
Short note **Generalizations of the Gandhi formula for prime numbers**

Rafael Jakimczuk

In memory of my mother Marta Bértiz (1945–2022)

1 Introduction

We shall need the Möbius function $\mu(n)$, which is one of the more important arithmetic functions. The Möbius function is defined as follows: $\mu(1) = 1$; if n is the product of r distinct primes, then $\mu(n) = (-1)^r$, and if the square of a prime divides n , then $\mu(n) = 0$. We shall need the following well-known property of the Möbius function:

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $P_{n-1} = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n-1}$. In 1971 [1] ([3, pages 182–183]), Gandhi proved the following formula for p_n in terms of the former primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1} :

$$p_n = \left\lceil 1 - \log_2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{d|P_{n-1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^d - 1} \right) \right\rceil. \quad (1)$$

Gandhi also proved that p_n is the only integer such that

$$1 < 2^{p_n} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{d|P_{n-1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^d - 1} \right) < 2. \quad (2)$$

In 1972 [4] ([3, pages 182–183]), a short and simple proof of Gandhi's formula was given by Vanden Eynden.

In 1974 [2] ([3, pages 184–185]), Golomb gave another short and simple proof of Gandhi's formula. He described it as being the sieve of Eratosthenes performed on the binary expansion of 1, namely $1 = 0, 11111 \dots$.

In this note, we generalize Gandhi's formula replacing 2 by any positive integer $k \geq 2$. In our main Theorem 2, we follow Vanden Eynden's proof.

Golomb's proof also works in this generalization if we assign to each positive integer n the weight $W(n) = k^{-n}$. Golomb in his proof used the weight $W(n) = 2^{-n}$, since he worked with $k = 2$.

2 Main results

An almost direct consequence of Gandhi's formula is following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $d_n = p_n - p_{n-1}$ and $n > 2$. Then d_n is the even number between the two numbers $\lfloor A \rfloor$ and $\lfloor A \rfloor + 1$, where*

$$A = -\log_2 \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^{dP_{n-1}-1}}}{-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^d-1}} \right). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Gandhi's formula (1) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= \left\lfloor 1 - \log_2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^d-1} + \sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(dP_{n-1})}{2^{dP_{n-1}-1}} \right) \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor 1 - \log_2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^d-1} \right) - \log_2 \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^{dP_{n-1}-1}}}{-\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{2^d-1}} \right) \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $p_n + \varepsilon_1 = p_{n-1} + \varepsilon_2 + A$, where $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 < 1$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon_2 < 1$. It is well known that $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor \leq \lfloor x + y \rfloor \leq \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor y \rfloor + 1$. Hence we have

$$p_{n-1} + \lfloor A \rfloor \leq p_n \leq p_{n-1} + \lfloor A \rfloor + 1,$$

that is,

$$\lfloor A \rfloor \leq d_n = p_n - p_{n-1} \leq \lfloor A \rfloor + 1.$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem. ■

The following theorem is our main result. The proof follows very closely the proof of Vanden Eynden.

Theorem 2. *Let $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Then the following formulas hold:*

$$1 < k^{p_n} \left(-\frac{1}{k} + \sum_{d|P_{n-1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{k^d-1} \right) < 2, \quad (4)$$

$$p_n = \left\lfloor \log_k 2 - \log_k \left(-\frac{1}{k} + \sum_{d|P_{n-1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{k^d-1} \right) \right\rfloor, \quad (5)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(k^{p_n} \left(-\frac{1}{k} + \sum_{d|P_{n-1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{k^d-1} \right) \right) = 1, \quad (6)$$

$$p_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\log_k \left(-\frac{1}{k} + \sum_{d|P_{n-1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{k^d-1} \right) \right), \quad (7)$$

$$d_n = p_n - p_{n-1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\log_k \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{k^{dP_{n-1}-1}}}{-\frac{1}{k} + \sum_{d|P_{n-2}} \frac{\mu(d)}{k^d-1}} \right) \right). \quad (8)$$

Proof. We put $Q = P_{n-1} = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n-1}$, $p_n = p$ and

$$S = \sum_{d|Q} \frac{\mu(d)}{k^d - 1}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (k^Q - 1)S &= \sum_{d|Q} \mu(d) \frac{k^Q - 1}{k^d - 1} \\ &= \sum_{d|Q} \mu(d) (1 + k^d + k^{2d} + \cdots + k^{Q-d}) = \sum_{t=0}^{Q-1} a_t k^t, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_t = \sum_{d|\gcd(t, Q)} \mu(d)$; in particular, for $t = 0$, this is equal to $\sum_{d|Q} \mu(d)$. Consequently, by well-known properties of the function μ , we have

$$(k^Q - 1)S = \sum_{t=0}^{Q-1} a_t k^t = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq Q-p \\ \gcd(t, Q)=1}} k^t + k^{Q-1}$$

and consequently

$$k(k^Q - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{k} + S \right) = -(k^Q - 1) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq Q-p \\ \gcd(t, Q)=1}} k^{t+1} + k^Q = 1 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq Q-p \\ \gcd(t, Q)=1}} k^{t+1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= k^p \frac{k^{Q-p+1}}{k^{Q+1}} < k^p \left(-\frac{1}{k} + S \right) = \frac{k^p}{k(k^Q - 1)} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq Q-p \\ \gcd(t, Q)=1}} k^{t+1} \right) \\ &< k^p \frac{1 + k + k^2 + \cdots + k^{Q-p+1}}{k(k^Q - 1)} = \frac{k^p (k^{Q-p+2} - 1)}{(k-1)k(k^Q - 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{k}{k-1} \leq 2, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$1 < k^p \left(-\frac{1}{k} + S \right) < \frac{k}{k-1} \leq 2. \quad (9)$$

This proves equation (4). Equation (5) is an easy consequence of equation (4). Equation (6) is an easy consequence of equation (9), since $\frac{k}{k-1} \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Equation (7) is an easy consequence of equation (6). Equation (8) can be proved as in Theorem 1 and by using equation (7). ■

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for carefully reading the article and for his/her helpful comments. The author is also very grateful to the Universidad Nacional de Luján.

References

- [1] J. M. Gandhi, Formulae for the n th prime. In *Proceedings of the Washington State University Conference on Number Theory (Washington State Univ., Pullman, Wash., 1971)*, pp. 96–106, Washington State University, Department of Mathematics, Pi Mu Epsilon, Pullman, WA, 1971 Zbl [0228.10004](#) MR [321853](#)
- [2] S. W. Golomb, A direct interpretation of Gandhi's formula. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **81** (1974), 752–754 Zbl [0291.10009](#) MR [354525](#)
- [3] P. Ribenboim, *The new book of prime number records*. Springer, New York, 1996 Zbl [0856.11001](#) MR [1377060](#)
- [4] C. Vanden Eynden, A proof of Gandhi's formula for the n th prime. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **79** (1972), 625 Zbl [0251.10011](#) MR [304290](#)

Rafael Jakimczuk

Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, División Matemática

Universidad Nacional de Luján

Buenos Aires, Argentina

jakimczu@mail.unlu.edu.ar