A direct method of moving planes for logarithmic Schrödinger operator

Rong Zhang, Vishvesh Kumar, and Michael Ruzhansky

Abstract. In this paper, we study the radial symmetry and monotonicity of nonnegative solutions to nonlinear equations involving the logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^{\log}$ corresponding to the logarithmic symbol $\log(1 + |\xi|^2)$, which is a singular integral operator given by

$$
(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) = c_N \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^N} \kappa(|x - y|) dy,
$$

where $c_N = \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}}$, $\kappa(r) = 2^{1-\frac{N}{2}} r^{\frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{K}_{\frac{N}{2}}(r)$ and \mathcal{K}_{ν} is the modified Bessel function of the second kind with index ν . The proof hinges on a direct method of moving planes for the logarithmic Schrödinger operator.

1. Introduction

The study of Schrödinger equations received a great deal of attention from researchers in the past decades because of its vast applications in several areas of mathematics and mathematical physics. In particular, Schrödinger equations arise in quantum field theory and in the Hartree–Fock theory (see $[1,20,21,23]$ $[1,20,21,23]$ $[1,20,21,23]$ $[1,20,21,23]$). Recently, there is a surge of interest to investigate integrodifferential operators of order close to zero and associated linear and nonlinear integrodifferential equations (see [\[5,](#page-9-2)[6,](#page-9-3)[16,](#page-9-4)[18,](#page-9-5)[19,](#page-9-6)[22\]](#page-9-7)). In particular, the logarithmic Laplacian and the logarithmic Schrödinger operator are two interesting examples of such a class of operators. The logarithmic Laplacian was first introduced by Chen and Weth in [\[5\]](#page-9-2) as a limit of fractional Laplacian (see also [\[3,](#page-9-8)[4\]](#page-9-9) for the spectral properties of the logarithmic Laplacian). The logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^{\log}$ (see [\[10\]](#page-9-10)) and the logarithmic Laplacian L_A (see [\[2,](#page-9-11)[5,](#page-9-2)[11,](#page-9-12)[12,](#page-9-13)[24\]](#page-10-2)) have the similar behavior locally concerning to the singularity of kernels but the logarithmic Schrödinger operator eliminates the integrability problem of the logarithmic Laplacian at infinity. To define the logarithmic Schrödinger operator, let us begin with the following observation:

$$
\lim_{s \to 0^+} (I - \Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) \quad \text{for } u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N),
$$
\n(1.1)

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 35R11 (primary); 35B06, 35B50, 35B51, 35D30 (secondary). *Keywords:* logarithmic Schrödinger operator, symmetry and monotonicity, the direct method of moving planes, logarithmic symbol, logarithmic Laplacian.

where, for $s \in (0, 1)$, the operator $(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^s$ stands for the relativistic Schrödinger operator, for sufficiently regular function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, which can be represented via hypersingular integral (1.1) (see [\[8\]](#page-9-14)),

$$
(I - \Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) + c_{N,s} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(0)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \varpi_s(|x - y|) dy, \qquad (1.2)
$$

where $c_{N,s} = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} 4^s}{\Gamma(-s)}$ is a normalization constant and the function ϖ_s is given by

$$
\varpi_s(r) = 2^{1 - \frac{N + 2s}{2}} r^{\frac{N + 2s}{2}} \mathcal{K}_{\frac{N + 2s}{2}}(r) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-1 + \frac{N + 2s}{2}} e^{-t - \frac{r^2}{4t}} dt.
$$
 (1.3)

Furthermore, if $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^s u(x)$ is well defined by [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Here, the function \mathcal{K}_v is the modified Bessel function of the second kind with index $v > 0$, and it is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\nu}(r) = \frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}r^{\nu}e^{-r}}{\Gamma(\frac{2\nu+1}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{t}{2}\right)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-rt} t^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} dt
$$

for more properties of \mathcal{K}_{v} , see, e.g., [\[7,](#page-9-15) [9,](#page-9-16) [10,](#page-9-10) [14,](#page-9-17) [15\]](#page-9-18) and references therein.

It is well known that \mathcal{K}_{ν} is a real and positive function satisfying

$$
\mathcal{K}'_{\nu}(r) = -\frac{\nu}{r}\mathcal{K}_{\nu}(r) - \mathcal{K}_{\nu-1}(r) < 0 \tag{1.4}
$$

for all $r > 0$, $\mathcal{K}_{\nu} = \mathcal{K}_{-\nu}$ for $\nu > 0$. Furthermore, for $\nu > 0$ (see [\[9,](#page-9-16) [15\]](#page-9-18))

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\nu}(r) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{2} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\nu}, & r \to 0, \\ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r}, & r \to \infty. \end{cases}
$$
(1.5)

It follows from [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) that one may expect a Taylor expansion with respect to parameter s of the operator $(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^s$ near zero for $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as follows:

$$
(I - \Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) + s(I - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) + o(s) \quad \text{as } s \to 0^+.
$$
 (1.6)

The logarithmic Schrödinger operator $(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^{\log}$ appears as the first-order term in the above expansion.

In this paper, we study the integrodifferential operator $(I - \Delta)^{\log}$ corresponding to the logarithmic symbol $log(1 + |\xi|^2)$, which is a singular integral operator given by

$$
(I - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) = c_N \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^N} \kappa(|x - y|) dy,\tag{1.7}
$$

where $c_N = \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{N}{2})$, P.V. stands for the Cauchy principal value of the integral, $\kappa(r)$ = $2^{1-\frac{N}{2}}r^{\frac{N}{2}}\mathcal{K}_{\frac{N}{2}}(r)$ and \mathcal{K}_{ν} is the modified Bessel function of second kind with index ν . One

can also easily deduce from [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1) that $\kappa'(r) < 0$ for $r > 0$. Using the expression [\(1.7\)](#page-1-2), one can define $(I - \Delta)^{\log}$ for a quite large class of functions u. To illustrate this, define the space $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the space of locally integrable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
||u||_{\mathcal{Z}_0(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|e^{-|x|}}{(1+|x|)^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} dx < +\infty.
$$

Then, it was proved by [\[10,](#page-9-10) Proposition 2.1] that for $u \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is also Dini continuous at some $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the quantity $[(\mathcal{I} - \Delta)^{\log} u](x)$ is well defined by the formula (1.7) . Let us recall the definition of Dini continuity. Let U be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. The modulus of continuity $\Psi_{u,x,U}$: $(0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ of u at a point $x \in U$ is defined by

$$
\Psi_{u,x,U}(r) := \sup_{y \in U, |x - y| \le r} |u(x) - u(y)|.
$$

We call the function u Dini continuous at x if

$$
\int_0^1 \frac{\Psi_{u,x,U}(r)}{r} dr < \infty.
$$

Using the generalized direct method of moving planes, in this note, we obtain the radial symmetry and monotonicity of nonnegative solutions for the nonlinear equations involving the logarithmic Schrödinger operator (see Theorem [1.1\)](#page-2-0), namely, we consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$
(I - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) + m u(x) = u^p(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
$$
\n(1.8)

with $m > 0$ and $u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

The following results present symmetry and monotonicity properties of Schrödinger equation [\(1.8\)](#page-2-1).

Theorem 1.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a nonnegative Dini continuous solution of [\(1.8\)](#page-2-1) with $m > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If

$$
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = a < \left(\frac{m}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},\tag{1.9}
$$

then u *must be radially symmetric and monotone decreasing about some point in* \mathbb{R}^N .

Remark 1.2. The condition [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2) in Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) is necessary for applying the method of moving planes using the decay at infinity principle (Theorem [2.3\)](#page-5-0).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section [2,](#page-3-0) we prove some results for the logarithmic Schrödinger operator. By the direct method of moving planes, we obtain the symmetry and monotonicity of nonnegative solutions for the nonlinear equations involving logarithmic Schrödinger operator in Section [3.](#page-6-0)

2. Key ingredients for the method of moving planes

This section is devoted to developing basic and key results needed to apply the method of moving planes for establishing the proof of our main result in the next section. We first present some basic notation and nomenclatures which will be beneficial for the rest of the paper.

Choose an arbitrary direction, say, the x_1 -direction. For arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
T_{\lambda} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_1 = \lambda \}
$$

be the moving plane, and let

$$
\Sigma_{\lambda} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_1 < \lambda \}
$$

be the region to the left of the plane T_{λ} , and let

$$
x^{\lambda} = (2\lambda - x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)
$$

be the reflection of x about the plane T_{λ} .

By denoting $u(x^{\lambda}) := u_{\lambda}(x)$, we define

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x) := u_{\lambda}(x) - u(x), \quad x \in \Sigma_{\lambda},
$$

to compare the values of $u(x)$ and $u_{\lambda}(x)$.

The following results on the strong maximum principle for the operator $(I - \Delta)^{\log}$ can be deduced from [\[17,](#page-9-19) Theorem 1.1] (see also [\[13\]](#page-9-20) and [\[10,](#page-9-10) Theorem 6.1]).

Lemma 2.1 (Strong maximum principle). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain, and let $u \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *be a continuous function on* Ω *satisfying*

$$
\begin{cases}\n(T - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.1)

then $u > 0$ *in* Ω *or* $u = 0$ *a.e. in* \mathbb{R}^N *.*

Now, we will prove the following maximum principles for the logarithmic Schrödinger operator.

Theorem 2.2 (Maximum principle for antisymmetric functions). Let Ω be a bounded *domain in* Σ_{λ} . Assume that $\omega_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is Dini continuous on Ω and is *lower semi-continuous on* $\overline{\Omega}$ *. If*

$$
\begin{cases}\n(T - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Sigma_{\lambda} \setminus \Omega, \\
\omega_{\lambda}(x^{\lambda}) = -\omega(x) & \text{in } \Sigma_{\lambda},\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.2)

then

$$
\omega_{\lambda} \ge 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{2.3}
$$

Furthermore, if $\omega_{\lambda}(x) = 0$ *at some point in* Ω *, then we have*

$$
\omega_{\lambda} = 0 \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.4}
$$

These conclusions hold for unbounded region if we further assume that

$$
\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} \omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0.
$$

Proof. If ω_{λ} is not nonnegative on Ω , then the lower semi-continuity of ω_{λ} on $\overline{\Omega}$ implies that there exists a $x^{\circ} \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) := \min_{\overline{\Omega}} \omega_{\lambda}(x) < 0.
$$

One can further deduce from [\(2.2\)](#page-3-1) that x° is in the interior of Ω . It follows that

$$
(I - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda}(x^o) = c_N \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y|) dy
$$

= $c_N \text{P.V.} \left(\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y|) dy \right) + \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y^{\lambda})}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|) dy \right).$ (2.5)

Since $|x^o - y| \le |x^o - y^{\lambda}|$, we have $\frac{1}{|x^o - y|} \ge \frac{1}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|}$ and $\kappa(|x^o - y|) \ge \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|)$ as κ is a decreasing function, and, therefore,

$$
\frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y|) \le \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|),
$$

since $\omega_{\lambda}(x^{\circ}) - \omega_{\lambda}(y) \leq 0$.

Thus, we obtain from [\(2.5\)](#page-4-0) that

$$
(I - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda}(x^o) \le c_N \text{P.V.} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} + \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) + \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} \right) \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|) dy
$$

$$
= c_N \text{P.V.} \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \frac{2\omega_{\lambda}(x^o)}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|) dy < 0,
$$
(2.6)

which contradicts (2.2) . Therefore, our assumption is wrong, and, consequently, we have $\omega_{\lambda}(x) > 0$ in Ω .

Now, we have proved that $\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0$ in Ω . If there is some point $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$ such that $\omega_{\lambda}(\tilde{x}) = 0$, then, from Lemma [2.1,](#page-3-2) we derive immediately $\omega_{\lambda} = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^{N} .

For unbounded domain Ω , the condition

$$
\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} \omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0
$$

ensures that the negative minimum of ω_{λ} must be attained at some point x° , then we can derive the same contradiction as above.

This completes the proof of Theorem [2.2.](#page-3-3)

The following decay at infinity will also be necessary for proving subsequent results.

Theorem 2.3 (Decay at infinity). Let Ω be an unbounded domain in Σ_{λ} . Suppose that a *Dini continuous* $\omega_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is a solution to*

$$
\begin{cases}\n(T - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda}(x) + c(x) \omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \Sigma_{\lambda} \setminus \Omega, \\
\omega_{\lambda}(x^{\lambda}) = -\omega(x) & \text{in } \Sigma_{\lambda}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.7)

with the measurable function $c(x)$ *such that*

$$
\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^{\frac{1+N}{2}} c(x) \ge 0.
$$
 (2.8)

Then, there exists a constant $R_o > 0$ *such that if*

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) = \min_{\Omega} \omega_{\lambda}(x) < 0,\tag{2.9}
$$

then

$$
|x^o| \le R_o. \tag{2.10}
$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by contradiction. Suppose that (2.10) is false, then by (2.7) and (2.9) , we have

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) = \min_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \omega_{\lambda}(x) < 0.
$$

After a direct calculation, we obtain

$$
(I - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda}(x^o)
$$

\n
$$
= c_N P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y|) dy
$$

\n
$$
= c_N P.V. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y|) + \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y^{\lambda})}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|) \right) dy
$$

\n
$$
\le c_N P.V. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) - \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} + \frac{\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) + \omega_{\lambda}(y)}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} \right) \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|) dy
$$

\n
$$
= c_N P.V. \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \frac{2\omega_{\lambda}(x^o)}{|x^o - y^{\lambda}|^N} \kappa(|x^o - y^{\lambda}|) dy < 0.
$$

Now, we fix λ , and when $|x^o| \geq \lambda$, we have $B_{|x^o|}(\tilde{x}) \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda} := \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Sigma_{\lambda}$ with $\tilde{x} =$ $(3|x^o| + x_1^o, (x^o)')$. Then, for $y \in \overline{\Sigma}_{\lambda}$, if $|x^o| \ge \frac{R_{\infty}}{4}$ with sufficiently large R_{∞} , we can

 \blacksquare

deduce that $|x^o - y| \le |x^o - \breve{x}| + |\breve{x} - y| \le |x^o| + 3|x^o| = |4x^o|$ which together with the fact that κ is a decreasing function implies that

$$
\frac{\kappa(|x^o - y|)}{|x^o - y|} \ge \frac{\kappa(|4x^o|)}{|4x^o|}.
$$

Thus, from [\(1.5\)](#page-1-3) and $\kappa(r) = 2^{1-\frac{N}{2}} r^{\frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{K}_{\frac{N}{2}}(r)$, if R_{∞} is sufficiently large, we have

$$
\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{|x^{\rho} - y^{\lambda}|^{N}} \kappa(|x^{\rho} - y^{\lambda}|) dy = \int_{\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\lambda}} \frac{\kappa(|x^{\rho} - y|)}{|x^{\rho} - y|^{N}} dy \ge \int_{B_{|x^{\rho}|}(\widetilde{x})} \frac{\kappa(|4x^{\rho}|)}{|4x^{\rho}|^{N}} dy
$$
\n
$$
\ge \int_{B_{|x^{\rho}|}(\widetilde{x})} \frac{2^{1 - \frac{N}{2}} \mathcal{K}_{\frac{N}{2}}(|4x^{\rho}|)}{|4x^{\rho}|^{\frac{N}{2}}} dy
$$
\n
$$
\ge \frac{c_{\infty} \omega_{N}}{2^{\frac{3N}{2}} |x^{\rho}|^{\frac{1+N}{2}} e^{4|x^{\rho}|}} := \frac{C}{|x^{\rho}|^{\frac{1+N}{2}} e^{4|x^{\rho}|}},
$$
\n(2.11)

where $C = c_{\infty} \omega_N 2^{-\frac{3N}{2}}$ is a positive constant.

It follows that

$$
0 \le (I - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda}(x^o) + c(x^o) \omega_{\lambda}(x^o) \le \left(\frac{C}{|x^o|^{\frac{1+N}{2}} e^{4|x^o|}} + c(x^o)\right) \omega_{\lambda}(x^o),
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{C}{|x^o|^{\frac{1+N}{2}}e^{4|x^o|}} + c(x^o) \le 0.
$$

Now, if $|x^{\circ}|$ is sufficiently large, this would contradict [\(2.8\)](#page-5-4). Therefore, [\(2.10\)](#page-5-1) holds.

This completes the proof of Theorem [2.3.](#page-5-0)

3. Proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0). Let T_{λ} , Σ_{λ} , x^{λ} , and ω_{λ} be defined as in the previous section. Then, at the points where $\omega_{\lambda}(x) < 0$, it is easy to verify that, for $\xi_{\lambda}(x) \in (u_{\lambda}(x), u(x))$, we have

$$
(I - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda}(x) + m\omega_{\lambda}(x) = u_{\lambda}^{p}(x) - u^{p}(x) = p\xi_{\lambda}^{p-1}(x)\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge pu^{p-1}(x)\omega_{\lambda}(x),
$$
\n(3.1)

because $\omega_{\lambda}(x) < 0$ and $\xi_{\lambda}(x) < u(x)$.

Step 1. We will show that, for sufficiently negative λ ,

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}.\tag{3.2}
$$

 \blacksquare

First, from the assumption [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2), for each fixed λ , $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \omega_{\lambda}(x) = 0$. In fact, by [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2), we have $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = a$, and $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u_\lambda(x) = a$ implying that

$$
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \omega_{\lambda}(x) = 0.
$$

Thus, if [\(3.2\)](#page-6-1) is false, then the negative minimum of ω_{λ} can be obtained at some point, say, x^o in Σ_λ , that is,

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x^o) = \min_{\Sigma_{\lambda}} \omega_{\lambda}(x) < 0.
$$

Set $c(x) := m - pu^{p-1}(x)$ in [\(3.1\)](#page-6-2), and then, the assumption [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2) implies that $c \in$ $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} c(x) \ge 0.
$$

Consequently, from Theorem [2.3,](#page-5-0) it follows that there exists $R_o > 0$ (independent of λ), such that

$$
|x^o| \le R_o. \tag{3.3}
$$

Therefore, by choosing $\lambda < -R_o$ and, consequently, $|x^{\lambda}| > R_0$ for $x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$, we obtain by [\(3.3\)](#page-7-0) that

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}.\tag{3.4}
$$

Step 2. Step 1 provides a starting point, from which we can now move the plane T_{λ} to the right as long as [\(3.2\)](#page-6-1) holds to its limiting position. Define

$$
\lambda_o := \sup \{ \lambda \mid \omega_{\mu}(x) \ge 0, \forall x \in \Sigma_{\mu}, \forall \mu \le \lambda \}.
$$

By [\(3.3\)](#page-7-0), we know that $\lambda_o < \infty$.

Next, we will show via a contradiction argument that

$$
\omega_{\lambda_o}(x) \equiv 0 \quad \forall \, x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_o}.\tag{3.5}
$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that

$$
\omega_{\lambda_o}(x) \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\lambda_o}(x) \ne 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma_{\lambda_o}, \tag{3.6}
$$

then we must have

$$
\omega_{\lambda_o}(x) > 0 \quad \forall \, x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_o}.\tag{3.7}
$$

In fact, if [\(3.7\)](#page-7-1) is violated, then there exists a point $\hat{x} \in \Sigma_{\lambda_o}$ such that

$$
\omega_{\lambda_o}(\hat{x}) = \min_{\Sigma_{\lambda_o}} \omega_{\lambda_o}(x) = 0.
$$

It means that $u_{\lambda_o}(\hat{x}) = u(\hat{x})$. Then, it follows from [\(3.1\)](#page-6-2) that

$$
(I - \Delta)^{\log} \omega_{\lambda_o}(\hat{x}) = u_{\lambda_o}^p(\hat{x}) - u^p(\hat{x}) = u^p(\hat{x}) - u^p(\hat{x}) = 0.
$$

Hence, Theorem [2.2](#page-3-3) implies that $\omega_{\lambda_o}(\hat{x}) \equiv 0$ in Σ_{λ_o} , which contradicts [\(3.6\)](#page-7-2). Thus, [\(3.7\)](#page-7-1) holds.

Now, we will show that the plane T_{λ} can be moved further right. More precisely, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for any $\lambda \in [\lambda_o, \lambda_o + \varepsilon)$, we have

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}.\tag{3.8}
$$

Once it is proved, this will contradict the definition of λ_{ρ} . Therefore, [\(3.5\)](#page-7-3) must be valid.

Let us now prove [\(3.8\)](#page-7-4). In fact, by [\(3.7\)](#page-7-1), we have $\omega_{\lambda_o}(x) > 0, x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_o}$, which in turn implies that there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$
\omega_{\lambda_o}(x) \ge c_o > 0, \quad x \in \overline{\Sigma_{\lambda_o-\delta} \cap B_{R_o}(0)}.
$$

Since ω_{λ} is continuous with respect to λ , there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for $\lambda \in$ $[\lambda_o, \lambda_o + \varepsilon)$, we have

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0, \quad x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_o - \delta} \cap B_{R_o}(0). \tag{3.9}
$$

Moreover, combining [\(3.3\)](#page-7-0) with [\(3.9\)](#page-8-1), we deduce that $w_{\lambda}(x) \ge 0$ on $\Sigma_{\lambda_0-\delta}$.

To proceed with the proof, we need the following small volume maximum principle (see $[10,$ Theorem 6.1 (iii)] and $[17,$ Theorem 1.3]).

Lemma 3.1. Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N . Consider the following problem on Ω :

$$
\begin{cases}\n(T - \Delta)^{\log} u(x) \ge c(x)u & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u \ge 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.10)

with $c \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *.*

Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ *such that for every open set* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *with* $|\Omega| \leq \delta$ *and any solution* $u \in V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ *of* [\(3.10\)](#page-8-2) *in* Ω *, where the space* $V_{\omega}(\Omega)$ *is given in* [\[10,](#page-9-10) Section 6]*, we have* $u > 0$ *in* \mathbb{R}^N .

Consequently, according to Lemma [3.1](#page-8-3) (by taking $\Omega = (\Sigma_{\lambda} \setminus \Sigma_{\lambda_o - \delta}) \cap B_{R_o}(0)$), we obtain that [\(3.8\)](#page-7-4) holds.

The arbitrariness of the x_1 -direction leads to the radial symmetry of $u(x)$ about some point in \mathbb{R}^N , and the monotonicity is a consequence of the fact that [\(3.4\)](#page-7-5) holds.

This completes the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the reviewers for several invaluable comments and suggestions that have led to an overall improvement in the quality of the manuscript.

Funding. RZ is supported by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF under Grant number GZC20232913. VK and MR are supported by the FWO Odysseus 1 Grant number G.0H94.18N: analysis and partial differential equations, the Methusalem programme of the Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF) (Grant number 01M01021) and by FWO Senior Research Grant number G011522N. MR is also supported by EPSRC grant EP/R003025/2.

References

[1] T. Cazenave, *[Semilinear Schrödinger equations](https://doi.org/10.1090/cln/010)*. Courant Lect. Notes Math. 10, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003 Zbl [1055.35003](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1055.35003) MR [2002047](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2002047)

- [2] H. A. Chang-Lara and A. S. na, [Classical solutions to integral equations with zero order ker](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-023-02677-9)[nels.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-023-02677-9) *Math. Ann.* (2023)
- [3] H. Chen, D. Hauer, and T. Weth, An extension problem for the logarithmic Laplacian. 2023, arXiv[:2312.15689](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.15689)
- [4] H. Chen and L. Véron, [Bounds for eigenvalues of the Dirichlet problem for the logarithmic](https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2021-0025) [Laplacian.](https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2021-0025) *Adv. Calc. Var.* 16 (2023), no. 3, 541–558 Zbl [1518.35515](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1518.35515) MR [4609798](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4609798)
- [5] H. Chen and T. Weth, [The Dirichlet problem for the logarithmic Laplacian.](https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2019.1611851) *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 44 (2019), no. 11, 1100–1139 Zbl [1423.35390](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1423.35390) MR [3995092](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3995092)
- [6] E. Correa and A. de Pablo, [Nonlocal operators of order near zero.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.12.011) *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 461 (2018), no. 1, 837–867 Zbl [1393.45011](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1393.45011) MR [3759570](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3759570)
- [7] A. Elgart and B. Schlein, [Mean field dynamics of boson stars.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.20134) *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007), no. 4, 500–545 Zbl [1113.81032](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1113.81032) MR [2290709](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2290709)
- [8] M. M. Fall and V. Felli, [Sharp essential self-adjointness of relativistic Schrödinger operators](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.06.010) [with a singular potential.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.06.010) *J. Funct. Anal.* 267 (2014), no. 6, 1851–1877 Zbl [1295.35377](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1295.35377) MR [3237776](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3237776)
- [9] M. M. Fall and V. Felli, [Unique continuation properties for relativistic Schrödinger operators](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2015.35.5827) [with a singular potential.](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2015.35.5827) *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 35 (2015), no. 12, 5827–5867 Zbl [1336.35356](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1336.35356) MR [3393257](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3393257)
- [10] P. A. Feulefack, [The logarithmic Schrödinger operator and associated Dirichlet problems.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2022.126656) *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 517 (2023), no. 2, article no. 126656 Zbl [1498.60183](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1498.60183) MR [4478365](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4478365)
- [11] P. A. Feulefack and S. Jarohs, [Nonlocal operators of small order.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-022-01290-y) *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 202 (2023), no. 4, 1501–1529 Zbl [1516.35458](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1516.35458) MR [4597591](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4597591)
- [12] R. L. Frank, T. König, and H. Tang, [Classification of solutions of an equation related to a](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2020.107395) [conformal log Sobolev inequality.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2020.107395) *Adv. Math.* 375 (2020), article no. 107395 Zbl [1453.35036](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1453.35036) MR [4170219](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4170219)
- [13] R. L. Frank and E. Lenzmann, On ground states for the L^2 -critical boson star equation. [v1] 2009, [v2] 2010, arXiv[:0910.2721v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.2721v2)
- [14] J. Fröhlich, B. L. G. Jonsson, and E. Lenzmann, [Boson stars as solitary waves.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0272-9) *Comm. Math. Phys.* 274 (2007), no. 1, 1–30 Zbl [1126.35064](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1126.35064) MR [2318846](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2318846)
- [15] Y. Guo and S. Peng, [Symmetry and monotonicity of nonnegative solutions to pseudo](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-021-01551-5)[relativistic Choquard equations.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-021-01551-5) *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 72 (2021), no. 3, article no. 120 Zbl [1465.35394](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1465.35394) MR [4261334](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4261334)
- [16] V. Hernández Santamaría and A. Saldaña, [Small order asymptotics for nonlinear fractional](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-022-02192-w) [problems.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-022-02192-w) *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 61 (2022), no. 3, article no. 92 Zbl [1486.35053](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1486.35053) MR [4400612](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4400612)
- [17] S. Jarohs and T. Weth, [On the strong maximum principle for nonlocal operators.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-018-2193-z) *Math. Z.* 293 (2019), no. 1-2, 81–111 Zbl [1455.35032](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1455.35032) MR [4002272](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4002272)
- [18] M. Kassmann and A. Mimica, [Intrinsic scaling properties for nonlocal operators.](https://doi.org/10.4171/JEMS/686) *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 19 (2017), no. 4, 983–1011 Zbl [1371.35316](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1371.35316) MR [3626549](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3626549)
- [19] A. Laptev and T. Weth, [Spectral properties of the logarithmic Laplacian.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13324-021-00527-y) *Anal. Math. Phys.* 11 (2021), no. 3, article no. 133 Zbl [1476.35153](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1476.35153) MR [4279386](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4279386)
- [20] G. M. Lieberman, *[Second order parabolic differential equations](https://doi.org/10.1142/3302)*. World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1996 Zbl [0884.35001](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0884.35001) MR [1465184](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1465184)
- [21] W.-M. Liu and E. Kengne, *[Schrödinger equations in nonlinear systems](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6581-2)*. Springer, Singapore, 2019 Zbl [1436.81006](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1436.81006) MR [3929719](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3929719)
- [22] A. Mimica, [On harmonic functions of symmetric Lévy processes.](https://doi.org/10.1214/12-AIHP508) *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* 50 (2014), no. 1, 214–235 Zbl [1298.60054](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1298.60054) MR [3161529](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3161529)
- [23] C. Sulem and P. Sulem, *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Self-focusing and wave collapse*. Appl. Math. Sci. 139, Springer, New York, 1999 Zbl [0928.35157](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0928.35157) MR [1696311](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1696311)
- [24] L. Zhang and X. Nie, [A direct method of moving planes for the logarithmic Laplacian.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2021.107141) *Appl. Math. Lett.* 118 (2021), article no. 107141 Zbl [1475.35093](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1475.35093) MR [4226267](https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=4226267)

Received 6 April 2023; revised 21 March 2024.

Rong Zhang

HLM, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100190 Beijing, P. R. China; Department of Mathematics, Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; zhangrong@nnu.edu.cn

Vishvesh Kumar

Department of Mathematics, Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; vishvesh.kumar@ugent.be

Michael Ruzhansky

Department of Mathematics, Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK; michael.ruzhansky@ugent.be