

On Trudinger-type inequalities in Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form over metric measure spaces

Takao Ohno and Tetsu Shimomura

Abstract. We establish Trudinger-type inequalities for variable Riesz potentials $J_{\alpha(\cdot),\tau} f$ of functions f in Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form over metric measure spaces X . As an application and example, we give Trudinger’s inequality for double-phase functionals with variable exponents. Finally, we prove the result for Sobolev functions satisfying a Poincaré inequality in X .

1. Introduction

Let G be a bounded open set in \mathbf{R}^N . A famous Trudinger inequality in [43] insists that Sobolev functions in $W^{1,N}(G)$ satisfy finite exponential integrability (see also, e.g., [4, 28]). For $0 < \alpha < N$ and a locally integrable function f on \mathbf{R}^N , the Riesz potential $U_\alpha f$ of order α is defined by

$$U_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |x - y|^{\alpha-N} f(y) dy.$$

In [25], Trudinger-type inequalities were studied for $U_\alpha f$ of locally integrable functions f on \mathbf{R}^N satisfying

$$\sup_{x \in G} \left(\int_0^{d_G} r^{v-N} \varphi_1(r) \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p \varphi_2(|f(y)|) dy \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

where $d_G = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in G\}$ and φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are positive monotone functions on the interval $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions (φ) , (i), and (ii). See also, e.g., [6–9, 22, 24, 27] for Trudinger-type inequalities.

In the present paper, we work in metric measure spaces $X = (X, d, \mu)$, where X is a bounded set, d is a metric on X , and μ is a nonnegative complete Borel regular outer measure on X with $\mu(X) < \infty$. We denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball in X centered at $x \in X$ with radius $r > 0$ and $d_X = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}$. We assume that $d_X < \infty$,

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 46E30 (primary); 46E35 (secondary).

Keywords: Trudinger’s inequality, Riesz potentials, Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces, metric measure space, double-phase functions, Poincaré inequality.

$\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for $x \in X$ and $0 < \mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ for $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ for simplicity. We do not assume that μ satisfies the doubling condition. Recall that a Radon measure μ is said to be doubling if there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that $\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq c_0\mu(B(x, r))$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)(= X)$ and $r > 0$. Otherwise, μ is said to be non-doubling. See, e.g., [31, 41] for examples of non-doubling metric measure spaces.

Let $\alpha(\cdot)$ be a measurable function on X such that

$$0 < \alpha^- := \inf_{x \in X} \alpha(x) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \alpha(x) =: \alpha^+ < \infty.$$

Following [34, 36] and [11] by Hajlasz and Koskela, we consider the Riesz potential $J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f$ of order $\alpha(\cdot)$ for $\tau \geq 1$ and a locally integrable function f on X by

$$J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x) = \sum_{2^i \leq 2d_X} \frac{2^{i\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, \tau 2^i))} \int_{B(x, 2^i)} f(y) d\mu(y),$$

which is better suited to the metric measure case. Trudinger’s inequality for $J_{\alpha, 1} f$ was studied on $L^p(X)$ in [11, Theorem 5.3] and on $L^{p(\cdot)}(X)$ in [13, Theorem 4.8]. It is known that

$$I_{\alpha(\cdot)} f(x) = \int_X \frac{d(x, y)^{\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, d(x, y)))} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C J_{\alpha(\cdot), 1} f(x)$$

when μ satisfies the doubling condition. For $I_{\alpha(\cdot)} f$, see, e.g., [11, 29, 32].

Our main aim is to establish a Trudinger-type inequality for variable Riesz potentials $J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f$ of functions f in Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ defined by general functions $\Phi(x, t)$ and $\omega(x, r)$ (Theorem 5.1), as an extension of [25, Theorem 5.4] and [11, 13]. See Section 2 for the definition of $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$. We prove Theorem 5.1 by relaxing $(\Phi 5; \nu)$ in [18, 36] by $(\Phi 5; \omega)$ below. See Remarks 2.4 and 6.3. We refer to [39, Section 8] for the relationship among $(\Phi 5; \omega)$, $(\Phi 5; \nu)$ and [12, (A1)] by Harjulehto and Hästö. To obtain Theorem 5.1, we use Hedberg’s method [15] and apply the boundedness of the (modified) Hardy–Littlewood maximal function defined by

$$M_\lambda f(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \lambda r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| d\mu(y)$$

for a locally integrable function f on X and $\lambda \geq 1$.

As a good example, we give a Trudinger-type inequality for double-phase functionals with variable exponents [18]

$$\Phi(x, t) = t^{p(x)} + a(x)t^{q(x)} \quad (= t^{p(x)} + (b(x)t)^{q(x)}), \quad x \in X, t \geq 0,$$

where $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ satisfy log-Hölder conditions, $p(x) < q(x)$ for $x \in X$, $a(\cdot)$ is nonnegative, bounded and Hölder continuous of order $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and $b(x) = a(x)^{1/q(x)}$ (Corollary 6.5). Thanks to the relaxed condition $(\Phi 5; \omega)$, we give an improvement of [37, Theorem 5.1] (see Corollary 6.2 and Remark 6.3). For the study on double-phase functional, we refer to, e.g., [2, 3, 5] by Baroni, Colombo, and Mingione and [21, 26].

As an application of our discussions, we study a Trudinger-type inequality for Sobolev functions satisfying a Poincaré inequality in X (Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.3), as an extension of [11, Theorem 5.1].

For Sobolev’s inequality for Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces, see [34, 37, 38].

Throughout the paper, we let C denote various constants independent of the variables in question and let $C(a, b, \dots)$ be a constant that depends on a, b, \dots only.

2. Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form

In this section, we define Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form. Let us consider a function

$$\Phi(x, t) : X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

satisfying the following conditions (Φ1)–(Φ3):

(Φ1) $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on X for each $t \geq 0$ and $\Phi(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;

(Φ2) there exists a constant $A_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$A_1^{-1} \leq \Phi(x, 1) \leq A_1 \quad \text{for all } x \in X;$$

(Φ3) $t \mapsto \Phi(x, t)/t$ is uniformly almost increasing on $(0, \infty)$, namely, there exists a constant $A_2 \geq 1$ such that

$$\Phi(x, t_1)/t_1 \leq A_2 \Phi(x, t_2)/t_2 \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ whenever } 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$

Remark 2.1. By (Φ2) and (Φ3), we have

$$\Phi(x, t) \leq A_1 A_2 t \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x, t) \geq (A_1 A_2)^{-1} t \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Letting $\bar{\phi}(x, t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (\Phi(x, s)/s)$ and $\bar{\Phi}(x, t) = \int_0^t \bar{\phi}(x, r) dr$ for $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$, then $\bar{\Phi}(x, \cdot)$ is convex and

$$\Phi(x, t/2) \leq \bar{\Phi}(x, t) \leq A_2 \Phi(x, t) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. In fact,

$$\bar{\Phi}(x, t) \geq \int_{t/2}^t \bar{\phi}(x, r) dr \geq \frac{t}{2} \bar{\phi}\left(x, \frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \Phi\left(x, \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

and

$$\bar{\Phi}(x, t) = \int_0^t \bar{\phi}(x, r) dr \leq t \bar{\phi}(x, t) \leq A_2 \Phi(x, t)$$

by (Φ3).

We also consider a weight function $\omega(x, r) : X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- ($\omega 0$) $\omega(x, \cdot)$ is measurable on $(0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;
- ($\omega 1$) $r \mapsto \omega(x, r)$ is uniformly almost increasing on $(0, \infty)$, namely, there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\omega(x, r_1) \leq \tilde{c}_1 \omega(x, r_2)$$

for all $x \in X$ whenever $0 < r_1 < r_2$;

- ($\omega 3$) there exist a constant $\tilde{c}_3 \geq 1$ such that

$$\omega(x, r) \leq \tilde{c}_3$$

for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ and

$$\omega(x, d_X) \geq \tilde{c}_3^{-1}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Note that ($\omega 2$) in [38], which is the doubling condition on ω , is not needed in this paper.

Let us write that $L_c(t) = \log(c + t)$ for $c > 1$ and $t \geq 0$, $L_c^{(1)}(t) = L_c(t)$, $L_c^{(j+1)}(t) = L_c(L_c^{(j)}(t))$. Let $f^- := \inf_{x \in X} f(x)$ and $f^+ := \sup_{x \in X} f(x)$ for a measurable function f on X .

Example 2.2. Let $\sigma(\cdot)$ and $\beta_j(\cdot)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ be measurable functions on X such that $0 < \sigma^- \leq \sigma^+ < \infty$ and $-\infty < \beta_j^- \leq \beta_j^+ < \infty$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then,

$$\omega_{\sigma(\cdot), \{\beta_j(\cdot)\}}(x, r) = \begin{cases} r^{\sigma(x)} \prod_{j=1}^k (L_e^{(j)}(1/r))^{\beta_j(x)} & \text{when } 0 < r \leq d_X, \\ \omega_{\sigma(\cdot), \{\beta_j(\cdot)\}}(x, d_X) & \text{when } r > d_X \end{cases}$$

satisfies ($\omega 0$), ($\omega 1$), and ($\omega 3$).

Recall that f is a locally integrable function on X if f is an integrable function on all balls B in X . Let $\theta \geq 1$. In connection with (1.1), given $\Phi(x, t)$ and $\omega(x, r)$ as above, we define the $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}$ norm by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \sup_{x \in X} \left(\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(x, r)}{\mu(B(x, \theta r))} \left(\int_{B(x, r)} \bar{\Phi}(y, |f(y)|/\lambda) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The space of all measurable functions f on X with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} < \infty$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$. The space $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ is referred to as a Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey space of an integral form. In the case when $\Phi(x, t) = t^p$, $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{p, \omega, \theta}(X)$ for simplicity.

Remark 2.3. We remark from $(\omega 3)$ that $2d_X$ in the definition of $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)}$ can be replaced by κd_X with $\kappa > 1$.

We will also consider the following conditions for $\Phi(x, t)$: let $p \geq 1$ be given.

$(\Phi 3; p)$ $t \mapsto t^{-p}\Phi(x, t)$ is uniformly almost increasing on $(0, \infty)$, namely, there exists a constant $A_{2,p} \geq 1$ such that

$$t_1^{-p}\Phi(x, t_1) \leq A_{2,p}t_2^{-p}\Phi(x, t_2) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ whenever } 0 < t_1 < t_2;$$

$(\Phi 5; \omega)$ for every $\eta > 0$, there exists a constant $B_\eta \geq 1$ such that

$$\Phi(x, t) \leq \Phi(y, B_\eta t)$$

whenever $y \in B(x, r)$, $\Phi(x, t) \leq \eta\omega(x, r)^{-1}$, and $t \geq 1$.

Note that $(\Phi 4)$ in [18], which is the doubling condition on Φ , is not needed in this paper.

Remark 2.4. For a measurable set $E \subset \mathbf{R}^N$, $|E|$ denotes its Lebesgue measure. In the Euclidean setting, Maeda, Mizuta, and the authors [18] considered the following condition for $\Phi(x, t)$:

$(\Phi 5; \nu)$ for every $\iota > 0$, there exists a constant $\tilde{B}_{\iota, \nu} \geq 1$ such that

$$\Phi(x, t) \leq \tilde{B}_{\iota, \nu}\Phi(y, t)$$

whenever $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^N$, $|x - y| \leq \iota t^{-\nu}$, and $t \geq 1$.

For the metric measure setting, see $(\Phi 5; \nu)$ in [33, 36]. Harjulehto and Hästö [12] considered the following condition:

(A1) there exists a constant $0 < \beta < 1$ such that

$$\beta\Phi^{-1}(x, t) \leq \Phi^{-1}(y, t)$$

for every $1 \leq t \leq 1/|B|$, $x, y \in B$ and ball B with $|B| \leq 1$.

On the relationship between $(\Phi 5; \omega)$, $(\Phi 5; \nu)$, and (A1), see [39, Section 8].

We give two good examples of $\Phi(x, t)$.

Example 2.5. Let $\omega(x, r)$ be as in Example 2.2. Let $p(\cdot)$ and $q_j(\cdot)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, be measurable functions on X such that $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$ and $-\infty < q_j^- \leq q_j^+ < \infty$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Then,

$$\Phi_{p(\cdot), \{q_j(\cdot)\}}(x, t) = t^{p(x)} \prod_{j=1}^k (L_e^{(j)}(t))^{q_j(x)}$$

satisfies $(\Phi 1)$, $(\Phi 2)$, and $(\Phi 3)$. It satisfies $(\Phi 3; p)$ for $1 \leq p < p^-$ in general and for $1 \leq p \leq p^-$ in case $q_j^- \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Moreover, we see that $\Phi_{p(\cdot),\{q_j(\cdot)\}}(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 5; \omega)$ if $p(\cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous, namely,

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_p}{L_e(1/d(x, y))} \quad (x, y \in X)$$

with a constant $C_p \geq 0$ and $q_j(\cdot)$ is $(j + 1)$ -log-Hölder continuous, namely,

$$|q_j(x) - q_j(y)| \leq \frac{C_{q,j}}{L_e^{(j+1)}(1/d(x, y))} \quad (x, y \in X)$$

with constants $C_{q,j} \geq 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, k$. In fact, for $\eta > 0$, let $y \in B(x, r)$, $\Phi_{p(\cdot),\{q_j(\cdot)\}}(x, t) \leq \eta\omega(x, r)^{-1}$, and $t \geq 1$. Then, we see from (2.1) and $(\omega 1)$ that

$$1 \leq t \leq A_1 A_2 \Phi_{p(\cdot),\{q_j(\cdot)\}}(x, t) \leq A_1 A_2 \eta \omega(x, r)^{-1} \leq C(\eta) \omega(x, d(x, y))^{-1},$$

so that $\Phi_{p(\cdot),\{q_j(\cdot)\}}(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 5; \omega)$.

Example 2.6. The double-phase functional with variable exponents

$$\Phi(x, t) = t^{p(x)} + a(x)t^{q(x)}, \quad x \in X, t \geq 0,$$

where $p(x) < q(x)$ for $x \in X$, $a(\cdot)$ is a nonnegative, bounded, and Hölder continuous function of order $\theta \in (0, 1]$, was studied in, e.g., [18, 19, 32, 40]. See Section 6.

3. Maximal operator

Recall that

$$M_\lambda f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \lambda r))} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| d\mu(y).$$

For $\lambda \geq 1$, we say that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X : M_\lambda f(x) > k\}) \leq \frac{C}{k} \int_X |f(y)| d\mu(y) \tag{3.1}$$

for all measurable functions $f \in L^1(X)$ and $k > 0$. In (3.1), we cannot remove the number λ (Stempak [42]).

The following lemma was given in [35, Theorem 2.4] when $\omega(x, r) = \omega(r)$ and ω satisfies $(\omega 2)$ in [35]. In the same manner, Lemma 3.1 can be proved by using $(\omega 3)$. Hence, we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. Further, suppose that*

$$(\omega 1') \quad r \mapsto r^{-\varepsilon_1} \omega(x, r) \text{ is uniformly almost increasing in } (0, d_X] \text{ for some } \varepsilon_1 > 0.$$

If $p > 1$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|M_\lambda f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\omega,\theta_2}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,\omega,\theta_1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\omega,\theta_1}(X)$.

Here, we remark that $(\omega 1')$ implies $(\omega 1)$. Letting $\omega(x, r)$ be as in Example 2.2, then $(\omega 1')$ holds for $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \sigma^-$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; p)$ and $(\Phi 5; \omega)$ for $p > 1$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ and $(\omega 1')$ holds. Then, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|M_\lambda f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_2}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$.

For $p \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, set

$$I(f; x, r, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \lambda r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) d\mu(y)$$

and

$$J(f; x, r, p, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \lambda r))} \int_{B(x, r)} \Phi(y, f(y))^{1/p} d\mu(y).$$

We show the following lemma to prove Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $1 \leq \theta < \lambda$. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; p)$ and $(\Phi 5; \omega)$ for $p \geq 1$. Then, given $L \geq 1$, there exist constants $C_1 = C(L) \geq 2$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\Phi(x, I(f; x, r, \lambda)/C_1)^{1/p} \leq C_2 J(f; x, r, p, \lambda)$$

for all $x \in X$, $0 < r \leq d_X$ and for all nonnegative measurable functions f on X such that $f(y) \geq 1$ or $f(y) = 0$ for each $y \in X$ and

$$\sup_{z \in X} \left(\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(z, t)}{\mu(B(z, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(z, t)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \right) \leq L. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Given f as in the statement of the lemma, $x \in X$, and $0 < r \leq d_X$, set $I = I(f; x, r, \lambda)$ and $J = J(f; x, r, p, \lambda)$. Taking f , note that (3.2) and $(\omega 1)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega(x, r)}{\mu(B(x, \lambda r))} \int_{B(x, r)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \int_r^{\lambda r/\theta} \frac{\omega(x, t)}{\mu(B(x, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \leq C_0 L, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$J \leq C_0^{1/p} L^{1/p} \omega(x, r)^{-1/p}. \tag{3.3}$$

By $(\Phi 3; p)$, $\Phi(y, f(y))^{1/p} \geq (A_1 A_{2,p})^{-1/p} f(y)$ for all $y \in X$. Hence,

$$I \leq (A_1 A_{2,p})^{1/p} J.$$

Thus, if $J \leq 1$, then by $(\Phi 3; p)$

$$\Phi(x, I/C_1)^{1/p} \leq J(A_{2,p}\Phi(x, 1))^{1/p} \leq (A_1 A_{2,p})^{1/p} J$$

whenever $C_1 \geq (A_1 A_{2,p})^{1/p}$.

Next, suppose $J > 1$. Since $\Phi(x, t)^{1/p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by $(\Phi 3; p)$, there exists $K > 1$ such that

$$\Phi(x, K)^{1/p} = \Phi(x, 1)^{1/p} J. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\eta = A_1 C_0 L$. Since $K > 1$ and

$$\Phi(x, K) \leq A_1 J^p \leq A_1 C_0 L \omega(x, r)^{-1} = \eta \omega(x, r)^{-1}$$

in view of (3.4) and (3.3), we see from $(\Phi 5; \omega)$ that there is $\beta = \beta(\eta) \geq 1$, independent of f, x, r , such that

$$\Phi(x, K) \leq \Phi(y, \beta K)$$

for $y \in B(x, r)$. Hence, with this K , we have by $(\Phi 3; p)$, (3.4), and $(\Phi 2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) d\mu(y) &\leq \beta K \mu(B(x, r)) + A_{2,p}^{1/p} \beta K \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{\Phi(y, f(y))^{1/p}}{\Phi(y, \beta K)^{1/p}} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \beta K \mu(B(x, r)) + \frac{A_{2,p}^{1/p} \beta K}{\Phi(x, K)^{1/p}} \int_{B(x,r)} \Phi(y, f(y))^{1/p} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \beta K \mu(B(x, \lambda r)) \{1 + (A_1 A_{2,p})^{1/p}\} \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of [18, Lemma 3.3]. We refer to [20, Lemma 9] for details of the rest of the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Consider the function

$$\Phi_0(x, t) = \Phi(x, t)^{1/p}.$$

Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on X with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1/2$. Let $f_1 = f \chi_{\{x \in X: f(x) \geq 1\}}$, $f_2 = f - f_1$. Applying Lemma 3.3 to f_1 and $L = 1$, there exist constants $C_1 \geq 2$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_0(x, M_\lambda f_1(x)/C_1) \leq C_2 M_\lambda [\Phi_0(\cdot, f_1(\cdot))](x),$$

so that

$$\Phi(x, M_\lambda f_1(x)/C_1) \leq C_2^p [M_\lambda [\Phi_0(\cdot, f(\cdot))](x)]^p \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x \in X$.

On the other hand, since $M_\lambda f_2 \leq 1$, we have by $(\Phi 2)$ and $(\Phi 3)$

$$\Phi(x, M_\lambda f_2(x)/C_1) \leq A_1 A_2 \tag{3.6}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Here, note from $(\omega 1')$ and $(\omega 3)$ that there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \omega(z, r) \frac{dr}{r} = \int_0^{2d_X} r^{-\varepsilon_1} \omega(z, r) \cdot r^{\varepsilon_1} \frac{dr}{r} \leq C \int_0^{2d_X} r^{\varepsilon_1} \frac{dr}{r} \leq C_3 \tag{3.7}$$

for all $z \in X$. In view of (2.2), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), and Lemma 3.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \bar{\Phi}(x, M_\lambda f(x)/(2C_1)) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq \frac{A_2}{2} \left\{ \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \Phi(x, M_\lambda f_1(x)/C_1) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \Phi(x, M_\lambda f_2(x)/C_1) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right\} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} [M_\lambda[\Phi_0(\cdot, f(\cdot))](x)]^p d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{2d_X} \omega(z, r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\} \\ & \leq C \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in X$. Thus, we conclude the desired result. ■

4. Lemmas

Let us recall the following lemma from [16, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $F(x, t)$ be a positive function on $X \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (F1) $F(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;
- (F2) there exists a constant $K_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$K_1^{-1} \leq F(x, 1) \leq K_1 \quad \text{for all } x \in X;$$

- (F3) $t \mapsto t^{-\varepsilon'} F(x, t)$ is uniformly almost increasing for some $\varepsilon' > 0$, namely, there exists a constant $K_2 \geq 1$ such that

$$t_1^{-\varepsilon'} F(x, t_1) \leq K_2 t_2^{-\varepsilon'} F(x, t_2) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ whenever } 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$

Set

$$F^{-1}(x, s) = \sup\{t > 0; F(x, t) < s\}$$

for $x \in X$ and $s > 0$. Then, the following hold.

- (1) $F^{-1}(x, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing.
- (2) $F^{-1}(x, \lambda t) \leq (K_2 \lambda)^{1/\varepsilon'} F^{-1}(x, t)$ for all $x \in X, t > 0$, and $\lambda \geq 1$.
- (3) $F(x, F^{-1}(x, t)) = t$ for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.
- (4) $K_2^{-1/\varepsilon'} t \leq F^{-1}(x, F(x, t)) \leq K_2^{2/\varepsilon'} t$ for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.
- (5) $\min\{1, (\frac{s}{K_1 K_2})^{1/\varepsilon'}\} \leq F^{-1}(x, s) \leq \max\{1, (K_1 K_2 s)^{1/\varepsilon'}\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $s > 0$.

Remark 4.2. Note that $F(x, t) = \Phi(x, t)$ is a function satisfying (F1), (F2), and (F3) with $K_1 = A_1, K_2 = A_2$, and $\varepsilon' = 1$.

We consider a function $\zeta(x, r) : X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- ($\zeta 0$) $\zeta(x, \cdot)$ is measurable on $(0, \infty)$ for each $x \in X$;
- ($\zeta 1$) there exists a constant $Q_\zeta \geq 1$ such that $\sup_{x \in X, 0 < r \leq 2d_X} \zeta(x, r) \leq Q_\zeta$ and

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \zeta(x, r) \frac{dr}{r} \leq Q_\zeta$$

for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies ($\Phi 3$; p) and ($\Phi 5$; ω) for $p > 1$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ and $(\omega 1')$ holds. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\zeta(z, r) \{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq C$$

for all $z \in X$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1$.

Proof. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on X with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1$. Then, by Theorem 3.2, there exists a constant $C_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \bar{\Phi}(x, M_\lambda f(x)/C_1) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq 1 \tag{4.1}$$

for all $z \in X$. Let $z \in X$, and set $c_1 = A_1 A_2 \tilde{c}_3$. Then, we have by Lemma 4.1 (5) and ($\omega 3$)

$$\Phi^{-1}(z, c_1 \omega(z, r)^{-1}) \geq \min\{1, (A_1 A_2)^{-1} c_1 \tilde{c}_3^{-1}\} = 1$$

and by Lemma 4.1 (3)

$$\Phi(z, \Phi^{-1}(z, c_1 \omega(z, r)^{-1})) = c_1 \omega(z, r)^{-1}$$

for all $z \in X$ and $0 < r \leq 2d_X$, so that, by ($\Phi 5$; ω), there exists a constant $\beta \geq 1$ such that

$$c_1 \omega(z, r)^{-1} = \Phi(z, \Phi^{-1}(z, c_1 \omega(z, r)^{-1})) \leq \Phi(x, \beta \Phi^{-1}(z, c_1 \omega(z, r)^{-1}))$$

whenever $x \in B(z, r)$ and $0 < r \leq 2d_X$. Therefore, we find by $(\Phi 3)$, Lemma 4.1, $(\zeta 1)$, and (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \int_{B(z, r)} \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \int_{B(z, r)} \{2C_1\beta\Phi^{-1}(z, c_1\omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^\varepsilon d\mu(x) \\ & + A_2 \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \\ & \times \int_{B(z, r)} \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon \frac{\{M_\lambda f(x)/(2C_1)\}^{-\varepsilon}\Phi(x, M_\lambda f(x)/(2C_1))}{\{\beta\Phi^{-1}(z, c_1\omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}\Phi(x, \beta\Phi^{-1}(z, c_1\omega(z, r)^{-1}))} d\mu(x) \\ & \leq (2A_2c_1C_1\beta)^\varepsilon \zeta(z, r) \\ & + A_2^{1+\varepsilon}(2C_1\beta)^\varepsilon c_1^{-1+\varepsilon} \frac{\zeta(z, r)\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \int_{B(z, r)} \Phi(x, M_\lambda f(x)/(2C_1)) d\mu(x) \\ & \leq C \left\{ \zeta(z, r) + \frac{\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \int_{B(z, r)} \bar{\Phi}(x, M_\lambda f(x)/C_1) d\mu(x) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in X$ and $0 < r \leq 2d_X$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \int_0^{2d_X} \zeta(z, r) \frac{dr}{r} + \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(z, r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \bar{\Phi}(x, M_\lambda f(x)/C_1) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right\} \\ & \leq C \end{aligned}$$

by $(\zeta 1)$ and (4.1). Hence, we obtain the required result. ■

Let E be a measurable subset of X . To consider Trudinger-type inequalities, we prepare an auxiliary function. For $s_0 = \min\{1, 1/(2d_X)\}$, we consider a function

$$\Gamma(x, s) : E \times [s_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \tag{4.2}$$

which satisfies the following conditions:

($\Gamma 1$) $s \mapsto \Gamma(x, s)$ is uniformly almost increasing on $[s_0, \infty)$, that is, there exists a constant $c_{\Gamma 1} \geq 1$ such that

$$\Gamma(x, s_1) \leq c_{\Gamma 1} \Gamma(x, s_2)$$

for all $x \in E$ and $s_0 \leq s_1 < s_2$;

($\Gamma 2$) there exists a constant $c_{\Gamma 2} \geq 1$ such that

$$\Gamma(x, 2) \leq c_{\Gamma 2} \Gamma(x, s_0)$$

for all $x \in E$;

(Γ_{\log}) there exists a constant $c_{\Gamma\ell} \geq 1$ such that

$$\Gamma(x, s^2) \leq c_{\Gamma\ell} \Gamma(x, s)$$

for all $x \in E$ and $s \geq 1$.

We recall the following lemma which gives estimates for the function Γ .

Lemma 4.4 (Cf. [23, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2]). (1) $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ has uniform doubling property on $[s_0, \infty)$; namely, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\Gamma(x, 2s) \leq C \Gamma(x, s)$ for all $x \in E$ and $s \geq s_0$.

(2) For $a > 0$, there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} \Gamma(x, s) \leq \Gamma(x, s^a) \leq C \Gamma(x, s)$$

for all $x \in E$ and $s \geq 1$.

(3) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma(x, s) \leq C s \Gamma(x, s_0)$$

for all $x \in E$ and $s \geq s_0$.

We define another useful function with certain properties. We consider a function

$$\gamma(x, \rho) : E \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \tag{4.3}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

($\gamma 1$) $\gamma(x, \cdot)$ is measurable on $(0, \infty)$ for each $x \in E$;

($\gamma 2$) there exists a constant $B_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\gamma(x, \rho_1) \leq B_1 \gamma(x, \rho_2)$$

for all $x \in E$ whenever $0 < \rho_1/2 < \rho_2 \leq \rho_1 \leq 2d_X$;

($\gamma 3$) there exists a constant $0 < B_2 \leq 1$ such that $\inf_{x \in X, 0 < \rho \leq 2d_X} \gamma(x, \rho) \geq B_2$.

Further, we consider the following condition:

$(\Gamma \Phi \gamma \alpha \omega)$ there exist constants $c_1^* \geq 1$ and $c_2^* \geq 1$ such that

$$\rho^{\alpha(x)} \omega(x, \rho)^{-1} \gamma(x, \rho)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, \rho)) \leq c_1^* \Gamma(x, 1/\rho)$$

for all $x \in E$ whenever $0 < \rho \leq 2d_X$ and

$$\int_{\delta}^{2d_X} \rho^{\alpha(x)} \Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, \rho)) \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \leq c_2^* \Gamma(x, 1/\delta)$$

for all $x \in E$ whenever $0 < \delta \leq d_X/2$.

Here, note from $(\Gamma\Phi\gamma\alpha\omega)$, $(\gamma3)$, and Lemma 4.1 (5) that there exists a constant $c_{\Gamma3} > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma(x, 2/d_X) \geq c_{\Gamma3}. \tag{4.4}$$

Now, we state and prove our lemma using the functions Γ from (4.2) and γ from (4.3).

Lemma 4.5. *Let $1 \leq \theta \leq \tau/2$. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi5; 1/\gamma)$. Assume that $(\Gamma\Phi\gamma\alpha\omega)$ holds. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{2\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} \frac{2^{i\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, \tau 2^i))} \int_{B(x, \tau 2^i)} f(y) d\mu(y) \leq C\Gamma(x, 1/\delta)$$

for all $x \in E$, $0 < \delta < d_X/2$, and nonnegative $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} \leq 1$.

Proof. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} \leq 1/2$. Let $x \in E$ and $0 < \delta < d_X/2$. Set $c_1 = A_1 A_2 B_2^{-1}$. Then, we have by $(\gamma3)$, $(\Phi2)$, $(\Phi3)$, and Lemma 4.1

$$\Phi^{-1}(x, c_1\gamma(x, t)) \geq 1$$

and

$$\Phi(x, \Phi^{-1}(x, c_1\gamma(x, t))) = c_1\gamma(x, t)$$

for all $x \in E$ and $0 < t \leq 2d_X$, so that, by $(\Phi5; 1/\gamma)$, there exists a constant $\beta \geq 1$ such that

$$c_1\gamma(x, t) \leq \Phi(y, \beta\Phi^{-1}(x, c_1\gamma(x, t)))$$

whenever $y \in B(x, t)$ and $0 < t \leq 2d_X$. Therefore, we find by $(\Phi3)$, Lemma 4.1, and $(\Gamma\Phi\gamma\alpha\omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t^{\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, \tau t))} \int_{B(x, t)} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \frac{t^{\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, \tau t))} \int_{B(x, t)} \beta\Phi^{-1}(x, c_1\gamma(x, t)) d\mu(y) \\ & \quad + A_2 \frac{t^{\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, \tau t))} \\ & \quad \times \int_{B(x, t)} f(y) \frac{f(y)^{-1}\Phi(y, f(y))}{\{\beta\Phi^{-1}(x, c_1\gamma(x, t))\}^{-1}\Phi(y, \beta\Phi^{-1}(x, c_1\gamma(x, t)))} d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \left\{ t^{\alpha(x)}\Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, t)) + \frac{t^{\alpha(x)}\gamma(x, t)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, t))}{\mu(B(x, \tau t))} \int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right\} \\ & \leq C \left\{ t^{\alpha(x)}\Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, t)) + \frac{\Gamma(x, 1/t)\omega(x, t)}{\mu(B(x, \tau t))} \int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right\} \tag{4.5} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < t \leq 2d_X$. It follows from (4.5) and $(\Gamma 1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} \frac{2^{i\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, \tau 2^i))} \int_{B(x, 2^i)} f(y) d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \left\{ \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} 2^{i\alpha(x)} \Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, 2^i)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} \frac{\Gamma(x, 1/2^i)\omega(x, 2^i)}{\mu(B(x, \tau 2^i))} \int_{B(x, 2^i)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right\} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} 2^{i\alpha(x)} \Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, 2^i)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Gamma(x, 1/\delta) \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} \frac{\omega(x, 2^i)}{\mu(B(x, \tau 2^i))} \int_{B(x, 2^i)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right\} \\ & = C(I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned}$$

By $(\gamma 2)$, $(\Gamma \Phi \gamma \alpha \omega)$, and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq C \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} \int_{2^{i-1}}^{2^i} t^{\alpha(x)} \Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, t)) \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq C \int_{\delta}^{2d_X} t^{\alpha(x)} \Phi^{-1}(x, \gamma(x, t)) \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq C \Gamma(x, 1/\delta). \end{aligned}$$

By $(\omega 1)$ and $\theta \leq \tau/2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \leq C \Gamma(x, 1/\delta) \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} \frac{\omega(x, 2^i)}{\mu(B(x, \theta 2^{i+1}))} \int_{B(x, 2^i)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \\ & \leq C \Gamma(x, 1/\delta) \sum_{2^\delta < 2^i \leq 2d_X} \int_{2^i}^{2^{i+1}} \frac{\omega(x, t)}{\mu(B(x, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq C \Gamma(x, 1/\delta) \int_{2^\delta}^{4d_X} \frac{\omega(x, t)}{\mu(B(x, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq C \Gamma(x, 1/\delta) \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(x, t)}{\mu(B(x, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(y, f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq C \Gamma(x, 1/\delta). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

5. A Trudinger-type inequality

Before we state our main theorem, we give the assumptions for the function in Trudinger-type inequalities. We consider a function

$$\Psi(x, t) : E \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

with the following properties:

- (Ψ1) $\Psi(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on E for each $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\Psi(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ for each $x \in E$;
- (Ψ2) there is a constant $\tilde{Q}_1 \geq 1$ such that $\Psi(x, t_1) \leq \Psi(x, \tilde{Q}_1 t_2)$ for all $x \in E$ whenever $0 < t_1 < t_2$;
- (ΨΓ) there are constants $\tilde{Q}_2, \tilde{Q}_3 \geq 1$ and $s_0^* \geq s_0$ such that $\Psi(x, \Gamma(x, s)/\tilde{Q}_2) \leq \tilde{Q}_3 s$ for all $x \in E$ and $s \geq s_0^*$.

Note that $(\Gamma\Phi\gamma\alpha\omega)$ and $(\Psi\Gamma)$ give the relation between Ψ and Φ .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 \leq \lambda \leq \tau$. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$, $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$, and $\theta_1 \leq \tau/2$. Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; p)$, $(\Phi 5; \omega)$, and $(\Phi 5; 1/\gamma)$ for $p > 1$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ and $(\omega 1')$ holds. Suppose $(\Gamma\Phi\gamma\alpha\omega)$ holds. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{E \cap B(z, r)} \Psi\left(x, \frac{|J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x)|}{c_1}\right) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq c_2$$

for all $z \in X$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1$.

Proof. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on X with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1$. Let $x \in E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, we may assume $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ since we have by Lemma 4.1 (5)

$$\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-1} \leq \max\{1, A_1 A_2 \tilde{c}_3\}$$

for all $z \in X$ and $0 < r \leq 2d_X$. For $0 < \delta \leq d_X/2$, Lemma 4.5 implies

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x) &\leq \sum_{2^i \leq 2\delta} \frac{2^{i\alpha(x)}}{\mu(B(x, \tau 2^i))} \int_{B(x, 2^i)} f(y) d\mu(y) + C\Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \\ &\leq C \left\{ \delta^{\alpha(x)} M_\lambda f(x) + \Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of x .

If $M_\lambda f(x) \leq 2/d_X$, then we take $\delta = d_X/2$. Then, by (4.4),

$$J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x) \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{d_X}{2}\right)^{\alpha(x)-1} + \Gamma\left(x, \frac{2}{d_X}\right) \right\} \leq C\Gamma\left(x, \frac{2}{d_X}\right).$$

By Lemma 4.4(1), there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of x such that

$$J_{\alpha(\cdot),\tau}f(x) \leq C\Gamma(x, s_0^*) \quad \text{if } M_\lambda f(x) \leq 2/d_X. \tag{5.1}$$

Next, suppose $2/d_X < M_\lambda f(x) < \infty$. By Lemma 4.4(3) and $(\Gamma 1)$, there exists a constant $m > 0$ such that $\Gamma(x, s)/s \leq m\Gamma(x, 2/d_X)$ for $s \geq 2/d_X$. Let

$$\delta = (d_X/2) \left[\frac{\Gamma(x, M_\lambda f(x))}{m\Gamma(x, 2/d_X)M_\lambda f(x)} \right]^{1/\alpha(x)}.$$

Then, by (4.4) and Lemma 4.4(2),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{\alpha(x)}M_\lambda f(x) &= (d_X/2)^{\alpha(x)} \frac{\Gamma(x, M_\lambda f(x))}{m\Gamma(x, 2/d_X)} \leq C\Gamma(x, M_\lambda f(x)) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon)\Gamma(x, \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of m , $\delta \leq d_X/2$. Since $\Gamma(x, 2/d_X) \leq C\Gamma(x, M_\lambda f(x))$,

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \leq C(M_\lambda f(x))^{1/\alpha(x)}.$$

Hence, using $(\Gamma 1)$ and Lemma 4.4(1) and (2), we obtain

$$\Gamma\left(x, \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \leq C\Gamma(x, M_\lambda f(x)) \leq C(\varepsilon)\Gamma(x, \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of x such that

$$J_{\alpha(\cdot),\tau}f(x) \leq C\Gamma(x, \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon) \quad \text{if } 2/d_X < M_\lambda f(x) < \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

By (5.1) and (5.2), there exists a constant $C^* > 0$ such that

$$J_{\alpha(\cdot),\tau}f(x) \leq C^*\Gamma(x, \max\{s_0^*, \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon\})$$

for a.e. $x \in E$.

Now, let $c_1 = \tilde{Q}_1\tilde{Q}_2C^*$. Then, by $(\Psi 2)$ and $(\Psi\Gamma)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi\left(x, \frac{J_{\alpha(\cdot),\tau}f(x)}{c_1}\right) &\leq \Psi\left(x, \Gamma(x, \max\{s_0^*, \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon\})/\tilde{Q}_2\right) \\ &\leq \tilde{Q}_3 \max\{s_0^*, \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon\} \leq \tilde{Q}_3(s_0^* + \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $x \in E$. Thus, we have by Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{E \cap B(z, r)} \Psi\left(x, \frac{J_{\alpha(\cdot),\tau}f(x)}{c_1}\right) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq \tilde{Q}_3 s_0^* \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{r} dr \\ &\quad + \tilde{Q}_3 \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\zeta(z, r)\{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^\varepsilon d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq \tilde{Q}_3 s_0^* C^{**} + \tilde{Q}_3 C_M = c_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in X$ since we have by $(\omega 3)$, Lemma 4.1, and $(\zeta 1)$

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \zeta(z, r) \{ \Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1}) \}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{dr}{r} \leq (\max\{1, A_1 A_2 \tilde{c}_3\})^\varepsilon \int_0^{2d_X} \zeta(z, r) \frac{dr}{r} \leq C^{**}$$

for all $z \in X$. Hence, we obtain the required result. ■

Let $\omega(x, r) = \omega_{\sigma(\cdot), \{\beta_j(\cdot)\}}(x, r)$ be as in Example 2.2, and let $\Phi(x, t) = \Phi_{p(\cdot), \{q_j(\cdot)\}}(x, t)$ be as in Example 2.5. Set $E^{(1)}(t) = e^t - e$, $E^{(j+1)}(t) = \exp(E^j(t)) - e$, and $E_+^{(j)}(t) = \max(E^{(j)}(t), 0)$. Consider $\zeta(z, r) = r^{\varepsilon_1}$ for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

As in the proof of [17], we obtain the following corollaries in view of Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $1 \leq \lambda \leq \tau$. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$, $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$, and $\theta_1 \leq \tau/2$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. Suppose $p(x) = \sigma(x)/\alpha(x)$ on E and $p^- > 1$. Assume that there exists an integer $1 \leq j_0 \leq k$ such that*

$$\inf_{x \in E} (p(x) - q_{j_0}(x) - \beta_{j_0}(x) - 1) > 0$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in E} (p(x) - q_j(x) - \beta_j(x) - 1) \leq 0$$

for all $j \leq j_0 - 1$ in case $j_0 \geq 2$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in X} \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{r^\varepsilon}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left\{ \int_{E \cap B(z, r)} E_+^{(j_0)} \left(\left(\frac{|J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x)|}{c_1} \right)^{p(x)/(p(x) - q_{j_0}(x) - \beta_{j_0}(x) - 1)} \right. \right. \\ & \times \left. \prod_{j=1}^{k-j_0} \left(L_e^{(j)} \left(\frac{|J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x)|}{c_1} \right) \right)^{(q_{j_0+j}(x) + \beta_{j_0+j}(x))/(p(x) - q_{j_0}(x) - \beta_{j_0}(x) - 1)} \right) d\mu(x) \left. \right\} \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq c_2 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1$.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $1 \leq \lambda \leq \tau$. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$, $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$ and $\theta_1 \leq \tau/2$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. Suppose $p(x) = \sigma(x)/\alpha(x)$ on E and $p^- > 1$. Assume that*

$$\sup_{x \in E} (p(x) - q_j(x) - \beta_j(x) - 1) \leq 0$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in X} \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{r^\varepsilon}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left\{ \int_{E \cap B(z, r)} E_+^{(k+1)} \left(\left(\frac{|J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x)|}{c_1} \right)^{p(x)/(p(x) - 1)} \right) d\mu(x) \right\} \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq c_2 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1$.

6. Double-phase functions with variable exponents

Let $\sigma(\cdot)$ be a measurable function on X such that $0 < \sigma^- \leq \sigma^+ < \infty$. Set

$$\omega(x, r) = r^{\sigma(x)}.$$

In this section, let us assume that $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ be real-valued measurable functions on X such that

(P1) $1 \leq p^- \leq p^+ < \infty,$

(Q1) $1 \leq q^- \leq q^+ < \infty.$

We assume that

(P2) $p(\cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous, that is,

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_p}{L_e(1/d(x, y))} \quad (x, y \in X)$$

with a constant $C_p \geq 0$, and

(Q2) $q(\cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous, that is,

$$|q(x) - q(y)| \leq \frac{C_q}{L_e(1/d(x, y))} \quad (x, y \in X)$$

with a constant $C_q \geq 0$.

As an example and application, we consider the case where $\Phi(x, t)$ is a double-phase function with variable exponents given by

$$\Phi(x, t) = t^{p(x)} + a(x)t^{q(x)} (= t^{p(x)} + (b(x)t)^{q(x)}), \quad x \in X, t \geq 0,$$

where $p(x) < q(x)$ for $x \in X$, $a(\cdot)$ is nonnegative, bounded, and Hölder continuous of order $\theta \in (0, 1]$ and $b(x) = a(x)^{1/q(x)}$ (cf. [1, 40]).

This $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 1)$, $(\Phi 2)$, and $(\Phi 3; p^-)$. Set $X_0 = \{x \in X : a(x) > 0\}$.

Let us write

$$E_1 = \{x \in X \setminus X_0 : \sigma(x) = \alpha(x)p(x)\},$$

$$E_2 = \{x \in X_0 : \sigma(x) = \alpha(x)q(x)\}$$

and $E = E_1 \cup E_2$. We define

$$\gamma(x, \rho) = \rho^{-\sigma(x)}(\log(e + 1/\rho))^{-1}$$

for $x \in E$ and $\rho > 0$ and

$$\Gamma(x, s) = \begin{cases} (\log(e + s))^{(p(x)-1)/p(x)}, & x \in E_1, \\ b(x)^{-1}(\log(e + s))^{(q(x)-1)/q(x)}, & x \in E_2, \end{cases}$$

for $s \geq s_0$.

This $\gamma(x, \rho)$ satisfies $(\gamma 1)$, $(\gamma 2)$, and $(\gamma 3)$; $\Gamma(x, s)$ satisfies $(\Gamma 1)$, $(\Gamma 2)$, and (Γ_{\log}) .

Lemma 6.1. (1) $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 5; \omega)$ for $\theta \geq \sup_{x \in X_0} \{\sigma(x)(q(x)/p(x) - 1)\}$.
 (2) $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 5; 1/\gamma)$ for $\theta \geq \sup_{x \in X_0} \{\sigma(x)(q(x)/p(x) - 1)\}$.

In fact, for $\eta > 0$, let $y \in B(x, r)$, $\Phi(x, t) \leq \eta\gamma(x, r)$, and $t \geq 1$. Then, note that

$$\Phi(x, t) \leq \eta\gamma(x, r) \leq \eta\omega(x, r)^{-1},$$

so that we can show (2) as in (1) [39, Lemma 6.1].

By Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 6.1, we obtain the boundedness of M_λ on $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$, as an extension of [37, Theorem 5.1] in the Euclidean case.

Corollary 6.2. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. If $p^- > 1$ and $\theta \geq \sup_{x \in X_0} \{\sigma(x)(q(x)/p(x) - 1)\}$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|M_\lambda f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_2}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$.

Remark 6.3. In [37, Theorem 5.1], we considered $(\Phi 5; \nu)$ and proved Corollary 6.2 above when $\sup_{x \in X_0} (q(x) - p(x))/\theta \leq p^-/\sigma^+$ holds for $X = \mathbf{R}^N$. Hence, we find that $(\Phi 5; \omega)$ is better than $(\Phi 5; \nu)$.

We recall a lemma which we need in the proof of a Trudinger-type inequality.

Lemma 6.4 (Cf. [19, Lemma 4.9]). If $\inf_{x \in E_1} p(x) > 1$ and $\inf_{x \in E_2} q(x) > 1$, then $\Gamma(x, s)$ satisfies $(\Gamma \Phi \gamma \alpha \omega)$.

If we define

$$\Psi(x, t) = \begin{cases} \exp(t^{p(x)/(p(x)-1)}), & x \in E_1, \\ \exp((b(x)t)^{q(x)/(q(x)-1)}), & x \in E_2, \end{cases}$$

for $t > 0$, then $\Psi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Psi 1)$, $(\Psi 2)$, and $(\Psi \Gamma)$ with $s_0^* = 2/d_X$ when $\inf_{x \in E_1} p(x) > 1$ and $\inf_{x \in E_2} q(x) > 1$.

In view of Lemmas 6.1 and 6.4 and Theorem 5.1, we obtain a Trudinger-type inequality on Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form in the framework of double-phase functional with variable exponents.

Corollary 6.5. Let $1 \leq \lambda \leq \tau$. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$, $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$, and $\theta_1 \leq \tau/2$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. Suppose $\sup_{x \in X_0} \{\sigma(x)(q(x)/p(x) - 1)\} \leq \theta$ and $p^- > 1$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in X} \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{r^\varepsilon}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{E_1 \cap B(z, r)} \{ \exp(c_1 |J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x)|^{p(x)/(p(x)-1)}) - 1 \} d\mu(x) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{E_2 \cap B(z, r)} \{ \exp(c_1 b(x) |J_{\alpha(\cdot), \tau} f(x)|^{q(x)/(q(x)-1)}) - 1 \} d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq c_2 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq 1$.

7. Poincaré inequality

In this section, we assume that μ satisfies the doubling condition. Let $u \in L^1_{loc}(X)$, and let g be a nonnegative measurable function on X . We say that the pair u, g satisfies a Poincaré inequality in X if there exist constants $A_0 > 0$ and $\sigma \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |u(y) - u_{B(x, r)}| d\mu(y) \leq \frac{A_0 r}{\mu(B(x, \sigma r))} \int_{B(x, \sigma r)} g(y) d\mu(y)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, where

$$u_{B(x, r)} = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} u(y) d\mu(y).$$

Remark 7.1. We say that a function $u \in L^\Phi(X)$ belongs to Musielak–Orlicz–Hajłasz–Sobolev spaces of an integral form $\mathcal{M}^{1, \Phi, \omega, 1}(X)$ if there exists a nonnegative function $g \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, 1}(X)$ such that

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq d(x, y)(g(x) + g(y)) \tag{7.1}$$

for μ -almost every $x, y \in X$. Here, we call the function g a Hajłasz gradient of u . For spaces related to Hajłasz spaces, see, e.g., [10, 14, 30]. Integrating both sides in (7.1) over y and x , we obtain the Poincaré inequality.

We show the following result, as an extension of [11, Theorem 5.1], [13, Corollary 5.4], and [30, Theorem 7.7].

Theorem 7.2. *Let $u \in L^1_{loc}(X)$, and let $g \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, 1}(X)$ with $\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, 1}(X)} \leq 1$ be a nonnegative measurable function on X . Assume that the pair u, g satisfies a Poincaré inequality in X . Suppose that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; p)$, $(\Phi 5; \omega)$, and $(\Phi 5; 1/\gamma)$ for $p > 1$. Assume that $(\omega 1')$ holds and $(\Gamma \Phi \gamma \alpha \omega)$ holds with*

$$\alpha(\cdot) \equiv 1.$$

Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\zeta(z, r) \{\Phi^{-1}(z, \omega(z, r)^{-1})\}^{-\varepsilon}}{\mu(B(z, r))} \left(\int_{E \cap B \cap B(z, r)} \Psi \left(x, \frac{|u(x) - u_B|}{c_1} \right) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq c_2$$

for all balls $B \subset X$ and $z \in X$.

Proof. Since μ is doubling and the pair u, g satisfies a Poincaré inequality in X , we have

$$|u(x) - u_B| \leq C J_{1,1} g(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in B$ (see [11, Theorem 5.2]). Hence, Theorem 5.1 yields this theorem. ■

Finally, as a corollary, we obtain the double-phase version by Theorem 7.2.

Corollary 7.3. *Let X_0 , $p(\cdot)$, $q(\cdot)$, $a(\cdot)$, and $\sigma(\cdot)$ be as in Section 6. Set*

$$\Phi(x, t) = t^{p(x)} + a(x)t^{q(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(x, r) = r^{\sigma(x)}$$

for $x \in X$, $t \geq 0$, and $r > 0$. Set

$$E_1 = \{x \in X \setminus X_0 : \sigma(x) = p(x)\}$$

and

$$E_2 = \{x \in X_0 : \sigma(x) = q(x)\}.$$

Let $u \in L^1_{loc}(X)$, and let $g \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, 1}(X)$ with $\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, 1}(X)} \leq 1$ be a nonnegative measurable function on X . Assume that the pair u, g satisfies a Poincaré inequality in X . Suppose $\sup_{x \in X_0} \{\sigma(x)(q(x)/p(x) - 1)\} \leq \theta$ and $p^- > 1$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in X} \int_0^{2dx} \frac{r^\varepsilon}{\mu(B(z, r))} \left(\int_{E_1 \cap B \cap B(z, r)} \{ \exp(c_1 |u(x) - u_B|^{p(x)/(p(x)-1)}) - 1 \} d\mu(x) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{E_2 \cap B \cap B(z, r)} \{ \exp(c_1 b(x) |u(x) - u_B|^{q(x)/(q(x)-1)}) - 1 \} d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq c_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all balls $B \subset X$.

References

- [1] S. Baasandorj, S.-S. Byun, and H.-S. Lee, [Gradient estimates for Orlicz double phase problems with variable exponents](#). *Nonlinear Anal.* **221** (2022), article no. 112891 Zbl 1491.35206 MR 4403091
- [2] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, and G. Mingione, [Harnack inequalities for double phase functionals](#). *Nonlinear Anal.* **121** (2015), 206–222 Zbl 1321.49059 MR 3348922
- [3] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, and G. Mingione, [Regularity for general functionals with double phase](#). *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **57** (2018), no. 2, article no. 62 Zbl 1394.49034 MR 3775180
- [4] H. Brézis and S. Wainger, [A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities](#). *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **5** (1980), no. 7, 773–789 Zbl 0437.35071 MR 0579997
- [5] M. Colombo and G. Mingione, [Regularity for double phase variational problems](#). *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **215** (2015), no. 2, 443–496 Zbl 1322.49065 MR 3294408
- [6] D. E. Edmunds, P. Gurka, and B. Opic, [Double exponential integrability, Bessel potentials and embedding theorems](#). *Studia Math.* **115** (1995), no. 2, 151–181 Zbl 0829.47024 MR 1347439
- [7] D. E. Edmunds and R. Hurri-Syrjänen, [Sobolev inequalities of exponential type](#). *Israel J. Math.* **123** (2001), 61–92 Zbl 0991.46019 MR 1835289

- [8] T. Futamura, Y. Mizuta, and T. Shimomura, [Integrability of maximal functions and Riesz potentials in Orlicz spaces of variable exponent](#). *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **366** (2010), no. 2, 391–417 Zbl [1193.46016](#) MR [2600488](#)
- [9] A. Gogatishvili and P. Koskela, [A non-doubling Trudinger inequality](#). *Studia Math.* **170** (2005), no. 2, 113–119 Zbl [1091.46019](#) MR [2184101](#)
- [10] P. Hajłasz, [Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric space](#). *Potential Anal.* **5** (1996), no. 4, 403–415 Zbl [0859.46022](#) MR [1401074](#)
- [11] P. Hajłasz and P. Koskela, [Sobolev met Poincaré](#). *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2000), no. 688, x+101 Zbl [0954.46022](#) MR [1683160](#)
- [12] P. Harjulehto and P. Hästö, [Orlicz spaces and generalized Orlicz spaces](#). Lecture Notes in Math. 2236, Springer, Cham, 2019 Zbl [1436.46002](#) MR [3931352](#)
- [13] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and V. Latvala, [Sobolev embeddings in metric measure spaces with variable dimension](#). *Math. Z.* **254** (2006), no. 3, 591–609 Zbl [1109.46037](#) MR [2244368](#)
- [14] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Pere, [Variable exponent Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces](#). *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **36** (2006), 79–94 Zbl [1140.46013](#) MR [2296640](#)
- [15] L. I. Hedberg, [On certain convolution inequalities](#). *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1972), 505–510 Zbl [0283.26003](#) MR [0312232](#)
- [16] F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura, [Boundedness of maximal operators and Sobolev’s inequality on Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces](#). *Bull. Sci. Math.* **137** (2013), no. 1, 76–96 Zbl [1267.46045](#) MR [3007101](#)
- [17] F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura, [Trudinger’s inequality and continuity of potentials on Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces](#). *Potential Anal.* **38** (2013), no. 2, 515–535 Zbl [1268.46024](#) MR [3015362](#)
- [18] F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev’s inequality for double phase functionals with variable exponents](#). *Forum Math.* **31** (2019), no. 2, 517–527 Zbl [1423.46049](#) MR [3918454](#)
- [19] F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura, [Trudinger’s inequality for double phase functionals with variable exponents](#). *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **71(146)** (2021), no. 2, 511–528 Zbl [1513.46054](#) MR [4263183](#)
- [20] F.-Y. Maeda, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura, [Boundedness of the maximal operator on Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces](#). *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **69** (2017), no. 4, 483–495 Zbl [1387.42017](#) MR [3732884](#)
- [21] Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev’s inequality for Musielak–Orlicz–Sobolev functions](#). *Results Math.* **78** (2023), no. 3, article no. 90 Zbl [1519.46025](#) MR [4556300](#)
- [22] Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, [Exponential integrability for Riesz potentials of functions in Orlicz classes](#). *Hiroshima Math. J.* **28** (1998), no. 2, 355–371 Zbl [0917.31004](#) MR [1637338](#)
- [23] Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, [Differentiability and Hölder continuity of Riesz potentials of Orlicz functions](#). *Analysis (Munich)* **20** (2000), no. 3, 201–223 Zbl [0955.31002](#) MR [1778254](#)
- [24] Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev embeddings for Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces of variable exponent](#). *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **60** (2008), no. 2, 583–602 Zbl [1161.46305](#) MR [2421989](#)
- [25] Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev’s inequality for Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces of integral form](#). *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), no. 9, 1336–1352 Zbl [1211.46026](#) MR [2731137](#)

- [26] Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev and Trudinger inequalities in weighted Morrey spaces for double phase functionals](#). *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **41** (2022), no. 3–4, 439–466 Zbl 1516.31016 MR 4571617
- [27] Y. Mizuta, T. Shimomura, and T. Sobukawa, [Sobolev’s inequality for Riesz potentials of functions in non-doubling Morrey spaces](#). *Osaka J. Math.* **46** (2009), no. 1, 255–271 Zbl 1186.31003 MR 2531149
- [28] J. Moser, [A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger](#). *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20** (1970/71), 1077–1092 Zbl 0203.43701 MR 0301504
- [29] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Trudinger’s inequality and continuity for Riesz potentials of functions in Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces on metric measure spaces](#). *Nonlinear Anal.* **106** (2014), 1–17 Zbl 1306.46039 MR 3209682
- [30] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Musiela–Orlicz–Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces](#). *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **65(140)** (2015), no. 2, 435–474 Zbl 1363.46027 MR 3360438
- [31] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev inequalities for Riesz potentials of functions in \$L^{p\(\cdot\)}\$ over nondoubling measure spaces](#). *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **93** (2016), no. 1, 128–136 Zbl 1354.46036 MR 3436021
- [32] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Maximal and Riesz potential operators on Musielak–Orlicz spaces over metric measure spaces](#). *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **90** (2018), no. 6, article no. 62 Zbl 1401.42020 MR 3856222
- [33] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev’s inequality for Riesz potentials of functions in Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces over non-doubling metric measure spaces](#). *Canad. Math. Bull.* **63** (2020), no. 2, 287–303 Zbl 1451.46039 MR 4092880
- [34] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev’s inequality for Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces over metric measure spaces](#). *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **110** (2021), no. 3, 371–385 Zbl 1477.46045 MR 4259313
- [35] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [On Sobolev-type inequalities on Morrey spaces of an integral form](#). *Taiwanese J. Math.* **26** (2022), no. 4, 831–845 Zbl 1504.46038 MR 4484273
- [36] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Trudinger-type inequalities in Musielak–Orlicz spaces](#). *Houston J. Math.* **48** (2022), no. 3, 479–497 Zbl 1526.46026
- [37] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev-type inequalities on Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form](#). *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **46** (2023), no. 1, article no. 31 Zbl 1511.46019 MR 4516449
- [38] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev’s inequality in Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces of an integral form](#). *Math. Nachr.* **296** (2023), no. 9, 4152–4168 Zbl 07750708 MR 4644556
- [39] T. Ohno and T. Shimomura, [Trudinger-type inequalities for variable Riesz potentials of functions in Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces over metric measure spaces](#). *Math. Nachr.* **297** (2024), no. 4, 1248–1274 Zbl 07836730 MR 4734972
- [40] M. A. Ragusa and A. Tachikawa, [Regularity for minimizers for functionals of double phase with variable exponents](#). *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **9** (2020), no. 1, 710–728 Zbl 1420.35145 MR 3985000
- [41] Y. Sawano and T. Shimomura, [Sobolev embeddings for Riesz potentials of functions in non-doubling Morrey spaces of variable exponents](#). *Collect. Math.* **64** (2013), no. 3, 313–350 Zbl 1280.31001 MR 3084400
- [42] K. Stempak, [Examples of metric measure spaces related to modified Hardy–Littlewood maximal operators](#). *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **41** (2016), no. 1, 313–314 Zbl 1337.42023 MR 3467713

- [43] N. S. Trudinger, [On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications](#). *J. Math. Mech.* **17** (1967), 473–483 Zbl [0163.36402](#) MR [0216286](#)

Received 16 August 2023; revised 27 May 2024.

Takao Ohno

Faculty of Education, Oita University, Dannoharu, Oita 870-1192, Japan; t-ohno@oita-u.ac.jp

Tetsu Shimomura

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Humanities and Social Sciences,
Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8524, Japan; tshimo@hiroshima-u.ac.jp