtain by (2.5) that

$$\|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - z\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon. \quad (2.6)$$

It remains to consider the case when t is a boundary point of C . Applying Lemma 2.1 with C and $\prod_{i=1}^m [t_i - r, t_i + r]$ instead of W there exists $C_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ with $C \subset C_\varepsilon \subset \prod_{i=1}^m [t_i - r, t_i + r]$ such that

$$\|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - \Delta\varphi(t, C_\varepsilon)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and since $I_0 \preceq_t C_\varepsilon$, we obtain by (2.5) that

$$\|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - z\| \leq \|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - \Delta\varphi(t, C_\varepsilon)\| + \|\Delta\varphi(t, C_\varepsilon) - z\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Since C was arbitrary, the last result together with (2.6) yields that for each $C \in \mathcal{I}(t)$, we have

$$|C| < \eta_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \|\Delta\varphi(t, C) - z\| < \varepsilon.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}(t) \\ |I| \rightarrow 0}} \|\Delta\varphi(t, I) - z\| = 0.$$

This means that $\varphi'_c(t)$ exists and $\varphi'_c(t) = z$, and this ends the proof. ■

By [15, Theorem 2.8], we have that if an additive interval function $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ is absolutely continuous and has the cubic derivative at almost all $t \in W$, then there exists a variationally McShane integrable function $f : W \rightarrow X$ which is the Radon–Nikodým derivative of φ with respect to λ . In the following lemma, we replace the existence of the cubic derivative $\varphi'_c(t)$ with the existence of limit points of the net $(\Delta\varphi(t, I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ be an additive interval function and let $f : W \rightarrow X$ be a function. Assume that*

- φ is sAC ,
- $f(t) \in L_\varphi(t)$ at almost all $t \in W^o$, where $L_\varphi(t)$ is defined by (1.1).

Then, f is variationally McShane integrable with

$$\varphi(I) = (M) \int_I f(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. By hypothesis, there exists $Z \subset W$ with $|Z| = 0$ such that $f(t) \in L_\varphi(t)$ for all $t \in W^o \setminus Z$. We first prove that f is Pettis integrable. To see this fix an arbitrary $x^* \in X^*$. Since $\langle x^*, \varphi \rangle$ is sAC , by [15, Lemma 2.4] there exists a Lebesgue integrable function $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle x^*, \varphi(I) \rangle = \int_I g(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I},$$

and there exists $Z^{x^*} \subset W$ with $|Z^{x^*}| = 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}(t) \\ |I| \rightarrow 0}} |\langle x^*, \Delta\varphi(t, I) \rangle - g(t)| = 0 \quad \text{for every } t \in W \setminus Z^{x^*}. \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain that the net $(\langle x^*, \Delta\varphi(t, I) \rangle)_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$ converges to $g(t)$ for every $t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \langle x^*, \Delta\varphi(t, I) \rangle = g(t) \quad \text{for every } t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}.$$

This means that

$$L_{\langle x^*, \varphi \rangle}(t) = \{g(t)\} \quad \text{for every } t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*},$$

and since $\langle x^*, L_\varphi(t, I) \rangle = L_{\langle x^*, \varphi \rangle}(t, I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$, it follows that

$$\langle x^*, f(t) \rangle \in \langle x^*, L_\varphi(t) \rangle \subset L_{\langle x^*, \varphi \rangle}(t) = \{g(t)\} \quad \text{for all } t \in W^o \setminus (Z \cup Z^{x^*}).$$

The last result together with (2.8) yields

$$\lim_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{I}(t) \\ |I| \rightarrow 0}} \langle x^*, \Delta\varphi(t, I) \rangle = \langle x^*, f(t) \rangle \quad \text{for all } t \in W^o \setminus (Z \cup Z^{x^*}).$$

Since x^* was arbitrary and φ is sAC , we obtain by [15, Lemma 2.5] that f is Pettis integrable with

$$\varphi(I) = (P) \int_I f(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{2.9}$$

By [15, Lemma 2.3], there exists a unique countable additive vector measure $m_\varphi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ such that m_φ is λ -continuous of bounded variation and $m_\varphi(I) = \varphi(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus,

$$m_\varphi(E) = (P) \int_E f(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Thanks to [15, Lemma 2.2], the set $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \{\varphi(I) : I \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a separable subset of X . If Y is the closed linear subspace spanned by $\varphi(\mathcal{I})$, then Y is also a separable subset of X . Note that by [20, Proposition 8, page 34] or [21, Corollary 2, page 65] we have $Y = \bar{Y} = \bar{Y}^{\sigma(X, X^*)}$, and since $\Delta\varphi(t, I) = \frac{\varphi(I)}{|I|} \in Y$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$, we obtain that $f(t) \in Y$ at almost all $t \in W$. Thus, f is λ -essentially separably valued, and since $\langle x^*, f \rangle$ is measurable for all $x^* \in X^*$, by Pettis’s measurability theorem (cf. [11, Theorem II.1.2, page 42]) it follows that f is measurable. Hence, we obtain by [19, Remark 4.1] that

$$|m_\varphi|(W) = \int_W \|f(t)\| d\lambda < +\infty.$$

Thus, the function $\|f(\cdot)\|$ is Lebesgue integrable. Therefore, by [11, Theorem II.2.2], the function f is Bochner integrable. Further, by [22, Proposition 2.3.1] and (2.9), we obtain

$$\varphi(I) = (B) \int_I f(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

By [22, Theorem 5.1.4], we infer that f is variationally McShane integrable with the primitive φ satisfying (2.7), and the proof is complete. ■

The next lemma characterizes Pettis integral of multifunctions.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ ($ck(X)$) be an additive interval multifunction and let $\Gamma : W \rightarrow bcc(X)$ be a multifunction. Assume that Φ is AC and for each $x^* \in X^*$ we have*

$$\sigma(x^*, \Phi(I)) = \int_I \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Then, Γ is Pettis integrable in $cwk(X)$ ($ck(X)$) with

$$\Phi(I) = (P) \int_I \Gamma(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Proof. Since Φ is AC, we obtain by embedding theorem (Theorem 1.1) that $\Phi^\infty = i \circ \Phi$ is also AC. Hence, by [15, Lemma 2.3], there exists a unique countably additive λ -continuous vector measure $H^\infty : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow i(\text{ck}(X))$ such that $\Phi^\infty(I) = H^\infty(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, the mapping $H : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{ck}(X)$ defined by

$$i(H(E)) = H^\infty(E) \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathcal{L}$$

is a λ -continuous strong multimeasure such that $H(I) = \Phi(I)$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Note that for each $x^* \in X^*$, we have

$$\sigma(x^*, H(I)) = \sigma(x^*, \Phi(I)) = \int_I \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t))d\lambda \quad \text{for all } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

It is easy to see that the family

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ B \in \mathcal{B} : (\forall x^* \in X^*) \left[\sigma(x^*, H(B)) = \int_B \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t))d\lambda \right] \right\}$$

is a σ -algebra, and since $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ by equality $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{I})$, it follows that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$, where $\sigma(\mathcal{I})$ is σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{I} . Thus, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\sigma(x^*, H(B)) = \int_B \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t))d\lambda \quad \text{for all } x^* \in X^*.$$

The last result together with the fact that H is λ -continuous yields that for each $E \in \mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\sigma(x^*, H(E)) = \int_E \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t))d\lambda \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*.$$

This means that Γ is Pettis integrable with $H(E) = (P) \int_E \Gamma(t)d\lambda$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$, and this completes the proof. ■

The following lemma shows a schematic display of the major implications involved in proving the first result Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{ck}(X)$ be an additive interval multifunction for which there is a set $Q \in \text{ck}(X)$ such that $\Phi(I) \subset |I|Q$ at all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Then,*

- (i) *for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\Phi$, we have $L_\varphi(t) \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in W^\circ$, where $L_\varphi(t)$ is defined by (1.1),*
- (ii) *for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\Phi$, a function $f_\varphi : W \rightarrow X$ such that $f_\varphi(t) = \theta$ for all $t \in \partial W$ and $f_\varphi(t) \in L_\varphi(t)$ for every $t \in W^\circ$ is variationally McShane integrable with the primitive φ ,*
- (iii) *the multifunction $\Gamma : W \rightarrow \text{ck}(X)$ defined by $\Gamma(t) = \{\theta\}$ for all $t \in \partial W$ and $\Gamma(t) = L_\Phi(t)$ for every $t \in W^\circ$ is Pettis integrable with $\Phi(I) = (P) \int_I \Gamma(t)d\lambda$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$, where $L_\Phi(t)$ is defined by (1.2).*

Proof. (i) Given $t \in W^o$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\Phi$, we have $\Delta\varphi(t, I) \in Q$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$. It follows that the net $(\Delta\varphi(t, I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$ has a limit point l_φ in the compact set Q . Then, $l_\varphi \in L_\varphi(t)$ and consequently $L_\varphi(t) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) By hypothesis, we have $f_\varphi(t) \in L_\varphi(t)$ for every $t \in W^o$. It is easy to see that Φ is sAC . Hence, φ is also sAC and, therefore, by Lemma 2.3, the function f_φ is variationally McShane integrable with the primitive φ .

(iii) Since for each $t \in W^o$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\Phi$, we have

$$\emptyset \neq L_\varphi(t) \subset L_\Phi(t) \subset Q,$$

it follows that Γ is well defined. Let us prove that Γ satisfies (iii). To this end, fix an arbitrary $x^* \in X^*$. Since the additive interval function

$$\psi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi(I) = \sigma(x^*, \Phi(I))$$

is sAC , we obtain by [15, Lemma 2.4] that there exists a Lebesgue integrable function $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\psi(I) = \sigma(x^*, \Phi(I)) = \int_I g(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (2.10)$$

and there exists $Z^{x^*} \subset W$ with $|Z^{x^*}| = 0$ such that $\psi'_c(t)$ exists and $\psi'_c(t) = g(t)$ at all $t \in W \setminus Z^{x^*}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain that the net $(\Delta\psi(t, I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$ converges to $g(t)$ for every $t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \sigma(x^*, \Delta\Phi(t, I)) = \lim_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \Delta\psi(t, I) = g(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}. \quad (2.11)$$

Then, given $t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $I_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ such that

$$I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t, I_\varepsilon) \Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, \Delta\Phi(t, I)) < g(t) + \varepsilon$$

and by Definition 1.9, it follows that

$$\sigma(x^*, A_\Phi(t, I_\varepsilon)) \leq g(t) + \varepsilon \Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, L_\Phi(t, I_\varepsilon)) \leq g(t) + \varepsilon \Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, L_\Phi(t)) \leq g(t) + \varepsilon.$$

This means that

$$\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) \leq g(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}. \quad (2.12)$$

Suppose that for some $t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) < r \quad \text{and} \quad r < g(t).$$

By virtue of (2.11) there exists $I_r \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ such that

$$I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t, I_r) \Rightarrow r < \sigma(x^*, \Delta\Phi(t, I)).$$

Hence,

$$I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t, I_r) \Rightarrow (\exists x_I \in \Delta\Phi(t, I)) [r < \langle x^*, x_I \rangle],$$

and if we write $\mathcal{I}_r(t) = \mathcal{I}^o(t, I_r)$, then

$$(\forall J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)) [r < \langle x^*, x_J \rangle]. \tag{2.13}$$

Since $x_J \in \Delta\Phi(t, J) \subset Q$ for all $J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)$, by [16, Theorem 2, page 136] follows that the net $(x_J)_{J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)}$ has a limit point $x_t \in Q$. Then,

$$x_t \in \overline{L_\Phi(t, J)} \quad \text{for every } J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t),$$

and since

$$L_\Phi(t) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \overline{L_\Phi(t, I)} = \bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)} \overline{L_\Phi(t, J)},$$

it follows that $x_t \in L_\Phi(t) = \Gamma(t)$. Hence, by (2.12), we obtain

$$\langle x^*, x_t \rangle \leq \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) < r,$$

and since $\langle x^*, x_t \rangle$ is a limit point of the net $(\langle x^*, x_J \rangle)_{J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)}$, it follows that there exists $J_r \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)$ such that

$$\langle x^*, x_{J_r} \rangle < r.$$

The last result together with (2.13) implies that

$$r < \langle x^*, x_{J_r} \rangle < r.$$

This contradiction shows that

$$\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) = g(t) \quad \text{for every } t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}.$$

Hence, the function $\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(\cdot))$ is Lebesgue integrable and, consequently, we obtain by (2.10) that

$$\sigma(x^*, \Phi(I)) = \int_I \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Since x^* was arbitrary, the last result holds for all $x^* \in X^*$, and since Φ is sAC we obtain that Φ is also AC . Therefore, we obtain by Lemma 2.4 that Γ is Pettis integrable with $\Phi(I) = (P) \int_I \Gamma(t) d\lambda$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$, and the proof is complete. ■

We are now ready to prove the first result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow ck(X)$ be an additive interval multifunction for which there is a set $Q \in ck(X)$ such that $\Phi(I) \subset |I|Q$ at all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Then, there exists a Pettis integrable multifunction $\Gamma : W \rightarrow ck(X)$ such that*

- (i) *for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\Phi$ there exists a variationally McShane integrable function $f \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma$ with the primitive φ ,*
- (ii) $\Phi(I) = (P) \int_I \Gamma(t) d\lambda$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. The multifunction Γ defined by (iii) in Lemma 2.5 is Pettis integrable with

$$\Phi(I) = (P) \int_I \Gamma(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for all } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\Phi$, then the function f_φ defined by (ii) in Lemma 2.5 is variationally McShane integrable with the primitive φ . Since

$$f_\varphi(t) \in L_\varphi(t) \subset L_\Phi(t) = \Gamma(t) \quad \text{for every } t \in W^o$$

and $f_\varphi(t) = \theta \in \{\theta\} = \Gamma(t)$ for all $t \in \partial W$, it follows that $f_\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma$, and this ends the proof. ■

The second result works for a dominated strong multimeasure $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow cwk(X)$. Since $ck(X) \subset cwk(X)$, it follows that Theorem 2.7 improves the Banach version of [4, Theorem 3.1] for strong multimeasures defined on \mathcal{L} . The technique of the proof of this theorem can be used to the more general cases.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $M : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow cwk(X)$ be a strong multimeasure for which there is a set $Q \in cwk(X)$ such that $M(A) \subset |A|Q$ for all $A \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, there exists a Pettis integrable multifunction $\Gamma : W \rightarrow bcc(X)$ such that*

- (i) *for each $m \in \mathcal{S}_M$ there exists a variationally McShane integrable function $f \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma$ with $m(I) = (M) \int_I f(t) d\lambda$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$,*
- (ii) *$M(E) = (P) \int_E \Gamma(t) d\lambda$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. Let (E_i) be a finite partition of W in \mathcal{L} . Since

$$\sum_i \|M(E_i)\| \leq \left(\sum_i |E_i| \right) \|Q\| = |W| \cdot \|Q\| < +\infty,$$

it follows that M is of bounded variation. It is easy to see that M is also λ -continuous. We now can define an additive interval multifunction as follows:

$$\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow cwk(X), \quad \Phi(I) = M(I).$$

- (a) We first claim that there exists $Z \subset W^o$ with $|Z| = 0$ such that

$$L_\Phi(t) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for every } t \in W^o \setminus Z,$$

where $L_\Phi(t)$ is defined by 1.2. To see this, we consider a countably additive selector m of M . Then, m is λ -continuous and

$$\frac{m(E)}{|E|} \in Q \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{L} \ (|E| \neq 0),$$

and since Q has the Radon–Nikodým property, it follows that there exists a Bochner integrable (= variationally McShane integrable) function $f : W \rightarrow X$ with

$$m(E) = (B) \int_E f(t) d\lambda = (M) \int_E f(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathcal{L}.$$

By [15, Theorem 2.8] the additive interval function $\varphi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow X$ defined by $\varphi(I) = m(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is *sAC*, $(\varphi)'_c(t)$ exists and $(\varphi)'_c(t) = f(t)$ at almost all $t \in W$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2 there exists $Z \subset W^o$ with $|Z| = 0$ such that the net $(\Delta\varphi(t, I))_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)}$ converges to $f(t)$ at all $t \in W^o \setminus Z$, and since

$$L_\varphi(t) = \{f(t)\} \subset L_\Phi(t),$$

it follows that $L_\Phi(t) \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in W^o \setminus Z$.

(b) We now claim that $L_\Phi(t)$ is a bounded subset of X for all $t \in W^o$. Indeed, by the inclusion

$$\overline{L_\Phi(t, I)} \subset Q \quad (t \in W^o, I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)),$$

we obtain

$$L_\Phi(t) \subset Q \quad \text{for all } t \in W^o,$$

and, consequently,

$$\|L_\Phi(t)\| \leq \|Q\| < +\infty \quad \text{for every } t \in W^o.$$

(c) Finally, we claim that the multifunction

$$\Gamma : W \rightarrow bcc(X), \quad \Gamma(t) = \begin{cases} L_\Phi(t), & t \in W^o \setminus Z, \\ \{\theta\}, & t \in Z \cup \partial W, \end{cases}$$

is the required multifunction. Observe that (i) has already been obtained in the proof of (a). It remains to prove (ii). To see this fix an arbitrary $x^* \in X^*$. Since the additive interval function

$$\psi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi(I) = \sigma(x^*, \Phi(I))$$

is *AC*, we obtain by [15, Lemma 2.4] that there exists a Lebesgue integrable function $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\psi(I) = \sigma(x^*, \Phi(I)) = \int_I g(t) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I} \tag{2.14}$$

and there exists $Z^{x^*} \subset W$ with $|Z^{x^*}| = 0$ such that $\psi'_c(t)$ exists and $\psi'_c(t) = g(t)$ for all $t \in W \setminus Z^{x^*}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\lim_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \sigma(x^*, \Delta\Phi(t, I)) = \lim_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \Delta\psi(t, I) = g(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in W^o \setminus Z^{x^*}. \tag{2.15}$$

The last result together with the definition of $\Gamma(t)$ yields

$$\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) = \sigma(x^*, L_\Phi(t)) \leq g(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in W^o \setminus (Z \cup Z^{x^*}). \tag{2.16}$$

Suppose that for some $t \in W^o \setminus (Z \cup Z^{x^*})$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) < r \quad \text{and} \quad r < g(t).$$

By virtue of (2.15) there exists $I_r \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)$ such that

$$I \subset \mathcal{I}^o(t, I_r) \Rightarrow r < \sigma(x^*, \Delta\Phi(t, I)).$$

Hence,

$$I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t, I_r) \Rightarrow (\exists x_I \in \Delta\Phi(t, I))[r < \langle x^*, x_I \rangle],$$

and if we write $\mathcal{I}_r(t) = \mathcal{I}^o(t, I_r)$, then

$$(\forall J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t))[r < \langle x^*, x_J \rangle]. \quad (2.17)$$

Since $x_J \in \Delta\Phi(t, J) \subset Q$ for all $J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)$, by [16, Theorem 2, page 136], it follows that the net $(x_J)_{J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)}$ has a weak limit point $x_t \in Q$. Hence,

$$x_t \in \overline{L_\Phi(t, J)}^{\sigma(X, X^*)} = \overline{L_\Phi(t, J)} \quad \text{for every } J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t),$$

and since

$$L_\Phi(t) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}^o(t)} \overline{L_\Phi(t, I)} = \bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)} \overline{L_\Phi(t, J)},$$

it follows that $x_t \in L_\Phi(t) = \Gamma(t)$. Hence, by (2.16), we obtain

$$\langle x^*, x_t \rangle \leq \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) < r.$$

The fact that $\langle x^*, x_t \rangle$ is a limit point of the net $(\langle x^*, x_J \rangle)_{J \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)}$ together with the last result yields that there exists $J_r \in \mathcal{I}_r(t)$ such that

$$\langle x^*, x_{J_r} \rangle < r.$$

The last result together with (2.17) implies that

$$r < \langle x^*, x_{J_r} \rangle < r.$$

This contradiction shows that

$$\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) = g(t) \quad \text{for every } t \in W^o \setminus (Z \cup Z^{x^*}).$$

Hence, the function $\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(\cdot))$ is Lebesgue integrable, and consequently, we obtain by (2.14) that

$$\sigma(x^*, \Phi(I)) = \int_I \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Since x^* was arbitrary, the last result holds for every $x^* \in X^*$.

Since the family

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ B \in \mathcal{B} : (\forall x^* \in X^*) \left[\sigma(x^*, M(B)) = \int_B \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) d\lambda \right] \right\}$$

is a σ -algebra and since $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ by equality $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{I})$ it follows that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$, where $\sigma(\mathcal{I})$ is σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{I} . Thus, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\sigma(x^*, M(B)) = \int_B \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) d\lambda \quad \text{for all } x^* \in X^*.$$

The last result together with the fact that M is λ -continuous yields that for each $E \in \mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\sigma(x^*, M(E)) = \int_E \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) d\lambda \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*.$$

This means that Γ is Pettis integrable with $M(E) = (P) \int_E \Gamma(t) d\lambda$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$, and this ends the proof. ■

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to the referee for useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Z. Artstein, [Set-valued measures](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 103–125
Zbl [0237.28008](#) MR [0293054](#)
- [2] R. D. Bourgin, [Geometric aspects of convex sets with the Radon–Nikodým property](#). Lecture Notes in Math. 993, Springer, Berlin, 1983 Zbl [0512.46017](#) MR [0704815](#)
- [3] B. Cascales, V. Kadets, and J. Rodríguez, [Measurable selectors and set-valued Pettis integral in non-separable Banach spaces](#). *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), no. 3, 673–699 Zbl [1160.28004](#) MR [2484932](#)
- [4] B. Cascales, V. Kadets, and J. Rodríguez, Radon–Nikodým theorems for multimeasures in non-separable spaces. *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* **9** (2013), no. 1, 7–24
- [5] B. Cascales and J. Rodríguez, [Birkhoff integral for multi-valued functions](#). *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **297** (2004), no. 2, 540–560 Zbl [1066.46037](#) MR [2088679](#)
- [6] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, [Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions](#). Lecture Notes in Mathematics 580, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1977 Zbl [0346.46038](#) MR [0467310](#)
- [7] A. Costé, La propriété de Radon–Nikodým en intégration multivoque. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **280** (1975), no. 22, A1515–A1518 Zbl [0313.28007](#) MR [0374371](#)
- [8] A. Costé and R. Pallu de la Barrière, Radon–Nikodým theorems for set-valued measures whose values are convex and closed. *Ann. Soc. Math. Polon.* **20** (1978), no. 2, 283–309
- [9] G. Debreu and D. Schmeidler, The Radon–Nikodým derivative of a correspondence. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. II: Probability theory*, pp. 41–56, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1972 Zbl [0263.28004](#) MR [0407230](#)
- [10] L. Di Piazza and G. Porcello, [Radon–Nikodým theorems for finitely additive multimeasures](#). *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **34** (2015), no. 4, 373–389 Zbl [1331.28026](#) MR [3420487](#)
- [11] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., [Vector measures](#). Mathematical Surveys 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977 Zbl [0369.46039](#) MR [0453964](#)
- [12] K. El Amri and C. Hess, [On the Pettis integral of closed valued multifunctions](#). *Set-Valued Anal.* **8** (2000), no. 4, 329–360 Zbl [0974.28009](#) MR [1802239](#)

- [13] C. Hess, [Set-valued integration and set-valued probability theory: an overview](#). In *Handbook of measure theory, Vol. I, II*, pp. 617–673, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002
Zbl [1022.60011](#) MR [1954624](#)
- [14] F. Hiai, [Radon–Nikodým theorems for set-valued measures](#). *J. Multivariate Anal.* **8** (1978), no. 1, 96–118 Zbl [0384.28006](#) MR [0583862](#)
- [15] S. B. Kaliaj, [Some remarks on descriptive characterizations of the strong McShane integral](#). *Math. Bohem.* **144** (2019), no. 4, 339–355 Zbl [1474.28018](#) MR [4047341](#)
- [16] J. L. Kelley, *General topology*. D. Van Nostrand, Toronto-New York-London, 1955
Zbl [0066.16604](#) MR [0070144](#)
- [17] K. Musiał, Topics in the theory of Pettis integration. *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* **23** (1991), no. 1, 177–262 Zbl [0798.46042](#) MR [1248654](#)
- [18] K. Musiał, Pettis integrability of multifunctions with values in arbitrary Banach spaces. *J. Convex Anal.* **18** (2011), no. 3, 769–810 Zbl [1245.28011](#) MR [2858094](#)
- [19] K. Musiał, Approximation of Pettis integrable multifunctions with values in arbitrary Banach spaces. *J. Convex Anal.* **20** (2013), no. 3, 833–870 Zbl [1284.28006](#) MR [3136603](#)
- [20] A. P. Robertson and W. J. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 53, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1964
Zbl [0123.30202](#) MR [0162118](#)
- [21] H. H. Schaefer and M. P. Wolff, *Topological vector spaces*. 2nd edn., Grad. Texts in Math. 3, Springer, New York, 1999 Zbl [0983.46002](#) MR [1741419](#)
- [22] S. Schwabik and G. Ye, *Topics in Banach space integration*. Ser. Real Anal. 10, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2005 Zbl [1088.28008](#) MR [2167754](#)
- [23] M. Talagrand, [Pettis integral and measure theory](#). *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1984), no. 307, ix+224 Zbl [0582.46049](#) MR [0756174](#)

Received 22 June 2023; revised 24 January 2024.

Sokol Bush Kaliaj

Mathematics Department, Science Natural Faculty, University of Elbasan, Elbasan, Albania;
kolakaliaj2021@gmail.com