© 2023 European Mathematical Society
Published by EMS Press and licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license



Daniel Loughran · Lilian Matthiesen

Frobenian multiplicative functions and rational points in fibrations

Received April 22, 2021; revised May 16, 2022

Abstract. We consider the problem of counting the number of varieties in a family over \mathbb{Q} with a rational point. We obtain lower bounds for this counting problem for some families over \mathbb{P}^1 , even if the Hasse principle fails. We also obtain sharp results for some multinorm equations and for specialisations of certain Brauer group elements on higher-dimensional projective spaces, where we answer some cases of a question of Serre. Our techniques come from arithmetic geometry and additive combinatorics.

Keywords. Additive combinatorics, multiplicative functions, rational points in fibrations

Contents

1.	Introduction	4779
2.	Frobenian multiplicative functions	4787
3.	Frobenian multiplicative functions evaluated at linear polynomials	4791
	Families of varieties over \mathbb{P}^1	
	Controlling failures of the Hasse principle	
	Detector functions for general pencils	
	Brauer groups	
	Multinorms	
9.	Multiple fibres	4827
	ferences	

1. Introduction

1.1. Rational points in fibrations

Let V be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{Q} equipped with a dominant morphism $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^n$. In this paper we are interested in the function

$$N(\pi, B) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q}) : H(x) \le B, \ x \in \pi(V(\mathbb{Q}))\}$$
 (1.1)

Daniel Loughran: Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK; dtl32@bath.ac.uk

Lilian Matthiesen: Department of Mathematics, KTH, 10044 Stockholm, Sweden; lilian.matthiesen@math.kth.se

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): Primary 14G05; Secondary 14D10, 11N37

which counts the number of varieties in the family which have a rational point. Here H denotes the usual naive height on projective space, defined via

$$H(x_0 : \cdots : x_n) = \max\{|x_0|, \dots, |x_n|\},\$$

whenever (x_0, \ldots, x_n) is a primitive integer vector. Such counting functions have been studied by numerous authors in recent times [2, 3, 29, 31, 40, 46]. In [31], upper bounds were obtained for the closely related function

$$N_{loc}(\pi, B) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{O}) : H(x) \le B, x \in \pi(V(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{O}}))\}$$
 (1.2)

which counts the number of varieties in the family which are everywhere locally soluble. (Here $A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the adeles of \mathbb{Q} .) These upper bounds take the shape

$$N_{\text{loc}}(\pi, B) \ll \frac{B^{n+1}}{(\log B)^{\Delta(\pi)}}$$
 (1.3)

for a certain factor $\Delta(\pi)$. This generalised work of Serre [40], which applied to the special case where the generic fibre of π is a conic.

Both Serre [40] and the authors of [31] asked whether this upper bound is sharp, under the necessary assumption that the set being counted is non-empty. In fact, since the singular locus forms a Zariski closed subset of \mathbb{P}^n , for the bound (1.3) to be sharp there must be a *smooth* fibre which is everywhere locally soluble.

In this paper we prove numerous results answering this in the affirmative. To state our most general results, we require various conditions on the singular fibres of π in terms of the Galois action on the irreducible components. This terminology (split/non-split/pseudo-split/non-pseudo-split fibre), as well as the definition of $\Delta(\pi)$, is recalled in Section 1.7. Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let V be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{Q} with a morphism $\pi:V\to\mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fibre is geometrically integral. Assume that each fibre of π contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1 and that each non-pseudo-split fibre of π lies over a rational point. Assume that there is a fibre of π over some rational point which is smooth and everywhere locally soluble. Then

$$N_{\mathrm{loc}}(\pi, B) \asymp \frac{B^2}{(\log B)^{\Delta(\pi)}}.$$

Note that we make no geometric assumptions on the smooth members of the family. In this generality it is the first result of its kind in the literature which gives sharp lower bounds when $\Delta(\pi) > 0$. The case $\Delta(\pi) = 0$ is proved in [31, Theorem 1.3], and all other results in the literature with $\Delta(\pi) > 0$ concern special classes of varieties, e.g. conics or norm forms.

Let us give some special cases highlighting our result. If the smooth fibres of π satisfy the Hasse principle (i.e. have a rational point as long as they have an adelic point), then we deduce results about the counting function (1.1). As conics satisfy the Hasse principle, this gives the following. (Note that for conic bundles, a fibre is pseudo-split if and only if it is split.)

Corollary 1.2. Let $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a non-singular conic bundle all of whose non-split fibres lie over rational points. Assume that there is a smooth fibre with a rational point. Then

$$N(\pi, B) \asymp \frac{B^2}{(\log B)^{\Delta(\pi)}}.$$

This answers many new cases of Serre's question posed in [40]. This result was previously only known when there are at most three non-split fibres, as a special case of [46]. As an explicit example, we have the following.

Example 1.3. Let $a \in \mathbb{Q}^* \setminus \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$, let $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be linear polynomials whose homogenisations are pairwise linearly independent and let

$$W: \quad x^2 - ay^2 = L_1(t) \cdots L_r(t) z^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1,$$
 (1.4)

equipped with the projection $\pi:W\to\mathbb{A}^1$. Assume that there is some $t\in\mathbb{Q}$ with $L_1(t)\cdots L_r(t)\neq 0$ such that the conic in (1.4) has a rational point. Applying Corollary 1.2 to a smooth compactification of W yields

$$N(\pi, B) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{B^2}{(\log B)^{(r+1)/2}} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{B^2}{(\log B)^{r/2}} & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

1.2. Multiple fibres

Theorem 1.1 contains the technical geometric assumption that each fibre of π contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1. It turns out that this assumption is *necessary* for the conclusion to hold.

It was shown in [11] that as long as there are many double fibres, only finitely many fibres have a rational point. It seems to have not been noticed before that the following stronger result in fact holds.

Theorem 1.4. Let V be a smooth projective variety over a number field k equipped with a morphism $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fibre is geometrically integral. Assume that π has at least six double fibres over \bar{k} . Then the set

$$\{x\in \mathbb{P}^1(k): x\in \pi(V(k_v))\, for\, all\, v\notin S\}$$

is finite for any finite set S of places of k.

This shows there are only finitely many fibres which are everywhere locally soluble, and hence the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 does not hold in this case.

1.3. Controlling failures of the Hasse principle

Theorem 1.1 counts the number of varieties in a family which are everywhere locally soluble. This gives results for rational points in families if the fibres satisfy the Hasse

principle. However, in general the Hasse principle can fail and it is a great challenge to control failures of the Hasse principle in families. We are able to obtain results here providing that the Brauer–Manin obstruction controls such failures.

Theorem 1.5. Let V be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{Q} equipped with a morphism $\pi:V\to\mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fibre is geometrically integral and rationally connected. Assume that each non-split fibre of π lies over a rational point and that the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only one to the Hasse principle for the fibres of π . If $V(\mathbb{Q})\neq\emptyset$ then

$$N(\pi, B) \gg \frac{B^2}{(\log B)^{\omega(\pi)}}$$
 for some $\omega(\pi) > 0$.

We prove this result by combining our techniques with the fibration method of Harpaz and Wittenberg [25]. Theorem 1.5 and its proof may be viewed as a quantitative version of the results from [25]. Recall that the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only one to the Hasse principle for torsors under tori [38]. In particular, Theorem 1.5 applies to the following multinorm equations.

Corollary 1.6. Let $E = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_s$ be a product of number fields, let $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be linear polynomials whose homogenisations are pairwise linearly independent. Let V be a smooth projective compactification of the variety

$$W: N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(t) = L_1(x)^{a_1} \cdots L_r(x)^{a_r} \subset \mathbb{A}^{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} \times \mathbb{A}^1,$$

equipped with the projection $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ coming from the x-coordinate. Assume that $V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$N(\pi, B) \gg \frac{B^2}{(\log B)^{\omega(\pi)}}$$
 for some $\omega(\pi) > 0$.

Let us compare the assumptions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.5. Theorem 1.5 has the condition that the generic fibre is rationally connected. We actually prove a more general version (Theorem 5.1) which only poses cohomological conditions on the generic fibre; but in this more general result one obviously also needs to stipulate that there is an irreducible component of multiplicity 1 in each fibre, which is automatic for families of rationally connected varieties [18].

One subtle difference is that Theorem 1.1 assumes that all *non-pseudo-split* fibres lie over rational points, whereas Theorem 1.5 requires all *non-split* fibres to lie over rational points. The latter condition is stronger in general.

Example 1.7. A difficult case, currently out of reach of [25], is

$$(x_1^2 - ax_2^2)(y_1^2 - by_2^2)(z_1^2 - abz_2^2) = f(t),$$

where f is an irreducible polynomial of large degree and none of a, b, ab is a square in the residue field of f. Here every fibre is pseudo-split, so that $\Delta(\pi) = 0$, and moreover every rational fibre is even everywhere locally soluble [8, Proposition 5.1]. Despite this,

the fibres can still fail the Hasse principle, and it is not known whether the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only one for the total space. This example is covered by Theorem 1.1 but not by Theorem 1.5.

Remark 1.8. The proof of Theorem 1.5 gives an explicit value for $\omega(\pi)$ (cf. Remark 5.2), which we doubt is sharp in general. Improving this would require significant new ideas on the version of the fibration method developed in [25].

1.4. Frobenian multiplicative functions

We prove Theorem 1.1 by finding sufficient conditions for a fibre to be everywhere locally soluble. These conditions allow us to reduce to studying the average orders of certain multiplicative functions evaluated at linear forms. The multiplicative functions which arise this way are built out of data coming from the splitting behaviour of primes in number fields and are closely related to Serre's notion of *frobenian functions* [42, Section 3.3].

In this paper we introduce a class of multiplicative functions, called *frobenian multiplicative functions*, which includes the above. The divisor function, the (normalised) sums of two squares function and the indicator function for sums of two squares are frobenian multiplicative functions. Other examples are indicator functions for numbers all of whose prime factors are congruent to a modulo q, for some fixed a, q, and the reduction modulo q of the nth coefficient of a Hecke eigenform [42, Section 3.4.3] (suitably considered as a complex number). Definitions and further details on this class, including the definition of the mean value $m(\rho)$, can be found in Section 2, see specifically Definitions 2.1, 2.6, and 2.7. Our main analytic result concerning these is the following.

Theorem 1.9. Let ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_r be real-valued non-negative frobenian multiplicative functions with $m(\rho_j) \neq 0$, and extend each function ρ_j to all of \mathbb{Z} by setting $\rho_j(-m) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. Let $L_1(x_0, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, L_r(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be linear polynomials whose non-constant parts are pairwise linearly independent. Let $\Re \subset [-1, 1]^{n+1}$ be a convex subset of positive measure and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then there exists $C_{\Re, \rho, \mathbf{L}} \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in(B\bar{\mathbf{x}}+\boldsymbol{a})\cap\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}}\rho_1(L_1(\mathbf{x}))\cdots\rho_r(L_r(\mathbf{x}))=(C_{\bar{\mathbf{x}},\boldsymbol{\rho},\mathbf{L}}+o(1))B^{n+1}\prod_{j=1}^r(\log B)^{m(\rho_j)-1}$$

as $B \to \infty$. Moreover, we have $C_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \rho, \mathbf{L}} > 0$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ with $\rho_1(L_1(\mathbf{x})) \cdots \rho_r(L_r(\mathbf{x})) > 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $L_j(\mathbf{y}) > L_j(\mathbf{0})$ for all $1 \le j \le r$.

Remark 1.10. The first of the two conditions for positivity of $C_{\Re,\rho,L}$ is clearly necessary. The second condition ensures that the linear parts of the polynomials L_j are simultaneously positive at some point in \Re , which is necessary in order for the polynomials L_j to be simultaneously positive on a positive proportion of lattice points in $B\Re + a$ for all sufficiently large B.

The condition $m(\rho) \neq 0$ on the means is clearly necessary; this rules out trivial cases such as the multiplicative function ρ with $\rho(n) = 1$ if and only if n = 1.

Remark 1.11. An explicit expression for the leading constant in Theorem 1.9 can be found in Remark 3.12. It is given as an alternating sum of Euler products, which is important for the following reason:

There can naturally be *local obstructions* to the positivity of this constant. E.g. if ρ is a frobenian multiplicative function with $\rho(2^n) = 0$ then we have $\rho(x)\rho(y)\rho(x+y) = 0$ for all integers x, y. Here there is an obstruction at 2.

But there can also be *global obstructions* to positivity of the leading constant, which are not explained by any local obstructions. This is reflected in the fact that the leading constant is not an Euler product in general. An explicit example of this kind can be found in Remark 4.7. (This comes from a Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle on some auxiliary variety.)

The fact that the leading constant is not an Euler product means that we can obtain asymptotic results even in situations when weak approximation fails, which is unusual for this type of result.

Theorem 1.9 is proved using tools from additive combinatorics. In particular, we build on new results about multiplicative functions from Matthiesen [33, 35]. Crucially, the correct order lower bound in Theorem 1.1 as well as the asymptotic result in Theorem 1.9 require the full strength of these new results on multiplicative functions from [33, 35]. In particular, our results presented here are out of reach from the additive combinatorics methods established or used in [4,5,21,24,25,34].

1.5. Higher-dimensional bases

There are two main difficulties in generalising Theorem 1.1 to families of varieties over \mathbb{P}^n with n > 1. Firstly, Theorem 1.9 takes care of codimension 1 behaviour, but in general there could be higher-codimension behaviour to deal with. To overcome this one would need to combine our techniques with some suitable version of the sieve of Ekedahl (see e.g. [14], [1, Section 3], [3, Section 3]). The second problem is that frobenian multiplication functions are built out of data concerning number fields, whereas over higher-dimensional bases one would also need to deal with finitely generated extensions of \mathbb{Q} .

We can overcome these problems in two cases: for Serre's problem [40] regarding specialisations of Brauer group elements and for certain multinorm equations.

1.5.1. Specialisations of Brauer group elements. Let U be a smooth variety over \mathbb{Q} equipped with a height function H and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \operatorname{Br} U$ be a finite subgroup. Then Serre [40] proposed to study the zero-locus

$$U(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathcal{B}} = \{ x \in U(\mathbb{Q}) : b(x) = 0 \in \operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q} \ \forall b \in \mathcal{B} \}$$

of \mathcal{B} , as well as the associated counting function

$$N(U, \mathcal{B}, B) = \#\{x \in U(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathcal{B}} : H(x) \leq B\}.$$

This problem may be interpreted more geometrically via families of Brauer–Severi varieties (e.g. families of conics as we have already met in Section 1.1), but one obtains a cleaner framework by working with Brauer group elements directly. Serre achieved upper bounds for the counting problem in the special case where $U \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ and $|\mathcal{B}| = 2$, and asked whether his bounds were sharp. (Serre's upper bounds were subsequently generalised in [31, Section 5.3] to arbitrary finite $\mathcal{B} \subset \operatorname{Br} U$).

Here the first issue mentioned above, regarding higher-codimension behaviour, does not occur as Grothendieck's purity theorem implies that only codimension 1 is relevant. The second issue, regarding finitely generated extensions of \mathbb{Q} , disappears if one only considers algebraic Brauer group elements, i.e. those Brauer group elements which trivialise after a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Our result for Brauer groups is the following. (We recall in Section 5.1 various facts about Brauer groups, including the residue map ∂_D appearing in Theorem 1.12.)

Theorem 1.12. Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a Zariski open subset which is the complement of finitely many hyperplanes. Let $\mathscr{B} \subset \operatorname{Br}_1 U$ be a finite subset such that $U(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathscr{B}} \neq \emptyset$. Then as $B \to \infty$ we have

$$N(U,\mathcal{B},B) \asymp \frac{B^{n+1}}{(\log B)^{\Delta(\mathcal{B})}}, \quad \textit{where} \quad \Delta(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{D \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^{(1)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\langle \partial_D \mathcal{B} \rangle|}\right).$$

Using the relationship between quaternion algebras and conics, Theorem 1.12 gives the following result.

Corollary 1.13. Let $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a non-singular conic bundle over \mathbb{Q} with a smooth fibre which contains a rational point. Assume that π admits a rational section over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} and that π is smooth outside the complement of finitely many hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n . Then

$$N(\pi, B) \simeq \frac{B^{n+1}}{(\log B)^{\Delta(\pi)}}.$$

1.5.2. Multinorm equations. We are also able to overcome the issues in higher dimension for some explicit families of multinorm equations.

Theorem 1.14. Let $E = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_s$ be a product of number fields, let $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be linear polynomials whose homogenisations are pairwise linearly independent. Let V be a smooth projective model of the variety

$$W: \quad \mathbf{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(t) = L_1(\mathbf{x})^{a_1} \cdots L_r(\mathbf{x})^{a_r} \subset \mathbb{A}^{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} \times \mathbb{A}^n, \tag{1.5}$$

equipped with the projection $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^n$ coming from the **x**-coordinate. Assume that V has a smooth fibre which is everywhere locally soluble. Then

$$N_{\mathrm{loc}}(\pi, B) \asymp \frac{B^{n+1}}{(\log B)^{\Delta(\pi)}}.$$

If E/\mathbb{Q} satisfies the Hasse norm principle, then we obtain a result for rational points. This holds for example if s=1 and E_1/\mathbb{Q} is cyclic (Hasse norm theorem), or s=2 and the Galois closures of E_1 and E_2 are linearly disjoint [36]; see also [13] for related results and references. Taking E/\mathbb{Q} a quadratic field extension, n=1 and $a_j=1$, we recover Example 1.3.

1.6. Methodology and structure of the paper

In Section 2 we study the basic properties of frobenian multiplicative functions. In Section 3 we prove our main analytic result (Theorem 1.9) using tools from additive combinatorics, from which all other counting results in this paper will be derived.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 4. The key new idea is to construct frobenian multiplicative functions which can be used to detect whether a fibre is everywhere locally soluble. Such detectors have previously only been constructed for special classes of varieties, e.g. families of conics. Once we have these functions, the result follows from a suitable application of Theorem 1.9.

In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.5. The proof is based on the proof of Theorem 1.1, but much more subtleties arise coming from having to control the Brauer–Manin obstruction. We do this using ideas of Harpaz and Wittenberg [25].

In Section 6 we generalise our detector functions to pencils which may have non-split fibres over non-rational points. This construction is not required for our proofs, but is included to assist with future generalisations of our work.

The results concerning higher-dimensional bases are proved in Sections 7 and 8. We finish in Section 9 with the proof of Theorem 1.4.

1.7. Notation and terminology

For an abelian group A and a prime ℓ , we denote its ℓ -primary torsion subgroup by $A\{\ell\}$.

A variety is an integral separated finite type scheme over a field. For a point x of a scheme X, we denote by $\kappa(x)$ its residue field. All cohomology is taken with respect to the étale topology.

We denote by Val(k) the set of all non-archimedean places of a number field k. For a prime p we denote by v_p the associated p-adic valuation.

The notations O, \ll, \gg have their standard meaning in analytic number theory (Landau and Vinogradov notation, respectively). We write $f \asymp g$ if $f \ll g$ and $g \ll f$.

Definition 1.15. Let k be a perfect field with algebraic closure k and X a finite type scheme over k. The absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on the *geometric* irreducible components of X, i.e. the irreducible components of $X \otimes_k \bar{k}$. We say that X is *split* [45, Definition 0.1] (resp. *pseudo-split* [30, Definition 1.3]) if $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ (resp. every element of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$) fixes some geometric irreducible component of multiplicity 1.

Definition 1.16. Let $\pi: V \to X$ be a dominant proper morphism of smooth irreducible varieties over a perfect field k. For each point $x \in X$, we choose some finite group Γ_x

through which the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\kappa(x)}/\kappa(x))$ acts on the irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(x)_{\overline{\kappa(x)}} := \pi^{-1}(x) \otimes_{\kappa(x)} \overline{\kappa(x)}$. We define

$$\delta_x(\pi) = \frac{\#\bigg\{\gamma \in \Gamma_x : \underset{\text{of } \pi^{-1}(x)_{\overline{\kappa(x)}} \text{ of multiplicity } 1}{\text{of } \pi^{-1}(x)_{\overline{\kappa(x)}} \text{ of multiplicity } 1}\bigg\}}{\#\Gamma_x}.$$

Let $X^{(1)}$ denote the set of codimension 1 points of X. Then we let

$$\Delta(\pi) = \sum_{D \in X^{(1)}} (1 - \delta_D(\pi)).$$

2. Frobenian multiplicative functions

2.1. Frobenian functions

We begin by recalling some of the theory of *frobenian functions*, following Serre's treatment [42, Section 3.3].

Definition 2.1. Let $\rho : Val(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function. We say that ρ is *frobenian* if there exist

- (a) a finite Galois extension K/\mathbb{Q} , with Galois group Γ ;
- (b) a finite set S of primes containing all the primes ramifying in K;
- (c) a class function $\varphi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$,

such that for all $p \notin S$ we have

$$\rho(p) = \varphi(\operatorname{Frob}_n).$$

where $\operatorname{Frob}_p \in \Gamma$ is the Frobenius element of p. We define the *mean* of ρ to be

$$m(\rho) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma).$$

In the definition, recall that a *class function* on a group Γ is a function which is constant on the conjugacy classes of Γ . In particular $\varphi(\operatorname{Frob}_p)$ is well-defined, despite Frob_p only being well-defined up to conjugacy.

A subset of Val(\mathbb{Q}) is called *frobenian* if its indicator function is frobenian. A basic example of a frobenian set is the set of all primes which are completely split in a finite extension L/\mathbb{Q} .

Example 2.2. Let $\chi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a Dirichlet character modulo n. We claim that the function $p \mapsto \chi(p)$ is frobenian. In the notation of Definition 2.1 one takes $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and $S = \{p \mid n\}$, where ζ_n is a primitive nth root of unity. The map $\psi: (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* \to \Gamma$ given by $m \mapsto (\zeta_n \mapsto \zeta_n^m)$ is an isomorphism, and we have $\psi(p \mod n) = \operatorname{Frob}_p$. We then take $\varphi = \chi \circ \psi^{-1}$ and note that $\chi(p) = \varphi(\operatorname{Frob}_p)$ is thus frobenian.

There is an alternative way to view frobenian functions which makes it easier to relate different frobenian functions. Namely, let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and consider a frobenian function ρ with associated class function $\varphi:\Gamma\to\mathbb{C}$. Then we can write $\Gamma=G/N$ for some normal open subgroup N and view φ as an N-invariant class function on G. With this perspective, we equip G and N with their Haar probability measures, so that the quotient measure on Γ is also the Haar probability measure. Then the mean of ρ is easily seen to be given by the formula

$$m(\rho) = \int_{G} \varphi(g) \, \mathrm{d}g. \tag{2.1}$$

Using this one obtains the following.

Lemma 2.3. Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be frobenian functions. Then $\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2$ is also frobenian.

Proof. Consider the associated finite sets S_i of primes and N_i -invariant class functions $\varphi_i : G = \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{C}$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$. Then $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ is $(N_1 \cap N_2)$ -invariant and $(\rho_1 \rho_2)(p) = (\varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\operatorname{Frob}_p)$ for all $p \in S_1 \cup S_2$, where Frob_p denotes the Frobenius element of $p \in G$ in $G/(N_1 \cap N_2)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let ρ be a frobenian function with $m(\rho) \neq 0$. Then as $x \to \infty$:

(1)
$$\sum_{p < x} \rho(p) = m(\rho) \cdot \text{Li}(x) + O(x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})) \quad \text{for some } c > 0,$$

where $\operatorname{Li}(x) = \int_2^{\infty} dt / \log t$ denotes the logarithmic integral;

(2)
$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{\rho(p)}{p} = m(\rho) \log \log x + C_{\rho} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \text{ for some constant } C_{\rho};$$

(3)
$$\sum_{p \le x} \rho(p) \log p = m(\rho) \cdot x + O(x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})) \quad \text{for some } c > 0;$$

(4)
$$\prod_{\substack{p \le x \\ |\rho(p)| < p}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)}{p} \right) \sim C'_{\rho} (\log x)^{m(\rho)} \quad \text{for some } C'_{\rho} \neq 0;$$

where C'_{ρ} is real and positive when ρ is real-valued.

Proof. The first part is Serre's version of the Chebotarev density theorem [42, Theorem 3.6]. The second and third parts follow from partial summation. The fourth part follows from the second part on taking logs. Observe that the product in the fourth part runs over all but finitely many primes since ρ is bounded.

2.1.1. Twisting by a Dirichlet character

Lemma 2.5. Let ρ be a frobenian function.

- (1) Only finitely many primitive Dirichlet characters χ satisfy $m(\rho\chi) \neq 0$.
- Assume that ρ is real-valued and non-negative and let γ be a Dirichlet character.
- (2) We have $|m(\rho \chi)| \leq m(\rho)$.

- (3) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (a) $|m(\rho \chi)| = m(\rho)$;
 - (b) $m(\rho \chi) = m(\rho)$;
 - (c) $\rho \chi(p) = \rho(p)$ for all but finitely many primes p.

Proof. First note that $\rho\chi$ is frobenian by Lemma 2.3. Let $\varphi:\Gamma\to\mathbb{C}$ be a choice of class function associated to ρ , which we view as an N-invariant class function on $G=\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ for some normal open subgroup N. Next, recall from class field theory that primitive Dirichlet characters are in one-to-one correspondence with continuous homomorphisms $G\to S^1$; namely the Artin map induces an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^*\cong G^{ab}$, and primitive Dirichlet characters are exactly the characters of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^*$. Let $\chi:G\to S^1$ be such a homomorphism, which by abuse of notation we identity with the corresponding primitive Dirichlet character. First assume that χ is non-trivial on N. Then by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{split} m(\rho\chi) &= \int_{G} \varphi(g) \chi(g) \, \mathrm{d}g = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{N} \varphi(\gamma n) \chi(\gamma n) \, \mathrm{d}n \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma) \chi(\gamma) \int_{N} \chi(n) \, \mathrm{d}n = 0 \end{split}$$

where the last line is by character orthogonality and the fact that χ is non-trivial on N. It follows that if $m(\rho\chi) \neq 0$ then χ is trivial on N. But then χ is just a character of Γ , of which there are only finitely many. This proves (1).

For (2), note that φ is also real and non-negative. We thus have

$$|m(\rho\chi)| = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Big| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma) \chi(\gamma) \Big| \le \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma) = m(\rho), \tag{2.2}$$

as required, on using $|\chi| = 1$. To prove (3), we use the following fact:

if
$$z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$$
 and $|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n| = z_1 + \cdots + z_n$, then $z_i = |z_i| \forall i$. (2.3)

Assume (a) holds. Then by (a), $|\chi| = 1$, (2.2) and (2.3) we have $m(\rho \chi) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, whence (b). Assume (b), so that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma) \chi(\gamma).$$

As $|\varphi(\gamma)\chi(\gamma)| = \varphi(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we deduce that $\varphi(\gamma)\chi(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma)$ for all γ , which proves (c) as our functions are frobenian. Finally, (c) easily implies (b), which obviously implies (a), as required.

2.2. Frobenian multiplicative functions

We now introduce the class of multiplicative functions that appear in the statement of Theorem 1.9. Such multiplicative functions will play a prominent rôle throughout the paper.

Definition 2.6. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and let $\rho : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a multiplicative function. We say that ρ is ε -weak frobenian if

- (1) the restriction of ρ to the set of primes is a frobenian function in the sense of Definition 2.1;
- (2) $|\rho(n)| \ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (3) there exists $H \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\rho(p^k)| \leq H^k$ for all primes p and all $k \geq 1$.

We define the *mean* of ρ to be the mean of the corresponding frobenian function.

Definition 2.7. Let $\rho : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a multiplicative function. We say that ρ is *frobenian* if it is ε -weak frobenian for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are (ε -weak) frobenian multiplicative functions, then, by Lemma 2.3 and Definition 2.6, so is $\rho_1\rho_2$. In particular, $\rho\chi$ is a frobenian multiplicative function for a Dirichlet character χ and frobenian multiplicative function ρ .

Lemma 2.8. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and ρ be an ε -weak frobenian multiplicative function. Then

$$\sum_{n < x} \rho(n) = c_{\rho} x (\log x)^{m(\rho) - 1} + O(x (\log x)^{m(\rho) - 2}),$$

where

$$c_{\rho} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)}{p} + \frac{\rho(p^2)}{p^2} + \dots\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{m(\rho)}.$$

If ρ is real-valued and non-negative with $m(\rho) \neq 0$, then c_{ρ} is real and positive.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.4 (3), this result follows immediately from [12, Theorem 1.2], where A may be taken arbitrarily large, the value of ρ in that statement is given by $m(\rho)$, r = H and max $\{1/2, \varepsilon\} < \sigma < 1$. For completeness, we show that the second condition in [12, (1.11)] is indeed satisfied, that is,

$$\sum_{p} \left\{ \frac{|\rho(p)|^2}{p^{2\sigma}} + \sum_{\nu \ge 2} \frac{|\rho(p^{\nu})|}{p^{\nu\sigma}} \right\} < \infty.$$
 (2.4)

For any fixed $\nu \geq 2$, part (3) of Definition 2.6 implies

$$\sum_{p} |\rho(p^{\nu})| p^{-\sigma\nu} \le H^{\nu} \sum_{p} p^{-2\sigma} < \infty$$

since $\sigma > 1/2$, and the same estimate holds with $|\rho(p^{\nu})|$ replaced by $|\rho(p)|^{\nu}$. This estimate holds in particular for $2 \le \nu \le 2/\sigma$. If $\nu > 2/\sigma$, then part (3) of Definition 2.6 implies

$$\sum_{p>(2H)^{2/\sigma}} |f(p^{\nu})| p^{-\sigma\nu} \le \sum_{p>(2H)^{2/\sigma}} 2^{-\nu} p^{-\sigma\nu/2}$$

$$\ll 2^{-\nu} ((2H)^{2/\sigma})^{-\sigma\nu/2+1} \ll (4H)^{-\nu}$$

and $\sum_{\nu>2/\sigma} (4H)^{-\nu} < \infty$. For the remaining sum over small primes, part (2) implies that

$$\sum_{p \le H^{2/\sigma}} \sum_{\nu \ge 2} |\rho(p^{\nu})| p^{-\sigma\nu} \ll \sum_{p \le H^{2/\sigma}} \sum_{\nu \ge 2} p^{(-\sigma+\varepsilon)\nu} < \infty,$$

since $\sigma > \varepsilon$. The fact that c_{ρ} converges as well as the final part of our lemma follow from (2.4) and Lemma 2.4.

We observe that under the additional assumption that $|\rho| \leq \tau_H$, where τ_H denotes the multiplicative function with Dirichlet series $\zeta^H(s)$, the conclusion of the lemma would follow from [19, Theorem 1]. This would be sufficient for all later applications to $\{0,1\}$ -valued frobenian multiplicative functions.

3. Frobenian multiplicative functions evaluated at linear polynomials

In this section we prove Theorem 1.9. The main technical tool upon which our proof relies is a special case of the main result from [35], namely [35, Theorem 2.1]. The first two subsections below contain the preparation for applying this tool. In the first subsection, we describe a general class of multiplicative functions and verify that frobenian multiplicative functions belong to that class. In the second subsection, we deduce a version of the relevant result from [35] that is adjusted to our situation. Finally, the third subsection contains the proof of Theorem 1.9.

3.1. Set-up and verification

Given any arithmetic function $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$, $x \ge 1$ and $q, A \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \ne 0$, we define

$$S_h(x) = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{1 \le n \le x} h(n), \qquad S_h(x; q, A) = \frac{q}{x} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le x \\ n \equiv A \pmod{q}}} h(n)$$

to be the average value of h up to x and the average value of h in the progression $A \pmod{q}$ up to x, respectively. Moreover, for x > 1 and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$E_h(x;q) = \frac{1}{\log x} \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \prod_{p < x, \ p \nmid q} \left(1 + \frac{|h(p)|}{p} \right). \tag{3.1}$$

The results from [35] apply to a general class \mathcal{F}^* of multiplicative functions which contains the following class \mathcal{F} as a subset.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{F} denote the class of multiplicative functions $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ with the properties:

- (i) there exists a constant $H \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on h, such that $|h(p^k)| \le H^k$ for all primes p and all integers $k \ge 1$;
- (ii) $|h(n)| \ll_{\varepsilon,h} n^{\varepsilon}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$;

(iii) there exists a positive constant α_h such that

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{p \le x} |h(p)| \log p \ge \alpha_h \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } x;$$

(iv) h has a stable mean value in arithmetic progressions, for every constant C > 0, there exists a function ψ_C with $\psi_C(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ such that the estimate

$$S_h(x'; q, A) = S_h(x; q, A) + O(\psi_C(x)E_h(x; q))$$

holds for all $x \ge 2$ and $x' \in (x(\log x)^{-C}, x)$, and for all progressions $A \pmod{q}$ with gcd(q, A) = 1, where $1 < q \le (\log x)^{C}$ and $p \mid q$ for every prime $p < \log \log x$.

We note as an aside that if $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is multiplicative and satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) from above, then a special case of [43, Theorem 1] implies that, as $x \to \infty$, we have $|S_h(x,q,A)| \le S_{|h|}(x,q,A) \ll E_h(x;q)$ uniformly for all $q < x^{3/4}$ and 0 < A < q such that $\gcd(A,q) = 1$.

It is often easier to work with bounded multiplicative functions than with functions from the general class \mathcal{F} . Similarly, working with completely multiplicative functions will often be easier than working with general multiplicative functions. To handle the general case in our setting, we will make use of the following two tools that allow us to reduce our case to either of the two easier settings:

In the setting of Definition 3.1, 'bounded' corresponds to the case where we may take H=1. In order to invoke, even when H>1, results that a priori only apply to bounded multiplicative functions, we follow [33] and associate to any given $h \in \mathcal{F}$ with H>1 the bounded multiplicative function $g_h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ whose values at prime powers are given by

$$g_h(p^k) = \begin{cases} h(p)/H & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases}$$
 (3.2)

If H = 1, we set $g_h = h$. The function g_h is defined in such a way that h can be decomposed as the convolution $h = g_h^{(*H)} * g_h'$ of H copies of the bounded function g_h and one copy of a function g_h' that is (away from 1) supported on square-full numbers only. Observe that if h is frobenian, then so is g_h .

The second tool addresses the problem that sieving becomes difficult when the function at hand is not completely multiplicative. Recall the notion of an ε -weak frobenian function from Definition 2.6.

Lemma 3.2. Let ρ be a frobenian multiplicative function, let $H \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that (3) of Definition 2.6 holds, let q be a positive integer and let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $\tilde{\rho}$ is the multiplicative function defined via

$$\tilde{\rho}(p^k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \mid q \text{ and } p \leq H^{1/\varepsilon}, \\ \rho(p)^k & \text{if } p \mid q \text{ and } p > H^{1/\varepsilon}, \\ \rho(p^k) & \text{if } p \nmid q, \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

then $\tilde{\rho}$ is ε -weak frobenian and $m(\rho) = m(\tilde{\rho})$.

Proof. Let φ be a class function for which $\rho(p) = \varphi(\operatorname{Frob}_p)$ for all primes outside some set S of places. Enlarging S if necessary to include all primes $p \leq H^{1/\varepsilon}$, part (1) of Definition 2.6 holds for $\tilde{\rho}$ with the same class function φ . Next, note that $|\tilde{\rho}(p^k)| \leq H^k \leq p^{\varepsilon k}$ holds for all $p \mid q$ by construction. For $p \nmid q$ we have $|\tilde{\rho}(p^k)| \ll p^{\varepsilon k}$ since φ is frobenian. Hence $\tilde{\rho}$ is ε -weak frobenian. Since $m(\varphi)$ only depends on the class function φ from Definition 2.1, we have $m(\tilde{\rho}) = m(\varphi)$.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to proving the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *If* ρ *is a real-valued non-negative frobenian multiplicative function with* $m(\rho) > 0$, then $\rho \in \mathcal{F}$.

Conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1 are immediate as they are part of the defining properties of frobenian multiplicative functions. Condition (iii) holds for any $\alpha_{\rho} = m(\rho) - \delta$ with $0 < \delta < m(\rho)$, as follows from Lemma 2.4(3) and the assumption that $m(\rho) > 0$. The main difficulty, thus, lies in establishing condition (iv), and we begin by analysing the relevant mean values of frobenian multiplicative functions in progressions.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\rho: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a frobenian multiplicative function, let \mathcal{E}_{ρ} denote the (finite) set of primitive Dirichlet characters χ for which $m(\rho\chi) = m(\rho)$, and let $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}(q)$ denote the set of characters modulo q that are induced by the primitive characters $\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}$. Let C > 1 be fixed and x > e be a parameter. Then

$$\left| S_{\rho}(X;q,A) - \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(q)} \chi(A) \frac{1}{X} \sum_{n \leq X} \rho(n) \bar{\chi}(n) \right| = o_{x \to \infty}(1) E_{\rho}(x;q)$$

uniformly for all $x^{1/2} \le X \le x$, all moduli $q \le (\log x)^C$ such that $p \mid q$ for all primes $p \le \log \log x$, and all $A \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$.

Proof. Recall that that \mathcal{E}_{ρ} is a finite set by Lemma 2.5. We seek to apply [33, Corollary 4.2] which is an easy corollary to a result of Granville and Soundararajan [20] but requires some set-up. For this purpose, let g_{ρ} denote the bounded multiplicative function obtained via (3.2) for $h = \rho$, and let $H \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in Definition 3.1 (i) for ρ . Suppose we are given any x > e, let $y \in [x^{1/(8H)}, x]$ and enumerate for each fixed y the primitive characters of conductor at most $(\log y)^C$ as χ_1, χ_2, \ldots in such a way that $|S_{g_{\rho}\bar{\chi}_1}(y)| \ge |S_{g_{\rho}\bar{\chi}_2}(y)| \ge \cdots$ forms a non-increasing sequence. Note that $m(g_{\rho}\chi) = \frac{1}{H}m(\rho\chi)$ for any character χ . Since ρ is real-valued and non-negative, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.8 imply that for all sufficiently large x and for each choice of y as above, the initial elements of the associated sequences χ_1, χ_2, \ldots are precisely given by those $\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ for which $c_{g_{\rho}\bar{\chi}} \ne 0$ in Lemma 2.8. Let $\alpha_{\rho} > 0$ be as in Definition 3.1 (iii) for ρ and let $k \ge \max\{2, \alpha_{\rho}^{-2}, \#\mathcal{E}_{\rho}\}$ be an integer. For any y as before, define the set $\mathcal{E}_{\rho,k,y} = \{\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_k\}$ to consist of the first k elements of the sequence of characters defined for the given value of y. Moreover, let $\mathcal{E}_{\rho,k} = \bigcup_{1 \le j \le z} \mathcal{E}_{\rho,k,\chi^{1/2^j}}$, where $z = \lceil \log_2(4H) \rceil$, and let $\mathcal{E}_{\rho,k}^*(q)$ denote the set of characters χ^* modulo q that are induced from the characters $\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho,k}$. Then [33, Corollary 4.2]

implies that

$$\left| S_{\rho}(X;q,A) - \frac{q}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi^* \in \mathcal{E}^*} \chi^*(A) \frac{1}{X} \sum_{n \le X} \rho(n) \bar{\chi}^*(n) \right| = o_{x \to \infty}(1) E_{\rho}(x;q)$$

uniformly for all $x^{1/2} \le X \le x$, all $q \le (\log x)^C$ as in the statement, all $A \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$, and for all sets $\mathcal{E}^* \supseteq \mathcal{E}^*_{\rho,k}(q)$ of Dirichlet characters modulo q. The lemma thus follows provided we can show that

$$S_{\rho\tilde{\chi}^*}(X) = o_{x \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \prod_{p \le x, \ p \nmid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p} \right) \right) \tag{3.4}$$

for every $\chi^* \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho,k}^*(q)$ that is induced from some $\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho,k} \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\rho}$, and where $q \leq (\log x)^C$ is such that $p \mid q$ for all $p \leq \log \log x$.

To prove (3.4), let $\rho_q = \tilde{\rho}$ denote the function defined by (3.3) for the given value of q and for $\varepsilon = 1/2$, say. Then $S_{\rho\bar{\chi}^*}(X) = S_{\rho_q\bar{\chi}^*}(X)$, and Lemma 3.2 implies that $m(\rho) = m(\rho_q)$ as well as $m(\rho_q \chi) = m(\rho \chi) = Hm(g_\rho \chi)$ for all characters χ . To prove the required bound, note that for each $\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho,k} \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re} m(\rho \chi) < m(\rho) - \delta$. By Lemma 2.5, we in fact have $m(\chi \rho) = 0$ for all but finitely many primitive χ . Combining this information with Lemma 2.8, there thus exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$S_{\rho_q \bar{\chi}}(X) \ll (\log x)^{m(\rho)-1-\delta_0}$$

uniformly for all $x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-C} \le X \le x$ and χ as before. Since ρ_q is completely multiplicative at primes dividing q, Möbius inversion and the above yield

$$S_{\rho_q \bar{\chi}^*}(X) = \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d)\rho(d)\bar{\chi}(d)}{d} S_{\rho_q \bar{\chi}}(X/d) \ll \sum_{d|q} \frac{|\rho(d)|}{d} |S_{\rho_q \bar{\chi}}(X/d)|$$

$$\ll (\log x)^{m(\rho)-1-\delta_0} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p}\right),$$

provided $x^{1/2} \le X \le x$ and $q \le (\log x)^C$. Invoking the final part of Lemma 2.4, the bound $q \le (\log x)^C$ and $|\rho(p)| \le H$, we deduce that

$$S_{\rho_q \bar{\chi}^*}(X) \ll (\log x)^{-1-\delta_0} \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p} \right) \prod_{p' \le x} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p')|}{p'} \right)$$

$$\ll (\log x)^{-1-\delta_0} \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p} \right)^2 \prod_{p' \le x, \ p' \nmid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p')|}{p'} \right)$$

$$\ll (\log q)^{2H} (\log x)^{-1-\delta_0} \prod_{p' \le x, \ p' \nmid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p')|}{p'} \right)$$

$$\ll (\log x)^{-1-\delta_0/2} \prod_{p \le x, \ p \nmid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p} \right).$$

Hence (3.4) holds as required.

We will apply Lemma 3.4 together with the following refinement of Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 3.5. Let ρ be a frobenian multiplicative function, let H be as in Definition 2.6 (3), let $S_0 = \{p \text{ prime} : p \leq H^8\}$, and let x > e be a parameter.

If ρ^* denotes the completely multiplicative function whose values at primes are given by $\rho^*(p) = \rho(p) \mathbf{1}_{p \notin S_0}$, and if c_{ρ^*} denotes the corresponding leading constant from Lemma 2.8, then, as $x \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \gcd(n,q) = 1}} \rho(n) = c_{\rho^*} (\log x)^{m(\rho) - 1} \prod_{p \mid q, \ p \notin S_0} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p)}{p} \right) + o_{x \to \infty} \left(\frac{\phi(q) E_{\rho}(x,q)}{q} \right)$$

uniformly for all integers $q \le \exp((\log \log x)^2)$ such that $p \mid q$ for all primes $p < \log \log x$. Moreover, if ρ is real and non-negative with $m(\rho) > 0$, then $c_{\rho^*} > 0$.

Proof. Let $q \le \exp((\log \log x)^2)$ be such that $p \mid q$ for all primes $p < \log \log x$, and note that $m(\rho) = m(\rho^*)$. To start with, we claim that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \operatorname{crd}(n,a)=1}} |\rho(n) - \rho^*(n)| = o_{x \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \prod_{p \le x, \ p \nmid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p} \right) \right). \tag{3.5}$$

Assuming (3.5) for the moment, it suffices to prove the lemma with ρ replaced by ρ^* . In this case, it follows from Lemma 2.8 and Möbius inversion that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \gcd(n,q)=1}} \rho^*(n) = \sum_{d \mid q} \mu(d) \rho^*(d) \sum_{n \le x/d} \rho^*(n)$$

$$= \sum_{d \mid q} \frac{\mu(d) \rho^*(d)}{d} \left(c_{\rho^*} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log(x/d)}\right) \right) x (\log(x/d))^{m(\rho)-1}$$

$$= \left(c_{\rho^*} + O_{\delta}((\log x)^{-1+\delta}) \right) x (\log x)^{m(\rho)-1} \prod_{p \mid d, p \notin \delta_0} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p)}{p} \right),$$

where we have used the bounds $\log(x/d) = (1 + O((\log \log x)^2/\log x)) \log x$ for $d \mid q$, and $\log \log x \ll_{\delta} (\log x)^{\delta}$ for $\delta > 0$, as well as

$$\sum_{d \mid q} \frac{|\mu(d)\rho^*(d)|}{d} = \prod_{p \mid q, p \notin \mathcal{S}_0} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p}\right)$$
$$\leq \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 + \frac{H}{p}\right) \ll (\log \log x)^{2H}.$$

Thus, it remains to prove (3.5). Note that $|\rho(n)|, |\rho^*(n)| \ll n^{1/8}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $\rho(n) = \rho^*(n)$ for all square-free integers n that are coprime to q. Let us decompose each integer n into a product m_1m_2 of a square-free integer m_1 and a square $m_2 = m^2$.

Then, assuming that gcd(n,q) = 1, the condition $\rho(n) \neq \rho^*(n)$ implies that m has a prime factor $p \ge \log \log x$. We thus have

$$\frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ \gcd(n,q)=1}} (\rho(n) - \rho^*(n)) \right| \ll \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{m_1 \le x^{1/2} \\ |\mu(m_1)|=1}} |\rho^*(m_1)| \sum_{\substack{1 < m^2 \le x/m_1 \\ \gcd(m,q)=1}} m^{1/4} + \sum_{\substack{1 < m^2 \le x^{1/2} \\ \gcd(m,q)=1}} \frac{m^{1/4}}{m^2} \frac{m^2}{x} \sum_{\substack{m_1 \le x/m^2 \\ \gcd(m_1,q)=1}} |\rho^*(m_1)|. \quad (3.6)$$

Using the bound $|\rho^*(m_1)| \leq H^{\Omega(m_1)}$, the first of the two terms is bounded by

$$\ll \sum_{\substack{m_1 \le x^{1/2} \\ |\mu(m_1)| = 1}} \frac{H^{\omega(m_1)}}{m_1} \frac{m_1}{x} \sum_{m \le (x/m_1)^{1/2}} m^{1/4} \ll \sum_{\substack{m_1 \le x^{1/2} \\ |\mu(m_1)| = 1}} \frac{H^{\omega(m_1)}}{m_1} (x/m_1)^{-1/2 + 1/4}
\ll x^{-1/8} \prod_{p \le x^{1/2}} (1 + H/p) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{-1/8 + \varepsilon},$$

which agrees with our claim. Concerning the second term in the bound (3.6), it follows from Shiu [43, Theorem 1] (see [33, Lemma 3.1]) that the inner sum satisfies

$$\frac{m^2}{x} \sum_{\substack{m_1 \le x/m^2 \\ \gcd(m_1, q) = 1}} |\rho^*(m_1)| \ll \frac{1}{\log x} \prod_{p \le x, \ p \nmid q} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)|}{p}\right).$$

For the outer sum, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 < m^2 \le x^{1/2} \\ \exists p \ge \log\log x: \ p \mid m}} \frac{m^{1/4}}{m^2} \le \sum_{(\log\log x)^2 < m^2 \le x^{1/2}} m^{-2+1/4} \ll (\log\log x)^{-1+1/4},$$

which shows that the second term, too, is $o(\phi(q)E_{\rho}(x;q)/q)$, as required.

We are now in a position to verify condition (iv) of Definition 3.1 for real non-negative frobenian multiplicative functions.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\rho : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a real non-negative frobenian multiplicative function. Then, with all assumptions from Definition 3.1 (iv) in place, we have

$$S_{\rho}(x;q,A) = S_{\rho}(x';q,A) + o_{x\to\infty}(1)E_{\rho}(x;q).$$

Proof. Lemma 3.4 yields an approximation of $S_{\rho}(X;q,A)$ by a finite character sum that holds uniformly for all $X \in [x^{1/2},x]$. Using this approximation, the lemma follows provided

$$S_{\rho\chi^*}(x) = S_{\rho\chi^*}(x') + o_{x \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log x} \prod_{p \le x} \left(1 + \frac{|\rho(p)\chi^*(p)|}{p} \right) \right)$$

for all $\chi^* \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(q)$. If χ denotes the primitive character that induces χ^* , then the latter assertion follows from Lemma 3.5 applied with ρ replaced by $\rho\chi$. Indeed, since $\log x' = \log x + O(C \log \log x)$, applying the lemma to both terms in the difference $S_{\rho\chi^*}(x) - S_{\rho\chi^*}(x')$, we obtain sufficient cancellation in main terms.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Conditions (i) and (ii) are clear, condition (iii) follows with $\alpha_0 = m(\rho)/2$ from Lemma 2.4 (3), while condition (iv) holds by Lemma 3.6.

3.2. Correlations of frobenian multiplicative functions

In this section, we deduce an asymptotic result for correlations of frobenian multiplicative functions from [35, Theorem 2.1]. In view of Proposition 3.3, we could apply [35, Theorem 2.1] directly. However, in the case of frobenian multiplicative functions a stronger result can in fact be obtained.

Definition 3.7. For any real number x > e, define

$$W(x) := \prod_{p < \log \log x} p.$$

Definition 3.8. Given any fixed collection ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_r of frobenian multiplicative functions, we define the following function $\widetilde{W}(x) = \widetilde{W}(x; \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r)$. For each $1 \leq j \leq r$, let \mathcal{E}_{ρ_j} denote the set of primitive characters defined in Lemma 3.4. If q_{χ} denotes the conductor of the character χ , define

$$\widetilde{W}(x) = W(x) \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho_j}} q_{\chi} \quad (x > e).$$

Regarding r and ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_r as fixed, we have $\widetilde{W}(x) \ll (\log x)^{1+o(1)}$.

Definition 3.9 (Finite complexity system). Let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_r \in \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \ldots, u_s]$ be linear polynomials. Then $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_r)$ is called a *finite complexity system of linear polynomials* if for any pair of indices $i \neq j$, the linear forms $\psi_i(\mathbf{u}) := \varphi_i(\mathbf{u}) - \varphi_i(\mathbf{0})$ and $\psi_i(\mathbf{u}) := \varphi_i(\mathbf{u}) - \varphi_i(\mathbf{0})$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Restricted to the class of frobenian multiplicative functions, [35, Theorem 2.1] yields the following:

Theorem 3.10. Let N > 2 be an integer parameter, let $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be real and non-negative frobenian multiplicative functions, each satisfying $m(\rho_j) > 0$, and let $\widetilde{W} = \widetilde{W}(N)$ be as in Definition 3.8. Further, let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_r \in \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \ldots, u_s]$ be a finite complexity system of linear polynomials, let $\Re \subset [-1, 1]^s$ be a fixed convex set and let

$$\mathfrak{K}^+ = \mathfrak{K} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^r \psi_j^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

be the (convex) subset of \Re that is mapped to \mathbb{R}^+ by each of the linear forms $\psi_j(\mathbf{u}) := \varphi_j(\mathbf{u}) - \varphi_j(\mathbf{0})$. Finally, suppose that $\operatorname{vol}(\Re^+) > 0$, extend each ρ_j to all of \mathbb{Z} by setting $\rho_j(-m) = 0$ if $m \ge 0$, and fix a point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^s$.

Then there exists a positive constant B_2 such that the following asymptotic holds as $N \to \infty$:

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(N\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{+})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{S} \cap (N\tilde{\mathcal{R}} + \boldsymbol{a})} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(\varphi_{j}(\boldsymbol{n}))$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{w_{1}, \dots, w_{r} \\ p \mid w_{i} \Rightarrow p \mid \tilde{W} \\ w_{i} \leq (\log N)^{B_{2}}}} \sum_{A_{1}, \dots, A_{r} \in (\mathbb{Z}/\tilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^{*}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(w_{j}) S_{\rho_{j}}(N; \tilde{W}, A_{j}) \right) \beta_{\varphi}(w_{1}A_{1}, \dots, w_{r}A_{r})$$

$$+ o \left(\frac{1}{(\log N)^{r}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{p \leq N} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_{j}(p)}{p} \right) \right), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\beta_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(w_1 A_1, \dots, w_r A_r) = \frac{1}{(w\widetilde{W})^s} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v} \in (\mathbb{Z}/w\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^s} \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbf{1}_{\varphi_j(\boldsymbol{v}) \equiv w_j A_j \pmod{w_j \widetilde{W}}}$$

with $w = \operatorname{lcm}(w_1, \dots, w_r)$.

Proof. Our first aim is to show that we can replace the set $N\Re + a$ in the summation condition on the left hand side by $N\Re^+$. To this end, we start by showing that this change only involves changing the summation domain on a set of volume $O(N^{s-1})$, if we ignore points in the domain at which the summation argument is zero. Recall the notation $A \triangle B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$. Replacing $N\Re + a$ by $N\Re$ changes the summation domain by the set $(N\Re + a) \triangle (N\Re)$, which is contained in the $\|a\|$ -neighbourhood of the boundary of $N\Re$. Since a is fixed and \Re convex, this $\|a\|$ -neighbourhood has a volume of order $O(N^{s-1})$, see e.g. [21, Corollary A.2], and thus

$$\operatorname{vol}((N\mathfrak{R} + a) \triangle (N\mathfrak{R})) = O(N^{s-1}).$$

Since all ρ_i vanish on $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, the domain $N\mathfrak{R}$ can immediately be replaced by

$$\mathfrak{K}_{N}^{+} := (N\mathfrak{K}) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{j}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{+})$$

$$= \{ \mathbf{n} \in N\mathfrak{K} : \varphi_{j}(\mathbf{n}) = \psi_{j}(\mathbf{n}) + \varphi_{j}(\mathbf{0}) > 0 \text{ for all } j \}.$$

In order to compare this set to the set \Re^+ from the statement, we note that, since each of the ψ_i is homogeneous, we have

$$N\mathfrak{K}^+ = \{ \boldsymbol{n} \in N\mathfrak{K} : \psi_j(\boldsymbol{n}) > 0 \text{ for all } j \}.$$

Writing $b_j = \varphi_j(\mathbf{0})$, it thus follows that

$$\operatorname{vol}(\mathfrak{K}_N^+ \triangle N\mathfrak{K}^+) \le \sum_{j=1}^r \operatorname{vol}(\{\boldsymbol{n} \in N\mathfrak{K} : \psi_j(\boldsymbol{n}) \in [-b_j, b_j]\}) = O(N^{s-1}).$$

The above information will be used to bound one factor in an application of Cauchy–Schwarz, while the second factor will be handled with the help of the following bound. Let ρ denote the multiplicative function whose values at prime powers are given by $\rho(p^j) = \max\{|\rho_1(p^j)|, \ldots, |\rho_r(p^j)|\}$. If $H \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that (3) of Definition 2.6 holds for all the ρ_i , then [4, Lemma 7.9] implies that

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^{S}\cap N\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{*}}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\rho(\varphi_{j}(\boldsymbol{n}))^{2}\ll_{C}|\mathbb{Z}^{S}\cap N\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{*}|(\log N)^{O_{r,H}(1)}$$

for any bounded convex subset $\Re^* \subseteq [-C,C]^s$. To use this bound, let $\Re_a := \{k + \lambda a : k \in \Re, \lambda \in [0,1]\}$ and note that $N\Re \cup (N\Re + a) \subseteq N\Re_a$. The error incurred by replacing $N\Re + a$ by $N\Re^+$ on the left hand side of (3.7) can be bounded by

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} N \mathcal{R}^{+}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{S} \cap ((N \mathcal{R} + a) \triangle N \mathcal{R}) \cup (N \mathcal{R}^{+} \triangle \mathcal{R}_{N}^{+}))} \prod_{j=1}^{r} |\rho_{j}(\varphi_{j}(\boldsymbol{n}))|$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{N^{S}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{S} \cap N \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{n}}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \rho(\varphi_{i}(\boldsymbol{n})) \mathbf{1}_{\boldsymbol{n} \in ((N \mathcal{R} + a) \triangle N \mathcal{R}) \cup (N \mathcal{R}^{+} \triangle \mathcal{R}_{N}^{+})}.$$

Applying Cauchy–Schwarz to the latter expression and invoking the above second moment bound as well as the bounds on the volumes of the sets in the indicator function, our error term is seen to be $O(N^{-1}(\log N)^{O_{r,H}(1)})$, which is negligible in view of the error term in (3.7).

Replacing thus $N \Re + a$ by $N \Re^+$ on the left hand side of (3.7) and in view of Proposition 3.3, we are left with an expression to which [35, Theorem 2.1] can be applied. In view of the error term in (3.7), the conclusion of Theorem 3.10 is, however, stronger than what is implied by a direct application of [35, Theorem 2.1]. The reason behind this is that in the special case of frobenian multiplicative functions, we can prove a stronger 'W-trick'. More precisely, Lemma 3.4 shows that the set of primitive characters that determine the behaviour of the mean value of a frobenian multiplicative function ρ in progressions is a fixed set that does not depend on the cut-off parameter x as long as x is sufficiently large. In the general setting of [35] one has to work, instead of with this fixed set, with the set $\mathcal{E}_{\rho,k}$ that appeared in the proof of Lemma 3.4 and might depend on x and C. Running through the proof of [33, Proposition 5.1] with \mathcal{E} replaced by our fixed set \mathcal{E}_{ρ} , we see that if q_{χ} denotes the conductor of a character χ , then $\widetilde{W}(x) = W(x) \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho_j}} q_{\chi}$ satisfies the conclusion of [33, Proposition 5.1]. In particular, the value of κ in [33, Proposition 5.1]. sition 5.1] can be chosen independent of E in this case, and it follows, moreover, that the W-trick in [33, Theorem 6.1] is independent of the degree and dimension of the nilsequence involved. This in turn allows us to take limits in the application of the inverse

theorem in the proof of [35, Theorem 2.1] (more precisely in the proof of the auxiliary result stated in [35, Proposition 4.2]) without changing the W-trick. Hence, ε can be omitted from the conclusion of [35, Theorem 2.1] when applied to frobenian multiplicative functions.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.9

Theorem 3.10 applies in the situation of Theorem 1.9 with s = n + 1 and N = B. As an intermediate step, we will prove:

Proposition 3.11. Let $\Re \subset [-1,1]^n$, $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $L_1, \ldots, L_r \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be as in Theorem 1.9. If s = n + 1, N = B and $\varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) = L_i(\mathbf{x})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and provided vol $\Re^+ > 0$ in the notation of Theorem 3.10, then the main term in (3.7) equals

$$(C_{\rho,L} + o(1)) \prod_{i=1}^{r} (\log B)^{m(\rho_i)-1}$$

for some absolute constant $C_{\rho,L}$ that depends at most on $\rho_1 \dots, \rho_r$ and L_1, \dots, L_r . Further, $C_{\rho,L} > 0$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ with

$$\rho_1(L_1(\mathbf{x}))\cdots\rho_r(L_r(\mathbf{x}))>0.$$

Remark 3.12 (Leading constant). The proof yields the following information on the leading constant. Let \mathcal{E}_{ρ_i} denote the set of primitive Dirichlet characters χ such that $m(\rho_i) = m(\chi \rho_i)$, let q_{ρ_i} denote the least common multiple of the conductors of the elements of \mathcal{E}_{ρ_i} , and let $H \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that (3) of Definition 2.6 holds for all the ρ_i . If $B_0 \geq 1$ is sufficiently large in terms of $r, s, H, q_{\rho_1}, \ldots, q_{\rho_r}$ and the coefficients of the linear forms $L_i(\mathbf{x}) - L_i(\mathbf{0})$, then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in (B\bar{\mathcal{R}} + \boldsymbol{a}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} \rho_1(L_1(\mathbf{x})) \cdots \rho_r(L_r(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$= B^{n+1} \left(C_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{L}}^* \operatorname{vol} \bar{\mathcal{R}}^+ + O(B_0^{-1/2}) + o_{B \to \infty}(1) \right) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{\log B} \prod_{B_0$$

for all $B > B_0$, where

$$C_{\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{L}}^{*} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{e^{-\gamma m(\rho_{j})}}{\Gamma(m(\rho_{j}))}\right) \sum_{\substack{b_{1},\dots,b_{r}\\b_{i}\in(\mathbb{Z}/q_{\rho_{i}}\mathbb{Z})^{*}}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{E}_{\rho_{j}}(q_{\rho_{j}})} \bar{\chi}(b_{j})\right) \left(\prod_{p\leq B_{0}} (1-p^{-1})^{-r}\right)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{u_{1},\dots,u_{r}\\p\mid u_{i}\Rightarrow p\leq B_{0}}} \sum_{\substack{A_{1},\dots,A_{r}\\\in(\mathbb{Z}/Q_{0}\mathbb{Z})^{*}\\A_{i}\equiv b_{i}\pmod{q_{0}}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \rho_{i}(u_{i})\right) \frac{1}{(uQ_{0})^{s}} \sum_{\substack{v\in\\(\mathbb{Z}/uQ_{0}\mathbb{Z})^{s}}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{1}_{L_{j}(v)\equiv u_{j}A_{j}\pmod{u_{j}Q_{0}}}$$

with $Q_0 = \prod_{p \le B_0} p^{1+v_p(q)}$, where $q = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho_i}} q_{\chi}$, and $u = \text{lcm}(u_1, \dots, u_r)$. Note that the character sums that appear in the expression for $C_{\rho,L}^*$ prevent us from being able to factorise this expression as a product over primes in general.

Proof of Theorem 1.9 assuming Proposition 3.11. In view of the proposition, it suffices to prove that $C_{\mathfrak{K},\rho,\mathbf{L}}=0$ if vol $\mathfrak{K}^+=0$, and that vol $\mathfrak{K}^+>0$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{y}\in\mathfrak{K}$ such that $L_j(\mathbf{y})>L_j(\mathbf{0})$ for all $1\leq j\leq r$. The latter part is clear from the definition of \mathfrak{K}^+ and continuity. The former part follows from the proof of Theorem 3.10: In the notation of the proof, we have

$$\#\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\cap \left(\left(\left(N\mathfrak{R}+a\right)\triangle N\mathfrak{R}\right)\cup\left(\mathfrak{R}_{N}^{+}\triangle N\mathfrak{R}^{+}\right)\right)\ll N^{n},$$

and, since $\Re^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is convex, it follows from a volume-packing argument (see [21, Appendix A]) that $\# \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap (N\Re^+) = \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \Re^+ + O(N^n) = O(N^n)$ if $\operatorname{vol} \Re^+ = \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \Re^+ = 0$. Thus, if $\operatorname{vol} \Re^+ = 0$, the same Cauchy–Schwarz application as in that proof shows that the contribution of all of $N\Re^+ + a$ can be included in the error term, i.e. the main term is zero.

Assuming that ρ is a non-negative frobenian multiplicative function, the following lemma provides an asymptotic formula for the mean values $S_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W}(N), A)$ that appear in the main term (3.7). It will later be used to 'lift' any given 'starting point' \mathbf{x} for which $\rho_1(L_1(\mathbf{x})) \dots \rho_r(L_1(\mathbf{x})) > 0$ and deduce from the existence of such a point that the main term in (3.7) is of the correct order of magnitude.

Lemma 3.13 (Lifting property). Let ρ be a non-negative frobenian multiplicative function with $m(\rho) > 0$, let \mathcal{E}_{ρ} denote the set of characters from Lemma 3.4, and let q_{ρ} denote the least common multiple of all conductors of characters from this set. Let N > 1 be a parameter and let $\widetilde{W} = \widetilde{W}(N)$ be as in Theorem 3.10, in particular $q_{\rho} \mid \widetilde{W}$. If further $\gcd(A, \widetilde{W}) = 1$, then

$$\begin{split} S_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W}(N), A) \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma m(\rho)}}{\Gamma(m(\rho))} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(q_{\rho})} \bar{\chi}(A) + o_{N \to \infty}(1)\right) \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})} \frac{1}{\log N} \prod_{p < N, p \nmid \widetilde{W}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)}{p}\right), \end{split}$$

where γ denotes Euler's constant. The leading constant above is real and non-negative and it only depends on the residue class of $A \mod q_\rho$. If A = 1, the constant is positive.

Remark 3.14. Since the leading constant above only takes values in a finite set, which is determined by $m(\rho)$ and q_{ρ} , we have

$$S_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W}(N), A) \gg \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})} \frac{1}{\log N} \prod_{p < N, p \nmid \widetilde{W}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)}{p}\right)$$

for all $N \geq 3$ and for all reduced residues $A \mod \widetilde{W}(N)$ for which $\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}} \bar{\chi}(A)$ is positive.

Proof of Lemma 3.13. By Lemma 3.4 we have, as $N \to \infty$,

$$S_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W}, A) = \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(q_{\rho})} \bar{\chi}(A) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} \rho(n) \chi^{*}(n) + o(E_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W})),$$

where $\widetilde{W}=\widetilde{W}(N)$ and where χ^* denotes the character modulo \widetilde{W} induced by χ . We seek to relate each of the mean values $S_{\rho\chi^*}(N)=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\rho(n)\chi^*(n)$ in this expression to $S_{\rho}(N)$. To start with, let ρ^* denote the completely multiplicative function whose values at primes are given by $\rho^*(p)=\rho(p)\mathbf{1}_{p\not\in S}$. Increasing S if necessary, we may suppose that S contains all primes $p\leq H^8$. By Lemma 3.5, $S_{\rho\chi^*}(N)$ thus equals

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ (n|\widetilde{W})=1}} \rho(n) \chi(n) = S_{\rho^* \chi}(N) \prod_{\substack{p \mid \widetilde{W}, p \notin S}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)\rho(p)}{p}\right) + o\left(\frac{\phi(\widetilde{W})E_{\rho\chi}(N,\widetilde{W})}{\widetilde{W}}\right).$$

Concerning the mean value $S_{\rho^*\chi}(N)$, recall that $m(\rho) = m(\rho\chi)$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}$, and note that Lemma 2.5 (3) thus implies

$$\sum_{p \text{ prime, } p \notin S} \frac{\rho(p) - \operatorname{Re} \chi(p) \rho(p)}{p} < \infty.$$

It therefore follows from Elliott [15, Theorem 4] that

$$S_{\rho^*\chi}(N) = S_{\rho^*}(N) \prod_{p \le N, \ p \notin S} \frac{1 - \rho(p)p^{-1}}{1 - \chi(p)\rho(p)p^{-1}} + o(S_{\rho^*}(N))$$

as $N \to \infty$. Since $m(\rho) = m(\rho \chi)$, it further follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\prod_{w(N)$$

where the leading constant is 1 and no longer depends on γ , and that

$$\prod_{p \mid \tilde{W}, \, p \not \in S} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)\rho(p)}{p}\right) \ll \prod_{p \leq w(N), \, p \not \in S} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p)}{p}\right) \ll (\log w(N))^{-m(\rho)}.$$

Thus, combining all the above, we obtain

$$S_{\rho\chi^*}(N) = (1 + o(1))S_{\rho^*}(N) \left(\frac{\log N}{\log w(N)}\right)^{m(\rho)} \prod_{p \le N, \ p \notin S} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p)}{p}\right) + o\left(S_{\rho^*}(N)(\log w(N))^{-m(\rho^*)}\right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma m(\rho)}}{\Gamma(m(\rho))} \frac{1}{\log N} \left(\frac{\log N}{\log w(N)}\right)^{m(\rho)} + o\left(\frac{(\log N)^{m(\rho)-1}}{(\log w(N))^{m(\rho)}}\right),$$

where we have applied Wirsing's theorem [48, Satz 1.1] together with the identity $m(\rho^*) = m(\rho)$ to $S_{\rho^*}(N)$ in order to express the leading constant explicitly. Hence, the average value of ρ in progressions modulo $\widetilde{W}(N)$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} S_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W}(N), A) \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})} \frac{e^{-\gamma m(\rho)}}{\Gamma(m(\rho))} \frac{1}{\log N} \left(\frac{\log N}{\log w(N)} \right)^{m(\rho)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(q_{\rho})} \bar{\chi}(a) + o(E_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W})) \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})} \frac{e^{-\gamma m(\rho)}}{\Gamma(m(\rho))} \frac{1}{\log N} \left(\prod_{p < N, \ p \nmid \widetilde{W}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho(p)}{p} \right) \right) \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(q_{\rho})} \bar{\chi}(a) + o(E_{\rho}(N; \widetilde{W})), \end{split}$$

as claimed.

Proof of Proposition 3.11. Our aim is to evaluate the main term of the asymptotic formula from Theorem 3.10 for s = n + 1, N = B and $\varphi = L$.

By Lemma 3.13 there exists for every $j \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $b_j \in (\mathbb{Z}/q_{\rho_j}\mathbb{Z})^*$ a constant $C_{b_j}(\rho_j) \geq 0$ such that

$$S_{\rho_j}(N; \widetilde{W}, A_j) = (C_{b_j}(\rho_j) + o(1)) \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})} \frac{1}{\log N} \prod_{p \le N, p \nmid \widetilde{W}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_j(p)}{p} \right)$$
(3.8)

uniformly for all reduced residues $A_j \mod \widetilde{W}(N)$ that satisfy $A_j \equiv b_j \pmod{q_{\rho_j}}$. Thus, Lemma 3.13 allows us to reduce the task of evaluating the main term of (3.7) to that of evaluating the expression

$$\sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_i \Rightarrow p \mid \widetilde{W} \\ w_i < (\log N)^{B_2}}} \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_r \in (\mathbb{Z}/\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^* \\ A_i \equiv b_i \pmod{q_{\rho_i}}}} \beta_{\boldsymbol{L}}(w_1 A_1, \dots, w_r A_r) \prod_{j=1}^r \rho_j(w_j)$$
(3.9)

for any given tuple $(b_1, \ldots, b_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q_{\rho_1}\mathbb{Z})^* \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/q_{\rho_r}\mathbb{Z})^*$ and for

$$\beta_{\boldsymbol{L}}(w_1 A_1, \dots, w_r A_r) = \frac{1}{(w\widetilde{W})^s} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v} \in (\mathbb{Z}/w\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^s} \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbf{1}_{L_j(\boldsymbol{v}) \equiv w_j A_j \pmod{w_j \widetilde{W}}}$$
(3.10)

with $w = \text{lcm}(w_1, \dots, w_r)$.

Before considering (3.9) more carefully, let us indicate how the existence of a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ with the property $\rho_1(L_1(\mathbf{x})) \cdots \rho_r(L_r(\mathbf{x})) > 0$ implies positivity of the leading constant: By Lemma 3.13 we have $C_{b_j}(\rho_j) > 0$ in the special case when $b_j = 1$ in (3.8). Given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ as above, we write $w_j^* := L_j(\mathbf{x})$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and assume that N is sufficiently large so that $p \mid w_j^*$ implies $p \mid \widetilde{W}(N)$. Since \mathbf{x} solves the system

$$L_j(\mathbf{x}) = w_j^*, \quad \rho_j(w_j^*) > 0 \quad (1 \le j \le r),$$

it follows, writing $w = \text{lcm}(w_1, \dots, w_r)$ as before, that

$$\sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_j \Rightarrow p < B_0}} \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_r \\ \epsilon(\mathbb{Z}/Q\mathbb{Z})^* \\ A_j \equiv 1 \pmod{q_{\rho_i}}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i(w_i) \right) \frac{1}{(wQ)^s} \sum_{\substack{v \in \\ (\mathbb{Z}/wQ\mathbb{Z})^s}} \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbf{1}_{L_j(v) \equiv w_j A_j} > 0 \quad (3.11)$$

for every $Q \in \mathbb{N}$, provided B_0 is sufficiently large for (w_1^*, \dots, w_r^*) to appear in the outer sum.

Our analysis of (3.9) will rest upon the fact that the quantities β_L are closely related to the notion of local divisor densities studied in [21, p. 1831] and [4, Section 5.2]. We proceed by recalling this notion as well as some of the properties essential to this proof. For this purpose, let $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r)$ be a finite complexity system of linear polynomials. If $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ and $m = \max\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$, then the associated divisor density is defined to be

$$\alpha_{\varphi}(p^{c_1},\ldots,p^{c_r}) := \frac{1}{p^{ms}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^s} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{1}_{\varphi_i(\mathbf{u}) \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p^{c_i}}}.$$

Divisor densities can, away from finitely many primes, be asymptotically evaluated and we have (cf. [4, (5.6)])

$$\alpha_{\varphi}(p^{c_1}, \dots, p^{c_r}) \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{if } n(\mathbf{c}) = 0, \\ = p^{-\max_i c_i} & \text{if } p \gg_L 1 \text{ and } n(\mathbf{c}) = 1, \\ \leq p^{-\max_i \neq_j \{c_i + c_j\}} & \text{if } p \gg_L 1 \text{ and } n(\mathbf{c}) > 1, \\ \ll_L p^{-\max_i c_i} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(3.12)

where $n(\mathbf{c})$ denotes the number of non-zero components of \mathbf{c} , where

$$L = \max_{1 \le i \le r} \{ \|\varphi_i\|, r, s \}$$

and where $\|\varphi_i\|$ denotes the maximum modulus of the coefficients of φ_i . Extending α_{φ} multiplicatively, it follows from (3.12) that

$$\alpha_{\varphi}(n_1,\ldots,n_r) \ll_L (\operatorname{lcm}(n_1,\ldots,n_r))^{-1} \ll_L \left(\max_j n_j\right)^{-1}.$$

These divisor densities are linked to expressions of the form (3.10) for $L = \varphi$ through the following identity. Let $m \ge a + \max\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$ be an integer. Then

$$\sum_{a_{1},\dots,a_{r}\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^{a}\mathbb{Z})^{*}} \frac{1}{p^{ms}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m}\mathbb{Z})^{s}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\varphi_{j}(\mathbf{v})\equiv p^{c_{j}}a_{j} \pmod{p^{a+c_{j}}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^{ms}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m}\mathbb{Z})^{s}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{1}_{p^{c_{j}} \parallel \varphi_{j}(\mathbf{v})} = \sum_{\varepsilon_{1},\dots,\varepsilon_{r}\in\{0,1\}} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{1}+\dots+\varepsilon_{r}} \alpha_{\varphi}(p^{c_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}},\dots,p^{c_{r}+\varepsilon_{r}}).$$
(3.13)

Returning to the expression (3.9), let us consider for any fixed tuple (w_1, \ldots, w_r) the sum over (A_1, \ldots, A_r) . By the Chinese remainder theorem, the function β_L is multiplicative. Since the congruence conditions restricting the summation over (A_1, \ldots, A_r) only involve the finite set of prime factors dividing $q_{\rho_1} \ldots q_{\rho_r}$, it follows by multiplicativity of β_L and from the first equality in (3.13) that

$$\sum_{\substack{A_{1},\ldots,A_{r}\in(\mathbb{Z}/\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^{*}\\A_{i}\equiv b_{i}\pmod{q_{\rho_{i}}}}} \beta_{L}(w_{1}A_{1},\ldots,w_{r}A_{r})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{A_{1},\ldots,A_{r}\in(\mathbb{Z}/\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^{*}\\A_{i}\equiv b_{i}\pmod{q_{\rho_{i}}}}} \frac{1}{(w\widetilde{W})^{s}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/w\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^{s}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{1}_{L_{j}(\mathbf{v})\equiv w_{j}A_{j}\pmod{w_{j}}\widetilde{W}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\substack{A_{1},\ldots,A_{r}\in(\mathbb{Z}/Q\mathbb{Z})^{*}\\A_{i}\equiv b_{i}\pmod{q_{\rho_{i}}}}} \frac{1}{(uQ)^{s}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/uQ\mathbb{Z})^{s}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{1}_{L_{j}(\mathbf{v})\equiv w_{j}A_{j}\pmod{u_{j}}Q}\right)$$

$$\times \prod_{p\mid\widetilde{W},p\nmid Q} \left(\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{p^{ms}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m}\mathbb{Z})^{s}} (\mathbf{1}_{p^{v_{p}(w_{i})}\mid L_{i}(\mathbf{v})} - \mathbf{1}_{p^{v_{p}(w_{i})+1}\mid L_{i}(\mathbf{v})})\right) \quad (3.14)$$

whenever Q is a divisor of \widetilde{W} with the property that $\gcd(Q,\widetilde{W}/Q)=1$ and that $q_{\rho_i}|Q$ for every $i\in\{1,\ldots,r\}$. Further, $u_i:=\prod_{p\mid Q}p^{v_p(w_i)}$ and $u:=\mathrm{lcm}(u_1,\ldots,u_r)$ in the first factor above.

To handle the sum over (w_1, \ldots, w_r) in (3.9), we will use the decomposition

$$\sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_i \Rightarrow p \mid \tilde{W}(N) \\ w_i \leq (\log N)^{B_2}}} = \sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_i \Rightarrow p \mid \tilde{W}(N) \\ \exists j : w_j > (\log N)^{B_2}}} - \sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_i \Rightarrow p \mid \tilde{W}(N) \\ \exists j : w_j > (\log N)^{B_2}}}$$
(3.15)

together with the bound

$$\sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_i \Rightarrow p \mid \widetilde{W}(N) \\ \exists j : w_i > (\log T)^{B_2}}} \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_r \\ (\mathbb{Z}/\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^*}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i(w_i) \right) \beta_{\boldsymbol{L}}(w_1 A_1, \dots, w_r A_r) \ll_L (\log N)^{-B_2/6 + o(1)}.$$
(3.16)

The latter bound is almost identical to the bound obtained in [35, (11.3) and (11.4)] and its proof is identical except for one step: the final line of [35, (11.4)] needs to be replaced by

$$\ll_L (\log N)^{-B_2/6} 2^{\omega(\tilde{W}(N))} \ll_L (\log N)^{-B_2/6} 2^{\pi(\log\log N)} \ll_L (\log N)^{-B_2/6 + o(1)}$$

The bound (3.16) certainly is

$$o_{N\to\infty}(1) \left(\frac{\phi(\widetilde{W})}{\widetilde{W}}\right)^r \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j\in \widetilde{W}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_i(p)}{p}\right)^{-1},$$
 (3.17)

which will be enough to handle the contribution of the second sum in (3.15) towards (3.9). Turning towards the first sum in (3.15), we let $B_0 > 0$ be sufficiently large in terms of L so that the second and third bound of (3.12) apply to every $p \ge B_0$ in the case where $\varphi = L$. In addition, suppose that $B_0 > 2rH^r$ and $B_0 > P^+(q_{\rho_1} \dots q_{\rho_r})$ is bounded below by the largest prime factor of $q_{\rho_1} \dots q_{\rho_r}$. Let

$$Q_0 = \prod_{p \le B_0} p^{v_p(\widetilde{W}(N))}$$

be the factor of $\widetilde{W}(N)$ that is composed of small primes. Then it follows from (3.14) that

$$\sum_{\substack{w_{1},\dots,w_{r}\\p\mid w_{i}\Rightarrow p\mid \widetilde{W}(N)}} \left(\frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})}\right)^{r} \sum_{\substack{A_{1},\dots,A_{r}\in(\mathbb{Z}/\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^{*}\\A_{i}\equiv b_{i} \pmod{q_{\rho_{i}}}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \rho_{i}(w_{i})\right) \beta_{L}(w_{1}A_{1},\dots,w_{r}A_{r})$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{p\mid \widetilde{W}(N)\\p>B_{0}}} \sum_{\substack{a_{1},\dots,a_{r}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}\\p>B_{0}}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\rho_{i}(p^{a_{i}})}{1-p^{-1}} \left(\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{p^{ms}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m}\mathbb{Z})^{s}} (\mathbf{1}_{p^{a_{i}}\mid L_{i}(\mathbf{v})} - \mathbf{1}_{p^{a_{i}+1}\mid L_{i}(\mathbf{v})})\right)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{u_{1},\dots,u_{r}\\p\mid u_{i}\Rightarrow p

$$\times \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/uQ_{0}\mathbb{Z})^{s}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{1}_{L_{j}(\mathbf{v})\equiv u_{j}A'_{j} \pmod{u_{j}}Q_{0}}, \tag{3.18}$$$$

where $u = \text{lcm}(u_1, \dots, u_r)$. Let $\beta_{P(B_0)}(b_1, \dots, b_r)$ denote the final factor above, i.e. the factor that involves all primes $p \leq B_0$ and starts with the summation in (u_1, \dots, u_r) . By (3.11), we have

$$\beta_{P(B_0)}(1,\ldots,1) > 0.$$

For every $p \mid \widetilde{W}(N)$ with $p \geq B_0$, the relation (3.13) shows that the contribution to the above factorisation can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{a_{1},...,a_{r}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\rho_{i}(p^{a_{i}})}{1-p^{-1}} \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{p^{ms}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m}\mathbb{Z})^{s}} (\mathbf{1}_{p^{a_{i}}\mid L_{i}(\mathbf{v})} - \mathbf{1}_{p^{a_{i}+1}\mid L_{i}(\mathbf{v})})$$

$$= \sum_{a_{1},...,a_{r}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\rho_{i}(p^{a_{i}})}{1-p^{-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{\varepsilon}\in\{0,1\}^{r}} (-1)^{n(\mathbf{\varepsilon})} \alpha_{\mathbf{L}}(p^{a_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}},...,p^{a_{r}+\varepsilon_{r}}). \quad (3.19)$$

This expression can now be asymptotically evaluated with the help of (3.12). Note that whenever the bound (3.12) on $\alpha_L(p^{a_1+\varepsilon_1},\ldots,p^{a_r+\varepsilon_r})$ takes the form p^{-k} for a given k>1, then there at most 2^rk^r admissible choices of (a_1,\ldots,a_r) and $(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_r)$, and for each of these choices we have $|\rho_i(p^{a_i+\varepsilon_i})| < H^k$ for every $i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}$. Thus, the

expression (3.19) for $p \ge B_0$ equals

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-r} \left(1 - \frac{r}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\rho_i(p)}{p} + O\left(\sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{k^r H^{rk}}{p^k}\right)\right)
= \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_i(p)}{p}\right) + O_{H,r}(p^{-2}) = (1 + O_{H,r}(p^{-2})) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_i(p)}{p}\right),$$

and is certainly non-zero as long as B_0 is sufficiently large in terms of H and r. By possibly increasing its value, we may suppose that B_0 is sufficiently large for

$$\prod_{p>B_0} (1 + O_{H,r}(p^{-2})) = 1 + O(B_0^{-1/2}) > 0$$

to hold.

Taking everything together, that is, applying first Lemma 3.13 to (3.7) and then combining (3.9) with (3.15), the bounds (3.16) and (3.17), as well as the above analysis of (3.18), the main term of (3.7) is seen to satisfy

$$\sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_i \Rightarrow p \mid \widetilde{W} \\ w_i \leq (\log N)^{B_2}}} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_r \in (\mathbb{Z}/\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^*} \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \rho_j(w_j) S_{\rho_j}(T; \widetilde{W}, A_j) \right) \beta_L(w_1 A_1, \dots, w_r A_r)$$

$$\sim \sum_{\substack{w_1, \dots, w_r \\ p \mid w_i \Rightarrow p \mid \widetilde{W} \\ w_i \leq (\log N)^{B_2}}} \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_r \atop b_1, \dots, b_r} \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_r \in (\mathbb{Z}/\widetilde{W}\mathbb{Z})^* : \\ A_i \equiv b_i \pmod{q_{\rho_i}}}} \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\phi(\widetilde{W})}$$

$$\times \left(\prod_{j=1}^r C_{b_j}(\rho_j) \frac{\rho_j(w_j)}{\log N} \prod_{p \leq N, p \nmid \widetilde{W}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_j(p)}{p} \right) \right) \beta_L(w_1 A_1, \dots, w_r A_r)$$

$$\sim C_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{\log N} \prod_{B_0
$$\sim (1 + O(B_0^{-1/2})) C_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{\log N} \prod_{B_0$$$$

where

$$C_{\rho_{1},\dots,\rho_{r}} = \sum_{\substack{b_{1},\dots,b_{r} \\ b_{i} \in (\mathbb{Z}/q_{\rho_{i}}\mathbb{Z})^{*}}} \beta_{P(B_{0})}(b_{1},\dots,b_{r})C_{b_{1}}(\rho_{1})\dots C_{b_{r}}(\rho_{r})$$

$$\geq \beta_{P(B_{0})}(1,\dots,1)C_{1}(\rho_{1})\dots C_{1}(\rho_{r}) > 0.$$

We note as an aside that on inserting the explicit expressions for the constants $C_{b_i}(\rho_i)$ from Lemma 3.13 as well as the definition of $\beta_{P(B_0)}(b_1, \ldots, b_r)$, the above yields the information about the leading constant summarised in Remark 3.12.

Finally, Lemma 2.4 shows that (3.20) is, in fact, equal to

$$(1 + o(1))C_{\rho,L} \prod_{j=1}^{r} (\log N)^{m(\rho_j)-1}$$

for some constant $C_{\rho,L} > 0$, as claimed.

4. Families of varieties over \mathbb{P}^1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. The upper bound is proved in [31], so it suffices to prove the lower bound.

4.1. Detectors

We first construct frobenian multiplicative functions for detecting the everywhere locally soluble fibres.

4.1.1. Set-up. Let $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be as in Theorem 1.1. We fix a choice of primitive integer vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1)$ such that the fibre over $y = (y_0: y_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ is smooth and everywhere locally soluble; this exists by assumption. We assume for simplicity of exposition that $y_0 \neq 0$.

Let $\Theta(\pi)$ denote the set of rational points of \mathbb{P}^1 which lie below the non-pseudo-split fibres of π . We let $U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\theta : \theta \in \Theta(\pi)\}$, so that the fibre over every point of U is pseudo-split. For each $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$ we let $L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1]$ be a primitive binary linear form whose zero locus in \mathbb{P}^1 is θ and such that $L_{\theta}(\mathbf{y}) > 0$.

Let S be a large finite set of primes such that there exists a smooth proper scheme $\mathcal{V} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}_S$ whose generic fibre is isomorphic to V, together with a morphism $\pi: \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_S}$ which extends the map $V \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We will allow ourselves to increase S in this section. In particular, let \mathcal{U} be the complement in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_S}$ of the closure of $\Theta(\pi)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_S}$. Then we may assume that the fibre over every element of \mathcal{U} is pseudo-split. We also enlarge S to include all primes that divide the resultants of any two of the L_θ and such that $\gcd(L_\theta(\mathbf{y}), p) = 1$ for all $p \notin S$.

For each $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$, let $\mathbb{Q} \subset k_{\theta}$ be a finite Galois extension containing the field of definition of every geometric irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(\theta)$. We let $\Gamma_{\theta} = \operatorname{Gal}(k_{\theta}/\mathbb{Q})$. We assume S contains all primes which ramify in the k_{θ} . Let

$$\delta_{\theta}(\pi) = \frac{\#\bigg\{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\theta} : \substack{\gamma \text{ fixes an irreducible component } \\ \text{ of } \pi^{-1}(\theta)_{k_{\theta}} \text{ of multiplicity } 1}\bigg\}}{\#\Gamma_{\theta}}$$

as in Definition 1.16, and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\theta} = S \cup \left\{ p \notin S : \frac{\operatorname{Frob}_{p} \in \Gamma_{\theta} \text{ fixes an irreducible component}}{\operatorname{of } \pi^{-1}(\theta)_{k_{\theta}} \text{ of multiplicity 1}} \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

This set is frobenian of density $\delta_{\theta}(\pi)$. Moreover, $\delta_{\theta}(\pi) > 0$, due to our assumption that each fibre contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1. For each $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$ we define a completely multiplicative function \overline{w}_{θ} via

$$\varpi_{\theta}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \forall p \mid n \text{ we have } p \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$. Then ϖ_{θ} is a frobenian multiplicative function of mean $\delta_{\theta}(\pi)$.

Proof. Follows immediately from the definitions.

4.1.2. Large primes. We use these ϖ_{θ} to detect whether a fibre is locally soluble at sufficiently large primes.

Lemma 4.2. On enlarging S if necessary, the following holds. Let $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1$. If $\prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} \overline{w}_{\theta}(L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)) = 1$ then $\pi^{-1}(x_0 : x_1)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point for all $p \notin S$.

Proof. We claim that $(x_0:x_1) \mod p$ lies below a split fibre. To see this, first suppose that $p \nmid \prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)$. Then $(x_0:x_1) \not\equiv \theta \pmod{p}$ for all $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$. Thus $(x_0:x_1) \mod p \in \mathcal{U}$, hence the fibre over $(x_0:x_1) \mod p$ is pseudo-split by construction. But a pseudo-split scheme over a finite field is split, as required. Next assume that $p \mid L_{\theta}(x_0,x_1)$ for some $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$, so that $(x_0:x_1) \equiv \theta \pmod{p}$. Then $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta}$ as $\varpi_{\theta}(p) = 1$, hence Frob $p \in \Gamma_{\theta}$ fixes an irreducible component of multiplicity one of the fibre. So the fibre over $(x_0:x_1) \mod p$ is split, as required.

Thus, on enlarging S if necessary, the Lang-Weil estimates [28] imply that the set $\pi^{-1}(x_0:x_1)$ mod p contains a smooth \mathbb{F}_p -point (S may be chosen uniformly for all (x_0,x_1) , due to the uniformity of the Lang-Weil estimates). Hensel's lemma therefore implies that the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x_0:x_1)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point, as required.

We now fix a choice of S satisfying the above properties.

4.1.3. Real points and small primes. Recall that the fibre over $(y_0: y_1)$ is smooth and everywhere locally soluble. The implicit function theorem implies that the fibre over any sufficiently close real point $(x_0: x_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$ to $(y_0: y_1)$ has a real point. So there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0| < \delta$, then the fibre over $(x_0: x_1)$ has a real point. We choose δ sufficiently small so that $L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) > 0$.

By the *p*-adic implicit function theorem, a similar conclusion applies for primes $p \in S$. Therefore, shrinking δ if necessary, for all $p \in S$ and all $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, if we have $|x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0|_p < \delta$, then the fibre over $(x_0 : x_1)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point.

4.1.4. Conclusion. Putting everything together and passing to the affine cone, we obtain the following.

Lemma 4.3. We have

$$N_{\text{loc}}(\pi, B) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |x_0|, |x_1| \le B \\ \gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1 \\ |x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0|_{\nu} < \delta}} \prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} \varpi_{\theta}(L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)).$$

The sum in Lemma 4.3 is non-zero, as the term $(x_0, x_1) = (y_0, y_1)$ contributes non-trivially. Indeed, it clearly occurs in the range of summation. Moreover, we have $L_{\theta}(\mathbf{y}) > 0$ and $p \mid L_{\theta}(\mathbf{y}) \Rightarrow p \in S$; but $\varpi_{\theta}(p) = 1$ for all $p \in S$. Combining these facts shows that the summand is non-zero in this case.

We have thus reduced to a problem on sums of frobenian multiplicative functions evaluated at binary linear forms. Theorem 1.9 does not immediately apply due to the coprimality condition and the imposed local conditions. As it will cause us no additional difficulties, we proceed by obtaining a general technical result on handling the kind of sums appearing in Lemma 4.3. We also give a higher-dimensional version to assist with later applications.

Theorem 4.4. Let $L_1(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, L_r(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be pairwise linearly independent linear forms. Let ρ_1, \ldots, ρ_r be real-valued non-negative frobenian multiplicative functions which are completely multiplicative and satisfy $m(\rho_i) \neq 0$. Let S be a finite set of primes and $1 > \delta > 0$. Assume that there exists a primitive integer vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ such that $\rho_j(L_j(\mathbf{y})) > 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Then there exists $C_{\delta,S,\rho,\mathbf{L}} > 0$ such that as $B \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_{i} | x_{i} | \leq B \\ \gcd(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \\ v \in S \cup \{\infty\}}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(L_{j}(\mathbf{x})) \sim C_{\delta,S,\rho,L} B^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} (\log B)^{m(\rho_{j})-1}.$$

The result applies to the ϖ_{θ} , as they are completely multiplicative.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.4

Let $\rho = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_j$ and let N(B) be the sum appearing in Theorem 4.4.

4.2.1. Möbius inversion. We first apply Möbius inversion. To simplify some later parts of the proof, we only do this to primes not in S. This gives

$$N(B) = \sum_{\substack{k \leq B \\ \gcd(k,S)=1}} \mu(k) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_{i} |x_{i}| \leq B \\ k | \gcd(\mathbf{x}) \\ \gcd(\mathbf{x},S)=1 \\ \max_{v \in S \cup \{\infty\}} |x_{i}/x_{0}-y_{i}/y_{0}|_{v} < \delta} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(L_{j}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Here we use the notation $gcd(\mathbf{x}, S) := \prod_{p \in S} gcd(x_0, \dots, x_n, p)$. Using the fact that ρ is completely multiplicative and that the L_i are homogeneous, we obtain

$$N(B) = \sum_{\substack{k \le B \\ \gcd(k,S)=1}} \mu(k)\rho(k) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_{i} |x_{i}| \le B/k \\ \gcd(\mathbf{x},S)=1 \\ v \in S \cup \{\infty\}}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(L_{j}(\mathbf{x})). \tag{4.2}$$

As $\rho_i(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon/2r}$, using $k \leq B$ we find that the inner sum above is

$$\ll \sum_{\substack{(x_0,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_i | x_i| \leq B/k}} \prod_{j=1}^r \rho_j(L_j(\mathbf{x})) \ll_{\varepsilon} B^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\substack{(x_0,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_i | x_i| \leq B/k}} 1 \ll_{\varepsilon} B^{\varepsilon/2} \left(\frac{B}{k}\right)^{n+1}.$$

This in particular shows that the contribution to (4.2) from those $B^{\varepsilon} \leq k \leq B$ is $O_{\varepsilon}(B^{n+1+\varepsilon/2-n\varepsilon}) = O(B^{n+1-\varepsilon/2})$. This gives

$$N(B) = \sum_{\substack{k \le B^{\varepsilon} \\ \gcd(k,S)=1}} \mu(k)\rho(k) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_{i} |x_{i}| \le B/k \\ \gcd(\mathbf{x},S)=1 \\ v \in S \cup \{\infty\}}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(L_{j}(\mathbf{x})) + O_{\varepsilon}(B^{n+1-\varepsilon/2}).$$

$$(4.3)$$

4.2.2. Removing the p-adic conditions. We next deal with our p-adic conditions by rewriting them in terms of congruences.

Lemma 4.5. There exists an integer M and a subset $A \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{n+1}$ such that

$$gcd(\mathbf{x}, S) = 1 \text{ and } \forall i, \forall p \in S, |x_i/x_0 - y_i/y_0|_p < \delta \iff \mathbf{x} \bmod M \in A.$$

Proof. Let $p \in S$ and choose the largest $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\delta \leq p^{-m+1}$. The condition $\gcd(\mathbf{x}, p) = 1$ is equivalent to $p \nmid x_i$ for some i. We first assume that $p \nmid x_0$. Here $x_i/x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, thus also $y_i/y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ when $|x_i/x_0 - y_i/y_0|_p < 1$. Therefore in this case our condition is equivalent to the congruence

$$x_i \equiv (y_i/y_0)x_0 \pmod{p^m}$$

as claimed. Now consider the case $p \mid x_0$, so that without loss of generality $p \nmid x_1$. Then $x_0/x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $|x_0/x_1|_p \neq |y_0/y_1|_p$ then

$$|x_1/x_0-y_1/y_0|_p=\max{\{|x_1/x_0|_p,|y_1/y_0|_p\}}\geq |x_1/x_0|_p\geq 1>\delta,$$

thus we must have $|x_0/x_1|_p = |y_0/y_1|_p$ under our condition. As $x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ we obtain

$$|x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0|_p = |x_1y_0 - y_1x_0|_p/|x_0y_0|_p = |x_1y_0 - y_1x_0|_p \cdot |y_1/y_0^2|_p.$$

Letting $s = v_p(y_0^2/y_1)$, we find that $|x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0|_p < \delta$ is equivalent to

$$x_0 y_1 \equiv x_1 y_0 \pmod{p^{m+s}}.$$

Now let j > 1. Then

$$|x_i/x_0 - y_i/y_0|_p = |(x_i y_1/x_1 y_0)(1 + O(p^{m+s})) - y_i/y_0|_p.$$

Letting $r = v_p(y_0)$, using $p \nmid x_1$ and $r \leq s$, we find that $|x_j/x_0 - y_j/y_0|_p < \delta$ is equivalent to the congruence

$$x_i y_1 \equiv y_i x_1 \pmod{p^{m+r}}$$
.

This handles all cases and proves the result for all $p \in S$. One then deduces the result from the Chinese remainder theorem.

Using this lemma in (4.3) we therefore obtain the main term

$$\sum_{\substack{k \leq B^{\varepsilon} \\ \gcd(k,S)=1}} \mu(k)\rho(k) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_{j} |x_{j}| \leq B/k \\ \mathbf{x} \bmod M \in A \\ \max_{i} |x_{i}/x_{0}-y_{i}/y_{0}| < \delta}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(L_{j}(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \sum_{\substack{k \leq B^{\varepsilon} \\ \gcd(k,S)=1}} \mu(k)\rho(k) \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \max_{i} |x_{i}+a_{i}/M| \leq B/(Mk) \\ \max_{i} |(x_{i}+a_{i}/M)/(x_{0}+a_{0}/M)-y_{i}/y_{0}| < \delta} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j}(ML_{j}(\mathbf{x}) + L_{j}(\mathbf{a}))$$

after summing over the elements of A and making the obvious change of variables. Here we make the abuse of notation of identifying each element of $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$ with its representative in $[0, M-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

4.2.3. Applying Theorem 1.9. We now apply Theorem 1.9 to the above sum with

$$\mathfrak{K} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x_i| \le 1, |x_i/x_0 - y_i/y_0| < \delta \},$$

with \mathbf{a} replaced by $(-a_0/M, \ldots, -a_n/M)$, and as $B/(Mk) \to \infty$. The non-constant parts $ML_j(\mathbf{x})$ of the linear polynomials are still pairwise linearly independent. Moreover, as $L_j(\mathbf{y}) > 0$ we have $ML_j(\mathbf{y}) + L_j(\mathbf{a}) > ML_j(\mathbf{0}) + L_j(\mathbf{a})$. Thus, all assumptions of Theorem 1.9 are satisfied. Since \Re and the linear forms are independent of k, and $B/(Mk) \to \infty$ as $B \to \infty$ for $k \le B^{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$N(B) \sim \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in A} \sum_{\substack{k \leq B^{\varepsilon} \\ \gcd(k,M)=1}} \mu(k) \rho(k) C_{\mathfrak{K},\mathbf{a},M} \frac{B^{n+1}}{(Mk)^{n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(\log \frac{B}{Mk}\right)^{m(\rho_{j})-1}$$

for some constant $C_{\Re,\mathbf{a},M} \ge 0$ which is non-zero for $\mathbf{a} \equiv \mathbf{y} \pmod{M}$, as follows from our assumption that $\prod_{j=1}^r \rho_j(L_j(\mathbf{y})) > 0$. Expanding out gives

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(\log \frac{B}{Mk} \right)^{m(\rho_j)-1} = (1 + O(\log k / \log B)) \prod_{j=1}^{r} (\log B)^{m(\rho_j)-1}.$$

The resulting error term here is satisfactory since the sum $\sum_{k} |\mu(k)| \rho(k) (\log k) / k^{n+1}$ is convergent. As for the main term, the leading constant is given by

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{a}\in A} \frac{C_{\mathfrak{K},\mathbf{a},M}}{M^{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{k=1\\\gcd(k,M)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)\rho(k)}{k^{n+1}}.$$

To show positivity of the leading constant in Theorem 4.4 it suffices to note that

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1\\\gcd(k,M)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)\rho(k)}{k^{n+1}} = \prod_{\gcd(p,M)=1} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p)}{p^{n+1}}\right)$$

is positive. Indeed, this Euler product is absolutely convergent, so it suffices to show each Euler factor is non-zero. But it is easily checked that ρ being completely multiplicative and Definition 2.7 imply that $|\rho(p)| \le 1$ for all primes p, as otherwise this would contradict $\rho(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$. This proves Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 1.1 now follows from Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4.

Remark 4.6. The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows the following stronger statement. For any $y \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ with $\pi^{-1}(y)$ smooth and everywhere locally soluble, any finite set S of places and any open neighbourhoods $y \in U_p \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have

$$\#\{x\in\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}): H(x)\leq B,\, x\in\pi(V(\mathbf{A}_\mathbb{Q})),\, x\in U_p\,\,\forall\, p\in S\}\asymp \frac{B^{n+1}}{(\log B)^{\Delta(\pi)}}.$$

This stronger statement is useful for applications, and can be viewed as a version of weak approximation. We will require this for the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 4.7. Let us now give an example of a global obstruction to the positivity of the leading constant in Theorem 1.9 (cf. Remark 1.11).

Let V be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb Q$ with a morphism $\pi:V\to\mathbb P^1$ whose generic fibre is rationally connected and such that each non-split fibre lies over a rational point. Assume that V fails the Hasse principle, but each smooth fibre of π satisfies the Hasse principle. (See [10, Proposition 7.1] for an explicit example coming from a Brauer–Manin obstruction.)

Let S be a finite set of places of \mathbb{Q} . The argument in Section 4.1.3 applies in this case, under our weaker assumption that only $V(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$, and shows that there is a smooth fibre which is soluble at all places in S. Taking S sufficiently large and choosing such a point $y \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, analogously to Lemma 4.3 we have

$$N_{\text{loc}}(\pi, B) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |x_0|, |x_1| \le B \\ \gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1 \\ |x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0|_v < \delta \ \forall v \in S}} \prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} \varpi_{\theta}(L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)).$$

There is no local obstruction here to the vanishing of the leading constant, in the following sense: Recall that the frobenian multiplicative functions ϖ_{θ} satisfy $\varpi_{\theta}(p) = 1$ for all

 $p \in S$, so there is clearly no obstruction for such p. For $p \notin S$, providing S is sufficiently large, there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $p \nmid \prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)$. Thus the p-adic component of $\prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} L_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)$ is just a unit, hence the p-adic part of ϖ_{θ} equals 1 in this case as well

But the leading constant in Theorem 4.4 must be zero here; indeed, π has no smooth everywhere locally soluble fibre, since otherwise this fibre would have a rational point, which contradicts that V has no rational point. Thus here there is no local obstruction to the vanishing of the leading constant in Theorem 1.9, but there is a global obstruction coming from a failure of the Hasse principle. These observations show that, in general, there is no simple local-global principle, nor a simple condition involving a finite set S of places, for the positivity of the leading constant in Theorem 1.9. The crucial assumption in Theorem 1.1 that there is an everywhere locally soluble smooth fibre is required to show the positivity of the leading constant in our application of Theorem 4.4.

The above construction uses the fact that $\varpi_{\theta}(p) = 1$ for all $p \in S$. Comparing with the expression from Remark 3.12 for the leading constant in Theorem 4.4, one might be tempted to think that the factor $C_{\rho,L}^*$ can be forced to be positive by a condition of the form $\rho_j(p) = 1$ for all $p \in S$ and $1 \le j \le r$, if S is sufficiently large to include all primes $p \le B_0$. In this case, the asymptotic formula stated in Remark 3.12 would imply a local-global principle.

We point out that this line of reasoning does not apply to the situation above. In fact, the linear polynomials $ML_j(\mathbf{x}) + L_j(a)$ that we apply Theorem 4.4 to depend on M, and therefore on the set S. Thus, the parameter B_0 in Remark 3.12 needs to be sufficiently large in terms of not only H, r and L, but also in terms of S in order to be able to decide the positivity of the leading constant. Hence, the factor $C_{\varpi,ML+a}^*$ involves primes outside of S at which the functions ϖ_θ are not trivially equal to 1.

5. Controlling failures of the Hasse principle

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. We first recall some facts about Brauer groups and the Brauer–Manin obstruction.

5.1. The Brauer group

Let V be a regular integral Noetherian scheme.

5.1.1. Residues. We define the (cohomological) Brauer group of V to be Br $V = H^2(V, \mathbb{G}_m)$. A theorem of Grothendieck [37, Proposition 6.6.7] states that the natural map Br $V \to \operatorname{Br} \kappa(V)$ is injective, where $\kappa(V)$ denotes the function field of V. This in particular shows that Br V is a torsion group, so that

$$\operatorname{Br} V = \bigoplus_{\text{primes } \ell} \operatorname{Br} V\{\ell\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $D \in V^{(1)}$. If ℓ is a prime which is invertible on V, then there is a residue map $\partial_D : \operatorname{Br} \kappa(V)\{\ell\} \to \operatorname{H}^1(\kappa(D), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Using (5.1), one defines the residue $\partial_D(b) \in \operatorname{H}^1(\kappa(D), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ of any element $b \in \operatorname{Br} \kappa(V)$ whose order is invertible on V. We say that b is *unramified* at $D \in V^{(1)}$ if $\partial_D(b) = 0$. The residue maps give rise (see [37, Section 6.8] for details) to an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Br} V\{\ell\} \to \operatorname{Br} \kappa(V)\{\ell\} \to \bigoplus_{D \in V^{(1)}} \operatorname{H}^{1}(\kappa(D), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}). \tag{5.2}$$

- 5.1.2. Brauer–Severi schemes. To any Brauer–Severi scheme $\pi: B \to V$ one may associate a Brauer group element $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br} V$. This construction is such that π has a section if and only if the class of α is trivial in Br V. In particular, for $P \in V$, we have $\alpha(P) = 0$ if and only if $\pi^{-1}(P)$ has a $\kappa(P)$ -rational point.
- 5.1.3. Filtration. If V is defined over a field k, then we define the algebraic part of the Brauer group of V to be $\operatorname{Br}_1 V = \ker(\operatorname{Br} V \to \operatorname{Br} V_{\bar{k}})$. The map $\operatorname{Br} k \to \operatorname{Br} V$ need not be injective in general, but it is injective if $V(k) \neq \emptyset$. An element of $\operatorname{Br} V$ which does not lie in $\operatorname{Br}_1 V$ is called *transcendental*.
- 5.1.4. The Brauer–Manin obstruction. We recall some facts about the Brauer–Manin obstruction (see e.g. [37, Section 8.2]). We have the fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q} \to \bigoplus_{v} \operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q}_{v} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0, \tag{5.3}$$

where the direct sum is over the places v of \mathbb{Q} . The last map is given by the sum over all local invariants $\operatorname{inv}_v : \operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q}_v \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Given a smooth variety V over \mathbb{Q} , there is a well-defined pairing

Br
$$V \times V(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$
, $(\alpha, (P_v)) \mapsto \sum_{v} \operatorname{inv}_v \alpha(P_v)$,

which is right continuous and trivial on the image of $V(\mathbb{Q})$. We denote the right kernel of a subset $A \subset \operatorname{Br} V$ by $V(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^A$; note that $V(\mathbb{Q}) \subset V(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^A$ by the fundamental exact sequence. For $A = \operatorname{Br} V$ we simply write $V(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\operatorname{Br}}$.

We say that the *Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse prin*ciple for V if the implication $V(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ holds.

5.2. The result

We prove the following generalisation of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 5.1. Let V be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{Q} equipped with a morphism $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fibre is geometrically integral. Assume that each fibre of π contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1 and that each non-split fibre of π lies over a rational point. Assume also that $H^1(V_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = H^2(V_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{\bar{\eta}}}) = 0$, and that the

Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only one to the Hasse principle for the smooth fibres of π . If $V(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ then

$$N(\pi, B) \gg \frac{B^2}{(\log B)^{\omega(\pi)}}$$
 for some $\omega(\pi) > 0$.

Proof. Our approach combines the method of proof of Theorem 1.1 with the techniques from the proof of [25, Theorem 9.17], as well as some conceptual improvements on *loc. cit.* due to Colliot-Thélène (cf. the proof of [9, Theorem 7.13]).

Let $A \subset \operatorname{Br} V_{\eta}$ be a set of representatives of the elements of $\operatorname{Br} V_{\eta}/\operatorname{Br} \kappa(\eta)$. This is finite by our assumptions and [25, Lemma 8.6]. Choose some dense open set $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $V_U := V \times_U \mathbb{P}^1$ is smooth and each element of A is defined on V_U . Choose Brauer–Severi schemes $\psi_{\alpha} : Y_{\alpha} \to V_U$ representing each $\alpha \in A$. We let $\psi : Y \to V$ be a smooth projective compactification of the fibre product $\prod_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}$ over V_U .

For motivation, let us briefly explain how we *would like* the proof to go. Let $P \in V_U(\mathbb{Q})$. As $\alpha(P) \in \operatorname{Br}\mathbb{Q}$, we may change our choice of representative for α to assume that $\alpha(P) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. As explained in Section 5.1.2, this implies that $P \in \psi_{\alpha}(Y_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Q}))$ for all α , hence $P \in \psi(Y(\mathbb{Q}))$ and so $Y_U(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$. We now apply Theorem 1.1 to $\pi \circ \psi$: $Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$, which gives the stated order of magnitude of $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $Y_x(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$, with $\omega(\pi) = \Delta(\pi \circ \psi)$. For such x we have $V_x(\mathbf{A})^{\mathcal{A}} \neq \emptyset$ by the construction of Y; however for almost all x the group \mathcal{A} generates $\operatorname{Br} V_x/\operatorname{Br}\mathbb{Q}$, thus $V_x(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\operatorname{Br}} \neq \emptyset$ and so $V_x(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ by our assumptions, as required.

The problem with this argument is that $\pi \circ \psi$ may *not* satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.1: despite the non-split fibres of π lying over rational points, there may be new non-split fibres of $\pi \circ \psi$ which do not lie over rational points. We thus need to re-run the proof of Theorem 1.1, paying careful attention to the new non-split fibres. This subtlety also arises in the proof of [25, Theorem 9.17], and the method to deal with it originated in work of Harari [22, Lemma 4.1.1]. This is quite a delicate argument that requires us to introduce more notation and work with a larger set of Brauer elements than \mathcal{A} . We have modified this approach to our setting, which manages to avoid the use of Harari's "formal lemma".

Let S' be a sufficiently large set of places. Let $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ be the points below the non-split fibres of π and L_i the corresponding primitive binary linear forms. We let ϖ_{θ_i} be the frobenian multiplicative function obtained by applying the construction from Section 4.1 to the fibre of $\pi \circ \psi$ above θ_i . Let $\theta_{n+1}, \ldots, \theta_N$ denote those closed points of \mathbb{P}^1 below the new non-split fibres of $\pi \circ \psi$. Let k_i be the residue field of θ_i and K_i/k_i the splitting field of the irreducible components of Y_{θ_i} . Choose sufficiently large distinct primes $p_i \notin S'$ which are completely split in K_i . Let $\Gamma_i \subset \operatorname{Br} U$ be a finite subgroup such that the image of the residue map at θ_i ,

$$\partial_{\theta_i}: \Gamma_i \to \mathrm{H}^1(k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

contains $H^1(K_i/k_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$; this exists by assumption (9.9) from [25, Theorem 9.17], which holds in our case as at least one of the θ_i is rational (see [25, Remark 9.18 (ii)]). We

let $\Gamma = \sum_{i=n+1}^{N} \Gamma_i$ and set $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cup \pi^* \Gamma$. Then, as above, we choose Brauer–Severi schemes $\psi'_{\alpha} : Y'_{\alpha} \to V_U$ representing each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'$ and let $\psi' : Y' \to V$ be a smooth projective compactification of the fibre product of the ψ'_{α} .

We have assumed the existence of a rational point in $V(\mathbb{Q})$. To get the proof to work, we need to choose this point carefully. By [25, Theorem 9.28] and our assumptions, the variety V satisfies weak approximation. Namely, choosing S' sufficiently large, the set $V(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $\prod_{p \notin S'} V(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Moreover, as p_i is completely split in K_i and sufficiently large, we have $V_{\theta_i}(\mathbb{Q}_{p_i}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exists a rational point $P \in V_U(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $y = \pi(P)$ is arbitrarily close to θ_i with respect to p_i , for each i. This is our choice of rational point, which we fix.

As P lies in V_U , the evaluation $\alpha(P) \in \operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q}$ of each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'$ is well-defined. We may change our choices of representatives α by an element of $\operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q}$ if we wish. So without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha(P) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'$. Then $\alpha(P) = 0$ implies that $P \in \psi'_{\alpha}(Y'_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Q}))$. It follows that $P \in \psi'(Y'(\mathbb{Q}))$.

For $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, we let $\Omega_{x,i} = \{p \notin S' : x \mod p \in \theta_i \mod p\}$. We now apply Theorem 4.4 to $\varpi_1(L_1(\mathbf{x})), \ldots, \varpi_n(L_n(\mathbf{x}))$ with $S = S' \cup \{p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_N\}$ and the chosen y. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, for all sufficiently small δ we obtain

#
$$\{x \in U(\mathbb{Q}) : H(x) \le B, x \text{ satisfies (5.5)}\} \gg B^2 \prod_{i=1}^n (\log B)^{m(\varpi_i)-1},$$
 (5.4)

where

$$|x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0|_v < \delta \ \forall v \in S \cup \{\infty\}, \quad Y_x'(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset \ \forall p \notin \Omega_{x,n+1}, \dots, \Omega_{x,N}. \tag{5.5}$$

Let us clarify that we are only applying the method for i = 1, ..., n, so we do not claim local solubility at the primes in $\Omega_{x,n+1}, ..., \Omega_{x,N}$. The leading constant in Theorem 4.4 is non-zero in this case, due to the existence of y.

Fix now x satisfying (5.5). For $p \notin \Omega_{x,n+1}, \ldots, \Omega_{x,N}$, we have $Y'_x(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ by (5.5). As explained in Section 5.1.2, it follows that the image $Q_p \in V_x(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of such a point satisfies $\alpha(Q_p) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'$. In particular, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'$ we have

$$\sum_{p \notin \Omega_{X,n+1},\dots,\Omega_{X,N}} \operatorname{inv}_p \alpha(Q_p) = \sum_{p \notin \Omega_{X,n+1},\dots,\Omega_{X,N}} 0 = 0.$$
 (5.6)

We now construct p-adic points Q_p for the remaining primes p, to find an adele which is orthogonal to each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'$.

Fix i = n + 1, ..., N. First note that $p_i \in \Omega_{x,i}$ by our choice of P. For $p \in \Omega_{x,i}$, the fibre V_x mod p is split. Thus by the Lang-Weil estimates, providing S' is sufficiently large, there is a smooth \mathbb{F}_p -point of V_x which we can lift using Hensel's lemma to obtain a \mathbb{Q}_p -point Q_p of V_x . We do this for all $p \in \Omega \setminus \{p_i\}$. For p_i , we apply [25, Lemma 9.20] and the resulting arguments (cf. [25, (9.13)] – this uses the assumption that p_i is completely split in K_i and is the key step of Harari's trick). This yields the existence of a

 \mathbb{Q}_{p_i} -point Q_{p_i} such that

$$\operatorname{inv}_{p_i}\alpha(Q_{p_i}) = -\sum_{p \in \Omega_{x,i} \setminus \{p_i\}} \operatorname{inv}_p\alpha(Q_p)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'$. Applying this to each i and recalling (5.6), we find an adelic point $(Q_p) \in V_x(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ whose sum over all local invariants is trivial for each α . So for any x satisfying (5.5), we have $V_x(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\mathcal{A}'} \neq \emptyset$; in particular $V_x(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\mathcal{A}} \neq \emptyset$.

However, by [25, Proposition 4.1], our assumptions imply that

$$\operatorname{Br} V_{\eta}/\operatorname{Br} \kappa(\eta) \to \operatorname{Br} V_{x}/\operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism outside a thin set of $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. But, by a theorem of Serre [41, Section 9.7], only O(B) of rational points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ of height at most B lie in any given thin set; thus (5.4) still holds when restricted to x with the property that the image of A in Br V_x generates Br $V_x/\text{Br}\mathbb{Q}$. For such x we therefore have $V_x(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. But, by assumption, the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only one to the Hasse principle for V_x , so $V_x(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 1.5 now follows immediately from Theorem 5.1.

Remark 5.2. The proof of Theorem 5.1 shows that an admissible value of the exponent $\omega(\pi)$ of $(\log B)^{-1}$ in the lower bound is $\Delta(\pi \circ \psi')$. In fact, the proof gives exactly the value $\Delta(\pi \circ \psi)$ when $\pi \circ \psi$ has no new non-split fibres, i.e. when

$$Y_x$$
 split $\iff V_x$ split, for all closed points $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

6. Detector functions for general pencils

We generalise our detector functions from Section 4.1 to general fibrations over \mathbb{P}^1 , i.e. if there is a non-split fibre over a non-rational closed point.

6.1. Set-up

Let V be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{Q} equipped with a morphism $\pi:V\to\mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fibre is geometrically integral, such that each fibre of π contains an irreducible component of multiplicity 1. We assume that the fibre over some $y\in\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ is smooth and everywhere locally soluble.

Let $\Theta(\pi)$ be the set of closed points of \mathbb{P}^1 which lie below the non-pseudo-split fibres of π . We let $U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\theta : \theta \in \Theta(\pi)\}$. Let $f_{\theta}(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1]$ be a primitive binary form whose zero locus in \mathbb{P}^1 is θ . Let S be a finite set of primes such that there exists a smooth proper scheme $\mathcal{V} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}_S$ whose generic fibre is isomorphic to V, together with a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_S}$ which extends the map $V \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We choose S sufficiently large so that the fibre outside each θ mod p is pseudo-split and the θ mod p are disjoint in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. (θ mod p is a collection of closed points of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ in general.)

6.2. A negative result

Analogously to Lemma 4.2, one might expect the existence of frobenian multiplicative functions ϖ_{θ} of mean $\delta_{\theta}(\pi)$ such that if $\prod_{\theta} \varpi_{\theta}(f_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)) = 1$, then $\pi^{-1}(x)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point for all sufficiently large primes p. In certain cases this holds.

Example 6.1. Consider the conic bundle surfaces

$$x^2 - ay^2 = f(t)$$

where f is separable of even degree and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ a non-square. Then for $p \nmid 2a$, the condition that a fibre over (t:1) with $p \parallel f(t)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point is that $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{*2}$, a purely frobenian condition over \mathbb{Q} .

This simple example is misleading; in general there are no such arithmetic functions, even for conic bundle surfaces (the next surface is a quartic del Pezzo).

Lemma 6.2. Let $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the conic bundle surface given by a smooth compactification of

$$x^2 - ty^2 = (t^2 - 2)z^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

There is no arithmetic function $\varpi : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}$ and no finite set of primes S with the following property: Let $p \notin S$ and let (t_0, t_1) be a primitive integer vector such that $p \parallel (t_0^2 - 2t_1^2)$. Then $\varpi (t_0^2 - 2t_1^2) = 1$ if and only if $\pi^{-1}(t_0 : t_1)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point.

Proof. Assume there exist ϖ and S as in the statement. Consider $\theta: t^2 - 2 = 0 \in \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $p \equiv 7 \pmod 8$ with $p \notin S$. As $p \equiv 7 \pmod 8$ we have $2 \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$, so let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ be such that $\alpha^2 = 2$. Then $\theta \mod p = (t - \alpha)(t + \alpha)$, and the fibres over these points are

$$x^2 - \alpha y^2 = 0, \quad x^2 + \alpha y^2 = 0,$$
 (6.1)

respectively. But $(\frac{\alpha}{p}) \neq (\frac{-\alpha}{p})$ since $-1 \notin \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$. Thus exactly one of $\pm \alpha$ is in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2} . Then (6.1) shows that the fibre over exactly one of $t = \pm \alpha$ is split over \mathbb{F}_p .

Now let $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $t_0^2 - 2t_1^2 = p$ (these exist by a classical theorem). Then $(t_0 : \pm t_1)$ mod p are the points of θ mod p, thus the fibre over exactly one is split (say the fibre over $(t_0 : t_1)$ mod p). A Hilbert symbol calculation shows that the fibre over $(t_0 : t_1)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point, but the fibre over $(t_0 : -t_1)$ has no \mathbb{Q}_p -point. However, by our assumptions on ϖ , we find that both $\varpi(p) = \varpi(t_0^2 - 2t_1^2) = 1$ and $\varpi(p) = \varpi(t_0^2 - 2(-t_1)^2) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

The problem above is the following: the condition $p \mid f_{\theta}(x)$ means that $x \mod p \in \theta \mod p$. But we do not know which closed point it corresponds to! The fibre over this closed point may or may not be split.

6.3. The detector functions

One needs to work over the number field determined by f_{θ} . Our choices are inspired by the constructions from [7, 25]. For simplicity of exposition, we assume that the fibre at infinity is smooth.

For each $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$, let $k_{\theta} = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_{\theta}(x,1))$ and let α_{θ} denote the image of x in k_{θ} . Let $k_{\theta} \subset K_{\theta}$ be a finite Galois extension which contains the field of definition of every geometric irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(\theta)$ and let $\Gamma_{\theta} = \operatorname{Gal}(K_{\theta}/k_{\theta})$. We assume that S contains all primes which ramify in the K_{θ} . We identify the prime ideals of k_{θ} above p with the irreducible factors of f_{θ} mod p; in particular, we view these as closed points of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. We let

$$\mathcal{P}_{\theta} = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in S \} \cup \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \notin S : \begin{matrix} \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \Gamma_{\theta} \text{ fixes an irreducible component} \\ \operatorname{of} \pi^{-1}(\theta) \text{ of multiplicity } 1 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Here p is a non-zero prime ideal of the ring of integers of k_{θ} . We abuse notation, and write $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ if p lies above a rational prime in S. For $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$ we define a completely multiplicative function ϖ_{θ} on the ideals of k_{θ} via

$$\varpi_{\theta}(\mathfrak{n}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \forall \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{n} \text{ we have } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The theory of frobenian (multiplicative) functions makes sense over any number field [42, Section 3.3], and one immediately obtains the following.

Lemma 6.3. Each ϖ_{θ} is a frobenian multiplicative function on the ideals of k_{θ} of mean $\delta_{\theta}(\pi)$.

We now have the following generalisation of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 6.4. On enlarging S if necessary, the following holds. Let $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1$. If

$$\prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} \varpi_{\theta}(x_0 - \alpha_{\theta} x_1) = 1$$

then $\pi^{-1}(x_0:x_1)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point for all $p \notin S$.

Proof. Let x_0, x_1 be such that $\prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} \varpi_{\theta}(x_0 - \alpha_{\theta} x_1) = 1$ and $gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1$. Let $p \notin S$. We claim that $(x_0 : x_1)$ mod p lies below a split fibre.

If $p \nmid \prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} f_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)$ then $(x_0 : x_1) \mod p \notin \theta \mod p$ for all $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$, thus the fibre is split. If $p \mid f_{\theta}(x_0, x_1)$ for some $\theta \in \Theta(\pi)$ then $(x_0 : x_1) \mod p \in \theta \mod p$, so $(x_0 : x_1) \mod p$ corresponds to the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1, p)$ of k_θ . Since $\mathfrak{p} \mid (x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1)$ and $\varpi_\theta(x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1) = 1$, we find that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_\theta$. Hence Frob_{\mathfrak{p}} fixes an irreducible component of multiplicity 1 of the fibre, so the fibre over $(x_0 : x_1) \mod p$ is split, as required.

The result now follows from the Lang–Weil estimates and Hensel's lemma, on enlarging S if necessary.

One deals with the small primes and the real place exactly as in Section 4.1.3. We deduce the following.

Corollary 6.5. There exists a finite set S of primes and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$N_{\text{loc}}(\pi, B) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ |x_0|, |x_1| \le B \\ \gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1 \\ |x_1/x_0 - y_1/y_0|_v < \delta \ \forall v \in S \cup \{\infty\}}} \prod_{\theta \in \Theta(\pi)} \varpi_{\theta}(x_0 - \alpha_{\theta}x_1).$$

Note that it is still linear forms that are used for the detector functions. But the linear forms $x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1$ are defined over the larger field k_θ , not over \mathbb{Q} .

Example 6.6. (1) We show that our detector functions recover the naive ones for the conic bundle surfaces $x^2 - ay^2 = f(t)z^2$ from Example 6.1. For simplicity assume that f is irreducible. Let ϖ_{θ} be as in Section 6.3. Explicitly, for almost all \mathfrak{p} ,

$$\varpi_{\theta}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1 \iff \left(\frac{a}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = 1,$$

where the Legendre symbol is over k_{θ} . However, let ϖ be the naive detector function over \mathbb{Q} , where for almost all p we have

$$\varpi(p) = 1 \iff \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1.$$

For *prime ideals* $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of degree 1 we have $\varpi_{\theta}(\mathfrak{p}) = \varpi(p)$; indeed, the map $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ induces a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. As $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, our condition is independent of the choice of the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of degree 1.

So let x_0, x_1 be such that $gcd(x_0, x_1) = 1$ and let F be the homogenisation of f. Note that $F(x_0, x_1) = N_{k_\theta/\mathbb{Q}}(x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1)$. It easily follows that the ideal $(x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1)$ may only be divisible by prime ideals of degree 1, and that $p \mid F(x_0, x_1)$ if and only if $x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1$ is divisible by some prime ideal of degree 1 over p. We conclude that $\varpi_\theta(x_0 - \alpha_\theta x_1) = \varpi(F(x_0, x_1))$, which recovers Example 6.1.

(2) We next compute our detector functions for the conic bundle surfaces from Lemma 6.2. The non-split fibres occur over the closed points θ_1 : t = 0 and θ_2 : $t^2 - 2 = 0$, with corresponding frobenian functions

$$\overline{w}_1(p) = 1 \iff \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = 1, \quad \overline{w}_2(p) = 1 \iff \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{p}\right) = 1,$$

for \mathfrak{p} a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. The summand in Corollary 6.5 is $\varpi_1(x_0)\varpi_2(x_0-\sqrt{2}x_1)$. Note that if $p\equiv 7\pmod{8}$ and $p=\mathfrak{pq}$, then we have

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}}{\mathfrak{q}}\right) = -\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathfrak{q}}\right).$$

Hence $\varpi_2(\mathfrak{p}) \neq \varpi_2(\mathfrak{q})$ so here ϖ_2 is not constant on prime ideals of degree 1 above p. This agrees with the behaviour observed in the proof of Lemma 6.2.

For sums of multiplicative functions as in Corollary 6.5, one expects that the asymptotic behaviour is controlled by expressions of the form

$$\sum_{N \alpha \le x} \frac{\mu^2(\alpha) \varpi(\alpha)}{N \alpha}$$

(see [6] for upper bounds of this shape). The following is a minor variant of the results from Section 2, and agrees with the conjectural lower bound.

Lemma 6.7.

$$\sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\alpha\leq x}\frac{\mu^2(\alpha)\overline{\varpi}(\alpha)}{\mathrm{N}\,\alpha}\asymp (\log B)^{m(\varpi)}.$$

7. Brauer groups

In this section we prove Theorem 1.12. We will use the various properties of Brauer groups recalled in Section 5.1.

7.1. Specialisations and ramification

The following will be used to construct the detector functions in the proof of Theorem 1.12.

Proposition 7.1. Let Y be a smooth geometrically integral variety over a number field k and let $b \in \operatorname{Br} k(Y)$. Then there exists a finite set S of primes of k together with a regular model \mathcal{Y} for Y over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ such that the following holds.

Let $v \notin S$ and assume that $b \otimes k_v$ is unramified at all codimension 1 points of Y_{k_v} . Then for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ we have $b(y) = 0 \in \operatorname{Br} k_v$.

If b is in fact unramified at all codimension 1 points of Y (so that $b \in \operatorname{Br} Y$ by (5.2)), then it is well-known that for all but finitely many places v we have b(v) = 0 for all $v \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ [37, Proposition 8.2.1]. Proposition 7.1 yields a generalisation of this to the case when b may be ramified on Y.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. By (5.1), it suffices to prove the result when b has order a power of a prime ℓ . Choose a finite set S of primes such that $\ell \in \mathcal{O}_{k,S}^*$, together with a regular integral model \mathcal{Y} for Y over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$. Enlarging S if necessary, we extend b to an element of some open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{U} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ is surjective. Thus $b \otimes \mathbb{F}_v \in \operatorname{Br} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}_v}$ is well-defined for all $v \notin S$. Let $U = \mathcal{U} \cap Y$; by (5.2) we may assume that the complement of U in Y is pure of codimension 1. We also assume that $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathbb{F}_v$ is geometrically integral for all $v \notin S$.

Now let $v \notin S$ be such that $b \otimes k_v$ is unramified at all codimension 1 points of Y_{k_v} . We claim that b is also unramified at all codimension 1 points of $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$. To see this, let \mathcal{D} be an irreducible divisor of $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$. If $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{F}_v}$, then b is unramified along \mathcal{D} ; indeed, by

construction b is well-defined on the non-empty open subset $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{F}_v} \cap \mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{F}_v}$. Assume instead that \mathcal{D} meets the generic fibre in some divisor D. The residue maps then give rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Br} \kappa(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}})\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\quad \partial_{\mathcal{D}} \quad} \operatorname{H}^{1}(\kappa(\mathcal{D}), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Br} \kappa(Y_{k_{\mathcal{V}}})\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\quad \partial_{D} \quad} \operatorname{H}^{1}(\kappa(D), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \end{array}$$

However, the maps $\kappa(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_v}) \to \kappa(Y_{k_v})$ and $\kappa(\mathcal{D}) \to \kappa(D)$ are isomorphisms, thus the downward maps are also isomorphisms. As $\partial_{\mathcal{D}}(b) = 0$ by assumption, we find that $\partial_{\mathcal{D}}(b) = 0$. This proves the claim, hence $b \otimes k_v \in \operatorname{Br} \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$ by (5.2).

We may now prove the proposition. Let $y \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{O}_v)$. As $b \otimes k_v \in \operatorname{Br} \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$, we have $b(y) \in \operatorname{Br} \mathcal{O}_v = 0$ (see [37, Corollary 6.9.3]), as required.

We now obtain a quantitative description of those primes v which satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 7.1. For simplicity, we only consider geometrically irreducible divisors.

Proposition 7.2. Let Y be a smooth geometrically integral variety over a number field k and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \operatorname{Br} k(Y)$ be a finite subgroup. Let $D \in Y^{(1)}$ and assume that k is algebraically closed in the residue field k(D). Then the set of places

$$F_D(b) := \{ v \in Val(k) : \partial_D(\mathscr{B}) \otimes k_v = 0 \}$$

is frobenian. Moreover:

- (1) If $\partial_D(\mathscr{B}) \otimes \bar{k} \neq 0$ then $F_D(b) = \emptyset$.
- (2) If $\partial_D(\mathscr{B}) \otimes \bar{k} = 0$ then $\operatorname{dens}(F_D(b)) = 1/|\partial_D(\mathscr{B})|$.

In the statement, for a field extension $k \subset L$ we let $\cdot \otimes_k L : H^1(k(D), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(k(D) \otimes_k L, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ denote the usual restriction map on Galois cohomology.

Proof. The group of residues $\partial_D(\mathcal{B})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(k(D),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Thus it determines some finite abelian field extension $k(D) \subset R$ of degree $\partial_D(\mathcal{B})$.

First assume that $\partial_D(\mathscr{B}) \otimes k \neq 0$. We need to show that $\partial_D(\mathscr{B}) \otimes k_v \neq 0$ for all places v. To do this, it suffices to show that these residues are non-zero after a finite field extension. In particular, we may pass to a finite field extension if we wish, and assume that k is algebraically closed in R. In this case D is geometrically irreducible, the field R is the function field of a geometrically irreducible variety over k, and $k(D) \subset R$ is a non-trivial finite field extension. It follows that $k(D) \otimes k_v \subset R \otimes k_v$ is still a non-trivial finite field extension for all v. However, this is exactly the field extension corresponding to the residues $\partial_D(\mathscr{B}) \otimes k_v$; this group is therefore non-trivial, as required.

Now assume that $\partial_D(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \bar{k} = 0$. Inflation-restriction yields

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}(D)/k(D)), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k(D), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\bar{k}(D), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z});$$

thus $k(D) \to R$ is the base change of some finite abelian extension $k \subset K$ of number fields of degree $\partial_D(\mathcal{B})$. A moment's thought reveals that

$$F_D(b) = \{v \in Val(k) : v \text{ is completely split in } K\}.$$

The set of such places is clearly frobenian of density $1/[K:k] = 1/|\partial_D(\mathcal{B})|$.

7.2. Proof of Theorem 1.12

The upper bound is obtained in [31, Section 5.3]. It therefore suffices to prove the lower bound.

Let S be a sufficiently large set of primes. Let U and \mathscr{B} be as in Theorem 1.12 and $y \in U(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathscr{B}}$. Let Θ denote the set of codimension 1 points of \mathbb{P}^n which lie outside of U. For each $D \in \Theta$, let $L_D \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be the primitive linear form defining D and let \mathscr{P}_D be the union of S and those primes P for which $\partial_D \mathscr{B} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_P = 0$. As $\mathscr{B} \subset \operatorname{Br}_1 U$ and each D is geometrically integral, Proposition 7.2 implies that \mathscr{P}_D is frobenian of density $1/|\partial_D(\mathscr{B})|$. We define the completely multiplicative function ϖ_D via

$$\varpi_D(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \forall p \mid n \text{ we have } p \in \mathcal{P}_D, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7.3. Each ϖ_D is a frobenian multiplicative function of mean $1/|\partial_D(\mathscr{B})|$.

Proof. Follows immediately from Proposition 7.2.

These functions enjoy an analogue of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 7.4. Enlarging S if necessary, the following holds. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a primitive integer vector. If $\prod_{D \in \Theta} \varpi_D(L_D(\mathbf{x})) = 1$ then $b(x_0 : \dots : x_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 0 \in \operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q}_p$ for all $p \notin S$ and all $b \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let p be a prime and let $\Theta_p(\mathbf{x})$ be the subset of Θ of those D for which $p \mid L_D(\mathbf{x})$. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \bigcup_{D \notin \Theta_p(\mathbf{x})} \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is the closure of D in $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Our choice of $\Theta_p(\mathbf{x})$ implies that $x \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. As $\varpi_D(p) = 1$ for all $D \in \Theta_p(\mathbf{x})$, we have $p \in \bigcap_{D \in \Theta(\mathbf{x})} \mathcal{P}_D$. Thus, by definition, the Brauer elements $\mathscr{B} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ are unramified at each $D \in \Theta_p(\mathbf{x})$. If p is sufficiently large (independently of \mathbf{x}), it now follows from Proposition 7.1 that $b(x) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 0$ for all $b \in \mathscr{B}$, as required.

We next obtain an analogue of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 7.5. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$N(U, \mathcal{B}, B) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \\ \gcd(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 1 \\ \max_i |x_i| \le B \\ v \in S \cup \{\infty\}}} \prod_{D \in \Theta} \varpi_D(L_D(x_0, \dots, x_n)).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a primitive integer vector with

$$\prod_{D\in\Theta}\varpi_D(L_D(x_0,\ldots,x_n))=1.$$

By Lemma 7.4, we have $b(x) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = 0$ for all primes $p \notin S$, where $x = (x_0 : \cdots : x_n)$.

For the real place and small primes recall that $y \in U(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathscr{B}}$. As the Brauer pairing is locally constant for the real and p-adic topologies [37, Proposition 8.2.9], we deduce the existence of $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x_i/x_0 - y_i/y_0|_v < \delta$ for each $i \neq 0$ and each $v \in S \cup \infty$, then $b(x) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v = 0$ for all $v \in S \cup \{\infty\}$ and all $b \in \mathscr{B}$.

For **x** as in the sum, we have shown that $b(x) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v = 0$ for all places v of \mathbb{Q} and all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. However, the Hasse principle for Br \mathbb{Q} (5.3) implies that $b(x) = 0 \in \operatorname{Br} \mathbb{Q}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, as required.

Given Lemma 7.5, we see that Theorem 1.12 follows from Theorem 4.4.

7.3. A negative result

We finish this section by highlighting some of the subtleties which arise if one is trying to generalise the proof of Theorem 1.12 to the case $\mathcal{B} \subset \operatorname{Br} U$, i.e. where the Brauer group elements can be transcendental. Here we have a transcendental analogue of Lemma 6.2.

Example 7.6. Consider the conic bundle

$$a_0 x^2 + a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 = 0. (7.1)$$

There is no arithmetic function ϖ with the following properties: Let p be an odd prime and (a_0, a_1, a_2) a primitive integer vector such that $p \parallel a_0$ but $p \nmid a_1 a_2$. Then $\varpi(a_0) = 1$ if and only if the conic (7.1) has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point.

This is proved without difficulty. The papers [29, 32] also restrict to algebraic Brauer group elements, as the transcendental case is more difficult in general. The only transcendental cases known are the lower bounds obtained by Hooley [26, 27], which includes the correct lower bound for Example 7.6. It would be interesting to try to solve Serre's problem for other transcendental cases.

8. Multinorms

We now prove Theorem 1.14. We let V, W and E be as in the statement of Theorem 1.14. Let $\psi : W \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projection given by the x-coordinate. By [31, Lemma 5.2] we have

$$N_{\text{loc}}(\psi, B) = N_{\text{loc}}(\pi, B) + O_{\varepsilon}(B^{n+1/2+\varepsilon})$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus to prove a lower bound we may work with the explicit equation (1.5) for W. Theorem 1.14 concerns rational numbers, so we first pass to a homogeneous

problem involving integers. Let

$$e = \gcd\{[E_i : \mathbb{Q}] : i = 1, \dots, s\},$$
 (8.1)

i.e. e is the gcd of the degrees of the maximal subfields E_i of the finite étale \mathbb{Q} -algebra E. We let \mathbf{L}_j be the homogenisation of the linear polynomial L_j . We also let $\mathbf{L}_0 = x_0$ and let $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a representative of the congruence class $-r \mod e$. A moment's thought reveals the following.

Lemma 8.1. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ be a primitive integer vector with $x_0 \neq 0$. Then $\psi^{-1}(x_1/x_0, \ldots, x_n/x_0)$ is everywhere locally soluble if and only if $\mathbf{L}_0(\mathbf{x})^{a_0} \cdots \mathbf{L}_r(\mathbf{x})^{a_r}$ is a norm from $\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{A}_{E_i}$.

Thus we need to understand when a *p*-adic number is a norm from a product of finite field extensions. This is achieved by the following simple lemma.

Lemma 8.2. Let F be a finite étale \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra such that the integral closure \mathcal{O}_F of \mathbb{Z}_p in F is unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $f = \gcd_{k \subset F} [k : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, where the greatest common divisor is taken over all maximal subfields k of F. Then an element $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a norm from F if and only if $f \mid v_p(x)$.

Proof. We write F as a product of its maximal subfields, and p is a uniformiser in each of these subfields as \mathcal{O}_F is unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p . This shows that

$$\{v_p(N_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}(y)): y \in F\} = f\mathbb{Z}$$

as ideals of \mathbb{Z} . In particular, if $f \nmid v_p(x)$ then x is clearly not a norm from F.

So assume that $f \mid v_p(x)$. Then as p^f is a norm from F, it suffices to show that all units of \mathbb{Z}_p are norms from F. However, this follows from the fact that \mathcal{O}_F is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p [39, Proposition V.2.3].

For each j = 0, ..., r we therefore let

$$\mathcal{P}_j = S \cup \Big\{ \text{primes } p : \gcd_{k_p \subset E_p} \left[k_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \right] \text{ divides } a_j \Big\},$$

where the greatest common divisor is taken over all maximal subfields k_p of the finite étale \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra $E_p = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and S is the set of primes which are ramified in E. Let

$$\varpi_j(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \forall p \mid n \text{ we have } p \in \mathcal{P}_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is easily seen to be a frobenian multiplicative function with $m(\varpi_i) \neq 0$.

Lemma 8.3. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a primitive integer vector with $x_0 \neq 0$. Suppose that

$$\prod_{j=0}^{r} \varpi_{j}(\mathbf{L}_{j}(\mathbf{x})) = 1.$$

Then $\psi^{-1}(x)$ has a \mathbb{Q}_p -point for all $p \notin S$.

Proof. Let $p \notin S$ and let $j \in \{0, ..., r\}$ with $\varpi_j(\mathbf{L}_j(\mathbf{x})) = 1$. First suppose that $p \nmid \mathbf{L}_j(\mathbf{x})$. Then $\mathbf{L}_j(\mathbf{x})^{a_j}$ is a p-adic unit, hence a local norm by Lemma 8.2. Next suppose that $p \mid \mathbf{L}_j(\mathbf{x})$. Then as $\varpi_j(p) = 1$ we have $p \in \mathcal{P}_j$. As $a_j \mid v_p(\mathbf{L}_j(\mathbf{x})^{a_j})$, it follows from Lemma 8.2 and the choice of \mathcal{P}_j that $\mathbf{L}_j(\mathbf{x})^{a_j}$ is a local norm.

Thus when $\prod_{j=0}^{r} \varpi_{j}(\mathbf{L}_{j}(\mathbf{x})) = 1$ we see that each $\mathbf{L}_{j}(\mathbf{x})^{a_{j}}$ is a local norm. To finish, it suffices to note that the product of norms is again a norm.

This takes care of the primes not in S. For small primes and the real place one proceeds in an analogous manner to Section 4.1.3. An application of Theorem 4.4 then completes the proof of the following more explicit version of Theorem 1.14.

Theorem 8.4. In the above notation and the notation of Theorem 1.14 we have

$$N_{\mathrm{loc}}(\pi, B) \gg B^{n+1} \prod_{j=0}^{r} (\log B)^{\mathrm{dens}(\mathcal{P}_j)-1}.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.14 it suffices to prove the following.

Lemma 8.5. We have

$$\operatorname{dens}(\mathcal{P}_j) = \delta_{D_j}(\pi), \quad j \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \tag{8.2}$$

where D_i is the hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^n determined by \mathbf{L}_i .

Proof. The proof is inspired by the proof of [31, Theorem 5.5]. Choose a finite Galois extension k/\mathbb{Q} which contains the splitting fields of the E_i . Let $\Gamma = \operatorname{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$. Then the Chebotarev density theorem implies that

$$\delta_{D_j}(\pi) = \operatorname{dens} \left(\operatorname{primes} \, p : \frac{\operatorname{Frob}_p \in \operatorname{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q}) \text{ fixes some multiplicity 1}}{\operatorname{geometric irreducible component of } \pi^{-1}(D_j)} \right).$$

However, Frob_p fixes some multiplicity 1 geometric irreducible component if and only if the fibre $\pi^{-1}(D_j)$ is split over \mathbb{Q}_p . As the divisors D_j are geometrically integral, [31, Theorem 5.4] shows that this happens if and only if $\gcd_{k_p \subset E_p}[k_p : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ divides a_j , where the greatest common divisor is over all maximal subfields k_p of $E_p = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$. The lemma now follows from the definition of \mathcal{P}_j .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.14.

9. Multiple fibres

We finish with the proof Theorem 1.4, using the method from [11, Section 2]. Let V be a smooth projective variety over a number field k equipped with a morphism $\pi:V\to\mathbb{P}^1$ whose generic fibre is geometrically integral. We assume that π has at least six double fibres over \bar{k} . (We say that π has a double fibre over a point $P\in\mathbb{P}^1$ if $\pi^*P=2D$ for some divisor D on V.)

To prove the result, we may assume that the fibre at infinity is smooth. Moreover, we are free to pass to a finite field extension of k, so that we may assume that every double fibre over \bar{k} is actually defined over k.

Choose a square-free polynomial $f \in k[x]$ of degree 6 such that the fibre over every root of f is a double fibre. For $\alpha \in k^*/k^{*2}$ we denote by C_α the hyperelliptic curve $ay^2 = f(x)$ for some representative $a \in k^*$ of α . Let \mathcal{T}_α be the normalisation of $V \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_\alpha$, so that we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{T}_{\alpha} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\alpha}} V \\
\pi_{\alpha} & & \downarrow \pi \\
C_{\alpha} & \xrightarrow{w_{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}^{1}
\end{array} (9.1)$$

By [11, Remark 2.1.1] the map τ_{α} is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor. Moreover, let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be the complement of the singular locus of π . Then diagram (9.1) is cartesian on restricting to U, since the fibre product is smooth above U.

Let now S be a finite set of places of k and let $k^S = \prod_{v \notin S} k_v$. Let $x \in U(k) \cap \pi(V(k^S))$. Choose $\alpha \in k^*/k^{*2}$ such that $x \in w_\alpha(C_\alpha(k))$ (e.g. $\alpha = f(x)$). As diagram (9.1) is cartesian over U, we see that the fibre $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(w_\alpha^{-1}(x))$ has a k^S -point. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_\alpha(k^S) \neq \emptyset$.

As V is projective and τ_{α} is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor, there exists a finite set $A_S \subset k^*/k^{*2}$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(k^S) = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \notin A_S$ (see [44, Proposition 5.3.2]). It follows that

$$\{x\in \mathbb{P}^1(k): x\in \pi(V(k^S))\}\subset (\mathbb{P}^1\setminus U)(k)\bigcup_{\alpha\in A_S}\{x\in \mathbb{P}^1(k): x\in w_\alpha(C_\alpha(k))\}.$$

As deg f = 6, each C_{α} is a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2. Therefore each $C_{\alpha}(k)$ is finite by Faltings's theorem [16]. Theorem 1.4 follows.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Tim Browning, Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Jörg Jahnel, David Harari, Dasheng Wei, and Olivier Wittenberg for helpful discussions. We are also indebted to Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène for help with the proof of Theorem 1.4. We are grateful to two anonymous referees for their comments on different parts of this paper.

Funding. Loughran is supported by EPSRC grant EP/R021422/1 and UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship MR/V021362/1. Matthiesen is supported by the Swedish Research Council Grant No. 2016-05198.

References

- [1] Bhargava, M.: The geometric sieve and the density of squarefree values of invariant polynomials. arXiv:1402.0031 (2014)
- [2] Bhargava, M.: A positive proportion of plane cubics fail the Hasse principle. arXiv:1402.1131 (2014)
- [3] Bright, M. J., Browning, T. D., Loughran, D.: Failures of weak approximation in families. Compos. Math. 152, 1435–1475 (2016) Zbl 1348.14067 MR 3530447

- [4] Browning, T. D., Matthiesen, L.: Norm forms for arbitrary number fields as products of linear polynomials. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 50, 1383–1446 (2017) Zbl 1388.14070 MR 3742196
- [5] Browning, T. D., Matthiesen, L., Skorobogatov, A. N.: Rational points on pencils of conics and quadrics with many degenerate fibers. Ann. of Math. (2) 180, 381–402 (2014) Zbl 1296.14015 MR 3194818
- [6] Browning, T. D., Sofos, E.: Averages of arithmetic functions over principal ideals. Int. J. Number Theory 15, 547–567 (2019) Zbl 1443.11196 MR 3925752
- [7] Browning, T. D., Sofos, E.: Counting rational points on quartic del Pezzo surfaces with a rational conic. Math. Ann. 373, 977–1016 (2019) Zbl 1484.11144 MR 3953118
- [8] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.: Groupe de Brauer non ramifié d'espaces homogènes de tores. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **26**, 69–83 (2014) Zbl 1318.14019 MR 3232767
- [9] Colliot-Thélène, J. L.: Local-global principles for rational points and zero-cycles. Lectures at the Arizona Winter School (2015)
- [10] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Coray, D., Sansuc, J.-J.: Descente et principe de Hasse pour certaines variétés rationnelles. J. Reine Angew. Math. 320, 150–191 (1980) Zbl 0434.14019 MR 592151
- [11] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Skorobogatov, A. N., Swinnerton-Dyer, P.: Double fibres and double covers: paucity of rational points. Acta Arith. 79, 113–135 (1997) Zbl 0863.14011 MR 1438597
- [12] de la Bretèche, R., Tenenbaum, G.: Remarks on the Selberg-Delange method. Acta Arith. 200, 349-369 (2021) Zbl 1483.11210 MR 4331908
- [13] Demarche, C., Wei, D.: Hasse principle and weak approximation for multinorm equations. Israel J. Math. 202, 275–293 (2014) Zbl 1323.11091 MR 3265321
- [14] Ekedahl, T.: An infinite version of the Chinese remainder theorem. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 40, 53–59 (1991) Zbl 0749.11004 MR 1104780
- [15] Elliott, P. D. T. A.: Multiplicative function mean values: asymptotic estimates. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 56, 217–238 (2017) Zbl 1427.11092 MR 3660961
- [16] Faltings, G.: Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern. Invent. Math. 73, 349–366 (1983) Zbl 0588.14026 MR 718935
- [17] Frei, C., Loughran, D., Newton, R.: Number fields with prescribed norms. Comment. Math. Helv. 97, 133–181 (2022) Zbl 1507.11102 MR 4410726
- [18] Graber, T., Harris, J., Starr, J.: Families of rationally connected varieties. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16, 57–67 (2003) Zbl 1092.14063 MR 1937199
- [19] Granville, A., Koukoulopoulos, D.: Beyond the LSD method for the partial sums of multiplicative functions. Ramanujan J. 49, 287–319 (2019) Zbl 1455.11128 MR 3949071
- [20] A. Granville, K. Soundararajan: Multiplicative Number Theory: The Pretentious Approach. Book draft
- [21] Green, B., Tao, T.: Linear equations in primes. Ann. of Math. (2) 171, 1753–1850 (2010) Zbl 1242.11071 MR 2680398
- [22] Harari, D.: Méthode des fibrations et obstruction de Manin. Duke Math. J. 75, 221–260 (1994) Zbl 0847.14001 MR 1284820
- [23] Harari, D.: Flèches de spécialisations en cohomologie étale et applications arithmétiques. Bull. Soc. Math. France 125, 143–166 (1997) Zbl 0906.14014 MR 1478028
- [24] Harpaz, Y., Skorobogatov, A. N., Wittenberg, O.: The Hardy-Littlewood conjecture and rational points. Compos. Math. 150, 2095–2111 (2014) Zbl 1318.14024 MR 3292295
- [25] Harpaz, Y., Wittenberg, O.: On the fibration method for zero-cycles and rational points. Ann. of Math. (2) 183, 229–295 (2016) Zbl 1342.14052 MR 3432584
- [26] Hooley, C.: On ternary quadratic forms that represent zero. Glasgow Math. J. 35, 13–23 (1993) Zbl 0774.11019 MR 1199934

- [27] Hooley, C.: On ternary quadratic forms that represent zero. II. J. Reine Angew. Math. 602, 179–225 (2007) Zbl 1146.11023 MR 2300456
- [28] Lang, S., Weil, A.: Number of points of varieties in finite fields. Amer. J. Math. 76, 819–827 (1954) Zbl 0058.27202 MR 65218
- [29] Loughran, D.: The number of varieties in a family which contain a rational point. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 20, 2539–2588 (2018) Zbl 1452.14018 MR 3852186
- [30] Loughran, D., Skorobogatov, A. N., Smeets, A.: Pseudo-split fibers and arithmetic surjectivity. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 53, 1037–1070 (2020) Zbl 1459,14009 MR 4157111
- [31] Loughran, D., Smeets, A.: Fibrations with few rational points. Geom. Funct. Anal. 26, 1449–1482 (2016) Zbl 1357.14028 MR 3568035
- [32] Loughran, D., Takloo-Bighash, R., Tanimoto, S.: Zero-loci of Brauer group elements on semi-simple algebraic groups. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 19, 1467–1507 (2020) Zbl 1454.14063 MR 4138949
- [33] Matthiesen, L.: Generalized Fourier coefficients of multiplicative functions. Algebra Number Theory 12, 1311–1400 (2018) Zbl 1444.11201 MR 3864201
- [34] Matthiesen, L.: On the square-free representation function of a norm form and nilsequences. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 17, 107–135 (2018) Zbl 1429.11025 MR 3742557
- [35] Matthiesen, L.: Linear correlations of multiplicative functions. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 121, 372–425 (2020) Zbl 1459.11187 MR 4093960
- [36] Pollio, T. P., Rapinchuk, A. S.: The multinorm principle for linearly disjoint Galois extensions. J. Number Theory 133, 802–821 (2013) Zbl 1309.11078 MR 2994388
- [37] Poonen, B.: Rational points on varieties. Graduate Studies in Mathematics 186, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2017) Zbl 1387.14004 MR 3729254
- [38] Sansuc, J.-J.: Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres. J. Reine Angew. Math. 327, 12–80 (1981) Zbl 0468.14007 MR 631309
- [39] Serre, J.-P.: Local fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 67, Springer, New York (1979) Zbl 0423.12016 MR 554237
- [40] Serre, J.-P.: Spécialisation des éléments de Br₂(Q(T₁,···, T_n)). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 311, 397–402 (1990) Zbl 0711.13002 MR 1075658
- [41] Serre, J.-P.: Lectures on the Mordell–Weil theorem. 3rd ed., Aspects of Mathematics 15, Friedrich Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig (1997) Zbl 0863.14013 MR 1757192
- [42] Serre, J.-P.: Lectures on $N_X(p)$. Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics 11, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2012) Zbl 1238.11001 MR 2920749
- [43] Shiu, P.: A Brun–Titchmarsh theorem for multiplicative functions. J. Reine Angew. Math. 313, 161–170 (1980) Zbl 0412.10030 MR 552470
- [44] Skorobogatov, A.: Torsors and rational points. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 144, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001) Zbl 0972.14015 MR 1845760
- [45] Skorobogatov, A. N.: Descent on fibrations over the projective line. Amer. J. Math. 118, 905–923 (1996) Zbl 0880.14008 MR 1408492
- [46] Sofos, E.: Serre's problem on the density of isotropic fibres in conic bundles. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 113, 261–288 (2016) Zbl 1355.14019 MR 3534973
- [47] Tenenbaum, G.: Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory. 3rd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics 163, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2015) Zbl 1336.11001 MR 3363366
- [48] Wirsing, E.: Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen. II. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 18, 411–467 (1967) Zbl 0165.05901 MR 223318