Existence and regularity results of parabolic problems with convection term and singular nonlinearity

Mounim El Ouardy, Youssef El Hadfi, and Abdelaaziz Sbai

Abstract. In this work, we investigate the influence of the convection term and the singular lower order term on the existence and regularity of solutions to the following parabolic problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(M(x,t)\nabla u) = -\operatorname{div}(uE(x,t)) + \frac{f}{u^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N > 2$) is a bounded smooth domain with $0 \in \Omega$, and $f \in L^m(\Omega \times (0,T))$ with $m \geq 1$ is a non-negative function. The function u_0 is a non-negative function that belongs to the space $L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\forall \omega \subset\subset \Omega, \ \exists c_\omega > 0, \quad u_0 \geq c_\omega \text{ in } \omega.$$

The main idea of this research explains the combined impact of the convection term and the singular lower order term on the existence and regularity of a solution to the above problem.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we deal with the existence and regularity results of solutions to the following singular parabolic boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(M(x,t)\nabla u) = -\operatorname{div}(uE(x,t)) + \frac{f}{u^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega_T = \Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T = \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 \in \Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N > 2$), $\theta > 0$, and $M : \Omega_T \to \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is a bounded measurable matrix, which satisfies the following conditions: there exist two positive constants α_1 and β_1 such that, for a.e. $(x,t) \in \Omega_T$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\alpha_1|\xi|^2 \leq M(x,t)\xi \cdot \xi, \quad |M(x,t)| \leq \beta_1. \quad (1.2)$$

Furthermore, the vector field E satisfies

$$|E| \leq \frac{B}{|x|}, \quad B \in \mathbb{R}_+^*. \tag{1.3}$$

$f(x,t)$ is a non-negative measurable function which satisfies

$$f \in L^m(\Omega_T), \quad m \geq 1.$$

Here, $L^m(\Omega_T)$ denotes the Lebesgue space.

There are considerable researches dealing with the problem as (1.1) when $\theta = 0$ or $E \equiv 1$. The problem (1.1) with $\theta = 0$ has been thoroughly investigated in the past by Boccardo et al. in a series of works under different hypotheses on the vector field E. To be more specific, when $\theta = 0$, the stationary case of problem (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(M(x)\nabla u) = -\operatorname{div}(uE(x)) + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $E \in (L^N(\Omega))^N$, $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $1 \leq m < N/2$, and M is a bounded measurable matrix. In [3], the author proved the existence and regularity results of solution to problem (1.4) for all $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m \geq 1$. More precisely, they have obtained the following results.

- If $\frac{2N}{N+2} < m < \frac{N}{2}$ and $|B| < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2m)}{m}$, then there exists a weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{m^{**}}(\Omega)$.

- If $1 < m < \frac{2N}{N+2}$ and $|B| < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2m)}{m}$, then there exists a distributional solution $u \in W_0^{1,m^{**}}(\Omega)$.

- If $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $E \in (L^2(\Omega))^N$, then there exists an entropy solution such that $\log(1 + |u|) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Some interesting example in [3] showed that the existence and summability results of solution to problem (1.4) obtained in [2] lost with this slightly weaker assumption (1.3). For more details, see [3, Examples 2.1 and 2.2].

Recently, Boccardo and Orsina in [11] studied the existence of distributional solution $u \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ to problem (1.4) with $q < \frac{N\alpha_1}{B+\alpha_1}$ provided (1.3) holds with $\alpha_1(N-2) \leq B < \alpha_1(N-1)$ and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Furthermore, u satisfies

$$\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_E \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

The constant C_E depends on E, α_1, and Ω. For some other related results about elliptic problems with convection term, see the works [4–10, 17, 18] and references therein.

Concerning the evolutive case as problem (1.1) with $\theta = 0$, many authors have investigated this type of problem. Boccardo et al. in [12] have studied problem (1.1) when $\theta = 0$, $f \equiv 0$, $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, and $E \in (L^2(\Omega_T))^N$. In the same kinds, Boccardo, Orsina,

and Porzio in [14] have studied problem (1.1) in the case $f \equiv 0$, $\theta = 0$, and E is a non-zero measurable vector field satisfies the following assumption:

$$|E(x,t)| \leq \mu|B(x)|, \quad \mu > 0, \quad B \in L^N(\Omega) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \Omega_T;$$

also, the authors studied problem (1.1) when the vector field is less regular, i.e.,

$$|E(x,t)| \leq \frac{B}{|x|}, \quad B > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

More recently, Farroni–Moscariello [33] and Farroni in [29] studied the following singular parabolic problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(M(x,t)\nabla u + A\frac{x}{|x|^2}u\right) = -\operatorname{div} F & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $F \in L^2(\Omega_T)$, $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, and M is a measurable, symmetric, matrix field satisfying the uniform bounds

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \langle M(x,t)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq \kappa|\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \kappa.$$

Farroni, Greco, Moscariello et al. in [31] have generalized the problem contained in [29]. For some other results of parabolic equations with convection terms, see [15,32,34,35,39].

If the convection term does not exist (i.e., $E \equiv 0$), problem (1.1) has been extensively studied in the past. De Bonis and De Cave in [19] have studied the existence and regularity of solution to problem (1.1) when the operator is nonlinear with classical Leray–Lions conditions, $\theta > 0$, $0 \leq f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$, $m \geq 1$, and $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $u_0 \geq c$ in ω, for all $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$. In the presence of the absorption terms, the existence and regularity of solution to problem (1.1) has been proved in [24, 27]. When the singular term $u^{-\theta}$, ($\theta > 0$), is replaced by a continuous function h possibly singular at the origin and bounded outside the origin, problem (1.1) has been treated in many works: Oliva and Petitta in [42] have shown the existence of a non-negative distributional solution to problem (1.1), with $f \in L^1(Q)$ and $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$. In the same kinds, Oliva and Magliocca in [38] have proved the existence of non-negative solution to problem (1.1) with a superlinear gradient term which is possibly singular. For more and different aspects concerning singular elliptic and parabolic problems we refer to [20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 40, 41, 43–48] and references therein.

Concerning the case in the presence of the convection and the singular terms (i.e., $\theta > 0$, $E \neq 0$), the literature concerned with this type of problems is more limited. More recently, He and Huang in [36] have studied the following singular elliptic problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(M(x)\nabla u) = -\operatorname{div}(uE(x)) + \frac{f}{u^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \le f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m \ge 1$, $\theta > 0$, M is a bounded measurable matrix satisfies some conditions, and the vector field E satisfies condition (1.5). More precisely, the authors have been proved the existence of a solution u to the above problem and satisfy the following summability:

- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $\theta = 1$, $A < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$, and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$.

- $u \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(\Omega)$, $u^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $\theta > 1$, $A < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{\theta+1}$, and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$.

- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $\theta < 1$, $A < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$, and $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m = \frac{2N}{N+2-\theta(N-2)}$.

- $u \in W_0^q(\Omega)$, $q = \frac{Nm(\theta+1)}{N-m(1-\theta)}$ if $\theta < 1$, $\frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2} < A < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{\theta+1}$, and $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $1 \le m < \frac{2N}{N+2+\theta(N-2)}$.

The difficulty of studying problem (1.1) comes from the presence of the convection term $\mathrm{div}(uE(x,t))$, which leads to the noncoercivity of the differential operator $-\mathrm{div}(M(x,t)\nabla u) + \mathrm{div}(E(x,t)u)$ on $L^2(0,T,;H_0^1(\Omega))$ and in the presence of the singular term $u^{-\theta}$, $\theta > 0$. Therefore, in order to overcome the noncoercivity of the operator $-\mathrm{div}(M(x,t)\nabla u) + \mathrm{div}(E(x,t)u)$, we apply truncation method and consider the corresponding approximate Dirichlet problem.

Our main results are in Section 3. More precisely, we start by treating the case $\theta = 1$, the existence of a solution to problem (1.1) given by Theorem 3.3 (see below), and then the regularity of solutions is given by Theorem 3.5. Also, we will state the existence and regularity of solutions to problem (1.1) in the case $\theta > 1$ in Theorems 3.7 and 3.8, respectively. Finally, the existence of solutions to problem (1.1) when $\theta < 1$ is given by Theorems 3.10 and 3.13, and the summability of the solution is given in Theorem 3.11.

Preliminaries and notations. Now, we give the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality that we will use afterwards in the proof of main results.

Lemma 1.1 ([21, Theorem 1.2]). *Let v be a function in $W_0^{1,h}(\Omega) \cap L^\rho(\Omega)$ with $h \ge 1$, $\rho \ge 1$. Then, there exists a positive constant C_{GN}, depending on N, h, ρ, and σ, such that*

$$\|v\|_{L^\sigma(\Omega)} \le C_{GN} \|\nabla v\|_{(L^h(\Omega))^N}^\eta \|v\|_{L^\rho(\Omega)}^{1-\eta}$$

for every η and σ satisfying

$$0 \le \eta \le 1, \quad 1 \le \sigma < +\infty, \quad \frac{1}{\sigma} = \eta\left(\frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{N}\right) + \frac{1-\eta}{\rho}.$$

An immediate consequence of the previous lemma is the following embedding result:

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |v|^\sigma \le C_{GN} \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\rho(\Omega))}^{\frac{\rho h}{N}} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla v|^h,$$

which holds for every function v in $L^h(0,T;W_0^{1,h}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;L^\rho(\Omega))$ with $h \ge 1$, $\rho > 1$, and $\sigma = \frac{h(N+\rho)}{N}$ (see, for instance [21, Proposition 3.1]).

Note that problem (1.1) is related to the following Hardy inequality (see, e.g., [16,50]):

$$H\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|v|^2}{|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

where $H = \frac{N-2}{2}$.

For the sake of simplicity, we will often use the simplified notation

$$\int_{\Omega_T} f := \int_0^T \int_\Omega f(x,t)\,dx\,dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega f := \int_\Omega f(x)\,dx,$$

when no ambiguity in the integration variables is possible. If not otherwise specified, we will denote by C several constants whose value may change from line to line and, sometimes, in the same line. These values will only depend on the parameters (for instance, C can depend on $N, \alpha_1, \theta, m, T, \Omega, \Omega_T$), but they will never depend on the indexes of the sequences we will often introduce.

Here, we give the definition of a weak solution to problem (1.1).

Definition 1.2. If $\theta \le 1$, a weak solution to problem (1.1) is a function

$$u \in L^1(0,T; W_0^{1,1}(\Omega))$$

such that

$$\forall \omega \subset\subset \Omega \quad \exists c_\omega > 0 : u \ge c_\omega \text{ in } \omega \times (0,T), \tag{1.6}$$

$$|M(x,t)\nabla u|, \quad |uE(x,t)| \in L^1(0,T; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)), \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$-\int_0^T \int_\Omega u\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} + \int_0^T \int_\Omega M(x,t)\nabla u\nabla\varphi$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_\Omega uE(x,t)\cdot\nabla\varphi + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{f\varphi}{u^\theta} + \int_\Omega u_0(x)\varphi(x,0),$$
$$\forall\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times (0,T)), \text{ with } \varphi(T) = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

If $\theta > 1$, a weak solution to problem (1.1) is a function $u \in L^r(0,T; H^r_{\text{loc}}(\Omega))$ with $r > 1$ and $u^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}} \in L^2(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ such that u satisfies (1.6)–(1.8).

2. Approximations problem

First, in order to get the existence and regularity of solutions to problem (1.1), we need to consider the following non-singular approximate problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \text{div}(M(x,t)\nabla u_n) = -\text{div}\left(\frac{u_n}{1+\frac{1}{n}|u_n|}\frac{E(x,t)}{1+\frac{1}{n}|E(x,t)|}\right) + \frac{f_n}{(|u_n|+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u_n(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ u_n(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$f_n = \frac{f}{1 + \frac{1}{n}f} \leq n. \tag{2.2}$$

The following lemma gives the existence of solutions to the approximate problem (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$; *then problem* (2.1) *has a non-negative solution*

$$u_n \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_T).$$

Proof. For given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in L^2(\Omega_T)$, let w be the unique solution to the following problem (see, for instance, [37]):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \text{div}(M(x,t)w) = -\text{div}\left(\frac{w}{1+\frac{1}{n}|w|}\frac{E(x,t)}{1+\frac{1}{n}|E(x,t)|}\right) + \frac{f_n}{(|v|+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ w(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ w(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Now, we take w as a test function in (2.3); by (1.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega w^2(x,T) + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla w|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega w^2(x,T) + \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t)\nabla w \cdot \nabla w$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega_T} |wE(x,t)||\nabla w| + \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|f_n w|}{(|v|+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega u_0^2(x)$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega_T} |wE(x,t)||\nabla w| + n^{\theta+1}\int_{\Omega_T} |w| + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega u_0^2, \tag{2.4}$$

where in the last estimate we have used (2.2). Recalling (1.3), and applying Hölder and Hardy inequalities on the second term on the right-hand side of (2.4), we find that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |wE(x,t)||\nabla w| \leq B \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|w|}{|x|}|\nabla w|$$

$$\leq B\left(\int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla w|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{B}{H}\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla w|^2, \tag{2.5}$$

where H is the Hardy constant. Combining (2.4) and (2.5) and using the fact that $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega w^2(x,T) + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H}\right)\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla w|^2 \leq n^{\theta+1}\int_{\Omega_T} |w| + \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$, then $\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H} > 0$. Dropping the first non-negative term and applying the Poincaré inequality, Hölder inequality to the left- and right-hand side of (2.6), respectively, we reach that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |w|^2 \leq Cn^{\theta+1}\left(\int_{\Omega_T} |w|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C}{2}\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

for some constant $C = C(\alpha_1, N)$. Using this fact and applying Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \le C_1 := C(\alpha_1, N, B, H, \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

Define $w = S(v)$ so that the ball of $L^2(\Omega_T)$ of radius C_1 is invariant for S. It is obvious to verify, applying the embedding, that S is both continuous and compact on $L^2(\Omega_T)$. Therefore, by Schauder's fixed-point theorem, there is $u_n \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ satisfying $u_n = S(u_n)$, which implies that u_n satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(M(x,t)\nabla u_n) = -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{u_n}{1+\frac{1}{n}|u_n|}\frac{E(x,t)}{1+\frac{1}{n}|E(x,t)|}\right) + \frac{f_n}{(|u_n|+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u_n(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ u_n(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Thus, u_n is solution to (2.1). Note that the second term on the right-hand side of (2.1) belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega_T)$ implies that $u_n \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$; see [1]. Now, taking $u_n^- = \min(0, u_n)$ as a test function in (2.3) and using (1.2), we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} u_n^- + \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n^- = \int_{\Omega_T} u_n E(x,t)\nabla u_n^- + \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{f_n}{(|u_n|+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} u_n^-;$$

therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega u_n^-(x,t)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega u_0^-(x)^2 + \alpha_1\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n^-|^2$$
$$\le \int_{\Omega_T} u_n E(x,t)\nabla u_n^- + \int_{\Omega_T}\frac{f_n}{(|u_n|+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} u_n^-.$$

From (1.3), and applying Hölder and Hardy inequalities, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n E(x,t)\nabla u_n^- \le \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n^-||E(x,t)||\nabla u_n^-|$$
$$\le B\int_{\Omega_T} \frac{u_n^-}{|x|}$$
$$\le B\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{|u_n^-|^2}{|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n^-|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\le \frac{B}{H}\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n^-|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

By the last inequality, (2.7) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega u_n^-(x,t)^2 + \alpha_1\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n^-|^2 \le \frac{B}{H}\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n^-|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T}\frac{f_n}{(|u_n|+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} u_n^-.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_n^-(x,t)^2 + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H}\right) \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^-|^2 \leq 0.$$

Since $B < \alpha_1 H$, then we deduce that

$$\|u_n^-\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq 0.$$

This implies that $u_n^- = 0$, and so, $u_n \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω_T. ∎

In the following lemma, we prove the strict positivity of the sequence u_n solution to the approximate problem (2.1), which we will apply later in the case $\theta > 1$ in the boundedness of u_n in the space $L^2(0,T;H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$ as well as in the convergence passages.

Lemma 2.2. *Let u_n be a solution to problem* (2.1) *given by Lemma* 2.1. *Then, for every $w \subset\subset \Omega$, there is a positive constant $c_\omega > 0$ (independent of n) such that*

$$u_n \geq c_\omega \quad \text{in } \omega \times (0,T) \; \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. With some modifications and using the same techniques as in the proof of [36, Lemma 3.2] (see also [13]), we can get the proof of Lemma 2.2. ∎

3. Main results

To show the main results of the present work, we need to obtain a priori estimates on u_n. These estimates will effectively depend on f, θ, and B, so we have three separate cases for evaluation. At this point, we start with $\theta = 1$.

3.1. The case $\theta = 1$

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$ and u_n is a solution to* (2.1) *with $\theta = 1$ and $0 \leq f \in L^1(\Omega_T)$. Then, u_n is uniformly bounded in $L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$.*

Proof. Taking u_n as a test function in (2.1) and using (1.2) and the fact $0 \leq f_n \leq f$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_n^2(x,t) + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_n^2(x,t) + \int_{\Omega_t} M(x,t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_T} f + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_0^2.$$

By the fact that $f \in L^1(\Omega_T)$ and $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_n^2(x,t) + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| + \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega_T)} + \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

$$\tag{3.1}$$

Recalling (1.3) and using Hölder's and Hardy inequalities, we estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.1) as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| \leq B \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|u_n|}{|x|} |\nabla u_n|$$

$$\leq B \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{B}{H} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Combining (3.1) with (3.2) and passing to the supremum for $t \in [0, T]$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H} \right) \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega_T)} + \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = C.$$

Since $\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H} > 0$, therefore, we reach that

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_n\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq C.$$

This last affirmation implies the boundedness of the sequence u_n in

$$L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)). \qquad \blacksquare$$

Remark 3.2. In view of Lemma 3.1, we have $u_n E(x,t)$ bounded uniformly in $L^1(\Omega_T)$. From (1.3), applying Hölder's and Hardy inequalities, we can write

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)| \leq B \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|u_n|}{|x|}$$

$$\leq B|\Omega_T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{B|\Omega_T|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{H} \left(\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{B|\Omega_T|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{H} \|u_n\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq C.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\theta = 1$, $f \in L^1(\Omega_T)$ with $f \geq 0$, and $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$. Then, there is a solution $u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ in the sense of Definition 1.2.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have u_n bounded in $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$. Then, there exists a function

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$$

such that u_n converges weakly to u in $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$. From Lemma 2.2, we have $\frac{f_n}{u_n + \frac{1}{n}}$ bounded in the space $L^1(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$. On the other hand, by Remark 3.2, we have $u_n E(x, t)$ bounded in $L^1(\Omega_T)$, and $\text{div}(u_n E(x, t))$ is bounded in

$$L^\infty(\Omega_T) \subset L^2(\Omega_T) \subset L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega));$$

then, we deduce that $\{\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}\}_n$ is bounded in the space

$$L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) + L^1(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega));$$

using compactness argument in [49], we obtain

$$u_n \to u \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\Omega_T) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega_T. \tag{3.3}$$

In the following lemma, we will prove the convergence a.e. of ∇u_n to ∇u in Ω_T.

Lemma 3.4. *The sequence $\{\nabla u_n\}$ converges to ∇u a.e. in Ω_T.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, independent of $t \in (0, T)$, $\varphi = 1$ on $w = \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset\subset \Omega$, and we take $T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\varphi$ as a test function in (2.1); we have

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\varphi + \int_{\Omega_T} M(x, t)\nabla u_n \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\varphi$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} M(x, t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi T_h(u_n - T_k(u))$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x, t)||\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|\varphi + \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x, t)||T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|\nabla\varphi$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\varphi.$$

Since $w = \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset\subset \Omega$ and, by Lemma 2.2, we have $u_n \geq c_{\text{supp}(\varphi)}$, then the above inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega T_h^2(u_n - T_k(u))\varphi + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|^2$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x, t)|\varphi|\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x, t)||T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|\nabla\varphi$$

$$+ h \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x, t)||\nabla\varphi| + \frac{h}{c_\omega^\theta} \int_0^T \int_\omega f + \frac{h^2}{2}|\Omega|$$

$$- \int_{\Omega_T} M(x, t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla\varphi T_h(u_n - T_k(u))$$

$$- \int_{\Omega_T} M(x, t)\nabla T_k(u)\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\varphi.$$

By removing the first non-negative term, applying Hardy and Hölder inequalities in the first term on the right-hand side of the above estimate, we get

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|^2 \leq \frac{B}{H} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|^2 \varphi$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)| |T_h(u_n - T_k(u))| \nabla \varphi$$
$$+ h \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)| |\nabla \varphi| + \frac{h}{c_\omega^\theta} \int_0^T \int_\omega f + \frac{h^2}{2} |\Omega|$$
$$- \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t) \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi T_h(u_n - T_k(u))$$
$$- \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t) \nabla T_k(u) \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) \varphi.$$

Since $B < \alpha_1 H$, therefore the above inequality can be written as follows:

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{B}{H} \right) \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|^2 \varphi$$
$$\leq h \int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)| |\nabla \varphi| + \frac{h}{c_\omega^\theta} \int_0^T \int_\omega f + \frac{h^2}{2} |\Omega|$$
$$- \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t) \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) - \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t) \nabla T_k(u) \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) \varphi.$$

Since $\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) \neq 0$ (which implies that $u_n \leq h + k$), we can easily pass to the limit as n tends to ∞, thanks to (3.3), on the right-hand side of the above inequality, and we use the fact that $\alpha - \frac{B}{H} > 0$ so that

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{B}{H} \right) \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u))|^2 \varphi \leq Ch.$$

To complete the proof of lemma, we can use exactly the same techniques used in the proof of [24, Lemma 7]. Therefore, we find that

$$\nabla u_n \to \nabla u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_T. \tag{3.4}$$

∎

Recalling Remark 3.2, (3.3), (3.4) and by Vitali's theorem, we obtain the following convergences:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t) \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega_T} M(x,t) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times [0,T)) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega_T} u_n E(x,t) \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega_T} u E(x,t) \cdot \nabla \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times [0,T)). \tag{3.6}$$

Concerning the passage to the limit on the term on the right of the approximating problem (2.1), since supp(φ) is a compact subset of $\Omega \times [0, T)$, thanks to Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant $c_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} > 0$ such that $u_n \geq c_{\text{supp}(\varphi)}$; then,

$$\left| \frac{f_n}{u_n + \frac{1}{n}} \varphi \right| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)}}{c_{\text{supp}(\varphi)}} f,$$

for every $(x, t) \in \text{supp}(\varphi)$, since it a.e. converges to $\frac{f}{u}$ for $n \to +\infty$, by Lebesgue theorem, implies that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{f_n}{u_n + \frac{1}{n}} \varphi = \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{f}{u} \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times [0, T)). \tag{3.7}$$

Take now $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega \times [0, T))$ as a test function in problem (2.1); by the convergence results (3.3), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and letting $n \to +\infty$, we obtain

$$-\int_{\Omega_T} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \int_{\Omega_T} M(x, t) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega_T} u E(x, t) \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{f}{u} \varphi$$
$$+ \int_\Omega u_0(x) \varphi(x, 0). \qquad \blacksquare$$

In the following theorem, we state some summability of u solution to problem (1.1) which depends on B and the summability of f.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\theta = 1$ and $0 \leq f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$ with $m \geq 1$. Then, solution u to problem* (1.1) *found in Theorem* 3.3 *satisfies the following regularity:*

(i)   *If $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$ and $m > \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $u \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$.*

(ii) *If $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2} \frac{Nm}{N-2m+2}$ and $1 \leq m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $u \in L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$ with*

$$\sigma = \frac{2m(N + 2)}{N - 2m + 2}.$$

Proof. Let u_n be a solution of (2.1) given by Lemma 2.1 such that u_n converges to a solution of (1.1). In order to prove (i), we choose $G_k(u_n)$ as a test function in (2.1), where $G_k(s) = (s - k)^+$, $k \geq \max\{1, \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\}$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} G_k(u_n) + \int_{\Omega_t} M(x, t) \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla G_k(u_n)$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{u_n}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|u_n|} \frac{E(x, t)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|E(x, t)|} \nabla G_k(u_n) + \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{f_n}{u_n + \frac{1}{n}} G_k(u_n). \tag{3.8}$$

Recalling (1.2), and taking the advantage of the knowledge that the function $G_k(u_n)$ is different from zero only on the set

$$A_{n,k} = \{(x, t) \in \Omega_T : u_n(x, t) \geq k\},$$

and that, on this set, we have $u_n + \frac{1}{n} \geq k \geq 1$, we can get the following estimate:

$$\int_{\Omega_t} M(x,t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla G_k(u_n) = \int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} M(x,t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n$$

$$\geq \alpha_1 \int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n|^2 = \alpha_1 \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} G_k(u_n) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_n - k)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}((u_n - k)^+)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_{A_{n,k}} G_k^2(u_n)(t)dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{A_{n,k}} G_k^2(u_0)(t)dx.$$

From (1.3), applying Hölder's and Hardy's inequalities, we estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.8) as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_t} \frac{u_n}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|u_n|} \frac{E(x,t)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|E(x,t)|}\nabla G_k(u_n) = \int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} \frac{u_n}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|u_n|} \frac{E(x,t)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|E(x,t)|}\nabla u_n$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |u_n(x,t)||\nabla u_n| \leq \int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} \frac{|u_n|}{|x|}|\nabla u_n|$$

$$\leq B\left(\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{B}{H}\left(\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{B}{H}\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n|^2$$

$$= \frac{B}{H}\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $k \geq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, then $G_k(u_0) = 0$, and from (3.8), (3.9) combined with the above estimates, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{A_{n,k}} |G_k(u_n(x,t))|^2 + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H}\right)\int_0^t \int_{A_{k,n}} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2 \leq \int_0^t \int_{A_{n,k}} f G_k(u_n).$$

Passing to the supremum for $t \in (0, T)$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\|G_k(u_n)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(A_{n,k}))}^2 + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H}\right)\int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} |\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2 \leq \int_0^T \int_{A_{n,k}} f G_k(u_n).$$

Applying the Hölder inequality on the right-hand side of the above inequality, we find that

$$\|G_k(u_n)\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(A_{n,k}))} + 2\left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H}\right)\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|G_k(u_n)|^{m'}\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 (here $\rho = 2$, $h = 2$, $v = G_k(u_n)$), we can write

$$\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|G_k(u_n)|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq \|u_n\|^{\frac{4}{N}}_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(A_{n,k}))}\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2.$$

Invoking (3.10) in the last inequality, we deduce that

$$\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|G_k(u_n)|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq \left(\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|G_k(u_n)|^{m'}\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}(\frac{2}{N}+1)}. \tag{3.11}$$

By virtue of $m > \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $\frac{2(N+2)}{Nm'} > 1$. Applying Hölder's inequality with indices $(\frac{2(N+2)}{Nm'}, \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-Nm'})$ in (3.11), we find that

$$\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|G_k(u_n)|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq C\left(\int_0^T\int_{A_{n,k}}|G_k(u_n)|^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}\right)^{\frac{2+N}{2(N+2)}}$$

$$\times\left(\int_0^T|A_{n,k}|\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}(\frac{2}{N}+1)(1-\frac{Nm'}{2(N+2)})}.$$

From now, we can repeat the same techniques used in the proof of [25, Lemma 4] (see also [1]); we deduce that there exists a constant C_∞ independent of n such that

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \leq C_\infty.$$

Therefore, $u_n \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$, and so, $u \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$.

Now, we consider $1 < m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$. Choosing $u_n^{2\lambda-1}$, $(\lambda > 1)$, as a test function in (2.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda}\int_\Omega u_n^{2\lambda}(x,t) + (2\lambda-1)\int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{2\lambda-2}M(x,t)\nabla u_n\cdot\nabla u_n$$

$$= (2\lambda-1)\int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{2\lambda-1}E(x,t)\nabla u_n + \int_{\Omega_t}\frac{f_n}{u_n+\frac{1}{n}}u_n^{2\lambda-1} + \frac{1}{2\lambda}\int_\Omega u_0^{2\lambda}(x)$$

$$\leq (2\lambda-1)\int_{\Omega_t}|u_n|^{2\lambda-1}|E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_t} fu_n^{2\lambda-2} + \frac{1}{2\lambda}\int_\Omega u_0^{2\lambda}(x). \tag{3.12}$$

Condition (1.2) allows us to write

$$\int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{2\lambda-2}M(x,t)\nabla u_n\cdot\nabla u_n \geq \alpha_1\int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{2\lambda-2}|\nabla u_n|^2 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda^2}\int_{\Omega_t}|\nabla u_n^\lambda|^2.$$

From (1.3) and using the Hölder and Hardy inequalities, we can estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.12) as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_t} |u_n|^{2\lambda-1}|E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| \leq B \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{u_n^{2\lambda-1}}{|x|}|\nabla u_n| = \frac{B}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{u_n^{\lambda}}{|x|}|\nabla u_n^{\lambda}|$$

$$\leq \frac{B}{\lambda H} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\lambda}|^2.$$

Using the last two estimates in (3.12) and applying Hölder's inequality, and by the fact that $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_{\Omega} u_n^{2\lambda}(x,t) + \frac{2\lambda-1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda} - \frac{B}{H}\right) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\lambda}|^2$$

$$\leq \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega_T)} \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(2\lambda-2)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C(\|u_0\|_{L^{2\lambda}(\Omega)}).$$

Now, passing to the supremum for $t \in [0,T]$, we find that

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} \|u_n^{\lambda}\|^2_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \frac{2\lambda-1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda} - \frac{B}{H}\right) \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^{\lambda}|^2$$

$$\leq \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega_T)} \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(2\lambda-2)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C(\|u_0\|_{L^{2\lambda}(\Omega)})$$

$$= C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(2\lambda-2)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \tag{3.13}$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 (where $h = 2$, $\rho = 2$, $v = u_n^{\lambda}$) and from (3.13), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_T} [u_n^{\lambda}]^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq \|u_n^{\lambda}\|^{\frac{4}{N}}_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^{\lambda}|^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(2\lambda-2)m'} \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C.$$

By a straightforward simplification, the above estimate becomes

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{\frac{2\lambda(N+2)}{N}} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(2\lambda-2)m'} \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \tag{3.14}$$

Choosing λ such that

$$\sigma = \frac{2\lambda(N+2)}{N} = (2\lambda-2)m' \tag{3.15}$$

yields

$$\lambda = \frac{Nm}{N-2m+2}, \quad \sigma = \frac{2m(N+2)}{N-2m+2}.$$

Note that $\lambda > 1$ is equivalent to $m > 1$ and the condition $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2} \frac{Nm}{N-2m+2}$ ensures that $\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda} - \frac{B}{H} > 0$. Using (3.15) in (3.14), we reach that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^\sigma \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^\sigma \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C.$$

Since $m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $(\frac{2}{N} + 1)\frac{1}{m'} < 1$; we can apply the Young inequality in the above estimate, arriving at

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^\sigma \leq C.$$

Hence, the sequence $u_n \in L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$, and so, $u \in L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$.   ∎

3.2. The case $\theta > 1$

At present, we deal with the case of $\theta > 1$. In this section, we prove the boundedness of some positive power of u_n in $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$; and also, we prove the boundedness of u_n in $L^2(0, T; H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\theta > 1$, $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{\theta+1}$, u_n be the solution to (2.1) with $0 \leq f \in L^1(\Omega_T)$. Then, $u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}$ is bounded in the space $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, and u_n is bounded in $L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(0, T; L^{\frac{N(\theta+1)}{N-2}}(\Omega))$. Moreover, $u_n \in L^2(0, T; H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$.*

Proof. Choosing $u_n^\theta \chi_{(0,t)}$, $t \in (0, T)$ as a test function in (2.1), from (1.2) and using the fact that $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\frac{u_n^\theta}{(u_n+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} \leq 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{\theta+1} \int_\Omega u_n^{\theta+1}(x,t) + \alpha_1\theta \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n|^2 u_n^{\theta-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\theta+1} \int_\Omega u_n^{\theta+1}(x,t) + \theta \int_{\Omega_t} M(x,t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n u_n^{\theta-1}$$

$$\leq \theta \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n^\theta E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{u_n^\theta}{(u_n+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} f + \frac{1}{\theta+1} \int_\Omega u_0^{\theta+1}(x)$$

$$\leq \theta \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n^\theta E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_t} f + \frac{1}{\theta+1} \|u_0\|_{L^{\theta+1}(\Omega)}^{\theta+1}. \tag{3.16}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality and Hardy inequality to the first term on the right-hand side of (3.16), we find that

$$\theta \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n^\theta E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| \leq \frac{2\theta B}{\theta+1} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}}{|x|} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|$$

$$\leq \frac{2\theta B}{(\theta+1)H} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n|^2 u_n^{\theta-1} = \frac{4}{(\theta+1)^2} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2.$$

The last equation combined with (3.17) leads to

$$\frac{1}{\theta+1} \int_\Omega u_n^{\theta+1}(x,t) + \left(\frac{4\alpha_1\theta}{(\theta+1)^2} - \frac{2\theta B}{(\theta+1)H}\right) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega_t} f + \frac{1}{\theta+1} \|u_0\|_{L^{\theta+1}(\Omega)}^{\theta+1} = C.$$

Passing now to the supremum for $t \in (0,T)$, and using the fact that $f \in L^1(\Omega_T)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\theta+1} \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\theta+1}(\Omega))}^{\theta+1} + \left(\frac{4\alpha_1\theta}{(\theta+1)^2} - \frac{2\theta B}{(\theta+1)H}\right) \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2 \leq C.$$

Since $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{\theta+1}$, then $\frac{4\alpha_1\theta}{(\theta+1)^2} - \frac{2\theta B}{(\theta+1)H} > 0$. Therefore,

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\theta+1}(\Omega))}^{\theta+1} + \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2 \leq C. \tag{3.18}$$

By Sobolev embedding theorem and from (3.18), we can write

$$\int_0^T \left(\int_\Omega u_n^{\frac{N(\theta+1)}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} = \int_0^T \left(\int_\Omega u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}2^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}$$

$$\leq C \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2 = C \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2 \leq C. \tag{3.19}$$

The estimates (3.18) and (3.19) imply the boundedness of the sequence u_n in the space $L^\infty(0,T;L^{\theta+1}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(0,T;L^{\frac{N(\theta+1)}{N-2}}(\Omega))$ and the boundedness of the sequence $u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}$ in $L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$.

In order to prove $u_n \in L^2(0,T;H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$, recalling Lemma 2.2 and using (3.18), we have that, for all $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$c_\omega^{\theta-1} \int_{\omega\times(0.T)} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{\theta-1}|\nabla u_n|^2 = \frac{4}{(\theta+1)^2} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}|^2 \leq C. \tag{3.20}$$

This last affirmation implies the boundedness of the sequence $|\nabla u_n|$ in $L^2(\omega \times (0,T))$.

Moreover, u_n is bounded in $L^2(0,T;H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$; in fact, if $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$ is fixed, using the boundedness of $u_n^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}$ in $L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$, we find that

$$\left(\int_{\omega\times(0.T)} |u_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left(\int_{\omega\times(0.T)} |u_n|^{\theta+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta+1}} \leq C. \tag{3.21}$$

From (3.20) and (3.21), we conclude that u_n is bounded in $L^2(0,T;H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$. ∎

Now, we state in the following theorem the existence of weak solution to problem (1.1) when $\theta > 1$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\theta > 1$, $0 \le f \in L^1(\Omega_T)$, and $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{\theta+1}$. Then, there is a solution $u \in L^2(0,T; H^1_{loc}(\Omega))$ and $u^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}} \in L^2(0,T; H^1_0(\Omega))$ in the sense of Definition 1.2. Furthermore,*

$$u \in L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(0,T; L^{\frac{N(\theta+1)}{N-2}}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T; L^{\theta+1}(\Omega)).$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.7 is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3. ∎

In the following theorem, we give the summability of the solution u when $\theta > 1$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\theta > 1$, $0 \le f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$ with $m \ge 1$. Then, the solution u of (1.1) given by Theorem 3.7 satisfies the following regularity:*

(i)   *If $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$ and $m > \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $u \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$.*

(ii)   *If $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)(N-2m+2)}{Nm(1+\theta)}$ and $1 \le m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $u \in L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$ with $\sigma = \frac{m(N+2)(1+\theta)}{N-2m+2}$.*

Proof. Let u_n be a solution of (2.1) given by Lemma 2.1 such that u_n converges to a solution of (1.1).

The proof of item (i) of Theorem 3.8 is similar to item (i) of Theorem 3.5, so we omit it.

Now, we give the proof of (ii). If $m = 1$, the result comes from the fact that $u^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}} \in L^2(0,T; H^1_0(\Omega))$ and the Sobolev embedding theorem.

If $1 < m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$, taking $u_n^{2\lambda-1}\chi_{(0,t)}$, $t \in (0,T)$ and $\lambda \ge \frac{\theta+1}{2}$, as a test function in (2.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_\Omega u_n^{2\lambda}(x,t) + (2\lambda-1) \int_{\Omega_t} M(x,t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n u_n^{2\lambda-2}$$

$$= (2\lambda-1) \int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{2\lambda-1} E(x,t)\nabla u_n + \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{f_n}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} u_n^{2\lambda-1} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_\Omega u_0^{2\lambda}(x)$$

$$\le (2\lambda-1) \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n|^{2\lambda-1}|E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_t} f u_n^{2\lambda-1-\theta} + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_\Omega u_0^{2\lambda}(x).$$

Repeating the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{\frac{2\lambda(N+2)}{N}} \le C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(2\lambda-1-\theta)m'} \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \tag{3.22}$$

Now, choose λ such that

$$\sigma = \frac{2\lambda(N+2)}{N} = (2\lambda - 1 - \theta)m'. \tag{3.23}$$

From the last equality, we get the following equalities:

$$\lambda = \frac{Nm(1+\theta)}{2(N-2m+2)}, \quad \sigma = \frac{m(N+2)(1+\theta)}{N-2m+2}.$$

From (3.23), inequality (3.22) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^\sigma \le C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^\sigma \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C.$$

The condition $\lambda > \frac{\theta+1}{2}$ is equivalent to $m > 1$. Since $m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'} < 1$; we can apply the Young inequality to the above estimate, arriving at

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^\sigma \le C.$$

Hence, the sequence $u_n \in L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$, and so, $u \in L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 3.8 is completed. ∎

3.3. The case $\theta < 1$

In this section, we will prove the existence of solution $u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ to problem (1.1) for $m \ge \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$ and for some condition assured at B. We will also prove the existence of solution u belonging to some space larger than $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ if $1 \le m < \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$, $\theta < 1$. Let u_n be the solution to (2.1) and $0 \le f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$ with $m = \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$. Then, u_n is uniformly bounded in the space $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\Omega_T)$.*

Proof. Let $u_n \chi_{(0,t)}$, $t \in (0, T)$, be a test function in (2.1), and using (1.2) and the fact that $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega u_n^2(x, t) + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n|^2 \le \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_t} f u_n^{1-\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{3.24}$$

From (1.3), applying Hölder's and Hardy's inequalities, we estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.1) as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |u_n E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| \le B \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|u_n|}{|x|} |\nabla u_n|$$
$$\le B \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\le \frac{B}{H} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^2. \tag{3.25}$$

Combining (3.25) with (3.24) and applying Hölder's inequality and passing to the supremum for $t \in (0, T)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H}\right)\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n|^2$$

$$\leq \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega_T)}\left(\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{(1-\theta)m'}\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$= C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{(1-\theta)m'}\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \qquad (3.26)$$

Now, applying Lemma 1.1 (where $h = 2$, $\rho = 2$ and $v = u_n$) and using inequality (3.26), we can write

$$\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq C\|u_n\|^{\frac{4}{N}}_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n|^2$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{(1-\theta)m'}\right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \qquad (3.27)$$

Based on the assumption of m, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{2(N+2)}{N} = (1-\theta)m'. \qquad (3.28)$$

Invoking (3.28) in (3.27), we find that

$$\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} + C.$$

Since $\theta < 1$, then $\frac{1-\theta}{2} < 1$; we can apply the Young inequality, obtaining

$$\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \leq C. \qquad (3.29)$$

This last estimate implies the boundedness of the sequence u_n in $L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\Omega_T)$. Since $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$, then $\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H} > 0$, and using (3.29) in (3.26), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B}{H}\right)\int_{\Omega_T}|\nabla u_n|^2 \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega_T}u_n^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C \leq C. \quad (3.30)$$

The estimates (3.29) and (3.30) give the boundedness of the sequence u_n in the space $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\Omega_T)$. ∎

In the following theorem, we establish an existence result for problem (1.1) in the limit case $m = \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\theta < 1$, $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$, and $0 \leq f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$ with*

$$m = \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2) - N(1-\theta)}.$$

Then, there exists a solution $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}}(\Omega_T)$ in the sense of Definition 1.2.

Proof. To check the proof of Theorem 3.10, we repeat the same proof used in Theorem 3.3. ∎

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\theta < 1$ and $0 \leq f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$ with $m \geq \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$. Then, the solution u of problem (1.1) found in Theorem 3.10 satisfies the following summability:*

(i)   *If $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)}{2}$ and $m > \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $u \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$.*

(ii) *If $B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)(N-2m+2)}{Nm(1+\theta)}$ and $1 \leq m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then $u \in L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$ with $\sigma = \frac{m(N+2)(1+\theta)}{N-2m+2}$.*

Proof. Let u_n be a solution of (2.1) given by Lemma 2.1 such that u_n converges to a solution of (1.1).

The proof of item (i) of Theorem 3.11 is similar to item (i) of Theorem 3.5, so we omit it.

(ii) The case $m = \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$ is true via the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, since for this value of m one has

$$\sigma = \frac{2(N+2)}{N}.$$

If $\frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)} \leq m < \frac{N}{2} + 1$, we choose $\varphi(u_n) = u_n^\lambda \chi_{(0,t)}$, ($\lambda \geq 1$), as a test function in (2.1); we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda+1} \int_\Omega u_n^{\lambda+1}(x,t) + \lambda \int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{\lambda-1} M(x,t) \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n^\lambda E(x,t)| |\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{u_n^\lambda}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} f + \frac{1}{\lambda+1} \int_\Omega u_0^{\lambda+1}(x).$$

From the condition (1.2) and the fact that $\frac{1}{(u_n+\frac{1}{n})^\theta} \leq \frac{1}{u_n^\theta}$, $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, we can write

$$\frac{1}{\lambda+1} \int_\Omega u_n^{\lambda+1}(x,t) + \lambda\alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{\lambda-1} |\nabla u_n|^2$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n^\lambda E(x,t)| |\nabla u_n| + \int_{\Omega_t} f u_n^{\lambda-\theta} + C. \tag{3.31}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{\lambda-1} |\nabla u_n|^2 = \frac{4}{(\lambda+1)^2} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2. \tag{3.32}$$

Recalling condition (1.3), applying the Hölder and Hardy inequalities, we estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.31) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_t} |u_n^\lambda E(x,t)||\nabla u_n| &\le B \int_0^t \int_\Omega \frac{u_n^\lambda}{|x|} |\nabla u_n| \\
&= \frac{2B}{\lambda+1} \int_0^t \int_\Omega \frac{u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}}{|x|} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}| \\
&\le \frac{2B}{\lambda+1} \int_0^t \left(\int_\Omega \frac{(u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^2}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \frac{2B}{\lambda+1} \int_0^t \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{2B}{(\lambda+1)H} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.33}
$$

Invoking (3.32), (3.33) in (3.31) and applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda+1} \int_\Omega u_n^{\lambda+1}(x,t) + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1} \left(\frac{2\alpha_1}{\lambda+1} - \frac{B}{H} \right) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2
$$
$$
\le C \left(\int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C.
\tag{3.34}
$$

By some simplification, inequality (3.34) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda+1} \int_\Omega [|u_n(x,t)|^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}]^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1} \left(\frac{2\alpha_1}{\lambda+1} - \frac{B}{H} \right) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2
$$
$$
\le C \left(\int_{\Omega_t} u_n^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C.
$$

Now, passing to supremum for $t \in (0,T)$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda+1} \|u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda+1} \left(\frac{2\alpha_1}{\lambda+1} - \frac{B}{H} \right) \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2
$$
$$
\le C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C.
\tag{3.35}
$$

Recalling Lemma 1.1 (where $v = u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}$, $\rho = 2$, $h = 2$) and from (3.35), we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} [u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}]^{\frac{2(N+2)}{N}} \le \left(\|u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right)^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}|^2
$$
$$
\le C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C.
$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{\frac{(\lambda+1)(N+2)}{N}} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \tag{3.36}$$

Now, choosing λ such that

$$\sigma = \frac{(N+2)(\lambda+1)}{N} = (\lambda - \theta)m', \tag{3.37}$$

this implies that

$$\lambda = \frac{(N+2)(m-1) + N\theta m}{N - 2m + 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \frac{m(N+2)(\theta+1)}{N - 2m + 2}.$$

From (3.37), inequality (3.36) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{\sigma} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{\sigma} \right)^{(\frac{2}{N}+1)\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \tag{3.38}$$

The condition $m < N/2 + 1$ ensures that $(2/N + 1)\frac{1}{m'} < 1$; then, applying the Young inequality in (3.38), we find that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_n^{\sigma} \leq C. \tag{3.39}$$

Note that the condition $m \geq \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$ is equivalent to the condition $\lambda \geq 1$. Therefore, inequality (3.39) implies that $u_n \in L^{\sigma}(\Omega_T)$. Thanks to the almost everywhere convergence of u_n, we can use Fatou's lemma, obtaining $u \in L^{\sigma}(\Omega_T)$. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.11 is completed. ∎

In the following lemma, we will prove some a priori estimate for u_n; the solution of problem (2.1) in the Sobolev space is larger than $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\theta < 1$, $0 < B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)(N-2m+2)}{\sqrt{2}Nm(1+\theta)}$, and $0 \leq f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$ with*

$$1 \leq m < \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2) - N(1-\theta)}.$$

Then, u_n is uniformly bounded in $L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\sigma}(\Omega_T)$, where

$$\sigma = \frac{m(N+2)(\theta+1)}{N-2m+2} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{m(N+2)(\theta+1)}{N+2 - m(1-\theta)}.$$

Proof. We fix $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{n}$, and we take $\varphi(u_n) = ((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda} - \varepsilon^{\lambda})\chi_{(0,t)}$, $(\theta < \lambda \leq 1)$ as test function in (2.1); we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi(u_n(x,t)) + \lambda \int_{\Omega_t} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1} M(x,t)\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n E(x,t)||\nabla u_n (u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1}| + \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{(u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda}}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^{\theta}} f + \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u_0(x)),$$

where $\Psi(s) = \int_0^s \varphi(\ell)d\ell$. From condition (1.2) and the fact that

$$\frac{1}{(u_n + \frac{1}{n})^\theta} \le \frac{1}{(u_n + \varepsilon)^\theta}, \quad u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega),$$

we can write

$$\int_\Omega \Psi(u_n(x,t)) + \lambda\alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_t} (\varepsilon + u_n)^{\lambda-1}|\nabla u_n|^2$$

$$\le \lambda \int_{\Omega_t} |u_n E(x,t)(u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1}\nabla u_n|$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_t} f(u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-\theta} + C. \tag{3.40}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega_t} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1}|\nabla u_n|^2 = \frac{4}{(\lambda + 1)^2} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})|^2. \tag{3.41}$$

From (1.3), applying Hölder's and Hardy's inequalities, we can estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.40) as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_t} |u_n E(x,t)(u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1}\nabla u_n|$$

$$\le B \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{(u_n + \varepsilon)^\lambda |\nabla u_n|}{|x|}$$

$$= B \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{(u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}(u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}|\nabla u_n|}{|x|}$$

$$\le B\left(\int_{\Omega_t} \frac{((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^2}{|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1}|\nabla u_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.42}$$

We use the algebraic inequality

$$(a + b)^2 \le 2a^2 + 2b^2, \quad \forall a \ge 0, \, b \ge 0,$$

and Hardy's inequality; we can write

$$\int_{\Omega_t} \frac{((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^2}{|x|^2} = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^2}{|x|^2}$$

$$\le 2 \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})^2}{|x|^2} + 2 \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}}{|x|^2}$$

$$\le \frac{2}{H^2} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}}{|x|^2}. \tag{3.43}$$

Invoking (3.41) and (3.43) in (3.36) and applying Young's inequality, we find that

$$
\int_{\Omega_t} |u_n E(x,t)(u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1} \nabla u_n|
$$

$$
\leq B \left(\frac{2}{H^2} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1} |\nabla u_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}B}{(\lambda+1)H} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})|^2 + H^2 \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\times \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \nabla((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}B}{(\lambda+1)H} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}BH}{\lambda+1} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}}{|x|^2}.
$$

In view of (3.41), (3.42) and applying Hölder's inequality, (3.40) becomes

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Psi(u_n(x,t)) + \frac{4\lambda}{\lambda+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda+1} - \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}H} \right) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla((u_n + \varepsilon)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}})|^2
$$

$$
\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C + \frac{\sqrt{2}BH}{\lambda+1} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}}{|x|^2}. \tag{3.44}
$$

If $\theta \leq \lambda < 1$, by the definitions of $\varphi(s)$ and $\Psi(s)$, we can get

$$
\Psi(s) \geq C_\lambda |s|^{\lambda+1} + \widetilde{C}_\lambda \quad \forall s \geq 0.
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\sqrt{2}BH}{(\lambda+1)} \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{\varepsilon^{\lambda+1}}{|x|^2} < +\infty,
$$

and using the last inequality in (3.44), we find that

$$
C_\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\lambda+1} + \lambda \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B(\lambda+1)}{\sqrt{2}H} \right) \int_{\Omega_t} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1} |\nabla u_n|^2
$$

$$
\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C + \widetilde{C}_\lambda |\Omega|.
$$

Passing to the supremum for $t \in (0, T)$, we obtain

$$
C_\lambda \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega))}^{\lambda+1} + \lambda \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{B(\lambda+1)}{\sqrt{2}H} \right) \int_{\Omega_T} (\varepsilon + u_n)^{\lambda-1} |\nabla u_n|^2
$$

$$
\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n + \varepsilon)^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{1}{m'}} + C. \tag{3.45}
$$

Observe that $0 < B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)(N-2m+2)}{\sqrt{2}Nm(1+\theta)}$ and $\theta \le \lambda < 1$ lead to $\alpha_1 - \frac{B(\lambda+1)}{\sqrt{2}H} > 0$. Let now $q < 2$; applying Hölder's inequality and using (3.45), we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^q = \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^q}{(u_n+\varepsilon)^{\frac{q(1-\lambda)}{2}}} (u_n+\varepsilon)^{\frac{q(1-\lambda)}{2}}
$$

$$
\le \left(\int_{\Omega_T} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(u_n+\varepsilon)^{1-\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n+\varepsilon)^{\frac{q(1-\lambda)}{2-q}} \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}
$$

$$
\le \left[C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n+\varepsilon)^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{q}{2m'}} + C \right] \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n+\varepsilon)^{\frac{q(1-\lambda)}{2-q}} \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}. \quad (3.46)
$$

Applying Lemma (1.1) (where $\rho = \lambda + 1$, $h = q$, $v = |u_n|$) and from (3.45), we get

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |u_n|^{\frac{q(N+\lambda+1)}{N}}
$$

$$
\le \left(\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega))}^{\lambda+1} \right)^{\frac{q}{N}} \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^q
$$

$$
\le \left[C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n+\varepsilon)^{(\lambda-\theta)m'} \right)^{\frac{q}{2m'}+\frac{q}{Nm'}} + C \right] \left(\int_{\Omega_T} (u_n+\varepsilon)^{\frac{q(1-\lambda)}{2-q}} \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}. \quad (3.47)
$$

Let us choose λ such that

$$
\sigma = \frac{q(N+\lambda+1)}{N} = (\lambda-\theta)m' = \frac{q(\lambda+1)}{2-q}; \quad (3.48)
$$

then, we deduce that

$$
\lambda = \frac{(N+2)(m-1)+N\theta m}{N-2m+2}, \quad \sigma = \frac{m(N+2)(\theta+1)}{N-2m+2}, \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{m(N+2)(\theta+1)}{N+2-m(1-\theta)}.
$$

From (3.48) and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, inequality (3.47) becomes

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |u_n|^\sigma \le C \left(\int_{\Omega_T} |u_n|^\sigma \right)^{\frac{q}{2m'}+\frac{q}{Nm'}+\frac{2-q}{2}} + C.
$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, then we have $m < \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$, that is, ensure $\frac{q}{2m'} + \frac{q}{Nm'} + \frac{2-q}{2} < 1$; then applying Young's inequality, we can deduce that

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |u_n|^\sigma \le C. \quad (3.49)
$$

Putting (3.48) and (3.49) in (3.46) yields

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla u_n|^q \le C.
$$

The two last estimates prove the boundedness of u_n in $L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$. ∎

Theorem 3.13. *Let $\theta < 1$, $0 < B < \frac{\alpha_1(N-2)(N-2m+2)}{\sqrt{2}Nm(1+\theta)}$, and $0 \le f \in L^m(\Omega_T)$ with $1 < m < \frac{2(N+2)}{2(N+2)-N(1-\theta)}$. Then, there exists a solution $u \in L^q(0, T; W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap L^\sigma(\Omega_T)$ to problem* (1.1) *in the sense of Definition* 1.2, *where*

$$\sigma = \frac{m(N+2)(\theta+1)}{N-2m+2} \quad and \quad q = \frac{m(N+2)(\theta+1)}{N+2-m(1-\theta)}.$$

Proof. we repeat the same techniques used in the proof of Theorem 3.3, and we obtain the proof of Theorem 3.13. ∎

References

[1] D. G. Aronson and J. Serrin, Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **25** (1967), 81–122 Zbl 0154.12001 MR 0244638

[2] L. Boccardo, Some developments on Dirichlet problems with discontinuous coefficients. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9)* **2** (2009), no. 1, 285–297 Zbl 1178.35367 MR 2493657

[3] L. Boccardo, Dirichlet problems with singular convection terms and applications. *J. Differential Equations* **258** (2015), no. 7, 2290–2314 Zbl 1376.35028 MR 3306339

[4] L. Boccardo, Finite energy weak solutions to some Dirichlet problems with very singular drift. *Differential Integral Equations* **32** (2019), no. 7-8, 409–422 Zbl 1463.35217 MR 3945762

[5] L. Boccardo, Stampacchia–Caldéron–Zygmund theory for linear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients and singular drift. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **25** (2019), article no. 47 Zbl 1437.35231 MR 4011021

[6] L. Boccardo, The impact of the zero order term in the study of Dirichlet problems with convection or drift terms. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **36** (2023), no. 2, 571–605 Zbl 1514.35140 MR 4581760

[7] L. Boccardo and S. Buccheri, A nonlinear homotopy between two linear Dirichlet problems. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **34** (2021), no. 2, 541–558 Zbl 1472.35124 MR 4254081

[8] L. Boccardo, S. Buccheri, and G. R. Cirmi, Calderon–Zygmund–Stampacchia theory for infinite energy solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations with singular drift. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **27** (2020), no. 4, article no. 38 Zbl 1448.35144 MR 4117591

[9] L. Boccardo, G. R. Cirmi, and J. F. Rodrigues, On obstacle problems for non coercive linear operators. *J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ.* **8** (2022), no. 2, 635–657 Zbl 1501.35223 MR 4502888

[10] L. Boccardo, J. I. Díaz, and D. Gómez-Castro, Failure of the Hopf–Oleinik lemma for a linear elliptic problem with singular convection of non-negative divergence. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2024), article no. 13 Zbl 07823666 MR 4706302

[11] L. Boccardo and L. Orsina, Very singular solutions for linear Dirichlet problems with singular convection terms. *Nonlinear Anal.* **194** (2020), article no. 111437 Zbl 1437.35382 MR 4074610

[12] L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, and A. Porretta, Some noncoercive parabolic equations with lower order terms in divergence form. *J. Evol. Equ.* **3** (2003), no. 3, 407–418 Zbl 1032.35104 MR 2019027

[13] L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, and M. M. Porzio, Existence results for quasilinear elliptic and parabolic problems with quadratic gradient terms and sources. *Adv. Calc. Var.* **4** (2011), no. 4, 397–419 Zbl 1232.35068 MR 2844511

[14] L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, and M. M. Porzio, Regularity results and asymptotic behavior for a noncoercive parabolic problem. *J. Evol. Equ.* **21** (2021), no. 2, 2195–2211 Zbl 1470.35091 MR 4278427

[15] L. Boccardo, L. Orsina, and M. M. Porzio, Asymptotic behavior of solutions for nonlinear parabolic problems with Marcinkiewicz data. *J. Evol. Equ.* **23** (2023), no. 4, article no. 77 Zbl 1531.35054 MR 4671449

[16] H. Brezis and J. L. Vázquez, Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* **10** (1997), no. 2, 443–469 Zbl 0894.35038 MR 1605678

[17] S. Buccheri, Gradient estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations with first order terms. *Manuscripta Math.* **165** (2021), no. 1-2, 191–225 Zbl 1465.35161 MR 4242567

[18] G. R. Cirmi, S. D'Asero, S. Leonardi, and M. M. Porzio, Local regularity results for solutions of linear elliptic equations with drift term. *Adv. Calc. Var.* **15** (2022), no. 1, 19–32 Zbl 1486.35202 MR 4385586

[19] I. de Bonis and L. M. De Cave, Degenerate parabolic equations with singular lower order terms. *Differential Integral Equations* **27** (2014), no. 9-10, 949–976 Zbl 1340.35175 MR 3229098

[20] L. M. De Cave, R. Durastanti, and F. Oliva, Existence and uniqueness results for possibly singular nonlinear elliptic equations with measure data. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **25** (2018), no. 3, article no. 18 Zbl 1398.35050 MR 3797738

[21] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext, Springer, New York, 1993 Zbl 0794.35090 MR 1230384

[22] R. Durastanti, Asymptotic behavior and existence of solutions for singular elliptic equations. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **199** (2020), no. 3, 925–954 Zbl 1440.35136 MR 4102796

[23] R. Durastanti and F. Oliva, Comparison principle for elliptic equations with mixed singular nonlinearities. *Potential Anal.* **57** (2022), no. 1, 83–100 Zbl 1491.35161 MR 4421923

[24] Y. El hadfi, M. El ouardy, A. Ifzarne, and A. Sbai, On nonlinear parabolic equations with singular lower order term. *J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ.* **8** (2022), no. 1, 49–75 Zbl 1490.35210 MR 4426328

[25] M. El Ouardy and Y. El Hadfi, Some nonlinear parabolic problems with singular natural growth term. *Results Math.* **77** (2022), no. 3, article no. 95 Zbl 1486.35281 MR 4400176

[26] M. El Ouardy, Y. El Hadfi, and A. Ifzarne, Existence and regularity results for a singular parabolic equations with degenerate coercivity. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **15** (2022), no. 1, 117–141 Zbl 1491.35279 MR 4361686

[27] M. El Ouardy, Y. El Hadfi, and A. Sbai, On the existence and regularity of solutions to singular parabolic p-Laplacian equations with absorption term. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **72** (2023), no. 8, 4119–4147 Zbl 1530.35135 MR 4670932

[28] M. El Ouardy, Y. El Hadfi, and A. Sbai, Degenerate singular parabolic problems with natural growth. *Opuscula Math.* **44** (2024), no. 4, 471–503 Zbl 07854819 MR 4742311

[29] F. Farroni, Asymptotic stability estimates for some evolution problems with singular convection field. *Ric. Mat.* **71** (2022), no. 2, 441–457 Zbl 1528.35013 MR 4514223

[30] F. Farroni, L. Greco, G. Moscariello, and G. Zecca, Noncoercive quasilinear elliptic operators with singular lower order terms. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **60** (2021), no. 3, article no. 83 Zbl 1465.35228 MR 4248556

[31] F. Farroni, L. Greco, G. Moscariello, and G. Zecca, Nonlinear evolution problems with singular coefficients in the lower order terms. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **28** (2021), no. 4, article no. 38 Zbl 1471.35182 MR 4257118

[32] F. Farroni, L. Greco, G. Moscariello, and G. Zecca, Noncoercive parabolic obstacle problems. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **12** (2023), no. 1, article no. 20220322 Zbl 1522.35322 MR 4626321

[33] F. Farroni and G. Moscariello, A nonlinear parabolic equation with drift term. *Nonlinear Anal.* **177** (2018), no. part B, 397–412 Zbl 1402.35143 MR 3886581

[34] F. Farroni, G. Moscariello, and G. Zecca, Lewy-Stampacchia inequality for noncoercive parabolic obstacle problems. *Math. Eng.* **5** (2023), no. 4, article no. 071 Zbl 07817706 MR 4551770

[35] H. Frankowska and G. Moscariello, Long-time behaviour of solutions to an evolution PDE with nonstandard growth. *Adv. Calc. Var.* **15** (2022), no. 2, 287–304 Zbl 1486.35050 MR 4399825

[36] X. He, S. Huang, and Q. Tian, Existence and regularity of solutions to elliptic equation with singular convection term and lower order term. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **46** (2023), no. 1, 89–106 Zbl 1529.35175 MR 4532520

[37] J.-L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod, Paris; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969 Zbl 0189.40603 MR 0259693

[38] M. Magliocca and F. Oliva, On some parabolic equations involving superlinear singular gradient terms. *J. Evol. Equ.* **21** (2021), no. 2, 2547–2590 Zbl 1473.35351 MR 4278439

[39] G. Moscariello and M. M. Porzio, On the behavior in time of solutions to motion of non-Newtonian fluids. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **27** (2020), no. 4, article no. 42 Zbl 1454.76010 MR 4125069

[40] F. Oliva, Regularizing effect of absorption terms in singular problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **472** (2019), no. 1, 1136–1166 Zbl 1418.35203 MR 3906415

[41] F. Oliva, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to some singular equations with natural growth. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **200** (2021), no. 1, 287–314 Zbl 1465.35261 MR 4208092

[42] F. Oliva and F. Petitta, A nonlinear parabolic problem with singular terms and nonregular data. *Nonlinear Anal.* **194** (2020), article no. 111472 Zbl 1439.35197 MR 4074616

[43] M. E. Ouardy, Y. El Hadfi, and A. Sbai, Existence of positive solutions to nonlinear singular parabolic equations with Hardy potential. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* **13** (2022), no. 3, article no. 28 Zbl 1490.35211 MR 4426852

[44] M. E. Ouardy, Y. El Hadfi, and A. Sbai, Existence and regularity results for some nonlinear singular parabolic problems with absorption terms. *Monatsh. Math.* **203** (2024), no. 3, 583–612 Zbl 1533.35204 MR 4704783

[45] A. Sbai and Y. El hadfi, Degenerate elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **68** (2023), no. 5, 701–718 Zbl 1514.35213 MR 4582637

[46] A. Sbai and Y. El Hadfi, Regularizing effect of absorbtion term in singular and degenerate elliptic problems. *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. (3)* **42** (2024), 1–17 MR 4750329

[47] A. Sbai, Y. El Hadfi, and M. El Ouardy, Singular elliptic problem involving a Hardy potential and lower order term. *Asymptot. Anal.* **134** (2023), no. 1-2, 213–225 Zbl 1523.35180 MR 4624820

[48] A. Sbai, Y. El Hadfi, and S. Zeng, Nonlinear singular elliptic equations of p-Laplace type with superlinear growth in the gradient. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **20** (2023), no. 1, article no. 32 Zbl 1506.35076 MR 4520284

[49] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **146** (1987), 65–96 Zbl 0629.46031 MR 0916688

[50] J. L. Vazquez and E. Zuazua, The Hardy inequality and the asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation with an inverse-square potential. *J. Funct. Anal.* **173** (2000), no. 1, 103–153 Zbl 0953.35053 MR 1760280

Mounim El Ouardy
Laboratory LIPIM, National School of Applied Sciences Khouribga,
Sultan Moulay Slimane University, 25000 Khouribga, Morocco; monim.elrdy@gmail.com

Youssef El Hadfi
Laboratory LIPIM, National School of Applied Sciences Khouribga,
Sultan Moulay Slimane University, 25000 Khouribga, Morocco; yelhadfi@gmail.com

Abdelaaziz Sbai
Laboratory LIPIM, National School of Applied Sciences Khouribga,
Sultan Moulay Slimane University, 25000 Khouribga, Morocco; sbaiabdlaaziz@gmail.com