

# Almost classical solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

Robert Deville and Jesús A. Jaramillo

## Abstract

We study the existence of everywhere differentiable functions which are almost everywhere solutions of quite general Hamilton-Jacobi equations on open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or on  $d$ -dimensional manifolds whenever  $d \geq 2$ . In particular, when  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold, we prove the existence of a differentiable function  $u$  on  $M$  which satisfies the Eikonal equation  $\|\nabla u(x)\|_x = 1$  almost everywhere on  $M$ .

## 1. Introduction

It has been proved by Z. Buczolic [4] that if  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , differentiable at every point, such that  $\nabla u(0) = 0$  and  $\|\nabla u(x)\| \geq 1$  almost everywhere, thus giving a negative answer to the gradient problem of C. E. Weil [10]. Malý and Zelený [8] gave an elegant proof of this result using a new mathematical game. Then Deville and Matheron [6], refining the methods introduced by the above authors, proved that if  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 2$ , there exists a function  $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , differentiable at every point of  $\Omega$ , such that  $u(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , and such that  $\|\nabla u(x)\| = 1$  almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ . Notice that because of Rolle's theorem, there exists  $x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $\nabla u(x_0) = 0$ , so the function  $u$  cannot be  $C^1$ -smooth. We shall call  $u$  an almost-classical solution of the Eikonal equation  $\|\nabla u\| = 1$ . This equation has also a unique viscosity solution, which is the function  $x \mapsto \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ , where  $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) = \inf\{\|x - y\|; y \in \partial\Omega\}$ . The viscosity solution is not everywhere differentiable on  $\Omega$ . Therefore, an almost classical solution of the Eikonal equation is not equal to the viscosity solution of the Eikonal equation. Nevertheless in optimal control, where

---

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26B05, 35B65, 58J32.

*Keywords:* Hamilton-Jacobi equations; eikonal equation on manifolds; almost everywhere solutions.

this equation arises naturally, the viscosity solution is the “right” solution of the Eikonal equation. We refer to [2] and [5] for an account on viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In Section 2, we recall some technical results from [6] which will be needed in this paper. In Sections 3 and 4, we study the existence of almost-classical solutions for more general Hamilton-Jacobi equations on open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Finally, Section 5 is devoted to Hamilton-Jacobi equations on manifolds, and in particular we will consider the Eikonal equation  $\|\nabla u(x)\|_x = 1$  on a Riemannian manifold. See e.g. [1], [7] and [9] for further information about Hamilton-Jacobi equations on Riemannian manifolds.

Now we introduce some terminology. Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $u_0 : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. As usual, we say that a continuous function  $u : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *classical solution* of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  with Dirichlet condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_0$  if for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $u(x) = u_0(x)$ , and for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ .

We say that  $u$  is a *classical subsolution* of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  if for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) \leq 0$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a continuous function  $u : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an *almost classical solution* of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  with Dirichlet condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_0$  if:

- $u(x) = u_0(x)$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,
- $u$  is a classical subsolution of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ ,
- and  $u$  satisfies  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  (in the sense of Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ).

Notice that a classical solution is an almost classical solution, and that if  $u$  is an almost classical solution, then  $u$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$  and differentiable at every point of  $\Omega$ . In many natural examples, classical solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  exist only under very restrictive conditions on  $F$ . We prove the existence of almost classical solutions under quite general hypotheses on  $F$ . Observe that our results imply the existence of an almost classical solution  $u$  of the Eikonal equation satisfying the boundary condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . In particular, we have:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a continuous function  $u_0 : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -smooth on  $\Omega$  and such that  $F(x, \nabla u_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .*

(B) For each compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists  $M_K > 0$  such that

$$\inf \{F(x, p) : x \in K, p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|p\| \geq M_K\} > 0.$$

Then there exists an almost classical solution of  $F(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ , with Dirichlet condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_0$ .

The above result will actually follow from the more general Theorem 3.1 that will also provide other existence results of almost classical solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be given in Section 4.

In the last section, we consider Hamilton-Jacobi equations defined on a smooth manifold  $M$  of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , which always will be assumed to be Hausdorff and second countable. As usual,  $TM$  denotes the tangent bundle of  $M$ . A point in  $TM$  will be  $(x, v)$ , where  $x \in M$  and  $v$  belongs to the tangent space  $T_x M$ . In the same way,  $T^*M$  denotes the cotangent bundle of  $M$ . A point in  $T^*M$  will be  $(x, \xi)$ , where  $x \in M$  and  $\xi \in T_x^*M$  is a linear form on the tangent space  $T_x M$ . If  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x \in M$  we denote its differential at  $x$  by  $du(x)$ . Under suitable hypotheses on  $F : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain the existence of almost classical solutions of an equation of the form  $F(x, du(x)) = 0$ . In particular, we obtain:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $F : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -smooth function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a  $C^1$  function  $u_0 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(x, du_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ .*
- (B) *For each  $x \in M$ , the set  $B(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^*M : F(x, \xi) \leq 0\}$  is compact, the set  $S(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^*M : F(x, \xi) = 0\}$  is connected, and the function  $F(x, \cdot)$  has maximal rank on the set  $S(x)$ .*

*Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(x, du(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in M$ .*

If now we have a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  and  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable, for every  $x \in M$  we identify in the usual way the differential  $du(x)$  with the gradient  $\nabla u(x)$  by means of the scalar product  $g_x(\cdot, \cdot)$  on the tangent space  $T_x M$ . In this case we obtain the following analogue of Theorem 1.2:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function  $u_0 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $F(x, \nabla u_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ .*
- (B) *There exists a locally bounded function  $\rho : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that, for every  $x \in M$ , the set  $B(x) = \{v \in T_x M : F(x, v) \leq 0\}$  is contained in the ball of center 0 and radius  $\rho(x)$  in  $T_x M$ .*

*Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in M$ .*

Thus if for a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  we consider the function  $F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F(x, v) = \|v\|_x - 1 = (g_x(v, v))^{1/2} - 1,$$

it is clear that the constant functions  $u_0 \equiv 0$  and  $\rho \equiv 1$  satisfy the above requirements. Therefore we obtain that there exists a differentiable function  $u$  on  $M$  which satisfies the Eikonal equation  $\|\nabla u(x)\|_x = 1$  almost everywhere on  $M$ . Whenever the manifold  $M$  is compact, there exists a point  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $\nabla u(x_0) = 0$ . Therefore, there is no classical solution of this equation, and an almost classical solution  $u$  of this equation cannot be  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -smooth. So almost classical solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations are often exotic.

## 2. Preliminary results

We recall three lemmas from [6] that we shall use here. The first lemma is a criterium of differentiability for the sum of a series of  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -smooth functions. We shall use the following notation: if  $X$  and  $Z$  are Banach spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Z$ , then the oscillation of  $f$  with respect to  $\delta > 0$  is defined by

$$osc(f, \delta) = \sup \{ \|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| : x_1, x_2 \in X, \|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta \}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{C}^1$  functions between two Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ . Assume that:*

- (a) *the series  $(\sum \nabla u_n(x))$  is pointwise convergent;*
- (b) *the sequence  $(\nabla u_n)$  converges uniformly to 0;*
- (c)  $\|u_{n+1}\|_\infty = o(\|u_n\|_\infty)$ ;
- (d)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} osc \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \nabla u_k, \|u_{n+1}\|_\infty \right) = 0$ .

*Then the series  $(\sum u_n)$  is uniformly convergent, the function  $u := \sum_{n=1}^\infty u_n$  is everywhere differentiable, and  $\nabla u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \nabla u_n(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

We say that a subset  $Q$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a cube if  $Q = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i[$ , where each  $[a_i, b_i]$  is a closed and bounded interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . And we say that  $Q$  is a closed cube if  $Q = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i]$ . A function  $v$  defined on a cube  $Q$  is said to be *piecewise constant* if there is a finite partition  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $Q$  into cubes such that  $v$  is constant on every cube of the partition  $\mathcal{Q}$ . The following result gives the existence of a  $C^\infty$ -smooth function  $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which vanishes in a neighbourhood of the exterior of a cube  $Q$  and such that its derivative is equal to  $a$  or  $-a$  (where  $a$  is a given non zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) on a subset of  $Q$  of measure almost equal to the measure of  $Q$ . The Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  will be denoted  $\lambda_d$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a non zero vector, let  $Q$  be a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists a bounded,  $C^\infty$ -smooth function  $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (a)  $u$  vanishes in a neighbourhood of  $\partial Q$  and  $\|u\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ ;
- (b)  $\lambda_d(\{x \in Q : \nabla u(x) = -a \text{ or } \nabla u(x) = a\}) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda_d(Q)$ ;
- (c) one can write  $\nabla u = v + w$  with  $\|w\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ ; the set  $\{v(x) : x \in Q\}$  is included in the segment  $[-a, a]$ , and the function  $v$  is piecewise constant on  $Q$ .

The last lemma relies on ideas due to J. Maly and M. Zeleny [8], and is also from [6]. The mapping  $\mathbf{t}$  is defined using that a suitable game has a winning strategy.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $B$  be a closed ball of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, there exists a map  $\mathbf{t} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that if a sequence  $(\sigma_n) \in B$  satisfies  $\langle \mathbf{t}(\sigma_n), \sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , then  $(\sigma_n)$  converges.*

### 3. Almost classical solutions on open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$

For a wide class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, we give an existence theorem of almost classical solutions defined on the closure of on an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and satisfying an homogeneous Dirichlet condition.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 2$ , and  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A)  $F(0, x, 0) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ ; that is, the function  $u_0$  identically equal to 0 is a classical subsolution of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ .
- (B) For each compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exist  $\alpha_K > 0$  and  $M_K > 0$  such that for all  $x \in K$ , for all  $u \in [0, \alpha_K]$  and for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\|p\| \geq M_K$ , we have  $F(u, x, p) > 0$ .

Then there exists a function  $u \geq 0$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  which is an almost classical solution of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ , with Dirichlet condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . Moreover, the extension  $\tilde{u}$  of  $u$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\tilde{u}(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin \bar{\Omega}$  is differentiable at every point of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be postponed until Section 4. Along this section, we will obtain several consequences of this result.

**Remark 3.2.** It will be useful to note that condition (B) in Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to condition (B') and also to condition (B'') below:

(B') For each compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists  $\alpha_K > 0$  such that the set

$$B(K; \alpha_K) = \{(u, x, p) \in [0, \alpha_K] \times K \times \mathbb{R}^d : F(x, u, p) \leq 0\}$$

is compact in  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

(B'') For each  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , there exist a compact neighborhood  $V^{x_0}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , such that the set

$$B(V^{x_0}; \alpha) = \{(u, x, p) \in [0, \alpha] \times V^{x_0} \times \mathbb{R}^d : F(u, x, p) \leq 0\}$$

is compact in  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

We now consider the case of general Dirichlet conditions.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 2$ , and  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (A) There exists a continuous function  $u_0 : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is  $C^1$ -smooth on  $\Omega$  and such that  $F(u_0(x), x, \nabla u_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .
- (B) For each compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exist  $M_K > 0$  and  $\alpha_K > 0$  such that for all  $x \in K$ , for all  $u \in [0, \alpha_K]$  and for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\|p\| \geq M_K$ , we have  $F(u_0(x) + u, x, p) > 0$ .

Then there exists an almost classical solution  $u$  of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ , with Dirichlet condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_0$ . Moreover, if  $u_0$  is  $C^1$ -smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , the function  $u$  can be extended to a differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Proof .** Define  $G(u, x, p) = F(u + u_0(x), x, p + \nabla u_0(x))$ . Conditions (A) and (B) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied for  $G$ . Thus, there exists an almost classical solution  $v$  of  $G(v(x), x, \nabla v(x)) = 0$ , with Dirichlet condition  $v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ , and furthermore  $v$  can be extended to a differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The function  $u : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $u(x) = u_0(x) + v(x)$  is then an almost classical solution of  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ , with Dirichlet condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_0$ . ■

Notice that Theorem 1.2 is a straightforward consequence of Corollary 3.3. Another easy consequence of Corollary 3.3 is the following existence result of almost classical solutions for stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equations:

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a continuous function  $u_0 : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -smooth on  $\Omega$  and such that  $u_0(x) + F(x, \nabla u_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .*
- (B) *For each compact  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists  $M_K > 0$  such that*

$$\inf \{u_0(x) + F(x, p); x \in K, p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|p\| \geq M_K\} > 0$$

*Then there exists an almost classical solution of  $u(x) + F(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$ , with Dirichlet condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_0$ .*

Next we give a further application of Theorem 3.1. We shall need the following notions. If  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we denote its complement by  $A^c = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A$ . Let us recall the definition of the Hausdorff distance between closed sets of a metric space. If  $X$  is a metric space, for each  $A \subset X$  and  $r > 0$  we denote  $B(A, r) = \{x \in X : \text{dist}(x, A) < r\}$ . We denote  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  the set of all closed bounded subsets of  $X$ . If  $C$  and  $D$  are in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ , the Hausdorff distance between them is

$$d_H(C, D) = \inf \{r \in (0, \infty] : C \subset B(D, r) \text{ and } D \subset B(C, r)\}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 2$ . For each  $x \in \Omega$  let  $U(x)$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  containing 0. Assume that the set-valued mapping  $x \mapsto \partial U(x)$  from  $\Omega$  into  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d), d_H)$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:*

1.  $u|_{\Omega^c} \equiv 0$  and  $\nabla u|_{\Omega^c} \equiv 0$ .
2.  $\nabla u(x) \in \overline{U(x)}$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
3.  $\nabla u(x) \in \partial U(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Proof.** Consider the function  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $F(u, x, p) = -\text{dist}(p, \partial U(x))$  if  $x \in U(x)$ , and  $F(u, x, p) = \text{dist}(p, \partial U(x))$  otherwise. Since the mapping  $x \mapsto \partial U(x)$  from  $\Omega$  into  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d), d_H)$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ , it is easy to see that  $F$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . The function identically

equal to 0 is a classical subsolution of  $F(u, x, p) = 0$ . On the other hand, for each compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$\bigcup_{x \in K} \partial U(x) \subset B(0, R),$$

and therefore

$$\bigcup_{x \in K} \overline{U(x)} \subset B(0, R).$$

Hence for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\|p\| \geq 2R$  and for all  $x \in K$ , we have  $F(u, x, p) > 0$ . The two hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 are then satisfied. The almost classical solution of  $F(u, x, p) = 0$  given by Theorem 3.1 satisfies the required properties. ■

### 4. Proof of Theorem 3.1.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we first consider the case of a cube, on which almost classical solutions will be obtained as the sum of a series of  $C^\infty$ -smooth functions, and the general case will then follow easily.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, and let  $C$  be a cube such that  $\overline{C}$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a differentiable function  $u_C : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:*

1.  $u_C \geq 0$  and  $u_C(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  which is not in the interior of  $C$ .
2.  $F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in C$ .
3.  $F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in C$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We first fix an increasing sequence  $(K_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of compact subsets of  $\Omega$  such that the union of all  $K_n$ 's is equal to  $\Omega$ . We also assume that each  $K_n$  is the closure of a finite union of cubes. By assumption (B), for each  $n \geq 1$  there exist  $M_n > 0$  and  $\alpha_n > 0$  such that, for all  $x \in K_n$ , for all  $u \in [0, \alpha_n]$  and for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\|p\| \geq M_n$ , we have  $F(u, x, p) > 0$ . We consider a decomposition

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j,$$

where  $(C_j)_{j \geq 1}$  is a locally finite family of cubes such that:

- (a)  $C_j \cap C_k = \emptyset$  if  $j \neq k$ .
- (b) for each  $j$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $C_j \subset \overline{K_n} \setminus K_{n-1}$ .



Refining if necessary this decomposition, we can also assume:

(c)  $\text{diam}(C_j) \leq \frac{1}{2^n M_n} d_H(K_n, \partial\Omega)$  whenever  $C_j \subset \overline{K_n \setminus K_{n-1}}$ .

By Lemma 4.1, for each  $j \geq 1$  there exists a differentiable function  $u_j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

1.  $u_j \geq 0$  and  $u_j(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  which is not in the interior of  $C_j$ .
2.  $F(u_j(x), x, \nabla u_j(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in C_j$ .
3.  $F(u_j(x), x, \nabla u_j(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in C_j$ .

Then we define  $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by setting

$$u = \sup_{j \geq 1} u_j.$$

By property (1) above,  $u = u_j$  on each  $C_j$ . Then it is easy to see that  $u$  is differentiable on  $\Omega$ , identically equal to 0 on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$ , satisfies  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $F(u(x), x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ . It remains to check that  $u$  is differentiable at each point of  $\partial\Omega$ . Fix  $n \geq 1$ . We know that  $u$  vanishes on the boundary of each cube  $C_j$ , so, by the mean value theorem,

$$\sup \{u(x) : x \in C_j\} \leq \sup \{\nabla u(x) : x \in C_j\} \cdot \text{diam}(C_j).$$

If  $C_j \subset \overline{K_n \setminus K_{n-1}}$ , then  $\sup \{\nabla u(x) : x \in C_j\} \leq M_n$ . In that case, using (c), we obtain:

$$\sup \{u(x) : x \in C_j\} \leq \frac{1}{2^n} d_H(K_n, \partial\Omega).$$

So whenever  $x \in K_n \setminus K_{n-1}$ , we have that  $0 \leq u(x) \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)/2^n$ . This implies that for each point  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $u$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $\nabla u(x) = 0$ . ■

**Proof of Lemma 4.1.** Observe that if  $F(0, x, 0) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in C$ , we can take  $u_C = 0$  and the above assertions are satisfied. From now on, we assume that

$$\lambda_d(\{x \in C : F(0, x, 0) < 0\}) > 0$$

By assumption (B), there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$r := \sup \{\|p\| : F(u, x, p) \leq 0 \text{ for some } x \in \overline{C} \text{ and } u \in [0, \alpha]\}$$

is finite. We fix a map  $\mathbf{t} : B(0, 1 + r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.3.

The function  $u_C$  will be given by a series

$$u_C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n,$$

where each  $u_n$  is a  $C^\infty$ -smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For each  $n$ , we will write  $\nabla u_n = v_n + w_n$ , and we will denote

$$U_n = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k.$$

**Construction of the functions  $u_n$ :** The functions  $u_n$  will be constructed together with a sequence  $(\mathcal{Q}_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of partitions of  $C$  into cubes, where each  $\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ . We also fix a sequence  $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \geq 1}$  of positive numbers, with  $(\varepsilon_k) \downarrow 0$  and such that  $\inf\{F(0, x, 0) : x \in C\} < -\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_1 < 1$ , and we construct an increasing sequence of integers  $(N_k)_{k \geq 0}$  with  $N_0 = 0$ . The following conditions will be proved by induction:

- (0) There exists  $x_0 \in C$  such that, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_n(x_0) = 0$  and  $\nabla u_n(x_0) = 0$ .
- (i) For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  have their support included in the interior of  $C$ ,  $v_n$  is constant on each cube of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ , and  $\|w_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$ .
- (ii) For each  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in C$ ,  $F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) \leq 0$ .
- (iii) For each  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in C$ , we have

$$\|\sigma_n(x)\| \leq 1 + r \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{t}(\sigma_n(x)), \sigma_{n+1}(x) - \sigma_n(x) \rangle = 0,$$

- (iv)  $\|u_1\|_\infty \leq \alpha/2$ , and, for each  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$0 < \|u_{n+1}\|_\infty \leq 2^{-n} \|u_n\|_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{osc}(\nabla U_n, \|u_{n+1}\|_\infty) \leq 1/2^n$$

- (v) For each  $k \geq 1$  and each  $N_{k-1} < n \leq N_k$ , we have  $\|v_n\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon_k$ .
- (vi) For each  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\lambda_d \{x \in C : F(U_{N_k}(x), x, \nabla U_{N_k}(x)) \leq -\varepsilon_k\} \leq 2^{-k} \lambda_d(C).$$

**Construction of  $u_1$ :** Fix a cube  $Q_0 \subset C$  with

$$d_H(Q_0, \partial C) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup\{F(0, x, 0) : x \in Q_0\} \leq -\varepsilon_1$$

This implies, using the uniform continuity of  $F$  on compact sets, that there exists  $0 < \delta_1 \leq \varepsilon_1$  such that, whenever  $x \in Q_0$ ,  $0 \leq h \leq \delta_1$  and  $\|q\| \leq 2\delta_1$ , then:

$$(4.1) \quad F(h, x, q) \leq 0.$$

Choose  $a = a(Q_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\|a(Q_0)\| = \delta_1$  and  $\langle \mathbf{t}(0), a \rangle = 0$  (this is possible since  $d \geq 2$ ). Now applying Lemma 2.2 to the cube  $Q_0$ , we obtain a  $C^\infty$  function  $u_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and a cube partition  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  of  $C$  such that  $Q_0$  is a union of some elements of  $\mathcal{Q}_1$ , such that:

- $u_1$  vanishes on a neighborhood of  $\partial Q_0$  and outside of  $Q_0$ .
- $0 < \|u_1\|_\infty \leq \min\{\delta_1, a/2\}$ .
- $\nabla u_1 = v_1 + w_1$ , where  $\|w_1\|_\infty \leq \min\{\delta_1, 1/2\}$ ,  $v_1$  is constant on each cube of  $\mathcal{Q}_1$ , and  $v_1(Q_0) \subset [-a(Q_0), a(Q_0)]$ .

Fix  $x_0 \in \partial Q_0$ : we have  $u_1(x_0) = 0$  and  $\nabla u_1(x_0) = 0$ , so condition (0) is satisfied. Conditions (i),(iii) and (v) are clearly satisfied, and (ii) follows from (4.1). So we can start the induction.

*Inductive step:* Fix  $k \geq 1$ , assume that  $N_{k-1}$  has been defined, and for some  $n \geq N_{k-1}$  the partition  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and the function  $u_n$  have been constructed.

First, there exists  $0 < \delta_k \leq \varepsilon_k$  such that whenever  $x \in \overline{C}$ ,  $u \in [0, \alpha]$ ,  $p \in B(0, 1 + r)$ ,  $0 \leq h \leq \delta_k$  and  $\|q\| \leq 2\delta_k$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad F(u, x, p) \leq -\varepsilon_k/2 \implies F(u + h, x, p + q) \leq 0$$

Next, choose a cube partition  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$  of  $C$  refining  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  such that:

- If we denote  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  the family of all cubes  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$  such that  $d_H(Q, \partial C) > 0$ , and  $K_n = \cup\{Q : Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n\}$ , we have that

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_d(C \setminus K_n) < 2^{-(k+1)} \lambda_d(C).$$

- For all  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  and every  $x, y \in Q$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad |F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) - F(U_n(y), y, \nabla U_n(y))| < \varepsilon_k/2.$$

The second condition above can be obtained using the uniform continuity of the mapping  $x \mapsto F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x))$  on the compact set  $\overline{C}$ .

Now, each cube  $Q$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$  is contained in a cube  $Q'$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and by (i),  $\sigma_n$  is constant on  $Q'$ . We denote by  $\sigma_n(Q)$  the constant value of  $\sigma_n|_{Q'}$ . Choose  $a = a(Q) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\|a(Q)\| = \delta_k$  and  $\langle \mathbf{t}(\sigma_n(Q)), a \rangle = 0$ . Now applying Lemma 2.2, for each cube  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  we obtain a  $C^\infty$  function  $u_Q$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and a cube partition  $\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}$  of  $Q_0$  which is a refinement of  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$  (and therefore of  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ ), such that:

- (a)  $u_Q$  vanishes on a neighborhood of  $\partial Q$ .
- (b)  $0 < \|u_Q\|_\infty \leq \min\{2^{-n}\|u_n\|_\infty, \delta_k\}$  and  $osc(\nabla U_n, \|u_Q\|_\infty) < 1/2^n$ .

- (c)  $\lambda_d\{x \in Q : \nabla u_Q(x) = \pm a(Q)\} \geq (1 - 2^{-k})\lambda_d(Q)$ .
- (d)  $\nabla u_Q = v_Q + w_Q$ , where  $\|w_Q\|_\infty \leq \min\{\delta_k, 1/2^{n+2}\}$ ,  $v_Q$  is constant on each cube of  $\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}$ , and  $v_Q(Q) \subset [-a(Q), a(Q)]$ . In particular, we have  $\|v_Q\|_\infty \leq \|a(Q)\| = \delta_k \leq \varepsilon_k$  and  $\|\nabla u_Q\|_\infty \leq 2\delta_k$ .

Next we define the function  $u_{n+1}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We first choose for each  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  a point  $x_Q$  in the closure of  $Q$  such that

$$F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) = \inf \{F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) : x \in Q\}$$

We define  $u_{n+1}$  on each cube of  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  in the following way:

1. If  $F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) > -\varepsilon_k$ , we set  $u_{n+1} = 0$  and  $v_{n+1} = w_{n+1} = 0$  on  $Q$ .
2. If  $F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) \leq -\varepsilon_k$ , we set  $u_{n+1} = u_Q$  on  $Q$ . In this case,  $v_{n+1} = v_Q$ ,  $w_{n+1} = w_Q$ , and we have

$$\lambda_d\{x \in Q : \|\nabla u_{n+1}(x)\| = \delta_k\} \geq (1 - 2^{-k}) \cdot \lambda_d(Q).$$

3. Finally, on  $(K_n)^c$ , we set  $u_{n+1} = 0$ , and  $v_{n+1} = w_{n+1} = 0$ .

In this way we obtain that  $u_{n+1}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , which vanish on a neighborhood of  $\partial Q$  for every  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ .

Next we are going to check conditions (0) to (vi) for  $n + 1$ . Since  $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $x_0 \in \partial Q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ , there exists  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$  such that  $x_0 \in \partial Q$ , so  $u_{n+1}(x_0) = 0$  and  $\nabla u_{n+1}(x_0) = 0$ . This proves condition (0). Condition (i) is clearly satisfied. In order to prove condition (ii), fix  $x \in \Omega$ . By induction hypothesis,  $F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) \leq 0$ . Let us prove that  $F(U_{n+1}(x), x, \nabla U_{n+1}(x)) \leq 0$ :

- If  $x \in (K_n)^c$ , then  $u_{n+1} = 0$  on a neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $\nabla U_{n+1}(x) = \nabla U_n(x)$ , so  $F(U_{n+1}(x), x, \nabla U_{n+1}(x)) = F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) \leq 0$ .

- If  $x \in Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  with  $F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) > -\varepsilon_k$ , then  $u_{n+1} = 0$  on a neighborhood of  $Q$  and

$$F(U_{n+1}(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_{n+1}(x_Q)) = F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) \leq 0.$$

- Finally, if  $x \in Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  with  $F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) \leq -\varepsilon_k$ , by 4.4, we have for all  $x \in Q$ ,  $F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) \leq -\varepsilon_k/2$ . Since

$$|U_n(x)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k(x)| \leq \alpha,$$

from the definition of  $r$  and the fact that  $F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) \leq 0$ , it follows that  $\|\nabla U_n(x)\| \leq r$ . Since we have also  $\|u_{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \delta_k$  and  $\|\nabla u_{n+1}\|_\infty \leq 2\delta_k$ , we deduce from 4.2 that

$$F(U_{n+1}(x), x, \nabla U_{n+1}(x)) \leq 0$$

In order to prove (iii), fix  $x \in C$ . First,  $F(U_{n+1}(x), x, \nabla U_{n+1}(x)) \leq 0$ , so  $\|\nabla U_{n+1}(x)\| \leq r$ , and

$$\|\nabla U_{n+1}(x) - \sigma_{n+1}(x)\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \|w_k(x)\| \leq 1.$$

Therefore  $\|\sigma_n(x)\| \leq 1+r$ . Then, if  $v_{n+1}(x) = 0$ , we have  $\sigma_{n+1}(x) - \sigma_n(x) = 0$ , so  $\langle \mathbf{t}(\sigma_n(x)), \sigma_{n+1}(x) - \sigma_n(x) \rangle = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $v_{n+1}(x) \neq 0$ , then  $x \in Q$  for some  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ , and  $v_{n+1} = v_Q$ . In this case  $\sigma_n(x) = \sigma_n(Q)$ , and  $v_{n+1}(x) \in [-a(Q), a(Q)]$ . Thus  $v_{n+1}(x) = \sigma_{n+1}(x) - \sigma_n(x)$  is proportional to  $a(Q)$ , and therefore orthogonal to  $\mathbf{t}(\sigma_n(Q))$ , and the condition  $\langle \mathbf{t}(\sigma_n(x)), \sigma_{n+1}(x) - \sigma_n(x) \rangle = 0$  is again satisfied.

Now we are going to see that  $u_{n+1} \neq 0$ . Indeed, if  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$  is such that  $Q \subset Q_0$  and  $x_0 \in \partial Q$ , then  $d_H(Q, \partial C) \geq d_H(Q_0, \partial C) > 0$ , so  $Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ . Since  $U_n(x_0) = 0$  and  $\nabla U_n(x_0) = 0$ , we have

$$F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) \leq F(U_n(x_0), x_0, \nabla U_n(x_0)) \leq -\varepsilon_1 \leq -\varepsilon_k,$$

and therefore  $u_{n+1} = u_Q \neq 0$  on  $Q$ . Condition (iv) follows now from (b). Next, condition (v) also holds, although we still have to define the integer  $N_k$ .

Finally, let us prove that (vi) is satisfied. Suppose, to the contrary, that for every  $n > N_{k-1}$  we have:

$$\lambda_d \{x \in C : F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) \leq -\varepsilon_k\} > 2^{-k} \cdot \lambda_d(C).$$

By (4.3), we obtain that

$$\lambda_d \{x \in K_n : F(U_n(x), x, \nabla U_n(x)) \leq -\varepsilon_k\} > 2^{-(k+1)} \cdot \lambda_d(K_n).$$

Suppose now that

$$F(U_n(x_Q), x_Q, \nabla U_n(x_Q)) \leq -\varepsilon_k.$$

As we have noticed, in this case

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_d \{x \in Q : \|\nabla U_{n+1}(x) - \nabla U_n(x)\| \geq \delta_k\} &\geq \\ &\geq \lambda_d \{x \in Q : \|\nabla u_{n+1}(x)\| = \delta_k\} \geq (1 - 2^{-k}) \cdot \lambda_d(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Now the proportion of cubes  $Q$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n$  satisfying this has to be at least  $2^{-(k+1)}$ . Therefore

$$\lambda_d \{x \in K_n : \|\nabla U_{n+1}(x) - \nabla U_n(x)\| \geq \delta_k\} \geq (1 - 2^{-k})2^{-(k+1)} > 0.$$

This will be a contradiction with Lemma 2.3, since we are going to prove that the sequence  $(\nabla U_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is pointwise convergent. Indeed, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , it follows from (i) that the sequence  $(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k(x))_{n \geq 1}$  converges, and from (iii) and Lemma 2.3 that the sequence  $(\sigma_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$  converges. Since

$$\nabla U_n(x) = \sigma_n(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_k(x)$$

we have that the sequence  $(\nabla U_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent. This contradiction shows that there exists an integer  $N_k > N_{k+1}$  satisfying (vi). This concludes the inductive step.

**The function  $u_C$ :** We now define

$$u_C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n.$$

By (vi) the series is uniformly convergent on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , so that  $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function, and it is clear that  $u_C$  vanishes outside  $C$ . In order to see that  $u_C$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we check the conditions of Lemma 2.1. For each  $n \geq 1$  let  $k_n$  be an integer with  $N_{k_n-1} < n \leq N_{k_n}$ . From (i) and (v), we have that

- $\|\nabla u_n\|_{\infty} \leq \|v_n\|_{\infty} + \|w_n\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon_{k_n} + 2^{-n} \rightarrow 0,$

and from (iv), we obtain:

- $\|\nabla u_{n+1}\|_{\infty} = o(\|\nabla u_n\|_{\infty}),$
- $osc(\nabla U_n, \|\nabla u_n\|_{\infty}) \leq 2^{-n} \rightarrow 0.$

Moreover, applying as before Lemma 2.3, the sequence  $(\nabla U_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is pointwise convergent, that is,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nabla u(x)$$

is convergent for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain that  $u_C$  is everywhere differentiable and  $\nabla u_C$  is the pointwise limit of

$$\sum_{k=1}^n u_k.$$

Now (ii) implies that  $F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ . Finally, let us prove that

$$F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) = 0$$

almost everywhere on  $C$ . Consider  $x \in C$  such that  $F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) < 0$ . Taking into account that  $\nabla u_C(x) = \lim_k \nabla U_{N_k}(x)$ , we can find some integer  $k_0$  such that

$$F(U_{N_k}(x), x, \nabla U_{N_k}(x)) < -\varepsilon_{k_0} \leq -\varepsilon_k,$$

for every  $k \geq k_0$ . Therefore the set  $\{x \in C : F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) < 0\}$  is contained in the set

$$\limsup_k \{x \in C : F(U_{N_k}(x), x, \nabla U_{N_k}(x)) < -\varepsilon_k\}.$$

Since

$$\lambda_d\{x \in C : F(U_{N_k}(x), x, \nabla U_{N_k}(x)) < -\varepsilon_k\} \leq 2^{-k} \lambda_d(C) \rightarrow 0,$$

by Borel-Cantelli lemma  $\lambda_d(\{x \in C : F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) < 0\}) = 0$ . That is,  $F(u_C(x), x, \nabla u_C(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in C$ . ■

### 5. Almost classical solutions on Riemannian manifolds

In order to obtain our results for smooth manifolds, we will use the concept of triangulation, as it is given by Whitney in [11] (see also [3]). In what follows we assume that every smooth manifold is Hausdorff and second countable. If  $M$  is a smooth  $d$ -dimensional manifold, a *triangulation* of  $M$  is a pair  $(K, \pi)$ , where  $K$  is a simplicial complex and  $\pi : K \rightarrow M$  is a homeomorphism, such that for each  $d$ -dimensional simplex  $S$  of  $K$  there exists a local chart  $(W, \varphi)$  of  $M$ , where  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $\pi(S)$  and  $\varphi \circ \pi$  is affine on  $S$ . According to Whitney [11], every smooth manifold admits a triangulation.

Our first result is an extension of Theorem 3.1 to the setting of smooth manifolds. The Riemannian structure is not needed here. We consider an open subset  $\Omega$  of the manifold  $M$  and we denote  $T^*\Omega$  the corresponding co-tangent bundle. Then we consider equations of the form  $F(u(x), x, du(x)) = 0$ , where  $F : \mathbb{R} \times T^*\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. We obtain the following:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , consider an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $M$ , and let  $F : \mathbb{R} \times T^*\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function  $u_0 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(u_0(x), x, du_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .*
- (B) *For each  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , there exist a compact neighborhood  $V^{x_0}$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , such that the set  $B(V^{x_0}; \alpha)$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R} \times T^*M$ , where*

$$B(V^{x_0}; \alpha) = \{(u, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times T^*M : u \in [0, \alpha]; x \in V^{x_0}; F(u + u_0(x), x, \xi) \leq 0\}.$$

*Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:*

- 1.  $u \geq u_0$  on  $M$ ,  $u = u_0$  on  $\Omega^c$  and  $du = du_0$  on  $\Omega^c$ .
- 2.  $F(u(x), x, du(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ .
- 3.  $F(u(x), x, du(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Proof.** We will consider two cases.

**First Case:** Suppose first that  $u_0 \equiv 0$  on  $M$ . Let  $(K, \pi)$  be a triangulation of  $M$ , where  $K$  is a simplicial complex and  $\pi : K \rightarrow M$  is a homeomorphism, and consider the family  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  of all  $d$ -dimensional simplices of  $K$ . For each  $i \in I$ , denote  $T_i = \pi(S_i)$ . Then

$$M = \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i,$$

each  $\partial T_i$  has measure zero in  $M$ , and  $int(T_i) \cap int(T_j) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Since  $M$  is locally compact and  $\pi$  is a homeomorphism, we have that the simplicial complex  $K$  is locally compact, and therefore locally finite. Thus the family  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  is locally finite. Since  $M$  is also  $\sigma$ -compact, we obtain that the index set  $I$  is countable. For each  $i \in I$ , denote  $\Omega_i = \Omega \cap int(T_i)$ . Then the set  $\Omega \setminus (\cup_{i \in I} \Omega_i)$  has measure zero in  $M$ .

For each  $i \in I$  there is a chart  $(W_i, \varphi_i)$  in  $M$  with  $T_i \subset W_i$ . Associated to this chart there is a natural diffeomorphism

$$\Phi_i : \mathbb{R} \times T^*W_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \varphi_i(W_i) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

of the form  $\Phi_i(u, x, \xi) = (u, \varphi_i(x), h_i(x, \xi))$ , where  $h_i(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies that, for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\langle h_i(x, \xi), p \rangle = \xi \circ d\varphi_i(x)^{-1}(p).$$



If  $\varphi_i(\Omega_i) \neq \emptyset$ , consider the function  $G_i = F \circ \Phi_i^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \times \varphi_i(W_i) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In order to apply Theorem 3.1 to the function  $G_i$  note that the following conditions hold:

- (A)  $G_i(0, z, 0) = F(0, \varphi_i^{-1}(z), 0) \leq 0$ , for each  $z \in \varphi_i(\Omega_i)$ .
- (B) For each compact subset  $H$  of  $\varphi_i(\Omega_i)$ , there exists  $\alpha_H > 0$  such that the set

$$B(H; \alpha_H) = \{(u, z, p) \in [0, \alpha_H] \times H \times \mathbb{R}^d : G_i(u, z, p) \leq 0\}$$

is compact in  $\mathbb{R} \times \varphi_i(W_i) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Therefore, taking into account Remark 3.2, we obtain that there exists a differentiable function  $v_i : \varphi_i(W_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- 1.  $v_i |_{\varphi_i(\Omega_i)^c} \equiv 0$  and  $\nabla v_i |_{\varphi_i(\Omega_i)^c} \equiv 0$ ;
- 2.  $G_i(v_i(z), z, \nabla v_i(z)) \leq 0$  for every  $z \in \varphi_i(\Omega_i)$ .
- 3.  $G_i(v_i(z), z, \nabla v_i(z)) = 0$  for almost every  $z \in \varphi_i(\Omega_i)$ .

Then the function  $u_i = v_i \circ \varphi_i : W_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable on  $W_i$ , and for each  $x \in W_i$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_i(x), x, du_i(x)) &= F(u_i(x), x, dv_i(\varphi_i(x)) \circ d\varphi_i(x)) \\ &= F(\Phi_i^{-1}(v_i(\varphi_i(x)), \varphi_i(x), \nabla v_i(\varphi_i(x)))) \\ &= G_i(v_i(\varphi_i(x)), \varphi_i(x), \nabla v_i(\varphi_i(x))). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we obtain that

- 1.  $u_i |_{\Omega_i^c} \equiv 0$  and  $\nabla u_i |_{\Omega_i^c} \equiv 0$ .
- 2.  $F(u_i(x), x, du_i(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega_i$ .
- 3.  $F(u_i(x), x, du_i(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega_i$ .

On the other hand, if  $\varphi_i(\Omega_i) = \emptyset$ , we set  $u_i = 0$ . Now we define  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by setting  $u = u_i$  on each  $T_i$ . Then  $u$  is well-defined, since  $\partial T_i \subset \Omega_i^c$  for each  $i \in I$ . Taking into account that the family  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  is locally finite, we see that  $u$  is differentiable on  $M$ , and it satisfies the required conditions.

**General Case:** In general, consider the continuous function  $G : \mathbb{R} \times T^*\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by:

$$G(u, x, \eta) = F(u + u_0(x), x, \eta + du_0(x)).$$

We know that, for each  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , there exist a compact neighborhood  $V^{x_0}$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , such that

$$B_F(V^{x_0}; \alpha) = \{(u, x, \xi) \in [0, \alpha] \times T^*\Omega : x \in V^{x_0}; F(u + u_0(x), x, \xi) \leq 0\}$$

is compact in  $\mathbb{R} \times T^*\Omega$ . Since the mapping  $\tau : \mathbb{R} \times T^*\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^*\Omega$  given by

$$\tau(u, x, \xi) = (u, x, \xi - du_0(x))$$

is continuous, we have that the set

$$\begin{aligned} B_G(V^{x_0}; \alpha) &= \{(u, x, \eta) \in [0, \alpha] \times T^*\Omega : x \in V^{x_0}; G(u + u_0(x), x, \eta) \leq 0\} \\ &= \tau(B_F(V^{x_0}; \alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

is compact in  $\mathbb{R} \times T^*\Omega$ . Thus by the first case we obtain that there exists a differentiable function  $v : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

1.  $v|_{\Omega_0^c} \equiv 0$  and  $dv|_{\Omega_0^c} \equiv 0$ .
2.  $F(v(x), x, dv(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ .
3.  $F(v(x), x, dv(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

Now it is easy to see that the function  $u = u_0 + v$  satisfies the required properties. ■

Our next Corollary, which is analogous to Corollary 3.4, is an easy consequence of Theorem 5.1.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , consider an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $M$ , and let  $F : T^*\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function  $u_0 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u_0(x) + F(x, du_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .*
- (B) *For each  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , there exists a compact neighborhood  $V^{x_0}$  in  $\Omega$  such that the set  $B(V^{x_0}) = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*M : x \in V^{x_0}; u_0(x) + F(x, \xi) \leq 0\}$  is compact in  $T^*M$ .*

*Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:*

1.  $u \geq u_0$  on  $M$ ,  $u = u_0$  on  $\Omega^c$  and  $du = du_0$  on  $\Omega^c$ .
2.  $u(x) + F(x, du(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ .
3.  $u(x) + F(x, du(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

Also as a consequence of Theorem 5.1 we obtain the following result, which extends Theorem 1.3:

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , consider an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $M$ , and let  $F : T^*\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -smooth function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a  $C^1$ -smooth function  $u_0 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(x, du_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .*
- (B) *For each  $x \in \Omega$ , the set  $B(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^*M : F(x, \xi) \leq 0\}$  is compact, the set  $S(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^*M : F(x, \xi) = 0\}$  is connected, and the function  $F(x, \cdot)$  has maximal rank on the set  $S(x)$ .*

*Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:*

- 1.  $u \geq u_0$  on  $M$ ,  $u = u_0$  on  $\Omega^c$  and  $du = du_0$  on  $\Omega^c$ .
- 2.  $F(x, du(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ .
- 3.  $F(x, du(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Proof.** We are going to see that the conditions of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Fix  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , and consider a chart  $(W, \varphi)$  in  $M$  with  $x_0 \in W$ . Associated to this chart, consider as before the natural diffeomorphism

$$\Phi : T^*W \rightarrow \varphi(W) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

of the form  $\Phi(x, \xi) = (\varphi(x), h(x, \xi))$ , where  $h(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies that, for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\langle h(x, \xi), p \rangle = \xi \circ d\varphi(x)^{-1}(p).$$

Denote  $z_0 = \varphi(x_0)$ . We take into account that  $\Phi(S(x_0))$  is compact, and that  $F \circ \Phi^{-1}$  has maximal rank on  $\{z_0\} \times \Phi(S(x_0))$ , and we apply the Implicit Function Theorem. Then we can find a neighborhood  $U^{z_0}$  contained in  $\varphi(W)$  and a finite family  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  of open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with compact closure such that  $\Phi(S(x_0)) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  and, for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , the set of points  $(z, p) \in U^{z_0} \times V_j$  satisfying  $F \circ \Phi^{-1}(z, p) = 0$  coincides, up to a permutation in the coordinates of  $p$ , with the graph of a  $C^1$ -smooth mapping  $g_j : U^{z_0} \times W_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $W_j$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ .

We claim that there exists a compact neighborhood  $V^{x_0}$  such that, for every  $x \in V^{x_0}$ , we have that  $\Phi(S(x)) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ . Indeed, if this is not the case, there exist a sequence  $(z_n)_n \subset U^{z_0}$  converging to  $z_0$  and a sequence  $(p_n)_n \subset (V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m)^c$  such that  $F \circ \Phi^{-1}(z_n, p_n) = 0$  for every  $n$ . Since each

$S(x_n)$  is connected and  $\Phi(S(x_n)) \cap (V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $n$ , we can assume that, in fact,  $(p_n)_n \subset \partial(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m)$ , which is a compact set. Then, taking a subsequence, we can assume that  $(p_n)_n$  is convergent to some point  $p_0 \in \partial(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m)$ . Now  $F \circ \Phi^{-1}(z_0, p_0) = \lim_n F \circ \Phi^{-1}(z_n, p_n) = 0$ , that is,  $p_0 \in \Phi(S(x_0))$ , and this contradicts the fact that  $\Phi(S(x_0)) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ . Then there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\Phi(S(x)) \subset B(0, R)$  for every  $x \in V^{x_0}$ . Since  $\Phi(S(x))$  is the boundary of  $\Phi(B(x))$  we have that in fact  $\Phi(B(x)) \subset B(0, R)$  for every  $x \in V^{x_0}$ . Thus the set

$$B(V^{x_0}) = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*\Omega : x \in V^{x_0}; F(x, \xi) \leq 0\}$$

is compact in  $T^*\Omega$ , and the requirements of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. ■

In our next result we consider a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . As we mentioned before, if  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable, for every  $x \in M$  we identify in the usual way the differential  $du(x)$  with the gradient  $\nabla u(x)$  by means of the scalar product  $g_x(\cdot, \cdot)$  on the tangent space  $T_x M$ . In this case we obtain the following extension of Theorem 1.4:

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , consider an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $M$ , and let  $F : T\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A) *There exists a  $C^1$  function  $u_0 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $F(x, \nabla u_0(x)) \leq 0$ , for every  $x \in \Omega$ .*
- (B) *There exists a locally bounded function  $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that, for every  $x \in \Omega$ , the set  $B(x) = \{v \in T_x M : F(x, v) \leq 0\}$  is contained in the ball of center 0 and radius  $\rho(x)$  in  $T_x M$ .*

*Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:*

1.  $u \geq u_0$  on  $M$ ,  $u = u_0$  on  $\Omega^c$  and  $\nabla u = \nabla u_0$  on  $\Omega^c$ .
2.  $F(x, \nabla u(x)) \leq 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ .
3.  $F(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Proof.** We are going to see that the conditions of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. Fix  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and consider a chart  $(W, \varphi)$  in  $M$  with  $x_0 \in W$ . Associated to this chart, consider the natural diffeomorphism

$$\Phi : TW \rightarrow \varphi(W) \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

given by  $\Phi(x, v) = (\varphi(x), d\varphi(x)(v))$ . Choose a compact neighborhood  $V^{x_0}$  of  $x_0$  contained in  $W$  and  $R > 0$  such that for every  $x \in V^{x_0}$  the set

$B(x) = \{v \in T_x M : F(x, v) \leq 0\}$  is contained in the closed ball of center 0 and radius  $R$  in  $T_x M$ . Now set

$$r = \inf \{ \|v\|_x : x \in V^{x_0}; \|d\varphi(x)(v)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} = 1 \}.$$

By compactness, it is clear that  $r > 0$ . For every  $x \in V^{x_0}$  and every  $v \in T_x M$ , we have

$$\|d\varphi(x)(v)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \leq \frac{1}{r} \|v\|_x.$$

Therefore

$$B(V^{x_0}) = \{(x, v) \in TM : x \in V^{x_0}; F(x, v) \leq 0\}$$

$$\subset \{(x, v) \in TM : x \in V^{x_0}; \|v\|_x \leq R\} \subset \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi(V^{x_0}) \times \overline{B}\left(0; \frac{R}{r}\right)\right).$$

It follows that  $B(V^{x_0})$  is a compact subset of  $TM$ . ■

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $\geq 2$  and let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $M$ . Then there exists a differentiable function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u|_{\Omega^c} \equiv 0$  and  $\|\nabla u(x)\|_x = 1$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .*

**Acknowledgements.** This research was carried out during a visit of the second author at the University of Bordeaux 1, to which thanks are given. Especially, it is a pleasure to thank the Laboratoire de Mathématiques of this University for its hospitality.

## References

- [1] AZAGRA, D., FERRERA, J. AND LÓPEZ-MESAS, F.: Nonsmooth analysis and Hamilton-Jacobi equations on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.* **220** (2005), no. 2, 304–361.
- [2] BARLES, G.: *Solutions de viscosité des équations de Hamilton-Jacobi*. Mathématiques et Applications **17**. Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1994.
- [3] BENAMEUR, M. T.: Triangulations and the stability theorem for foliations. *Pacific J. Math.* **179** (1997), no. 2, 221–239.
- [4] BUCZOLICH, Z.: Solution to the gradient problem of C. E. Weil. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **21** (2005), no. 3, 889–910.
- [5] CRANDALL, M. G., ISHII, H. AND LIONS, P. L.: User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **27** (1992), no. 1, 1–67.
- [6] DEVILLE, R. AND MATHERON, É.: Infinite games, Banach space geometry and the eikonal equation. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **95** (2007), no. 1, 49–68.

- [7] FATHI, A. AND SICONOLFI, A.: Existence of  $C^1$  critical subsolutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 2, 363–388.
- [8] MALÝ, J. AND ZELENÝ, M.: A note on Buczolic's solution of the Weil gradient problem: a construction based on an infinite game. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **113** (2006), no. 1-2, 145–158.
- [9] MANTEGAZZA, C. AND MENNUCCI, A. C.: Hamilton-Jacobi Equations and Distance Functions on Riemannian Manifolds. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **47** (2003), no. 1, 1–25.
- [10] WEIL, C. E.: On properties of derivatives. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1965), 363–376.
- [11] WHITNEY, H.: *Geometric integration theory*. Princeton University Press. Princeton, N.J., 1957.

*Recibido:* 12 de julio de 2007

Robert Deville  
Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
Université de Bordeaux I  
France  
Robert.Deville@math.u-bordeaux1.fr

Jesús A. Jaramillo  
Departamento de Análisis Matemático  
Universidad Complutense de Madrid  
28040 Madrid, Spain  
jaramil@mat.ucm.es