On the spaces of $(d + d^c)$-harmonic forms and $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms on almost Hermitian manifolds and complex surfaces

Lorenzo Sillari and Adriano Tomassini

Abstract. In this paper, we study the spaces of $(d + d^c)$-harmonic forms and of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms, a natural generalization of the spaces of Bott–Chern harmonic forms (respectively, symplectic harmonic forms) from complex (respectively, symplectic) manifolds to almost Hermitian manifolds. We apply the same techniques to compact complex surfaces, computing their Bott–Chern and Aeppli numbers and their spaces of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms. We give several applications to compact quotients of Lie groups by a lattice.

1. Introduction

Let (M, J) be a compact complex manifold and set $d^c := J^{-1} dJ$. Fix a J-compatible Hermitian metric and consider the *Dolbeault Laplacian*

$$\Delta_{\bar\partial} := \bar\partial\bar\partial^* + \bar\partial^*\bar\partial,$$

the *Bott–Chern Laplacian*

$$\Delta_{d+d^c} := dd^c(dd^c)^* + (dd^c)^* dd^c + d^* d^c (d^* d^c)^* + (d^* d^c)^* d^* d^c + d^* d + (d^c)^* d^c,$$

and the *Aeppli Laplacian*

$$\Delta_{dd^c} := dd^c(dd^c)^* + (dd^c)^* dd^c + d(d^c)^*(d(d^c)^*)^* + (d(d^c)^*)^* d(d^c)^* + dd^* + d^c(d^c)^*$$

or, equivalently, the Laplacians $\Delta_{\partial+\bar\partial}$ and $\Delta_{\partial\bar\partial}$, obtained replacing d and d^c by ∂ and $\bar\partial$ (the use of our notation instead of the usual $\Delta_{\bar\partial}$, Δ_{BC} and Δ_{A} is to have a notation uniform with the one adopted for almost Hermitian manifolds). Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$, $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^{p,q}$

and $\mathcal{H}_{dd^c}^{p,q}$ their respective kernels computed on (p,q)-forms. By Hodge theory [25] and the compactness assumption, they are finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$, whose respective dimensions $h_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$, $h_{d+d^c}^{p,q}$ and $h_{dd^c}^{p,q}$, which in principle depend on the choice of metric, are actually invariants of the complex structure thanks to isomorphisms with Dolbeault, Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomologies

$$H_{\bar\partial}^{p,q} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}, \quad H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q} \cong \mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathrm{A}}^{p,q} \cong \mathcal{H}_{dd^c}^{p,q}.$$

A similar theory has been developed by Tseng and Yau [31,32] for symplectic manifolds. If (M,ω) is a compact symplectic manifold, let d^Λ be the symplectic adjoint of d. Then, fixed a compatible metric, consider the symplectic Laplacians Δ_{d+d^Λ} and Δ_{dd^Λ}. Their kernels $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ and $\mathcal{H}_{dd^\Lambda}^k$, computed on complex k-forms, are finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimensions $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ and $h_{dd^\Lambda}^k$ (cf. Remark 3.4). The importance of the numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$, respectively $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$, in the complex, respectively symplectic, case is paramount and well-established. For instance, they satisfy Frölicher-type inequalities and characterize the dd^c-lemma, respectively the dd^Λ-lemma [2,3].

Let now (M,J,ω,g) be a compact almost Hermitian manifold, that is, a manifold endowed with an *almost* complex structure J, a J-compatible metric g, and a non-degenerate 2-form ω (not necessarily d-closed) such that $\omega(J\cdot,\cdot)=g(\cdot,\cdot)$. We refer to ω as an *almost symplectic structure*.

Let $P \in \{\bar\partial, \partial+\bar\partial, \partial\bar\partial, d+d^c, dd^c, d+d^\Lambda, dd^\Lambda\}$. As observed by Hirzebruch [17] for $P=\bar\partial$, by Piovani and the second author [23] for $P=\partial+\bar\partial$ and $P=\partial\bar\partial$, by the authors of this paper in [27] for $P=d+d^c$ and $P=dd^c$, and in Proposition 3.7 for $P=d+d^\Lambda$ and $P=dd^\Lambda$, the Laplacian Δ_P is still elliptic and self-adjoint, even without assuming that J is a complex structure or $d\omega=0$. Hence, its kernels computed on (p,q) or k-forms, $\mathcal{H}_P^{p,q}$ and $\mathcal{H}_P^k$, the so-called *spaces of P-harmonic forms*, are finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimensions $h_P^{p,q}$ and h_P^k. In view of the isomorphism valid in the complex case, Kodaira and Spencer posed the following problem for $h_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$.

Kodaira–Spencer's Problem (Kodaira–Spencer, see [17], Problem 20). *Is $h_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$ independent of the choice of (almost) Hermitian structure? If not, give some other definition of the $h_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$ which depends only on the almost complex structure and which generalizes the $h_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$ of a complex manifold.*

A negative answer was given by Holt and Zhang almost 70 years later [20]. Since $h_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}$ depends on the choice of metric, we ask the following question, which appears as a natural extension of Kodaira–Spencer's problem:

Is $h_P^{p,q}/h_P^k$ independent of the choice of almost Hermitian metric?

Much of the present paper is devoted to investigating the metric-independence of h_P^k when $P=d+d^c$, $P=dd^c$, $P=d+d^\Lambda$ and $P=dd^\Lambda$.

This paper consists of two main parts. In the first part (Sections 3, 4, 5, and 6), we study the spaces of $(d+d^c)$-harmonic and $(d+d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms on compact almost Hermitian manifolds. We are mainly interested in understanding the properties of $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^k$ and $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$, in determining to which extent the numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ are independent of the choice of metric and thus define an almost complex, respectively almost

symplectic, invariant, and in establishing relations among $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$, and topological invariants, like the Betti numbers.

For the basic properties of $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$, we refer the reader to [27] (see also Section 4). Here we show that similar properties hold also for $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$. For what concerns the metric independence of $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$, the most significant results are obtained in dimension 4 for $k = 2$, where we prove two direct sum decompositions (Theorems 4.4 and 5.3).

Theorem A. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Hermitian 4-manifold and let $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g})$ be a Gauduchon metric in the same conformal class of (ω, g). Then*

- *for a suitable 2-form γ_0, there is a decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^c} = \mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{\omega} + \gamma_0 \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H}^-_g \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(2,0)(0,2)}_J.$$

  In particular, $h^2_{d+d^c} = b^- + 1 + h^-_J$, and it is metric independent.
- *There is a decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\langle \omega \rangle \oplus \mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} & \text{if } d\omega = 0, \\ \mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} & \text{if } d\omega \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

  where $\mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda}$ denotes the space of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic primitive 2-forms.

Assuming that either J is complex or $d\omega = 0$, we can establish strong relations between $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ (Theorems 4.6, 5.4, and 6.1).

Theorem B. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be a Hermitian 2m-manifold. Suppose that we have $\mathcal{H}^k_{d^\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ for some k. Then $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^k_d$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda} = b_k$.*

Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Kähler 2m-manifold. Then there is an injection

$$\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}.$$

In particular, $h^k_{d+d^c} \leq h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$. Furthermore, if we have $\mathcal{H}^k_{d^c} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$ for some k, then $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} = \mathcal{H}^k_d$ and $h^k_{d+d^c} = b_k$.

In the second part of the paper (Sections 7 and 8), we focus on compact complex surfaces. Recall that for a compact complex manifold of complex dimension m, the *Hodge numbers* $h^{p,q}_{\bar{\partial}}$ are an invariant of the complex structure. They are usually arranged in the so-called *Hodge diamond*:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & h^{0,0}_{\bar{\partial}} & & & \\
 & & \iddots & \vdots & \ddots & & \\
h^{m,0}_{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots & h^{0,m}_{\bar{\partial}} \\
 & & \ddots & \vdots & \iddots & & \\
 & & & h^{m,m}_{\bar{\partial}}. & & &
\end{array}
$$

The *Bott–Chern numbers* $h^{p,q}_{d+d^c}$ can be arranged in a similar diamond: the *Bott–Chern diamond*. For compact complex surfaces, it turns out that Hodge numbers do not depend

on the choice of complex structure, but only on the first Betti number b_1 and on the positive and negative self-intersection numbers b^+ and b^- of the underlying manifold. Since these are topological invariants, we have that Hodge numbers of compact complex surfaces are a consequence of the (oriented) topology of the underlying manifold (cf. [5]). The same is true for Bott–Chern numbers of compact complex surfaces. This fact follows implicitly from the work of Teleman [30]. Alternatively, Stelzig and Wilson (see Section 4.1 in [29]) proved the same result using double complexes. Here we explicitly write down the Bott–Chern diamond of compact complex surfaces in terms of topological constants (Theorem 7.3).

Theorem C. *Let (M, J) be a compact complex surface. If b_1 is even, then the Bott–Chern diamond of (M, J) is*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & 1 & & \\
& \frac{b_1}{2} & & \frac{b_1}{2} & \\
\frac{b^+-1}{2} & & b^- + 1 & & \frac{b^+-1}{2} \\
& \frac{b_1}{2} & & \frac{b_1}{2} & \\
& & 1. & &
\end{array}
$$

If b_1 is odd, then the Bott–Chern diamond of (M, J) is

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & 1 & & \\
& \frac{b_1-1}{2} & & \frac{b_1-1}{2} & \\
\frac{b^+}{2} & & b^- + 1 & & \frac{b^+}{2} \\
& \frac{b_1+1}{2} & & \frac{b_1+1}{2} & \\
& & 1. & &
\end{array}
$$

The proof of the theorem is based on results obtained by Teleman [30] on the pseudo-effective cone of non-Kähler complex surfaces (see also [1,4]). Note that Aeppli numbers are completely determined by Bott–Chern numbers. From the explicit diamond, it is evident that both are topological and independent of the choice of complex structure (see Section 4.1 in [29] or Corollary 7.5).

As a consequence of the theory developed for general almost Hermitian manifolds and for complex surfaces, we are able to determine the numbers $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\wedge}$ of 4-dimensional solvmanifolds endowed with an invariant compatible triple (J, ω, g), where J is a complex structure (Theorem 8.1 and Tables 1 and 2).

Theorem D. *Let M be a 4-dimensional solvmanifold endowed with an invariant compatible triple (J, ω, g). Then the numbers $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\wedge}$ are independent of the choice of invariant compatible triple.*

Some of the results valid for solvmanifolds hold more in general for compact quotients of Lie groups by a lattice. We conclude with an application of the theory to the Hopf manifold (Example 8.5).

Finally, we recall that recently Cirici and Wilson [10], Coelho, Placini and Stelzig [11] and the authors [26, 27], developed cohomological aspects of the theory which have a strong connection with the theory of harmonic forms presented in this paper.

2. Preliminaries

We use the word *manifold* to indicate a compact, connected, smooth manifold with no boundary. We abbreviate $dx^j \wedge dx^k$ to dx^{jk}, and $\overline{\phi^j}$ to $\phi^{\bar{j}}$.

An *almost Hermitian 2m-manifold* (M, J, ω, g) is a smooth $2m$-manifold M endowed with the following structures:

- an *almost complex structure* J, i.e., $J \in \mathrm{End}(TM)$, $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$;
- an *almost symplectic structure* ω, i.e., a non-degenerate, real 2-form;
- a Riemannian metric g.

These structures must satisfy the compatibility condition

$$(2.1) \qquad \omega(\cdot, J\cdot) = g(\cdot, \cdot).$$

We say that (J, ω, g) is a *compatible triple*.

Denote by A^k the space of smooth complex k-forms on M. The existence of a compatible triple induces three operators on A^k:

- J acts on k-forms by duality, inducing a map $J: A^k \to A^k$ and a bigrading decomposition

$$A^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} A^{p,q};$$

- g induces the $\mathbb{C}$-linear Hodge $*$ operator

$$* : A^{p,q} \to A^{m-q,m-p};$$

- ω induces the symplectic $*_s$ operator $*_s: A^k \to A^{2m-k}$, defined by the relation

$$\alpha \wedge \overline{*_s \beta} = \omega(\alpha, \beta) \frac{\omega^m}{m!}, \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \in A^k.$$

The compatibility condition (2.1) allows us to express $*$ as

$$(2.2) \qquad * = J *_s = *_s J.$$

An almost Hermitian manifold can be thought as an almost complex manifold (M, J) together with a fixed J-compatible metric g. The associated fundamental form ω is an almost symplectic structure on M. On the other side, we can also think of it as an almost symplectic manifold (M, ω) together with a metric g such that (2.1) holds for some almost complex structure J. An almost Hermitian manifold (M, J, ω, g) is said to be

- *almost Kähler* if $d\omega = 0$;
- *Hermitian* if the Nijenhuis tensor N_J vanishes, i.e., if J is a complex structure;
- *Kähler* if both $d\omega = 0$ and $N_J = 0$.

On almost symplectic manifolds (thus on almost Hermitian manifolds) it is defined the *Lefschetz operator* $L: A^k \to A^{k+2}$, $\alpha \mapsto \omega \wedge \alpha$, and its *dual Lefschetz operator* $\Lambda: A^k \to A^{k-2}$, $\alpha \mapsto \iota_\omega \alpha$, where ι_ω denotes contraction by ω. Since ω is non-degenerate, for each $k = 1, \ldots, m$, powers of the Lefschetz operator give an isomorphism

$$L^k: A^{m-k} \to A^{m+k}.$$

A k-form α, with $k \leq m$, is called *primitive* if $\Lambda \alpha = 0$, or, equivalently, if $L^{m-k+1}\alpha = 0$. Every k-form admits a *Lefschetz decomposition* (see Théorème 3 on p. 26 of [34]):

$$\alpha = \sum_{j \geq \max\{k-m,0\}} L^j P^{k-2j},$$

where P^{k-2j} are primitive $(k-2j)$-forms. Given a compatible triple (J, ω, g), one can compute $*$ on each summand of the Lefschetz decomposition thanks to the formula (see Théorème 2 on p. 23 of [34])

$$(2.3) \qquad *L^r P^k = (-1)^{k(k+1)/2} \frac{r!}{(m-k-r)!} L^{m-k-r} J P^k.$$

3. Almost complex and almost symplectic Laplacians on almost Hermitian manifolds

In this section, we introduce generalizations of the Bott–Chern and Aeppli Laplacians for almost Hermitian manifolds built using almost symplectic differential operators. We also give the definitions of the spaces of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms studied in Sections 5 and 6, together with their basic properties.

We begin by reviewing the almost complex point of view, where the main object of study is the space $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$ of $(d + d^c)$-harmonic forms. For more details, we refer the reader to [27], where $(d + d^c)$-harmonic forms have been introduced for the first time on almost Hermitian manifolds.

Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Hermitian $2m$-manifold. Consider the operator

$$d^c := J^{-1}dJ.$$

Then $d^2 = 0$ and $(d^c)^2 = 0$. Observe that $dd^c + d^c d = 0$ if and only if J is integrable. The Bott–Chern and Aeppli Laplacians for complex manifolds can be generalized to four Laplacians, see Definition 4.1 in [27]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{d+d^c} :={} & dd^c(dd^c)^* + (dd^c)^*dd^c + d^*d^c(d^*d^c)^* + (d^*d^c)^*d^*d^c \\
& + d^*d + (d^c)^*d^c \\
\Delta_{d^c+d} :={} & d^c d(d^c d)^* + (d^c d)^*d^c d + (d^c)^*d((d^c)^*d)^* + ((d^c)^*d)^*(d^c)^*d \\
& + d^*d + (d^c)^*d^c \\
\Delta_{dd^c} :={} & dd^c(dd^c)^* + (dd^c)^*dd^c + d(d^c)^*(d(d^c)^*)^* + (d(d^c)^*)^*d(d^c)^* \\
& + dd^* + d^c(d^c)^* \\
\Delta_{d^c d} :={} & d^c d(d^c d)^* + (d^c d)^*d^c d + d^c d^*(d^c d^*)^* + (d^c d^*)^*d^c d^* \\
& + dd^* + d^c(d^c)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

If $P \in \{d + d^c, d^c + d, dd^c, d^c d\}$, the *space of P-harmonic forms* is

$$\mathcal{H}^k_P := A^k \cap \ker \Delta_P.$$

Explicitly, one has that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} &= \{\alpha \in A^k : d\alpha = 0,\ d^c\alpha = 0,\ (dd^c)^*\alpha = 0\}, \\
\mathcal{H}^k_{d^c+d} &= \{\alpha \in A^k : d\alpha = 0,\ d^c\alpha = 0,\ (d^cd)^*\alpha = 0\}, \\
\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^c} &= \{\alpha \in A^k : d^*\alpha = 0,\ (d^c)^*\alpha = 0,\ dd^c\alpha = 0\}, \\
\mathcal{H}^k_{d^cd} &= \{\alpha \in A^k : d^*\alpha = 0,\ (d^c)^*\alpha = 0,\ d^cd\alpha = 0\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Hodge theory, the spaces of P-harmonic forms are finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ (Proposition 4.3 in [27]), and they are isomorphic to each other according to the following diagram (Proposition 4.5 in [27]):

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} & \xrightarrow{\ \underset{J}{\sim}\ } & \mathcal{H}^k_{d^c+d} \\[2mm]
{\scriptstyle *}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \wr} & & {\scriptstyle *}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \wr} \\[2mm]
\mathcal{H}^{2m-k}_{dd^c} & \xrightarrow{\ \underset{J}{\sim}\ } & \mathcal{H}^{2m-k}_{d^cd}.
\end{array}
$$

Denoting by h^k_P the complex dimension of $\mathcal{H}^k_P$, one has that

$$
h^k_{d+d^c} = h^k_{d^c+d} = h^{2m-k}_{dd^c} = h^{2m-k}_{d^cd},
$$

and the numbers $h^k_{d+d^c}$ are almost Hermitian invariants, see Corollary 4.6 in [27].

Let us briefly compare the spaces $\mathcal{H}^k_P$ with the spaces of Bott–Chern and Aeppli harmonic forms on complex manifolds. When J is integrable, we have that $\Delta_{d+d^c} = \Delta_{d^c+d}$ is the Bott–Chern Laplacian, while $\Delta_{dd^c} = \Delta_{d^cd}$ is the Aeppli Laplacian [25]. The spaces of Bott–Chern and Aeppli harmonic forms are defined as

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\mathrm{BC}} = A^{p,q} \cap \ker \Delta_{d+d^c} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\mathrm{A}} = A^{p,q} \cap \ker \Delta_{dd^c}.
$$

Their dimensions $h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{BC}}$ and $h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{A}}$ are an invariant of the complex structure, due to an isomorphism with Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomologies. These numbers are called *Bott–Chern and Aeppli numbers*. In the almost complex case, the operators dd^c and d^cd do not preserve the bigrading of forms, thus one has to consider harmonic k-forms instead of (p,q)-forms (cf. Remark 3.10 in [27]).

In the next lemma, we show what is the relation between the spaces $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$, $\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^c}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\mathrm{BC}}$, $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in the *integrable* case.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, J) be a complex manifold. Then*

$$
\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\mathrm{BC}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^k_{dd^c} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\mathrm{A}}.
$$

In particular,

$$
h^k_{d+d^c} = \sum_{p+q=k} h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{BC}} \quad \text{and} \quad h^k_{dd^c} = \sum_{p+q=k} h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{A}}.
$$

Proof. We prove the claim for Bott–Chern harmonic forms. The claim for Aeppli harmonic forms follows by duality. The inclusion $\bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^k$ is immediate since

$$A^{p,q} \cap \ker \Delta_{d+d^c} \subseteq A^{p+q} \cap \ker \Delta_{d+d^c}.$$

For the opposite inclusion, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^k$ and let

$$\alpha = \sum_{p+q=k} \alpha^{p,q}$$

be its bidegree decomposition. Since α is both d-closed and d^c-closed, we have that the forms

$$\alpha^{\mathrm{even}} = \sum_{p \text{ even}} \alpha^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^{\mathrm{odd}} = \sum_{p \text{ odd}} \alpha^{p,q}$$

are both d-closed (cf. Section 3.3 in [27]). Consider the equation

$$0 = d\alpha^{\mathrm{even}} = \bar{\partial}\alpha^{0,k} + \partial\alpha^{0,k} + \bar{\partial}\alpha^{2,k-2} + \cdots,$$

and separate the bidegree of the terms. Since the operators ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ have bidegree $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ respectively, and since two summands of α^{even} differ in the first bidegree p by at least 2, all the terms $\partial\alpha^{p,q}, \bar{\partial}\alpha^{p,q}$, for p even, have different bidegree and for all (p,q) with p even. Then it must be $\partial\alpha^{p,q} = \bar{\partial}\alpha^{p,q} = 0$. With a similar reasoning, we have that $d\alpha^{p,q} = 0$ for all (p,q), showing that $\alpha^{p,q} \in A^{p,q} \cap \ker d \cap \ker d^c$.

The last condition to consider is $(dd^c)^*\alpha = 0$. In the complex case, dd^c has bidegree $(1,1)$, thus each summand $\alpha^{p,q}$ is $(dd^c)^*$-closed and $\alpha^{p,q} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q}$, proving the opposite inclusion. ∎

Next, we consider the almost symplectic point of view, studying the space $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms. This space is introduced here for the first time on almost symplectic manifolds. For the symplectic case, see [31–33].

Let d^Λ be the symplectic co-differential (cf. [6, 12, 31]), defined on k-forms by

$$(3.1) \qquad\qquad d^\Lambda := (-1)^{k+1} *_s d *_s.$$

Since $d^2 = 0$ and $*_s^2 = \mathrm{Id}$, we immediately have that $(d^\Lambda)^2 = 0$.

If $d\omega = 0$, then $dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d = 0$, but in general $dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d \neq 0$. To the best of our knowledge, it is not known if the converse implication is also true, that is, if $(dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d) = 0$ implies $d\omega = 0$. We are able to prove such implication when $2m = 4$. Indeed, we have the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, ω) be an almost symplectic $2m$-manifold such that $dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d = 0$. Then $d\omega^{m-1} = 0$. In particular, if $2m = 4$, then $d\omega = 0$.*

Proof. Computing $dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d$ on an arbitrary function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have that

$$0 = (dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d)f = d^\Lambda df = *_s d *_s df = -\frac{1}{(m-1)!} *_s d(\omega^{m-1} \wedge df)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{(m-2)!} *_s d\omega^{m-1} \wedge df,$$

where we used (2.3). Since $*_s$ is an isomorphism, we have that

$$(3.2) \qquad\qquad d\omega^{m-1} \wedge df = 0$$

for every $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Fix $x \in M$ and let $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ be coordinate functions in a neighborhood U of x. In local coordinates, we can write

$$d\omega^{m-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \omega_j \, dx^{1\dots\hat{j}\dots 2m},$$

where $\hat{j}$ denotes missing indices. Choosing f as a smooth extension of x_j to all M, equation (3.2) implies that $\omega_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, 2m$. Therefore,

$$d\omega^{m-1} = 0$$

on U. Since x is arbitrary, $d\omega^{m-1} = 0$ on M. If $2m = 4$, then $d\omega = 0$. ∎

Remark 3.3. Metrics whose fundamental form satisfies $d\omega^{m-1} = 0$ are known in the literature as (*almost*) *balanced metrics* [22], or *semi-Kähler* [15]. Note that in Lemma 3.2 we proved that the balanced condition is equivalent to asking that $d\omega$ is primitive or that d and d^Λ anti-commute *on functions*.

Given a compatible triple (J, ω, g), by (2.2), d^Λ has the following expression in terms of d^c [12, 31]:

$$(3.3) \qquad\qquad d^\Lambda = (d^c)^*.$$

On symplectic manifolds, Tseng and Yau [31, 32] introduced the Laplacians

$$\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} := dd^\Lambda(dd^\Lambda)^* + (dd^\Lambda)^*dd^\Lambda + d^*d^\Lambda(d^*d^\Lambda)^* + (d^*d^\Lambda)^*d^*d^\Lambda$$
$$+ d^*d + (d^\Lambda)^*d^\Lambda$$

and

$$\Delta_{dd^\Lambda} := dd^\Lambda(dd^\Lambda)^* + (dd^\Lambda)^*dd^\Lambda + d(d^\Lambda)^*(d(d^\Lambda)^*)^* + (d(d^\Lambda)^*)^*d(d^\Lambda)^*$$
$$+ dd^* + d^\Lambda(d^\Lambda)^*,$$

and studied the corresponding spaces of harmonic forms $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{H}^k_{dd^\Lambda}$. It turns out that the dimension of such spaces is independent of the choice of metric since they are isomorphic to the symplectic cohomologies $H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and $H^k_{dd^\Lambda}$.

Remark 3.4. Tseng and Yau originally studied *real* harmonic forms. However, since Δ_{d+d^Λ} and Δ_{dd^Λ} are real operators, there is no difference in studying them on complex forms. The resulting spaces of complex harmonic forms will be the complexification of their real counterpart.

When $d\omega \neq 0$, the operators d and d^Λ do not anti-commute, and we must consider four different Laplacians.

Definition 3.5. The $(d + d^\Lambda)$-*Laplacian* is

(3.4)
$$\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} := dd^\Lambda(dd^\Lambda)^* + (dd^\Lambda)^*dd^\Lambda + d^*d^\Lambda(d^*d^\Lambda)^* + (d^*d^\Lambda)^*d^*d^\Lambda \\ + d^*d + (d^\Lambda)^*d^\Lambda.$$

The $(d^\Lambda + d)$-*Laplacian* is

(3.5)
$$\Delta_{d^\Lambda+d} := d^\Lambda d(d^\Lambda d)^* + (d^\Lambda d)^*d^\Lambda d + (d^\Lambda)^*d((d^\Lambda)^*d)^* \\ + ((d^\Lambda)^*d)^*(d^\Lambda)^*d + d^*d + (d^\Lambda)^*d^\Lambda.$$

The dd^Λ-*Laplacian* is

(3.6)
$$\Delta_{dd^\Lambda} := dd^\Lambda(dd^\Lambda)^* + (dd^\Lambda)^*dd^\Lambda + d(d^\Lambda)^*(d(d^\Lambda)^*)^* \\ + (d(d^\Lambda)^*)^*d(d^\Lambda)^* + dd^* + d^\Lambda(d^\Lambda)^*.$$

The $d^\Lambda d$-*Laplacian* is

(3.7)
$$\Delta_{d^\Lambda d} := d^\Lambda d(d^\Lambda d)^* + (d^\Lambda d)^*d^\Lambda d + d^\Lambda d^*(d^\Lambda d^*)^* + (d^\Lambda d^*)^*d^\Lambda d^* \\ + dd^* + d^\Lambda(d^\Lambda)^*.$$

In the symplectic case, d and d^Λ anti-commute, therefore $\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} = \Delta_{d^\Lambda+d}$ and $\Delta_{dd^\Lambda} = \Delta_{d^\Lambda d}$, recovering the symplectic Laplacians of Tseng and Yau. The symplectic $*_s$ operator and the almost complex structure J provide the following relations among the Laplacians:

$$*_s\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} = \Delta_{d^\Lambda+d}*_s, \quad *_s\Delta_{dd^\Lambda} = \Delta_{d^\Lambda d}*_s$$

and

$$J\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} = \Delta_{dd^\Lambda}J, \quad J\Delta_{d^\Lambda+d} = \Delta_{d^\Lambda d}J.$$

Definition 3.6. Let $P \in \{d + d^\Lambda, d^\Lambda + d, dd^\Lambda, d^\Lambda d\}$. A k-form $\alpha \in A^k$ is said to be *P-harmonic* if $\Delta_P(\alpha) = 0$.

We denote the space of P-harmonic k-forms by $\mathcal{H}_P^k$.

If α is P-harmonic, using the equation $\langle \Delta_P(\alpha), \alpha \rangle = 0$, one can explicitly write the spaces of P-harmonic forms. More precisely, we have that

$$\mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^k = \{\alpha \in A^k : d\alpha = 0, \, d^\Lambda\alpha = 0, \, (dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha = 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{d^\Lambda+d}^k = \{\alpha \in A^k : d\alpha = 0, \, d^\Lambda\alpha = 0, \, (d^\Lambda d)^*\alpha = 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{dd^\Lambda}^k = \{\alpha \in A^k : d^*\alpha = 0, \, (d^\Lambda)^*\alpha = 0, \, dd^\Lambda\alpha = 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{d^\Lambda d}^k = \{\alpha \in A^k : d^*\alpha = 0, \, (d^\Lambda)^*\alpha = 0, \, d^\Lambda d\alpha = 0\}.$$

We proceed to establish the basic properties of P-harmonic forms. First of all, the spaces of harmonic forms are finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $P \in \{d + d^\Lambda, d^\Lambda + d, dd^\Lambda, d^\Lambda d\}$. Then Δ_P is a 4th-order, self-adjoint, elliptic operator. There is a decomposition*

$$A^k = \mathcal{H}_P^k \overset{\perp}{\oplus} \operatorname{Im}\Delta_P.$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{H}_P^k$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The proof closely follows that of Proposition 4.3 in [27], replacing d^c by $(d^\Lambda)^*$ and $(d^c)^*$ by d^Λ, thanks to (3.3). For the sake of completeness, we write down the core of the proof for $P = d + d^\Lambda$. Denote by $\cong$ the equality up to lower order terms. We have that

- $d(d^\Lambda)^* + (d^\Lambda)^*d = dd^c + d^c d$ has order one, so that $d(d^\Lambda)^* \cong -(d^\Lambda)^*d$;
- $dd^\Lambda + d^\Lambda d$ has order one by the Kähler identities for almost Hermitian manifolds, see [8, 13], so that $dd^\Lambda \cong -d^\Lambda d$;
- Δ_d and Δ_{d^c} are elliptic.

Thus, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} &\cong dd^\Lambda(dd^\Lambda)^* + (dd^\Lambda)^*dd^\Lambda + d^*d^\Lambda(d^*d^\Lambda)^* + (d^*d^\Lambda)^*d^*d^\Lambda \\
&\cong dd^*d^\Lambda(d^\Lambda)^* + d^*d(d^\Lambda)^*d^\Lambda + d^*dd^\Lambda(d^\Lambda)^* + dd^*(d^\Lambda)^*d^\Lambda \\
&= \Delta_d \Delta_{d^\Lambda} \cong (\Delta_d)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is elliptic. The orthogonal direct sum decomposition between the image and the kernel of Δ_P and the finite-dimensionality of the kernel follow from the theory of self-adjoint, elliptic operators on compact manifolds. ∎

Definition 3.8. Since $\mathcal{H}_P^k$ is finite-dimensional, we set

$$
h_P^k := \dim_\mathbb{C} \mathcal{H}_P^k.
$$

The numbers h_P^k are invariants of the almost Hermitian structure. If there is no ambiguity, we will omit dependence of h_P^k on (J, ω, g).

Proposition 3.9. *On an almost Hermitian $2m$-manifold (M, J, ω, g), there is a commutative diagram*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^k & \xrightarrow[\ *_s\ ]{\sim} & \mathcal{H}_{d^\Lambda+d}^{2m-k} \\
{\scriptstyle J}\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\wr} & & {\scriptstyle J}\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\wr} \\
\mathcal{H}_{dd^\Lambda}^k & \xrightarrow[\ *_s\ ]{\sim} & \mathcal{H}_{d^\Lambda d}^{2m-k}.
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ and $\mathcal{H}_{d^\Lambda+d}^{2m-k}$ follows since α is d-closed, d^Λ-closed and $(dd^\Lambda)^*$-closed if and only if $*_s\alpha$ is d^Λ-closed, d-closed and $(d^\Lambda d)^*$-closed. The other isomorphisms follow similarly. ∎

Corollary 3.10. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Hermitian $2m$-manifold. Then*

$$
h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k = h_{d^\Lambda+d}^{2m-k} = h_{dd^\Lambda}^k = h_{d^\Lambda d}^{2m-k}.
$$

Note that when $d\omega = 0$, the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ and $\mathcal{H}_{dd^\Lambda}^k$ are isomorphic to the symplectic cohomology groups $H_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ and $H_{dd^\Lambda}^k$. Therefore, their dimensions do not depend on the choice of metric, and the numbers $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ and $h_{dd^\Lambda}^k$ are symplectic invariants.

We conclude this section with a result comparing the numbers h_P^k of almost Hermitian manifolds, for $P \in \{d + d^c, d^c + d, d d^c, d^c d, d + d^\Lambda, d^\Lambda + d, d d^\Lambda, d^\Lambda d\}$. Its proof follows closely that of Theorem 4.4 in [27], therefore it is omitted.

Theorem 3.11. *Let (M, J, ω, g) and (M', J', ω', g') be two almost Hermitian manifolds of the same dimension, and let $f : M \to M'$ be a surjective smooth map preserving the compatible triple, i.e., satisfying*

$$(3.8) \qquad df \circ J = J' \circ df, \quad f^* \omega' = \omega \quad and \quad f^* g' = g.$$

Then we have that

$$h_P^k(J', \omega', g') \leq h_P^k(J, \omega, g).$$

Moreover, if f is also a diffeomorphism, then

$$h_P^k(J', \omega', g') = h_P^k(J, \omega, g).$$

Remark 3.12. If any two conditions among those in (3.8) hold, then also the remaining one is satisfied.

4. The spaces of $(d + d^c)$-harmonic forms

In this section, we study the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^k$ and the numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$.

Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Hermitian $2m$-manifold. We briefly recall the properties of $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^k$ proved in [27].

Proposition 4.1 (Properties of $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^k$).

(i) $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^0 \cong \mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^{2m} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

(ii) *The number $h_{d+d^c}^1$ is metric independent.*

(iii) *If $d\omega = 0$ and $2m = 4$, then*

$$\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^2 = \mathbb{C}\langle \omega \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H}_g^- \oplus \mathcal{H}_J^{(2,0)(0,2)}.$$

In particular, $h_{d+d^c}^2 = b^- + 1 + h_J^-$ and it does not depend on the choice of the almost Kähler metric.

We begin by proving a topological upper bound on $h_{d+d^c}^1$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex $2m$-manifold. Then*

$$h_{d+d^c}^1 \leq b_1.$$

Proof. We prove that $\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^1$ injects into de Rham cohomology. From the definition, it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^1 = (A^{1,0} \cap \ker d) \cup (A^{0,1} \cap \ker d).$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^1$. Then $\alpha = \alpha^{1,0} + \alpha^{0,1}$, with $\alpha^{1,0}$ and $\alpha^{0,1}$ both d-closed, and α defines a de Rham class. To prove injectivity, suppose that

$$\alpha^{1,0} + \alpha^{0,1} = df$$

for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$. By bidegree, we have that $\alpha^{1,0} = \partial f$ and $\alpha^{0,1} = \bar{\partial} f$. Since $d\alpha^{1,0} = 0$, we have that $\partial^2 f + \bar{\partial}\partial f + \bar{\mu}\partial f = 0$. In particular, by bidegree reasons, $\bar{\partial}\partial f = 0$, which implies that f is constant, thus $\alpha^{1,0} = \partial f = 0$ and $\alpha^{0,1} = \bar{\partial} f = 0$. ∎

Corollary 4.3. *Let (M, J) be an almost complex $2m$-manifold such that $b_1 \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $h^1_{d+d^c} = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we have $h^1_{d+d^c} \le b_1 \le 1$. Moreover, $h^1_{d+d^c}$ is even because it is invariant under conjugation. ∎

We invite the reader to compare the results of Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 with Corollary 4.6 in [9] and Lemma 4.2 in [19].

We now establish a decomposition of $\mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^c}$ valid on almost Hermitian 4-manifolds. Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Hermitian 4-manifold. Consider the harmonic decomposition of ω with respect to the Hodge Laplacian Δ_d, i.e.,

$$(4.1) \qquad \omega = h(\omega) + d\eta + d^*\mu,$$

where $h(\omega)$ is d-harmonic, $\eta \in A^1$, and $\mu \in A^3$. Define the 2-form γ_0 as

$$(4.2) \qquad \gamma_0 := -d * \mu - d^*\mu.$$

Note that

$$(4.3) \qquad *\gamma_0 = - * d * \mu + *^2 d * \mu = d^*\mu + d * \mu = -\gamma_0,$$

hence γ_0 is anti-self-dual. Since anti-self-dual forms have necessarily bidegree $(1, 1)$, we also have that

$$(4.4) \qquad J\gamma_0 = \gamma_0.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{H}^-_g$ the space of anti-self-dual, d-harmonic forms and by $\mathcal{H}^{(2,0)(0,2)}_J$ the space of J-anti-invariant, d-harmonic forms.

We prove the following.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(M, J, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost Hermitian 4-manifold, and let (ω, g) be a Gauduchon metric in the same conformal class of $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^c} = \mathbb{C}\langle \omega + \gamma_0 \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H}^-_g \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(2,0)(0,2)}_J.$$

In particular, $h^2_{d+d^c} = b^- + 1 + h^-_J$, and it is metric independent.

Proof. Let g be a metric in the same conformal class of $\tilde{g}$. If $\alpha \in A^2$, then $*_g\alpha = *_{\tilde{g}}\alpha$. As a consequence, the space $\mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^c}$ is invariant under conformal changes of metric. In each conformal class of metric there is always a Gauduchon metric [14], i.e., a metric for which $dd^c\omega = d^c d\omega = 0$. Therefore, we assume that g is a Gauduchon metric in the same conformal class of $\tilde{g}$.

We first prove the inclusion

$$\mathbb{C}\langle \omega + \gamma_0 \rangle \oplus \mathcal{H}^-_g \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(2,0)(0,2)}_J \subseteq \mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^c}.$$

We have that $\omega + \gamma_0$ is d-closed since

$$d(\omega + \gamma_0) = d(h(\omega) + d\eta - d * \mu) = 0,$$

it is d^c-closed by (4.4), and it is $(dd^c)^*$-closed by (4.3) and because the metric is Gauduchon. Forms in $\mathcal{H}_g^- \oplus \mathcal{H}_J^{(2,0)(0,2)}$ are necessarily $(d + d^c)$-harmonic, since they are d-harmonic and have bidegree either $(1, 1)$ or $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$.

We now prove the opposite inclusion. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{d+d^c}^2$. Write α using the Lefschetz decomposition and the bidegree as

$$\alpha = f\omega + \gamma^{1,1} + \gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)},$$

with $\gamma^{1,1}$ and $\gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)}$ primitive forms, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Since $d\alpha = 0$ and $d^c\alpha = 0$, we have that

(4.5) $$d(f\omega + \gamma^{1,1}) = 0$$

and

(4.6) $$d\gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)} = 0.$$

The form $\gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)}$ is d-closed and primitive, thus d-harmonic, which implies that $\gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)} \in \mathcal{H}_J^{(2,0)(0,2)}$. On the other side, we have that

$$0 = (dd^c)^*\alpha = - * d^c d * (f\omega + \gamma^{1,1} + \gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)})$$
$$= - * d^c d(f\omega - \gamma^{1,1} + \gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)}) = -2 * d^c d(f\omega),$$

where in the last equality we used (4.5) and (4.6). Since the metric is Gauduchon, we have that

$$0 = d^c d(f\omega) = d^c df \wedge \omega - df \wedge d^c\omega + d^c f \wedge d\omega.$$

Consider the real operator $P: C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$ given by

$$P(f) = *(d^c df \wedge \omega - df \wedge d^c\omega + d^c f \wedge d\omega).$$

By the same argument of the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [23], P is strongly elliptic, thus f must be constant. Consider the form

$$\beta^{1,1} := \gamma^{1,1} - f\gamma_0.$$

The form $\beta^{1,1}$ is anti-self-dual by (4.3), and it is also d-closed by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.5). Therefore it is also d-harmonic and $\beta^{1,1} \in \mathcal{H}_g^-$. The claim follows writing α as

$$\alpha = f(\omega + \gamma_0) + \beta^{1,1} + \gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)},$$

with f constant, $\beta^{1,1} \in \mathcal{H}_g^-$ and $\gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)} \in \mathcal{H}_J^{(2,0)(0,2)}$. ∎

Remark 4.5. Applying Theorem 4.4 to almost Kähler 4-manifolds, we recover Theorem 5.1 in [27], since when $d\omega = 0$, we have $\gamma_0 = 0$. Our result should be compared with Theorem 4.2 in [18].

We conclude the section with a result that in certain cases allows us to explicitly compute $h^k_{d+d^c}$ on almost Kähler manifolds of any dimension.

Theorem 4.6. *Let* (M, J, ω, g) *be an almost Kähler* $2m$-*manifold. Suppose that we have* $\mathcal{H}^k_{d^c} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$ *for some* k. *Then* $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} = \mathcal{H}^k_d$ *and* $h^k_{d+d^c} = b_k$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$, and let

$$\alpha = h_{d^c}(\alpha) + d^c\eta + (d^c)^*\gamma$$

be its Hodge decomposition with respect to Δ_{d^c}. Since α is d^c-closed, we have that $(d^c)^*\gamma = 0$. The form

$$d^c\eta = \alpha - h_{d^c}(\alpha)$$

is $(d + d^c)$-harmonic because it is the difference of two harmonic forms, by the assumption on $\mathcal{H}^k_{d^c}$. From the equation $(dd^c)^*\alpha = 0$, we deduce that

$$(d^c)^*d^*d^c\eta = (d^c)^*d^*(\alpha - h_{d^c}(\alpha)) = 0.$$

By (3.3) and $d\omega = 0$, we have that

$$0 = (d^c)^*d^*d^c\eta = d^\Lambda d^*(d^\Lambda)^*\eta = -d^\Lambda(d^\Lambda)^*d^*\eta,$$

which implies that $\langle d^\Lambda(d^\Lambda)^*d^*\eta, d^*\eta \rangle = 0$. Therefore $(d^\Lambda)^*d^*\eta = -d^*(d^\Lambda)^*\eta = 0$. Finally, $d\alpha = 0$ since $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and $d^*\alpha = d^*(d^\Lambda)^*\eta = 0$, so that $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^k_d$. We proved that $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_d$. To conclude, observe that

$$\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_d \cong \mathcal{H}^k_{d^c} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c},$$

giving the equality of the spaces. ∎

5. The spaces of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms

In this section, we study the spaces $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and the numbers $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$.

We begin by proving some basic results valid in any dimension. Let (M, J, g, ω) be an almost Hermitian $2m$-manifold.

Proposition 5.1 (Properties of $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$).

(i) $\mathcal{H}^0_{d+d^\Lambda} \cong \mathcal{H}^{2m}_{d+d^\Lambda} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

(ii) *There is an inclusion* $\mathcal{H}^1_{d+d^\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^1_d$. *In particular,* $h^1_{d+d^\Lambda} \leq b_1$.

(iii) *If* $d\omega = 0$, *then* $\mathcal{H}^1_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^1_d$ *and* $h^1_{d+d^\Lambda} = b_1$.

Proof. We prove (i). Note that any function $f \in \mathcal{H}^0_{d+d^\Lambda}$ must be d-closed, and therefore constant. Let $f \, \mathrm{Vol} \in \mathcal{H}^{2m}_{d+d^\Lambda}$. Since $d^\Lambda(f \, \mathrm{Vol}) = (d^c)^*(f \, \mathrm{Vol}) = 0$, we have that

$$0 = dJ * (f \, \mathrm{Vol}) = df,$$

so that $\mathcal{H}^{2m}_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathbb{C}\langle \mathrm{Vol}\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}$. To prove (ii), let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^1_{d+d^\Lambda}$. Then α is d-closed and $(dd^\Lambda)^*$-closed. From the equation $(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha = 0$, we have that

$$0 = dJ * d * \alpha = -dJd^*\alpha = -dd^*\alpha,$$

since J acts trivially on functions. Therefore $d^*\alpha = 0$ and α is d-harmonic. If $d\omega = 0$, the opposite inclusion also holds. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^1_d$. Then we immediately have $d\alpha = 0$ and $(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha = d^c d^*\alpha = 0$. Moreover, since $d\omega = 0$, we also have $d^\Lambda \alpha = (d\Lambda - \Lambda d)\alpha = 0$ since α is d-closed and has degree 1, proving (iii). ∎

Remark 5.2. Point (iii) of Proposition 5.1 is a well-known fact (cf. Lemma 2.7 in [12]).

On 4-manifolds, the study of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic 2-forms is reduced to primitive forms.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Hermitian 4-manifold. Let $\mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda}$ be the space of $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic primitive 2-forms. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\langle \omega\rangle \oplus \mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} & \text{if } d\omega = 0, \\ \mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} & \text{if } d\omega \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The inclusions $\mathbb{C}\langle \omega\rangle \oplus \mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\Lambda}$ are immediate. For the opposite inclusions, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\Lambda}$ and let

$$\alpha = f\omega + \gamma^{1,1} + \gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)}$$

be its Lefschetz and bidegree decomposition. Since α is d-closed and d^Λ-closed, we have that

(5.1) $\qquad 0 = dJ * \alpha = d(f\omega - \gamma^{1,1} - \gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)}) = d(2f\omega - \alpha) = 2d(f\omega).$

Thus $dd^c(f\omega) = d^c d(f\omega) = 0$, and with the same argument of the proof of Theorem 4.4, we deduce that f is constant. Since $f\omega$ is d-closed, d^Λ closed and self-dual, it is $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic. In particular, the form $\gamma^{1,1} + \gamma^{(2,0)(0,2)}$ is primitive and $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic. If $d\omega = 0$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathbb{C}\langle \omega\rangle \oplus \mathcal{PH}^2_{d+d^\Lambda},$$

proving the first part of the theorem. For the second part, by (5.1) we have that

$$0 = d(f\omega) = fd\omega,$$

with f constant. In particular, if $d\omega \neq 0$, then $f = 0$. ∎

We conclude the section with the Hermitian counterpart of Theorem 4.6, which allows us to explicitly compute the numbers $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ when J is integrable.

Theorem 5.4. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be a Hermitian 2m-manifold. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}^k_{d^\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ for some k. Then $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^k_d$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda} = b_k$.*

Proof. The proof follows closely that of Theorem 4.6, replacing d^c by $(d^c)^*$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$, and let

$$\alpha = h_{d^\Lambda}(\alpha) + d^\Lambda \eta + (d^\Lambda)^* \gamma$$

be its Hodge decomposition with respect to Δ_{d^Λ}. Since α is d^Λ-closed, we have that $(d^\Lambda)^* \gamma = 0$. From the equation $(d d^\Lambda)^* \alpha = 0$, we deduce that

$$d^c d^* d^\Lambda \eta = d^c d^* (\alpha - h_{d^\Lambda}(\alpha)) = 0.$$

By (3.3) and integrability of J, we have

$$0 = d^c d^* d^\Lambda \eta = d^c d^* (d^c)^* \eta = -d^c (d^c)^* d^* \eta,$$

which implies $(d^c)^* d^* \eta = -d^* (d^c)^* \eta = 0$. Since $d\alpha = 0$, $d^* \alpha = d^* (d^c)^* \eta = 0$, and

$$\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_d \cong \mathcal{H}^k_{d^\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda},$$

the theorem is proved. ∎

6. Relations between $(d + d^c)$-harmonic forms and $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms

In this section, we compare the spaces $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$ and $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$, and the numbers $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ on almost Hermitian manifolds.

The first result is an injection of $(d + d^c)$-harmonic forms into $(d + d^\Lambda)$-harmonic forms valid for almost Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Kähler $2m$-manifold. There is an injection*

$$\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}.$$

In particular, $h^k_{d+d^c} \leq h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c}$. Since α is d-closed, d^c-closed and $(dd^c)^*$-closed, we have that

$$0 = (d^c d)^* (J\alpha) = d^* d^\Lambda (J\alpha) = d^* (d\Lambda - \Lambda d)(J\alpha) = d^* d(\Lambda J\alpha),$$

which implies

$$d\Lambda(J\alpha) = d^\Lambda(J\alpha) = 0.$$

Furthermore, we also have $d(J\alpha) = 0$. In particular, $J\alpha$ is both d-closed and d^Λ-closed, thus it defines a symplectic cohomology class $[J\alpha]_{d+d^\Lambda} \in H^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$. Taking the harmonic representative $h_{d+d^\Lambda}(J\alpha)$, we have a well-defined map $\mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^c} \to \mathcal{H}^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$. The map is injective because if $J\alpha = d^\Lambda d\beta$ for some $\beta \in A^k$, then

$$0 = -Jd\alpha = d^c(J\alpha) = (d^\Lambda)^* d^\Lambda d\beta,$$

giving $J\alpha = d^\Lambda d\beta = 0$. ∎

The opposite inclusion in general does not hold. For instance, one can endow the Kodaira–Thurston manifold with an almost Kähler structure such that $h^1_{d+d^c} = 2$ and $h^1_{d+d^\Lambda} = b_1 = 3$, see Proposition 6.2 in [27]. Nevertheless, we can prove the opposite inclusion on $(2m - 1)$-forms.

Theorem 6.2. *Let (M, J, g, ω) be an almost Hermitian $2m$-manifold. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^\Lambda+d} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^c+d}.$$

If $d\omega = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^\Lambda+d} = \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d+d^c}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^\Lambda+d}$. Since α is $(d^\Lambda d)^*$-closed, we have that

$$0 = d^* J^{-1} dJ\alpha = d^* dJ\alpha,$$

where we used the fact that $J = \mathrm{Id}$ on top-forms. Hence, we conclude that $d^c\alpha = 0$. By the equation $d^\Lambda\alpha = 0$, we have that $dJ * \alpha = 0$. Thus $J\alpha$ is $(d + d^c)$-harmonic, since

$$(dd^c)^* J\alpha = d^\Lambda d^* J\alpha = 0,$$

and α is $(d^c + d)$-harmonic. This proves the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^\Lambda+d} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^c+d}$. If $d\omega = 0$, by Theorem 6.1 we have that

$$\mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^\Lambda+d} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^c+d} \cong \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d+d^c} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d^\Lambda+d},$$

giving the equality of the spaces and concluding the proof. ∎

Remark 6.3. Applying Theorem 6.2 to almost Kähler 4-manifolds, we recover Theorem 5.4 in [27].

Corollary 6.4. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be an almost Hermitian $2m$-manifold. Then $h^1_{d+d^\Lambda} \leq h^{2m-1}_{d+d^c}$. If $d\omega = 0$, then $h^{2m-1}_{d+d^c} = b_1$.*

7. Bott–Chern and Aeppli numbers of compact complex surfaces

The goal of this section is to compute Bott–Chern and Aeppli numbers of compact complex surfaces. As a consequence of the computations, we see that they depend only on the topology of the underlying manifold. More precisely, they do not depend on the choice of complex structure, but only on the numbers b_1, b^+ and b^-. This is a result which was already implicitly contained in the work of Teleman [30] (see also [29]).

Let (M, J) be a compact complex surface (with no boundary). We are interested in the following invariants:

- the *Betti numbers* of M,

$$b_k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_d(M; \mathbb{C}), \quad k = 0, \ldots, 4.$$

Since M is an oriented closed manifold, the Betti numbers reduce to

k	0	1	2	3	4
b_k	1	b_1	b_2	b_1	1

- The *Hodge numbers* of (M, J),

$$h_{\bar\partial}^{p,q} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\bar\partial}^{p,q}(M; \mathbb{C}), \quad p, q = 0, 1, 2,$$

that can be arranged in the so-called *Hodge diamond*:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & h_{\bar\partial}^{0,0} & & & \\
& & h_{\bar\partial}^{1,0} & & h_{\bar\partial}^{0,1} & & \\
& h_{\bar\partial}^{2,0} & & h_{\bar\partial}^{1,1} & & h_{\bar\partial}^{0,2} & \\
& & h_{\bar\partial}^{2,1} & & h_{\bar\partial}^{1,2} & & \\
& & & h_{\bar\partial}^{2,2} & & &
\end{array}
$$

- The *Bott–Chern and Aeppli numbers* of (M, J),

$$h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q}(M; \mathbb{C}), \quad p, q = 0, 1, 2,$$
$$h_{\mathrm{A}}^{p,q} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\mathrm{A}}^{p,q}(M; \mathbb{C}), \quad p, q = 0, 1, 2.$$

By duality between Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomology, we have $h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q} = h_{\mathrm{A}}^{m-p,m-q}$ for all p and q, thus knowing Bott–Chern numbers completely determines Aeppli numbers. We arrange Bott–Chern numbers in a *Bott–Chern diamond*:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,0} & & & \\
& & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,0} & & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,1} & & \\
& h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,0} & & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1} & & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,2} & \\
& & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,1} & & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,2} & & \\
& & & h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,2} & & &
\end{array}
$$

It is a well-known fact that while a priori Hodge numbers depend on the choice of J, for compact complex surfaces they actually depend only on the first Betti number b_1 and on the positive and negative self-intersection numbers b^+ and b^-, with $b^+ + b^- = b_2$. For the sake of completeness, we give here a precise statement, whose proof follows from Theorems 2.7 and 2.14 in Chapter 4 of [5].

Theorem 7.1 ([5]). *Let (M, J) be a compact complex surface. If b_1 is even, then the Hodge diamond of (M, J) is*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & 1 & & \\
& \frac{b_1}{2} & & \frac{b_1}{2} & \\
\frac{b^+ - 1}{2} & & b^- + 1 & & \frac{b^+ - 1}{2} \\
& \frac{b_1}{2} & & \frac{b_1}{2} & \\
& & 1. & &
\end{array}
$$

If b_1 is odd, then the Hodge diamond of (M, J) is

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & 1 & & \\
& \frac{b_1 - 1}{2} & & \frac{b_1 + 1}{2} & \\
\frac{b^+}{2} & & b^- & & \frac{b^+}{2} \\
& \frac{b_1 + 1}{2} & & \frac{b_1 - 1}{2} & \\
& & 1. & &
\end{array}
$$

Corollary 7.2. *Hodge numbers of compact complex surfaces depend only on the topology of the manifold.*

We proceed now to state and prove a similar result, valid for Bott–Chern numbers.

Theorem 7.3. *Let (M, J) be a compact complex surface. If b_1 is even, then the Bott–Chern diamond of (M, J) is*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & 1 & & \\
 & \frac{b_1}{2} & & \frac{b_1}{2} & \\
\frac{b^+-1}{2} & & b^- + 1 & & \frac{b^+-1}{2} \\
 & \frac{b_1}{2} & & \frac{b_1}{2} & \\
 & & 1. & &
\end{array}
$$

If b_1 is odd, then the Bott–Chern diamond of (M, J) is

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & 1 & & \\
 & \frac{b_1-1}{2} & & \frac{b_1-1}{2} & \\
\frac{b^+}{2} & & b^- + 1 & & \frac{b^+}{2} \\
 & \frac{b_1+1}{2} & & \frac{b_1+1}{2} & \\
 & & 1. & &
\end{array}
$$

Proof. One easily sees that $h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,0} = h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,2} = 1$ for every compact complex surface. By [30], see also [1, 4], on compact complex surfaces we have that

$$(7.1) \qquad h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,0} + h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,1} + h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,1} + h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,2} = 2b_1$$

and

$$(7.2) \qquad h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,0} + h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1} + h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,2} = \begin{cases} b_2 & \text{if } b_1 \text{ is even,} \\ b_2 + 1 & \text{if } b_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since Bott–Chern numbers are symmetric in p and q, we can simplify (7.1) and (7.2) to get

$$(7.3) \qquad h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,0} + h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,1} = b_1$$

and

$$(7.4) \qquad 2h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,0} + h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1} = \begin{cases} b_2 & \text{if } b_1 \text{ is even,} \\ b_2 + 1 & \text{if } b_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

If b_1 is even, then (M, J) admits a Kähler metric [7, 21]. Since $h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q}$ are independent of the choice of metric, it is enough to compute them for a Kähler metric. On Kähler manifolds, there is an isomorphism $H_{\mathrm{BC}}^{p,q} \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}$. Therefore the Bott–Chern diamond of compact complex surfaces with even b_1 coincides with their Hodge diamond given in Theorem 7.1.

Suppose now that b_1 is odd. For any choice of metric g, we have $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,0} = \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{1,0}$. Indeed, writing explicitly the spaces of harmonic forms, we have that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,0} = A^{1,0} \cap \ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{1,0} = A^{1,0} \cap \ker \bar{\partial}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 in Chapter 4 of [5], every holomorphic form on a compact complex surface is d-closed, thus $A^{1,0} \cap \ker \bar{\partial} = A^{1,0} \cap \ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$, giving the equality of the two spaces, and allowing us to deduce that

$$h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,0} = h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,1} = h_{\bar{\partial}}^{1,0} = \frac{b_1 - 1}{2}.$$

By (7.3), we also obtain

$$h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,1} = h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,2} = \frac{b_1 + 1}{2}.$$

The number $h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1}$ can be computed either using Lemma 2.3 in [30], using Theorem 4.4 applied to an integrable J together with Proposition 3.1, or applying Theorem 4.2 in [18], since in the complex case d, d^c or ∂, $\bar{\partial}$ are interchangeable in the definition of Bott–Chern cohomology. It turns out that for Bott–Chern numbers

(7.5)
$$h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1} = b^- + 1.$$

Finally, by (7.4) and (7.5), we have

$$h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{2,0} = h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{0,2} = \frac{b^+}{2},$$

concluding the proof.   ■

Remark 7.4. Note that, for Bott–Chern numbers, one has $h_{\mathrm{BC}}^{1,1} = b^- + 1$ independently of the parity of b_1, in contrast to what happens for the number $h_{\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}$ (cf. Theorem 7.1).

Corollary 7.5 ([30] or [29]). *Bott–Chern and Aeppli numbers of compact complex surfaces depend only on the topology of the underlying manifold.*

8. The numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ of invariant compatible triples

In this section, we determine the numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ of compact complex surfaces that are diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds endowed with an invariant compatible triple. Along the way, we establish results valid more in general for compact quotients of Lie groups by a lattice.

Let G be a Lie group and let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a lattice. Suppose that the quotient $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ is a compact manifold. When G is solvable/nilpotent, M is called a *solvmanifold/nilmanifold*. A complex structure on M is *invariant* if it is induced by a complex structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie algebra of G. Similarly, a metric and an almost symplectic structure on M are *invariant* if they are induced by an inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ and a non-degenerate element of $\bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$, respectively. An *invariant compatible triple* on M is a compatible triple (J, ω, g) for which each of the three structures is invariant.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 8.1. *Let M be a 4-dimensional solvmanifold endowed with an invariant compatible triple (J, ω, g). Then the numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ are independent of the choice of invariant compatible triple.*

The proof of Theorem 8.1 follows from several lemmas that are valid in a slightly more general setting and that will be useful later for explicit computations (see Example 8.5). Furthermore, we will compute the numbers $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ of compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds. The resulting numbers are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

We begin by taking care of 1-forms.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a compact quotient of a Lie group by a lattice endowed with an invariant almost symplectic structure ω. Then $h^1_{d+d^\Lambda} = b_1$, and it is metric independent.*

Proof. Fix a compatible metric g. The inequality $h^1_{d+d^\Lambda} \le b_1$ holds for arbitrary almost Hermitian manifolds. For the opposite inequality, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^1_d$ be a d-harmonic 1-form. Then $d\alpha = 0$ and $(dd^\Lambda)^*\alpha = d^c d^*\alpha = 0$ for any choice of compatible metric. Since ω is invariant, $*_s\alpha$ is an invariant 3-form, thus it is d-closed and we have $d^\Lambda\alpha = 0$. ∎

The following lemma deals with the space $\mathcal{H}^3_{d+d^\Lambda}$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be a Hermitian $2m$-manifold. Suppose that for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}^1_{dd^c}$ we have*

$$(d^c)^* d^* d\gamma = 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d+d^c} \cap \ker \Delta_{d+d^\Lambda} \quad and \quad h^{2m-1}_{d+d^\Lambda} \le h^{2m-1}_{d+d^c}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, $\mathcal{H}^{2m-1}_{d+d^\Lambda} \cong \mathcal{H}^1_{d^\Lambda d}$, thus we compute $d^\Lambda d$-harmonic 1-forms. Let $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_t\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{H}^1_{d+d^c}$, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^1_{d^\Lambda d}$ and let

$$(8.1) \qquad \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{t} A_j \gamma_j + (dd^c)^* \beta + df + d^c g$$

be the harmonic decomposition of α with respect to Δ_{dd^c}, with $A_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\beta \in A^3$, $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. Since $d^c\alpha = 0$ and $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{H}^1_{dd^c}$, we have

$$0 = dd^c\alpha = dd^c(dd^c)^*\beta,$$

obtaining $(dd^c)^*\beta = 0$. On the other side, we have that

$$0 = d^\Lambda d\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{t} A_j (d^c)^* d\gamma_j + (d^c)^* dd^c g.$$

Taking the inner product with dg and using the assumption $(d^c)^* d^* d\gamma_j = 0$, we can write

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{t} A_j \langle (d^c)^* d^* d\gamma_j, g \rangle + \langle dd^c g, d^c dg \rangle = -\|dd^c g\|^2,$$

which implies that g is constant. Finally, from

$$0 = d^*\alpha = d^* df$$

we deduce that also f is constant, $\alpha = \sum_j A_j \gamma_j \in \mathcal{H}^1_{dd^c}$ and $\mathcal{H}^1_{d\wedge d}$ is computed as $\mathcal{H}^1_{dd^c} \cap \ker \Delta_{d\wedge d}$. ∎

Denote by $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_d$ the space of d-harmonic (p,q)-forms.

Proposition 8.4. *Let* $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ *be a 4-dimensional compact quotient of a Lie group by a lattice, and let* (J, ω, g) *be an invariant compatible triple, with J integrable. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^3_{d+d^\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}^{2,1}_d \cup \mathcal{H}^{1,2}_d.$$

Proof. Since the compatible triple is invariant, any 1-form γ automatically satisfies that $(d^c)^* d^* d\gamma = 0$. By Lemma 8.3, we have that

$$\mathcal{H}^3_{d+d^\Lambda} \cong \mathcal{H}^1_{d\wedge d} = \mathcal{H}^1_{dd^c} \cap \ker \Delta_{d\wedge d} = A^1 \cap \ker \Delta_{dd^c} \cap \ker \Delta_{d\wedge d}.$$

Let $\gamma \in A^1 \cap \ker \Delta_{dd^c} \cap \ker \Delta_{d\wedge d}$. Since $\Delta_{dd^c}\gamma = 0$ and $\Delta_{d\wedge d}\gamma = 0$, γ must satisfy the following equations:

$$d^c\gamma = 0, \quad d^*\gamma = 0, \quad (d^c)^*\gamma = 0, \quad d^c d\gamma = 0, \quad d^\Lambda d\gamma = 0.$$

We show that $d\gamma = 0$. Let

(8.2)
$$d\gamma = f\omega + \beta^{1,1} + \beta^{(2,0)(0,2)}$$

be the Lefschetz and bidegree decomposition of $d\gamma$, with $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and with $\beta^{1,1}$ and $\beta^{(2,0)(0,2)}$ primitive forms. From the equations

$$0 = d^2\gamma = d(f\omega + \beta^{1,1} + \beta^{(2,0)(0,2)}),$$
$$0 = d^c d\gamma = -Jd(f\omega + \beta^{1,1} - \beta^{(2,0)(0,2)}),$$
$$0 = d^\Lambda d\gamma = - *_s d(f\omega - \beta^{1,1} - \beta^{(2,0)(0,2)}),$$

we get that
$$d(f\omega) = 0, \quad d\beta^{1,1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d\beta^{(2,0)(0,2)} = 0.$$

Since each of the terms in (8.2) is d-closed, we have that

$$d^*d\gamma = - * d(f\omega - \beta^{1,1} + \beta^{(2,0)(0,2)}) = 0,$$

which implies $d\gamma = 0$ and that γ is both d-harmonic and d^c-harmonic. As a consequence, the $(1,0)$-degree part and $(0,1)$-degree part of γ are both d-harmonic. This shows that $\mathcal{H}^1_{d\wedge d} = \mathcal{H}^{1,0}_d \cup \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_d$ and, after applying the isomorphism $*$, it concludes the proof of the proposition. ∎

We are ready for the proof of Theorem 8.1.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Let M be a 4-dimensional solvmanifold admitting a complex structure. Then M is one of the following [16]:

(A) a complex torus;

(B) a hyperelliptic surface;

(C) an Inoue surface of type $\mathcal{S}_M$;

(D) a primary Kodaira surface;

(E) a secondary Kodaira surface;

(F) an Inoue surface of type $\mathcal{S}^\pm$.

By Theorem 7.3, the numbers $h^k_{d+d^c}$ depend only on b_1, b^+ and b^-, and not on the choice of compatible triple (not necessarily invariant).

All invariant structures on the torus and the hyperelliptic surface (cases (A) and (B)) are Kähler structures, therefore $h^k_{d+d^\wedge} = b_k$ and they are independent of the compatible triple.

Cases (C), (E) and (F) can be treated simultaneously since they have the same Betti numbers $b_1 = 1$ and $b_2 = 0$. By Theorem 7.3 we have that

$$h^1_{d+d^c} = b_1 - 1 = 0, \quad h^2_{d+d^c} = b_2 + 1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h^3_{d+d^c} = b_1 + 1 = 2.$$

By Lemma 8.2, we have $h^1_{d+d^\wedge} = b_1 = 1$. By Theorem 5.4 and the fact that there are no d-harmonic 2-forms, since $b_2 = 0$, we have that $h^2_{d+d^\wedge} = b_2 = 0$. By Proposition 8.4, we have that $\mathcal{H}^3_{d+d^\wedge} = \mathcal{H}^{2,1}_d \cup \mathcal{H}^{1,2}_d$. In particular, since $\mathcal{H}^{2,1}_d \cong \mathcal{H}^{1,2}_d$ via complex conjugation, $h^3_{d+d^\wedge}$ must be even. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^{2,1}_d \cap \mathcal{H}^{1,2}_d = \{0\}$ and both spaces inject into $\mathcal{H}^3_d$, thus $h^3_{d+d^\wedge} \le b_1 = 1$ and it must be an even number, showing that $h^3_{d+d^\wedge} = 0$.

Case (D) has to be treated separately, since the Betti numbers in this case are $b_1 = 3$ and $b_2 = 4$. By Theorem 7.3, we immediately have

$$h^1_{d+d^c} = 2, \quad h^2_{d+d^c} = 5 \quad \text{and} \quad h^1_{d+d^c} = 4.$$

By Lemma 8.2, we have that $h^1_{d+d^\wedge} = b_1 = 3$. By Proposition 8.4, with the same reasoning as in cases (C), (E) and (F), we know that $h^3_{d+d^\wedge}$ is even and $h^3_{d+d^\wedge} \le b_1 = 3$, so that either $h^3_{d+d^\wedge} = 0$ or $h^3_{d+d^\wedge} = 2$.

We show that $h^3_{d+d^\wedge} = 2$ by showing that there is at least one $(d + d^\wedge)$-harmonic 3-form. Fix an invariant compatible triple (J, ω, g). By Theorem 1.3 in [24], since primary Kodaira surfaces are nilmanifolds $\Gamma \backslash G$ and the complex structure is invariant, up to a linear transformation of the Lie algebra of G, we can find a basis of invariant $(1, 0)$-form $\{\phi^1, \phi^2\}$ such that $d\phi^1 = 0$ and $d\phi^2 = \phi^{1\bar{1}}$. Since g is an invariant metric, $*\phi^1$ is an invariant $(2, 1)$-form, thus $d(*\phi^1) = 0$. Moreover, $d^*(*\phi^1) = - * d\phi^1 = 0$. Hence, $*\phi^1 \in \mathcal{H}^{2,1}_d$ and $h^3_{d+d^\wedge} = 2$.

To conclude the proof, we show that

$$\mathcal{H}^2_d = \mathcal{H}^2_{d^c} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\wedge},$$

which implies that $h^2_{d+d^\wedge} = b_2 = 4$, by Theorem 5.4. Consider the decomposition $\mathcal{H}^2_d = \mathcal{H}^+_g \oplus \mathcal{H}^-_g$. Forms in $\mathcal{H}^-_g$ are anti-self-dual, thus they have bidegree $(1, 1)$ and they are primitive. Moreover, they are also d-closed. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^-_g$, then $d\alpha = 0$, $d^\wedge \alpha = - *_s d *_s \alpha = *_s d\alpha = 0$ and $(d d^\wedge)^* \alpha = d^c d^* \alpha = d^c * d\alpha = 0$. As a consequence, we have $\mathcal{H}^-_g \subseteq \mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\wedge}$. For the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^+_g \subseteq \mathcal{H}^2_{d+d^\wedge}$, we observe that on primary Kodaira surfaces $b^+ = 2$ and, as long as the compatible triple is invariant, we have $\mathcal{H}^+_g = \mathbb{C}\langle \phi^{12}, \phi^{\bar{1}\bar{2}} \rangle$,

where $\{\phi^1, \phi^2\}$ is the preferred basis of [24] we considered above in the proof, up to normalization. Indeed, we have that $d\phi^{12} = 0$ and $*\phi^{12}$ is an invariant $(2, 0)$-form since the metric is invariant. Finally, also $*_s\phi^{12}$ is an invariant $(2, 0)$-form since ω is invariant, and one has that

$$d\phi^{12} = 0, \quad d^\Lambda\phi^{12} = -*_s d *_s \phi^{12} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (dd^\Lambda)^*\phi^{12} = d^c d^*\phi^{12} = 0,$$

proving that $\mathcal{H}_g^+ \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{d+d^\Lambda}^2$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^2 = 4$. ∎

The results proved in this section and in Section 7 can be used to compute the numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ in the case of complex surfaces not necessarily diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds, as we illustrate in the example below.

Example 8.5 (Hopf surface). Let $M = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ be the Hopf surface. There exists a parallelism for T^*M given by $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4\}$, with differentials

$$de^1 = 0, \quad de^2 = -e^{34}, \quad de^3 = e^{24} \quad \text{and} \quad de^4 = -e^{23}.$$

Note that M is the quotient of a non-solvable Lie group by a lattice.

Proposition 8.6. *Let (M, J, ω, g) be the Hopf surface endowed with an invariant compatible triple with J integrable. The numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ are*

k	0	1	2	3	4
$h_{d+d^c}^k$	1	0	1	2	1
$h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$	1	1	0	0	1

Proof. By Theorem 7.3, we have that $h_{d+d^c}^1 = 0$, $h_{d+d^c}^2 = 1$ and $h_{d+d^c}^3 = 2$.

To compute $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$, we resort to several different arguments. By Lemma 8.2, we have that $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^1 = b_1 = 1$. Since there is no d-harmonic 2-form, Theorem 5.4 tells us that $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^2 = 0$. Finally, by Proposition 8.4 and the same reasoning used in the proof of Theorem 8.1, we conclude that $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^3 = 0$. ∎

Remark 8.7. The fact that almost complex and almost symplectic invariants are determined by the topology of the underlying manifold is not surprising, especially on solvmanifolds (see, e.g., Theorem 5.15 in [28]).

k	(A) Complex torus		(B) Hyperelliptic surface		(C) Inoue surface $\mathcal{S}_M$	
	$h_{d+d^c}^k$	$h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$	$h_{d+d^c}^k$	$h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$	$h_{d+d^c}^k$	$h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	4	4	2	2	0	1
2	6	6	2	2	1	0
3	4	4	2	2	2	0
4	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 1. The numbers $h_{d+d^c}^k$ and $h_{d+d^\Lambda}^k$ of the complex torus, the hyperelliptic surface and the Inoue surface $\mathcal{S}_M$.

k	(D) Primary Kodaira surface		(E) Secondary Kodaira surface		(F) Inoue surface $S^\pm$	
	$h^k_{d+d^c}$	$h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$	$h^k_{d+d^c}$	$h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$	$h^k_{d+d^c}$	$h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	2	3	0	1	0	1
2	5	4	1	0	1	0
3	4	2	2	0	2	0
4	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 2. The numbers $h^k_{d+d^c}$ and $h^k_{d+d^\Lambda}$ of the primary and secondary Kodaira surface and the Inoue surface $S^\pm$.

References

[1] Angella, D., Dloussky, G. and Tomassini, A.: On Bott–Chern cohomology of compact complex surfaces. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **195** (2016), no. 1, 199–217. Zbl 1343.32012 MR 3453598

[2] Angella, D. and Tomassini, A.: On the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma and Bott–Chern cohomology. *Invent. Math.* **192** (2013), no. 1, 71–81. Zbl 1271.32011 MR 3032326

[3] Angella, D. and Tomassini, A.: Inequalities *à la* Frölicher and cohomological decompositions. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **9** (2015), no. 2, 505–542. Zbl 1325.32018 MR 3359019

[4] Angella, D., Tomassini, A. and Verbitsky, M.: On non-Kähler degrees of complex manifolds. *Adv. Geom.* **19** (2019), no. 1, 65–69. Zbl 1416.32011 MR 3903576

[5] Barth, W. P., Hulek, K., Peters, C. A. M. and de Ven, A. V.: *Compact complex surfaces*. Second edition. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 3. Folge 4, Springer, Berlin, 2004. Zbl 1036.14016 MR 2030225

[6] Brylinski, J.-L.: A differential complex for Poisson manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **28** (1988), no. 1, 93–114. Zbl 0634.58029 MR 0950556

[7] Buchdahl, N.: On compact Kähler surfaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, xi, 287–302. Zbl 0926.32025 MR 1688136

[8] Cirici, J. and Wilson, S. O.: Almost hermitian identities. *Mathematics* **8** (2020), no. 8, article no. 1357, 8 pp.

[9] Cirici, J. and Wilson, S. O.: Topological and geometric aspects of almost Kähler manifolds via harmonic theory. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **26** (2020), no. 3, article no. 35, 27 pp. Zbl 1442.32034 MR 4110721

[10] Cirici, J. and Wilson, S. O.: Dolbeault cohomology for almost complex manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **391** (2021), article no. 107970, 52 pp. Zbl 1478.32085 MR 4301489

[11] Coelho, R., Placini, G. and Stelzig, J.: Maximally non-integrable almost complex structures: an h-principle and cohomological properties. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **28** (2022), no. 5, article no. 83, 25 pp. Zbl 1504.32074 MR 4486229

[12] de Bartolomeis, P. and Tomassini, A.: On formality of some symplectic manifolds. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (2001), no. 24, 1287–1314. Zbl 1004.53068 MR 1866746

[13] Fernández, L. and Hosmer, S.: Kähler identities for almost complex manifolds. Preprint 2022, arXiv: 2208.07276.

[14] Gauduchon, P.: Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **285** (1977), no. 5, A387–A390. Zbl 0362.53024 MR 0470920

[15] Gray, A. and Hervella, L. M.: The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **123** (1980), no. 1, 35–58. Zbl 0444.53032 MR 0581924

[16] Hasegawa, K.: Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds. *J. Symplectic Geom.* **3** (2005), no. 4, 749–767. Zbl 1120.53043 MR 2235860

[17] Hirzebruch, F.: Some problems on differentiable and complex manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **60** (1954), no. 2, 213–236. Zbl 0056.16803 MR 0066013

[18] Holt, T.: Bott–Chern and $\bar{\partial}$ harmonic forms on almost Hermitian 4-manifolds. *Math. Z.* **302** (2022), no. 1, 47–72. Zbl 1496.32035 MR 4462670

[19] Holt, T., Piovani, R. and Tomassini, A.: Invariants of almost complex and almost Kähler manifolds. Preprint 2023, arXiv: 2209.07286.

[20] Holt, T. and Zhang, W.: Harmonic forms on the Kodaira–Thurston manifold. *Adv. Math.* **400** (2022), article no. 108277, 30 pp. Zbl 1523.32046 MR 4385143

[21] Lamari, A.: Courants kählériens et surfaces compactes. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, x, 263–285. Zbl 0926.32026 MR 1688140

[22] Michelsohn, M. L.: On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry. *Acta Math.* **149** (1982), no. 3-4, 261–295. Zbl 0531.53053 MR 0688351

[23] Piovani, R. and Tomassini, A.: Bott–Chern Laplacian on almost Hermitian manifolds. *Math. Z.* **301** (2022), no. 3, 2685–2707. Zbl 1495.53087 MR 4437335

[24] Salamon, S. M.: Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157** (2001), no. 2-3, 311–333. Zbl 1020.17006 MR 1812058

[25] Schweitzer, M.: Autour de la cohomologie de Bott–Chern. Preprint 2007, arXiv: 0709.3528.

[26] Sillari, L. and Tomassini, A.: Dolbeault and J-invariant cohomologies on almost complex manifolds. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **15** (2021), no. 7, article no. 112, 28 pp. Zbl 1484.32045 MR 4318890

[27] Sillari, L. and Tomassini, A.: On Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomologies of almost complex manifolds and related spaces of harmonic forms. *Expo. Math.* **41** (2023), no. 4, article no. 125517, 34 pp. Zbl 07799884 MR 4646801

[28] Sillari, L. and Tomassini, A.: Rank of the Nijenhuis tensor on parallelizable almost complex manifolds. *Internat. J. Math.* **34** (2023), no. 11, article no. 2350063, 35 pp. Zbl 1523.32048 MR 4649511

[29] Stelzig, J. and Wilson, S. O.: A dd^c-type condition beyond the Kähler realm. To appear in *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*. Published online 2023, 54 pp., DOI 10.1017/S1474748023000312.

[30] Teleman, A.: The pseudo-effective cone of a non-Kählerian surface and applications. *Math. Ann.* **335** (2006), no. 4, 965–989. Zbl 1096.32011 MR 2232025

[31] Tseng, L.-S. and Yau, S.-T.: Cohomology and Hodge theory on symplectic manifolds: I. *J. Differential Geom.* **91** (2012), no. 3, 383–416. Zbl 1275.53079 MR 2981843

[32] Tseng, L.-S. and Yau, S.-T.: Cohomology and Hodge theory on symplectic manifolds: II. *J. Differential Geom.* **91** (2012), no. 3, 417–443. Zbl 1275.53080 MR 2981844

[33] Tseng, L.-S. and Yau, S.-T.: Generalized cohomologies and supersymmetry. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **326** (2014), no. 3, 875–885. Zbl 1288.81125 MR 3173409

[34] Weil, A.: *Introduction à l'étude des variétés kählériennes.* Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago VI, Actualités Sci. Ind. 1267, Hermann, Paris, 1958. Zbl 0137.41103 MR 0111056

Lorenzo Sillari
Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati (SISSA)
Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy;
lsillari@sissa.it

Adriano Tomassini
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Unitá di Matematica e Informatica, Universitá degli Studi di Parma
Parco Area delle Scienze 53/A, 43124 Parma, Italy;
adriano.tomassini@unipr.it